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Reaction of Zn(C₆F₅)₂·toluene (two equivalents) with 1,3-dipropoxy-p-tert-butyl-calix[4]arene (L₁H₂) led to the isolation of the complex [{Zn(C₆F₅)}₂·L₁] (1), whilst similar use of Zn(Me)₂ resulted in the known complex [{Zn(Me)}₂·L₁] (2). Treatment of L₁H₂ with in-situ prepared Zn(N(SiMe₃)₂)₂ in refluxing toluene led to the isolation of the complex [{ZnN(SiMe₃)₂}·L₁·(Na)] (3). The stepwise reaction of L₁H₂ and sodium hydride, followed by ZnCl₂ and finally Na[N(SiMe₃)₂] yielded the compound [ZnN(SiMe₃)₂·L₁] (4). The reaction between three equivalents of Zn(C₆F₅)₂·toluene and oxacalix[3]arene (L₂H₃) at room temperature formed the compound [{[Zn(C₆F₅)]₃L₂}·(Na)] (5); heating of 5 in acetonitrile caused the ring opening of the parent oxacalix[3]arene and rearrangement to afford the complex [{L₂Zn₆(C₆F₅)(R)(RH)OH·5MeCN}·R = C₆F₅CH₂–(p-tBuPhenolate–CH₂OCH₂–)₂–p-tBuPhenolate–CH₂O–] (6). The molecular structures of the new complexes 1, 3 and 6, together with that of the known complex 2, whose solid state structure has not previously been reported, have been determined. Compounds 1, 3 – 5 have been screened for the ring opening polymerization (ROP) of ε-caprolactone (ε-CL) and rac-lactide. Compounds featuring a Zn—C₆F₅ fragment were found to be poor ROP pre-catalysts as they did not react with benzyl alcohol to form an alkoxide. By contrast, compound 4, which contains a zinc silylamide linkage, was the most active of the zinc-based calix[4]arene compounds screened and was capable of ROP at ambient temperature with 65 % conversion over 4 h.

Introduction

A great number zinc-based ring opening polymerization (ROP) catalysts have been explored since the seminal work by Coates and co-workers.¹ The majority of these catalysts employ ligand systems such as diphenolates,² ³ or Schiff bases,⁴ whilst relatively few calixarene-based catalysts for the ROP of either lactides or lactones have been examined.⁵ Generally, ligands that are monoanionic are chosen for reaction with zinc precursors as they will inevitably lead to a metal that still contains a viable nucleophilic group for ROP, which may be the reason that p-tert-calix[4]arenes have rarely been utilized. Vigalok and co-workers have had success with zinc alkyl-based calix[4]arenes and although the dialkoxycalix[4]arene ligand is dianionic when deprotonated its use leads to a dimetallic complex that can still contain a nucleophilic group.⁶ Indeed, in related work, we have accessed a highly selective and immortal magnesium based mononuclear complex [L₃Mg(n-Bu)], where L₃ is derived from tripropxy-p-tert-butylicalix[4]arene, which exhibited exceptional activity for the ROP of rac-lactide.⁷ Given zinc compounds are often synthesized due to their higher tolerance of water,⁸ we have initiated a programme to more fully explore both the coordination chemistry and catalysis of zinc-based calixarenes. Herein, we explore the use of the calix[4]arene ligand L₁H₂ and the oxacalix-

