An update on transcriptional and posttranslational regulation of brain voltagegated sodium channels

Donatus O. Onwuli & Pedro Beltran-Alvarez

Amino Acids The Forum for Amino Acid, Peptide and Protein Research

ISSN 0939-4451 Volume 48 Number 3

Amino Acids (2016) 48:641-651 DOI 10.1007/s00726-015-2122-y





Your article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution license which allows users to read, copy, distribute and make derivative works, as long as the author of the original work is cited. You may selfarchive this article on your own website, an institutional repository or funder's repository and make it publicly available immediately.



REVIEW ARTICLE



An update on transcriptional and post-translational regulation of brain voltage-gated sodium channels

Donatus O. Onwuli¹ · Pedro Beltran-Alvarez¹

Received: 15 October 2015 / Accepted: 16 October 2015 / Published online: 27 October 2015 © The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Voltage-gated sodium channels are essential proteins in brain physiology, as they generate the sodium currents that initiate neuronal action potentials. Voltage-gated sodium channels expression, localisation and function are regulated by a range of transcriptional and post-translational mechanisms. Here, we review our understanding of regulation of brain voltage-gated sodium channels, in particular SCN1A (Na_V1.1), SCN2A (Na_V1.2), SCN3A (Na_V1.3) and SCN8A (Na_V1.6), by transcription factors, by alternative splicing, and by post-translational modifications. Our focus is strongly centred on recent research lines, and newly generated knowledge.

Keywords Voltage-gated sodium channel · Regulation · Transcription factor · Alternative splicing · Post-translational modification

Introduction

Voltage-gated sodium channels are essential proteins in brain physiology. Upon voltage-mediated activation, sodium channels produce sodium currents responsible for depolarisation of excitable cells, including neurons and cardiomyocytes. From the point of view of biomedical sciences and pathophysiology, brain disorders such as some forms of epilepsy have long been directly associated with voltage-gated sodium channel malfunction. Sodium channels are thought to be macromolecular complexes composed of tens of different proteins (Abriel et al. 2015). The pore-forming protein is known as the α subunit, and is sufficient to generate sodium currents. All α subunits include a voltage sensor that promotes channel opening when the cell membrane is depolarized by a few millivolts. Sodium channels thus activate, generate the sodium currents that underlie the initial depolarisation phase of the action potential, and then inactivate within tens of milliseconds, critically shaping cell repolarisation (Zilberter et al. 1994).

There are nine isoforms of the voltage-gated sodium channel α subunit, and each form has distinct expression and electrophysiological patterns. In this review, we have considered the main sodium channel isoforms expressed in the central neuronal system (CNS), i.e., SCN1A (Na_V1.1), SCN2A (Na_V1.2), SCN3A (Na_V1.3) and SCN8A (Na_V1.6). Wherever relevant we have also included additional information regarding other isoforms, including SCN5A (generally known as the cardiac isoform, Na_V1.5) and SCN9A (mainly expressed in the peripheral nervous system, Na_V1.7), (Dib-Hajj et al. 2013).

Sodium channel α subunits are large (ca. 2000 residues), hydrophobic, integral membrane proteins that have been fascinating (and challenging) a range of scientific communities including biochemists, pharmacists, neuroscientists, and electrophysiologists for more than three decades (Catterall 2015). Although detailed mammalian voltagegated sodium channel structures are not yet available, it is widely accepted that the topology of α subunits at the protein level consists of four homologous domains (termed DI to DIV), each consisting of six transmembrane helices, and joined by cytosolic interdomain linkers (Yu and Catterall 2003). The *N* and *C* termini of α subunits are also intracellular. Thus, cytosolic interdomain linkers, and *N*- and

Pedro Beltran-Alvarez p.beltran-alvarez@hull.ac.uk

¹ School of Biological, Biomedical and Environmental Sciences, University of Hull, Hardy Building Cottingham Road, Hull HU6 7RX, UK

C-terminal domains of α subunits are accessible to intracellular enzymes that catalyse post-translational modifications (PTM) of the channels.

In this review, we aim to integrate progress in our understanding of CNS voltage-gated sodium channel regulation at the transcriptional and post-translational level. The reader will find that much more is known on sodium channel PTMs than on the transcriptional mechanisms that regulate channel expression. Consequently, the weight of the review is balanced towards PTMs. Our focus is strongly centred on recent research lines, and newly generated knowledge. The goal is to facilitate dissemination of recent developments with a view on fostering further relevant research.

Regulation of brain sodium channel expression at the transcriptional level

In this section, we have considered the regulation of CNS voltage-gated sodium channels by transcription factors, and by alternative splicing. The regulation of sodium channels at the post-transcriptional level (e.g., by microRNAs) is out of the scope of the present review.

Regulation by transcription factors

Promoter regions of brain voltage-gated sodium channel genes have been described, including SCN1A (Dong et al. 2014; Long et al. 2008); SCN2A (Lu et al. 1998; Schade and Brown 2000), SCN3A (Martin et al. 2007), and SCN8A (Drews et al. 2005, 2007). Based on the sequence analyses and databases, several transcription factors have been proposed to control brain sodium channel expression (Long et al. 2008). Experimentally, a recent study has shown that SCN3A expression is regulated by promoter CpG methylation and Methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 2 (MBD2), (Li et al. 2015). MBD2 targets methylated CpG for demethylation, possibly leading to activated transcription. Consistently, knock-down of MBD2 decreased SCN3A mRNA levels in a neuroblastoma cell line. In seizure-induced mice, MBD2 expression was increased, which correlated with decreased CpG methylation, and enhanced SCN3A expression (Li et al. 2015).

Another recent development has been the identification of receptor for activated C kinase 1 (RACK1) as a repressor of SCN1A expression (Dong et al. 2014). The authors identified a transcriptional silencer in a region between +53 and +62 bp downstream of SCN1A promotor and used EMSA assays to uncover possible transcriptional regulators. RACK1 was found to bind to the silencer in NT2 cells (a pluripotent embryonal carcinoma cell line often used for differentiation into neurons). Knocking-down RACK1 in NT2 cells markedly increased SCN1A mRNA levels (Dong et al. 2014).

Sodium channel macromolecular complexes may incorporate proteins classically known as voltage-gated sodium channel β subunits. These include five different proteins termed β 1, β 1b, β 2, β 3, and β 4. Many groups have studied the effect of β subunits on α subunit trafficking and electrophysiology, mainly from the point of view of protein–protein interactions (for a recent review, see Namadurai et al. 2015).

Additionally, sodium channel β subunits have been proposed to regulate α subunits at the transcriptional level. One of the first experimental observations was the increase in Na_v1.1 mRNA and protein levels in the presence of proteases targeting the β 2 subunit. The group of Kovacs, and others, has demonstrated the sequential mechanism by which, first, ADAM10 and BACE1 proteases cleave off the extracellular domain of the β 2 subunit. Second, γ -secretase releases the $\beta 2$ intracellular domain. And third, the $\beta 2$ intracellular domain induces an increase in Nav1.1 mRNA and protein levels (Kim et al. 2005, 2007; Wong et al. 2005), although the precise pathways for β 2 internalisation into the cell nucleus remain unknown. BACE1-dependent sodium channel expression seems to be specific for $Na_{\rm V}1.1$, and mRNA levels of other brain Nav isoforms including Na_v1.2, Na_v1.3 and Na_v1.6 are relatively insensitive to BACE1 protease activity (Kim et al. 2007, 2011).

Likewise $\beta 2$, the $\beta 1$ subunit has been shown to regulate Na_V expression, and mouse models show changes in brain Na_V expression and localization upon $\beta 1$ deletion (Chen et al. 2004). In a recent development, $\beta 1$ subunit silencing has been shown to result in decreased $Na_V 1.1$, $Na_V 1.3$ and $Na_V 1.6$ (but not $Na_V 1.2$) mRNA and protein levels in cells models (Baroni et al. 2014), although the mechanism underlying this regulation was not investigated. Although $\beta 1$ subunit is a target for BACE1 in vitro, the question remains whether this is physiologically relevant (Wong et al. 2005).

