The University of Hull

Some Famennian (Upper Devonian) ammonoids from north western Europe

being a Thesis submitted for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in the University of Hull

by

Jonathan David Price, B.Sc. (Hons.), London

December 1982

BEST COPY

AVAILABLE

Variable print quality

•

by J.D. Price

on

Some Famennian (Upper Devonian) ammonoids from north western Europe.

Famennian (Upper Devonian) armonoids and their biostratigraphy are reviewed, with particular reference to the Sauerland and Oberfranken, West Germany. Most european species of the Order Clymeniida are described. The Famennian ammonoid zonal scheme is rationalised and within it 23 faunal levels are proposed. Ammonoid zones and conodont zones are correlated, and the rhomboidea (conodont) Zone is newly recognised to be coeval with the curvispina The following genera and subgenera are dealt with in detail: Zone. Progonioclymenia, Endosiphonites, Sellaclymenia, Biloclymenia, Gonioclymenia (Gon.), Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia), Sphenoclymenia, Platyclymenia (Plat.), Plat. (Pleuroclymenia), Plat. (Trigonoclymenia), Sulcoclymenia, Piriclymenia, Ornatoclymenia, Cyrtoclymenia, Protactoclymenia, Carinoclymenia, Clymenia, Protoxyclymenia, Kosmoclymenia, Genuclymenia, Cymaclymenia, Genn. Nov. D, E, and F. In most cases the types of the type species are illustrated photographically for the first time. The following generic names are recognised to have been wrongly interpreted in the past, and, where necessary new names have been proposed: <u>Kalloclymenia</u>, Biloclymenia, Rectoclymenia and Falciclymenia. Two new subgenera and one new genus are proposed, and two generic names, Protactoclymenia and Endosiphonites, have been revived. Kosmoclymenia is split into four species groups by its ornament and Cymaclymenia has been split into three species groups. Two widely used specific names are recognised to have been placed in the wrong genus; sedgwicki Münster is a Pseudoclymenia (a goniatite), and serpentina Münster is a Protoxyclymenia.

Volume 1



George, Count of Münster (1779-1844)

<u>Contents</u>

•

.

Contents	, i
List of Textfigures	xiii
List of Plates	xviii
List of Illustrations from 19th Century Literature	XX
Summary	xxi
Chapter 1 - Introduction	
Introduction	1
Aims of the thesis	2
Aims and outline of the NERC project	3
Geological setting	
Devonian palaeogeography	5
Upper Devonian Sedimentation	6
Stratigraphy and nature of the Famennian Carbonates	8
Lithology	10
Methods of Study	
Collecting	10
Preparation	´ 11
Illustration	12
Terminology and abbreviations	13
Shell shape: Form coiling and evolution	14
Growth-lines	15
Ornament	15
Sutures	15
Signs used in the synonymy lists	
Introduction	16
The System	17

	Abbreviation of Generic Names	19
	Museums	19
	Acknowledgements	20
·	Chapter 2 - A History of the Study of Famennian Ammonoids	
	The First Forty Years (1831-1871)	22
	Late 19th Century Records	
	North America	26
	Russia	27
	Europe (excluding Germany)	27
	20th Century Studies	•
	Northern Europe	28
	Eastern Rheinische Schiefergebirge	28
	Harz	32
	Oberfranken, Ostthüringen, Säschische Vogtland	32
	Silesia	34
	Czechoslovakia	34
	Dinant Basin and the Eifel Mountains	35
	Armorica	36
	South West England	36
	Poland	37
	Southern Europe	
	Carnic Alps	38
	Montagne Noire	39
	Spain	39
	Greece	39
	North Africa	39
	USSR	40
	South Central Asia	
	Iran	41

Pakistan	42
China	42
Australia	42
North America	43
Western Canada	43
Eastern North America	43
Montana and New Mexico	44
Miscellaneous Subjects	, 44
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Chapter 3 - The ammonoid zonation of the Famennian	
Introduction	45
The Famennian	45
The Famennian of Belgium	46
Ammonoid Zonation in the Famennian of the Rheinische	
Schiefergebirge	49
Early Schemes	49
Wedekind's (1908) Enkeberg Section	5 2
Born (1912a)	55
Wedekind's introduction of a zonal scheme (1913a,b,	
1914, 1926)	56
Schmidt (1921, 1924)	58
Lange (1929)	59
<u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe dOII	60
<u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe dOIII-IV	64
<u>Clymenia</u> Stufe dOV	67
Wocklumeria Stufe dOVI	69
Rationalisation of zonal names	71
<u>curvispina</u> Zone IIa	72
pompeckji Zone IIB	72
<u>sandbergeri</u> Zone IIIa	73

delphinus Zone IIIB	74
annulata Zone IV	74
<u>Clymenia</u> Stufe V	75
<u>hoevelensis</u> Zone V_{α}	76
<u>speciosa</u> Zone Vβ	76
<u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe	7e
<u>brevispina</u> Zone	77
<u>sphaeroides</u> Zone	77
prorsum Zone	78
Faunal levels recognised within the Famennian	78
<u>curvispina</u> Zone Levels 1-?5	79
p <u>ompeckji</u> Zone Levels 6,7	80
dillensis Zone Level 8	80
<u>delphinus</u> Zone Levels 9-11	80
annulata Zone Levels 12-14	80
<u>Clymenia</u> Stufe Levels 15-18	81
Wocklumeria Stufe Levels 19-23	81
Chapter 4 - Classification, phylogeny and evolution of the Clymeniida	
Early Classification of the Clymeniida	
Early Classification schemes: Münster, von Buch and	
Sandberger	83
Hyatt: recognition of families	85

		•
Schindewolf:	Introduction of ontogenetic cons	iderations . 88
Treatise sche	emes: Schindewolf and Ruzhencev	
Future Work		
Evolution of th	ne Clymeniida	
Origin of the	e Clymeniida	
Archoceras	- Hexaclymenia	

Tornoceras - Tornia - clymeniids	94
Alternative theories	96
Classification and Phylogeny	98
Suborder Gonioclymeniina	98
Sellaclymeniaceae	98
Glatziellidae	<u>9</u> 9
Hexaclymeniinae	99
Glatziellinae	99
Sellaclymeniidae	100
Biloclymeniidae	101
Wocklumeriidae	104
Parawocklumeriaceae	104
Gonioclymeniaceae	105
Suborder Clymeniina	107
Platyclymeniidae	107
Platyclymeniinae	107
Subfam. Nov. α	109
Cyrtoclymeniidae	110
Rectoclymeniidae	110
Carinoclymeniidae	111
Clymeniidae	111
Cymaclymeniidae	113
	ц. 2
Chapter 5 - Systematic Descriptions	
Introduction	115
Order Clymeniida Wedekind 1914	118
Suborder Gonioclymeniina Schindewolf 1923	120
Superfamily Sellaclymeniaceae Schindewolf 1923	120
Family Glatziellidae Schindewolf 1928	121
Subfamily Glatziellinae Schindewolf 1928	121

· · ·

•

Subfamily Hexaclymeniinae Lange 1929	122
Genus <u>Hexaclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1923	123
Genus Progonioclymenia Schindewolf 1937	123
Subgenus <u>Progonioclymenia</u> (<u>Progonioclymenia</u>) Schindewolf 1937	124
Prog. (Prog.) acuticostata (Münster 1842)	125
Prog. (Prog.) aegoceras (Frech 1902) .	127
Subgenus Prog. (Soliclymenia) Schindewolf 1937 .	130
Prog. (Soli.) paradoxa (Münster 1839) .	131
Family Sellaclymeniidae Schindewolf 1923	133
Subfamily Sellaclymeniinae Schindewolf 1923	133
Genus <u>Sellaclymenia</u> Hyatt 1884	134
Sellaclymenia plana (Münster 1832)	137
Sellaclymenia torleyi (Wedekind 1914) .	140
Subfamily Costaclymeniinae Ruzhencev 1957	143
Genus Endosiphonites Ansted 1838	
Subgenus End. (<u>Endosiphonites</u>) Ansted 1838	144
End. (End.) muensteri Ansted 1838	145
Subgenus End. (Costaclymenia) Schindewolf 1920.	148
End. (Cost.) binodosa (Münster 1832) .	150
End. (Cost.) kiliani (Wedekind 1914) .	15 3
Family Biloclymeniidae Bogoslovskiy 1955	156
Subfamily Pachyclymeniinae Nov	156
Subfamily Biloclymeniinae Bogoslovskiy 1955	156
Genus <u>Biloclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1921	157
<u>Biloclymenia</u> bilobata (Münster 1839) .	161
<u>Biloclymenia</u> sp. <u>a</u>	162
Family Wocklumeriidae Schindewolf 1937	165
Superfamily Parawocklumeriaceae Schindewolf 1937	166
Family Parawocklumeriidae Schindewolf 1937	166

Superfamily Gonioclymeniaceae Hyatt 1884	167
Family Gonioclymeniidae Hyatt 1884	167
Subfamily Gonioclymeniinae Hyatt 1884	167
Genus <u>Gonioclymenia</u> Hyatt 1884	173
Subgenus <u>Gon</u> . (<u>Gonioclýmenia</u>) Hyatt 1884	173
Gon. (Gon.) speciosa (Münster 1831)	175
<u>Gon. (Gon.) subcarinata</u> (Münster 1839).	179
Subgenus Gon. (Kalloclymenia) Wedekind 1914	184
<u>Gon. (Kall.) subarmata</u> (Münster 1832) .	189
Subgenus Gon. (Subgen. Nov. B)	193
<u>Gon</u> . (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>wocklumensis</u> (Lange 1929)	196
<u>Gon</u> . (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) aff. <u>wocklumensis</u> (Lange 1929)	197
<u>Gon</u> . (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>frechi</u> (Lange 1929)	198
Subgenus <u>Gon</u> . (Subgen. Nov. <u>A</u>)	199
<u>Gon.</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>A) brevispina</u>	
(Lange 1929)	200
Subfamily Sphenoclymeniinae Nov	203
Genus <u>Sphenoclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1920	204
Sphenoclymenia maxima (Münster 1832) .	205
<u>Sphenoclymenia</u> intermedia (Münster	206
	200
Suborder Clymeniina Wedekind 1914	209
Superiamily Clymeniaceae Edwards 1049	200
Subformily Platyclymeniinae Wedekind 1914	210
Comme Distuctumonia Hustt 1884	212
Subrows Dist (Distuciymonis) Wystt 1884	221
Subgenus Plat. (PlatyClymenia) nyalt 1004	221
Plat. (Plat.) annulata (Munster 1032).	231
Plat. (Plat.) richteri wedekind 1914 .	272

Plat. (Plat.) nodosa (Münster 1839)	245
Subgenus Plat. (Pleuroclymenia) Schindewolf 1934	247
Plat. (Pleur.) crassissima Schindewolf	
1955	249
Subgenus <u>Plat</u> . (<u>Trigonoclymenia</u>) Schindevolf	250
$1934 \dots (T :) \dots (Y) = (Y)^{1} = (242)$	200 252
Plat. (Trig.) spinosa (Munster 1842)	252
Subgenus <u>Plat</u> . (<u>Spinoclymenia</u>) Bogoslovskiy 1962	254
Genus <u>Stenoclymenia</u> Lange 1929	255
Subfamily Nov. α	257
Genus <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1972	258
<u>Sulc</u> . <u>sulcata</u> (Schindewolf 1923a)	259
Genus <u>Piriclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1937	261
Piri. piriformis (Schmidt 1924)	262
Genus <u>Ornatoclymenia</u> Bogoslovskiy 1979	265
<u>Orn</u> . <u>ornata</u> (Münster 1834)	266
Family Cyrtoclymeniidae Hyatt 1884	270
Genus Cyrtoclymenia Hyatt 1884	271
Cyrt. angustiseptata (Münster 1832)	275
?Cyrt. inflata (Münster 1832)	278
<u>Cyrt</u> . <u>plicata</u> (Münster 1839)	279
Genus Protactoclymenia Wedekind 1908	284
Family Rectoclymeniidae Schindewolf 1923	286
Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> (= <u>Rectoclymenia</u> <u>auctt</u> .)	287
Gen. Nov. <u>flexuosa</u> (Münster 1840)	291
Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> (= <u>Falciclymenia</u> <u>auctt</u> .)	294
Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> sp. nov. <u>a</u>	298
?Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> sp. <u>b</u>	301
Family Carinoclymeniidae Bogoslovskiy 1975	30 2
Gen. Nov. <u>F</u>	303
Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> <u>stuckenbergi</u> (Tokarenko	
1903)	305

