

## Utilisation of CO<sub>2</sub> as "Structure Modifier" of Inorganic **Solids**

M. J. Bennett, [a] I. Dobson, [a] D. M. Benoit, \*[b] and M. G. Francesconi\*[a]

Abstract: Utilisation of CO<sub>2</sub> as a chemical reagent is challenging, due to the molecule's inherent chemical stability. However, CO<sub>2</sub> reacts promptly at high temperature (~1000 °C) with alkaline-earth oxides to form carbonates and such reactions are used towards capture and re-utilisation. In this work, this concept is extended and CO<sub>2</sub> is utilised as a reagent to modify the crystal structure of mixed-metal inorganic solids. Modification of the crystal structure is a "tool" used by materials scientists to tailor the physical property of solids. CO<sub>2</sub> gas was reacted with several isostructural mixed-metal oxides Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub>, Sr<sub>1.8</sub>Ba<sub>0.2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> and Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>3</sub>. These oxides are carefully selected to show anion vacancies in their crystal structure, to act as host sites for CO<sub>2</sub> molecules, leading to the formation of carbonate anions,  $(CO_3)^{2-}$ . The corresponding oxide carbonates were formed successfully and the favourable formation of SrCO<sub>3</sub> as secondary phase was minimised via an innovative, yet simple synthetic procedure involving alternating of CO<sub>2</sub> and air. We also derived a simple model to predict the kinetics of the reactions for the cuprates, using first-principles density functional theory and assimilating the reaction to a gas-surface process.

## Introduction

Materials are at the core of our ever more high-technology society, with the impact of new materials discovery felt throughout on a daily basis. Inorganic materials in particular, have their role in many innovative applications and thus discovery of new inorganic materials has allowed access to new technologies. For example, inorganic materials with semiconducting properties started the computer revolution and now are investigated as photocatalytic materials for environmental applications, superconducting materials could be part of future energy saving plans and are currently key components in Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) instrument and quantum computers. A wide range of imaginative synthetic routes has led not only to brand new chemical classes but also to the modification of structure and properties of known materials in order to target specific applications. In this work, we propose the use of CO<sub>2</sub> gas as a tool to modify the structure of selected materials. If such synthetic route is established among materials chemists, it will offer an additional approach to the synthesis of inorganic materials with the benefit of utilising a gas normally considered harmful to the environment. Increasing concerns have risen over the link between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and rising global temperatures.[1,2]

Interaction of inorganic solids with CO2 gas is being considered in relation to CO<sub>2</sub> capture and utilisation. [3] Zeolites and metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) for example have porous structures which allow them to store quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> gas from emissions, mostly via physisorption.[4-7] Alkaline earth metal oxides MO (M = Ca and Mg) have also been investigated for the capture CO<sub>2</sub> via the formation of metal carbonates MCO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>[8,9]</sup> CO2 reacts readily with alkaline earth metal oxides to form carbonates, due to the strong affinity of the M-O bonds for CO<sub>2</sub>.

In this work, we extend the use of CO<sub>2</sub> for the formation of binary carbonates to the use of CO<sub>2</sub> as reagent to modify the crystal structures of mixed-metal oxides. To obtain a proof-of concept for our method, we have chosen a class of materials, which contain alkaline earth metals and show vacancies in their crystal structures. These vacancies act as hosts for the CO<sub>2</sub>, which then binds to one oxide within the structure to form a carbonate. This process leads to the formation of oxidecarbonates as well as a structural rearrangement, leading to different compounds (oxide-carbonates) with a different crystal structure, albeit related to that of the starting material.

Compounds with general formula A2BO3 (A=metal 1, B=metal 2) or AO(ABO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>n</sub> show anion vacancies. Their crystal structures relate to the n = 1 series of Ruddlesden-Popper phases, A<sub>2</sub>BO<sub>4</sub>, containing 1 perovskite-type layer (ABO<sub>3</sub>) interleaved by 1 NaCl-type layer (AO). The anion-deficiency in A<sub>2</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> compounds when compared with the A<sub>2</sub>BO<sub>4</sub> series, derives from the absence of the two equatorial oxide anions in the coordination sphere of transition metal B. In A2BO3 (A=Ca, Sr, Ba; B=Cu, Pd) the B cation is coordinated by the oxide anions in a square planar manner with each B-O square linked to another via one of its corners to form linear 1D chains

<sup>[</sup>a] M. J. Bennett, I. Dobson, M. G. Francesconi Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of Hull Cottingham Road, Hull HU6 7RX (UK) E-mail: m.g.francesconi@hull.ac.uk

<sup>[</sup>b] D. M. Benoit Department of Physics and Mathematics University of Hull Cottingham Road, Hull HU6 7RX (UK) E-mail: d.benoit@hull.ac.uk

<sup>☐</sup> Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202103608



(Figure 1). The anion vacancies, which are used as "host sites" for CO<sub>2</sub> molecules, are ordered along these chains. A<sub>2</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (A=Ca, Sr, Ba; B=Cu, Pd) compounds have been used as "hosts" for extra anions and, as a consequence, a structural rearrangement had taken place. Oxide insertion in Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> was carried out by Lobo et al. using high pressure O2 gas and resulted in the formation of the  $Sr_2CuO_{3+x}$  series with superconducting properties.[10,11] The crystal structure changed from orthorhombic to tetragonal.

Extensive research was carried out on the fluoride insertion/ substitution in Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> and led to formation of the oxidefluoride,  $Sr_2CuO_2F_{2+\delta}$ ,  $(0\!\leq\!\delta\!\leq\!0.35).^{[12,13]}$  In the fluorination of  $Sr_2CuO_3$  towards  $Sr_2CuO_2F_{2+\delta},\ 1$  oxide anion was replaced by 2 fluorides and extra fluoride anions were inserted into the interstitial sites within the crystal structure. The coordination of the copper cation changed from 4, in Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub>, to 6, in  $Sr_2CuO_2F_{2+\delta}$ , due to the increased anion number. The crystal system also changed from body centred orthorhombic to primitive tetragonal and the extra fluoride anion,  $\delta$ , caused a 2 +/3+ mixed oxidation state of the copper cation as charge compensation mechanism. The structure of  $Sr_2CuO_2F_{2+\delta}$  is classified as an anion-deficient La<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>-type (K<sub>2</sub>NiF<sub>4</sub>-type) structure. In practice, the fluorination process "filled up" the anion vacancies. Within this series, the compound Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2,3</sub> (δ  $\approx$  0.3) shows high T<sub>c</sub> superconductivity (Tc  $\sim$  46 K).

