Boosting the antimicrobial action of vancomycin formulated in shellac nanoparticles of dual-surface functionality
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We report a strong amplification of the antimicrobial action of vancomycin (VCM) encapsulated in shellac nanoparticles (NPs) with dual surface functionalisation. These shellac nanocarriers for VCM were produced in two steps: (i) a pH drop from aqueous ammonium shellac solution containing Poloxamer 407 (P407) as a steric stabilising polymer in solution of vancomycin hydrochloride, and (ii) subsequent doping with the insoluble cationic surfactant octadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (ODTAB) though a solvent change to yield cationic surface functionality. We evaluated the encapsulation efficiency of VCM and its release profiles from these nanocarriers. This study explored the antibiotic action of these VCM nanocarriers at the various stages of their preparation which helped us to evaluate how they could be made to work efficiently, to adapt their design and demonstrate the role of the nanocarrier dual functionalisation on its antibiotic action and delivery. The antibiotic effect of VCM loaded in such versatile functionalised shellac nanocarriers was tested on three different proxy microorganisms, C. reihardtii, S. cerevisiae and E. coli. We also compared the antibiotic effect of free VCM with non-coated VCM-loaded nanocarriers at the same overall concentrations. The ODTAB coating of the shellac NPs strongly enhanced the antibiotic action of the encapsulated VCM across all tested microorganisms. The enhanced VCM action is explained with the increased electrostatic adhesion between the ODTAB-coated VCM-loaded shellac NPs and the negatively charged surface of the microbial cell walls which allows local delivery of VCM with a high concentration directly on the cell membrane. This nanocarrier-mediated boost of the antibiotic action may potentially breathe new life into old antibiotics and help to fight off antibiotic resistance by making them more effective.

Introduction

Vancomycin (VCM) is a glycopeptide antibiotic produced from Streptomyces orientalis)1,2 with high activity against Gram-positive bacteria. It acts by inhibiting the synthesis of the second stage of the cell wall, through strong binding to peptides that contain D-alanyl-D-alanine at the end of free carboxyl groups.3 Studies have revealed that VCM also changes the cell membrane permeability.4 This antibiotic is usually prescribed to treat infections caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus MRSA or is given to patients allergic to penicillin or cephalosporin.5 It is used largely in intensive care units to treat sepsis and hospital infections, as well as for empyema, endocarditis, pneumonia cases, soft tissue abscesses and osteomyelitis.6,8 Due to its side effects such as phlebitis, hypotension, nephrotoxicity, tachycardia, ototoxicity, chills, hypersensitivity reactions, fever and exanthema make this drug is the last resort.6,8,10 It has been used to reduce the infections obtained after posterior cervical fusion surgery11 and can decrease the occurrence of postsurgical wound infections.12-14 A number of reports of resistance to VCM has turned researchers toward new VCM formulations using nanocarrier delivery systems such as nanoparticles and liposomes, which offer greater intracellular penetration and the possibility for effective intracellular antibacterial action over extended time periods.15 Nanocarrier systems have been widely developed in the pharmaceutical industry due to their ability to control the release of drugs for efficient delivery.16 Recently, formulation of biodegradable nanocarriers has attracted much attention following concerns about their post-use fate.17,18 Biodegradable NPs from renewable natural materials such as lignin, cellulose and shellac have a wide range of industrial and pharmaceutical applications. Frangville et al.19 developed biodegradable lignin nanoparticles which showed no measurable toxicity against proxy organisms such as yeast and microalgae. Recently, these were applied in a delivery system based on Ag*-loaded lignin NPs which were coated with cationic polyelectrolyte.20,21 Al-Awady et al.22 demonstrated that the antimicrobial action of polyelectrolyte-coated NPs alternates with the particle surface charge and developed surface-functionalised nanogels for encapsulation of antimicrobial 23,24
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VCM has been loaded within N-trimethyl chitosan (TMC) particles for drug delivery applications which had a significant antibacterial action against S. aureus with sustained delivery of VCM to bone infections.25 Zakeri-Milani et al. enhanced the VCM intestinal permeation by loading VCM into (PLGA) poly(lactide-co-glycolide) nanoparticles.26 VCM loaded in solid lipid nanoparticles adjuvanted with linoleic acid, showed better antibacterial activity than free VCM in aqueous solution towards both resistant and susceptible bacteria.27 VCM was also successfully encapsulated into amino-polysiloxane matrixes through a one-step room temperature sol-gel method.28 Esmaeili et al.29 prepared VCM-loaded MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles by using a co-precipitation method and showed that their antibacterial activity can be improved by coating them with chitosan. Table 1 summarizes some of the characteristics of recently reported VCM loaded nanocarriers. In most cases these VCM carriers have relatively large particle size and negative surface charge which can potentially repel them from the microbial cell membrane. In addition, most studies report VCM cytotoxicity prevalently against Gram-positive rather than Gram-negative bacteria.

In this study, we aimed to develop a stable VCM-nanocarrier with high efficiency against Gram-negative bacteria, such as E. coli, as well as yeast and algal cells. As a nanocarrier material, we choose shellac, which is the refined product of the natural material Lac secreted by the small parasitic insect Kerria lacca on different host trees in South Eastern Asia. It has numerous applications in agriculture, food products and enteric coatings for tablets,30 lacquers,31,32 dental baseplates,33,34 dental varnishes35,36 coating and matrix material,31,37,38 additive in foods and cosmetic products, encapsulating agent in pharmaceuticals39,41 as well as a moisture barrier coating.42,43 Shellac is a complex mixture of polar and non-polar components consisting of polyhydroxy acids, lactones and anhydrides,44-46 it has a pKa of 6.9 to 7.5 and it is acid resistant,47 being practically insoluble in acidic to neutral aqueous medium (pH<7).39,48,49 Colloidal shellac dispersion have been used for coatings, precipitated from alcoholic shellac solution in water.50 Krause and Muller51 reported preparation of an aqueous shellac dispersion using a high pressure homogenisation technique, although their particle size was rather large (~5µm). Colloidal shellac with particle size 150-300 nm was formulated by Patel et al.52 for silibinin encapsulation by using an anti-solvent method and xanthan gum as stabilizer. Kraisit et al.53 used chitosan as stabilizer to prepare shellac suspensions with size range 100-300 nm for encapsulation of bovine serum albumin. Here, we developed shellac NPs that could be loaded with VCM, and stabilised by using Poloxamer 407 (P407) copolymer to

Figure 1. (A) Schematics of the two step process for the preparation of dual functionalised shellac nanocarriers for vancomycin (VCM). The VCM-loaded shellac NPs are sterically stabilised with Poloxamer 407 (P407) and have cationic surface functionality achieved by subsequent doping with the cationic surfactant octadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (ODTAB). (B) Structural formulas of the constituting materials for the preparation of vancomycin-shellac nanocarriers: P407, ODTAB, VCM and the main components of shellac.
sterically stabilize them. P407 is a non-ionic co-polymer made up of poly (ethylene oxide)(PEO)-poly (propylene oxide)(PPO) poly (ethylene oxide)(PEO) (see Figure 1B), which is widely used in pharmaceutical formulations as a surfactant, solubilizing agent, emulsifying and dispersing agent.\textsuperscript{54,55} We further apply a secondary functionalization with a very small amounts of a non-soluble cationic surfactant, ODTAB to inverse the particle surface charge from negative to positive.