Regulation by alternative splicing

The first evidences for alternative splicing of brain sodium channels were reported more than 20 years ago (Sarao et al. 1991; Gustafson et al. 1993), and splicing mechanisms are thought to be common to most brain Na_V isoforms (Copley 2004). In particular, SCN1A alternative splicing has been extensively studied due to its relevance in CNS disorders such as epilepsy (Lossin 2009; Schlachter et al. 2009; Le Gal et al. 2011; Thompson et al. 2011).

The best studied SCN1A splicing variants are often referred to as the adult and neonatal forms, although both forms are expressed in adults. They result from the mutually exclusive expression of either exon 5A (adult) or 5N (neonatal). Common SCN1A polymorphisms can have a massive effect on the expression of the 5N variant in normal adults (Tate et al. 2005; Heinzen et al. 2007). The 5A/5N splicing event can also be modulated by splice-modifier proteins, including sodium channel modifier 1 (SCNM1). Very recently, a mutation in SCNM1 has been linked to epilepsies possibly via regulation of SCN1A splicing leading to reduction of the variant containing exon 5N (Kasteleijn-Nolst Trenité et al. 2015). SCNM1, as well as other splicing regulators such as Rbfox2, can also modulate SCN8A splicing (Buchner et al. 2003; Gehman et al. 2012).

Regulation of brain sodium channels at the post-translational level

From biochemical assays in vitro to targeted purification of proteins from tissues, research in sodium channel PTMs has recently expanded from (immuno) chemical methods to embrace mass spectrometry and proteomics. Here, we review our current understanding of some of the best known sodium channel PTMs. As before, we have included $Na_V 1.1$, $Na_V 1.2$, $Na_V 1.3$, and $Na_V 1.6$. Where relevant, $Na_V 1.5$ has also been considered because of the wealth of available $Na_V 1.5$ PTM data. In particular, $Na_V 1.5$ phosphorylation, ubiquitylation, and arginine methylation have been studied in detail ("Phosphorylation", "Ubiquitylation" and "Arginine methylation", respectively).

Previously in "Regulation by transcription factors", we have reviewed our knowledge of sodium channel β subunit processing by proteases, leading to transcriptional regulation of α subunits. In "Regulation of brain sodium channels by proteases", we have included available data on direct proteolysis of α subunits. Although proteolysis is in most cases associated with degradation, it can also be regarded as PTM if it is limited and specific (Rogers and Overall 2013).

Phosphorylation

Phosphorylation is the most experimentally observed PTM at the proteome-wide level, and it is certainly thought to be the most abundant PTM along with *N*-glycosylation (Khoury et al. 2011). Sodium channels are no exception to the rule and phosphorylation is the most studied and observed sodium channel PTM.

Identified phosphorylation sites

The aim of this subsection is to comprehensively collect and update the repertoire of sodium channel 'phosphorylatable' sites (Table 1). These include phosphosites identified by the use of in vitro assays and heterologous expression experiments, as well as those identified in sodium channels isolated from native sources. Data in Table 1 are taken from classical papers (Berendt et al. 2010), and previous reviews (Cerda et al. 2011; Baek et al. 2011), and updated to include recent original articles that described novel phosphosites (Marionneau et al. 2012; Baek et al. 2014; Herren et al. 2015). Functional consequences of Na_V phosphorylation are discussed below.

Is it safe to assume that if a Na_V isoform is phosphorylated at a certain residue, then our favourite isoform will also be, provided the site is conserved? The general answer is No. Visual analysis of phosphosite conservation in Table 1 leaves little room for hope, at least according to the data currently available. The exception is the interdomain linker between domains I and II, which is considered the PTM hot-spot (Cantrell and Catterall 2001), and where one can find phosphosites conserved among 3, sometimes 4, of the considered Na_V isoforms. Nevertheless, due to the substoichiometric and labile nature of phosphorylation, the failure to detect a protein modification does not imply that a residue is not phosphorylated. Perhaps future comprehensive proteomic studies will demonstrate higher degree in phosphosite conservation among Na_V isoforms.

Specificity of the functional effect of phosphorylation among Na_V isoforms

Most of the phosphosites included in Table 1 were identified by proteomics and mass spectrometry methods, and we currently lack information on which protein kinase may catalyse phosphorylation of many of the Na_V phosphosites. Nevertheless, it has long been known that protein kinase C (PKC) and cAMP-dependent kinase (PKA) can phosphorylate brain Na_V channels (West et al. 1991; Numann et al. 1991; Li et al. 1992, 1993). Other kinases involved in regulating brain Na_V phosphorylation are glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3) (James et al. 2015), protein kinase CK2 (Hien et al. 2014), A kinase-anchoring protein 15 (Few et al. 2007), Fyn tyrosine kinase (Beacham et al. 2007), and p38 mitogen-kinase activated protein kinase (Wittmack et al. 2005).

The functional effects of channel phosphorylation on Na_v electrophysiology often depend on the specific isoform of interest. For instance, phosphorylation by PKA and PKC results in attenuation of $Na_v1.2$ currents due to defective channel trafficking to the cell surface (Li et al. 1992). But $Na_v1.6$ channels are relatively insensitive to PKA/PKC regulation (Chen et al. 2008), and $Na_v1.5$ currents are enhanced by PKA activation due to increased $Na_v1.5$ expression at the cell surface (Hallaq et al. 2006). Subtle variations in the primary sequence of Na_v isoforms must underlie such differences. For instance, it is thought that

