

CORRESPONDENCE

Open Access

Core intended learning outcomes for tackling health inequalities in undergraduate medicine

Andrea E Williamson^{1*}, Richard Ayres², Jessica Allen³ and Una Macleod⁴

Abstract

Background: Despite there being a concerted effort in recent years to influence what doctors can do to tackle health inequalities in the UK, there has been limited policy focus on what undergraduate students need to learn at medical school in preparation for this. This project led by members of the Health Inequalities Group of the Royal College of General Practitioners in collaboration with the Institute of Health Equity, University College London sought to fill this gap.

Discussion: We conducted a Delphi poll using our teaching and stakeholder networks. We identified 5 areas for learning focusing on key knowledge and skills. These were population concepts, health systems, marginalised patient groups, cultural diversity and ethics.

Summary: These intended learning outcomes about health inequalities represent the best available evidence to date for colleagues seeking to develop core undergraduate medical curricula on the topic.

Keywords: Undergraduate medical curriculum, Intended learning outcomes, Tackling health inequalities, Expert consensus

Background

Inequalities in health are persistent and pervasive, and health services are often not targeted at those who need them most [1,2] Building on the World Health Organisation Commission on the social determinants of health [3] the Marmot review in England made the case for doctors to engage with the underlying social determinants of health [4] and led to a further report on what health professionals can do to tackle health inequalities [5]. The Royal College of General Practitioners, and the Royal College of Physicians have also published reports about the role of doctors in reducing inequalities [6,7], and the Academy of Medical Royal Colleges has published a consensus document on a health inequalities curriculum for postgraduate specialist training [8]. However there has been no specific policy attention paid to what medical students should be learning in UK medical schools to prepare them to take up these challenges when they graduate.

In Aug 2013, the Health Inequalities Standing Group (HISG), of the UK Royal College of General Practitioners (RCGP), in collaboration with the Institute for Health Equity (IHE), University College London sought to fill this gap. HISG is a co-opted committee of general practitioners and patient representatives who have clinical, teaching or research expertise in tackling health inequalities and the health care of marginalised patient groups in the UK. It has an RCGP remit to tackle health inequalities through influencing policy and practice and one of its strategic aims is a focus on undergraduate medical education. The IHE works collaboratively with a range of partners who are working to tackle health inequalities.

The aim of the project was to produce guidance based on experienced consensus and that medical educators working in the UK medical schools would find useful in helping develop teaching and learning about health inequalities in their unique medical school and geographical contexts.

A “Delphi” poll of medical educators across each of the 32 medical schools in the UK and 20 stakeholder organisations (Royal Colleges, British Medical Association, General Medical Council) was conducted. A starter list of intended learning outcomes (ILO’s) mapped to

* Correspondence: andrea.williamson@glasgow.ac.uk

¹General Practice and Primary Care, School of Medicine, MVLS, University of Glasgow, 1 Horselethill Road, Glasgow G12 9LX, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Tomorrows Doctors 2009 which describes the competency outcomes guiding UK medical school curricula by the UK General Medical Council was compiled by HISG members with representation from the student body Medsin (Additional file 1).

These two questions informed the project's development:

What are the core competencies we wish new medical graduates to have so they are equipped for working as an FY1 (doctor in training in the UK) and for lifelong learning?

What are the knowledge skills and attributes that UK medical students interested in learning more about health inequalities could cover?

Using teaching network contacts, snow ball sampling and an online survey, these ILOs were developed using an iterative process of two Delphi rounds (Additional file 2) into a consensus statement for core learning, additional learning and current good practice examples from around the UK. Twenty one medical educators representing 19 of the 32 medical schools in the UK took part. This means the core curriculum that follows represents this particular perspective and does not include other stakeholders, for example patients who experience health inequalities themselves. Participants were encouraged to suggest ILOs that should be removed, modified or new ones that should be added at both stages of the process.

Discussion

The core intended learning outcomes that were agreed from this process cover five areas focusing on key knowledge and skills that provide strong foundations for medical school graduates entering the workforce.