Figure 1A outlines the steps of the VCM encapsulation into the shellac nanocarriers and their surface functionalisation. The retention of the VCM in the cores is based on hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions within the shellac matrix of the nanocarrier particles. This steric stabilisation also plays a synergistic effect with the cationic surface functionalisation of the VCM nanocarrier and can be potentially used in topical/dermal formulation.\textsuperscript{55} The positive surface charge of the ODTAB-coated nanocarrier allows it to adhere electrostatically to the microbial cell walls and to deliver locally a high concentration of VCM which boost its antibiotic action on various types of microbial cells. The loaded VCM within shellac NPs allows the antibiotic to be released slowly which mean it can potentially be used in wound dressings over longer periods of time. The choice of ODTAB as a secondary surface treatment allows direct deposition on the shellac NPs surface while the already formed sterically stabilizing P407 layer in the first step remains intact. This strategy proved very successful, as the carrier maintained its steric stability while being surface charge-reversed by the secondary coating with ODTAB.

Note that the synthesis of our shellac nanocarrier is a “one pot” preparation and allows the cationic antimicrobial or antibiotic (e.g. VCM) to be easily encapsulated in the produced nanocarrier which amplify its action. Its mechanism of action is to deliver high local concentration of antibiotic/antimicrobial directly on the microbial cell membrane by electrostatic adhesion and to potentially increase its permeability due to the presence of both ODTAB and Poloxamer on the nanocarrier surface. Similar amplification approaches have been recently designed based on cationically functionalized polyacrylic acid nanogels loaded with antimicrobials\textsuperscript{23, 82} as well as inorganic antimicrobial particles like CuONPs with grafted phenyl boronic acid surface groups which covalently bind to sugar groups on the bacteria, thus concentrating the CuONPs on the microbial cell membrane and enhancing its antimicrobial effect.\textsuperscript{83} This approach is different to the action of the cationic antimicrobial peptides, which act as bacterial membrane disruptors which are similar to cationic polyelectrolytes with the advantage of being more biocompatible. Recently, however Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{84} combined a cationic peptide-fululene conjugate which showed good results in antibacterial photodynamic therapy (PDT) with improved efficiency as compared to non-treated fulerene. Similarly, the antibacterial effect of the reactive oxygen species produced in the PDT are amplified by the cationic peptide which “dock” their source on the bacteria surface. Alternative strategy has been proposed by Zou et al.\textsuperscript{85} for photothermal nanodots based on self-assembly of peptide–porphyrin conjugates for antitumor therapies which may also find antibacterial applications.\textsuperscript{83} Borovicka et al.\textsuperscript{86-88} combined silica-imprints of microbial cells with incorporated gold nanoparticles to produce photothermal colloid antibodies for selective laser ablation of targeted microorganisms. Interesting approach based on imprinting of β-lactamase on hydrogel was adopted by Li et al.\textsuperscript{89} to treat antibiotic resistant bacteria. The imprinted sites trap β-lactamase excreted by drug-resistant bacteria, thus making bacteria sensitive to antibiotics. Dong et al.\textsuperscript{90} developed a NIR-sensitive nanoplatform for synergistic eradication of antibiotic-resistant bacteria based biofilms both in vitro and in vivo. The integration of nanotherapeutics (NO-donor) and antimicrobial compounds (quaternary ammonium chitosan) into one system could significantly enhance biofilm dispersal as well as prevent the recurrence and reduce side effects.

We studied the antibacterial, anti-fungal and anti-algal action of the nanoparticles compared with free VCM on three proxy microorganisms, \textit{C. reinhardtii}, \textit{S. cerevisiae} and \textit{E. coli}, respectively. We also studied the VCM release kinetics from the carrier and the boost of its antimicrobial action before and after surface functionalising of the shellac NPs with ODTAB. We show that this strategy can strongly amplify the antimicrobial action of both VCM compared to solutions with an equivalent concentration of free VCM or the non-loaded nanocarrier.

### Materials and Methods

**Materials**

Shellac was used in a soluble form as the ammonium salt at pH > 7. The ammonium shellac solution was a gift from (Stroeover Schellackbremen, Germany) and is commercially available as SS AquA Gold\textsuperscript{TM} (solid content 25%). Poloxamer 407 (purified), vancomycin hydrochloride (98%), and 3', 6'-diacetylazine fluorescein (FDA), were all obtained from Sigma-Aldrich UK. Octadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (ODTAB) was supplied by Fluka Chemika, UK. Deionised water purified by reverse osmosis and ion exchange from a Milli-Q water system (Millipore, UK) was used in all our studies. Its surface tension was 71.9 mNm\textsuperscript{-1} at 25°C, with measured resistivity less than 18 MΩ cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The BacTiter-Glo\textsuperscript{TM} microbial cell viability assay was purchased from Promega, UK. \textit{Chlamydomonas reinhardtii} (cc-124 strain) was kindly provided by Flickinger group from North Carolina University, USA. This microalgae culture was grown in Tris-Acetate-Phosphate (TAP) culture medium and incubated at a temperature of 30°C. The \textit{C. reinhardtii} culture media consisted of TAP salts (NH\textsubscript{4}Cl; MgSO\textsubscript{4}.7H\textsubscript{2}O and CaCl\textsubscript{2}.2H\textsubscript{2}O), phosphate buffer solution (PBS) and Hutner’s trace elements solution (EDTA disodium salt, ZnSO\textsubscript{4}.7H\textsubscript{2}O, H\textsubscript{3}BO\textsubscript{3}, MnCl\textsubscript{2}.4H\textsubscript{2}O, CoCl\textsubscript{2}.6H\textsubscript{2}O, CuSO\textsubscript{4}.5H\textsubscript{2}O, FeSO\textsubscript{4}.7H\textsubscript{2}O, (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}MoO\textsubscript{4}.2H\textsubscript{2}O), all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, UK. The microalgae batch was grown in the TAP medium at pH 7 while being illuminated for 72 hours with a white luminescent lamp with a light intensity of 60 W m\textsuperscript{-2} under constant stirring with a magnetic stirrer.\textsuperscript{81,82} The stock cultures of \textit{C. reinhardtii} were with typical concentration 4 × 10\textsuperscript{5} cells mL\textsuperscript{-1} determined by cell counter (Nexcelom Cellometer Auto X4) and the \textit{E. coli} bacterial culture stock was with approximately 5 × 10\textsuperscript{7} cells mL\textsuperscript{-1}. \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} (Baker’s yeast), was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. It was
cultured by hydrating 10 mg of lyophilized yeast cells in 10 mL of Milli-Q water. Then 1 mL of this hydrated suspension was added to 100 mL of autoclaved YPD culture media consisting of peptone (Sigma Aldrich, UK), D-glucose, (Fisher Scientific, UK), and yeast extract, (Oxoid ltd, UK), then incubated at 30°C for 24-48 hours. Escherichia coli, sourced from Thermofisher (Invitrogen MAX Efficiency™ DH10B™) was used for our antibacterial tests. The cells were cultured in autoclaved Luria-Bertani medium (LB medium) prepared by dissolving 0.5 g yeast extract, 0.5 g sodium chloride (Sigma Aldrich), and 1 g tryptone (Oxido ltd), in 100 mL water. Fluorescein diacetate (FDA, 98%) for cell viability assays was supplied from Sigma Aldrich, UK.

