

1 **Title: An assessment of the role of the falx cerebri and tentorium cerebelli in the**  
2 **cranium of the cat (*Felis silvestris catus*)**

3

4 Víctor Sellés de Lucas <sup>1</sup>, Hugo Dutel <sup>1</sup>, Susan E. Evans <sup>2</sup>, Flora Gröning <sup>3</sup>, Alana C.  
5 Sharp <sup>2</sup>, Peter J. Watson <sup>1</sup>, Michael J. Fagan <sup>1</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup> School of Engineering and Computer Science, Medical and Biological Engineering  
7 Research Group, University of Hull, Hull HU6 7RX, UK

8 <sup>2</sup> Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University College London, London  
9 WC1E 6BT, UK

10 <sup>3</sup> School of Medicine, Medical Sciences and Nutrition, University of Aberdeen,  
11 Aberdeen AB25 2ZD, UK

12

13 **Corresponding author email address:** V.Selles-de-Lucas@2015.hull.ac.uk

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 **Abstract:** The falx cerebri and the tentorium cerebelli are two projections of the dura  
28 mater in the cranial cavity which ossify to varying degrees in some mammalian species.  
29 The idea that the ossification of these structures may be necessary to support the loads  
30 arising during feeding has been proposed and dismissed in the past, but never tested  
31 quantitatively. To address this, a biomechanical model of a domestic cat (*Felis silvestris*  
32 *catus*) skull was created and the material properties of the falx and tentorium were  
33 varied for a series of loading regimes incorporating the main masticatory and neck  
34 muscles during biting. Under these loading conditions, ossification of the falx cerebri  
35 does not have a significant impact on the stress in the cranial bones. In the case of the  
36 tentorium, however, a localised increase in stress was observed in the parietal and  
37 temporal bones, including the tympanic bulla, when a non-ossified tentorium was  
38 modelled. These effects were consistent across the different analyses, irrespective of  
39 loading regime. The results suggest that ossification of the tentorium cerebelli may play  
40 a minor role during feeding activities by decreasing the stress in the back of the skull.

41

42 **Keywords:** Finite element analysis, biomechanics, Carnivora, dura mater, falx cerebri,  
43 tentorium cerebelli

44

45 **Competing interests:** We have no competing interests.

46

47 **Authors' Contributions:** MJF, SEE and FG conceived the research programme and  
48 secured the funding. VSL designed the study (with MJF and SEE) and created the  
49 models, undertook the analyses and drafted the paper. HD and VSL undertook  
50 supporting experimental work, including specimen dissection (with SEE and AS). PJW  
51 and FG assisted in the FE modelling and analysis and interpretation of the results. All  
52 authors read and corrected earlier versions of the manuscript and approved the final  
53 version.

54

55 **Acknowledgements:** The authors would like to thank Sue Taft (University of Hull) for  
56 performing the  $\mu$ CT-scans, and the Institute of Veterinary Science and Nathan Jeffery  
57 (both University of Liverpool) for providing the specimens used in this study. The

58 authors would also like to thank Phil Cox (University of York) and two other  
59 anonymous reviewers for their comments, insights and suggestions.

60

61 **Data accessibility:** Data supporting this work are available on Dryad:  
62 doi:10.5061/dryad.q33df2v [50]

63

64 **Ethics:** The specimens of *Felis silvestris catus* were obtained from deceased animals  
65 donated to the Institute of Veterinary Science, University of Liverpool, for teaching and  
66 research.

67

68 **Funding:** We thank the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council  
69 (BBSRC) who provided funding for this research (BB/M008525/1; BB/M010287/1;  
70 BB/M008061/1).

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

## 84 Introduction

85 The dura mater is a fibrous membrane that covers the brain and spinal cord. It further  
86 extends into the cranial cavity in the shape of four folds or projections, two of which are  
87 the falx cerebri and the tentorium cerebelli. The falx cerebri divides the two cerebral  
88 hemispheres, while the tentorium separates the cerebral lobes from the underlying  
89 cerebellum (figure 1). Both the falx and tentorium are commonly found across a variety  
90 of mammal species, albeit not necessarily with the same degree of development [1].  
91 Moreover, some species exhibit an ossified falx or an ossified tentorium; occasionally  
92 both. Ossification can also be a prenatal or a postnatal process, and these differences in  
93 developmental patterns led Nojima [2] to discriminate between the prenatal carnivore  
94 type (e.g. Marsupialia, Sirenia, Carnivora) and the postnatal dolphin type (some Cetacea  
95 and Primates). The degree of tentorial ossification also varies across species. In  
96 carnivorans, the level of ossification ranges from none in the striped skunk (*Mephitis*  
97 *mephitis*), to partial (Canidae), or complete, as in members of the Felidae [3].

98 The functional role of the ossification of these structures remains unclear.  
99 Nojima [3] dismissed the idea that an ossified tentorium aids in the protection of the  
100 carnivoran brain during locomotion and feeding. This argument is largely based on  
101 evidence that other animal groups which perform similar activities, such as most  
102 herbivores and rodents, do not exhibit ossification. However, this is founded on casual  
103 observation, and to date no specific analysis has been performed to support or reject it.  
104 In this study, we examine quantitatively the biomechanical role that the falx and the  
105 tentorium play in the mammalian skull, and any particular effects for Carnivora that the  
106 ossification may offer under different biting regimes. In order to achieve this, we  
107 developed a detailed finite element (FE) model of a domestic cat (*Felis silvestris catus*)  
108 skull which included the falx and tentorium.

109 *Felis silvestris* is a polytypic species that includes various different subspecies  
110 which can produce viable offspring when crossed, *Felis silvestris catus*, the domestic  
111 cat, being one of them [4]. The use of this particular species has two main advantages: it  
112 is widely available for study and, being a felid, it has a fully ossified tentorium, in  
113 contrast to other carnivorans. Over the last 20 million years, felids have maintained a  
114 similar body plan [5], a factor that has made this group especially popular for allometric  
115 studies [6]. Following this general trend, the ossified tentorium of the domestic cat's

116 skull is also very similar to those of other felids. Moreover, it has been observed that in  
117 newborn cats the tentorium is in an almost complete stage of ossification [3].