Table 1 Phosphosites of different Na_V isoforms MEOTVLVPPG PDSFNFFTRE SLAAIERRIA EEKAKNPKPD ----KKDDDE 46 Nav1.1 mouse (N terminus) MARSVLVPPG PDSFRFFTRE SLAAIEQRIA EEKAKRPKQE ---RKDEDDE 47 Nav1.2 rat (N terminus) MAQALLVPPG PESFRLFTRE SLAAIEKRAA EEKAKKPKKE ----QDNDDE 46 Nav1.3 human (N terminus) M-ANFLLPRG T**SS**FRRF**T**RE **S**LAAIEKRMA EKQARG**S**T**TL** QE**S**REGLPEE 49 Nav1.5 human (N terminus) MAARVLAPPG PDSFKPFTPE SLANIERRIA ESKLKKPPKA DG**S**HREDDED 50 Nav1.6 mouse (N terminus) NGPKPNSDLE AGKNLPFIYG DIPPEMVSEP LEDLDPYYIN KKTFIVLNKG 96 Nav1.1 mouse (N terminus) NGPKPNSDLE AGKSLPFIYG DIPPEMVSEP LEDLDPYYIN KKTFIVLNKG 97 Nav1.2 rat (N terminus) NKPKPNSDLE AGKNLPFIYG DIPPEMVSEP LEDLDPYYIN KKTFIVMNKG 96 Nav1.3 human (N terminus) EAPRPOLDLO ASKKLPDLYG NPPOELIGEP LEDLDPFYST OKTFIVLNKG 99 Nav1.5 human (N terminus) SKPKPNSDLE AGKSLPFIYG DIPQGLVAVP LEDFDPYYLT QKTFVVLNRG 100 Nav1.6 mouse (N terminus) KAIFRFSATS ALYILTPFNP LRKIAIKILV HSLFSMLIMC TILTNCVFMT 146 Nav1.1 mouse (N terminus) KAISRFSATS ALYILTPFNP IRKLAIKILV HSLFNVLIMC TILTNCVFMT 147 Nav1.2 rat (N terminus) KAIFRFSA**TS** ALYILTPLNP VRKIAIKILV HSLFSMLIMC TILTNCVFMT 146 Nav1.3 human (N terminus) KTIFRFSATN ALYVLSPFHP IRRAAVKILV HSLFNMLIMC TILTNCVFMA 149 Nav1.5 human (N terminus) KTLFRFSATP ALYILSPFNL IRRIAIKILI HSVFSMIIMC TILTNCVFMT 150 Nav1.6 mouse (N terminus) EFQQMLEQLK KQQEAAQQAA ATTASE---- -HSRE---PS AAGRLSDSSS 484 Nav1.1 mouse (Linker DI-DII) EFQQMLEQLK KQQEEAQ-AA AAAASA---- -ESRDFSGAG GIGVFSESSS 488 Nav1.2 rat (Linker DI-DII) EFQQMLEQLK KQQEEAQ--A VAAASA---- -ASRDFSGIG GLGELLESSS 486 Nav1.3 human (Linker DI-DII) RFQEAMEMLK KEHEALT--- -----IR GVDTVSRSSL 461 Nav1.5 human (Linker DI-DII) EFKAMLEQLK KQQEEAQ-AA AMATSAGTVS EDAIEEEGED GVGS-PRSSS 478 Nav1.6 mouse (Linker DI-DII) EASKLSSKSA KERRNRRKKR KQKEQSGGEE K-DDDEFHKS ESEDSIRRKG 533 NaV1.1 mouse (Linker DI-DII) VASKLSSKSE KELKNRRKKK KQKEQAGEEE K--EDAVRKS ASEDSIRKKG 536 NaV1.2 rat (Linker DI-DII) EASKLSSKSA KEWRNRRKKR RQREHLEGNN KGERDSFPKS ESEDSVKRSS 536 NaV1.3 human (Linker DI-DII) EMSPLAPVNS HERRSKRRKR ----MSSGTE ECGEDRLPKS DSEDGPR---504 NaV1.5 human (Linker DI-DII) ELSKLSSKSA KERRNRRKKR KQKEL**S**EGEE KGDPEKVFK**S** E**S**EDGMRRKA 528 NaV1.6 mouse (Linker DI-DII) FRFSIEGNRL TYEKRYSSPH QSLLSIRGSL FSPRRNSRTS LFSFR--GRA 581 Nav1.1 mouse (Linker DI-DII) FOFSLEGSRL TYEKRFSSPH OSLLSIRGSL FSPRRNSRAS LFNFK--GRV 584 Nav1.2 rat (Linker DI-DII) FLFSMDGNRL TSDKKFCSPH QSLLSIRGSL FSPRRNSKTS IFSFR--GRA 584 Nav1.3 human (Linker DI-DII) ----- AMNH LSLTRGLSRT SMKPRSSRGS IFTFR--R--534 Nav1.5 human (Linker DI-DII) FR--LPDNRI G--RKFSIMN QSLLSIPG**S**P FLSRHNSKSS IFSFRGPGRF 574 Nav1.6 mouse (Linker DI-DII) KDVG**S**ENDFA DDEHSTFEDN ESRRD**S**LFVP RRHGERRN-- ---SNLSQTS 626 Nav1.1 mouse (Linker DI-DII) KDIGSENDFA DDEHSTFEDN DSRRDSLFVP HRHGERRP-- ---SNVSQAS 629 Nav1.2 rat (Linker DI-DII) KDVGSENDFA DDEHSTFEDS ESRRDSLFVP HRHGERRN-- ---SNVSQAS 629 Nav1.3 human (Linker DI-DII) RDLGSEADFA DDENSTAGES ESHHTSLLVP --WPLRRT-- ---SAQGQPS 577 Nav1.5 human (Linker DI-DII) RDPG**S**ENEFA DDEHSTVEES EGRRD**S**LFIP IRARERRSSY SGYSGYSQCS 624 Nav1.6 mouse (Linker DI-DII) RSSRMLAVFP ANGKMHSTVD CNGVVSLVG- GPSVPTSPVG QLLPEVIIDK 675 Nav1.1 mouse (Linker DI-DII) RASRGIPTLP MNGKMHSAVD CNGVVSLVG- GPSALTSPVG QLLPE-----673 Nav1.2 rat (Linker DI-DII) MSSRMVPGLP ANGKMHSTVD CNGVVSLVG- GPSALTSPTG QLPPE-----673 Nav1.3 human (Linker DI-DII) PGTSA-PGHA LHGKKNSTVD CNGVVSLLGA GDPEATSPGS HLLRPVMLEH 626 Nav1.5 human (Linker DI-DII) RSSRIFPSLR RSVKRNSTVD CNGVVSLIG- ----PGSHIG RLLPE-----664 Nav1.6 mouse (Linker DI-DII) PATDDNGTTT ETEMRKRRSS SFHVSMDFLE DPSQRQRAMS IASILTNTV-724 Nav1.1 mouse (Linker DI-DII) -----GTTT ETEIRKRRSS SYHVSMDLLE DP-SRQRAMS MASILTNTM-715 Nav1.2 rat (Linker DI-DII) -----GTTT ETEVRKRRLS SYQISMEMLE DSSGRQRAVS IASILTNTM-716 Nav1.3 human (Linker DI-DII) PP--DTTTPS EEPGGPQMLT SQAPCVDGFE EPGARQRALS AVSVLTSAL-673 Nav1.5 human (Linker DI-DII) ----ATT EVEIKKKGPG SLLVSMEQLA SYGRKDRINS IMSVVTNTLV 707 Nav1.6 mouse (Linker DI-DII) EELEESRQKC PPCWYKFSNI FLIWDCSPYW LKVKHIVNLV VMDPFVDLAI 774 Nav1.1 mouse (Linker DI-DII) EELEESROKC PPCWYKFANM CLIWDCCKPW LKVKHVVNLV VMDPFVDLAI 765 Nav1.2 rat (Linker DI-DII) EELEESRQKC PPCWYRFANV FLIWDCCDAW LKVKHLVNLI VMDPFVDLAI 766 Nav1.3 human (Linker DI-DII) EELEESRHKC PPCWNRLAOR YLIWECCPLW MSIKOGVKLV VMDPFTDLTI 723 Nav1.5 human (Linker DI-DII) EELEESQRKC PPCWYKFANT FLIWECHPYW IKLKEIVNLI VMDPFVDLAI 757 Nav1.6 mouse (Linker DI-DII) Table 1 continued