Preparation and functionalization of VCM-loaded shellac NP

Shellac NPs were prepared by mixing 0.25 w/v% of ammonium shellac salt and VCM solution at pH 8 with different concentrations of P407 and VCM. 100 mL of this solution was prepared, followed by lowering the solution pH to 5 by adding drop-wise 0.01 M HCl with a syringe pump at a rate of 220 mL/h while agitating with a magnetic stirrer. The concentration of VCM was varied in a mixture of shellac and P407 with a constant ratio of 0.25 wt%:0.2 wt%, respectively. In order to promote their adhesion to the negatively charged microbial cell walls, the shellac NPs surface charge was altered from negative (P407-stabilised shellac NPs loaded with VCM) to positive by an additional surface doping with the cationic surfactant ODTAB. Typically, 0.07 wt.% of VCM was loaded in 0.25 wt.% shellac NPs which were further coated with ODTAB delivered to the shellac NP suspension by drop-wise addition from 3% ODTAB in ethanol.

Shellac NPs size, zeta-potential and morphology characterisation

The particle size and zeta-potential of the shellac NPs with and without VCM were measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a Zeta sizer Nano ZL (Malvern Instrument Ltd, UK). All measurements were carried out in triplicate. Morphological examination of the nanoparticles was performed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Joel 2010, Japan), a few drops of the sample were placed on carbon-coated copper grids and negatively stained with 1% aqueous uranyl acetate. Once air dried, the sample was imaged with a Gatan Ultrascan 4000 digital camera attached to Jeol 2010 TEM at 200kV.

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) and UV-vis spectroscopy study

FTIR spectroscopy (Thermo Fisher Scientific Nicolet 380 FT-IR, Hemel Hempstead, UK) was used to characterise the shellac NPs and VCM-loaded shellac NPs. This technique was also used to confirm the adsorption of P407 on shellac NPs surface and the intercalation between the VCM and the molecules of the shellac matrix. In order to confirm the loading percentage of VCM within shellac NPs a UV-Vis spectrophotometry technique was used. A sample of VCM-loaded shellac NPs was dissolved in alkaline solution (pH 8) and the spectrum was recorded between 220-700 nm using the spectrophotometer (model Bio Lambda 10, USA). Spectra were also recorded at the same range for free VCM and shellac NP coated with P407. The stability of VCM encapsulated in shellac nanoparticles was confirmed by using FTIR spectra and UV-vis spectroscopy at temperature 25°C and 37°C. The VCM-loaded shellac NPs was heated at 37°C for 2 hours. The VCM-loaded shellac NPs was cooled down to room temperature.

Encapsulation efficiency and VCM loading contents in shellac NPs

The encapsulation efficiency and the drug loaded content was indirectly calculated by measuring the absorptivity of the non-encapsulated drugs. The non-encapsulated VCM solution was filtered using a 20 nm syringe filter and the absorbance of this filtered VCM solution was measured at 422 nm using the UV-Vis spectrophotometer. A calibration curve of VCM was made by measuring the absorbance of a series of standard VCM solutions. The VCM loading contents and encapsulation efficiency were calculated as shown below:

\[
\text{Encapsulation Efficiency} (%) = \frac{\text{Total VCM} - \text{Uncapsulated VCM}}{\text{Total VCM}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{Drug Loading Content} (%) = \frac{\text{[Total VCM} - \text{Uncapsulated VCM}] \times 100}{\text{[Total VCM} - \text{Uncapsulated VCM}] + \text{shellac + Poloxamer amount}}
\]

In vitro VCM release kinetics from shellac NPs

The dialysis method was used to determine the in vitro VCM release profile from the shellac NPs. 50 mL of the sample containing of VCM-loaded shellac NPs were dialyzed (see Figure S3, ESI). A dialysis bag of 12-14K MWCO with a pore diameter 2.5 nm was immersed in a 500 mL buffer phosphate solutions (for pH 5.5 and 7.4). The bag was stirred gently with an orbital shaker at 37°C temperature and 100 rpm. At specific time intervals, 2 mL of the dialysis solution was taken and analysed by measuring the UV-vis absorbance from 200-700 nm. Measurements were taken in triplicates at 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 300, 360 and 1440 min. The cumulative percentage of released VCM was calculated using the equation:

\[
\% \text{ In Vitro VCM Release} = \frac{M_{\text{released}}}{M_{\text{total}}} \times 100,
\]

where \(M_{\text{released}}\) is the amount of drug released from the shellac NPs at time \(t\) and \(M_{\text{total}}\) is the amount of drug loaded.