•

·

Gen. Nov. <u>F falcifera</u> (Münster 1842)	307
Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> <u>costata</u> (Münster 1842)	308
Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> subflexuosa (Münster 1840) .	310
Genus <u>Carinoclymenia</u> Bogoslovskiy 1965	312
Carinoclymenia beuelensis (Lange 1929) .	315
Family Clymeniidae Edwards 1849	318
Genus <u>Clymenia</u> Münster 1834	319
<u>C1</u> . <u>laevigata</u> (Münster 1831)	325
<u>C1. spiratissima</u> Schindewolf 1923a	331
? <u>C1. cingulata</u> Gümbel 1863	335
Genus Protoxyclymenia Schindewolf 1923a	336
Pro. dunkeri (Münster 1839)	337
Pro. serpentina (Münster 1832)	342
Genus <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1949a	346
Group I	356
Kosmo. undulata (Münster 1832)	356
Kosmo. subundulata (Wedekind 1914)	365
Kosmo. inaequistriata (Münster 1832)	373
Kosmo. sp. a	377
Group II	378
Kosmo. <u>sublaevis</u> (Münster 1832)	382
Kosmo. wocklumeri (Wedekind 1914)	385
Kosmo. bisulcata (Münster 1840)	387
Group III	387
Kosmo. colubrina (Lange 1929)	390
Kosmo. sp. <u>h</u>	392
Group IV	393
Family Cymaclymeniidae Hyatt 1884	397
Genus Genuclymenia Wedekind 1908	398
Genus Cymaclymenia Hyatt 1884	402

<u>striata</u> Group	207
Cyma. striata (Nünster 1832)	407
Cyma. aff. striata (Münster 1832)	- 13
Cyma. camerata Schindewolf 1923a	416
Cyma. evoluta (Schmidt 1924)	419
Cyma. involvens Lange 1929	423
Cyma. aff. barbarae (Loewinson-Lessing	
1892)	426
<u>Cyma</u> . sp. <u>a</u>	428
Cyma. sp. b	430
Cyma. serpentina Schmidt 1924	431
Cyma. aff. serpentina Schmidt 1924	432
<u>dorsocostata</u> Group	434
<u>Cyma</u> . <u>dorsocostata</u> (Münster 1840)	434
<u>Cyma. semistriata</u> (Münster 1832)	435
costellata Group	439
Cyma. costellata (Münster 1832)	441
Cyma. aff. costellata (Münster 1832)	444
<u>Cyma</u> . sp. <u>c</u>	447
ting graphs	449
	450
erature References	451

Data used in plotting graphs	449
Musuem Codes	450
Abbreviated Literature References	451
Taxonomic Abbreviations (Sections 1-3)	452
Data Section 1	456
Data Section 2	459
Data Section 3	460

Chapter 6 - Conodont zonation of the Famennian, and its correlation with the ammonoid zonation.

•

.

Introduction	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	••••	461
Frasnian-Famer	nian boundary	· · · · · · · ·	· · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·		462

triangularis Zone	463
crepida Zone	466
rhomboidea Zone	469
<u>marginifera</u> Zone	470
velifer Zone	471
styriacus Zone	472
costatus Zone	474
praesulcata and sulcata Zones: Protognathodus faunas	475
Summary	477
New data relating to ammonoid/conodont zonal correlation	478
Appendix 1 - Faunal lists	482
Chapter 7 - Collecting localities and details of faunas	
Introduction	485
Localities mentioned in the text	485
Collecting localities	487
Ainkhausen	487
Beil	487
Bilsteinhöhle	49 3
Borke-Wehr	494
Dasberg	494
Drewer	494
Effenberg	495
Geuser	495
Hövel	496
Kattensiepen	499
Nehden	499
Oberrödinghausen	500
0ese	500
Reigern	501

.

١.

`

Schübelhammer	301
Wäschholz	501
Appendix 1 - Specimens deposited in the University of Hull	503
Appendix 2 – Sample number details	521

Chapter 8 - A short biography of Count Münster, including 523 a list of his publications dealing with Famennian ammonoids.

Illustrations taken from the 19th Century Literature

References	•••••	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	528
Supplementar	y References	•••••••	555

Volume 1

Chapter 1

- 1.1 Mid- and Late-Devonian palaeogeography of north west Europe
- 1.2 Diagrammatic cross-section through the Rhenohercynian fold belt, L. Devonian to U. Carboniferous
- 1.3 Time ranges and dates of cessation of reef-growth in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge
- 1.4 Distribution of carbonate complexes in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge
- 1.5 Palaeogeography of the eastern Rheinische Schiefergebirge during the early Famennian (Nehdenian)
- 1.6 Geological map of the Sauerland
- 1.7 Morphological terms used in the descriptions of ammonoids

Chapter 3

- 3.1 Chart showing correlation between various names given to Famennian Stufen
- 3.2a-d Charts illustrating the correlation between zonal names used in the Famennian
- 3.3 Ammonoid faunal ranges through the Famennian at Enkeberg
- 3.4 Range chart showing the 23 faunal levels recognised in the Famennian

Chapter 4

- 4.1 Former clymeniid classification schemes
- 4.2 Early whorls of clymeniids, showing sutural ontogeny and dorsal migration of the siphuncle
- 4.3a Schindewolf's (1972) theory for the origin of the Clymeniida (included within the text)
- 4.3b House's (1970) theory for the origin of the Clymeniida

- 4.4 Classification of the Order Clymeniida
- 4.5 Clymeniida generic range chart for the Famennian
- 4.6 Sutures of the Parawocklumeriidae, Glatziellinae, and Hexaclymeniinae
- 4.7 Sutures of the Sellaclymeniaceae
- 4.8 Sutures of the Biloclymeniinae and Wocklumeriidae
- 4.9 Sutures of the Parawocklumeriaceae
- 4.10 Sutures of the Gonioclymeniinae
- 4.11 Sutures of the Gonioclymeniidae
- 4.12 Sutures of the Platyclymeniinae
- 4.13 Sutures of the Clymeniidae and Platyclymeniidae
- 4.14 Sutures of the Rectoclymeniidae
- 4.15 Sutures of the Cymaclymeniidae
- 4.16 Sutures of the Cymaclymeniidae
- Volume 2
- Chapter 5
- Growth-lines, sutures and cross-sections 5.1 Hexaclymeniinae 5.2 <u>Sellaclymenia</u>, Biloclymeniinae 5.4 <u>Endosiphonites</u>
- 5.7 <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Gon.) and <u>Sphenoclymenia</u>
- 5.9 <u>Gonioclymenia</u>
- 5.12 Platyclymeniidae
- 5.17 Cyrtoclymeniidae
- 5.19 Carinoclymeniidae
- 5.22 Clymenia
- 5.24 Kosmoclymenia and Protoxyclymenia
- 5.26 Kosmoclymenia and Protoxyclymenia
- 5.27 <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>

- 5.29 Kosmoclymenia and Protoxyclymenia
- 5.30 <u>Genuclymenia</u>
- 5.31 <u>Cymaclymenia</u>
- 5.33 <u>Cymaclymenia</u>

Graphs and Growth Curves

- 5.3 <u>Sellaclymenia</u>
- 5.5 <u>Endosiphonites</u>
- 5.6 <u>Biloclymenia</u>
- 5.8 <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Gon.)
- 5.10 <u>Gon. (Kalloclymenia</u>)
- 5.11 <u>Gon.</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>A</u>,<u>B</u>)
- 5.13 Platyclymeniinae
- 5.14 Platyclymenia (Plat.)
- 5.15 <u>Plat.</u> (Trigonoclymenia) and Plat. (Pleuroclymenia)
- 5.16 Subfam. Nov. α
- 5.18 <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>
- 5.20 Gen. Nov. F
- 5.21 <u>Carinoclymenia</u> beuelensis
- 5.23 <u>Clymenia</u>
- 5.25 <u>Protoxyclymenia</u>
- 5.28 Kosmoclymenia
- 5.32 <u>Cymaclymenia striata</u> group
- 5.34 <u>Cymaclymenia</u> dorsocostata group
- 5.35 Explanation of headings used in data lists

Chapter 6

- 6.1a-c Measured sections through the Famennian from which conodonts and ammonoids have been collected
- 6.2 Ammonoid faunas from Western Australia dated by conodonts

Chapter 7

- 7.1 Localities in Thuringia, Oberfranken and Sachsische-Vogtland
- 7.2a Sketch of Beil, showing Outcrops A-D and Trench E
- 7.2b Sketch map of outcrops at Beil in the immediate vicinity of Trench E
- 7.3a Sketch of Outcrop A, Beil
- 7.3b Sketch of Outcrop C1, Beil
- 7.4a Sketch of Outcrop A, Beil
- 7.4b Sketch of Quarry H, Beil
- 7.5a Sketch map of Ainkhausen
- 7.5b Sketch of quarry-face, Ainkhausen
- 7.5c Sketch map of Bilsteinhöhle
- 7.5d Sketch of section, Bilsteinhöhle
- 7.6a Sketch of Section C, Hövel
- 7.6b Sketch map of old quarry near Hövel
- 7.6c Sketch map of old quarry near Hövel, showing sections
- 7.6d Sketch of main quarry (Section A), Hövel
- 7.7a Sketch map of Reigern
- 7.7b Sketch of measured section, Reigern
- 7.8 Measured section at Ainkhausen
- 7.9 Detailed sections of Trench E and Outcrops A,B,C,D, J and quarry H, Beil (enclosure)
- 7.10 Map of Beil
- 7.11 Faunal range chart, Beil
- 7.12a Sketch map of Borke-Wehr
- 7.12b Measured section at Borke-Wehr
- 7.13 Sketch map of Dasberg
- 7.14 Measured section at Effenberg

xvi

- 7.15 Map showing collecting localities at Geuser, Schübelhammer and Wäschholz
- 7.16 Measured section at Hövel
- 7.17 Range chart, Hövel
- 7.18a Sketch map of Kattensiepen
- 7.18b Sketch map of Nehden
- 7.19 Measured section, Oese
- 7.20 Measured profile at Reigern
- 7.21 Chart relating trench debris to outcrop, Trench E, Beil

. . .

. 1

. . .

÷ .