645

YVKRKIYEFI QQSFVKKQKI I	LDEIKPLDDL NNRKDNCISN	HTTEIG 1070	$\begin{array}{l} Na_v 1.1 \mbox{ mouse} \\ Na_v 1.2 \mbox{ rat} \\ Na_v 1.3 \mbox{ human} \\ Na_v 1.5 \mbox{ human} \\ Na_v 1.6 \mbox{ mouse} \end{array}$	(Linker DII-DIII)
FVKRKIREFI QKAFVRKQKA I	JDEIKPLEDL NNKKD S CI S N	HTTIEIG 1062		(Linker DII-DIII)
YVKNKMRECF QKAFFRKPKV I	LEIHE GNKIDSCMSN	NTGIEIS 1058		(Linker DII-DIII)
FVKRTTWDFC CGLLRQRPQK F	PAALAAQGQLP S CIAT	PYSPPPPETE 1017		(Linker DII-DIII)
WAKVKVHAFM QAHFKQRE A	ADEVKPLDEL YEKKANCIAN	HTGVDIH 1052		(Linker DII-DIII)
KDLDCLKDVNGTTSGIG I KDLNYLKDGNGTTSGIG - KELNYLRDGNGTTSGVG I KVPPTRKETR FEEGEQPGQG I RNGDFQKNGNGTTSGI	CGSSVEKYII DESDYMSFIN GSSVEKYVV DESDYMSFIN CGSSVEKYVI DENDYMSFIN PGDPE	NPSLTVTVPI 1117 NPSLTVTVPI 1107 NPSLTVTVPI 1105 PVCVPI 1049 NPNLTVRVPI 1096	Navl.1 mouse Navl.2 rat Navl.3 human Navl.5 human Navl.6 mouse	(Linker DII-DIII) (Linker DII-DIII) (Linker DII-DIII) (Linker DII-DIII) (Linker DII-DIII)
AVGE S DFENL NTEDFS S E S D I	LEESKEKLNE	1147	$Na_V 1.1$ mouse	(Linker DII-DIII)
ALGESDFENL NTEEFSSESD M	HEESKEKLN	1136	$Na_V 1.2$ rat	(Linker DII-DIII)
AVGESDFENL NTEEFSSESE I	LEESKEKLN	1134	$Na_V 1.3$ human	(Linker DII-DIII)
AVAESDTDDQ EEDEENSLGT E	EEESSKQQES QPVSGGPEAP	PDSRTWSQVS 1099	$Na_V 1.5$ human	(Linker DII-DIII)
AVGESDFENL NTEDVSSESD F	PEGSKDKLD	1125	$Na_V 1.6$ mouse	(Linker DII-DIII)
LYFVIFIIFG SFFTLNLFIG V	VIIDNFNQQK KKFGGQDIFM	TEEQKKYYNA 1510 TEEQKKYYNA 1500 TEEQKKYYNA 1495 TEEQKKYYNA 1497 TEEQKKYYNA 1489	Nav1.1 mouse	(Linker DIII-DIV)
LYFVIFIIFG SFFTLNLFIG V	VIIDNFNQQK KKFGGQDIFM		Nav1.2 rat	(Linker DIII-DIV)
LYFVIFIIFG SFFTLNLFIG V	VIIDNFNQQK KKFGGQDIFM		Nav1.3 human	(Linker DIII-DIV)
IYFVIFIIFG SFFTLNLFIG V	VIIDNFNQQK KKLGGQDIFM		Nav1.5 human	(Linker DIII-DIV)
IYFVIFIIFG SFFTLNLFIG V	VIIDNFNQQK KKFGGQDIFM		Nav1.6 mouse	(Linker DIII-DIV)
MKKLGSKKPQ KPIPRPGNKF (QGMVFDFVTR QVFDISIMIL	ICLNMVTMMV 1560	$Na_v1.1$ mouse	(Linker DIII-DIV)
MKKLG S KKPQ KPIPRPANKF (QGMVFDFVTK QVFDISIMIL	ICLNMVTMMV 1550	$Na_v1.2$ rat	(Linker DIII-DIV)
MKKLGSKKPQ KPIPRPANKF (QGMVFDFVTR QVFDISIMIL	ICLNMVTMMV 1545	$Na_v1.3$ human	(Linker DIII-DIV)
MKKLG S KKPQ KPIPRPLNKY (QGFIFDIVTK QAFDVTIMFL	ICLNMVTMMV 1547	$Na_v1.5$ human	(Linker DIII-DIV)
MKKLGSKKPQ KPIPRPLNKI (QGIVFDFVTQ QAFDIVIMML	ICLNMVTMMV 1539	$Na_v1.6$ mouse	(Linker DIII-DIV)
RIHCLDILFA FTKRVLGESG RIHCLDILFA FTKRVLGESG RIHCLDILFA FTKRVLGESG RIHCMDILFA FTKRVLGESG RIHCLDILFA FTKRVLGDSG	EMDALRIQME ERFMASNE EMDALRIQME ERFMASNE EMDALRIQME DRFMASNE EMDALKIQME EKFMAANE ELDILRQQME ERFVASNE	SK VSYQPITTTL 1 SK VSYEPITTTL 1 SK VSYEPITTTL 1 SK ISYEPITTTL 1 SK VSYEPITTTL 1 SK VSYEPITTTL 1	910 Na $_{\rm v}$ 1.1 mc 900 Na $_{\rm v}$ 1.2 ra 895 Na $_{\rm v}$ 1.3 hu 896 Na $_{\rm v}$ 1.5 hu 888 Na $_{\rm v}$ 1.6 mc	buse (C terminus) at (C terminus) aman (C terminus) aman (C terminus) buse (C terminus)
KRKQEEVSAV IIQRAYRRHL	LKRTVKQASF TYNKNKL-	KGGANLLVKE 1	957 Na _v 1.1 mc	ouse (C terminus)
KRKQEEVSAI VIQRAYRRYL	LKQKVKKVSS IYKKDKG-	KEDEG T PIKE 1	947 Na _v 1.2 ra	at (C terminus)
KRKQEEVSAA IIQRNFRCYL	LKQRLKNISS NYNKEAI-	KGRIDLPIKQ 1	942 Na _v 1.3 hu	aman (C terminus)
RRKHEEVSAM VIQRAFRRHL	LQRSLKHASF LFRQQAG-	SG L S EEDAPERE 1	945 Na _v 1.5 hu	aman (C terminus)
RRKQEEVSAV VLQRAYRGHL	ARRGF	ICR 1	916 Na _v 1.6 mc	ouse (C terminus)
DMLIDRI-NE NSITEK	TDLTMSTAAC PPSYDRVT	KP IVEKHEQ 1	999 Na _v 1.1 mc	ouse (C terminus)
DIITDKL-NE NSTPEK	TDVTP STTS - PPSYDSVT	KP EKEKFEK 1	988 Na _v 1.2 ra	at (C terminus)
DMIIDKL-NG NSTPEK	TDGSSSTTS- PPSYDSVT	KP DKEKFEK 1	983 Na _v 1.3 hu	uman (C terminus)
GLIAYVM-SE NFSRPLGPPS	SSSISSTSF- PPSYDSVT	RA TSDNLQVRGS 1	993 Na _v 1.5 hu	uman (C terminus)
KITSNKLENG GTHREK	KESTPSTAS- LPSYDSVT	KP DKEKQQRAEE 1	961 Na _v 1.6 mc	ouse (C terminus)
EGKDEKAKGKDIRE DKSEKEDKGKDIRE DKPEKESKGKEVRE DYSHSEDLAD FPPSPDRDRE GRRERAKRQKEVRE	SKK NQK SIV SKC	2 2 2 2 2 1	009 Na _v 1.1 mc 005 Na _v 1.2 ra 000 Na _v 1.3 hu 016 Na _v 1.5 hu 978 Na _v 1.6 mc	ouse (C terminus) at (C terminus) aman (C terminus) aman (C terminus) ouse (C terminus)

Phosphorylated residues are shown bold and shadowed

 $Na_V 1.5$ phosphorylation by PKA at S528 masks an endoplasmic reticulum retention signal (RRR₅₃₅), thereby promoting $Na_V 1.5$ trafficking to the membrane (Zhou et al. 2002). This endoplasmic reticulum signal is absent in $Na_V 1.2$ (RVK₅₈₅) and modified in $Na_V 1.6$ (RFR₅₇₅).

Opposite functional effects of post-translational modifications on distinct Na_V isoforms have also been observed after phosphorylation by Fyn kinase. Fyn kinase phosphorylates essential tyrosine residues within the inactivation gate of sodium channels, including the equivalent Y1498 (Na_V1.2) and Y1495 (Na_V1.5). Yet, the functional effect of phosphorylation by Fyn on channel inactivation is a negative (Na_V1.2) or positive (Na_V1.5) shift in the voltage dependence of inactivation (Beacham et al. 2007; Ahern et al. 2005). The simplest explanation is that Fyn phosphorylates other Tyr residues within Na_V1.2 and Na_V1.5 sequences, and this has indeed been demonstrated for Na_V1.2 (including Y66, Y1497, and Y1893), (Beacham et al. 2007). Nevertheless, recent work has reported that distinct splicing variants of the same Na_V isoform show different electrophysiological behaviour upon phosphorylation by Fyn, which introduces another level of complexity (Iqbal et al. 2015).

Ubiquitylation

Protein ubiquitylation (or ubiquitination) is a post-translational modification that involves the orchestrated function of three types of enzymes. First, ubiquitin activating enzyme (E1) catalyses thioester formation between the Cterminus of ubiquitin and an internal cysteine. Second, activated ubiquitin is transferred to the ubiquitin conjugating enzyme (E2). Third, ubiquitylation of the substrate protein is catalysed by ubiquitin ligases (E3), which covalently attach ubiquitin molecules to lysine residues within the target sequence. Ubiquitylation is often associated with protein degradation.

There are hundreds of E3 ubiquitin ligases, usually classified into two groups: HECT (homologous to E6-AP C terminus) ligases, and RING (really interesting new gene) ligases (Goel et al. 2015). Until 2015, it was thought that only HECT ligases could catalyse sodium channel ubiquitylation (see below).

The most studied molecular mechanism for sodium channel ubiquitylation involves channel recognition by Nedd4-2 ubiquitin ligases (HECT-type ligases) via protein–protein interaction between the WW4 domain of Nedd4-2, and the PY motif of neuronal and cardiac sodium channels (Fotia et al. 2004; van Bemmelen et al. 2004). Ubiquitylation by Nedd4-2 has been shown to tag sodium channels for internalisation from the cell surface, including Na_v1.2 (Fotia et al. 2004), Na_v1.6 (Gasser et al. 2010), and Na_v1.5 (Rougier et al. 2005). However, in most cases, the precise modification site(s), i.e., the Lys residues that are ubiquitylated, remain to be confirmed.