Antimicrobial activity of the non-coated VCM-loaded shellac NPs

Stock suspensions of VCM-loaded shellac NPs against different concentrations of the non-coated and ODTAB-coated VCM-loaded shellac NPs were incubated with fixed aliquots of the microbial cells cultures for different incubation time. The cells were removed from their growth media and transferred in Milli-Q water. Shellac NPs without VCM (as a negative control) and free VCM aqueous solution (positive control) was incubated as with equivalent cell samples for the same durations. The viability of C. reinhardtii and yeast was measured using a cell counter after incubating 1 mL of the treated cells (washed from the shellac NPs), with 10 µL of 0.1 % FDA in acetic for 10 min and washing with deionised water by centrifugation.
The E. coli viability was measured after incubating the cells with the VCM-loaded NPs. 100 µL of the treated E. coli suspension was washed and mixed with 100 µL of BacTiter-Glo™ cell viability reagent in white opaque 96-well microplate and shaken for 5 minutes. The bioluminescence intensity was then measured (BMG LABTECH instrument, FLUOstar Omega, Germany).

Protocol for SEM imaging of the treated cell samples
The cells were washed with Milli-Q water 3 times to remove the residual NPs by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 3 minutes. The cell sample was deposited on dry Aclar™ sheets or poly-lysine coated glass coverslips, fixed with 2.5 w/v% glutaraldehyde for 2 hours, followed by washing with cacodylate buffer.

Results and Discussion
Preparation and characterisation of VCM-loaded shellac NPs
Figures 2A and 2B and show the particle size distribution and the zeta potential of shellac NPs formulated by mixing 0.25 wt.% of shellac with 0.2 wt.% P407 at pH 5. This yielded shellac NPs of average size of 65 nm and negative zeta-potential of -22 mV. Figure 2C shows the dependence of the shellac particle size and zeta potential on the pH of the solution. One can see that the particle size is insensitive to pH as the shellac particles are sterically stabilised, while the zeta-potential is negative due to the dissociation of the COOH groups of the shellac constituents. Note that ODTAB was not used here to coat the shellac NPs.

Cytotoxicity assay of VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with ODTAB on HaCaT cells
We tested the biocompatibility of our nanocarrier on HaCaT cell line culture (immortalized human keratinocytes) which was kindly provided by the Skin Research Group at St James University Hospital at Leeds. The cells were cultured in high-glucose DMEM media supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Labtech, UK) and 1% antibiotics (Penicillin Streptomycin, Lonza, UK) and placed in an incubator (37°C, 5% CO₂). After reaching 70% confluence, HaCaT cells were carefully washed with PBS for 10 s then incubated with 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA (1X, Lonza, UK) to detach the cells from their support after 5 min. Its action was neutralized by adding complete DMEM medium before a centrifugation at 400×g for 4 min. An 25 mL aliquot of the HaCaT cells culture (~75000 cells mL⁻¹) were washed three times from the culture media via centrifuged, and re-dispersed with 25 mL PBS. Then, 2.5 mL aliquots of this HaCaT cells suspension were incubated with a series of 2.5 mL aliquots of aqueous dispersions of VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with ODTAB at different concentrations. Likewise, a control sample of the HaCaT cells was treated at the similar conditions. After that, 1 mL of the HaCaT suspension was taken from each addressed sample with ODTAB-coated VCM-loaded shellac NPs, washed with PBS to remove the excess of VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with ODTAB via centrifuged at 400×g for 4 min. The HaCaT cells were re-suspended in 1 mL of PBS, then two drops of 1 mM FDA solution in acetone was added to each sample and mixed together for 15 min followed by triple washing with PBS by centrifugation at 400g for 4 min. Finally, a microplate reader was utilized to assess the HaCaT cell viability.

Table 1. Summary of the reported nanocarriers for Vancomycin (VCM) within their characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanocarrier used to encapsulate VCM</th>
<th>Particle size /nm</th>
<th>Zeta potential /mV</th>
<th>E.E. / %</th>
<th>Drug content / %</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-trimethyl chitosan (TMC)</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>15 ± 1</td>
<td>74 ± 2</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.17</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly vinyl alcohol (PVA)</td>
<td>430±32</td>
<td>26 ± 10</td>
<td>89 ± 2</td>
<td>30 ± 1</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liposome formulation F2</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly vinyl alcohol (PVA) F2</td>
<td>461 ± 33</td>
<td>-7 ± 6</td>
<td>67 ± 2</td>
<td>34 ± 22</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyaclric acid sodium (PAA)</td>
<td>230 ± 48</td>
<td>-30 ± 5</td>
<td>75 ± 1</td>
<td>58 ± 1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Vancomycin loading content at pH 6 encapsulated with Composition 1 (0.25 wt% shellac: 0.2wt% P407) and Composition 2 (0.5wt% shellac:0.4wt% P407).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VCM loaded in shellac NPs / mol mL⁻¹×10⁻⁷</th>
<th>Drug loading (%) using Composition 1</th>
<th>Drug loading (%) using Composition 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. (A) Particle size and (B) zeta-potential distribution of shellac nanoparticles was obtained by mixing a ratio of 0.25:0.2 wt.% of ammonium shellac: P407 from pH 8 to pH 5 in deionized water. (C) The shellac NPs average diameter and zeta-potential as a function of the pH of the media. (D) The average diameter and zeta-potential of the non-coated non-loaded shellac NPs as a function of the pH of the media. (E) The shellac NPs average diameter and zeta-potential as a function of the VCM concentration. (F) TEM of ODTAB-coated VCM-loaded shellac NPs; (G) The encapsulation efficiency percent of different concentrations of VCM-loaded shellac NPs at pH 5 and pH 6 and different shellac and P407 overall concentrations. Compositions 1 and 2 are specified in Table 2.

The zeta-potential of the VCM-loaded shellac NPs decreased slightly with an increase in the VCM loading concentration which is probably due to the electrostatic attraction between the dissociated carboxylic groups of the shellac constituents and the VCM cations. TEM images revealed some of the morphological characteristic of VCM-loaded shellac NPs, as seen in Figure 2F. The images show that the shape of the shellac NPs is spherical with a size less than 100 nm for uncoated shellac NPs, which also agrees with the DSL measurements at pH 5 (see Figure 2A). We also examined the long term stability of the shellac carriers sterically stabilised by P407 over the course of 90 days by DLS measurements. Figure S1 (ESI) shows that both particle size and zeta potential remained stable over this period. Figure S2B (ESI) shows the TEM image of the shellac NPs suspension sample after drying, and reveals the spherical shape of the NPs with an average size of 33 ± 11 nm as can be seen in Figure S2A. This supports the result obtained by using the DLS measurements that the shellac particles are consistently smaller than 100 nm. The effect of VCM concentration on the encapsulation efficiency was examined indirectly by measuring the amount of non-encapsulated VCM (Figure S3A, ESI) using VCM standard calibration curve (Figure S3B, ESI). VCM was encapsulated with shellac NPs by following the procedure described in the previous section. Figure 2G shows that the encapsulation efficiency of VCM at pH 5 was low. In order to enhance it we varied the pH and the overall amounts of shellac and P407. This allowed us to increase the VCM encapsulation efficiency from 19% at pH 5 to 88% at pH 6 (Composition 1, see Table 2). Doubling the concentrations of shellac and P407 (0.5 wt.%%0.4 wt.%) (Composition 2, Table 2) increased the VCM encapsulation efficiency further, while the VCM loading contents within shellac NPs dropped from 13.6% to 7.6% at 48.3 x 10^{-7} mol mL^{-1} VCM. In all experiments throughout VCM was encapsulated at pH 6 by using the same Composition 1 of shellac and P407 (0.25 wt.%%0.2 wt.%) as it was deemed more efficient.