Compounds of general formula Sr<sub>2-x</sub>Ba<sub>x</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub>, derived from Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> via isovalent substitution of Sr<sup>2+</sup> with Ba<sup>2+</sup> were also fluorinated and led to the formation of oxide-fluorides adopting the "full"  $K_2NiF_4$ -type structure. [13–15]

Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>3</sub> is isostructural to Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> and was reported to undergo fluorination to form the oxide-fluoride Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>. [16,17] The coordination of Pd<sup>2+</sup> remains square planar, but, instead of one-dimensional chains of Pd-O squares, there are two-dimensional planes of Pd-O squares in Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>. The structure of Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> is classified as a Nd<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>-type structure.

In this work we use these finding on anion insertion in A<sub>2</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (A=Ca, Sr, Ba; B=Cu, Pd) to obtain a proof-of-concept that




Figure 1. The crystal structure of A<sub>2</sub>BO<sub>4</sub> left, and A<sub>2</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, right with A=Ca, Sr, Ba and B=Cu, Pd. The silver spheres represent the cation A and the red spheres represent the anions O<sub>3</sub>. The blue squares are the B cation, blue spheres with the B–O coordination bonds showing either square-planar of octahedral coordination.

CO<sub>2</sub> gas can be hosted within the vacancies of the crystal structure and give oxide carbonates, as well as inducing a structural rearrangement related to those observed for the fluorination of these materials.

 $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$ , Specifically, here, we obtained  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_{2}(CO_{3}), \quad Sr_{1.75}Ca_{0.25}CuO_{2}(CO_{3}) \quad and \quad Ba_{11}Pd_{11}O_{20}(CO_{3})_{2}$ via the insertion of CO<sub>2</sub> in the respective starting oxides Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub>, Sr<sub>1.8</sub>Ba<sub>0.2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub>, Sr<sub>1.5</sub>Ca<sub>0.5</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> and Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>3</sub>.

Furthermore, we investigate the kinetic constants of the reactions between  $A_2CuO_3$  (A=Ca, Sr, Ba) and  $CO_2$ . We also formulate a simple computational model that relies on insights gained from the reaction of the corresponding metal oxides (AO) with CO<sub>2</sub> to form carbonates. Those have been previously investigated using theoretical methods for both surface[18,19] and bulk calculations [20,21].

## **Experimental Section**

Preparation of Sr<sub>2-x</sub>A<sub>x</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> (A=Ca and Ba): The preparation of Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> was carried out via a high temperature ceramic method using polycrystalline ACO<sub>3</sub> (A=Sr, Ba and Ca) (98.5 % BDH GPR), and CuO (96.0% BDH GPR).[22] All reagents were stored in an oven at approximately 100 °C to prevent moisture contamination. The reagents were mixed in a stoichiometric ratio with acetone to produce a dispersed homogenous mixture. This mixture was then placed into an aluminium crucible that was inserted into a box furnace and heated to 1000 °C for 14 h in static air. Intermediate regrinding and reheating cycles were performed until high purity products (>99%) were achieved. Sr<sub>1.5</sub>Ca<sub>0.5</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> was prepared via the same procedure as Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> except with the reagent mixture compressed into pellets before being heated. Sr<sub>1.8</sub>Ba<sub>0.2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> was prepared in the same way as Sr<sub>1.5</sub>Ca<sub>0.5</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> except that it was heated under  $O_2$  gas. These high-purity oxides were then reacted with CO<sub>2</sub> gas.

Preparation of Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>3</sub>: Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>3</sub> was prepared via a two-step heating process. Firstly, PdO and BaCO<sub>3</sub> (99% Lancaster) were mixed in a stoichiometric ratio with acetone to produce a dispersed homogenous mixture. This mixture was firstly heated to 700°C for 12 h to allow PdO to react with BaO, as well as to prevent PdO reducing to Pd metal. [23] Afterwards a second heating cycle at 1150°C for 50 h was carried out.

Reactions with CO<sub>2</sub>: All the reactions between the solid compounds and CO<sub>2</sub> gas were carried out in a thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) instrument, to monitor the reaction in situ. Pre-weighted samples of  $A_2BO_3$  (A=Sr, Ba and B=Pd, Cu) were placed into an alumina crucible then inserted into the TGA furnace chamber. The samples were initially heated to 1000 °C under compressed air (O<sub>2</sub>/ N<sub>2</sub> mixture). The temperature was then maintained at 1000 °C for 2 minutes to reach equilibrium before the gas flow was changed to pure CO<sub>2</sub>. After a 15-minute isotherm at 1000 °C, the gas flow was switched back to compressed air for the samples to cool to room

The TGA instrument was a Mettler Toledo TGA/DSC 1 Star System and a GC100 gas controller, which showed the weight of the sample changing at different temperatures and gases. All gas flow rates were maintained at a value of 100 ml/min and the temperature ramp rate was at 100 °C/min. The overall change in weight from the reaction, was determined from the difference between the samples weight at 1000 °C under air, to the weight of the sample after the reaction with CO2. The procedure used to calculate the (CO<sub>3</sub>)<sup>2-</sup> content in Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>) was based on the ratio of the



starting mass to that of the residue mass. The accuracy of the TGA balance is given at  $\pm$  0.005 mg.

Powder X-ray diffraction: Powder X-Ray Diffraction (PXRD) was performed on a PANalytical Empyrean Series 2 Diffractometer operating  $CuK_{\alpha 1}$  ( $\lambda = 1.54056 \text{ Å}$ ) radiation. Samples were scanned using an automatic slit at a step size of 0.026° and a net time per step of 304.2 s at a power setting of 40 kV and 30 mA. A  $2\theta^{\circ}$  range of  $5-80^{\circ}$  was used. For collection of data to be used for Rietveld refinements, the scan range was carried out from 5-120° with a 1/4° fixed slit at a step size of 0.026° and a net time per step of 1221.45 s using a power setting of 45 kV and 40 mA. Subsequent analysis was performed either in HighScore Plus 1 using the PDF-2 2012 database of powder patterns. [24] The GSAS suite of programs via the EXPGUI software was used for Rietveld refinements. [25,26] The background was initially modelled using the Cosine Fourier series with twelve terms. The multi-term Simpson's rule integration of the pseudo-Voigt was used in order to model the peaks shapes within the pattern.  $^{\bar{[27,28]}}$ 

Theoretical approach to study the solid-gas reactions: The theoretical modelling of the interaction between CO2 and the AO (A=Ca, Sr and Ba) surfaces was carried out using the ORCA suite of programs (version 3.0.3).[29] The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange correlation functional was used with a resolution of identity approximation for the Coulomb interactions.[30,31] The valence electrons were represented with a triple zeta valence polarised def2-TZVP basis set along with a compatible def2-TZVP/J auxiliary basis set.[32,33] The TightOpt criteria implemented in ORCA was used to stop the geometry optimization at a total energy change of  $1 < 10^{-6}$  Eh, respectively. Dispersion forces for each system were included using the Becke-Johnson damping D3BJ method.[34,35]

A surface model of the AO (A=Ba, Ca and Sr) oxides were prepared using the first top two layers of the reported crystal structures. [36] Figure 2 shows a representation of the AO surface consisting of 18 atoms prepared from the original AO crystal structure.