118

## 119 **Materials and methods**

120 The head of an adult *Felis silvestris catus* specimen, obtained from a deceased animal  
121 donated to the Liverpool Institute of Veterinary Science for teaching and research, was  
122 scanned in an X-Tek HMX 160 microCT ( $\mu$ -CT) system at the University of Hull, UK  
123 (scan resolution 61.7  $\mu$ m in all three axes). The sex of the specimen is unknown, as the  
124 body was not used in this study. The stack of .TIFF images obtained from  $\mu$ -CT  
125 scanning was then imported into Avizo (Version 9.0.1, Visualization Science Group)  
126 where segmentation of the different structures was achieved using a semi-automatic  
127 method, combining algorithms with further manual refinements. The skull was intact,  
128 apart from the cusp of the left canine tooth which was reconstructed digitally, while the  
129 first left premolar was also absent (no action was taken in this case, as it did not play  
130 any relevant role in the analyses). The mandible was also segmented in order to  
131 reconstruct jaw-closing muscle orientations. The nasal turbinates were represented  
132 independently and identified as a different structure, as was the nasal septum. Where  
133 possible, the delicate structures that compose the cribriform plate and the  
134 ethmoturbinates were maintained. The trabecular bone was visible in the CT scans and  
135 individual trabeculae were segmented. Voids in the trabecular bone and the empty  
136 spaces between the nasal turbinates were filled with a general filling material to  
137 simulate the presence of generic soft tissues, which also prevented errors during the FE  
138 solution arising from disconnected fragments of trabeculae. The cranial cavity was also  
139 filled with another material to reconstruct the gross volume of the brain and to allow  
140 modelling of the dura mater covering its surface at a later stage. However, as no other  
141 intermediate layers were modelled, this endocast should be regarded as a simplification  
142 of the brain, since it was connected directly to the bone in the model, and therefore the  
143 endocast surface strains are likely to be oversensitive to changes in bone strain. This  
144 prevents a more detailed analysis of the effects that the ossified structures might pose on  
145 this particular structure. The periodontal ligament (PDL) was included by covering the  
146 tooth roots and their proximal surfaces with a 3-4 voxel-wide layer of tissue (0.19-0.27  
147 mm [7]). Although the ossified tentorium forms a continuum with the parietal bone, it  
148 was carefully segmented as an independent structure (from where it attaches to the

149 internal parietal wall) in order to allow testing with different material properties during  
150 the analyses.

151 The falx cerebri was partially visible in the CT scans, being partly ossified in its  
152 posterior region, allowing it to be reconstructed. Although the ossification was  
153 unexpected in this species, it may not be such a rare occurrence, since a second  
154 dissected specimen (also donated to the Liverpool Veterinary School for teaching and  
155 research) exhibited what seemed to be similar patches of ossification (figure 2)  
156 (although we did not carry out further analyses to confirm their precise composition).

157 After segmentation, a finite element mesh was created, resulting in a model with  
158 nearly 5.9 million high order (quadratic) tetrahedral elements. Avizo landmark tools  
159 were used to define the origin and insertion areas of the muscles, with the data required  
160 for this step gathered during the dissection of two specimen heads. The left side of the  
161 modelled specimen was dissected together with the second head. Dissection data was  
162 also supported with information gathered from Hartstone-Rose *et al.* [8], Laison *et al.*  
163 [9], and Turnbull [10]. The mass of each individual muscle was measured to allow  
164 calculation of its physiological cross-sectional area (PCSA). The relative sizes of the  
165 muscles of the two specimens and those values reported in the literature were  
166 consistent, although interestingly the whole muscle mass of the second specimen was  
167 2.5 times greater, thus potentially 36% larger in each direction. (Note the pterygoid  
168 group was damaged in the second specimen, hence its weight was approximated by  
169 multiplying the value of the scanned specimen by the scaling factor of 2.5 (see  
170 Supporting Information, Table 1).

171 The muscles were placed in a 10% formaldehyde solution and stored in a fridge  
172 for one month, at which time the muscles were digested in a 30% nitric acid solution for  
173 72 hours in order to separate the individual muscle fibres. The acid was then substituted  
174 with a 50% aqueous glycerol solution to stop the digestion process. Ten to fifteen  
175 random fibres for each muscle were isolated, photographed and subsequently measured  
176 with the software ImageJ [11] to estimate mean fibre length. The PCSA was calculated  
177 using the following formula [12]:

$$PCSA = \frac{\text{muscle mass (g)}}{\text{density (g/cm}^3\text{)} \times \text{fibre length (cm)}}$$

178 The muscle density was estimated as  $1.0564 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , a value taken from Murphy  
179 and Beardsley [12] for the cat soleus, which has also been used in studies of cat neck  
180 muscles [13] and felid masticatory analysis [8]. Different values have been reported for  
181 the intrinsic muscle tension (strength) produced by mammalian skeletal muscle, which  
182 typically ranges from  $10 \text{ N/cm}^2$  to  $50 \text{ N/cm}^2$ . An intermediate value of  $30 \text{ N/cm}^2$  was  
183 chosen from a feline bite force estimation study by Hartstone-Rose *et al.* [8]. Muscle  
184 force was calculated for the scanned specimen using the following formula:

$$\text{Muscle force} = \text{PCSA} (\text{cm}^2) \times \text{tension per unit CSA} (\text{N/cm}^2)$$

185 Detailed PCSA values and muscle forces for the specimens are available as part  
186 of the Supporting Information (Tables 2 and 3).

187 For the muscle insertion positions the mandible was positioned at a gape angle  
188 of approximately 0 degrees, i.e. complete occlusion. Because the specimen's head was  
189 not completely symmetric, landmarks were manually placed on both sides of the skulls,  
190 left and right side (instead of mirroring them) in order to maximise accuracy. A variable  
191 number of landmarks, between two and sixteen, were used for each muscle depending  
192 on its size. After calculating the x, y, z components of each force, a bespoke routine  
193 coded in R (Version 3.3.3 [14]) was employed to format the spatial information into  
194 ANSYS commands (Mechanical APDL, 14.5.7, ANSYS Inc., Canonsburg, PA, USA).

195 The dura mater was simulated in ANSYS by selecting all the surface elements of  
196 the brain endocast material and creating a covering layer of thin shell elements (ANSYS  
197 SHELL181). Shell elements are a simple but effective way to model very thin structures  
198 such as the dura, and the ability to modify section data was also useful for assigning  
199 different thicknesses to the structure during sensitivity tests. Although the dura actually  
200 extends over the brain and around the spinal cord, only the part that enclosed the brain  
201 was considered in this model, hence the dura was discontinued after reaching the  
202 infratentorial region.