**FTIR and UV-Vis studies**

The FTIR spectra of VCM, shellac NPs, and VCM-loaded shellac NPs, are represented in Figure 3A. The FTIR of free VCM (purple line) revealed a phenolic OH stretching band at 3261 cm^{-1}, stretching aromatic C=C associated with amide I at 1644 cm^{-1}, C=O stretching association with secondary amide shows peak at 1488 cm^{-1}, C-O phenolic, C-N-H amide II, and Ar-O-Ar showed peaks at wavenumbers at 1395 cm^{-1}, 1585 cm^{-1} and 1059 cm^{-1} respectively. Although the shellac NPs and the VCM-shellac NPs spectrum (blue line) have a high degree of similarity as VCM has similar functional groups (O-H, C=C, and C-H), the small shoulders that appear at 1648 cm^{-1} and 1060 cm^{-1} for aromatic C=O amide and Ar-O-Ar stretching, respectively, belong to VCM which indicates its successful encapsulation in shellac NPs.
Some of the P407 peaks overlap with the shellac peaks although there is no chemical reaction between shellac and P407. This interaction is probably due to the adsorption of the hydrophobic part of P407 on shellac surface, and O-H stretching band still exist at 3392 cm$^{-1}$, while the carbonyl stretching vibration (C=O) and C-O stretching bands appears at 1711 cm$^{-1}$ and 1241 cm$^{-1}$ respectively. The VCM encapsulation within the shellac NPs was also confirmed by using UV-vis spectroscopy. A sample of VCM loaded shellac NPs was dissolved in weakly basic medium then the absorbance was measured at a range of 200-500 nm, as well as for free shellac, free VCM and P407. Figure 3B shows the free VCM spectrum (blue line) with a characteristic peak at 280 nm with random peaks between 200-236 nm. The shellac spectrum also displayed random peaks started from 264 nm to 200 nm, whereas P407 does not show a specific peak in the UV-vis spectrum. The purple line, which represents VCM-shellac NPs, reveals a peak at 304 nm, which belongs to VCM but is slightly shifted due to the interaction with shellac and random peaks start from 247 nm to 200 nm which belong for both shellac and VCM-shellac NPs. This confirms the VCM encapsulation within the shellac.

**VCM encapsulation efficiency and release kinetics**

Figure 3C shows VCM release profile from shellac NPs at pH 5.5 and 7.4 for over 30 hours. This shows that the released percentage % of VCM-loaded shellac NPs at pH 5.5 is higher than at pH 7.4. For the the first few hours, the release of VCM was slow and similar for both pHs, but after 24 hours the release increased at pH 5.5 and reached to 48.5% of total VCM amount while at pH 7.4 it was only 24%. The high release of VCM at pH 5.5 can be attributed to the weaker protonation of shellac carboxylic groups in acidic media which led to weaker electrostatic interactions with the VCM cations released in the media. Compared to other studies, these shellac NPs loaded VCM showed a sustained release over longer periods of time. We also tested the stability of the VCM-loaded shellac nanocarrier system at two different temperatures, 25 °C and 37 °C for up to 6 hours of incubation. Figure S9 shows the UV-Vis spectra of the VCM-loaded nanocarrier after incubation at 37 °C which show no significant change.

**Cationic surface functionalisation of shellac NPs**

Figure 4 shows that size and zeta-potential distribution of the ODTAB-coated VCM loaded shellac NPs. One sees that the surface charge can be reversed by adding different amounts of ODTAB in ethanol solution after the preparation of the shellac NPs (at pH 5.5). Figure 4C shows that the zeta potential of VCM-loaded shellac NPs decreased with the increase of the concentration of added ODTAB. 0.07 wt.% ODTAB was considered to be the optimal amount to coat 0.05 wt.% VCM-loaded shellac NPs with zeta potential of +10 mV and ~98 nm mean diameter. Morphological characterisation of these particles using scanning electron microscopy revealed that they have a spherical shape and an average size consistent with that obtained from the DLS measurements (see Figure 4D).

**The toxicity effect of the non-loaded shellac NPs**

To check whether the loading of VCM within the shellac nanoparticles could enhance the antibacterial activity of VCM, a blank experiment was carried out first where the cytotoxic effect of the empty (non-loaded) shellac nanocarrier, was studied on three different types of cells: microalgae, yeast and bacteria.
The live/dead assay for shellac NPs was determined by incubation of the cells with shellac NPs suspensions of different shellac concentrations produced by serial dilutions of more concentrated stock. The cells were removed from the culture media to avoid any interaction between shellac NPs and media components. Figure 5 shows the cytotoxic effect of shellac NPs without VCM on C. reinhardtii, S. cerevisiae and E. coli, respectively. As it can be seen from Figure 4A, there is a small cytotoxic effect of shellac NPs on the algal cells at relatively high concentration due to the P407, which was used as a stabilizer. It has been previously reported that P407 might create an adsorption layer on the microbial cell surface, so the combination between P407 and the shellac NPs may play a synergistic antimicrobial role within this nanocarrier design. The shellac NPs, however, showed no pronounced effect when incubated with yeast and E.coli for 6 hours, as demonstrated in Figures 5B and 5C. One possible explanation for the lack of effect on yeast is that its cells have much a thicker cell wall which mitigates the effect of P407 at these concentrations. For all cell types studied, lower concentration of the shellac NPs are benign over the duration of the experiment (4 hours). At this concentration of nanocarriers we were able to evaluate the effect of the VCM – see below.