All AO metal oxides considered have a NaCl type structure. To obtain the required binding energy values and optimised structures, a CO<sub>2</sub> molecule was placed over the metal oxide and allowed to optimise towards forming a carbonate. All calculations were performed on the high-performance computer (HPC), Viper, at the University of Hull.

#### **Results and Discussion**

## Reaction between Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>

In this work, Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> was chosen as a paradigm starting material for "hosting" CO2 in the vacancies of its crystal structure to lead to Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>), in order to demonstrate that CO<sub>2</sub> can be used as a reagent to modify the composition and




Figure 2. The crystal structure of an AO compound (A=Ca, Sr and Ba) is on the left, with the reduced cluster on the right.

crystal structure of materials. In this case, the starting materials are oxides, which, via direct reaction with CO2, give oxide carbonates. The crystal structure of the parent and the resulting compounds are closely related. Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>) was originally reported by Babu et al. as an intermediate during the formation of Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> from SrCO<sub>3</sub> and CuO.<sup>[37]</sup> Later, Miyazaki et al. prepared a purer phase by reacting SrCO<sub>3</sub> and CuO with varying mixtures of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> gases at varying pressures.<sup>[38]</sup>

We aimed at studying the feasibility of CO<sub>2</sub>(g) as a reagent to prepare inorganic solids. Therefore, we chose a known starting oxide and a known product but altered the synthesis procedure in order to focus on a direct reaction between the starting oxide and CO2(g). We carried out direct reactions of Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub>(g) under several different conditions to identify the route leading to the purest Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>). In the first sets of reactions the reaction temperature was varied between 500 and 1000 °C and the dwell time from 5 to 120 minutes. All the steps (heating, dwell and cooling) were carried out under CO<sub>2</sub> gas and the products were mixtures of SrCO<sub>3</sub>, and Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>). The formation of SrCO<sub>3</sub> as secondary phase seemed to occur in all the reactions trialled at different temperatures. In particular, the formation of SrCO<sub>3</sub> seems to start at the beginning of the process, during the heating step.

To minimise the formation of the secondary phase, SrCO<sub>3</sub>, the heating step was carried out under an air flow instead of CO<sub>2</sub>. CO<sub>2</sub> gas was then introduced in the dwell step once the reaction temperature had been reached. In the cooling step, the gas was then switched back to air, again to minimise formation of SrCO<sub>3</sub>. Thermo Gravimetric Analysis (TGA) was used to monitor the reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> with Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub>. The reaction was determined to occur when a weight increase appeared in the TGA graph (Figure 3). This weight increase shows uptake of  $CO_2$  and starts at T = 1000 °C (Figure 3). After trialling several reaction times, the dwell step was determined to be  $T = 1000 \,^{\circ}$ C for 15 minutes. TGA shows that the sample has taken up 16% CO<sub>2</sub> by weight.

PXRD patterns showed that Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>) was the main phase with small amounts of SrCO<sub>3</sub> impurity. A two-phase refinement of PXRD data was carried out, using the structural model of  $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$  by Babu et al. [37] (P4/mmm A=3.9033(2) Å ; c = 7.4925(4) Å) and model by Antao et al. for SrCO<sub>3</sub>. [39] The




Figure 3. TGA curve showing an increase in the weight of the sample Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> as CO<sub>2</sub> is introduced at 1000 °C forming Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>).



PXRD pattern resulting from Rietveld refinement is shown in Figure 4 a. The unit cell parameters, A=3.903 (4) Å; c=7.497(1) Å), are in agreement with the literature, the R values are  $R_p$ = 12.4%,  $R_{wp}$ =17.6%. The weight percentages of  $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$  and  $SrCO_3$  are 85.5 ( $\pm$ 0.1) %  $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$  and 14.6  $\pm$  0.2%  $SrCO_3$ . Structural data are included in Supplemental Information (Table S1).

The presence of  $SrCO_3$  clearly indicate a degree of decomposition of  $Sr_2CuO_3$  during the reaction with  $CO_2$ , however, we could not find sufficiently clear evidence of a copper-containing secondary phase. We can only infer that a small percentage of copper-containing secondary phase is present but could not be detected by PXRD, possibly because of reactivity with moisture resulting in broadening of the diffraction peaks.

A structural rearrangement accompanies the formation of  $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$ . The  $CO_2$  molecule form a carbonate anion via a bond with an oxide anion belonging to the  $SrCuO_3$  structure. The carbonate anion is located in the crystal structure vacancies and this induces a structural rearrangement which the unit cell transitioning from the orthorhombic crystal system to the tetragonal one. The unit cell of  $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$  is shown in the insert of Figure 4 (top right). The structural rearrangement occurring here is reminiscent of that identified for the fluorination of  $Sr_2CuO_3$  to  $Sr_2CuO_2F_{2+\delta}$ .

To test a more general applicability for the use of  $CO_2$  as "structure modifier", we chose other mixed-metal compounds with stoichiometry and crystal structure related to  $Sr_2CuO_3$ , i.e.,  $Ca_2CuO_3$ ,  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_3$  and  $Ba_2PdO_3$ .