Very recently, compelling evidence has been presented that shows ubiquitylation of sodium channels in zebra fish CNS by RNF121, a member of the RING family of E3 ubiquitin ligases (Ogino et al. 2015). From the initial observation that zebra fish bearing mutations in RNF121 present defective Na_V trafficking in neurons and skeletal muscle, the investigators moved on to perform heterologous expression of Na_V1.6 and RNF121 in HEK 293T cells. Results showed increased Na_V1.6 degradation upon co-expression of RNF121 but, intriguingly, enhanced Na_V1.6 membrane localization when co-expressed with RNF121 *and* auxiliary NaV β subunits (Ogino et al. 2015).

Arginine methylation

Arginine methylation consists on the addition of methyl groups to arginine residues of proteins. Arginine methylation is catalysed by protein arginine methyl transferases (PRMTs) that transfer a methyl group from *S*-adenosyl*L*-methionine (SAM) to the target arginine. Arginine methylation has recently been reported as a novel post-translational modification of the voltage-gated sodium channel family using Na_V1.5 as a model system (Beltran-Alvarez et al. 2011).

The groups of Comb and Trimmer have described arginine methylation of brain sodium channels. Using a proteomic approach and bespoke antibodies that recognise peptides bearing methylated arginine, the group of Comb reported arginine methylation of Na_V1.1, Na_V1.2 and Na_V1.5 in the mouse brain (Guo et al. 2014). In parallel, the group of Trimmer described arginine methylation of Na_V1.2 purified from rat brain (Baek et al. 2014). We analysed the methylation sites reported by the three referenced articles (Beltran-Alvarez et al. 2011; Guo et al. 2014; Baek et al. 2014), and found that three sites have been observed by at least two independent studies (Table 2).

The functional consequences of sodium channel modification by arginine methylation have been documented. Available electrophysiological data are consistent with an increase in sodium current density, most likely due to enhanced Na_V membrane expression (Beltran-Alvarez et al. 2013; Baek et al. 2014). Additionally, the group of Trimmer reported considerable acceleration in Na_V1.2 recovery from inactivation when arginine methylation was enhanced (Baek et al. 2014). Remarkably, arginine methylation is an example of PTM conservation among Na_V isoforms, even if catalysed by different enzymes: Na_V1.2 is methylated by PRMT8 (mostly expressed in the CNS), while Na_V1.5 methylation is catalysed by PRMT3 and -5 (ubiquitously expressed).

Other known post-translational modifications

We would like to mention that sodium channels have long been known to undergo cysteine modifications including *S*-palmitoylation (Schmidt and Catterall 1987; Bosmans et al. 2011), and S-nitrosylation (Renganathan et al. 2002). Methionine oxidation of sodium channels has previously been reviewed (Cui et al. 2012). SUMOylation of the Na_v1.7 isoform has been described, but available data suggest that SUMOylation may not be conserved in CNS Na_v isoforms (Dustrude et al. 2013).

Methylated residue (rat Nav1.2 numbering)	Isoform where methylation was observed (isoform numbering)	Species and tissue	References	Notes
R563	Na _V 1.1 (560) Na _V 1.2 (563) No 1 2 (562)	Mouse, brain Mouse, brain Dot hadin	Guo et al. 2014 Guo et al. 2014 Doolt of al. 2014	Observed in human Na _v 1.5 (R513) expressed in HEK 293 cells (Beltran-Alvarez et al. 2011). Na _v 1.5 peptide containing R513 is methyl-
	(COC) 2.17/10/1	Kat, Utalli	Dack et al. 2014	ated in vitro by PRMT3 (Beltran-Alvarez et al. 2015).
R570	Na _v 1.2 (570)	Mouse, brain	Guo et al. 2014	
	Na _v 1.2 (570)	Rat, brain	Baek et al. 2014	
R574	Na _V 1.5 (526)	Mouse, brain	Guo et al. 2014	Observed in human $Na_V 1.5$ (R526) expressed in
	$Na_{V}1.5$ (526)	Heart, human	Beltran-Alvarez et al. 2014	HEK 293T cells (Beltran-Alvarez et al. 2011).
Methylation sites reported by at least two ind	lependent studies			

 Table 2
 Hot spots of arginine methylation sites

Another well-known PTM, *N*-glycosylation, has been mostly studied in the cardiac isoform of the sodium channel, and several excellent reviews have recently been published (Baycin-Hizal et al. 2014; Marionneau and Abriel 2015). Perhaps the latest studies are those from the Chatelier and the Decosterd–Abriel groups, which have proposed alternative trafficking pathways for differentially glycosylated Na_V, using Na_V1.5 and Na_V1.7 as study models (Mercier et al. 2015; Laedermann et al. 2013, respectively).

Other possible post-translational modifications?

The advent of large-scale proteomics including the publication of human proteome maps is revolutionising life sciences. The ion channel field can also benefit from the analysis of big data to anticipate and identify challenges and opportunities, particularly in the field of PTMs. With this in mind, we searched Phosphositeplus (Hornbeck et al. 2015) for PTMs of Na_V isoforms. The database contains potentially novel sodium channel modifications including Lys acetylation, which is reported for Na_V1.1, Na_V1.2, Na_V1.3, Na_V1.5 and Na_V1.6, and Lys methylation, which is included for Na_V1.2 and Na_V1.6.

Although promising at first sight, available data must be regarded with care. Conservation of the reported post-translationally acetylated or methylated Lys site among Na_V isoforms was very low. The finding worth mentioning was interspecies conservation of $Na_V 1.1$ acetylation at K1948 in human and mouse samples. Although K1948 acetylation was observed in unrelated experiments, it must be noted that the source of tissue was not brain but colon cancer.

Cross-talk between sodium channel PTMs

Cross-talk, or interplay, between PTMs includes the regulatory mechanisms by which PTMs work together to determine protein function. Cross-talk between sodium channel phosphorylation, and arginine methylation, has been reported. The group of Trimmer reported cross-talk between Na_v1.2 arginine methylation and phosphorylation (Baek et al. 2014). In this study, the authors studied Nav1.2 PTMs in the rat brain. Nav1.2 was immunopurified, digested and subjected to mass spectrometry analysis. An initial observation was that detected Na_v1.2 peptides harboured either arginine methylation or phosphorylation, but not both PTMs on the same peptide. Convincingly, these two PTMs were reciprocally regulated in response to acute seizure: e.g., R563 methylation (see also Table 2) increased but S554 and S568 phosphorylation decreased after induction of seizure in rats (Baek et al. 2014). The most likely mechanism for this interplay between sodium channel arginine methylation and phosphorylation is the modification of kinetic specificity constants of serine phosphorylation

upon methylation of a neighbouring arginine, and viceversa (Beltran-Alvarez et al. 2015). Nevertheless, the functional consequences of phosphorylation—arginine methylation cross-talk remain to be elucidated.

Additionally, cross-regulation between Na_V1.6 phosphorylation, and ubiquitylation, has been observed. On the one hand, Na_V1.6 is phosphorylated by p38 MAPK at position S553. On the other, Na_V1.6 is ubiquitylated by Nedd4-2 after recognition of the PY motif (Pro-Ser-Tyr) at the *C* terminus of the channel. Results from the group of Dib-Hajj suggested that S553 phosphorylation enables further Na_V1.6 ubiquitylation and internalisation of the channel (Gasser et al. 2010). A similar mechanism has recently been proposed for Na_V1.2 whereby phosphorylation of T1966 by GSK3 primes recognition by Nedd4-2 via the Na_V1.2 PY motif (PPSY₁₉₇₅), (James et al. 2015).

Regulation of brain sodium channels by proteases

Voltage-gated sodium channel density has long been known to be regulated by proteases under normal (Paillart et al. 1996) and stress conditions (Iwata et al. 2004). Among the most important proteases in mammalian cells stand the calpains, which target hundreds of proteins (Grimm et al. 2012). The group of Meany has revealed the bases of calpain-dependent proteolysis of $Na_V 1.2$.