203 Muscle wrapping was considered necessary for the superficial temporalis, given  
204 the origin area of the muscle and the curvature of the parietal and the temporal bones in  
205 the cat cranium. For this, we created a semi-automatic procedure in ANSYS to handle a  
206 muscle lying over a curvilinear surface. The process involves the creation of a series of  
207 paths, each consisting of a line of short "hairs", using truss-type elements (ANSYS  
208 LINK180) positioned perpendicular to the bone surface (supporting information, figure

209 1). Landmarks for each individual hair were defined manually in Avizo and later  
210 imported into ANSYS. The node at the outer end of each hair was then connected to its  
211 neighbours with further link elements, thereby creating muscle strands wrapping around  
212 the cranium. The total force specified for the superficial temporalis muscle was then  
213 divided by the number of strands, and the resulting force was applied to the most  
214 inferior node of each strand.

215 The action of the neck muscles was also included in the model in order to  
216 simulate pull back and lateral pull. Neck muscle data were not available for the  
217 specimens considered in this study, hence the information was extracted from Reighard  
218 and Jennings [15], Wickland *et al.* [13] and Sebastiani and Fishbeck [16] (see  
219 Supporting Information, Table 4). The *rectus capitis* group, which is composed of three  
220 individual muscles (*major*, *medius* and *minor*) was considered as a single unit for the  
221 analyses, while the *obliquus capitis caudalis*, with its origin on the atlas vertebra (C1),  
222 was not modelled. The number of landmarks per muscle, used to define the number of  
223 strands, was based on the size of the neck origin areas. As the original vertebrae and  
224 scapula were not present in the specimen, two octagons with different sizes were  
225 modelled and imported into Avizo to provide a surface for easier placement of the  
226 insertion landmarks. A small octagon was positioned at the axis vertebra (C2) and a  
227 larger one at the scapula, closely following the bone's orientation (Supporting  
228 Information, Figures 2 and 3). The purpose of the octagonal shape was only to provide  
229 topological information (vertex and sides) to place the landmarks more easily. The neck  
230 muscle origin and insertion landmarks were then imported into ANSYS and muscle  
231 strands defined as flexible link elements with equivalent soft tissue material properties.

232

### 233 **Material properties**

234 The model was assigned bone material properties taken from the cortical bone of  
235 domestic dogs (Young Modulus, i.e.  $E = 13.7$  GPa;  $\nu = 0.30$ ), following Slater and Van  
236 Valkenburgh [6] in which these values were applied to various felid species. To the best  
237 of our knowledge, there are no material property data for the cat's dura in the literature,  
238 but human values are well known and were selected as a reasonable approximation ( $E =$   
239  $31.5$  MPa;  $\nu = 0.45$  (after Kleiven and Holst [17])). The same applies to the PDL ( $E = 50$   
240 MPa;  $\nu = 0.49$ ) which was taken from Rees and Jacobsen [18]. A 0.5 MPa value was



241 assigned to the remaining generic soft tissues [19], including the brain endocast, link  
242 elements and filling materials ( $\nu = 0.45$ ).

243 All material properties assigned to the different tissues were assumed to be  
244 isotropic, homogeneous, and linear elastic, as it has been demonstrated that models  
245 using these properties still produce reasonable estimates of the stress and strain  
246 distributions [20-22]. Also, as this study focuses on a comparison of two versions of the  
247 same model by varying the material properties of the structures of interest, minor  
248 inaccuracies in the material properties will not be critical as long as these remain  
249 constant in both versions. Nevertheless, because specific material property data for *Felis*  
250 *silvestris catus* were not available, sensitivity tests were undertaken for the soft tissues,  
251 to assess their impact on the results (see Table 1). All these tests were performed for a  
252 bilateral canine bite. A dura mater thickness of 0.55 mm was taken from Cotton *et al.*  
253 [23] for humans, but further sensitivity tests with constant thickness values of 0.2 mm  
254 and 1.5 mm were also undertaken. The dura mater analyses were also carried out with  
255 values of 3 MPa and 300 MPa, and for the generic facial soft tissue various values (5  
256 MPa, 50 MPa and 500 MPa) were tested independently. Sensitivity tests were also  
257 carried out to assess the importance of wrapping the superficial temporalis.

258

### 259 **Boundary conditions**

260 The skull was subjected to bilateral and unilateral canine and carnassial bites with  
261 different falx and tentorium material properties simulating either soft dural or hard  
262 osseous tissues in various combinations (see Supporting Information, Table 5). For the  
263 bilateral canine analyses, one node was constrained dorso-ventrally at the tip of each  
264 canine, with one node at the left glenoid fossa constrained in all degrees of freedom and  
265 the opposite node on the right side constrained in two directions (anterior-posteriorly  
266 and dorso-ventrally). These minimal constraints reduce the risk of artefacts from over-  
267 constraining the model [24, 25]. For the unilateral canine analyses, only the node at the  
268 tip of the left canine was constrained. For the carnassial analyses the same configuration  
269 at the glenoid fossae was maintained, but the anterior constraints were located at the  
270 notch between the paracone and the metacone of each carnassial (left carnassial in the  
271 case of the unilateral biting).

272            Additionally, two extrinsic loading regimes were applied to the model, one to  
273 simulate a pullback movement, the other a lateral pull. Similar types of analyses have  
274 been performed in previous studies of felids [6, 26], but using different approaches.  
275 Here, the extrinsic loading conditions were applied in combination with biting by  
276 applying the muscle forces *and* reaction forces at the glenoid fossae and the canines for  
277 bilateral biting as predicted by the previous analyses. (In theory, these forces place the  
278 loaded skull in perfect equilibrium, however due to unavoidable rounding errors in the  
279 software, there will inevitably be some, albeit negligible, out-of-balance force). In  
280 addition, while the bite force loading was maintained, further loads were superimposed  
281 to simulate the pullback or lateral pull action, thereby replicating the loading of the skull  
282 *in vivo*. Two constraint conditions were applied; one with, and one without the neck.  
283 For the first model, without the neck, three locations on the posterior cranium were  
284 minimally constrained; two at the occipital condyles and the third located between  
285 them, over the foramen magnum. One node was constrained in all degrees of freedom,  
286 the second in only two directions (anterior-posteriorly and dorso-ventrally), while the  
287 third (over the foramen magnum) was constrained anterior-posteriorly only. In the  
288 second variation, when the neck was modelled, all nodes corresponding to the muscle  
289 insertion points were constrained in all degrees of freedom. For the pullback simulation,  
290 once a bite force loading and the constraint option had been specified, an arbitrary  
291 pullback force of 25 N was applied to the upper posterior area of each canine, directed  
292 in a posterior-anterior direction, and subjecting the skull to tensional forces. For the  
293 lateral pull, the same force was applied to the left lateral surface of the canines. Thus,  
294 the two loading analyses were carried out with and without the neck structure, and the  
295 differences compared.