# Reactions of $Ca_2CuO_3$ , $Sr_{1.5}Ca_{0.5}CuO_3$ and $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_3$ with $CO_2$

 $Ca_2CuO_3$  (Immm, a=12.25(2), B=3.789(8) and c=3.259(3) Å)<sup>[40]</sup> was reacted with  $CO_2$  in the same conditions as  $Sr_2CuO_3$ , however no formation of oxide-carbonate was obtained. The

reason for this lack of reactivity may be found in the difference in the size of the vacancies between  $Sr_2CuO_3$  and  $Ca_2CuO_3$ . In fact, the size of the anion vacancies in  $Sr_2CuO_3$  is 3.50(2) Å (based on the distance between the Cu atoms in the b direction), whereas the size of the anion vacancies in  $Ca_2CuO_3$  is approximately 3.25(1) Å. The kinetic diameter of  $CO_2$  is 3.3 Å<sup>[41]</sup> hence the anion vacancies in  $Ca_2CuO_3$  may prove too small to host the  $CO_2$  molecule.  $Ca_2CuO_2(CO_3)$  was actually reported in the literature but its preparation required extreme conditions including a high pressure of 6 GPa, at 1300 °C and a AgO flux.<sup>[42]</sup>

To explore this hypothesis, we modified the size of the anion vacancies in  $Sr_2CuO_3$  via isovalent substitutions. Specifically,  $Sr_{1.5}Ca_{0.5}CuO_3$  was selected as a prototype sample to show anion vacancies smaller than those in  $Sr_2CuO_3$  and  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_3$  as a prototype sample to show anion vacancies larger than those in  $Sr_2CuO_3$ .

 $Sr_{1.5}Ca_{0.5}CuO_3$  was reacted 15, 60 and 90 minute at  $1000\,^{\circ}C$  under  $CO_2$ . The PXRD pattern for the 90-minute reaction showed that a  $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$ -type phase had formed, however other phases were present, specifically  $SrCO_3$  and  $SrCaCuO_3$ . A three-phase Rietveld refinement was carried out. In the refinements the model of  $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$  reported by Babu et al. was used for the calcium-copper oxide carbonate. The calcium content was initially set at x=0.5 to reflect the stoichiometric ratio of the reagents.

For the refinement the background was modelled using the Cosine Fourier series with twelve terms. The multi-term Simpson's rule integration of the pseudo-Voigt was used in order to model the peaks shapes within for all phases. [45,46] The parameters refined were the cell parameters and the scale factors for all three-phases. The U<sub>iso</sub> and the atomic coordinates for the strontium and calcium were constrained with each other when refined. The Lorentzian anisotropic strain broadening was also refined as well. The fractional occupancy between the strontium and calcium was also refined within the oxide carbonate phase. The refinement of the occupancies showed that the formula of the sample was actually Sr<sub>1,75</sub>Ca<sub>0,25</sub>CuO<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>).




Figure 4. Rietveld refinement profile for  $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$ , showing the observed (red crosses), calculated (green) and difference (purple) patterns. The reflections belonging to  $SrCO_3$  are marked with (\*) and the red ticks. Inset: Crystal structure of  $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$ . The green spheres represent  $Sr^{2+}$  cations, the blue spheres  $Cu^{2+}$  cations and the red spheres  $O^{2-}$  anions.



This is possibly due to the formation of the secondary phase containing Sr, Ca and Cu for which the refinement of the strontium and calcium occupancies gave a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio hence the SrCaCuO<sub>3</sub> formula. The refinement is shown in Figure 5.

The R-values for this refinement were determined as  $R_{wp}$ = 12.9% and Rp=9.3. The structural data of  $Sr_{1.75}Ca_{0.25}CuO_2(CO_3)$  has also been presented in the Supplemental Information, Table S2. The size of the anion vacancies in  $Sr_{1.75}Ca_{0.25}CuO_2(CO_3)$  is 3.44(3) Å (based on the distance between the Cu atoms in the b direction), hence larger than that in  $Ca_2CuO_3$  is (3.25(1) Å).

 $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_3$  shows a larger unit cell than  $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$ , due to the substitution of 10% of the  $Sr^{2+}$  cations with the larger  $Ba^{2+}$  cations, hence larger vacancies in the crystal structure.  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_3$  was obtained as single phase via solid state reaction and Rietveld refinement of the occupancies of the  $Sr^{2+}$  and  $Ba^{2+}$  cations, confirmed that 10% molar substitution of  $Ba^{2+}$  for  $Sr^{2+}$  has occurred (Figure 2 Supplemental Information).  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_2(CO_3)$  was prepared via the reaction of

 $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_3$  with  $CO_2$ , following the same procedure devised for  $Sr_2CuO_3$ . The PXRD pattern showed that the main phase is  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_2(CO_3)$  oxycarbonate, with  $SrCuO_2$  and  $SrCO_3$  as secondary phases. A three-phase Rietveld refinement was performed using the model by Babu et al. for  $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$ , the one by Antao et al. for  $SrCO_3$ , and the model by Lines et al. for  $SrCuO_2$  is shown in figure 6. Rietveld refinement data are summarised in Table S3, in the Supplemental Information.  $Sr_2O_3$ 

The lattice parameters were refined as A=3.90924(5) Å, c=7.53570(2) Å, V=115.162(3) ų and the R-values of  $R_{wp}=11.3\,\%$  and  $Rp=8.4\,\%$ . The structural data of  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_2(CO_3)$  has also been presented in the Supplemental Information, Table S3. The size of the anion vacancies in  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_2(CO_3)$  is 3.53(2) Å (based on the distance between the Cu atoms in the b direction), hence larger than that in  $Sr_2CuO_3$  is (3.50(2) Å).




Figure 5. Rietveld refinement profile of  $Sr_{1.75}Ca_{0.25}CuO_2(CO_3)$  obtained from a 90-minute reaction of  $Sr_{1.5}Ca_{0.5}CuO_2(CO_3)$  under  $CO_2$ . This shows the observed (red crosses), calculated (green) and difference (purple) patterns. The ticks represent  $Sr_{1.75}Ca_{0.25}CuO_2(CO_3)$  (black),  $SrCaCuO_3$  (red) and  $SrCO_3$  (blue).




Figure 6. Rietveld refinement profile of  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_2(CO_3)$  showing the observed (red crosses), calculated (green) and difference (purple) patterns. The ticks represent  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_2(CO_3)$  (black),  $SrCuO_2$  (red) and  $SrCO_3$  (blue).






Figure 7. Three concentrations vs. time graphs, (1) moles of  $Sr_2CuO_3$  vs. time seconds, (2) In(moles) of  $Sr_2CuO_3$  vs. time seconds, and (3) In(moles) of  $Sr_2CuO_3$  vs. time second. Equations of trendlines and  $R^2$  are given for each graph. The best fit ( $R^2$  = 0.9837) is obtained for a first-order kinetics.

#### Kinetics of formation for oxide-carbonates

Using the change in mass over time provided by the TGA curves (Figure 3), we can determine the order of reaction for the direct reaction between the starting oxides and  $CO_2$  gas, as well as reaction rate, k. We performed this determination for the formation of  $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$ ,  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_2(CO_3)$  and  $Sr_{1.5}Ca_{0.5}CuO_2(CO_3)$ .