Using rat brain homogenates, they showed that calpain cleaves $Na_V 1.2$ (but not $Na_V 1.1$) at two sites, i.e., the interdomain linkers between domains I and II, and between domains II and III (von Reyn et al. 2009). Intriguingly, most of the calpain sodium channel fragment products localise at the plasma membrane 6 h after calpain activation, and possibly interact (von Reyn et al. 2009). Perhaps the simplest explanation is that distinct sodium fragments still retain the protein–protein interactions that hold the sodium channel macromolecular complex together, and thus control the break-down of the complex. A more thought-provoking alternative is that sodium channel posttranslational proteolysis creates new proteins with modified biological activities.

The group of Meany has dissected the mechanisms of $Na_V 1.2$ proteolysis in cellular and mouse models of neuronal injury (von Reyn et al. 2012; Schoch et al. 2013), opening opportunities for treatment and therapy of traumatic brain injury. In this line, other researchers have recently described the beneficial effect of calpain inhibitors on brain sodium channel expression and electrophysiology in a model of diabetic neuropathy (Kharatmal et al. 2015).

The other example of sodium channel processing by proteases is the excision of the initiation methionine by aminopeptidases. This has been shown for $Na_V 1.5$ (followed by *N*-terminal acetylation of the resulting initiation alanine) in cardiac disease (Beltran-Alvarez et al. 2014).

Whether $Na_V 1.5$ or other Na_V isoforms are devoid of Met residues (or post-translationally acetylated) in normal tissue is unknown.

Conclusions and perspective

Research in the voltage-gated sodium channel field has grown linearly for the last 20 years. While the interest in transcriptional mechanisms regulating sodium channel expression has also grown steadily, we have observed an exponential trend in the number of publications related to sodium channel post-translational regulation. We predict that this growth will keep pace over the coming years. The aim of this review was to provide the current state of the art of the transcriptional and post-translational regulation of sodium channels, and thus set the ground for further research opportunities and discoveries.

Our understanding of transcriptional mechanisms governing brain sodium channel expression is far from comprehensive, and the ongoing research efforts of the ENCODE Consortium will surely encourage groups around the globe to dissect the molecular mechanism controlling Na_V transcription. Analogously, there are new questions in the field of PTM of sodium channels, in particular related to crosstalk among co-occurring types of PTM. As an example, the functional consequences of the interplay between phosphorylation and arginine methylation are intriguing, because the latter is thought to be a rather stable PTM (Bedford and Clarke 2009). The dynamic sequence of PTM events, thus, acquires vital relevance. Our incomplete understanding of proteolysis and degradation pathways of sodium channels also warrants further research in the area.

From the point of view of cell biology, biochemistry and electrophysiology, we predict that major advances in our understanding of Na_V regulation will be made in two main directions. First, systems biology approaches will integrate knowledge on Na_V biology, including transcriptional and post-translational regulation. This may be done using mathematical models and simulations of protein expression, function and degradation at the single molecule level, or, e.g., at the level of action potentials. Second, structural insights into whole sodium channel proteins, or isolated domains, will provide the framework to rationalise possible interactions between PTMs.

Additionally, research on Na_V is intrinsically associated to biomedical sciences, given the prominent relevance of these channels in a range of neurological and cardiac disorders. In this respect, in the following years we expect reports on quantitative experiments identifying changes in PTM patterns in disease (some recent examples include Baek et al. 2014; and Herren et al. 2015). The effect of sodium channel proteolysis in major neurological diseases is also an emerging field of research (Corbett et al. 2013), which includes the identification of genetic mutations in proteases affecting sodium channel levels (Kim et al. 2014).

Acknowledgments DOO acknowledges Rivers State University of Science & Technology Port Harcourt Nigeria, and TETfund Nigeria (Academic Staff Training and Development Unit) for funding. We are grateful to Sandra Jones and John Greenman (School of Biological, Biomedical and Environmental Sciences, University of Hull) for guidance.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest. This review contains data published previously only. This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Abriel H, Rougier JS, Jalife J (2015) Ion channel macromolecular complexes in cardiomyocytes: roles in sudden cardiac death. Circ Res 116(12):1971–1988
- Ahern CA, Zhang JF, Wookalis MJ, Horn R (2005) Modulation of the cardiac sodium channel NaV1.5 by Fyn, a Src family tyrosine kinase. Circ Res 96(9):991–998
- Baek JH, Cerda O, Trimmer JS (2011) Mass spectrometry-based phosphoproteomics reveals multisite phosphorylation on mammalian brain voltage-gated sodium and potassium channels. Semin Cell Dev Biol 22(2):153–159
- Baek JH, Rubinstein M, Scheuer T, Trimmer JS (2014) Reciprocal changes in phosphorylation and methylation of mammalian brain sodium channels in response to seizures. J Biol Chem 289(22):15363–15373
- Baroni D, Picco C, Barbieri R, Moran O (2014) Antisense-mediated post-transcriptional silencing of SCN1B gene modulates sodium channel functional expression. Biol Cell 106(1):13–29
- Baycin-Hizal D, Gottschalk A, Jacobson E, Mai S, Wolozny D, Zhang H, Krag SS, Betenbaugh MJ (2014) Physiologic and pathophysiologic consequences of altered sialylation and glycosylation on ion channel function. Biochem Biophys Res 453(2):243–253
- Beacham D, Ahn M, Catterall WA, Scheuer T (2007) Sites and molecular mechanisms of modulation of Na(v)1.2 channels by Fyn tyrosine kinase. J Neurosci 27(43):11543–11551
- Bedford MT, Clarke SG (2009) Protein arginine methylation in mammals: who, what, and why. Mol Cell 33(1):1–13
- Beltran-Alvarez P, Pagans S, Brugada R (2011) The cardiac sodium channel is post-translationally modified by arginine methylation. J Proteome Res 10(8):3712–3719
- Beltran-Alvarez P, Espejo A, Schmauder R, Beltran C, Mrowka R, Linke T, Batlle M, Pérez-Villa F, Pérez GJ, Scornik FS, Benndorf K, Pagans S, Zimmer T, Brugada R (2013) Protein arginine methyl transferases-3 and -5 increase cell surface expression of cardiac sodium channel. FEBS Lett 587(19):3159–3165

- Beltran-Alvarez P, Tarradas A, Chiva C, Pérez-Serra A, Batlle M, Pérez-Villa F, Schulte U, Sabidó E, Brugada R, Pagans S (2014) Identification of *N*-terminal protein acetylation and arginine methylation of the voltage-gated sodium channel in end-stage heart failure human heart. J Mol Cell Cardiol 76:126–129
- Beltran-Alvarez P, Feixas F, Osuna S, Díaz-Hernández R, Brugada R, Pagans S (2015) Interplay between R513 methylation and S516 phosphorylation of the cardiac voltage-gated sodium channel. Amino Acids 47(2):429–434
- Berendt FJ, Park KS, Trimmer JS (2010) Multisite phosphorylation of voltage-gated sodium channel alpha subunits from rat brain. J Proteome Res 9(4):1976–1984
- Bosmans F, Milescu M, Swartz KJ (2011) Palmitoylation influences the function and pharmacology of sodium channels. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108(50):20213–20218
- Buchner DA, Trudeau M, Meisler MH (2003) SCNM1, a putative RNA splicing factor that modifies disease severity in mice. Science 301(5635):967–969
- Cantrell AR, Catterall WA (2001) Neuromodulation of Na+ channels: an unexpected form of cellular plasticity. Nat Rev Neurosci 2(6):397–407
- Catterall WA (2015) Finding channels. J Biol Chem. doi:10.1074/jbc. X115.683383
- Cerda O, Baek JH, Trimmer JS (2011) Mining recent brain proteomic databases for ion channel phosphosite nuggets. J Gen Physiol 137(1):3–16
- Chen C, Westenbroek RE, Xu X, Edwards CA, Sorenson DR, Chen Y, McEwen DP, O'Malley HA, Bharucha V, Meadows LS, Knudsen GA, Vilaythong A, Noebels JL, Saunders TL, Scheuer T, Shrager P, Catterall WA, Isom LL (2004) Mice lacking sodium channel beta1 subunits display defects in neuronal excitability, sodium channel expression, and nodal architecture. J Neurosci 24(16):4030–4042
- Chen Y, Yu FH, Sharp EM, Beacham D, Scheuer T, Catterall WA (2008) Functional properties and differential neuromodulation of Na(v)1.6 channels. Mol Cell Neurosci 38(4):607–615
- Copley RR (2004) Evolutionary convergence of alternative splicing in ion channels. Trends Genet 20(4):171–176
- Corbett BF, Leiser SC, Ling HP, Nagy R, Breysse N, Zhang X, Hazra A, Brown JT, Randall AD, Wood A, Pangalos MN, Reinhart PH, Chin J (2013) Sodium channel cleavage is associated with aberrant neuronal activity and cognitive deficits in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. J Neurosci 33(16):7020–7026
- Cui ZJ, Han ZQ, Li ZY (2012) Modulating protein activity and cellular function by methionine residue oxidation. Amino Acids 43(2):505–517
- Dib-Hajj SD, Yang Y, Black JA, Waxman SG (2013) The Na(V)1.7 sodium channel: from molecule to man. Nat Rev Neurosci 14(1):49–62
- Dong ZF, Tang LJ, Deng GF, Zeng T, Liu SJ, Wan RP, Liu T, Zhao QH, Yi YH, Liao WP, Long YS (2014) Transcription of the human sodium channel SCN1A gene is repressed by a scaffolding protein RACK1. Mol Neurobiol 50(2):438–448
- Drews VL, Lieberman AP, Meisler MH (2005) Multiple transcripts of sodium channel SCN8A (Na(V)1.6) with alternative 5'- and 3'-untranslated regions and initial characterization of the SCN8A promoter. Genomics 85(2):245–257
- Drews VL, Shi K, de Haan G, Meisler MH (2007) Identification of evolutionarily conserved, functional noncoding elements in the promoter region of the sodium channel gene SCN8A. Mamm Genome 18(10):723–731
- Dustrude ET, Wilson SM, Ju W, Xiao Y, Khanna R (2013) CRMP2 protein SUMOylation modulates NaV1.7 channel trafficking. J Biol Chem 288(34):24316–24331
- Few WP, Scheuer T, Catterall WA (2007) Dopamine modulation of neuronal Na(+) channels requires binding of A kinase-anchoring