296            The total maximum bite force predicted by the model, measured at the tip of the  
297 canines for a bilateral bite, was 101.1 N, while the unilateral carnassial bite force was  
298 predicted to be 175.8 N. By using a modified version of the dry skull method [27],  
299 Sakamoto *et al.* [28] estimated a canine bite force of 177 N based on the skull width of  
300 fourteen specimens of *Felis silvestris catus* (median skull width, 62 mm; the skull width  
301 of our model is 75 mm, measured across the zygomatic arches, following Sakamoto and  
302 Ruta [29]). In contrast, using the same dry skull method, Christiansen and Wroe [30]  
303 (skull width not provided) reported a lower value of 73.3 N.

304 Performance of the skulls was evaluated by considering the von Mises stress  
305 value as this measure has been employed previously to assess skull behaviour  
306 (including earlier research in felid cranial biomechanics, such as McHenry *et al.* [31],  
307 Wroe [26], and Slater and Van Valkenburgh [6]). Von Mises stress is also convenient  
308 because it is a scalar function combining the three principal stresses, is related to the  
309 von Mises failure criterion, and is useful for comparing the performance of complex 3D  
310 geometries.

311 Due to the large number of comparative analyses performed in this study,  
312 difference plots are used to present the results in an easy and concise manner, and in  
313 such a way that even small differences in stress values become immediately evident  
314 (Supporting Information, figure 4), as it has been done in previous research [7]. For the  
315 difference plots, the following convention is used for all the results: the minuend of the  
316 subtraction is always the model with the osseous material properties while the  
317 subtrahend is the model with the soft tissue material properties. Thus negative values  
318 (cold colours) represent areas in which stress is lower in the osseous model, and positive  
319 values (warm colours) are areas in which stress is higher in the osseous model, and  
320 areas with no significant stress differences are centred around green. More even stress  
321 distributions and lower stress values represent a structure more adapted to withstand  
322 stresses under a particular loading regime [6].

323

### 324 **Sensitivity tests**

325 The preliminary sensitivity tests demonstrated that neither the stress magnitude nor  
326 distribution were significantly affected by the variations considered. As a result detailed  
327 stress plots are not presented here, and the following summarizes the outcome of those  
328 investigations. Changes in dura mater thickness did not lead to any discernable  
329 differences in the stress pattern and magnitude in the bone. Similarly, no meaningful  
330 differences were noticed between dura mater elastic modulus values of 3 MPa and 30  
331 MPa, but there was a slight decrease in stress in the skull roof area for a value of 300  
332 MPa, as would be expected. The sensitivity tests also demonstrated that using the higher  
333 elastic modulus value for the (soft tissue) cavity filling materials resulted in lower  
334 stresses across the skull, but the changes were negligible between the range of 0.5 MPa  
335 and 50 MPa. Concerning the muscle wrapping, and ignoring the local artefacts caused

336 by the attachment of the muscle “hairs” of each wrapping strand, again almost  
337 imperceptible variations in stress distribution were observed through the model. During  
338 bilateral canine biting, changes in bite force between the models with and without  
339 muscle wrapping, as measured at the tip of both canines, were also negligible ( $< 1$  N).  
340 Increasing the number of muscle strands would have distributed the loading more  
341 evenly over the bone, but it seems highly unlikely that it would have changed the  
342 overall conclusion of this test, as the direction of the resultant force would not change.

343

## 344 **Results**

345 After the sensitivity tests, the model was subjected to a series of intrinsic and extrinsic  
346 loading regimes in which canine and carnassial biting were simulated. Considering the  
347 models with a soft falx and an ossified tentorium first (*i.e.* the natural condition in *Felis*  
348 *silvestris catus*), for the bilateral canine biting simulation stresses were equally high in  
349 the rostrum, the zygomatic arches and the palatine and presphenoid bones (Supporting  
350 Information, figure 5, left columns). In the rostrum, the nasal bones experience lower  
351 stresses than the surrounding bones, with the stress transmitted through the maxilla and  
352 into the frontal bone, until it reaches the approximate location of the coronal suture,  
353 where it dissipates. Regions of low or no stress can be identified within the parietal and  
354 interparietal bones, the tympanic bullae and the postorbital processes. In the carnassial  
355 bilateral biting simulation, stress in the rostrum and the palatine were greatly reduced  
356 but remained constant in the zygomatic arches and seemed to be slightly higher  
357 throughout the orbit and in certain areas of the zygomatic bone. With unilateral biting,  
358 either with canine or carnassial teeth, stresses were higher on the working side both in  
359 the rostrum and the cranial roof (Supporting Information, figure 5, right columns). It is  
360 also worth noting that the stress at the back of the skull remains essentially unchanged  
361 for all these loading regimes. In the case of the extrinsic loads with an ossified  
362 tentorium, the pullback loading regime seemed to most closely replicate the simple  
363 bilateral bite (Supporting Information, figure 6). For the lateral pull, higher stresses  
364 manifested in the skull roof of the side opposite to the applied force. The largest  
365 differences between the two sides seemed to be located in the frontal bone and  
366 postorbital processes. Slight variations of stress magnitude were detected with the  
367 inclusion of the neck muscles in the analyses for either case, but there were no  
368 meaningful differences in stress distribution.