Chapter 8

8.1 Pages listing ammonoids, from Münster's hand-written catalogue

8.2 Specimen labels written by Münster

•

and a second second

Volume 2

Chapter 5

5.1	Hexaclymeniidae and <u>Endosiphonites</u>
5.2	Endosiphonites
5.3	<u>Gonioclymenia (Gon</u> .)
5.4	<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gon</u> .)
5.5	<u>Gon</u> . (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>)
5.6	<u>Gon</u> . (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>)
5.7	<u>Gonioclymenia</u>
5.8	<u>Gon.</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>)
5.9	<u>Sphenoclymenia</u> and <u>Gon</u> . (Subgen. Nov. <u>A)</u>
5.10	<u>Gonioclymenia</u> and <u>Sellaclymenia</u>
5.11	Biloclymeniinae
5.12	<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Plat</u> .)
5.13	<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Plat</u> .)
5.14	<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Plat</u> .)
5.15	<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Plat</u> .)
5.16	<u>Plat. (Platyclymenia), Plat. (Pleuroclymenia)</u> and <u>Stenoclymenia</u>
5.17	Plat. (Trigonoclymenia)
5.18	<u>Clymenia</u>
5.19	Subfam. Nov. a
5.20	Clymenia, Kosmoclymenia, Ornatoclymenia and Protoxy- clymenia
5.21	<u>Kosmoclymenia</u>
5.22	Kosmoclymenia
5.23	Kosmoclymenia
5.24	<u>Kosmoclymenia</u>
5.25	<u>Kosmoclymenia</u>

- 5.27 Kosmoclymenia
- 5.28 Kosmoclymenia, Protoxyclymenia and Genuclymenia
- 5.29 <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>
- 5.30 Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> and <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>
- 5.31 Genn. Nov. <u>D</u>, <u>E</u>, <u>F</u>, and <u>Rectoclymenia</u>
- 5.32 <u>Carinoclymenia</u>
- 5.33 <u>Protornoceras</u>, <u>Sellaclymenia</u>, <u>Endosiphonites</u> and Gen. Nov. <u>E</u>
- 5.34 Cyrtoclymeniidae
- 5.35 <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>
- 5.36 <u>Genuclymenia</u>
- 5.37 <u>Cymaclymenia</u>
- 5.38 <u>Cymaclymenia</u>
- 5.39 Cymaclymenia
- 5.40 <u>Cymaclymenia</u>
- 5.41 <u>Cymaclymenia</u>
- 5.42 Cymaclymenia

Chapter 7

- 7.1a Beil, Trench E and Outcrops A-D
- 7.1b Trench E
- 7.2a Beil, Outcrop A, from the west
- 7.2b Beil, Outcrop Cl
- 7.3a Beil, Outcrop A, from the north
- 7.3b Beil, Quarry H, from the west
- 7.4a Ainkhausen, east face of quarry
- 7.4b Bilsteinhöhle
- 7.5a Hövel, Section C
- 7.5b Hövel, main quarry (Section A)
- 7.6a Drewer, Ost Prozinzial Steinbruch. Slumped Famennian limestones
- 7.6b Reigern Quarry

Illustrations taken from 19th Century Literature

Münster 1832 (1843 edition) plates Ia-VIa

Münster 1839 plates II, III, XVI-XVIII

Münster 1840 plate XVI

Münster 1842 plates XI,XII

Richter 1848 plates III-V

Sandberger and Sandberger 1850-56 plates V,VI,VIII,IX,X,Xa,Xb

Sandberger 1853 plates VII,VIII

Gümbel 1863 plates XV-XXI

Summary

This study of the taxonomy and stratigraphy of Famennian (uppermost Devonian) ammonoids in western Europe arises out of an NERC funded research project entitled "Devonian Ammonoid Stufen of the Rheinisches Schiefergebirge". Material was collected from the condensed cephalopod limestones of the Sauerland and Oberfranken.

All of the accessible museum specimens described in the literature during the last 150 years, especially those in the collections of Graf Münster, were examined and are illustrated here photographically for the first time.

Chapter 2 details the history of study of Famennian ammonoids, both in western Europe, and the rest of the world.

In Chapter 3 there is a critical view of all the ammonoid zonal schemes proposed for the Famennian, pointing out any discrepancies observed. The work of Wedekind (1908) and Schindewolf (1937a) is particularly important here, for the lower and uppermost part of the succession. The data presented in this thesis supplements the lower records and attempts to fill the gap in the sequence which has not been subject to precise study before. The nine ammonoid zones widely used in the Famennian are objectively defined, for the first time. A coherent series of 23 faunal levels are newly recognised within the Famennian.

A detailed review of the development of the classification of the Order Clymeniida is presented in Chapter 4. The scheme of Ruzhencev (1957), subsequently modified by Bogoslovskiy (1960-81) and Weyer (1981) is used. However, the Platyclymeniidae and the Biloclymeniidae are newly subdivided, and subfamilies proposed (Textfig. 4.4). The distinctive sutures of all clymeniid genera are illustrated (Textfigs. 4.6-16). Comments are passed on evolution within the Order, and Schindewolf's theory for the

 $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{i}$

origin of clymeniids is endorsed.

Systematic descriptions, principally of species introduced by Münster, comprise the whole of Chapter 5. Type specimens are newly recognised or proposed for nearly all species. Fossils are illustrated in forty-two photographic plates, and sutures, growth-lines and cross-sections are presented in 16 Textfigures. Measurements from each species are presented graphically, as a means of distinguishing and defining them.

The important parts of the systematic descriptions will be highlighted. <u>Progonioclymenia</u> (Prog.) <u>aegoceras</u> and <u>Prog.</u> (Prog.) <u>acuticostata</u> are recognised as separate species, with authorship of the latter being credited to Münster. <u>Endosiphonites</u> is recognised as a valid generic name, and is divided into two subgenera, including <u>Costaclymenia</u>. <u>End.</u> (<u>Cost.</u>) <u>binodosa</u> and <u>End.</u> (<u>Cost.</u>) <u>kiliani</u> are recognised as separate species; a neotype is proposed for the former. The species <u>bowsheri</u> Miller and Collinson is placed within this genus, rather than <u>Falciclymenia</u>. <u>Biloclymenia</u> is newly recognised as the senior synonym of <u>Kiaclymenia</u>, and its type species is <u>laevis</u> Richter, rather than <u>bilobata</u> Münster. A new name is required for <u>Biloclymenia sensu</u> <u>auctt</u>.

All of Münster's gonioclymeniid species are discussed, but few names can be associated with type specimens. <u>Otoclymenia</u> is recognised to be a junior synonym of <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>), and species without a ventral groove and parabolic growth-lines are accommodated within two new subgenera, one (<u>A</u>) including those species with a strong spinose ornament. Records of <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe are confirmed, and the entry of <u>Gon</u>. (Subgen. Nov. <u>A</u>) <u>brevispina</u> is used to mark the <u>Clymenia</u>-<u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe boundary.

xxii

The new subfamily Platyclymeniinae is proposed to include <u>Platyclymenia</u> and related genera with simple sutures. The type species of <u>Platyclymenia</u>, <u>annulata</u>, is redefined in the sense of the original illustrations of Münster, using material from Oberfranken. <u>Clymenia densicosta</u> Frech is recognised as a synonym. Specimens from the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, widely referred to as <u>annulata</u>, are included within the species <u>richteri</u> Wedekind. The morphology of the many species of <u>Platyclymenia</u> is reviewed. Whorl shape and ornament are analysed graphically, and the results confirm the validity of the morphological criteria by which <u>Platyclymenia</u> is divided into subgenera.

The genera <u>Sulcoclymenia</u>, <u>Piriclymenia</u> and <u>Ornatoclymenia</u> are included within a newly proposed subfamily.

The simple sutured subinvolute or involute clymeniid genera <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> and <u>Rectoclymenia</u> are subjected to major revision based on an analysis of growth-line shape. <u>Rectoclymenia</u> itself is recognised as an invalid name and Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> is proposed to replace it. It is restricted to containing those species with radial growth-lines. A new genus, <u>E</u>, is proposed to replace <u>Falciclymenia sensu lato</u>, because this, when interpreted strictly, must be treated as a goniatite. A new genus, <u>F</u>, is erected to contain species with prorsiradiate growth-lines, formerly included within <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> or <u>Rectoclymenia</u>. Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> also has a suture with a weak ventral lobe.

<u>Clymenia</u> itself is considered to date from 1831. Species within the genus can be distinguished graphically. The species <u>serpentina</u> Münster is newly recognised to be a <u>Protoxyclymenia</u>.

A neotype is proposed for <u>undulata</u>, the type species of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>. <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> is newly divided into four species groups, according to growth-line shape and ventral ornament. These are: I, species with biconvex growth-lines and a ventral

xxiii

band; II, species with biconvex ornament, lacking a ventral band; III, species with strongly biconvex ornament, ventro-lateral grooves and a rounded keel developed at relatively large diameters; IV, small species with prorsiradiate weakly biconvex growth-lines, strong ventro-lateral grooves, and a tabulate venter. The species <u>bisulcata</u>, long wrongly interpreted as a species now recognised as belonging in Group IV, is included in Group III. The distinctive ventral ornament of species in Group I is described, and is shown to vary between isolated spinnaker-shaped spines and continuous paired ventro-lateral flares, joined by projections from the venter.

The species <u>sedgwickii</u> Münster, long included within this genus, is recognised to belong in <u>Pseudoclymenia</u>, which is a tornoceratid goniatite.

The type species of <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, <u>striata</u>, is redefined by reference to type material and original illustrations. Thus interpreted it is considered to be not synonymous with the usage of other authors this century.

<u>Cymaclymenia</u> is split into three species groups by growthline shape and ornament: <u>striata</u> Group, <u>dorsocostata</u> Group and <u>costellata</u> Group. Species can be distinguished graphically.

Dorsal wrinkle-layer is described in <u>Biloclymenia</u>, <u>Platyclymenia</u>, <u>Carinoclymenia</u>, <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> and <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, and ventral wrinkle-layer was also observed on these last two named. Dorsal wrinkle-layer in clymeniids is radially directed, consisting of anastomosing lirae. Dorsal wrinkle-layer is expressed as pits on internal moulds.

Chapter 6 critically reviews the correlation between the ammonoid and conodont zonal schemes. Particular attention is paid to those sections from which both ammonoids and conodonts have been collected. Generally the correlation presented by Klapper and Ziegler (1979) is confirmed but this (and references contained therein) lacked detailed substantiating evidence. This is presented here, together with new data relating to particular critical parts of the sequence, summarised in Textfig. 6.3. The <u>rhomboidea</u> Zone is correlated with the <u>curvispina</u> Zone, rather than the <u>pompeckji</u> Zone.

Details of all collecting localities are given in Chapter 7, together with faunal range charts for two important sections, Beil and Hövel. All specimens deposited in the collections of the University of Hull are listed.

Chapter 8 provides a short biography of Count Münster, and includes a bibliography of works dealing with Famennian ammonoids.

Chapter 1

Introduction

Introduction

In 1978, when this research was started, nothing had been written on the topic of Famennian ammonoids from western Germany since Schindewolf's (1937a) important account of the famous Oberrödinghausen railway-cutting section in the Hönnetal. This had been used since then as the reference section for the Devonian/ Carboniferous boundary. Schindewolf, in a series of articles published between 1916 and 1972 contributed much to knowledge of Famennian ammonoids, revising accounts of faunas from Oberfranken, which were the first Famennian ammonoids to be described, and adding considerably to the accounts of Wedekind (1908-1917) and Schmidt (1921, 1924) which dealt with faunas from the Sauerland.

Since the war palaeontological studies of the limestones in which Famennian ammonoids occur have been focussed on conodonts (Sanneman 1955, Ziegler 1962-73, Helms 1959-61), which can play a more useful role in biostratigraphy than coeval ammonoids, largely because of their greater abundance, and more widespread distribution, both geographically and facially.

An ammonoid zonation of the Famennian was developed during the first half of this century (Frech 1902, 1913; Wedekind 1908, 1913a,b, 1914; Schindewolf 1916, 1923a, 1937a) and a conodont zonation was established and correlated with it relatively recently (Ziegler 1962, 1971a,b; Sandberg and Ziegler 1973; Klapper and Ziegler 1979).

During the last twenty years Famennian ammonoids have been newly subjected to detailed taxonomic treatment in England (Selwood 1960), USSR (Bogoslovskiy 1955-81), northern Spain (Kullmann 1960), North Africa (Petter 1959,60), Australia (Petersen 1975) and North America (House 1962-78). For this work material has been collected from many of the localities studied by previous authors in

- -

Germany. From reading the above listed accounts and studying my own material it became increasingly clear that the documentation of species described by previous workers, especially those from the last century, was woefully inadequate for correct and modern interpretation. This confirmed the opinion of Prof. M. R. House, who had established an NERC funded research project (see below) to look at this problem. Schindewolf (1923a) had gone some way to remedying this situation, but he unfortunately left a legacy of nomenclatural problems of his own making, and ambiguities, all of which he had not managed to correct by his death.

Aims of the thesis

This thesis aims to clarify and restate the definitions of To this end many old collections have some Famennian ammonoids. been sought and studied. The works of George, Count Münster are the first in which Famennian ammonoids were described and consequently great importance is to be placed on his collections. Much of these were destroyed during the last war, or have been lost over the last 150 years, so a previously unstudied Münster Collection, now divided between the British Museum and the Sedgwick Museum, Cambridge, was particularly important. Other collections were also searched for and documented, including Sandbergers' at Wiesbaden, Schindewolf's at Marburg, material used by von Buch, Frech, Schmidt and Schindewolf, now in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, besides smaller collections in other museums. Unfortunately the bulk of Wedekind's material at Göttingen could not be made available for study, or was reported by the Curator as lost (Jahnke, pers. comm.).