Antimicrobial activity of VCM-loaded shellac NPs

We compared the antimicrobial activity of free VCM and VCM-loaded shellac NPs (non-coated) on the same microbial cultures, C. reinhardtii, S. cerevisiae and E. coli in order to determine whether the loading of VCM within shellac NPs could enhance its antimicrobial activity. The purpose of encapsulating VCM within shellac NPs was to increase its antibiotic action. It was anticipated that the increased surface area of the nanocarrier, would result in the need for less VCM, thus reducing the potential side effects of the VCM and making it more bioavailable in medical formulations increasing its efficacy.

However, our experiments showed that the VCM encapsulation in the shellac nanocarriers generally lowered its efficiency. Fig. 5A shows the cell viability of the C. reinhardtii as a function of the concentration of free VCM. The antialgal activities of free VCM and VCM-loaded shellac NPs were studied on microalgae at different incubation times and at room temperature to examine their effects on C. reinhardtii as presented in Figures S4A and S4B (ESI). The algae cells were incubated with solutions of various concentrations of free VCM up to 0.1 wt.%. At the highest VCM concentration, the algal cell viability steadily declined to about 50% after 6 hours of incubation. Figure S4B shows that VCM showed lower activity after loading it within shellac NPs compared with free VCM at the same concentration and incubation time. After 4 hours incubation of the cells with different concentrations of VCM-loaded shellac NPs, their viability did decrease slightly by about 10% at 0.05 wt.% of VCM. After 6 hours, the cell viability dropped by 15-20 %. The reason why the antimicrobial activity decreased after loading VCM within shellac NPs is because of the repulsion between negatively charged shellac NPs and the negatively charged algal cell membrane which repels the nanocarrier and does not allow VCM to be released in its immediate vicinity. This impairs the effect of the nanocarrier. The SEM images of C. reinhardtii cells in Figure S4E and S4F show that the free VCM caused damage to the cell membranes whereby cells have shrunk and appear wrinkled in comparison with control sample as shown in Figure S4C and S4D. In comparison, the effect of the VCM-loaded shellac NPs on the cell membrane of algae seems less pronounced as in Figures S4G and S4H (see ESI). The antifungal activity of free VCM and VCM loaded shellac NPs were studied on S. cerevisiae cells at different concentrations at pH 5.5 using the FDA live/dead assay. Figure S5A and S5B show the effect of free VCM and VCM loaded NPs on S. cerevisiae at a range of incubation times.
Figure 5 shows the effect of the P407 stabilised non-loaded shellac NPs on the viability of (A) *C. reinhardtii*, (B) *S. cerevisiae* and (C) *E. coli* cells incubated with different concentrations of shellac NPs (made from fixed ratio of 0.25 wt.% ammonium shellac and 0.2 wt.% P407) at different incubation times. The ratios on the x-axis show the actual concentrations of both shellac and P407. No VCM was used in these experiments. Here the shellac NPs were stabilised by P407 but not coated with ODTAB.

Figure SA shows that free VCM had weak effect on the yeast cells although some effect was seen at high concentrations of free VCM. At 0.1, 0.15 and 0.25 wt.% of VCM the cell viability decreased from 97% for the control (no VCM) to 70%, 62%, and 58% respectively after 4 hours of incubation. After 6 hours of exposure to VCM, the cell viability declined slightly from 98% at control to be 62%, 54% and 45% at (0.1, 0.15, and 0.25) wt. %, respectively. At shorter incubation times the VCM effect was negligible. Loading the VCM within shellac NPs was seen to reduce its anti-yeast effect as shown in Figure SSB. The VCM-loaded shellac NPs showed very low activity toward the yeast cells due to the repulsion between the NPs and cell wall. The SEM images of yeast cells after being incubated for 4 hours with 0.01 wt.% of free VCM (Figure S5E and S5F) and VCM loaded shellac NPs (Figure S5G and S5H), show that they both did not have a visible effect on the yeast cell membranes in comparison with the control (Figure S5C and S5D) at these concentrations. This indicates that the non-coated VCM-loaded shellac NPs are ineffective against yeast. The antibacterial activity of free VCM and VCM-loaded shellac NPs was compared after incubating *E. coli* with different concentrations of free VCM and measuring the cell viability by using BacTiter-Glo™ luciferase assay. Figure S6A shows the effect of free VCM at different exposure times. Note that even at longer times the VCM showed medium antibacterial activity, possibly as it is more effective as Gram-positive antibacterial agent and due to its large size that can hardly penetrate the cell walls of Gram-negative bacteria.\(^{77,78}\)

We found that the antibacterial effect of the VCM-loaded in shellac NPs was even smaller than that of free VCM as shown in Figure S6B. After 4 hours of exposure, the cell viability declined by about 20% at (0.0005, 0.001, and 0.005) wt.% of total VCM concentration and reduced by about 50% at 0.025 wt.% of VCM after 6 hours. Figures S6E and S6F (ESI) show the SEM images of *E. coli* cells incubated with 0.01 wt.% of free VCM and VCM loaded shellac NPs (Figures S6G and S6H) in comparison with control (Figures S6C and S6D). Although one can see some very low degree of deposition of NPs on the *E. coli* cell membranes in Figure S6G and their wrinkling in Figure S6H the effect does not seem to correlate directly with the cell viability (Figure S6B), which is higher than the one for free VCM (Figure S6A). As with algae and yeast, one can conclude that the encapsulation of the VCM in the shellac nanocarrier does not give immediate advantage over the use of free VCM at the same total concentration. We attribute this to the lack of adhesion of the non-coated nanocarriers to the microbial cell membranes.

**Cationic surface functionalised shellac nanocarriers**

In order to reverse the negative surface charge of the shellac NPs, we coated them with ODTAB which was added from ethanol solution. ODTAB is a cationic surfactant but it is practically insoluble in water at room temperature. Although cationic surfactants have moderate toxicity, after coating on the nanocarrier surface with ODTAB, it has very limited antibacterial effect on the *E. coli* cell viability (Figure S7). Figure S8 shows the SEM images of the three types of microbial cells incubated with non-loaded ODTAB-coated shellac NPs. One can see that there is a significant accumulation of the ODTAB-coated nanocarrier particles on the surface of the cell walls for *C. reinhardtii* (Figures S8A and S8B) and *E. coli* (Figures S8E and S8F). Yeast cells (Figures S8C and S8D) clearly show changes on their cell wall morphology, but no ODTAB-coated nanocarrier particles have remained attached after the SEM sample preparation.
One may draw comparison with Figures S5E and S5F where the shellac nanocarrier is not charged positively. One possible explanation for the different result for yeast is that the cell wall is not sufficiently charged for strong electrostatic adhesion to retain the particles during the SEM sample preparation.