Results and discussion

Calix[4]arene Complexes

A number of new zinc-containing calix[4]arene complexes have been synthesised and fully characterized. The synthetic procedures are outlined below in Scheme 1.
The compound 1,3-dipropoxy-\textit{p}-\textit{tert}-butyl-calix[4]arene (\textit{L}_1\textit{H}_2) was synthesized as previously described.\textsuperscript{10,11} Treatment of \textit{L}_1\textit{H}_2 with Zn(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-}toluene (two equivalents) in refluxing toluene led to the isolation of the complex \{[Zn(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{2}]\cdot\textit{L}_1\} (\textit{I}) in good yield (54\%). A related \textit{n}-propoxy calix[4]arene derivative, synthesized via treatment with ZnMe\textsubscript{2}, was previously employed by Vigalok and co-workers, who reported that calix[4]arene derivatives containing smaller alkyl chains (at the lower rim) led to more complex products, including partial and 1,3-alternate cone conformations.\textsuperscript{12} In the case of \textit{I}, the cone conformation was isolated exclusively. Crystallization of compound 1 using hot acetonitrile led to the formation of clear blocks on slow cooling to ambient temperature, which proved suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction studies. Compound 1 crystallises with two different pentafluorophenyl zinc environments, one outside of the calix[4]arene backbone and the other within the cavity. The \textit{exo} zinc metal centre is five co-ordinate in a trigonal bipyramidal geometry bonding to all four of the calix[4]arene lower-rim oxygens, whereas the encapsulated zinc is trigonal planar and only binds to the ‘non-propoxy’ oxygen atoms. The structure of compound 1 is depicted in Figure 1, with selected bond lengths and angles given in the caption.

Disappointingly, the pre-polymerization screening of compound 1 indicated no reaction between the benzyl alcohol (BnOH) and the Zn—C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5} moiety, which was also the conclusion obtained by Schnee \textit{et al} and Piedra-Arroni \textit{et al} when Zn(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{2}\cdot\text{toluene} was employed in the presence of either BnOH or amine/phosphine respectively.\textsuperscript{13,14} In such systems, the catalyst was thought to behave as a ‘monomer activator’ rather than proceeding via a ‘co-ordination insertion’ pathway; the lack of activity contrasts with a number of previous Zn—C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5} containing compounds.\textsuperscript{13,14} To ensure that the polymerization would proceed through a ‘co-ordination insertion’ mechanism, the
Figure 1 ORTEP representation of compound 1. Hydrogen atoms, tert-butyl groups and minor disordered components have been removed for clarity. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50 % probability level. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Zn(1)—O(1) 2.346(2), Zn(1)—O(2) 2.164(2), Zn(1)—O(3) 2.312(2), Zn(1)—O(4) 1.964(2), Zn(2)—O(2) 1.956(2), Zn(2)—O(4) 1.931(2), Zn(2)—C(51) 1.944(3), O(4)—Zn(2)—O(2) 79.16(8), O(4)—Zn(2)—O(2) 80.26(8), Zn(2) C(51) 99.78(8), Zn(2)—O(4)—Zn(1) 100.80(8).

To isolate a zinc alkoxide, firstly the methyl zinc derivative (compound 2) was synthesized following the literature procedure. Single crystals of compound 2 suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were grown from a saturated petroleum ether solution. The structure of 2 was initially assigned based on 1H NMR spectroscopic data and is similar to the ethyl derivative. Surprisingly, the crystal structure of 2 (See Figure 2) reveals both the cone and partial cone conformations within the unit cell (although the partial cone is better described as a chair conformation); the 1H NMR spectrum (CDCl3) indicates that only the cone conformation is present in solution, which is consistent with the literature data. The cone conformation of 2 is similar to that observed in compound 1, and again the exo-Zn is trigonal bipyramidal, whilst the endo-Zn is trigonal planar. In the chair conformation, there is a centre of inversion in the middle of the calix[4]arene. The zinc metal centres are in the base of a trigonal pyramid with the n-propoxy oxygen at the apex. Treatment of 2 with alcohol (MeOH, iPrOH) at −80 °C did not form the alkoxide; only starting material was detected. At higher temperatures, free calix[4]arene was formed, suggesting that the alcohol displaced the calix[4]arene; a similar result was reported by Drouin et al.