A wealth of material was examined, but sufficient time was available to deal with the Order Clymeniida only. Documentation

of the Famennian goniatites, especially the Cheiloceratidae, proved a difficult problem, both because most of the Sandbergers' Collection is in disarray, and because such apparently simple goniatites have relatively few morphological features by which they can be discriminated. Also there is little opportunity today in Germany to undertake precise collecting of these faunas. A description of the Cheiloceratidae awaits the attention of future authors.

Contents

Chapter 2 presents a history of the study of Famennian ammonoids. The classification, phylogeny and evolution of the Clymeniida are described in Chapter 4. Chapter 5 is devoted to systematic descriptions of the Clymeniida. Ammonoid zonal schemes are discussed in Chapter 3, and conodont zonal schemes are reviewed in Chapter 6, where some new data relevant to their correlation are presented. Details of collecting localities, sections and faunas are given in Chapter 7. Chapter 8 is a short bibliography of Count Münster. Copies of important and relatively inaccessible illustrations from 19th century publications are placed in an appendix.

Aims and outline of the NERC Project

This thesis on Famennian ammonoids arises out of a project funded by NERC (GR 3/3250) entitled "Devonian Ammonoid Stufen of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge", itself aimed at improving the correlation between the existing ammonoid and conodont zonation of the Famennian in the Sauerland, West Germany. Earlier work nearby at Adorf (Textfig. 1.6; House and Ziegler 1977) had clearly demonstrated a mismatch in the two schemes for the Frasnian.

The project was to draw, in part, on the results of a thesis on the stratigraphy of the upper Devonian and lower Carboniferous of an area north east of Balve, Sauerland (Schäfer 1978), and on earlier work by Ziegler (1962, 1971a) in the same area. However, few sections or precise details of conodont samples were found to Ziegler (1962) had published results of a section be available. through most of the Famennian and into the lower Carboniferous, produced by road widening on the B515 at Oberrödinghausen, (now largely overgrown), and schematic sections of outcrops in the lower and middle Famennian at Beil, and in the upper Famennian at Hövel. Later (1971a) he published a more detailed section for Hövel and gave conodont zones for beds in the railway-cutting section at Oberrödinghausen, in which Paeckelmann (Jongmans and Gothan 1937) had defined the Carboniferous-Devonian boundary. Eickhoff (1973) published contradictory conodont zonal evidence for this section.

4

Schäfer's thesis contains details of at least 300 dated conodont samples, not all however were from the Famennian. Few were sufficiently accurately localised to enable collection of ammonoids from the same positions or horizons to be made. Under Schäfer's direction in November 1977 Sarah Gatley and Tony Beese, then postgraduate students at the University of Hull, collected ammonoids for this study from a trench through the lower Famennian at Beil, which was supplemented by material from the trench spoil, now in the collections at the Phillips University, Marburg. Later I collected material from the middle Famennian at Beil, Ainkhausen, and from the upper Famennian at Oese, Bilsteinhöhle, Dasberg, Hövel and Reigern (see Chapter 7 for precise details). A local amatuer collector, Dieter Korn, was instrumental in showing me numerous other localities where ammonoids could be collected, and he also loaned me many specimens.

Once I had begun to examine the material collected it became



DOMINANT LITHOLOGIES					
Sandstones, conglomerates		Shales		Carbonates	
Sandstones	Sandstones		Organic shales		
Flysch, deep water sand	ds	=== Deep mo	arine shales	Halites	
		OTHER SYMBOLS			
Positive areas	500	Thickness in m.		Variscan deformation front	
★ Volcanics	*	Salt		Alpine deformation front	
+ Intrusives		Coal		Active deformation fronts	
∲ Sea mounts	С	Continental		Faults (schematic)	
Continental slope	3	Direction of clastic s	supply		

Textfig. 1.1 Mid- and Late-Devonian palaeogeography of north west Europe, from Ziegler P.A., 1981, fig. 5.
clear that literature relating to Famennian ammonoids was in dire need of revision, particularly that part dealing with species established by Count Münster. This thesis is the result of investigations to resolve this problem.

Geological Setting

Devonian Palaeogeography

Devonian sedimentation in north western Europe took place in a series of parallel belts which now run roughly east-west (Textfig. 1.1). Principal accounts, in which reference can be found to earlier authors, are by Erben and Zagora (1968), Krebs (1971, 1974, 1979), Meischner (1971) and Franke, Eder, Engel and Langenstrassen Good general treatment of the subject was provided by (1978). Matthews (1977) and P. Ziegler (1981). In the north west lay the Old Red Sandstone Continent, crossed by a series of mountain ranges the uplift and erosion of which provided detritus for the northern branch of the "Variscan Geosyncline", which lay in the south east. It comprised an inner and an outer shelf, characterised by terrigenous clastics and carbonates, respectively, with a predominately neritic fauna. Schmidt (1935) used the term "Rhenish" for this Beyond the shelf lay the trough, characterised by shelfbelt. derived turbiditic sandstones and limestones, shales and fine This was termed the "Hercynian" grained pelagic carbonates. Facies (Schmidt 1935). Later Schmidt (1952) interpreted the shales as being deposited in relatively unstable basinal areas (termed Becken) and the limestones to have been deposited on stable rises (termed Schwellen). Forming the southern margin of the trough was another shelf (the internal shelf of German authors) which lay against a narrow but laterally extensive island or chain of islands, the Mitteldeutsche Kristallinschwelle (Brinckmann 1948).



Textfig. 1.2 Diagrammatic cross-section through the Rhenohercynian fold belt of the Variscan geosyncline from Lower Devonian to Upper Carboniferous times. 1-9, external shelf; 10-16, basin; 17-18, internal shelf; 19-20, flysch; 21, pre-orogenic molasse; 22-23, post-orogenic molasse. Arrows with dots = sandstone turbidites; black arrows = limestone turbidites, from Krebs 1979, textfig. 1. This is also referred to as the Middle German Island, Franco-Allemanic island etc., and was the source of detritus during the Famennian, but more importantly for the Visean-Namurian Variscan flysch.

This series of belts, affected by the Cale_donian and Variscan Orogenies was termed the Rhenohercynicum (Kossmatt 1928) to distinguish it from the Saxothuringicum, in which there was a continuous undisturbed sequence from the Cambrian, which lay to the south of the Middle German Island. The group of belts comprising the Rheno-hercynian Zone can be traced running from Moravia through Silesia into the Harz Mountains, the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, across the Rhine in the Eifel, the Ardennes, and into southern Palinspastic reconstruction of the Iberian peninsula by England. closure of the Bay of Biscay, allows for a possible recognition of of this zone in southern Portugal (Franke et al. 1978). NO satisfactory plate-tectonic explanation has been advanced for the Theories abound sequence of events in the Variscan Geosyncline. (see Vai 1973 for a review), ranging from a 1000km wide Rheic Ocean downwards, but none has achieved acceptance.

Upper Devonian Sedimentation

This thesis is concerned primarily with the Famennian ammonoid faunas of Germany, so some details of the development of sedimentation in this area during the Devonian are useful. During the lower Devonian large amounts of clastic material derived from the Old Red Sandstone Continent were deposited on a broad shelf, with black shales in the basin (Textfig. 1.2). The middle Devonian was marked by a considerable decrease in the supply of clastics, with fewer, better sorted sandstones and the widespread development of reefs. These began in the Givetian (Textfig. 1.2) and continued into the middle and upper Frasnian; actual dates of cessation

				Trough					External shelf						
			Internal shelf	Stromberg	East - Taunus	Langenaubach	Attendorn	Meggen	Balve	Wülfrath	Dinant-Syncline (Southern flank)	Namur - Syncline (Southern flank)	Hillesheim - Syncl.	Sötenich-Syncline	Aachen
D.	La	Iб													
per	Frasnie	I(N)8	s available		?										
ЧD		Ια											?	?	
ian	Givetien	Upper								?					
101		Middle	ion										I		
De		Lower	inat												
<i>م م ا</i> د	elien	Upper	term					2							
W	Eif	Lower	del					÷							
Г [.] D.		Emsien	0 0												

•	Uppermost part of reef limestones Uppermost part of detrital limestones Lowermost part of pelagic limestones	Stromberg Syncline (MEYER 1970)	Osttaunus (WERDING 1965)	Rodheim (BENDER & BRINCKMANN 1969)	Langenaubach (KREBS 1966)	Attendorn (GWOSDZ 1969)	Brilon (BÄR 1966)	Dornap (BRINCKMANN 1963,KARRENBERG 1965)	Wülfrath (KARRENBERG 1965)	Aachen (KREBS & ZIEGLER 1965)	lberg – Winterberg (KREBS 1963)
9	Lower triangularis - zone								0		
I U	Upper gigos - zone			• •	• 0	Δ	• 0			0	•
0010	Lower gigas - zone					•					
a I(0)	Ancyrognathus triangularis — z.					Δ	Δ	0			
	Upper asymmetricus - zone		• ?								
	Middle asymmetricus - zone										
ЪН	Lower asymmetricus – zone	•						0			

Textfig. 1.3 Time-ranges and dates of cessation of reef-growth in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, from Krebs 1974, figs. 6,7.

of growth are shown in Textfig. 1.3. Krebs (1971) recognised three main types of carbonate complex: Type A, atolls developed on volcanic rises (Lahn-Dill Syncline, Langenaubach-Breitscheid), Type B, shelf-atolls/banks, formed both at the shelf margin (Attendorn, Brilon), and within the shelf (Wülfrath - Dornap - Balve, and Bergisch Gladbach) and Type C, shelf lagoon carbonates (Eifel Mountains). The distribution of these complexes is shown in Textfig. 1.4.

The cause of the cessation of reef growth is problematic. During the Givetian and early Frasnian reef growth had been rapid enough to keep pace with subsidence, but when the subsidence rate increased the reefs would have drowned as organisms relying on photosynthesis were carried down, out of the photic zone (Meischner 1971). At odds with this explanation is recognition that two contrasting types of sedimentation took place at the sites of the dead reefs (Krebs 1974). Either rapid subsidence continued, and between 300 and 600 metres of Upper Devonian shales were deposited (e.g. Wülfrath), or subsidence almost ceased, and the reef-tops were subject to emergence and erosion, or slow deposition of cephalopod limestones (e.g. Lahn-Dill) while in the surrounding basins subsidence continued, and up to 700m of Upper Devonian shales were deposited. Therefore, in some cases reef emergence may have been responsible for the cessation of growth. Most of the reefs which continued to subside lie in the north west, and they mark a further stage in the continuing north-westward migration of the locus of deposition during the Devonian.

The upper Devonian was characterised by three types of sedimentation: reefs (Massenkalk), cephalopod limestones (Cephalopodenkalk), and pelagic ostracod shales (Cyridinen-Schiefer) (Textfig. 1.5). There were also periodic influxes of sandy turbidites derived from the shelf, deposited in the basins between the elevated



Textfig. 1.4 Distribution of carbonate complexes in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, from Krebs 1974, fig. 5.

reefs. The Matagne Shale/Kellwasser Limestone is a unit which can be traced throughout central Europe, and marks a widespread upper Frasnian transgression. Similarly widely distributed black shales, marked by their high organic content, have been recognised during the Famennian (Annulata Shale, Hangenberg Shale). Similar depositional conditions continued into the lower Carboniferous.

Ammonoids in the Famennian of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge are restricted to three lithologies. Whilst they occur in the basin shales, occurrences are few and sporadic, and restricted to the widely recognisable Annulata and Hangenberg Shales (e.g. Oese). Black bituminous lower Famennian Nehden Shales, 10-20m thick with a haematitised fauna of goniatites, bivalves, gastropods and brachiopods, are found overlying parts of the atoll carbonate complexes at Bergisch Gladbach, Wülfrath, Brilon and Attendorn. Deposition took place under euxinic conditions in the former lagoon depressions, behind the reef rims.

Most widespread, however, are the condensed cephalopod limestones, situated over the dead reef complexes, Textfig. 1.5. These were the subject of a study by Tucker (1973b, 1974) and show the features described below. The shelf during the Famennian was restricted to an area north west of Essen, and is represented by a belt of sandstone shales, and locally developed carbonates. Ammonoids are known from Velbert (Paul 1939), and also from further west near Aachen (Wulff 1923, Kasig, Dreesen and Bouckaert 1979) and in the Dinant Basin (Dreesen 1982a,b).

