

Response to “Hyoscine butylbromide for the management of death rattle: sooner rather than later”

Short title: Response to Hyoscine butylbromide

Jason W Boland^{1*}, David Currow², Miriam Johnson¹

¹ Wolfson Palliative Care Research Centre, Hull York Medical School, University of Hull, UK

² The University of Technology, Sydney, NSW, Australia

*Correspondence to: Jason W Boland, PhD, FRCP, SFHEA.

Wolfson Palliative Care Research Centre, Hull York Medical School, University of Hull, UK.

Jason.Boland@hyms.ac.uk

We read with interest the article “Hyoscine Butylbromide for the Management of Death Rattle: Sooner Rather Than Later” by Mercadante *et al*,(1) comparing pre-emptive hyoscine butylbromide for the management of noisy upper respiratory tract secretions (RTS) in patients in the last days of life with treatment of RTS when they occurred. Previous studies have administered anticholinergic drugs to treat already formed noisy RTS rather than preventing their formation, but anticholinergic drugs are unable to remove secretions already formed.(2, 3)

This exploratory study presents promising effects, however, the data should be interpreted in the context of its methodological limitations. The natural history of RTS is poorly described, so attribution of outcomes is difficult in an unblinded trial. In addition, no power calculations were reported, making it difficult to evaluate the significance of the findings. Importantly, harms were not reported but it is vital to know about toxicity when proposing a prophylactic treatment (potentially for the benefit of family and staff rather than the patient)(4-6) where a significant number of people would never develop noisy RTS (40% in comparator arm of current study) but nevertheless have now been exposed to a drug with a significant harm profile (including dry mouth, constipation and urinary retention).(2, 3) In particular, anticholinergics are known to contribute to delirium – of concern in this high-risk population.(7) In order to make clinical judgements we must be able to evaluate the net-benefit (harms-benefit balance) of exposing patients to a medication they might not need, but might cause clinically important harms. Furthermore, we cannot identify and target patients at higher risk of developing RTS.(8)

These data are useful to inform a subsequently adequately powered double-blind randomised placebo-controlled trial, but until high quality data are available (of both effectiveness and harms), a change in practice cannot be recommended.

Disclosure statement

None of the authors have any conflicts of interest.

References:

1. Mercadante S, Marinangeli F, Masedu F, Valenti M, Russo D, Ursini L, et al. Hyoscine Butylbromide for the Management of Death Rattle: Sooner Rather Than Later. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2018.
2. Mercadante S. Death rattle: critical review and research agenda. *Support Care Cancer*. 2014;22(2):571-5.
3. Star A, Boland JW. Updates in palliative care - recent advancements in the pharmacological management of symptoms. *Clin Med (Lond)*. 2018;18(1):11-6.
4. Wee BL, Coleman PG, Hillier R, Holgate SH. The sound of death rattle I: are relatives distressed by hearing this sound? *Palliat Med*. 2006;20(3):171-5.
5. Wee B, Coleman P, Hillier R, Holgate S. Death rattle: its impact on staff and volunteers in palliative care. *Palliat Med*. 2008;22(2):173-6.
6. Campbell ML, Yarandi HN. Death rattle is not associated with patient respiratory distress: is pharmacologic treatment indicated? *J Palliat Med*. 2013;16(10):1255-9.
7. Hosie A, Davidson PM, Agar M, Sanderson CR, Phillips J. Delirium prevalence, incidence, and implications for screening in specialist palliative care inpatient settings: a systematic review. *Palliat Med*. 2013;27(6):486-98.
8. Likar R, Michenthaler MC, Traar R, Molnar M, Neuwersch S. Clinical factors influencing death rattle breathing in palliative care cancer patients : Non-interventional study. *Z Gerontol Geriatr*. 2017;50(4):332-8.