Zinc silylamides have previously been shown to be active for ROP of L-lactide and as such the synthesis of a calix[4]arene zinc silylamide was targeted. Treatment of L(1)H2 with Zn(N(SiMe3)2)2, which was synthesized in situ, from the sodium salt, in refluxing toluene led to the isolation of compound 3. Rather than the expected formation of a dizinc silylamide species, where one Zn—N(SiMe3)2 fragment is present in the cavity, compound 3 contains a sodium cation within the cavity. The sodium cation likely originates from unreacted sodium hexamethyldisilazane.
Figure 3 ORTEP representation of compound 3. Hydrogen atoms, tert-butyl groups and disorder have been removed for clarity. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Zn(1)—N(1) 1.8929(13), Zn(1)—O(1) 1.9297(10), Zn(1)—O(3) 1.9402(10), Zn(1)—O(2) 2.2760(10), N(1)—Zn(1)—O(1) 131.42(5), N(1)—Zn(1)—O(3) 134.32(5), O(1)—Zn(1)—O(3) 88.46(5), O(1)—Zn(1)—O(2) 109.26(5), O(1)—Zn(1)—O(2) 87.08(4), O(3)—Zn(1)—O(2) 91.34(4).

Single, rod-like, crystals were obtained on prolonged standing of a petroleum ether solution of 1 at ambient temperature. The crystal structure was determined by X-ray diffraction (Figure 3). The zinc centre is bound to three of the oxygens of the calix[4]arene, the two phenolic oxygens and one n-propoxy oxygen. As expected, the dative O—Zn bond length is significantly longer than the other two, viz. 2.2760(10) Å vs. 1.9297(10) and 1.9402(10) Å; the N—Zn bond is 1.8929(13) Å. The sodium cation occupies the calix[4]arene cavity and is π-bonded to two opposite aryl rings, both η₆. The Na(1) to centroid distances are 2.741 and 2.607 Å. The interaction between the sodium cation and one of the η₆-centroids causes a pinching of the calixarene so that the final OR group is far enough removed that it does not participate in dative bonding to the zinc; the latter is therefore in the base of a trigonal pyramid rather than in the trigonal bipyramidal geometry seen for 1. The sodium and zinc centres are 3.1725(7) Å apart. The target dizinc silylamide, compound 4, was synthesized from the reaction between two equivalents of zinc bis(hexamethyldisilyl amide), which has been vigorously separated, and L₂H₂ in toluene. Attempts to crystallize the product from THF/light petroleum, acetonitrile and pentane were unsuccessful; the compound was exceptionally soluble in these solvents. The volatiles from the reaction were removed in vacuo to give a yellow solid. The ¹H NMR spectrum, elemental analysis and mass spectrum all match the structure as depicted in Scheme 1. The ¹H NMR spectrum is consistent with the calix[4]arene possessing a cone conformation and is similar to the recorded spectrum for 1.

Oxacalix[3]arene complexes

For comparison we have prepared the related oxacalixarene complexes. The reaction between three equivalents of Zn(C₆F₅)₂ toluene and oxacalix[3]arene (L₂H₂) at room temperature led to the formation of compound 5 after removal of volatiles. However, on attempted crystallisation from hot acetonitrile, ring opening of the parent oxacalix[3]arene and rearrangement to complex 6 was observed. The ability of an electrophilic species to open the ether linkages of the oxacalix backbone is not unprecedented, for example Iglesia and co-workers proposed a similar product from a Ti/SiO₂ grafted oxacalix[3]arene, however this is the first structurally characterised result.

Unfortunately, suitable single crystals of compound 5 could not be obtained. The ¹H NMR spectra are consistent with the complex existing in a partial cone conformation: there are three distinct sets of doublets for each of the methylene bridges and there is a two to one integration for the two discrete tert-butyl peaks. The ¹⁹F NMR spectra also show a two to one integration for each of the ortho- and para-fluorine signals; the meta-fluorine signals overlap. Compound 5 has also been characterised by mass spectroscopy and elemental analysis, both of which are consistent with the structure depicted in chart 2.