Stratigraphy and nature of the Famennian Carbonates

Famennian ammonoids are found in large numbers only in the Schwellen (= rise) carbonates, termed Cephalopodenkalk. Two areas were studied, Balve and Warstein (Textfig. 1.4). In both upper Frasnian and Famennian limestones overlie submerged reefs of middle



Textfig. 1.5 Palaeogeography of the eastern Rheinische Schiefergebirge during the early Famennian (Nehdenian). Black, volcanics (Givetian to Visean); brick symbol, reefs (Givetian to Frasnian) preserved as rises on the sea-floor; white, shales, from Meischner 1971, fig. 4. Frasnian age. The stratigraphy has been described in detail: Balve: Denckmann, 1901, Paeckelmann, 1924, Schmidt, 1924, Schäfer, 1978; Warstein: Schmidt, 1921, Clausen and Leuteritz, 1979; as have their ammonoid faunas: Balve: Wedekind 1910, 1913a,b, 1914, Schmidt, 1924, Schindewolf, 1937a, Clausen, Korn and Uffenorde, 1979. Recently Schäfer (1975, 1978) described in detail the immediate post-reefal evolution of the Balve area during the Famennian.

The condensed Schwellen rise carbonates, between 10 and 30m thick, are predominately red or grey-green, micro- or biomicrosparites. They show varying degrees of nodularity, determined by the original clay content, and have been affected by widespread solution resulting in clay-rich streaks and stylolites, to which German authors have applied the terms Kramenzel- and Flaser-kalk.

Away from the summit of the former rises more massive limestones pass into thicker nodular limestones (which may show slump features (Effenberg, Drewer, Plate 7.6a)), shales with calcareous nodules (Reigern, Plate 7.6b) and finally basinal shales (Cypridinenschiefer) with turbiditic sandstone and siltstone horizons (Oese), which can have a total thickness of ca 600m (Franke <u>et al</u>. 1978).

Most limestone sequences are incomplete, having been subject to erosion at some time before deposition of the uppermost Devonian Hangenberg Shales (e.g. Balve, Schäfer 1975), and are followed by a sequence of lower Carboniferous black shales, and cherts (Liegende Alaunschiefer, Lydit).

The fauna is almost totally lacking in benthos. In the shales only ostracods and thin-shelled posidoniid bivalves are known in abundance, both of which are interpreted as pelagic. The limestones contain a more diverse fauna including ammonoids, nautiloids, trilobites, conodonts, all of which are planktonic/nektonic, with arenaceous foraminifera and epibyssate bivalves, representing the

9

-



Geological map of the Sauerland and surrounding areas, after Kromberg, Pilger, Scherp and Ziegler 1960.

only true benthos. One factor which is difficult to explain satisfactorily is the absence of lamination in the limestones, supposedly (Tucker 1973a) the result of extreme bioturbation, although traces of burrows are difficult to find, and evidence of the burrows themselves is unknown.

Lithology

Most of the sections examined were developed in limestones, and precise details are given in Chapter 7. Generally the limestones of the Balve area, especially in the lower Famennian, are red in colour, weathering to green and yellow (e.g. Beil, Effenberg). Higher in the sequence they are buff, grey or grey-black (Hövel, Oberrödinghausen), weathering to a yellow-brown. The limestones are composed of at least 75% non-ferroan calcite, the rest being pyrite, quartz (silt grade), but chiefly clays. Skeletal material is relatively rare, especially in the lower Famennian. Few shell beds were recorded, but most ammonoid body chambers contain concentrations of ostracods and conodonts. Few sedimentary structures were observed, the most common being erosion surfaces and hard grounds. Ammonoids lying on a bedding-plane are frequently truncated, with the uppermost shell and internal septa removed, with the exposed surfaces now coated with haematite. Slumping in nodular limestones (Drewer, Bilsteinhöhle) is associated with sedimentation on the unstable rise slope. Compaction features are restricted to the less carbonate-rich rise slope/nodular limestones. This, together with the widespread occurrence of hardgrounds, is taken to indicate early lithification in the rise limestones.

Methods of Study

Collecting

Most collecting was done by conventional means. There were

three methods of collecting, from outcrop (Beil, Malers Länder, Bilsteinhöhle; all localities are listed in Chapter 7), from deep mechanically dug trenches forming long sections (e.g. Beil), and from shallow trenches formed by removing the soil horizon with picks and spades (Beil, Hövel, Müssenberg, Dasberg). This latter method was used most frequently since there were few natural exposures in the Sauerland and deeper artificial trenches were too expensive to commission.

Preparation

Large rock samples were collected at most localities, in some cases up to 30 kg. from one horizon. This was because fossils were difficult to extract successfully in the field from hard limestones. Material was therefore broken down in the laboratory, either by heating it for a few minutes in a furnace at 800°C, and then chilling it in cold water, or with various mechanical crushers, vices, hammers etc. The isolated fossils were then prepared in a coventional way with small chisels, needles and a Burgess electric engraving tool. Fossils preserved as haematite or pyrite internal moulds were collected from surface exposures in shales or clays, or extracted from bulk samples by digesting the matrix in acetic or formic acids. The fossils were subsequently cleaned in the laboratory with abrasive powders (usually sodium carbonate) in a stream of compressed air, using an S. S. White air-abrasive jet machining unit, or suspended in water in an ultrasonic tank.

Fine detail, such as sutures, were prepared by polishing and cutting the specimens using a Diprofil portable cutting tool, a pattern-makers' milling unit. Cross-sections were prepared by sawing the specimens roughly in half and then polishing the cut surface with successively finer grades of carborundum powder. Museum specimens and types were cut in half, mounted in blocks of

plaster of Paris and guided through a circular saw. A fine carborundum blade with a thickness of 0.25mm was used, thus minimising loss of material. Photographs were then taken of the polished surface under water, or, more often, acetate peels were taken after etching the surface in either 10% hydrochloric acid (limestones) or sulphuric or hydroflyoric acids (haematite).

Conodont samples were prepared in a standard way, the limestones being broken into pieces 3cm in diameter and digested for 24 hours in 10% formic acid. The washed residues were screened through three sieves (710 μ , 250 μ , 125 μ) with the fractions caught in the last two being picked directly, or subject to heavy liquid separation using tetrabromoethane.

Illustration

Drawings were prepared of features such as ornament, growthlines and sutures. In the case of large specimens these were traced directly onto Sellotape stuck over the feature on the fossil. The Sellotape was then stuck onto millimetre graph paper and the tracing enlarged to fill a piece of A4-sized paper using the gridlines as a guide, or a Grant Projector. Finally the enlarged drawing was checked for accuracy against the original specimen. The alternative method, used for intricate or small (less than 10mm in length) features was to view the specimen through a grid-line graticule in a binocular microscope and then to draw the image on graph paper, making sure that at all times the visible surface lay at right-angles to the line of sight. This was facilitated by a series of reference marks drawn on the specimen. A drawing of appropriate magnification was then produced.

Specimens were photographed on various cameras. M. R. House permitted the use of his Leica system for a visit to Munich. Thereafter photographs were taken on a Leitz Aristophot bench

camera with a variety of lenses but principally a 120mm Summar, and a Nikkormat FT3 camera with Vivitar or Nikon 55mm macro-lenses. Illumination was provided by a single bulb of 275 or 500 Watts directed from the top left, and light was reflected onto the back of the specimen with a single parabolic-type reflector made from white card. Such a simple method, in conjunction with a lightweight tripod and camera, enabled photographs of many type specimens to be taken in English and German museums. Specimens were prepared for photographing by coating firstly with a proprietary (Barlow's) photographer's negative opaque, and then with a sublimate ammonium chloride, to enhance shadow formation, and eveness of light reflectance, respectively. Very small specimens , less than 3mm in diameter, were photographed on a Cambridge 600 Stereoscan.

Terminology and abbreviation

The literature of ammonoid descriptions is littered with subjective terms, some of which were defined in the glossary in the <u>Treatise</u> (Moore 1957). However, many others remain ambiguous, and each author tends to add to the ambiguity by well-intentioned definition of his terms, which undoubtedly differ in some subtle way from other authors. I too will do this, and hope that the reader, before looking at the taxonomic descriptions, has read this preamble.

Further complication is introduced by reading descriptions in foreign languages where words do not always mean what they might seem. Thus the German <u>quadrat</u> should be understood as square, and not as the English <u>quadrate</u>, which may mean merely four-sided.

Textfig. 1.7 Morphological terms used in the descriptions of ammonoids

A-E,H,I Growth-line shape

A, strongly biconvex; B, biconvex; C, weakly biconvex; D, concavo-convex; E, concave; H, S-shaped; I, parabolic ribs.

F Measured parameters

D, diameter; WW, whorl width; WH, whorl height; U, umbilicus; Ah, apertural height.

F,G Areas of the shell

V, venter; VLS, ventro-lateral shoulder; ULS, umbilical shoulder; UW, umbilical wall.



Shell shape: Form, coiling and evolution

The names given to various parts of the shell are shown in Textfig. 1.7. Some of these are the parameters measured and listed under the appropriate abbreviations in the section head "Dimensions" in systematic descriptions. In practice diameter and whorl width are easy to measure, provided that the specimen is complete with shell, lacks strong ornament (which introduces variation), and is undeformed. Accurate measurement of whorl height depends upon correct alignment of the callipers, and umbilical width is often the minimum distance between opposite umbilical walls, rather than the diametric distance between umbilical seams. Furthermore in involute and subinvolute specimens the umbilicus is often filled with matrix.

Shells are described as globose, discoidal or oxyconic. Discoidal is a much overjused term, almost meaningless since it has been applied to all shell forms intermediate between globose (i.e. almost spherical) and truly disc-shaped (i.e. like a gramaphone record). Numerical limits have been arbitrarily imposed, based on the ratio WW/D:

Globose	>0.90
Subglobose	0.7-0.9
Thickly discoidal	0.7-0.5
Discoidal	0.2-0.5
0xyconic	<0.2

Whorl cross-sections are termed depressed (WW>WH), compressed (WW < WH), circular (WW=WH), oval, and pear-shaped (subtriangular), with venters which are tabulate, grooved, bounded by ventro-lateral grooves, keeled, arched or carinate. Only serpenticonic or oxyconic coiling is mentioned specifically. Evolution is more difficult to define, there being a continuum between perfectly involute and evolute shells. Arbitrary numerical limits have been imposed,

related to the ratio U/D (see below):

Evolute		>0.5
Subevolute		0.3-0.5
Subinvolute	1	0.1-0.3
Involute		<0.1

Growth-lines

Growth-line direction is referred to as prorsiradiate, radial or rursiradiate, according to the direction of a line running between the growth-line at the ventro-lateral and umbilical shoulders. Growth-line shape has been variously defined by authors. Here the terms used are concave, straight, convex, concavo-convex and biconvex, and qualified by "weakly" or "strongly", which are illustrated in Textfig. 1.7. Elements of the growthlines are referred to by their position on the shell, i.e. ventral, lateral etc. and their shape as either sinuses or salients.

Growth-lines are described as lamellose or lirate, according to their cross-sectional shape.

Ornament

There is not a wide range of ornament types in Famennian ammonoids. Ribs are rare, and are described as strong, weak, or plicate when merely present as folds on the surface. Tubercles may be present at the ventro-lateral or umbilical shoulder, and can project laterally or ventrally and dorsally. Continuous ventro-lateral flares are known, as are spinnaker-shaped ventral spines. When broken-off their trace/base on the venter is referred to as the ventral band. Both growth-lines and ribs can have parabolic indentations resulting from resorption of shell material.

Sutures

The various genetic formulae used to describe sutures have

been widely reviewed elsewhere (Kullmann and Wiedman 1970, Wiedmann and Kullmann 1980). The simpler, more readily understood system of Wedekind (1910, 1917), as modified by Wiedmann and Kullmann (1980) is preferred to that of Ruzhencev (1962) but neither is widely used in this thesis since the sutural ontogenies of most Famennian ammonoids, especially clymeniids, are still unknown, thus making a genetic terminology inappropriate. Instead a descriptive scheme is used, related to the position of the element in the whorl section. Terms used are ventral, ventro-lateral, lateral etc. Famennian ammonoid sutures are rarely complicated enough for ambiguity to arise.