All three determinations follow the method outlined briefly here: we identified the data points on the TGA curve resulting from mass increase. In the case of Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>), for example, those were identified at 33.4466 mg (655 seconds) to 37.6611 mg (1355 seconds). Between these two points, the sample mass increased by 4.2145 mg as Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> reacted with CO<sub>2</sub> forming Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>2</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>). This shows that there is an increase of 4.215 mg, entirely due to CO<sub>2</sub> insertion in 700 seconds. Using this relationship, we can infer the amount of Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> utilised at each second from the sample weight gain.

The order of reaction was determined to be 1<sup>st</sup> by fitting a line of best fit for the relationship between the concentration of Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> vs. time (Figure 7 and Figures S1 and S2). The trendline of the graph can also then be used to determine a quantitative value for the rate constant *k*. Figure 7 shows three different graphs for concentration vs. time, (1) moles of Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> vs. time seconds, (2) In(moles) of Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> vs. time seconds, and (3) 1/ (moles) of Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> vs. time seconds, along with the respective kinetic models.

The apparent first-order kinetics constant for this reaction is determined from the slope of the fit to be  $k\!=\!0.0045~\text{s}^{-1}$ . A first-order kinetics model is consistent with a reaction where  $\text{CO}_2$  is constantly replenished (this is the case, due to a constant stream of fresh  $\text{CO}_2$  during the reaction) but where the oxide reaction sites are the limiting factors. Here we assume that the formation of the alkaline-earth/copper oxide-carbonate is the rate determining reaction. For all reactions we neglected the

contribution from the formation of the alkaline-earth carbonates, as subtracting the weight of such carbonates would not change the slope.

The same procedure was followed for the formation reactions of  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_2(CO_3)$  and  $Sr_{1.5}Ca_{0.5}CuO_2(CO_3)$  both reactions also followed first-order kinetics. Our results for the kinetic constants obtained are summarised in Table 1 below.

The reaction rates confirm that the substitution of calcium for strontium in  $Sr_2CuO_3$  results in a lower reaction rate with  $CO_2$  and slower reaction time, compared to the full strontium equivalent.

## First-principles kinetic modelling of the reaction of $CO_2$ with $A_{2-x}B_xCuO_3$ (A, B=Ca, Sr, Ba)

In order to rationalise the kinetic constants measured experimentally (Table 1), we suggest that the  $CO_2$  reaction proceeds through a surface-type mechanism and therefore should be well described by a model comprising a layer of alkaline-earth oxide and where the copper layer plays only a minor part. Indeed, a surface-like reaction occurs in  $A_2CuO_3$  because "voids" are present in their crystal structure. At reaction temperature (approx.  $1000^{\circ}C$ ),  $CO_2$  gas will enter these voids and binds to the oxide anions to form the carbonate. This then means that an investigation of the reaction of  $CO_2$  with an AO oxide surface should be a reasonable proxy for the formation of  $A_{2-x}$ - $B_xCuO_2(CO_3)$ .

**Table 1.** determined values of the apparent first-order kinetic constants for the reactions of  $CO_2$  with  $Sr_2CuO_3$ ,  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_3$  and  $Sr_{1.5}Ca_{0.5}CuO_3$ .


Oxide	Sr <sub>2</sub> CuO <sub>3</sub>	$Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_3$	$Sr_{1.5}Ca_{0.5}CuO_3$
k/s <sup>-1</sup>	0.0045	0.0048	0.0016



There are already a number of studies that investigated the reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> with AO (A=Ca, Sr and Ba) oxides (Karlsen et al. and Schneider). Both of those studies use molecular functionals (PW91 or B3LYP), instead in our study we use PBE, which has traditionally been used for describing processes occurring at surfaces. While this choice might slightly disadvantage the description of molecular CO<sub>2</sub>, it has nevertheless been shown to give realistic surface binding energies. Furthermore, compounds showing perovskite-like structure have been shown to be prone to A enrichment on the surface compared to the bulk, with this segregation affecting reactivity with gas phases. [49]

The optimised structure of  $CO_2$  chemisorbed on a SrO "surface" (see "Theoretical approach to study the solid-gas reactions" in the experimental section for a full description of the surface model) is shown in Figure 8. We note that this structure is in very good agreement with the previous studies of Schneider and Karlsen et al. [18,47] Our results for the bond lengths, O–C–O bond angle and adsorption energy for all three oxides (A= Sr, Ca and Ba) are summarised in Table 2, along with the results obtained with different functionals by Schneider and Karlsen et al. [18,47]. The adsorption energy was determined as the difference between the optimised  $CO_2$  and cluster energy separately to that of the surface-carbonate end-product.

We see that, for all oxides, the  $O_s$ –C distances computed with PBE are similar to those obtained with PW91, as expected since those two functionals are similar, and slightly shorter than the B3LYP results of Karlsen. This effectively puts our PBE values in between those of the two approaches. We see a similar trend



**Figure 8.** The optimised carbonate on the AO (A=Ca, Sr and Ba) cluster. The areas where the O–C–O  $\circ$  angles and C-O<sub>S</sub> bond lengths are measures are shown.

for the C–O distances, where this time the B3LYP values are shorter and the PW91 values are longer, with our PBE results in between the two. Finally, the O–C–O angle for all cases is slightly smaller with PBE than with PW91 or B3LYP.

Table 2 shows that the adsorption energies we computed using PBE again are in-between the PW91 results of Schneider and the B3LYP data of Karlsen et al. [47] As expected, our GGA functional delivers results closer to the other GGA functional (PW91) rather than the hybrid B3LYP approach. Overall, our results are fully compatible with the published literature for both structural and energetic data.

#### Kinetic model

In order to gain some insights into the molecular mechanism of the reaction kinetics observed when varying the nature of the alkaline-earth metal (A), we propose the approach below. We assume reversible kinetics and therefore the kinetics of each reaction can be modelled by an Arrhenius-type equation:

$$k_{x} = A \exp\left(-\frac{E_{x}}{T}\right) \tag{1}$$

Where  $E_x$  is the adsorption energy of  $CO_2$  on the oxide surface for A=x, A is the usual pre-exponential factor related to the probability of collision and T is the reaction temperature. To confirm the reversibility assumption or model, we reacted the oxycarbonates in air at the same conditions of time and temperature. The original oxides resulted from this reaction, thus confirming reversibility. This model was originally derived for surface adsorption kinetics, but it seems appropriate to use this here as the adsorption of  $CO_2$  onto the reaction site is likely to be the rate-determining step.