The structure of the ring opened oxacalix[3]arene compound 6 was determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction, which revealed the presence of three separate oxacalix[3]arene ligands within the molecule, two of which have been ring opened with formation of two carbon—C₆F₅ bonds and a protonated oxygen which is involved either in hydrogen bonding to an acetonitrile molecule or an oxygen anion that forms two short bonds with two Zn²⁺ centres (See Figure 4). The remaining oxacalix[3]arene remains intact. There are six zinc metal centres within the compound, one of which is bound to a C₆F₅ ring. The core of the molecule consists of two Zn₂O₄ cubes missing one corner, linked via two O atoms and supported with an O—H···O H-bond (see Table 1). The resulting ¹H NMR spectrum is complex due to lack of symmetry.
ORTEP representation of compound 6 (left) and the core of compound 6 (right). Hydrogen atoms except for those participating in hydrogen bonding in the core of compound 6, tert-butyl groups, solvent molecules and minor disorder components have been removed for clarity. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50 % probability level. Selected bond lengths (Å): Zn1—O1 1.966(8), Zn1—C37 1.999(13), Zn1—O3 2.047(8), Zn1—O2 2.156(8), Zn1—O8 2.241(7), Zn2—O7 1.942(8), Zn2—O4 2.014(8), Zn2—O3 2.040(7), Zn2—O5 2.043(9), Zn2—O8 2.101(8), Zn3—O8 2.019(9), Zn3—O6 2.047(8), Zn3—O10 2.099(8), Zn3—O5 2.105(8), Zn3—O9 2.147(8), Zn4—O19 2.029(8), Zn4—O13 2.051(10), Zn4—O10 2.065(8), Zn4—O12 2.071(8), Zn4—O14 2.120(9), Zn5—O7 1.941(7), Zn5—O14 1.968(8), Zn5—O16 2.027(8), Zn5—O19 2.042(8), Zn5—O15 2.154(9), Zn6—O18 1.895(8), Zn6—O12 2.015(9), Zn6—O16 2.021(8), Zn6—O19 2.025(8), Zn6—O17 2.132(8).

Table 1. Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, º) for 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D—H•••A</th>
<th>D—H</th>
<th>H•••A</th>
<th>D•••A</th>
<th>D—H•••A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O19—H19•••O5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>2.786(11)</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Polymerization Screening

Compounds 1, 3 – 5 were screened for the polymerization of ε-caprolactone (ε-CL) and rac-lactide. The results are presented in Table 1. Compound 1 was screened for the polymerization of ε-caprolactone at room temperature and was found to be inactive when using dichloromethane, tetrahydrofuran or toluene as solvent (Table 2, runs 1 – 3). Only at temperatures greater than 80 °C was compound 1 found to be active for the ROP of ε-caprolactone; attempting polymerization without benzyl alcohol present was detrimental to the catalytic system (Table 2, runs 5 – 7). Furthermore, compound 1 was only active for the ROP of rac-lactide at high temperature. In both cases (ε-caprolactone and rac-lactide) high conversion rates can be achieved at high temperature, however the resulting polymer molecular weight is much lower than expected; this indicates that there are significant trans-esterification reactions occurring at such temperatures. Screening of compound 4, where the C₆F₅ groups have been replaced with N(SiMe₃)₂, revealed that the system was active at room temperature and converted 100 equivalents of ε-caprolactone with 65 % completion over 4 h in toluene (Table 2, run 13). The polymer molecular weights were close to the expected values; lower activity was observed using THF. This compares favourably with the ROP activity (43 % over 24 h at 60 °C) observed for the hexanuclear complex [L²(ZnEt)₄(Zn₂(CH₃CN)₄(µ-OEt)₂)]₉a.

Compound 3, which differs from compound 4 by replacement of the Zn—N(SiMe₃)₂ in the calix[4]arene cavity with a sodium cation, was not active under the same conditions as for 4. Compound 5 was only active for the ROP of rac-lactide and ε-caprolactone at high temperatures (100 °C) and gave ε-caprolactone molecular weight much lower than expected. The polymerization using 5 was further complicated due to the probability of forming a species similar to compound 6; the latter was not screened for polymerization. Interestingly, despite the aforementioned trans-esterification at high temperatures, all of the zinc compounds screened afforded products with low PDI values (1.06 – 1.48).