**Antimicrobial effect of ODTAB-coated VCM-loaded shellac NPs**

The anti-alarial activity of ODTAB-coated VCM-loaded shellac NPs was studied by incubating *C. reinhardtii* at different overall concentrations of VCM (Figure 6A). After only 15 min of incubation, the cell viability of microalgae decreased sharply from 92% for the control sample to (25, 18.5, 14, and 8.5)% at (0.003, 0.005, 0.007, and 0.01) wt.% VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with ODTAB. After 2 hours the cell viability of *C. reinhardtii* continued to decrease to (9.5, 7, and 2.5)% at (0.005, 0.007, and 0.01) wt.% VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with ODTAB. The effect is much stronger than the anti-alarial effect of the same concentration of VCM, i.e., after coating with ODTAB, the VCM-loaded nanocarrier increased its anti-alarial action. Figure 6B compares the anti-alarial activities of 0.001 wt.% VCM-loaded in shellac NPs, 0.001 wt.% free VCM, 0.005 wt.% shellac NPs coated with 0.001 wt.% ODTAB without VCM and 0.001 wt.% VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with 0.0014 wt.% ODTAB. There is a clear change in the anti-alarial action of VCM after coating with ODTAB. Scanning electron microscopy images of algal cell after incubated with 0.01 wt.% VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with 0.014 wt.% ODTAB for 2 hours can be seen in Figure 6D-6F. The SEM images show that the VCM-loaded NPs accumulate around the cell wall in abundance; this attraction allows the NPs to release VCM directly on the cell membrane which effectively kill them as shown in Figure 6B. The anti-yeast effect of the VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with ODTAB was examined by incubating culture media free yeast cells at different overall concentrations of VCM at different incubation time. Figure 7A shows the yeast cell viability upon incubation with different concentrations of VCM loaded shellac NPs coated with ODTAB for 15 minutes. The cell viability steeply decreased from 94% for the control to 38%, 32%, 3.3% and 2.8% at (0.0005, 0.001, 0.003 and 0.005) wt.% of VCM-loaded in shellac NPs coated with (0.0007, 0.0014, 0.0042, and 0.0007) wt.% ODTAB, respectively. These suspensions were produced by gradual dilution of more concentrated stock. Figure 7B compares the anti-yeast actions of 0.005 wt.% VCM-loaded in shellac NPs, 0.005 wt.% free VCM, 0.025 wt.% shellac NPs coated with 0.007 wt.% ODTAB (no VCM) and 0.005 wt.% VCM-loaded in shellac NPs coated with 0.007 wt.% ODTAB. One can see that using ODTAB to change the surface charge of the NPs from negative to positive significantly increased the anti-yeast action of the VCM in the nanocarrier. Figure 7 shows SEM images of yeast cells incubated with 0.01 wt.% VCM-loaded in shellac NPs coated with 0.014 wt.% ODTAB. The coated shellac NPs also showed antimicrobial effect due to the positive surface charge which synergistically increase the effect of the VCM.

**Figure 6.** (A) The viability of *C. reinhardtii* upon incubation at pH 5.5 with aqueous solutions of different concentrations of VCM encapsulated in ODTAB-coated shellac NPs. The solutions were prepared from 0.05 wt.% VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with 0.07 wt.% ODTAB stock solution. (B) The *C. reinhardtii* viability upon incubation with 0.001 wt.% encapsulated VCM in shellac NPs, 0.001 wt.% free VCM, 0.005 wt.% shellac NPs coated with 0.001 wt.% ODTAB, 0.001 wt.% VCM-loaded in shellac NPs coated with 0.0014 wt.% ODTAB, and 0.001 wt.% pure ODTAB at pH 5.5 and at room temperature. (C)-(F) SEM images of *C. reinhardtii* whereby (C) represent the control sample, (D)-(F) sample incubated with 0.001 wt.% VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with 0.0001 wt.% ODTAB after 2 hours incubation at room temperature.
Figure 7. (A) The viability of S. cerevisiae upon incubation at pH 5.5 with different amounts of VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with ODTAB at room temperature at different incubation time. The solutions were prepared from 0.05 wt.% VCM (shellac) NPs stock solution coated with 0.05 wt.% ODTAB. (B) The yeast cells viability upon incubation with 0.005 wt.% VCM-loaded shellac NPs, 0.005 wt.% free VCM, 0.025 wt.% shellac NPs coated with 0.007 wt.% ODTAB, 0.005 wt.% VCM-shellac NPs coated with 0.007 wt.% ODTAB. (C)-(H) SEM images of S. cerevisiae whereby (C) represents the control sample, (D)-(F) S. cerevisiae incubated with 0.005 wt.% VCM-loaded in shellac NPs coated 0.007 wt.% ODTAB after 2 hours incubation time at room temperature. Note that free VCM or non-coated VCM-loaded shellac NPs were ineffective against yeast (cf. Figure S5, ESI). Figure 8A shows the antibacterial activity of different concentrations of VCM-loaded shellac NPs after coating with cationic electrolyte ODTAB to change the surface charge from negative to positive at pH 5.5. After 15 minutes of incubation the VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with ODTAB showed little effect on the bacteria at concentrations of 0.0001, 0.0005, and 0.001 wt.%, coated with 0.00017, 0.0008, and 0.0017 wt.% ODTAB, but at higher concentration of 0.01 wt.% VCM-loaded in shellac NPs coated with ODTAB the cell viability decreased sharply from 40 × 10^5 RLU at control to 0.8 × 10^5 RLU. After 1 hour, most cells died at 0.01 wt.% VCM-loaded in shellac NPs coated with ODTAB, while the cell viability represented by luminescence declined from 39 × 10^5 RLU as control to be 29, 22 and 17 × 10^5 RLU at (0.0001, 0.0005, and 0.001) wt.% VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with 0.00017, 0.0008, and 0.0017 wt.% ODTAB, respectively. After 2 hours of incubation the cell viability dropped from 39 × 10^5 RLU to (20, 18, and 7) × 10^5 RLU at (0.001, 0.0005, and 0.001) wt.% VCM-loaded shellac NPs coated with ODTAB, respectively. Figure 8B shows the comparison between free, uncoated and coated VCM-loaded shellac NPs. The uncoated VCM-loaded shellac NPs showed less toxicity than the free VCM and both had an unnoticeable effect on E.coli after 1 hour of incubation, whereas, shellac NPs coated with ODTAB had an effect on the cell viability after 1 hour incubation due to the positive surface charge. Scanning electron microscopy images of E.coli incubated with 0.01 wt.% VCM loaded in shellac NPs coated with 0.014 wt.% ODTAB after 2 hours incubation can be seen in Figure 8D-8F. These images indicate that VCM-loaded ODTAB-coated shellac NPs attach to the cell’s membrane. On the other hand, free VCM did not show noticeable cytotoxic effect when incubated with the same microorganisms, even at high concentrations, as well as when it encapsulated within non-coated shellac NPs. The reasons behind this are that VCM is a bulky molecule and it expresses better antibiotic against Gram-positive rather than Gram-negative bacteria. When VCM is loaded within bare shellac NPs an electrostatic repulsion occurs between these NPs and the cell membranes as both have negative surface charge. However, upon coating with ODTAB, the nanocarrier surface charge changes to positive which promotes its adhesion to the cell wall and the cytotoxic action of the loaded VCM was increases significantly.
This type of enhanced antibiotic action can potentially work even to resistant microorganisms by overwhelming their defence mechanisms, e.g. efflux pumps and cell wall permeability. Since VCM is ineffective to Gram-negative bacteria due to their complex cell wall, one can conclude that the dual functionalisation of the carrier not only delivers high local concentration of VCM on the bacterial cell wall but also contributes towards compromising its permeability – c.f. Figure 8B for the effect of free VCM and VCM-loaded in shellac NP coated with ODTAB. Since the Poloxamer 407 coating has low toxicity towards E.coli, the likely effect comes from the cationic nature of the nanocarrier. The antimicrobial effect of the shellac NPs encapsulated VCM coated with ODTAB follow the order: yeast > algae > E.coli. Other studies have also indicated that VCM, when loaded on a nanocarrier can express cytotoxic effect on E.coli. On the other hand, their study showed that the minimum inhibition concentration of nanocarrier-loaded VCM on E.coli was >1.28 mg mL⁻¹, while our formulation showed that minimum inhibition concentration of the shellac-encapsulated VCM coated with ODTAB was 0.01 mg mL⁻¹. Most published work on VCM-loaded nanocarriers studied its action against Gram-positive bacteria, and no previous work has reported on its action against microalgae or yeast. In both cases, the cytotoxicity of VCM increased when loaded within shellac NPs and coated with ODTAB due to the positive surface charge of the nanocarrier surface which promotes the adhesion of these nanocarriers with the cell membrane.