Since the reactions all involve the same reactant  $(CO_2)$  and the reaction mechanism is the same (i.e., insertion into the solid), we assume that A is the same regardless of the nature of the alkaline-earth metal. This enables us to derive a simple relation between the kinetic constants of two reactions. By combining equation (1) for two different oxide surfaces in their logarithmic form, we obtain:

$$lnk_1 = lnA - \frac{E_1}{T}$$
 and  $lnk_2 = lnA - \frac{E_2}{T}$ 

Table 2. Structural parameters of  $CO_2$  adsorbed on three different metal oxides (SrO, CaO and BaO), along with the computed adsorption energy. Those values are also shown with the results of Schneider and Karlsen et al. [18,47] for comparison.

Surface	Functional	O <sub>s</sub> –C distance [Å]	C-O distance [Å]	O–C–O angle [°]	Adsorption energy [kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ]
SrO	PBE (This work)	1.392	1.267	127.0	-163
	PW91 (Schneider)	1.370	1.276	127.5	-188
	B3LYP (Karlsen)	1.460	1.240	132.0	-123
CaO	PBE (This work)	1.413	1.262	128.6	-124
	PW91 (Schneider)	1.384	1.270	129.1	-130
	B3LYP (Karlsen)	1.490	1.230	134.0	-87
BaO	PBE (This work)	1.374	1.272	125.6	-193
	PW91 (Schneider)	1.358	1.282	126.0	-226
	B3LYP (Karlsen)	1.440	1.240	130.4	-158



thus:

$$\ln k_1 - \ln k_2 = \ln A - \frac{E_1}{T} - \left(\ln A - \frac{E_2}{T}\right)$$

leading to:

$$\ln k_1 - \ln k_2 = -\frac{E_1}{T} + \frac{E_2}{T} = \frac{E_2 - E_1}{T} = \frac{\Delta E}{T}$$
 (2)

where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are the kinetic constants for each reaction at temperature T and  $\Delta E$  is the difference in adsorption energy of CO<sub>2</sub> onto the respective oxide surface models.

Using the results from Table 2, we can therefore determine a relation between the logarithms of the kinetic constants from first principles. However, as some of the oxides are actually mixed oxides, we use their stoichiometric composition to their weiaht respective adsorption energy,  $E_{A_xB_yO} = \frac{x}{x+y}E_{AO} + \frac{y}{x+y}E_{BO}$ . Our results are summarised in Table 3.

We use the computed weighted energies  $E_{A_xB_yO}$  from Table 3, to calculate the predicted Reactive adsorption energy difference  $(\Delta E)$  with the kinetic model described earlier (see Equation (2)). The results obtained are shown in Table 4, where we also compute the same values using the kinetic data measured during the reactions.

We observe that there is a strong qualitative agreement between our surface kinetics model and the experimental observations. In particular, both the sign and the magnitude of the energy difference are well predicted for all reactions. For example, we correctly predict that Sr<sub>1.8</sub>Ba<sub>0.2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> reacts faster than the pure strontium copper oxide, while Sr<sub>1.5</sub>Ca<sub>0.5</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> reacts much slower than the other two compounds. This validates our initial assumption of a reaction that follows surface adsorption kinetics. Our model could be useful when predicting the kinetics of other insertion reactions in solids with vacancies in their crystal structure.

Table 3. Experimental rate constants and computed binary oxides adsorption energies, along with the weighted energies used for our kinetic

T=1273/K	k/s <sup>-1</sup>	ln(k)	Binary oxides $E_{AO}/\text{kJ}  \text{mol}^{-1}$	Weighted energies $E_{A_xB_yO}/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	
Sr <sub>2</sub> CuO <sub>3</sub>	0.0045	-5.4037	-163		
Sr <sub>1.8</sub> Ba <sub>0.2</sub> CuO <sub>3</sub>	0.0048	-5.3391	-193	-166	
Sr <sub>1.5</sub> Ca <sub>0.5</sub> CuO <sub>3</sub>	0.0016	-6.4378	-124	-153	

#### Reaction of Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>3</sub> with CO<sub>2</sub>

Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>3</sub> is isostructural to Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> and shows one-dimensional Pd-O chains with Pd<sup>2+</sup> coordinated in a square-planar fashion. Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>3</sub> was therefore used to test the wider applicability of the direct reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> with compounds isostructural with Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub>. Similarly, to Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub>, Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>3</sub> was also reported to undergo fluorination to form the oxide-fluoride Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>. [16,17]

Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>3</sub> was reacted with CO<sub>2</sub> in identical conditions as Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub>. This resulted in a three-phase sample with Ba<sub>11</sub>Pd<sub>11</sub>O<sub>20</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> as the main phase, BaCO<sub>3</sub> and Pd metal. The Rietveld refinement of the sample is shown in Figure 9. The parameters derived from Rietveld refinement are listed in Table S4.

Ba<sub>11</sub>Pd<sub>11</sub>O<sub>20</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was reported by Crooks and Weller and has been labelled as "BaPdO<sub>2</sub>", due to the 1:1:2 ratio between the cations and anion. In Ba<sub>11</sub>Pd<sub>11</sub>O<sub>20</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Pd maintains its square planar coordination as in the starting oxide Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>3</sub>.Hence, the insertion of CO<sub>2</sub> changes the crystal structure and the chemical composition, however, the strong stability of the Pd<sup>2+</sup> cation in square-planar coordination prevents a structural rearrangement identical to the one occurring for Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub>. Similarly, Pd<sup>2+</sup> maintained its original square-planar coordination when undergoing fluorination from  $Ba_2PdO_3$  to  $Ba_2PdO_2F_2$ .<sup>[17]</sup>

Rietveld refinements used the X-ray diffraction data for the models  $Ba_{11}Pd_{11}O_{20}(CO_3)_2$ , [50]  $BaCO_3$  and Pd metal. [52] The refinement calculated  $R_{wp}\!=\!11.4$  and  $\chi^2\!=\!3.981$  and showed in the terms of the weight fraction %,  $Ba_{11}Pd_{11}O_{20}(CO_3)_2$  (50%), BaCO<sub>3</sub> (42%) and Pd metal (8%). The structural data of Ba<sub>11</sub>Pd<sub>11</sub>O<sub>20</sub>(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> has also been presented in the Supplemental Information, Table S4.