Core intended learning outcomes for tackling health inequalities

1. *Health inequalities-population concepts*

- 1.1. Define the concept of health inequalities using examples from the UK and globally
- 1.2. Understand the concepts behind the social determinants of health
- 1.3. Describe the evidence base for health inequalities aspects of common conditions such as obesity, diabetes, cardio-vascular disease and mental health in the UK and globally
- 1.4. Be able to describe difference between area level indicators of socioeconomic status (SES) and individual level indicators of SES (e.g. obesogenic environment and dietary intake)
- 1.5. Be able to take a targeted social history from patients

2. *Health inequalities- health systems impact*

2.1. Describe how health policy, health care systems and the wider context of society impacts on health inequalities

2.2. Examine the inverse care law using examples from the UK and globally

2.3. Understand the key principles of primary health care and its role in reducing health inequalities

3. *Marginalised patient groups*

3.1. Be able to describe the major problems of health and health care delivery for marginalised patient groups in the UK (e.g. homeless persons, asylum seekers)

3.2. Be able to communicate effectively with patients with special communication needs

3.3. Describe the needs of economically deprived older people and young people in care

3.4. Be able to take measures to safeguard children and other vulnerable persons

4. *Cultural diversity*

4.1. Define 'cultural diversity' and apply this definition with respect to clinical practice

4.2. Critically appraise the use of key terms, such as race, ethnicity, culture, multiculturalism and inequalities of access to health care

4.3. Be able to communicate effectively with patients from diverse backgrounds

4.4. Evaluate institutional prejudices and how these relate to your own perspectives

5. *Health inequalities-ethics*

5.1. Be able to discuss and critique how the concept of a right to health impacts on health care delivery in the UK and elsewhere

5.2. Understand Equality and Human Rights legislation and how it overlaps with health inequalities

5.3. Be able to consider strategies for enacting the important advocacy role that doctors have

5.4. Develop a generic approach to patients from diverse backgrounds, understanding that some patients require more input and advocacy than others

5.5. Demonstrate empathy and compassion with all patients

5.6. Respect the unique perspective of all patients

5.7. Understand the impact your own beliefs and values may have on the care of patients

The first is population concepts since it is impossible to grasp the concept of health inequalities without understanding how health is distributed across society, how it is measured and what influences it. The second is health systems. The world concluded at Alma Ata in 1978 [9] that primary health care was the only way to achieve "health for all". This is echoed in contemporary

calls for universal health care [10]. How this is achieved or not by local and global health systems is a key curriculum area.

The third area relates to marginalised patient groups, for example homeless people [11], people with learning disabilities [12], and children [13]. What should professionals and services do to deliver effective care for these patient groups?

The fourth, cultural diversity, which include the competencies required to perform well as a doctor in modern globalised multi-cultural environments.

The fifth and most pervasive area is ethics. The Delphi respondents echoed strongly Zsuzsanna Jakab, WHO Regional Director for Europe who in her foreword to the WHO report on social determinants of health across Europe, said “health inequities offend against the human right to health and are unnecessary and unjust” [14]. Underpinning that is the principle of advocacy, that doctors can and should influence the circumstances in which their patients are “born, grow, live, work and age” [15]. This is now explicitly proposed by mainstream groups such as the British Medical Association. Doctors are encouraged to be advocates for change beyond their direct role as clinicians “locally, regionally, nationally and internationally” [16]. Equipping future doctors with the tools to do this is a major challenge for medical education.

Three of these areas (health systems, cultural diversity, and ethics) were included in the proposed core learning outcomes for Global Health published by the Global Health Learning Outcomes Working Party in 2012 [17], which is no surprise since tackling health inequalities is a central theme in global health. This consensus statement of core intended learning outcomes for tackling health inequalities builds on that work and now provides the best available evidence for medical educators focused on delivering learning about health inequalities in UK medical schools.

Summary

We hope this consensus statement will serve two additional purposes. One is to inform medical educators working in other countries about this UK based health inequalities learning development. The second is to further strengthen the case for these topic areas and the wider global health learning agenda to be incorporated into undergraduate medical curricula. This will produce medical graduates equipped to recognise and tackle inequity in 21st century healthcare systems [18].