Cytotoxicity of the ODTAB-coated VCM-loaded shellac NPs on human cells

Figure 9 shows the cytotoxicity assay of the ODTAB-coated VCM-loaded shellac NPs on HaCaT cells for up to 24 h of exposure. Both runs were done at the varying overall VCM concentration and different incubation times. One can see a very small effect on the presence of ODTAB-coated VCM-loaded shellac NPs on the cells viability over a period of up to 24 hours. Note that the control sample of HaCaT cells have lost a minor fraction of their viability over this period of time due to depletion of the culture media. One can conclude that the nanocarrier does not measurably impact the cell viability up to 0.07 M VCM. However, at these concentrations of VCM-loaded in the shellac nanocarrier, the effect on algae, yeast and E.coli is very significant – see Figure 6, 7 and 8, respectively. Therefore, one may conclude that the shellac nanocarrier shows excellent biocompatibility with these human skin cell line. More research will be conducted in the future on the effects of the nanocarrier on different type of other cell lines.
Conclusions and outlook

We have designed and developed a universal and very efficient nanocarrier for vancomycin which is based on shellac, a natural and biodegradable material. The nanocarrier was formulated and loaded with antimicrobial agent in two steps: (i) The first step involved controlled precipitation of aqueous ammonium shellac salts by a simultaneous pH change from 8 to 5 and adsorption of surface active polymer (P407) in the presence of the VCM. In this step, the VCM-loaded shellac NPs were formed spontaneously and simultaneously coated with a sterically stabilizing P407 polymer, which allowed them to maintain their stability and ensure long shelf-life. Stable shellac NPs were produced at pH 5 with a particle average hydrodynamic diameter of 66±5 nm with zeta potential ~18±8 mV. (ii) The second step in the nanocarrier fabrication involved charge-reversing of the produced shellac NPs by doping their surface with an insoluble cationic surfactant (ODTAB), which gave them a positive surface charge in order to promote the nanocarrier adhesion to the negatively charged cell membranes of typical bacterial cells. Note that this was achieved without compromising the P407 sterical stabilisation. Optimal nanocarrier stability was obtained at a fixed concentration ratio of 0.25 wt.% : 0.2 wt.% of shellac : P407. Using 0.01 - 0.07 wt.% concentration range of VCM with 0.25 wt.% shellac at pH 5 to be encapsulated within shellac NPs we achieved maximum encapsulation efficiencies of 87% for VCM at pH 6. The interaction between the NPs and the antimicrobials was characterized using FTIR and UV-visible techniques. We studied the release profiles of VCM loaded into the shellac nanocarriers and characterised the effect of the VCM-loading on their size and zeta-potential.

In this study we systematically examined the importance of the nanocarrier architecture on the antimicrobial activity of the loaded VCM. We studied the antimicrobial activity of VCM-loaded shellac nanocarriers on microalgae, yeast and bacterial cells. Despite free VCM having moderate antimicrobial effect on algae, yeast and Gram-negative bacteria as E. coli, the non-coated shellac nanocarriers with VCM showed a reduction in the antimicrobial activity. This was attributed to the electrostatic repulsion between the negatively charged shellac NPs and the negatively charged microbial cell membranes which did not allow the loaded VCM to be released in vicinity of the microbial cell wall. This was exacerbated by the attraction between the VCM cations and the shellac matrix of the nanocarriers which slowly releases the VCM. Upon ODTAB surface functionalisation of the VCM-loaded shellac NPs, their surface charge changed from negative to positive. We found the optimal conditions where the functionalised shellac nanocarriers become cationic and still maintained their stability due to steric interactions of the P407 layer. We demonstrate that the antimicrobial activity of these ODTAB-coated shellac NPs loaded with VCM increased very significantly the antimicrobial effect of VCM compared with an equivalent overall concentration free VCM in the solution. This effect was due to the strong electrostatic adhesion with the cell membrane which allowed the VCM to be released directly into the microbial cell walls. This type of versatile surface-functionalised shellac nanocarriers can be potentially applied to boost the action for a range of topical antibiotics which may boost their antibiotic action and could be used across different therapies to fight antimicrobial resistance.
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