369           When models with ossified structures are compared to those with soft structures,  
370 differences in stress distribution and magnitude in cranial bone are also uncommon,  
371 regardless of the biting regime. Changes in the material properties of the falx cerebri do  
372 not lead to any discernible variations in the external skull stress patterns. However,  
373 difference plots demonstrate that the models with an ossified tentorium consistently  
374 exhibit lower stress values in the parietal and temporal bones, including the tympanic  
375 bulla (figures 3 and 4), with slight or minor differences depending on the particular  
376 regime. To provide further detail about the differences, 40 nodes at three sample  
377 locations of approximately 0.5 mm diameter were probed (Supporting Information,  
378 figure 7) for both ossified and non-ossified tentorium models during a bilateral canine  
379 biting regime. The highest stress decrease identified was 2.11 MPa at the inferior region  
380 of the temporal bone. Also, locally high stresses are observed in the interparietal and the  
381 sagittal crest for the lateral pull plus biting regime with no neck. These appear to be a  
382 consequence of the oversimplified constraints applied, causing the load path to be  
383 focussed through those regions, because the equivalent version with neck muscles does  
384 not display them, and therefore they probably don't have mechanical significance. It is  
385 worth noting that stresses in the rostrum and the anterior area of the skull roof remained  
386 unaltered for all cases tested.

387           Examination of the stresses in the tentorium both ossified and non-ossified  
388 versions (figure 5 and supplementary figure 8; note the different scales of the contour  
389 plots) shows that higher stresses are located anteriorly, with peak stress values in the  
390 area in contact with the parietal wall, and lower values in the borders of the tentorial  
391 notch. Apart from these differences in magnitude, the actual stress distribution remains  
392 unchanged for the different material properties and biting regimes. In the falx cerebri  
393 (figure 6), the stress is more unevenly distributed, but appears to be higher at the  
394 anterior third (especially in the soft falx cerebri) and the posterior end, particularly in  
395 the osseous falx for all regimes except the carnassial unilateral biting, and in the soft  
396 falx for both canine bites. The stresses in the osseous falx and tentorium are to various  
397 degrees of magnitude higher than those in the versions with soft tissue material  
398 properties, but in the extrinsic biting regimes (supplementary figure 9) the soft falx  
399 seems to experience higher stresses overall. Moreover, adding or removing the dura  
400 mater layer over the brain endocast surface does not seem to have any effects on the  
401 results. In general, the cranial vault of the cat skull does not experiences meaningful

402 amounts of tension or compression (Supporting Information, figure 10), but the area of  
403 the temporal bone where the tentorium is located is subjected to compressive stresses.  
404 Compression is also visible in the tentorium cerebelli wings and in the posterior end of  
405 the midline, at the attachment of the falx cerebri.

406

## 407 **Discussion and conclusions**

408 Our aim was to test whether the presence of the osseous falx or tentorium played a  
409 significant role in reducing stress in the cranial bones under different biting regimes in  
410 *Felis silvestris catus*. We observed that changing their material properties did lead to a  
411 considerable reduction of stress in the originally softer structures (figures 5 and 6 and  
412 supplementary figures 8 and 9) but we did not observe the same effect in the cranial  
413 bone when considering the model as a whole.

414 In the case of the falx cerebri, the alteration of its material properties did not lead  
415 to any changes in the von Mises stress pattern of the cranium. According to the CT  
416 scans, the patches of ossification in the falx of the original specimen are mainly located  
417 in the middle to posterior end regions of the structure (in the case of the non-scanned  
418 specimen, ossification nodules appear in the middle section; see figure 2). Thus there  
419 does not seem to be any correlation between their location and the predicted stress  
420 pattern from the FE analyses (figure 6, supplementary figure 9) and, in any case, it  
421 seems unlikely that these isolated nodules have any mechanical significance, since they  
422 appear disconnected from the cranial roof. For the tentorium cerebelli, the stress is  
423 concentrated at the end of both “wings” of the structure (figure 5, supplementary figure  
424 8).

425 Stress differences between models with ossified and non-ossified tentoria were  
426 limited to the back of the skull, and specifically to the bones adjacent to the tentorium  
427 (parietal and temporal, including the tympanic bulla), and perhaps indicates that the  
428 tentorium may play a minor role during feeding. The difference in stress magnitude is  
429 however small (see Supporting Information, figure 7) and therefore these results should  
430 be treated with caution. A more detailed model is necessary to assess the specific effects  
431 that this reduction in stress may pose on the brain. The area of interest at the back of the  
432 skull initially suggested a link between the neck muscles, as they are primarily attached  
433 to this region, but the extrinsic analyses that incorporated the neck did not reveal any

434 meaningful differences. A recent study by McIntosh and Cox [32] demonstrates that, for  
435 mole-rats, a progressive increase in gape leads to a decrease in stress in the anterior  
436 regions of the cranium and an increase posteriorly. Felids are known to exhibit high  
437 values of maximum gape ( $61.3^\circ$  in *Felis chaus* [33], a closely related species to *Felis*  
438 *silvestris catus*), and it is possible that analysis with higher gape angles may reveal a  
439 more significant role for an ossified tentorium.

440         The use of simple linear elastic properties for the falx and the tentorium is one of  
441 the limitations of the current analysis. In particular for this study, the non-ossified  
442 materials are assumed to resist loads in both tension and compression, whereas in reality  
443 they are tension-only materials. As a result, the model may overestimate their influence.  
444 However since the stiffness of these structures is orders of magnitude less than that of  
445 bone and their thicknesses are much smaller, their effect will be minimal, as  
446 demonstrated by the sensitivity studies. We believe therefore that this simplification  
447 does not alter the overall conclusions of the study.

448         Of the four different intrinsic biting regimes considered (Supporting  
449 Information, figure 5), the unilateral carnassial bite generates the highest peak stresses,  
450 being particularly high in the orbital region. From simple lever mechanics, it is evident  
451 that carnassial bites will generate higher forces than canine ones (for example, 118.1 N  
452 vs. 73.3 N, as calculated by Christiansen and Wroe [30]; 180.6 N vs. 101.1 N in our  
453 model for the bilateral carnassial bite). The results from this study show that the most  
454 efficient biting regime in *Felis silvestris catus* is the carnassial bilateral bite, as this is  
455 the one that generates the highest bite forces while experiencing the lowest overall  
456 stresses and the lowest peak stresses. In nature, biting and grasping are mostly carried  
457 out with the incisors and canines, while the carnassials are used for cutting and tearing  
458 food [34-36]. However, according to Orsini and Hennet [35], the upper jaw is larger  
459 than the lower in cats and therefore, for the teeth of both sides to be joined during  
460 mastication, the mandible has to be brought to one side, so it is highly doubtful that this  
461 type of carnassial bilateral bite will ever be used in nature. Force variation between  
462 bilateral and unilateral carnassial bites (180.6 N vs. 175.8 N) seems to be, in any case,  
463 negligible.