Hien YE, Montersino A, Castets F, Leterrier C, Filhol O, Vacher H,

protein 15 and PKA by a modified leucine zipper motif. Proc

Regulation of neuronal voltage-gated sodium channels by the

ubiquitin-protein ligases Nedd4 and Nedd4-2. J Biol Chem

Hajj SD (2010) Two Nedd4-binding motifs underlie modula-

tion of sodium channel Nav1.6 by p38 MAPK. J Biol Chem

Ares M Jr. Otis TS. Black DL (2012) The splicing regulator

Rbfox2 is required for both cerebellar development and mature

the ubiquitin ligase for multiple membrane proteins. Gene

M, Kornhauser J, Jia X, Ren J, Beausoleil SA, Silva JC, Vemu-

lapalli V, Bedford MT, Comb MJ (2014) Immunoaffinity enrich-

ment and mass spectrometry analysis of protein methylation.

(1993) Mutually exclusive exon splicing of type III brain sodium

channel alpha subunit RNA generates developmentally regulated

Wells KS, Zhou J, Yi J, Murray KT (2006) Quantitation of pro-

tein kinase A-mediated trafficking of cardiac sodium channels in

stein DB (2007) Nova2 interacts with a cis-acting polymorphism

to influence the proportions of drug-responsive splice variants of

DM (2015) CaMKII phosphorylation of Na(V)1.5: novel in vitro

sites identified by mass spectrometry and reduced S516 phospho-

rylation in human heart failure. J Proteome Res 14(5):2298-2311

Fotia AB, Ekberg J, Adams DJ, Cook DI, Poronnik P, Kumar S (2004)

Gasser A, Cheng X, Gilmore ES, Tyrrell L, Waxman SG, Dib-

Gehman LT, Meera P, Stoilov P, Shiue L, O'Brien JE, Meisler MH,

Goel P, Manning JA, Kumar S (2015) NEDD4-2 (NEDD4L):

Grimm S, Höhn A, Grune T (2012) Oxidative protein damage and the

Guo A, Gu H, Zhou J, Mulhern D, Wang Y, Lee KA, Yang V, Aguiar

Gustafson TA, Clevinger EC, O'Neill TJ, Yarowsky PJ, Krueger BK

isoforms in rat brain. J Biol Chem 268(25):18648-18653

Hallaq H, Yang Z, Viswanathan PC, Fukuda K, Shen W, Wang DW,

Heinzen EL, Yoon W, Tate SK, Sen A, Wood NW, Sisodiya SM, Gold-

Herren AW, Weber DM, Rigor RR, Margulies KB, Phinney BS, Bers

Natl Acad Sci USA 104(12):5187-5192

motor function. Genes Dev 26(5):445-460

proteasome. Amino Acids 42(1):23-38

Mol Cell Proteomics 13(1):372-387

living cells. Cardiovasc Res 72(2):250-261

SCN1A. Am J Hum Genet 80(5):876-883

279(28):28930-28935

285(34):26149-26161

557(1):1-10

- Hornbeck PV, Zhang B, Murray B, Kornhauser JM, Latham V, Skrzypek E (2015) PhosphoSitePlus, 2014: mutations, PTMs and recalibrations. Nucleic Acids Res 43(1):D512–D520
 Ichel SM, Anderson CS, Lemmang, Cruhar B (2015). Differential mod.
- Iqbal SM, Andavan GS, Lemmens-Gruber R (2015) Differential modulation of fast inactivation in cardiac sodium channel splice variants by fyn tyrosine kinase. Cell Physiol Biochem 37(3):825–837
- Iwata A, Stys PK, Wolf JA, Chen XH, Taylor AG, Meaney DF, Smith DH (2004) Traumatic axonal injury induces proteolytic cleavage of the voltage-gated sodium channels modulated by tetrodotoxin and protease inhibitors. J Neurosci 24(19):4605–4613
- James TF, Nenov MN, Wildburger NC, Lichti CF, Luisi J, Vergara F, Panova-Electronova NI, Nilsson CL, Rudra JS, Green TA, Labate D, Laezza F (2015) The Nav1.2 channel is regulated by GSK3. Biochim Biophys Acta 1850(4):832–844
- Kasteleijn-Nolst Trenité DG, Volkers L, Strengman E, Schippers HM, Perquin W, de Haan GJ, Gkountidi AO, van't Slot R, de Graaf SF, Jocic-Jakubi B, Capovilla G, Covanis A, Parisi P, Veggiotti P, Brinciotti M, Incorpora G, Piccioli M, Cantonetti L, Berkovic SF, Scheffer IE, Brilstra EH, Sonsma AC, Bader AJ, de Kovel CG, Koeleman BP (2015) Clinical and genetic analysis of a family with two rare reflex epilepsies. Seizure 29:90–96
- Kharatmal SB, Singh JN, Sharma SS (2015) Calpain inhibitor, MDL 28170 confer electrophysiological, nociceptive and biochemical