Signs used in the synonymy lists

Introduction

In this thesis a system of signs placed before the date in the synonymy lists is used. They are commonly found in German literature, but are not frequently employed by English-language authors, and so an explanation is desirable. The system was developed by Richter (1948), and amended by Rabien (1954). This discussion draws freely on Matthews' account (1973), which has been used as the basis for a description of the scheme.

The purpose of the system is to amplify and qualify the information given in a synonymy list. Ideally the reference, plus signs, becomes self-evident, and little or nothing need be added in the "Remarks" section of a systematic description. At best the synonymy, when complete, provides all the bibliographic information about a particular species and enables the reader to assess at a glance the value of each and every previous reference, and much involved discussion can be eliminated from the text. At worst the system can be confusing, especially when not understood

,16

by the reader who accepts each entry as being of equal value.

The system

The way in which the date of a reference is presented is itself informative:

<u>1921</u> The date in italics records the mere mention of a species, usually in faunal lists, and normally has not added to understanding of the species.

- 1923 A reference in normal type-face is one which has added to understanding of the species.
- (1838) Use of brackets indicates that the year of publication is uncertain.

All other signs precede the date of the reference:

- * An asterisk denotes the earliest legally valid description/mention of a species, from which the species is considered to date.
- v This is an abbreviation of "vidimus". The specimens cited in the reference (either as illustrations, or otherwise) have been examined.
- p This is an abbreviation for "partim". It means that the reference cannot now be accepted as applying exclusively to this species. Specifically excluded figures are generally indicated in this way:

pl. VII, fig. 2,5, non figs. 3,4.

- non 1908 Although a previous author believed that his material belonged to the species under discussion "non" denotes that this view is not accepted. There is always a discussion of this opinion, or at least, a reference to another author's views.
- ? 1923 The question mark indicates an opinion less doubting than the use of "non" would have done. It implies an objective assessment, based on an examination of the original material or good figures, or referring to a particular point in the description, and means this reference cannot definitely be accepted as belonging to

this species. Single figures in a reference can be qualified with a question mark.

- (?) 1914 A question mark in brackets indicates a more subjective doubting of this reference. However, the material on which the previous author based his description may be lost, or his description ambiguous, and so the reference can never be excluded with certainty.
- 1927 The stop indicates that the author includes this reference on his own initiative.

Combinations of the signs can be employed, viz:

- v* 1832 The type material has been examined.
- vp 1923 The material used by the cited author in this reference has been examined, and only some specimens are considered to belong to the species under discussion.
- pv 1923 Only some of the material used in the cited author's description has been seen.
- vpv Only some of the cited author's material has been seen, and only some of this, or the figures referred to, are considered to belong to the species under discussion. Cited figures can be excluded/included by use of the signs, viz:

pl. V, figs. v6, ?7, (?)8; non 11.

v? The material inquestion has been examined, but cannot be excluded or included with certainty, usually because it is poorly preserved, or lacking in some diagnostic feature.

Signs are also used in the sections listing specific or generic names:

- An asterisk denotes the type species.
- The species name was an homonym, a new name has been substituted.
- h The species name is newly recognised as an homonym.

s The species name is a synonym

.18

The following abbreviations are used throughout:

<u>A</u> .	Acanthoclymenia	Pina.	<u>Pinacoclymenia</u>
<u>Acri</u> .	Acriclymenia	<u>P1</u> .	<u>Planulites</u>
<u>Akt</u> .	Aktuboclymenia	Plat.	<u>Platyclymenia</u>
<u>Bil</u> .	<u>Biloclymenia</u>	Pleur.	<u>Pleuroclymenia</u>
<u>Car</u> .	<u>Carinoclymenia</u>	<u>Pn</u> .	Protornoceras
<u>Ch</u> .	<u>Cheiloceras</u>	Post.	<u>Postglatziella</u>
<u>C1</u> .	<u>Clymenia</u>	Postpro.	Postprolobites
Cost.	Costaclymenia	Prog.	<u>Progonioclymenia</u>
Cyma.	Cymaclymenia	Prol.	<u>Prolobites</u>
Cyrt.	Cyrtoclymenia	Prot.	<u>Protactoclymenia</u>
End.	<u>Endosiphonites</u>	Pro.	<u>Protoxyclymenia</u>
<u>Epi</u> .	<u>Epiwocklumeria</u>	Ps.	<u>Pseudoclymenia</u>
Falc.	Falciclymenia	Rect.	<u>Rectoclymenia</u>
<u>Genu</u> .	Genuclymenia	Sch.	<u>Schizoclymenia</u>
<u>G</u> .	<u>Goniatites</u>	<u>Sell</u> .	<u>Sellaclymenia</u>
<u>G1</u> .	<u>Glatziella</u>	Sin.	<u>Sinoglatziella</u>
<u>Gon</u> .	<u>Gonioclymenia</u>	<u>Sol</u> .	<u>Soliclymenia</u>
Hex.	<u>Hexaclymenia</u>	<u>s</u> p.	<u>Sporadoceras</u>
<u>Kia.</u>	<u>Kiaclymenia</u>	<u>Sph</u> .	<u>Sphenoclymenia</u>
<u>Kall</u> .	Kalloclymenia	<u>Spin</u> .	<u>Spinoclymenia</u>
Kosmo.	Kosmoclymenia	<u>St</u> .	<u>Staffites</u>
Lag.	Laganoclymenia	Sten.	<u>Stenoclymenia</u>
Lent.	Lenticlymenia	<u>Sul</u> .	<u>Sulcoclymenia</u>
<u>M</u> .	Manticoceras	<u>Syn</u> .	<u>Synwocklumeria</u>
<u>Miro</u> .	Miroclymenia	Torl.	Torleyoceras
Orn.	Ornatoclymenia	<u>Tri</u> .	<u>Trigonoclymenia</u>
<u>Oto</u> .	<u>Otoclymenia</u>	<u>Ural</u> .	<u>Uraloclymenia</u>
Pach.	Pachyclymenia	<u>Var</u> .	<u>Varioclymenia</u>
Para.	Parawocklumeria	Wock.	Wocklumeria

Museums:

.

Abbreviations used for museums can be found below under the section headed Acknowledgements.

Acknowledgements

Firstly I would like to thank all those, Staff, Students and Technicians, at the Geology Department, University of Hull, who have given advice or material assistance in the preparation of this thesis, namely; Ian Alexander, Tony Beese, Harold Chamberlain (technical assistance), Sarah Gatley, John Garner (photography), Paul McSherry (draughting), Dick Middleton (computing), Prof. J. W. Neale, Tony Sinclair (SEM work), and Derek Siveter.

Curators from various institutions have kindly answered inquiries or loaned specimens for this study:

- BM British Museum (Natural History), London, (D. Phillips, Dr. M. K. Howarth)
- BSP Bayerische Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und historische Geologie, Munich, (Drs. R. Förster, G. Schairer)
- BU Geology Department, University of Bristol, (M. White)
- FMW Fuhlrott-Museum, Wuppertal, (Dr. C. Brauckmann)
- GOT Geol.-Pal. Institut u. Museum, Göttingen, (Dr. H. Jahnke)
- GSM Geological Survey Museum, Inst. Geol. Sciences, London, (Dr. R. Butler)
- HU Geology Department, University of Hull
- Mbg Geol.-Pal. Institut, Marburg, (Dr. G. Kauffmann)
- MfN Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, (Drs. J. Helms, H. Jaeger)
- NWGL Geologisches Landesamt NRW, Krefeld, (Drs. E. Paproth, C.-D. Clausen)
- RE Ruhrland- Museum, Essen, (Dr. K. Kilpper)
- SM Sedgwick Museum, Cambridge, (Dr. C. Forbes, M. Dorling)
- SMF Senckenberg-Museum, Frankfurt, (Dr. R. Werner)
- SMM Städtisches Museum Menden, (H. Hoffmann)
- UEN Geologisches Institut, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
 - Dr. K. Wunderlich (Leverkusen) and Gerd Trost (Düsseldorf)

have freely loaned specimens from their own collections (labelled KW and GT, respectively). Dr. I. Stewart, Dr. R. Dreesen and Prof. W. Ziegler kindly dated conodont samples, and Dr. A. Gooday identified some ostracods. Dr. A. Beese and Sarah Gatley collected material from the trench at Beil.

Finally, but most importantly, thanks must be extended towards Professor M. R. House, who introduced me to this subject and provided much of the basic information for this study, as well as constant help and advice during the course of the project, Dieter Korn (Sundern/Sauerland) gave me a free hand to study his collection of Famennian ammonoids from Germany and was generous with his time and helpful advice. Both M. R. House and D. Korn have criticised parts of the typescript. This work was completed during the tenure of a Natural Environment Research Council Research Assistantship at the University of Hull.

Angela Warren devoted much time to improving my rather fossilised style of English, and to typing this thesis, and thus deserves all my thanks and gratitude.

Chapter 2

A history of the study of Famennian ammonoids

and a state of the s

and the second state of the second state of the second state of the

(i) Physical Research and the second seco

Knowledge of Famennian ammonoids dates from 1831 when two short papers by Georg, Count Münster, were delivered to the Geological Society of France. These described the distribution of "ammonées" and "nautilacées" in Germany (Münster 1831, p. 173 -85). Münster recorded ten species of Goniatites and eight species of Ceratites from the "Uebergangskalk" of the Fichtelgebirge in north eastern Bavaria. These taxa, sub-divisions of the genus Goniatites de Haan 1826, followed the usage of Leopold von Buch, established in two lectures he had just delivered to the königliche Akademie der Wissenschaften, Berlin. These lectures were milestones in the development of the classification of ammonoids. Goniatites was defined as having simple lobes and saddles and was completely involute: Ceratites differed from it by being evolute. Both could be distinguished from Nautilus by the position of the siphuncle, which was ventral in Goniatites and Ceratites, and mid-way between the dorsum and the venter in Nautilus. Further attempts to divide the ammonoids into "families" were made by Beyrich (1837) and Sandberger and Sandberger (1850-56).

Münster mentioned two species by name: <u>G</u>. <u>speciosus</u> (1831a, p. 177) from Heinersreuth, which was described as resembling <u>Ammonites Conybeari</u> Sowerby 1812; and <u>Planulites laevigatus</u> (1831b, p. 182), which had an "open shell 7 inches in diameter with a smooth test and 8 whorls". This latter species was listed with the Nautilacées without further explanation, and was considered the most common in the Fichtelgebirge. Both of these descriptions were amplified in Münster's pioneering work on Famennian ammonoids "Ueber Planuliten und Goniatiten im Uebergangs-

<u>kalk des Fichtelgebirge</u>" (Münster 1832). Forty two species of <u>Goniatites</u> and <u>Planulites</u> were described, most of which have survived into today's literature, and at least ten have become the type species of modern genera. <u>Planulites</u> was distinguished from the Goniatites by the dorsal position of its siphuncle.

Earlier in 1832 Leopold von Buch had published an account of a lecture on goniatites, given on the 15th December 1830 to the Akademie in Berlin. This contained brief and ambiguous descriptions and figured a few goniatites and clymeniids from the Fichtelgebirge which von Buch had been sent by Münster. Von Buch did not notice the characteristic position of the siphuncle in the clymeniids.

In 1833 Münster announced his intention to have "<u>Ueber</u> <u>Planuliten und Goniatiten</u> ..." translated into French (Münster 1834a) and, at the same time, to replace the name <u>Planulites</u> with <u>Clymenia</u>, derived from the Greek Clymene, daughter of Oceanus, since <u>Planulites</u> Parkinson 1822 was in fact an annelid. The translation, "<u>Mémoire sur les Clymènes et les Goniatites</u> ..." appeared in 1834 (Münster 1834b). Münster (1833) also published a catalogue of his extensive collection of fossils.

In 1835 Münster wrote a letter to the Neues Jahrbuch in which he used the name <u>Clymenites</u>. This was explained by Bronn, the editor, in a footnote, as being a desirable alteration in order to prevent confusion with the living annelid genus <u>Clymene</u>. Schindewolf (1971) however, suggested that this modification was simply to bring the ending into conformity with other known ammonoid genera: <u>Ceratites</u>, <u>Goniatites</u>, <u>Ammonites</u>.