464         The skull shape of felids is rather conservative [37, 38]. Some researchers have  
465 developed FE models of extinct saber-toothed cats and other felids [6, 26, 31, 38] where  
466 biting regimes were based upon the cat's masticatory cycles and hunting behaviour.

467 These studies demonstrated that felid skulls exhibit similar stress patterns when biting,  
468 and that stress is largely confined to the rostrum, the mandible and the zygomatic arch  
469 region. Our results follow a similar trend and replicate the ones obtained by Slater and  
470 Van Valkenburgh [6] from the cranium of *Felis lybica*, a closely related species, and  
471 also largely agree with the classic experimental study of a *Felis silvestris catus* cranium  
472 performed by Buckland-Wright [34].

473 According to the literature, the most probable function for the tentorium  
474 cerebelli is to withstand the weight of the cerebral hemispheres [39, 40], given that it is  
475 present in birds and mammals, and that both groups are characterised by a more  
476 developed brain than other tetrapods. Even when closely comparing different mammal  
477 species (see Klintworth [1], Table 1), it seems reasonable to infer a relationship between  
478 tentorium development and encephalization quotient [41], using values of tentorial  
479 index as indicators (the tentorium is considered to be more developed as the length of  
480 the straight sinus increases). The lower values are consistently present in orders with  
481 low brain quotients, such as Rodentia, Lagomorpha and Chiroptera, and increase in  
482 Carnivora, Cetacea and Primates [42]. The function of the falx cerebri may be to  
483 constrain the brain and limit displacement and rotation inside the cranium [43, 44].  
484 However, the presence of a bony falx and tentorium defies a simple explanation. The  
485 degree of ossification varies among different species and groups and it can develop  
486 before or after birth [1, 3]. In carnivorans, an ossified tentorium cerebelli is present in  
487 almost all species, with the exception of *Mephitis mephitis*. It is more developed in  
488 Felidae, Viverridae and Hyaenidae, where the structure is fully ossified and crosses the  
489 petrosa, than in other groups such as Phocidae or Canidae, where ossification does not  
490 reach the base of the skull [3]. An ossified falx is present in all pinnipeds, but also in the  
491 genus *Ursus* [3]. Sometimes the condition manifests in species that normally exhibit a  
492 soft-tissue falx and tentorium: for example, partial falx ossification is relatively frequent  
493 in humans (around 10% of the adult population [45, 46]) and tentorium ossification,  
494 while rarer, also exists [45, 47].

495 In the analyses presented, all intrinsic and extrinsic biting regimes consistently  
496 resulted in the same pattern of stress across the cranium, which suggests that the  
497 function of the dural ossifications is not related to the forces exerted by struggling prey  
498 or in the action of pulling or tearing a carcass. However, feral *Felis silvestris catus*  
499 mostly feed on small prey such as birds, mice and even some invertebrates [48],



500 therefore similar tests should be run on larger predatory felids before completely ruling  
501 out a protective role for the dural ossifications during prey handling and feeding. This is  
502 especially important given the fact that small felids have proportionally larger  
503 braincases [49] and that may have a meaningful effect on the results. A new model with  
504 a more detailed brain would also help to resolve whether the stress reductions observed  
505 in the back of the skull lead to a corresponding decrease in the stress in the brain.  
506 Equally, it is important to note that the skulls of carnivorans in general, and felids in  
507 particular, are subjected to forces other than those associated with feeding, such as the  
508 ones resulting from acceleration or deceleration. In the past, various functional  
509 hypotheses have been proposed for the ossified falx and tentorium in carnivorans,  
510 notably that they serve as an extra protection for the brain to avoid injuries during  
511 locomotion (particularly relevant in the case of felids) or during mastication [3].  
512 Nojima's argument to dismiss this is based on the fact that most carnivorans manifest  
513 ossification but most herbivores do not, despite displaying a wide range of different  
514 speeds and behaviours. This still remains a strong case, but perhaps future research  
515 should focus on these and other alternative loading situations in order to address the role  
516 of the osseous falx and tentorium.

517

## 518 **References**

519 (1) Klintworth GK. 1968 The comparative anatomy and phylogeny of the tentorium  
520 cerebelli. *Anat Rec.* **160**(3), 635-641. (doi:10.1002/ar.1091600312)

521 (2) Nojima T. 1988 Developmental pattern of the bony falx and bony tentorium of  
522 spotted dolphins (*Stenella attenuata*) and the relationship between degree of  
523 development and age. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* **4**(4), 312-322. (doi:/10.1111/j.1748-  
524 7692.1988.tb00540.x)

525 (3) Nojima T. 1990 A morphological consideration of the relationships of pinnipeds to  
526 other carnivorans based on the bony tentorium and bony falx. *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* **6**(1),  
527 54-74. (doi:10.1111/j.1748-7692.1990.tb00226.x)

- 528 (4) Driscoll CA, Menotti-Raymond M, Roca AL, Hupe K, Johnson WE, Geffen E,  
529 Harley EH, Delibes M, Pontier D, Kitchener AC, *et al.* 2007 The Near Eastern origin of  
530 cat domestication. *Science* **317**(5837), 519-523. (doi:10.1126/science.1139518)
- 531 (5) Kitchener AC, Van Valkenburgh B, Yamaguchi N, Macdonald D, Loveridge A.  
532 2010 Felid form and function. In *Biology and conservation of wild felids*. Oxford, UK:  
533 Oxford University Press, 83-106.
- 534 (6) Slater G, Van Valkenburgh B. 2009 Allometry and performance: the evolution of  
535 skull form and function in felids. *J. Evol. Biol.* **22**(11), 2278-2287. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-  
536 9101.2009.01845.x)
- 537 (7) McCormack SW, Witzel U, Watson PJ, Fagan MJ, Gröning F. 2017 Inclusion of  
538 periodontal ligament fibres in mandibular finite element models leads to an increase in  
539 alveolar bone strains. *PloS one* **12**(11):e0188707. (doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0188707)
- 540 (8) Hartstone-Rose A, Perry JM, Morrow CJ. 2012 Bite force estimation and the fiber  
541 architecture of felid masticatory muscles. *Anat. Rec.* **295**(8), 1336-1351.  
542 (doi:10.1002/ar.22518)
- 543 (9) Laison F, Lautrou A, Azérad J, Pollin B, Lévy G. 2001 Superficial architecture of  
544 the jaw-closing muscles of the cat (*Felis catus*): the temporo-masseteric complex.  
545 *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences-Series III - Sciences de la Vie* **324**(9), 855-  
546 862. (doi:10.1016/S0764-4469(01)01347-6)
- 547 (10) Turnbull WD. 1970 Mammalian masticatory apparatus. *Fieldiana Geology* **18**,  
548 147-356.
- 549 (11) Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012 NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of  
550 image analysis. *Nature methods* **9**(7), 671. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.2089)
- 551 (12) Murphy RA, Beardsley AC. 1974 Mechanical properties of the cat soleus muscle in  
552 situ. *Am. J. Physiol.* **227**(5), 1008-1013. (doi:10.1152/ajplegacy.1974.227.5.1008)
- 553 (13) Wickland C, Baker J, Peterson B. 1991 Torque vectors of neck muscles in the cat.  
554 *Experimental brain research* **84**(3), 649-659. (doi:/10.1007/BF00230978)