Experimental

All manipulations were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen using standard Schlenk and cannula techniques or in a conventional nitrogen-filled glove-box. Solvents were refluxed over an appropriate drying agent, and distilled and degassed prior to use. Elemental analyses were performed by the microanalytical services at London Metropolitan University. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Ascend 500/300 MHz spectrometers at 298 K; chemical shifts are referenced to the residual proton impurity of the deuterated solvent. IR spectra (Nujol mulls) were recorded.
Synthesis of L1[ZnN(SiMe3)2] (3)

2.73 mmol) was added as a THF solution (15 ml). The solution of 1,3-dipropoxy-p-camphor (3.04 g, 12.03 m, 10.97 w, 10.74 m, 10.56 m, 806 m, 781 m, 721 m, 526 m. 1H NMR (CDCl3): 7.13 (s, 4H, Ar-H), 6.83 (s, 4H, Ar-H), 4.43 (d, 4H, J = 17.5, endo-CH2), 3.82 (t, 4H, J = 10.0 Hz, OCH2CH2CH3), 3.39 (d, 4H, J = 17.5, exo-CH2) 1.54 (m, 4H, CH2CH2CH3), 1.39 (s, 18H, CH(CH3)3), 0.68 (m, 24H, C(CH3)3) + CH2CH2CH3). 19F (CDCl3): -113.9 (m, 2F, o-ArF), -114.0 (m, 2F, o-ArF), -154.8 (t, 1F, J = 19.3, p-ArF), -158.0 (t, 1F, J = 19.3, p-ArF), -160.5 (m, 2F, m-ArF), -164.4 (m, 2F, m-ArF).

Synthesis of L1[Zn(N(SiMe3))2] (3)

1.3-dipropoxy-p-tert-butylcalix[4]arene (2.00 g, 2.73 mmol) and bis(pentafluoroethyl)zinc-toluene (0.98 g, 2.0 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (30 ml) and refluxed for 16 h. The volatile were removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted with warm acetone and after 24 h clear blocks of I formed (0.65 g, 54%). MS (EI, m/z): 1196 [M]+, 1181 [M-Me]+. Found: C, 62.06; H, 5.42. C56H10F10O8Zn2 requires C, 62.27; H, 5.56%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 2953m, 1738s, 1632w, 1505m, 1457s, 1363m, 1256m, 1203m, 1097w, 1074m, 986m, 953s, 917w, 831w, 755m, 721w, 526m. 1H NMR (CDCl3): 7.13 (s, 4H, Ar-H), 6.83 (s, 4H, Ar-H), 4.43 (d, 4H, J = 17.5, endo-CH2), 3.82 (t, 4H, J = 10.0 Hz, OCH2CH2CH3), 3.39 (d, 4H, J = 17.5, exo-CH2) 1.54 (m, 4H, CH2CH2CH3), 1.39 (s, 18H, CH(CH3)3), 0.68 (m, 24H, C(CH3)3) + CH2CH2CH3). 19F (CDCl3): -113.9 (m, 2F, o-ArF), -114.0 (m, 2F, o-ArF), -154.8 (t, 1F, J = 19.3, p-ArF), -158.0 (t, 1F, J = 19.3, p-ArF), -160.5 (m, 2F, m-ArF), -164.4 (m, 2F, m-ArF).