In 1837 Pusch described clymeniids and goniatites from the Holy Cross Mountains, Poland. The next Famennian ammonoids to be discovered were found by Otto at Ebersdorf in Grafschaft

Glatz, Lower Silesia (now Dzikowiec, Dolny Slàşk, Poland), and were described by von Buch (1838). In the same year Ansted (1838) described clymeniids (for which he proposed the generic name <u>Endosiphonites</u>) and goniatites from near Launceston, Cornwall. Ammonoids were also found in Devon by Sedgwick and Murchison (Sowerby 1842) and by Phillips (1841).

Münster, in semi-retirement from his position in the Prussian Civil Service, started to describe, with the help of other scientists (eg. Meyer, Unger), his large collection of fossils in a series of articles published in a periodical he established, entitled <u>Beiträge zur Petrefaktenkunde</u>. Seven volumes were published before his death in 1844 and several (Vol.1, 1839; Vol.3, 1840; Vol.5, 1842) contained descriptions of further newly discovered ammonoid species from the Fichtelgebirge.

At about the same time Upper Devonian ammonoids of Frasnian age were discovered in the southern Timan Domanik Schiefer by Keyserling (1844), and from Westphalia and the Rhineland by Beyrich (1837) and Steininger (1834). It was only later, with the extensive works of the Sandberger brothers, Guido and Fridolin, that Famennian ammonoids were described from localities in Westphalia (Nehden, Enkeberg and Warstein) now regarded as classic, and Hesse (Sessacker and Kirschofen), (Sandberger and Sandberger 1850-56; G. Sandberger 1853, 1857). Elsewhere in Germany Richter described goniatites and clymeniids from Bohlen near Saalfeld in Thuringia (Richter 1848; Richter and Unger 1856) including in the former work a new species, Goniatites sphaeroides, later recognised by Schindewolf (1924, p. 107) as Wocklumeria, a clymeniid, and characteristic of the uppermost part of the Famennian.

Further discoveries of Famennian ammonoids were made in

. 24

south-west England (M'Coy 1852) and the Ardennes (Hébert 1855, p. 1179; Dehée (1929) was unable to locate these specimens and thus verify the record). C. W. Gümbel (1862, 1863) was responsible for a conservative revision of Münster's works, based on a restudy of his collections in Munich. His consideration of the clymeniids contains the first serious attempt to sub-divide the genus <u>Clymenia</u>.

During the next thirty years there was little or no new systematic description of Famennian ammonoids, but there were many reports widening the area from which they were known. Tietze (1871) revised the faunas of Ebersdorf and Kayser began what was to be a series of reports (1870, 1871, 1873, 1878) on the Devonian rocks of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, which included some descriptions of ammonoids. In 1873 Kayser introduced a sub-division of the Upper Devonian, in which the lower Cypridina Stufe (lacking clymeniids) was separated from an upper Clymenia Stufe (with clymeniids), which represented the first attempt to produce an ammonoid biozonation of the Famennian. Kayser (1873) described faunas from Nehden and Enkeberg, two important localities near Brilon, Westphalia. Many thousands of beautifully preserved haematitic goniatites have come from Nehden, first reported by the Sandberger brothers (1850-56) using material collected by von Dechen. Enkeberg, with an equally rich ammonoid fauna, was to be the source of much material used by Frech (1902) and Wedekind (1908, 1913b, 1914, 1917) to initiate modern studies of Famennian ammonoids in Germany. Branco (1880) included Famennian ammonoids in his study of the juvenile stages of cephalopods. Notably he recognised the ventral position of the siphuncle in the first chambers of clymeniids.

North America

Famennian ammonoids were still unknown in the Americas when Hyatt (1884) fundamentally revised the generic classification of Palaeozoic ammonoids. He formalised Gümbel's division of <u>Clymenia</u> into "Untergattungen" by regularising the Latin endings of their names, creating three families: Cyrtoclymenidae, Cymaclymenidae and Gonioclymenidae, and recognising six genera: <u>Cyrtoclymenia, Oxyclymenia, Platyclymenia, Cymaclymenia, Sellaclymenia</u> and <u>Gonioclymenia</u>. Three more, <u>Cryptoclymenia</u>, <u>Cycloclymenia</u> and <u>Discoclymenia</u>, were later found to be goniatites. Hyatt (1884) divided the Famennian goniatites into distinct genera: <u>Tornoceras, Maeneceras, Sporadoceras, Brancoceras</u> (later renamed <u>Imitoceras</u>, Schindewolf 1921, 1923a), and <u>Dimeroceras</u>. He later added one more, <u>Paratornoceras</u>, (Hyatt 1900).

In 1892 Clarke reported the discovery of a clymeniid, <u>Clymenia</u> (Cyrtoclymenia) neopolitana from the "Naples Shale" (Cashaqua Shale) of Shurtleff's Gully, New York State. Hyatt (1900, p. 548) placed the species in a new genus, Acanthoclymenia. early Frasnian record was confirmed by Miller This anomalous (1938, p. 192) and accepted by Schindewolf (1955, p. 422) who erected the monospecific family Acanthoclymenidae to accommodate it. House (1961) restudied Clarke's type material and recognised that A. neopolitana was in fact a Manticoceras, and thus there was no record of clymeniids from the Frasnian. Clausen (1971, p. 196) established a sub-genus Manticoceras (Maternoceras), with type species Ammonites calculiforme Beyrich 1837, for his Manticoceras (Mant.) Group III (1969, p. 16), in which he had included Acanthoclymenia neopolitana (Clarke). Therefore,

Maternoceras can be recognised as a junior subjective synonym of Acanthoclymenia Hyatt.

Russia

Karpinskiy first reported details of Famennian ammonoids from the western Urals, including clymeniids (1884), and the distinctive genus Prolobites (1885, p. 336). Chernyshev (1887b) described similar faunas from the southern Urals (Ishikay, Sikashty and Terekly Rivers), noting the presence of a lower Famennian Cheiloceras fauna, which was also found in the northern Urals (Yaura River) by Krotov (1888). In 1891 Loewinson-Lessing (1892) observed upper Famennian ammonoids from the eastern Urals (Guberlinski Mountains), and in 1903 Tokarenko described ammonoids from the Famennian of the Verkhneural'sk region in the southern Urals. First reports from the Mugodzhar were made by Makhimson in 1911. A more detailed review of the early development of Famennian ammonoid studies in Russia was written by Bogoslovskiy (1969).

Europe (excluding Germany)

During the last quarter of the last century there were numerous reports of newly discovered Famennian ammonoid faunas, most notably by Frech from the Carnic Alps (1887b), Graz (1887) and the Montagne Noire (1887a) (though reports of goniatites from Hérault date from much earlier than this). Barrois (1898) reported ammonoids from Rostellec on the Rade de Brest, Brittany, from the Pyrénées (1898) and from Asturia (1882). Pruvost (1912) gave reports from Southern Portugal and Lovisato (1894) from Sardinia.

Northern Europe

It is better to consider the study of Famennian ammonoids after this time on a regional basis. Germany is considered firstly because it is here that the majority of 20th century studies have been made.

Eastern Rheinische Schiefergebirge

Modern studies can be said to have as their starting point the later publications of Frech (1897, 1902). A revision of the Lethaia Palaeozoica (Frech 1897) included a global consideration of Devonian stratigraphy and details of fossil occurrences, including Two new genera, Cheiloceras and Pseudoclymenia, were ammonoids. Frech summarised much of his life's work on the introduced. taxonomy and evolution of Devonian ammonoids in a large monograph Ueber devonische Ammoneen (1902) in which many specimens were illustrated. The species concepts he established were followed by many subsequent German palaeontologists. In 1904 he published a short paper on goniatites from Ebersdorf with triangular coiling, which were later (Schindewolf 1937a) recognised to be clymeniids. His final contribution (Frech 1913) was a catalogue listing all known Devonian ammonoids, and a chart which proposed an ammonoid zonal scheme derived from the early work of Wedekind.

Wedekind published a series of papers before and during the First World War dealing with Frasnian and Famennian ammonoids of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge. His first paper (1908) was a description of faunas collected from a trench, dug through the Famennian at Enkeberg in the eastern Sauerland. This was the first bed-by-bed study of Famennian ammonoids. A number of genera were introduced (<u>Centroceras</u>, <u>Protactoclymenia</u>, <u>Vario-</u> <u>clymenia</u>, <u>Orthoclymenia</u>, <u>Genuclymenia</u>, <u>Rectoclymenia</u>, <u>Praeglyphi-</u> <u>oceras</u>); only the last three have survived the ravages of the taxonomic revisers and strict interpretors of the <u>Code</u>. A similar fate may also await the many species he established at the same time.

Wedekind then turned his attention to the Balve area of the western Sauerland where upper Famennian faunas are more easily collected. In 1910 he recognised homeomorphy of shell and suture line between the tornoceratid, Posttornoceras (gen. nov.) and the cheiloceratid, Sporadoceras. Later (1913a) he studied what he thought was an evolutionary series between Parodiceras, Prolobites and Postprolobites (gen. nov.). His monographs on Frasnian goniatites (1913b), clymeniids (1914) of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, and Devonian goniatites (1917) were more substantial works in which some taxonomic revisions were made. These included the introduction of new clymeniid genera Balvites, Laevigites and Kalloclymenia, Wocklumeria which he regarded as a goniatite, and a sub-generic division of Cheiloceras into Cheiloceras, Staffites and Torleyoceras, based on the nature of the lobes of the internal suture. **Over** the course of these articles Wedekind had been developing his zonal scheme for the Famennian and a final version of this was published in 1926.

O. H. Schindewolf was a student of Wedekind when at Marburg. Between 1916 and 1972 he published much dealing with cephalopods in general, and Famennian ammonoids in particular, achieving a pre-eminent status amongst German speaking palaeontologists. Most of his early published work dealt with faunas from Thuringia and is considered in that section below.

Hermann Schmidt (1921) described a continuous profile through the Upper Devonian at the Provinzial Steinbruch, Drewer in the Warstein Anticline of the eastern Sauerland. He proposed an evolutionary model of progressively deepening lobes in the Cheiloceratidae and <u>Sporadoceras</u>. Two genera were introduced, <u>Eucheiloceras</u> and <u>Dyscheiloceras</u>. Later he studied faunas from around the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary near Balve, recognising the superposition of the <u>Gattendorfia</u> Stufe over the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe (<u>contra</u> Schindewolf 1920, 1921, 1923a), and also collecting a fauna of imitoceratids from the Stockum Limestone. <u>Lenticlymenia</u> was introduced. Schmidt attempted to use the terms Nehden, Hemberg, Dasberg as replacements for Wedekind's <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe, <u>Postprolobites</u> and <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe, and <u>Laevigata</u> and Wocklumeria Stufe.

The 1920's and 1930's was a period of intensive study of Upper Devonian and Carboniferous stratigraphy of the Sauerland, resulting in the publication of a series of 1:25 000 geological maps, including Balve (Paeckelmann 1938). During this time many trenched sections were cut through the Famennian: at Enkeberg, by Lange 1922, 1923 and Paeckelmann 1925; at Beuel, by Lange, 1922, Paeckelmann 1931, Schindewolf 1934; the Hönnetal railway-cutting, by Schindewolf 1928-1934; at Dasberg, by Paeckelmann and Schindewolf 1930; and at Ballberg (Hövel), by Schindewolf 1931. Lange published a revision of Wedekind's Enkeberg profile in 1929, together with a description of material from the annulata Zone at Beuel, from Dasberg and Borke-Wehr and introduced two new genera, Stenoclymenia and Balvia. Between 1925 and 1945 O. H. Schindewolf worked for the Preussische Geologische Landesanstalt (later known as the Reichsamt Reichstelle für Bodenforschung), during which time he published only two

papers dealing with Famennian ammonoids of Germany. One was, however, a brilliant detailed study dealing especially with peculiar triangular coiled clymeniids of the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe from the Oberrödinghausen railway-cutting in the Hönnetal (Schindewolf 1937a). The following genera were established: <u>Progonioclymenia</u>, <u>Soliclymenia</u>, <u>Pachyclymenia</u>, <u>Epiwocklumeria</u>, <u>Postglatziella</u>, <u>Kamptoclymenia</u>, <u>Triaclymenia</u> and <u>Piriclymenia</u>.

The other paper, published in the same year (Schindewolf 1937b), dealt with more rare ammonoids. <u>Archoceras</u> and <u>Clymenoceras</u> were two new genera established in an attempt to describe the evolution of the family Phenacoceratidae Frech.