- 555 (14) R Development CORE TEAM R. 2008 R: A language and environment for  
556 statistical computing.
- 557 (15) Reighard JE, Jennings HS. 1901 *Anatomy of the Cat*. New York, USA: Holt and  
558 Company. (doi:10.5962/bhl.title.54000)
- 559 (16) Sebastiani A, Fishbeck DW 2005. *Mammalian anatomy: the cat*. Colorado, USA:  
560 Morton Publishing Company.
- 561 (17) Kleiven S, von Holst H. 2002 Consequences of head size following trauma to the  
562 human head. *J. Biomech.* **35**(2), 153-160. (doi:10.1016/S0021-9290(01)00202-0)
- 563 (18) Rees J, Jacobsen P. 1997 Elastic modulus of the periodontal ligament. *Biomaterials*  
564 **18**(14), 995-999. (doi:10.1016/S0142-9612(97)00021-5)
- 565 (19) Huempfer-Hierl H, Bohne A, Schaller A, Wollny G, Hierl T. 2015 Does facial  
566 soft tissue protect against zygomatic fractures? Results of a finite element analysis.  
567 *Head Face Med.* 16;11:21-015-0078-5. (doi:10.1186/s13005-015-0078-5)
- 568 (20) Strait DS, Wang Q, Dechow PC, Ross CF, Richmond BG, Spencer MA, Patel BA.  
569 2005 Modeling elastic properties in finite-element analysis: How much precision is  
570 needed to produce an accurate model? *Anat. Rec.* **283**(2), 275-287.  
571 (doi:10.1002/ar.a.20172)
- 572 (21) Bright JA, Rayfield EJ. 2011 The response of cranial biomechanical finite element  
573 models to variations in mesh density. *Anat. Rec.* **294**(4), 610-620.  
574 (doi:10.1002/ar.21358)
- 575 (22) Gröning F, Bright JA, Fagan MJ, O'Higgins P. 2012 Improving the validation of  
576 finite element models with quantitative full-field strain comparisons. *J. Biomech.*  
577 11;45(8):1498-1506. (doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2012.02.009)
- 578 (23) Cotton R, Pearce CW, Young PG, Kota N, Leung A, Bagchi A, Qidwai SM. 2016  
579 Development of a geometrically accurate and adaptable finite element head model for  
580 impact simulation: the Naval Research Laboratory–Simpleware Head Model. *Comput.*  
581 *Methods Biomech. Biomed. Engin.* **19**(1), 101-113.  
582 (doi:10.1080/10255842.2014.994118)

- 583 (24) Dumont ER, Piccirillo J, Grosse IR. 2005 Finite-element analysis of biting  
584 behavior and bone stress in the facial skeletons of bats. *Anat. Rec. Part A* **283**(2), 319-  
585 330. (doi:10.1002/ar.a.20165)
- 586 (25) Grosse IR, Dumont ER, Coletta C, Tolleson A. 2007 Techniques for modeling  
587 muscle-induced forces in finite element models of skeletal structures. *Anat. Rec.* **290**(9),  
588 1069-1088. (doi:10.1002/ar.20568)
- 589 (26) Wroe S. 2008 Cranial mechanics compared in extinct marsupial and extant African  
590 lions using a finite-element approach. *J. Zool.* **274**(4), 332-339. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-  
591 7998.2007.00389.x)
- 592 (27) Thomason J. 1991 Cranial strength in relation to estimated biting forces in some  
593 mammals. *Can. J. Zool.* **69**(9), 2326-2333. (doi:10.1139/z91-327)
- 594 (28) Sakamoto M, Lloyd G, Benton M. 2010 Phylogenetically structured variance in  
595 felid bite force: the role of phylogeny in the evolution of biting performance. *J. Evol.*  
596 *Biol.* **23**(3), 463-478. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2009.01922.x)
- 597 (29) Sakamoto M, Ruta M. 2012 Convergence and divergence in the evolution of cat  
598 skulls: temporal and spatial patterns of morphological diversity. *PLoS One* **7**(7):e39752.  
599 (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039752)
- 600 (30) Christiansen P, Wroe S. 2007 Bite forces and evolutionary adaptations to feeding  
601 ecology in carnivores. *Ecology* **88**(2), 347-358. (doi:10.1890/0012-  
602 9658(2007)88%5B347:BFAEAT%5D2.0.CO;2)
- 603 (31) McHenry CR, Wroe S, Clausen PD, Moreno K, Cunningham E. 2007  
604 Supermodeled sabercat, predatory behavior in *Smilodon fatalis* revealed by high-  
605 resolution 3D computer simulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**(41), 16010-16015.  
606 (doi:10.1073/pnas.0706086104)
- 607 (32) McIntosh AF, Cox PG. 2016 The impact of gape on the performance of the skull in  
608 chisel-tooth digging and scratch digging mole-rats (Rodentia: Bathyergidae). *Roy. Soc.*  
609 *Op. Sci.* **3**(10), 160568. (doi:10.1098/rsos.160568)