improvement in diabetic neuropathy. Neuropharmacology 97:113-121

- Khoury GA, Baliban RC, Floudas CA. (2011) Proteome-wide posttranslational modification statistics: frequency analysis and curation of the swiss-prot database. Sci Rep, 1 pii: srep00090
- Kim DY, Ingano LA, Carey BW, Pettingell WH, Kovacs DM (2005) Presenilin/gamma-secretase-mediated cleavage of the voltagegated sodium channel beta2-subunit regulates cell adhesion and migration. J Biol Chem 280(24):23251–23261
- Kim DY, Carey BW, Wang H, Ingano LA, Binshtok AM, Wertz MH, Pettingell WH, He P, Lee VM, Woolf CJ, Kovacs DM (2007) BACE1 regulates voltage-gated sodium channels and neuronal activity. Nat Cell Biol 9(7):755–764
- Kim DY, Gersbacher MT, Inquimbert P, Kovacs DM (2011) Reduced sodium channel Na(v)1.1 levels in BACE1-null mice. J Biol Chem 286(10):8106–8116
- Kim DY, Wertz MH, Gautam V, D'Avanzo C, Bhattacharyya R, Kovacs DM (2014) The E280A presenilin mutation reduces voltage-gated sodium channel levels in neuronal cells. Neurodegener Dis 13(2–3):64–68
- Laedermann CJ, Syam N, Pertin M, Decosterd I, Abriel H (2013) β 1and β 3-voltage-gated sodium channel subunits modulate cell surface expression and glycosylation of Nav1.7 in HEK293 cells. Front Cell Neurosci 7:137
- Le Gal F, Salzmann A, Crespel A, Malafosse A (2011) Replication of association between a SCN1A splice variant and febrile seizures. Epilepsia 52(10):e135–e138
- Li M, West JW, Lai Y, Scheuer T, Catterall WA (1992) Functional modulation of brain sodium channels by cAMP-dependent phosphorylation. Neuron 8(6):1151–1159
- Li M, West JW, Numann R, Murphy BJ, Scheuer T, Catterall WA (1993) Convergent regulation of sodium channels by protein kinase C and cAMP-dependent protein kinase. Science 261(5127):1439–1442
- Li HJ, Wan RP, Tang LJ, Liu SJ, Zhao QH, Gao MM, Yi YH, Liao WP, Sun XF, Long YS (2015) Alteration of Scn3a expression is mediated via CpG methylation and MBD2 in mouse hippocampus during postnatal development and seizure condition. Biochim Biophys Acta 1849(1):1–9
- Long YS, Zhao QH, Su T, Cai YL, Zeng Y, Shi YW, Yi YH, Chang HH, Liao WP (2008) Identification of the promoter region and the 5'-untranslated exons of the human voltage-gated sodium channel Nav1.1 gene (SCN1A) and enhancement of gene expression by the 5'-untranslated exons. J Neurosci Res 86(15):3375–3381
- Lossin C (2009) A catalog of SCN1A variants. Brain Dev 31(2):114–130
- Lu CM, Eichelberger JS, Beckman ML, Schade SD, Brown GB (1998) Isolation of the 5'-flanking region for human brain sodium channel subtype II alpha-subunit. J Mol Neurosci 11(3):179–182
- Marionneau C, Abriel H (2015) Regulation of the cardiac Na+ channel NaV1.5 by post-translational modifications. J Mol Cell Cardiol 82:36–47
- Marionneau C, Lichti CF, Lindenbaum P, Charpentier F, Nerbonne JM, Townsend RR, Mérot J (2012) Mass spectrometry-based identification of native cardiac Nav1.5 channel α subunit phosphorylation sites. J Proteome Res 11(12):5994–6007
- Martin MS, Tang B, Ta N, Escayg A (2007) Characterization of 5' untranslated regions of the voltage-gated sodium channels SCN1A, SCN2A, and SCN3A and identification of cis-conserved noncoding sequences. Genomics 90(2):225–235
- Mercier A, Clément R, Harnois T, Bourmeyster N, Bois P, Chatelier A (2015) Nav1.5 channels can reach the plasma membrane through distinct *N*-glycosylation states. Biochim Biophys Acta 1850(6):1215–1223

- Namadurai S, Yereddi NR, Cusdin FS, Huang CL, Chirgadze DY, Jackson AP (2015) A new look at sodium channel β subunits. Open Biol 5(1):140192
- Numann R, Catterall WA, Scheuer T (1991) Functional modulation of brain sodium channels by protein kinase C phosphorylation. Science 254(5028):115–118
- Ogino K, Low SE, Yamada K, Saint-Amant L, Zhou W, Muto A, Asakawa K, Nakai J, Kawakami K, Kuwada JY, Hirata H (2015) RING finger protein 121 facilitates the degradation and membrane localization of voltage-gated sodium channels. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 112(9):2859–2864
- Paillart C, Boudier JL, Boudier JA, Rochat H, Couraud F, Dargent B (1996) Activity-induced internalization and rapid degradation of sodium channels in cultured fetal neurons. J Cell Biol 134(2):499–509
- Renganathan M, Cummins TR, Waxman SG (2002) Nitric oxide blocks fast, slow, and persistent Na+ channels in C-type DRG neurons by S-nitrosylation. J Neurophysiol 87(2):761–775
- Rogers LD, Overall CM (2013) Proteolytic post-translational modification of proteins: proteomic tools and methodology. Mol Cell Proteomics 12(12):3532–3542
- Rougier JS, van Bemmelen MX, Bruce MC, Jespersen T, Gavillet B, Apothéloz F, Cordonier S, Staub O, Rotin D, Abriel H (2005) Molecular determinants of voltage-gated sodium channel regulation by the Nedd4/Nedd4-like proteins. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 288(3):C692–C701
- Sarao R, Gupta SK, Auld VJ, Dunn RJ (1991) Developmentally regulated alternative RNA splicing of rat brain sodium channel mRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res 19(20):5673–5679
- Schade SD, Brown GB (2000) Identifying the promoter region of the human brain sodium channel subtype II gene (SCN2A). Brain Res Mol Brain Res 81(1–2):187–190
- Schlachter K, Gruber-Sedlmayr U, Stogmann E, Lausecker M, Hotzy C, Balzar J, Schuh E, Baumgartner C, Mueller JC, Illig T, Wichmann HE, Lichtner P, Meitinger T, Strom TM, Zimprich A, Zimprich F (2009) A splice site variant in the sodium channel gene SCN1A confers risk of febrile seizures. Neurology. 72(11):974–978
- Schmidt JW, Catterall WA (1987) Palmitylation, sulfation, and glycosylation of the alpha subunit of the sodium channel. Role of posttranslational modifications in channel assembly. J Biol Chem 262(28):13713–13723
- Schoch KM, von Reyn CR, Bian J, Telling GC, Meaney DF, Saatman KE (2013) Brain injury-induced proteolysis is reduced in a novel calpastatin-overexpressing transgenic mouse. J Neurochem 125(6):909–920

- Tate SK, Depondt C, Sisodiya SM, Cavalleri GL, Schorge S, Soranzo N, Thom M, Sen A, Shorvon SD, Sander JW, Wood NW, Goldstein DB (2005) Genetic predictors of the maximum doses patients receive during clinical use of the anti-epileptic drugs carbamazepine and phenytoin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:5507–5512
- Thompson CH, Kahlig KM, George AL Jr (2011) SCN1A splice variants exhibit divergent sensitivity to commonly used antiepileptic drugs. Epilepsia 52(5):1000–1009
- van Bemmelen MX, Rougier JS, Gavillet B, Apothéloz F, Daidié D, Tateyama M, Rivolta I, Thomas MA, Kass RS, Staub O, Abriel H (2004) Cardiac voltage-gated sodium channel Nav1.5 is regulated by Nedd4-2 mediated ubiquitination. Circ Res 95(3):284–291
- von Reyn CR, Spaethling JM, Mesfin MN, Ma M, Neumar RW, Smith DH, Siman R, Meaney DF (2009) Calpain mediates proteolysis of the voltage-gated sodium channel alpha-subunit. J Neurosci 29(33):10350–10356
- von Reyn CR, Mott RE, Siman R, Smith DH, Meaney DF (2012) Mechanisms of calpain mediated proteolysis of voltage gated sodium channel α-subunits following in vitro dynamic stretch injury. J Neurochem 121(5):793–805
- West JW, Numann R, Murphy BJ, Scheuer T, Catterall WA (1991) A phosphorylation site in the Na+ channel required for modulation by protein kinase C. Science 254(5033):866–868
- Wittmack EK, Rush AM, Hudmon A, Waxman SG, Dib-Hajj SD (2005) Voltage-gated sodium channel Nav1.6 is modulated by p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase. J Neurosci 25(28):6621–6630
- Wong HK, Sakurai T, Oyama F, Kaneko K, Wada K, Miyazaki H, Kurosawa M, De Strooper B, Saftig P, Nukina N (2005) beta Subunits of voltage-gated sodium channels are novel substrates of beta-site amyloid precursor protein-cleaving enzyme (BACE1) and gamma-secretase. J Biol Chem 280(24):23009–23017
- Yu FH, Catterall WA (2003) Overview of the voltage-gated sodium channel family. Genome Biol 4(3):207
- Zhou J, Shin HG, Yi J, Shen W, Williams CP, Murray KT (2002) Phosphorylation and putative ER retention signals are required for protein kinase A-mediated potentiation of cardiac sodium current. Circ Res 91(6):540–546
- Zilberter YuI, Starmer CF, Starobin J, Grant AO (1994) Late Na channels in cardiac cells: the physiological role of background Na channels. Biophys J 67(1):153–160