Synthesis of L1[Zn(N(SiMe3))2] (4)

1.3-dipropoxy-p-tert-butylcalix[4]arene (2.00 g, 2.73 mmol) and bis(pentafluoroethyl)zinc-toluene (0.98 g, 2.0 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (30 ml) and refluxed for 16 h. The volatile were removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted with warm acetone and after 24 h clear blocks of I formed (0.65 g, 54%). MS (EI, m/z): 1196 [M]+, 1181 [M-Me]+. Found: C, 62.06; H, 5.42. C56H10F10O8Zn2 requires C, 62.27; H, 5.56%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 2953m, 1738s, 1632w, 1505m, 1457s, 1363m, 1256m, 1203m, 1097w, 1074m, 986m, 953s, 917w, 831w, 755m, 721w, 526m. 1H NMR (CDCl3): 7.13 (s, 4H, Ar-H), 6.83 (s, 4H, Ar-H), 4.43 (d, 4H, J = 17.5, endo-CH2), 3.82 (t, 4H, J = 10.0 Hz, OCH2CH2CH3), 3.39 (d, 4H, J = 17.5, exo-CH2) 1.54 (m, 4H, CH2CH2CH3), 1.39 (s, 18H, CH(CH3)3), 0.68 (m, 24H, C(CH3)3) + CH2CH2CH3). 19F (CDCl3): -113.9 (m, 2F, o-ArF), -114.0 (m, 2F, o-ArF), -154.8 (t, 1F, J = 19.3, p-ArF), -158.0 (t, 1F, J = 19.3, p-ArF), -160.5 (m, 2F, m-ArF), -164.4 (m, 2F, m-ArF).
18H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.03 (t, 6H, J = 7.45, OCH₂CH₂CH₂), 0.90 (s, 18H, C(CH₃)₃), 0.11 (overlapping s, 36H, N(SiMe₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 156.3, 148.4, 145.1, 138.0, 131.2, 131.1, 124.8, 123.3, 79.2, 32.8, 32.6, 30.9, 30.1, 29.9, 19.7, 8.2, 3.9.

Table 3 Crystallographic data for compounds 1, 2, 3 and 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>C₁₂H₁₀O₆Zn₂</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₂O₆Zn₂</td>
<td>C₁₄H₁₄NaNO₄Si₂Zn</td>
<td>C₁₄H₁₄F₂O₆Zn⁶⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>1195.89</td>
<td>891.89</td>
<td>979.80</td>
<td>2837.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P 1</td>
<td>P 1</td>
<td>P 1</td>
<td>P 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>10.935(3)</td>
<td>12.440(9)</td>
<td>10.0724(7)</td>
<td>8.006(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>15.653(4)</td>
<td>13.4833(9)</td>
<td>12.3152(9)</td>
<td>10.931(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>18.130(5)</td>
<td>24.1096(17)</td>
<td>22.8866(16)</td>
<td>21.2759(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
<td>95.911(4)</td>
<td>90.508(5)</td>
<td>81.897(3)</td>
<td>91.246(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>105.236(3)</td>
<td>100.609(6)</td>
<td>88.440(3)</td>
<td>90.931(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>105.822(4)</td>
<td>113.943(7)</td>
<td>89.093(3)</td>
<td>104.77(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å³)</td>
<td>2832.4(13)</td>
<td>3617.2(5)</td>
<td>2809.3(3)</td>
<td>7649.0(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>120(2)</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dcalc (Mg/m³)</td>
<td>1.402</td>
<td>1.228</td>
<td>1.158</td>
<td>1.396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient, μ (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>1.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size (mm³)</td>
<td>0.18 x 0.05 x 0.02</td>
<td>0.05 x 0.04 x 0.02</td>
<td>0.18 x 0.13 x 0.02</td>
<td>0.11 x 0.13 x 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2θmax (°)</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections measured</td>
<td>24992</td>
<td>42558</td>
<td>48002</td>
<td>5001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections, Rint</td>
<td>11859, 0.042</td>
<td>16159, 0.075</td>
<td>12822, 0.039</td>
<td>17582, 0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections with F² &gt; 2σ(F²)</td>
<td>7445</td>
<td>11427</td>
<td>12053</td>
<td>5403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission factors (max., min.)</td>
<td>0.983 and 0.859</td>
<td>1.000 and 0.757</td>
<td>1.000 and 0.747</td>
<td>0.994 and 0.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of parameters</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>1766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁ [F² &gt; 2σ(F²)]</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR₁ (all data)</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOF, S</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>1.041</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest difference peak and hole (e Å⁻³)</td>
<td>0.387 and -0.337</td>
<td>2.818 and -1.586</td>
<td>0.901 and -0.806</td>
<td>0.505 and -0.448</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Synthesis of L⁻²(Zn₄C₆F₅)₃ (5)