- 610 (33) Christiansen P, Adolfssen JS. 2005. Bite forces, canine strength and skull allometry  
611 in carnivores (Mammalia, Carnivora). *J. Zool.* **266**(2), 133-151.  
612 (doi:10.1017/S0952836905006643)
- 613 (34) Buckland-Wright J. 1978 Bone structure and the patterns of force transmission in  
614 the cat skull (*Felis catus*). *J. Morphol.* **155**(1), 35-61. (doi:10.1002/jmor.1051550104)
- 615 (35) Orsini P, Hennet P. 1992 Anatomy of the mouth and teeth of the cat. *Vet. Clin. N.*  
616 *Am. Small Anim. Pract.* **22**(6), 1265-1277. (doi:10.1016/S0195-5616(92)50126-7)
- 617 (36) Reiter AM, Soltero-Rivera MM. 2014 Applied feline oral anatomy and tooth  
618 extraction techniques: an illustrated guide. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* **16**(11), 900-913.  
619 (doi:10.1177/1098612X14552365)
- 620 (37) Sicuro FL, Oliveira LFB. 2011 Skull morphology and functionality of extant  
621 Felidae (Mammalia: Carnivora): a phylogenetic and evolutionary perspective. *Zool. J.*  
622 *Linn. Soc.* **161**(2), 414-462. (doi:10.1111/j.1096-3642.2010.00636.x)
- 623 (38) Chamoli U, Wroe S. 2011 Allometry in the distribution of material properties and  
624 geometry of the felid skull: Why larger species may need to change and how they may  
625 achieve it. *J. Theor. Biol.* **283**(1), 217-226. (doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2011.05.020)
- 626 (39) Bull JW. 1969 Tentorium cerebelli. *Proc. R. Soc. Med.* **62**(12), 1301-1310.
- 627 (40) Jeffery N. 2002 Differential regional brain growth and rotation of the prenatal  
628 human tentorium cerebelli. *J. Anat.* **200**(2), 135-144. (doi:10.1046/j.0021-  
629 8782.2001.00017.x)
- 630 (41) Jerison H. 1973 *Evolution of the Brain and Intelligence*. New York, USA:  
631 Academic Press.
- 632 (42) Boddy A, McGowen M, Sherwood C, Grossman L, Goodman M, Wildman D.  
633 2012 Comparative analysis of encephalization in mammals reveals relaxed constraints  
634 on anthropoid primate and cetacean brain scaling. *J. Evol. Biol.* **25**(5), 981-994.  
635 (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2012.02491.x)

- 636 (43) Kumaresan S, Radhakrishnan S. 1996 Importance of partitioning membranes of the  
637 brain and the influence of the neck in head injury modelling. *Medical and Biological*  
638 *Engineering and Computing* **34**(1), 27-32. (doi:10.1007/BF02637019)
- 639 (44) Snell RS. 2010 *Clinical neuroanatomy*. Philadelphia, USA: Lippincott Williams &  
640 Wilkins.
- 641 (45) Tanaka Y, Takeuchi K. 1974 Dural calcification from the neurosurgical point of  
642 view. *Neurol. Med.* **14** (Suppl.), 5-10. (doi:10.2176/nmc.14pt1.SUPPLEMENT\_5)
- 643 (46) Debnath J, Satija L, George RA, Vaidya A, Sen D. 2009 Computed tomographic  
644 demonstration of unusual ossification of the falx cerebri: a case report. *Surgical and*  
645 *radiologic anatomy* **31**(3), 211-213. (doi:10.1007/s00276-008-0408-4)
- 646 (47) Tubbs RS, Mortazavi MM, Miller J, Shoja MM, Loukas M, Cohen-Gadol AA.  
647 2015 Ossification of the human tentorium cerebelli. *Biomedicine International* **3**(1).
- 648 (48) Bradshaw JW. 2006 The evolutionary basis for the feeding behavior of domestic  
649 dogs (*Canis familiaris*) and cats (*Felis catus*). *J. Nutr.* **136**(7 Suppl.):1927S-1931S.  
650 (doi:10.1093/jn/136.7.1927S)
- 651 (49) Christiansen P. 2008 Evolution of skull and mandible shape in cats (Carnivora:  
652 Felidae). *PLoS One* **3**(7):e2807. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002807)
- 653 (50) Sellés de Lucas V, Dutel H, Evans SE, Gröning F, Sharp AC, Watson PJ, Fagan  
654 MJ 2018 An assessment of the role of the falx cerebri and tentorium cerebelli in the  
655 cranium of the cat (*Felis silvestris catus*) Dryad Digital Repository.  
656 (doi:10.5061/dryad.q33df2v)

657

658

659 **Figures and Tables**

660

Sensitivity test	Values tested	Standard value used
Young's Modulus (MPa) of dura mater	3, 31.5, 300	31.5 MPa <sup>1</sup>
Thickness (mm) of dura mater	0.2, 0.55, 1.5	0.55 mm <sup>2</sup>
Young's modulus (MPa) of other soft tissues	0.5, 5, 50, 500	0.5 MPa <sup>3</sup>

661 **Table 1.** Sensitivity test values for the dura mater and other soft tissues (which also  
662 include the filling materials and the link elements).<sup>1</sup> Kleiven and Holst, 2002,<sup>2</sup> Cotton  
663 *et al.*, 2016,<sup>3</sup> Huempfer-Hierl *et al.*, 2015.

664

665 **Figure 1:** Left: The skull used for the *in silico* model after performing a virtual  
666 parasagittal cut in the braincase to reveal the falx cerebri and the tentorium cerebelli  
667 (displayed in blue and red, respectively). Top right: Falx cerebri in medial-lateral view.  
668 Bottom right: Tentorium cerebelli in dorsal view.

669

670 **Figure 2:** Left: parasagittal cut of the second specimen, with patches of ossification  
671 (highlighted in red) in the posterior falx. Right: Coronal view of a CT image slice which  
672 shows an oval shape following the midline.

673

674 **Figure 3:** Von Mises stress difference plots for the (intrinsic) biting analyses,  
675 comparing osseous and soft tentorium models. (See Supporting Information, figure 4,  
676 for an explanation of the differencing process).

677

678 **Figure 4:** Von Mises stress difference plots for extrinsic analyses (biting plus  
679 pulling/tearing loads) comparing osseous and soft tentorium models. (See Supporting  
680 Information, figure 4, for an explanation of the differencing process).

681

682 **Figure 5:** Von Mises stress plots for the tentorium cerebelli. Top row: Osseous and soft  
683 tentorium in dorsal view for all intrinsic regimes. Bottom row: Soft tentorium for the  
684 same loading regimes as the top row, but with adjusted contour levels to reveal the  
685 stress patterns.

686

687 **Figure 6:** Von Mises stress plots for the falx cerebri. Top row: osseous falx cerebri in  
688 medial-lateral view for all intrinsic regimes. Bottom row: soft falx cerebri for the same  
689 analyses, but with adjusted contour levels to reveal the stress patterns.