

Objective cough frequency monitoring in real-world practice

Ji-Hyang Lee¹, Woo-Jung Song ¹, Eva Millqvist² and Alyn H. Morice ³

¹Dept of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea. ²Dept of Internal Medicine/Respiratory Medicine and Allergology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden. ³Respiratory Medicine, Institute for Clinical and Applied Health Research, Hull York Medical School, Hull, UK.

Corresponding author: Woo-Jung Song (swj0126@gmail.com)





FIGURE 1 The essential equipment for cough recording. Microphone in position above the head of bed (left-hand image) and tape recorder system (right image). A: main tape recorder; B: electronic on/off unit; C: playback unit with pre-recorded voice; D: chiming clock with microphone. Reproduced from [4] with permission.

However, there is a major limitation inherent in existing cough recording technologies. All current systems record ambient sound for subsequent analysis. We have previously noted a marked reluctance in both patients and relatives to the personal intrusion of unfiltered sound recordings [10]. This is confirmed by the present study in that a quarter of the patients would not agree to carry the device. In an ongoing cough treatment study, 30 chronic cough patients wore the LCM on several occasions without major complaint, but all had meticulous information and instruction about the purpose, use and personal integrity of the recordings (unpublished data; Eva Millqvist). Until such issues of privacy and confidentiality are solved, such as by real-time analysis within the device and the subsequent logging of cough sounds alone, then widespread adoption outside of clinical trials is unlikely.

The authors claim that 24-h cough monitoring was valuable for speech pathologists in planning individual treatments based on a temporal pattern of cough frequency. However, such evaluation requires considerable experience. In their figure 2, they present a "typical" hourly breakdown of cough recording. It shows more or less continual, but intermittent coughing over the whole day. This is a most unusual finding since cough typically diminishes when the patient is asleep to ~10% of the awake cough frequency. Indeed, this observation is confirmed by their own data comparing daytime and night-time cough (see table 4 in VERTIGAN *et al.* [9]).

Furthermore, they claim that cough frequency data is useful for clinicians in evaluating therapeutic responses. However, these were uncontrolled observations and the experience in recent large randomised controlled trials using 24-h cough monitoring is that placebo responses are observed in up to 50% of patients [11], a similar figure to that observed by VERTIGAN *et al.* [9].

Perhaps the most interesting observation was the inclusion of 50 patients with inducible laryngeal obstruction and 21 with a diagnosis of severe asthma. These patient groups exhibited cough counts which were very similar to their patients characterised as refractory chronic cough. The authors come to the surprising conclusion that "cough is increased not only in chronic refractory cough but in other diseases". If one looks at the demographics of these other patients, they are predominantly middle-aged women in their sixth decade and three quarters are female (table 1 in VERTIGAN *et al.* [9]). This is exactly the characteristics described in over 10000 patients presenting to specialist cough clinics worldwide [12]. Given this remarkable similarity is it not more likely that these "other diseases" in fact represent different presentations of the underlying diagnosis of refractory chronic cough?

In support of this hypothesis, patients were administered the Laryngeal Hypersensitivity Questionnaire (LHQ) with the majority scoring outside the established normal range. The LHQ shares several questions with the Hull Airways Reflux Questionnaire (HARQ) which was administered to nearly 2000 patients with refractory or unexplained chronic cough, 95% of whom scored above the upper limit of normal [13]. The HARQ was designed around the known pathophysiology of extra-oesophageal or airway reflux, suggesting a common aetiology may underlie coughing in these diagnoses.

In summary, VERTIGAN et al. [9] analysed the feasibility of LCM and identified several possibilities and obstacles to its use in real-world practice. Advances in cough assessments and technology will, in future,

overcome these difficulties and continuous real time cough monitoring will then provide a valuable tool in diagnosis and management, whatever label is placed on our patients who suffer greatly from the disease of chronic cough.

Provenance: Commissioned article, peer reviewed.

Conflict of interest: J-H. Lee has no conflict of interest to declare. W-J. Song reports grants from MSD and AstraZeneca; consulting fees from MSD and AstraZeneca; and lecture fees from MSD, AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) and Novartis. E. Millqvist has no conflict of interest to declare. A.H. Morice has received consulting fees from Bayer, Bellus, Boehringer Ingelheim, Merck, Pfizer, Proctor & Gamble and Shionogi; lecture fees from Boehringer Ingelheim and AstraZeneca; and grant support from Proctor & Gamble, Merck, Afferent and Infirst.

References

- 1 Birring SS, Prudon B, Carr AJ, *et al.* Development of a symptom specific health status measure for patients with chronic cough: Leicester Cough Questionnaire (LCQ). *Thorax* 2003; 58: 339–343.
- 2 Morice AH, Millqvist E, Bieksiene K, *et al.* ERS guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of chronic cough in adults and children. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 55: 1901136.
- 3 Morice A, Fontana G, Belvisi M, *et al.* ERS guidelines on the assessment of cough. *Eur Respir J* 2007; 29: 1256–1276.
- 4 Woolf C, Rosenberg A. Objective assessment of cough suppressants under clinical conditions using a tape recorder system. *Thorax* 1964; 19: 125–130.
- 5 Birring SS, Fleming T, Matos S, *et al.* The Leicester Cough Monitor: preliminary validation of an automated cough detection system in chronic cough. *Eur Respir J* 2008; 31: 1013–1018.
- 6 Smith JA, Holt K, Dockry R, *et al.* Performance of a digital signal processing algorithm for the accurate quantification of cough frequency. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 58: 2004271.
- 7 Muccino DR, Morice AH, Birring SS, et al. Design and rationale of two phase 3 randomised controlled trials (COUGH-1 and COUGH-2) of gefapixant, a P2X3 receptor antagonist, in refractory or unexplained chronic cough. ERJ Open Res 2020; 6: 00284-2020.
- 8 Ludbrook VJ, Hanrott KE, Kreindler JL, *et al.* Adaptive study design to assess effect of TRPV4 inhibition in patients with chronic cough. *ERJ Open Res* 2021; 7: 00269-2021.
- 9 Vertigan AE, Kapela SL, Birring SS, *et al.* Feasibility and clinical utility of ambulatory cough monitoring in an outpatient clinical setting: a real-world retrospective evaluation. *ERJ Open Res* 2021; 7: 00319-2021.
- 10 Crooks MG, den Brinker A, Hayman Y, *et al.* Continuous cough monitoring using ambient sound recording during convalescence from a COPD exacerbation. *Lung* 2017; 195: 289–294.
- 11 McGarvey L, Birring S, Morice A, et al. Late breaking abstract two phase 3 randomized clinical trials of Gefapixant, a P2X3 receptor antagonist, in refractory or unexplained chronic cough (COUGH-1 and COUGH-2). Eur Respir J 2020; 56: Suppl. 64, 3800.
- 12 Morice AH, Jakes AD, Faruqi S, *et al.* A worldwide survey of chronic cough: a manifestation of enhanced somatosensory response. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 44: 1149–1155.
- 13 Morice AH. On chronic cough diagnosis, classification, and treatment. Lung 2021; 199: 433–434.