A toluene solution (30 ml) of p-tert-butylnexohomotrioscalix[3]arene (0.50 g, 0.87 mmol) and bis(pentafluorophenyl)zinc.toluene (1.27 g, 2.60 mmol) was stirred at ambient temperature for 12 h. The volatile removed in vacuo. The residue was extracted into warm light petroleum and compound 5 immediately formed as a white powder. (0.91 g, 79 %).

Synthesis of (L⁻²)₄Zn₄(C₆F₅)₃(RH)OH·5MeCN (6) R = C₆F₅CH₂–(p–BuPhenolate–CH₂OCH₂)₂–p–BuPhenolate–CH₂OCH₂)₂

Compound 5 (1.0 g, 0.79 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (30 ml) and heated at reflux for 1 h. Clear plates of compound 6 formed on cooling to room temperature. (0.11 g, 5.3 % yield).

Polymerization methods

ε-Caprolactone

A Schlenk flask (250 ml) was charged with the required quantity of pre-catalyst in a glove box. The required amount of dry, degassed toluene and alcohol (from an alcohol/toluene solution) was added. The solution was heated to the required temperature. The polymerization was initiated by addition of the ε-
caprolactone and was stirred for the allotted time. Conversion of the monomer was determined by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy, and the polymerization was quenched by addition of methanol.

**rac-Lactide**

Solutions of rac-lactide and catalyst were prepared separately using the required solvent. The required amount of alcohol, from a standard alcohol solution in toluene, was added to the catalyst. The rac-lactide solution was added to the catalyst solution and stirred for the allotted time at room temperature under nitrogen. 0.5 – 1.0 mL aliquots were taken out of the stirred solution where required and quenched with 1 drop of 0.1 M HCl. The aliquots were then dried and analysed by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy and GPC.

**Crystallography**

Intensity data were collected on Bruker Apex 2 CCD diffractometer (1) or a Rigaku FR-E+ diffractometer (all others). For 1, data were measured using synchrotron radiation at SRS Daresbury station 9.8; all other data were measured with monochromated Mo-Kα radiation. Structures were determined by the direct methods routines in SHELXS-97 (1, 6)\(^{31}\) or SIR-92 (2, 3),\(^{22}\) and were refined by full-matrix least-squares methods on \(^{R}\)\(^{2}\) in SHELXL-2013/2014.\(^{21}\) Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms were included in idealized positions and their \(U_{iso}\) values were set to 25\(^{16}\), while \(U_{eq}\) values of the parent carbon atoms except for H(13) in 6 for which coordinates were refined with an O–H distance restraint. Complex 2 contained a disordered solvent region which was handled using the BYPASS procedure.\(^{23}\)

Crystal data and refinement results for all samples are collated in Table 3. CCDC 1014114-1014117 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via [www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif).

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we have structurally characterized a number of new zinc complexes bearing ligands derived from either 1,3-dipropoxy-p-tert-butyl-calix[4]arene or p-tert-butylhexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene. These include a complex in which there are two different calixarene conformations in the same structure, and an unusual structure bearing an oxacalix[3]arene derived ligand as well as two ring-opened ligands derived from the parent oxacalix[3]arene. Screening for the potential to ROP either ε-caprolactone (ε-CL) and rac-lactide revealed that the presence of a Zn-C_{6}F_{5} motif was detrimental in the calix[4]arene systems, whilst use of the amide group N(SiMe_{3})_{2} proved to be more effective, with a 65 % conversion over 4 h at ambient temperature.

**Notes and references**