The full report which includes additional intended learning outcomes for students interested in learning more about health inequalities, and inspiring examples of existing good practice in the UK can be accessed at <http://www.rcgp.org.uk/policy/rcgp-policy-areas/health-inequalities.aspx>

Ethical review

Not required. It's a role of HISG to seek and contribute expert peer input through professional networks. Consent was sought and obtained by professionals taking part in the Delphi poll.

Additional files

Additional file 1: DelhipollstarterlistwithGMCcompetencies.

Additional file 2: Delhipollcollatedresults.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

AEW and RA devised the Delphi poll; JA facilitated professional body contacts, AEW collated the Delphi responses; RA reviewed the responses; AEW, RA, UM reviewed and agreed the final Intended Learning Outcomes. AEW, RA, JA and UM contributed to the writing of the commentary article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Members of the Health Inequalities Standing Group of the Royal College of General Practitioners advised on the development of the Delphi poll, facilitated teaching colleagues contacts, and reviewed and agreed the final Intended Learning Outcomes.

Author details

¹General Practice and Primary Care, School of Medicine, MVLS, University of Glasgow, 1 Horselethill Road, Glasgow G12 9LX, UK. ²Plymouth Peninsula Schools of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, UK. ³UCL Institute of Health Equity, University College London, London, UK. ⁴Centre for Health and Population Sciences, Hull York Medical School, York, UK.

Received: 10 February 2014 Accepted: 16 March 2015

Published online: 02 April 2015

References

1. Tudor HJ. The inverse care law. *Lancet*. 1971;297:405–12.
2. GPs at the Deep End. What can NHS Scotland do to prevent and reduce health inequalities? University of Glasgow, Report 20, April 2013 http://www.gla.ac.uk/media/media_271030_en.pdf.
3. CSDH. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. In: Final report of the commission on social determinants of health. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2008.
4. Marmot MG, Allen J, Goldblatt P, Boyce T, McNeish D, Grady M. Fair society, health lives: strategic review of health inequalities in England post 2010. *Marmot Rev*, 2010, London. www.instituteofhealthequity.org/projects/fair-society-healthy-lives-the-marmot-review
5. Allen M, Allen J, Hogarth S, Marmot M. Working for health equity: the role of health professionals. London: UCL Institute of Health Equity; 2013.
6. Royal College of General Practitioners. Addressing health inequalities: a guide for general practitioners. London: RCGP; 2008.
7. Royal College of Physicians. How doctors can close the gap: tackling the social determinants of health through culture change, advocacy and Education. London: RCP; 2010.
8. Holsgrove G. Health inequalities forum: health inequalities curriculum competency project. London: Academy of Medical Royal Colleges; 2009.
9. Declaration of Alma-Ata. International conference on primary health care. Alma-Ata, USSR: World Health Organization, 1978. http://www.who.int/publications/almaata_declaration_en.pdf.
10. Vega J. Universal health coverage: the post-2015 development agenda. *Lancet*. 2013;381:179–80.
11. Homelessness, health, and inclusion. *Editorial Lancet* 2013; 381:276.
12. Mencap. Mencap death by indifference : 74 deaths and counting : a progress report five years on. London: Mencap; 2012.

13. Currie C, Zanotti C, Morgan A, Currie D, de Looze M, Roberts C, et al. eds. Social determinants of health and well-being among young people. Health Behaviour in Schoolaged Children (HBSC) study: International report from the 2009/2010 survey. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2012 (Health Policy for Children and Adolescents, No. 6).
14. World Health Organisation. Humanitarian Health Action: Migrant Health. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2013.
15. Organisation WH. The Social Determinants of Health. 2013. http://www.who.int/social_determinants/en/ (accessed 27/11/2013).
16. British Medical Association. Social determinants of health : what doctors can do. London: BMA; 2011.
17. Johnson O, Bailey SL, Willott C, Crocker-Buque T, Jessop V, Birch M, et al. Global health learning outcomes for medical students in the UK. *Lancet*. 2012;379:2033–5.
18. Frenk J, Chen L, Bhutta ZA, Cohen J, Crisp N, Evans T, et al. Health professionals for a new century: transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. *Lancet*. 2010;376:1923–58.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