#### Conclusion

This works explores the utilisation of CO<sub>2</sub> as a reagent for the modification of the crystal structure of solids. Modifying the crystal structure of solids is a chemical tool to tailor their properties towards specific applications, and we demonstrate that structure tailoring via solid-gas reaction with CO<sub>2</sub> could be added to this toolbox. Selected mixed metal oxides were reacted with CO2 and led to the formation of oxide-carbonates with modified crystal structures. The selected oxides were Sr<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub>, Sr<sub>18</sub>Ba<sub>0.2</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub>, Sr<sub>1.5</sub>Ca<sub>0.5</sub>CuO<sub>3</sub> and Ba<sub>2</sub>PdO<sub>3</sub>. They are all isostructural and showing anion-deficient K2NiF4 structure and were chosen because their crystal structure shows vacancies

Table 4. Reactive adsorption energy difference (△E) derived from experimental kinetics and our model values in brackets. All values are reported in kJ/mol for a temperature of T = 1273 K

$\Delta E = (\ln k_1 - \ln k_2) \cdot T$	Reaction 2 (k <sub>2</sub> )				
Reaction 1 $(k_1)$ Sr <sub>2</sub> CuO <sub>3</sub> $\xrightarrow{CO_2}$ Sr <sub>2</sub> CuO <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	$Sr_2CuO_3 \xrightarrow{CO_2} Sr_2CuO_2CO_3$	$Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_3 \xrightarrow{CO_2} Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_2CO_3$ -0.68 (-3.00)	$Sr_{1.5}Ca_{0.5}CuO_3 \xrightarrow{CO_2} Sr_{1.5}Ca_{0.5}CuO_2CO_3 + 10.94 (+ 9.75)$		
$Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_3 \xrightarrow{CO_2} Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}CuO_2CO_3$	+0.68 (+3.00)	0	+11.63 (+12.75)		
$Sr_{1.5}Ca_{0.5}CuO_3 \xrightarrow{CO_2} Sr_{1.5}Ca_{0.5}CuO_2CO_3$	-10.94 (-9.75)	-11.63 (-12.75)	0		






Figure 9. Rietveld refinement pattern of  $Ba_2PdO_3$  after reacting with  $CO_2$ , showing the observed (red crosses), calculated (green) and difference (purple) patterns. The ticks represent  $Ba_{11}Pd_{11}O_{20}(CO_3)_2$  (black),  $BaCO_3$  (red) and Pd metal (blue).

with potential to be host sites for CO<sub>2</sub>. The actual chemical reactions were designed in a way that prevented as much as possible the formation of the favourable alkaline-earth carbonates as secondary phases. The oxide carbonates  $Sr_2CuO_2(CO_3)$ ,  $Sr_{1.8}Ba_{0.2}Cu\ O_2(CO_3)$ ,  $Sr_{1.75}Ca_{0.25}Cu\ O_2(CO_3)$  and  $Ba_{11}Pd_{11}O_{22}(CO_3)_2$  were obtained and showed filled  $K_2NiF_4$ -type structure, indicating that  $CO_2$  can be used as a reagent to modify the crystal structure of oxides, as well as prepare oxide carbonates.

We proposed a simple model for the kinetics of the reactions between the cuprates and  $CO_2$  based on calculations of the adsorption energy of  $CO_2$  on the surface of the binary alkaline earth metal oxides. We obtained near-quantitative agreement with the kinetics determined for the apparent reactions.

Some initial guidelines can be evinced for the application of  $CO_2$  as crystal structure modifier to a wider range of materials as well as the preparation of novel oxide-carbonates. The  $CO_2$  molecules insert into the vacancies within the crystal structure of the parent compounds and links with an oxide to give the carbonate anion. Hence, the starting materials should be oxides and their crystal structure must show voids to host the  $CO_2$ . Furthermore, we suggest that these vacancies need to be of appropriate size to host  $CO_2$ , the kinetic diameter of which is 3.3 Å.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank the University of Hull for funding a PhD studentship the EU FP7 Marie Curie Network CAPZEO and the EU H2020 MSCA RISE ATMOS. We also acknowledge the Viper High Performance Computing facility of the University of Hull and its support team.

## **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **Data Availability Statement**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**Keywords:** carbon dioxide fixation  $\cdot$  intercalation  $\cdot$  main groups elements  $\cdot$  perovskite phases  $\cdot$  transition metals

- J. G. Olivier, G. Janssens-Maenhout, M. Muntean, J. A. H. W. Peters, Trends in Global CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions: 2014 Report, PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, The Hague, 2014.
- [2] P. Nejat, F. Jomehzadeh, M. Taheri, M. Gohari, M. Majid, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev. 2015, 43, 843–862.
- [3] J. Wang, L. Huang, R. Yang, Z. Zhang, J. Wu, Y. Gao, Q. Wang, D. O'Hare, Z. Zhong, Energy Environ. Sci. 2014, 7, 3478–3518.
- [4] R. Xu, W. Pang, J. Yu, Q. Huo, J. Chen, Chemistry of Zeolites and Related Porous Materials: Synthesis and Structure, Wiley, Clementi Singapore, 2007.
- [5] J. Čejka, A. Corma, S. Zones, Zeolites and Catalysis: Synthesis, Reactions and Applications, Wiley-VCH, New York USA, 2010.
- [6] N. Nijem, Y. J. Chabal, Comment. Inorg. Chem. 2014, 34, 78–102.
- [7] D. Danaci, R. Singh, P. Xiao, P. A. Webley, Chem. Eng. J. 2015, 280, 486–493.
- [8] J. Blamey, E. J. Anthony, J. Wang, I. P. S. Fennel, *Prog. Energ. Combust.* 2010, 36, 260–279.
- [9] W. Liu, H. An, C. Qin, J. Yin, G. Wang, F. B. M. Xu, Energy Fuels 2012, 26, 2751–2767.
- [10] R. C. Lobo, F. C. Berry, C. Greaves, J. Solid State Chem. 1990, 88, 513–519.
- [11] Z. Hiroi, M. Takano, M. Azuma, Y. Takeda, *Nature* **1993**, *364*, 315–317.
- [12] C. Greaves, M. G. Francesconi, Curr. Opin. Solid St. M. 1998, 3, 132–136.
  [13] M. G. Francesconi, P. R. Slater, J. P. Hodges, C. Greaves, P. P. Edwards, M.
- [13] M. G. Francesconi, P. R. Slater, J. P. Hodges, C. Greaves, P. P. Edwards, M Al-Mamouri, M. Slaski, J. Solid State Chem. 1998, 135, 17–27.
- [14] K. Adachi, S. Tatsuki, T. Sugano, T. Tokiwa-Yamamoto, A. Tanabe, *Phys. C Supercond.* **2000**, *334*, 87–94.
- [15] P. R. Slater, J. P. Hodges, M. G. Francesconi, P. P. Edwards, C. Greaves, I. Gameson, M. Slaski, Phys. C. 1995, 253, 16–22.




- [16] Y. Laligant, A. Le Bail, G. Ferey, M. Hervieu, B. Raveau, A. Wilkinson, A. K. Cheetham, Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem. 1988, 25, 237–247.
- [17] T. Baikie, E. L. Dixon, J. F. Rooms, N. A. Young, M. G. Francesconi, Chem. Commun. 2003, 2003, 1580–1581.
- [18] W. F. Schneider, J. Phys. Chem. A 2004, 108, 273-282.
- [19] E. J. Karlsen, M. A. Nygren, L. G. M. Pettersson, J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 7795–7802.
- [20] Y. Duan, D. C. Sorescu, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 133, 074508-074519.
- [21] B. Zhang, Y. Duan, K. Johnson, J. Chem. Phys. 2012, 136, 064516– 064530.
- [22] P. R. Slater, P. P. Edwards, C. Greaves, I. Gameson, M. G. Francesconi, J. P. Hodges, M. Al-Mamouri, M. Slaski, Phys. C Supercond. 1995, 241, 151– 157
- [23] T. Baikie, N. A. Young, M. G. Francesconi, Prog. Solid State Chem. 2007, 35, 265–279.
- [24] HighScore Plus (3.0e (3.0.5)), Almelo, The Netherlands, n.d.
- [25] A. C. Larson, R. B. Von Dreele, B. H. Toby, *General Structure Analysis System (GSAS)*, Almelo, The Netherlands, **2004**.
- [26] B. H. Toby, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2001, 34, 210-213.
- [27] C. J. Howard, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1982, 15, 615-620.
- [28] P. Thompson, D. E. Cox, J. B. Hastings, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1987, 20, 79–83.
- [29] F. Neese, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci. 2012, 2, 73-78.
- [30] F. Neese, J. Comput. Chem. 2003, 24, 1740-1747.
- [31] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
- [32] F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2005, 7, 3297–3305.
- [33] F. Weigend, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 1057-1065.
- [34] S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104-154104-19.
- [35] S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, L. Goerigk, J. Comput. Chem. 2011, 32, 1456–1465.
- [36] W. Gerlach, Zeitschr. Phys. 1922, 9, 184-192.

- [37] T. G. Narendra Babu, D. J. Fish, C. Greaves, J. Mater. Chem. 1991, 1, 677–679
- [38] Y. Miyazaki, H. Yamane, T. Kajitani, T. Oku, K. Hiraga, Y. Morii, K. Fuchizaki, S. Funahashi, T. Hirai, Phys. C. 1992, 191, 434–440.
- [39] S. M. Antao, I. Hassan, Can. Miner. 2009, 47, 1245–1255.
- [40] D. R. Lines, M. T. Weller, D. B. Currie, D. M. Ogborne, *Mater. Res. Bull.* 1991, 26, 323–331.
- [41] J. R. Li, R. Kuppler, H. C. Zhou, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1477-1504.
- [42] E. M. Kopnin, A. T. Matveev, P. S. Salamakha, A. Sato, Phys. C 2003, 384, 163–168.
- [43] S. M. Antao, I. Hassan, Can. Mineral. 2009, 47, 1245–1255.
- [44] D. R. Lines, M. T. Weller, D. B. Currie, D. M. Ogbome, *Mater. Res. Bull.* 1991, 26, 323–331.
- [45] C. J. Howard, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1982, 15, 615-620.
- [46] P. Thompson, D. E. Cox, J. B. Hastings, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1987, 20, 79–83.
- [47] E. J. Karlsen, M. A. Nygren, L. G. M. Pettersson, J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 7795–7802.
- [48] J. Wellendorff, T. L. Silbaugh, D. Garcia-Pintos, J. K. Nørskov, T. Bligaard, F. Studt, C. T. Campbell, Surf. Sci. 2015, 640, 36–44.
- [49] B. Koo, K. Kim, J. K. Kim, H. Kwon, J. W. Han, W. C. Jung, *Joule* 2018, 2, 1476–1499.
- [50] R. J. Crooks, M. T. Weller, J. Solid State Chem. 1997, 128, 220-227.
- [51] J. P. R. de Villiers, Am. Mineral. 1971, 56, 758–767.
- [52] E. A. Owen, E. L. Yates, Philos. Mag. 1933, 15, 472-488.

Manuscript received: October 5, 2021 Version of record online:

## RESEARCH ARTICLE



CO<sub>2</sub> is abundant and free but environmentally unfriendly. It is also unreactive, hence re-purposing can be a challenge. This research article describes how CO<sub>2</sub> can be used as a useful reagent towards the preparation and/or chemical and structural modification of inorganic solids. This

approach is of wide application hence, in the future, it may become part of a toolbox of methods to reduce the environmental impact of CO<sub>2</sub>. Utilisation of Carbon Dioxide as "Structure Modifier" of Inorganic Solids (D. M. Benoit, M. G. Francesconi et al.)

M. J. Bennett, I. Dobson, D. M. Benoit\*, M. G. Francesconi\*

1 - 11

Utilisation of CO<sub>2</sub> as "Structure Modifier" of Inorganic Solids





## ## SPACE RESERVED FOR IMAGE AND LINK

Share your work on social media! Chemistry - A European Journal has added Twitter as a means to promote your article. Twitter is an online microblogging service that enables its users to send and read short messages and media, known as tweets. Please check the pre-written tweet in the galley proofs for accuracy. If you, your team, or institution have a Twitter account, please include its handle @username. Please use hashtags only for the most important keywords, such as #catalysis, #nanoparticles, or #proteindesign. The ToC picture and a link to your article will be added automatically, so the **tweet text must not exceed 250 characters**. This tweet will be posted on the journal's Twitter account (follow us @ChemEurJ) upon publication of your article in its final (possibly unpaginated) form. We recommend you to re-tweet it to alert more researchers about your publication, or to point it out to your institution's social media team.

Please check that the ORCID identifiers listed below are correct. We encourage all authors to provide an ORCID identifier for each coauthor. ORCID is a registry that provides researchers with a unique digital identifier. Some funding agencies recommend or even require the inclusion of ORCID IDs in all published articles, and authors should consult their funding agency guidelines for details. Registration is easy and free; for further information, see http://orcid.org/.

I. Dobson

M. J. Bennett

D. M. Benoit http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7773-6863

M. G. Francesconi http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0124-2197