Then followed a long period of relative quiescence in the study of Famennian ammonoid faunas in this classic area. With the exception of a short contribution by Schmidt (1952) on the Prolobitidae we have had to wait until recently when there have been several short papers by Korn (Korn 1979, Clausen <u>et al</u>. 1979, Korn 1981a,b). Korn (1979) described ventral spines from <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> Schindewolf, a major morphological character which had escaped description by palaeontologists for nearly 150 years. He also (in Clausen <u>et al</u>. 1979) described clymeniids collected during the remapping of Blatt Hirschberg by the Nordrhein Westfalen Geologisches Landesamt. In 1981 he published a taxonomic revision of <u>Cymaclymenia</u> (1981a), and gave details of a Stockum Limestone age imitoceratid fauna (1981b).

This dearth of activity can be partly attributed to the turmoils of the Second World War, partly to the belief that the faunas had been exhaustively monographed and partly to palaeontologists turning their attentions to conodonts, fossils more suited to a biostratigraphical role in mapping. The purpose of this study has been to examine the first premise,

and also to attempt to correlate the established ammonoid zonal schemes of Wedekind and others, with the newly established conodont scheme which has figured so much in recent stratigraphic studies.

The only descriptions of Famennian ammonoids from the south eastern (basinal) Rheinische Schiefergebirge were made by Drevermann (1901) and Matern (1931). Both looked at goniatites and clymeniids from trenches and ironstone quarries in the Dill Syncline; Drevermann from Langenaubach and Matern from Sessacker. Schindewolf (1934) incorporated material from trenches at Ense, near Bad Wildungen in the Kellerwald, in his revision of the genus <u>Platyclymenia</u> Hyatt. Other references to localities in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge can be found in Schindewolf (1921).

Harz

East of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge in the Harz Mountains Born (1912a), a near contemporary of Wedekind at Göttingen, described goniatites and clymeniids from Aeketal, and the same sections were restudied by Fuhrmann (1954). These sections, and trenches excavated through the same sequence at Sessacker that Matern had studied, were used by Ziegler (1962) for the lower Famennian part of his conodont studies.

Oberfranken, Ostthüringen, Sächisches Vogtland

The area of Ostthüringen, Sächische Vogtland and Oberfranken, now in north eastern Bavaria (BRD) and south western East Germany (DDR), has received more attention from Famennian ammonoid palaeontologists than any other in the world. It was from the Fichtelgebirge (Oberfranken) that Famennian ammonoids were first reported by Count Münster in 1831, and later in 1848 Richter described similar faunas from Bohlen near Saalfeld (Ostthüringen).
0. H. Schindewolf began his ammonoid studies with a description of the Famennian of the Oberfranken, principally at a quarry at Kirch-Gattendorf near Hof, under the direction of Wedekind in This resulted in a series of articles describing the Marburg. faunas and the stratigraphic succession (Schindewolf 1916, 1920, 1923a,b, 1924, 1926). Initially he placed the Gattendorfia Stufe below the Wocklumeria Stufe. At Gattendorf Wocklumeria Stufe faunas, or at least <u>Wocklumeria</u> itself, are absent. From Bed 20 Schindewolf (1923a) recorded clymeniids, and above in Bed 21, he recorded Gattendorfia. The absent Wocklumeria Stufe was thought to belong next above. Schindewolf introduced the following genera: 1920: Costaclymenia, Schizoclymenia, Spheno-Clymenia; 1921: Imitoceras, Biloclymenia; 1923a: Wedekindoceras, Hexaclymenia, Falciclymenia, Protoxyclymenia, Otoclymenia; 1923b: Miroclymenia; 1926: Parawocklumeria, Trochoclymenia.

Born (1912b) reported a presumed occurrence of <u>Cheiloceras</u> and clymeniids from Bohlen. The material described as <u>Cheiloceras</u> was later shown to be <u>Prionoceras</u>. Schmidt (1923) revised the identifications of Richter's (1848) Famennian ammonoids from Bohlen. Schindewolf then turned his attention to the Saalfeld region, publishing papers in 1924, 1928 and 1952. His further contributions to the study of Famennian ammonoids lay in papers dealing with the phylogeny and systematics of clymeniids (1949a,b, 1955), producing the <u>Treatise</u> section on clymeniids (1957) and in a posthumously published paper (1972) he summarised many of his ideas. Two more genera were established, <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (1949a) and <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> (1972).

In 1954 Pfeiffer described the geology of the Bohlen area, and collected <u>Wocklumeria</u> from its type area for the first time since Richter, over 100 years before. Lately Bartzsch and

Weyer (1980) have described ostracods, conodonts and again <u>Wock-</u> <u>lumeria</u> from the same sequences. Müller (1956) described Upper Devonian faunas, including ammonoids, from near Schleiz (Ostthüringen). Brügge (1973) described collections of conodonts and ammonoids from Müller's Alte Heerstrasse section, and provided evidence for the correlation of ammonoid and conodont zones. Weyer has recently (1981) described <u>Glatziella</u> and <u>Parawocklumeria</u> from Schleiz, and revised the classification of the Gonioclymeniina.

Less information is avaliable from Vogtland. Schlosser (1927) recognised all six Upper Devonian Stufen in the Plauen region and Gallwitz' (1938) statistical study of Frasnian <u>Archoceras</u> included material from here. Freyer (1957) described faunas from the same and other sections in the Plauen area.

Silesia

Leopold von Buch (1832, 1838) and Beyrich both collected from Ebersdorf in Nieder Schlesien (now Dzikowiec, Dolny Śląsk, Poland) and Tietze (1871) described the fauna at length. Since then the only published fossil descriptions have been by Renz (1913a,b, 1925), who established (1913b) the clymeniid genus <u>Glatziella</u>, with a number of species. Schindewolf (1921, p. 179; 1937a, p. 20) listed faunas ranging from the <u>annulata</u> Zone to the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe and more recently Lewowicki (1959) has described Famennian ammonoids and Weyer (1965) has described <u>Gattendorfia</u> Stufe goniatites, which Frech (1902) had earlier considered as Devonian.

Czechoslovakia

Famennian clymeniids and goniatites were discovered near Brünn (now Brno, Moravia, ČSSR) by Rzehak (1881) which he later

described in detail (1910). The range of genera described demonstrate the presence of a sequence from the <u>Cheiloceras</u> to <u>Clymenia</u> Stufen. Koliha (1929) reported <u>Cheiloceras</u> from the Ještěd Mountains. Kettner (1933) and Patteisky (1929) reported a poorly preserved <u>Kalloclymenia</u> sp. from Moravia. Chlupáč (1966) described <u>Clymenia</u>, <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> and <u>Sporadoceras</u> also from Moravia, but was unable to recollect from the doIV levels described by Rzehak. Chlupáč (Chlupáč and Zikmundová 1976) reported <u>Cheiloceras</u> and <u>Clymenia</u> from a bore hole near Hradec Králové, in eastern Bohemia.

Elsewhere in north western Europe Famennian ammonoids are known from the shelf facies (= Rhenish) of the Old Red Sandstone continent from Velbert, Bergisches land and Aachen in Germany, and further west in Belgium and north eastern France. Ammonoids are also known from Brittany, and, appropriately enough, from the Devonian "type-area" of Devon and Cornwall in south western England.

Dinant Basin and the Eifel Mountains

Early records from the Ardennes have already been discussed. In the Dinant Basin Delépine (1929) reported <u>Cymaclymenia camerata</u> Schindewolf from the Étroeungt of Sémeries, northern France, Dehée (1929) recorded clymeniids from Avesnelles, northern France, and Sartenaer (1957) reported the discovery of the <u>Cheiloceras</u> <u>curvispina</u> zone from near Limburg, eastern Belgium. House (1973) reported <u>Cheiloceras</u> from near the Frasnian-Famennian boundary at Senzeilles, the type section. <u>Cheiloceras</u> is also known from further east in Aachen Anticline (Holzapfel 1910, Wulff 1923, Kasig <u>et al</u>. 1979) and Wolfgang Schmidt (1956) recorded

Kosmoclymenia undulata (Münster).

The only other reported occurrence of Famennian ammonoids west of the Rhine is at Büdesheim (Clausen 1968) a locality which has long been famous for its Frasnian faunas (Steininger 1834; Sandberger and Sandberger 1851-6). East of the Rhine, in the Bergisch Gladbach-Paffrath Syncline, Jux and Krath (1974) described what must be the most delicately preserved pyritised Famennian goniatites. Cheiloceratids and tornoceratids were recovered from a building trench through the Knoppenbiessen Beds near Bensberg.

The only other important non-Cephalopodenkalk locality for Famennian ammonoids in northern Germany is the Herzkamp Syncline. Most common are II_{α} level faunas of cheiloceratids and tornoceratids, described by Grüneberg (1925). Higher levels are also present and clymeniids were reported by Paeckelmann (1913, 1921, 1922) and Paul (1939).

Armorica

The only recent studies have been by Babin (Babin 1966, Babin <u>et al</u>. 1976) who has described faunas consisting of <u>Cheiloceras</u>, <u>Tornoceras</u> and <u>Lobotornoceras</u> from near the Frasnian-Famennian boundary of the Rade de Brest. Further east Péneau (1929) described upper Famennian clymeniids, including <u>Wocklumeria</u>, from St. Julien-de-Vouvantes (Loire Atlantique) near Angers, and Babin and Paris (1973) reported <u>Tornoceras</u> and <u>Aulatornoceras</u> from near Rennes.

South West England

House and Selwood have recently contributed much to our knowledge of Famennian ammonoids from south west England, which had lain relatively unstudied since the 1850's. House (1956)

reported <u>Cheiloceras</u> from Padstow, north Cornwall, and later, House (1960), <u>Platyclymenia</u>, <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> and <u>Imitoceras</u> from near Tavistock, Devon.

The discovery of <u>Wocklumeria</u> from Launceston, east Cornwall (House and Selwood 1957) was most significant. This, when taken together with the collections of Ansted and Phillips, proved a sequence in that area from the <u>Platyclymenia</u> to <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen. The faunas were described by Selwood (1960) in greater detail, and two genera were introduced: <u>Kenseyoceras</u> and <u>Mayneoceras</u>. A similar suite of ammonoid faunas from Chudleigh, south Devon, was also recorded by House (Butcher and House 1961, House 1963, House and Butcher 1975).

Poland

Tracing descriptions of Famennian ammonoid faunas from Poland is complicated somewhat by the politics of the twentieth century. Traditionally this work had been carried out by Germans and Russians in the employment of the Russian Imperial Survey. Faunas from Ebersdorf (Dzikowiec) were discussed in the German/Silesia section.

Gürich (1896, 1909) described Famennian ammonoids from the Holy Cross Mountains near Kielce, and subsequent authors have worked in the same area. Dybcynski (1913) published an outline report of the scope of faunas present at Kielce, ranging from the base of the Famennian to the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. The report was introduced as preliminary to a monograph, but this was never published. Two goniatite genera were introduced: <u>Protornoceras</u> and <u>Polonoceras</u>.

Czarnocki (1909, 1928, 1938) published a number of articles on the Devonian and Carboniferous palaeontology and straigraphy

of the Kielce region. That he also planned a monographic description of Famennian ammonoid faunas is alluded to in a catalogue of fossils (Rühle 1972) in which many museum determinations are attributed to Czarnocki. This includes many new genera and species, which are all <u>nomina nuda</u>. The list of species present suggests that the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe is fully developed at Kielce.

The work of Sobolev requires more than a little comment, (but see Chapter 4). Between 1911 and 1928 he published a series of articles on the Upper Devonian of the Holy Cross Mountains, mostly in Russian, in unaccessible Polish/Russian journals. His major contribution was <u>Nabroski po filoqenii goniatitov</u> (1914a), an outline of which was published in German (1914b) and is therefore more readily studied. Essentially Sobolev believed in a polyphylectic origin of the clymeniids from goniatites, which he substantiated by a series of "phylogenetic" lineages now recognised merely as homeomorphic groupings.

Makowski (1962) used specimens of <u>Cheiloceras subpartitum</u> (Münster) and <u>Paratorleyoceras globosum</u> (Münster) as examples of the occurrence of sexual dimorphism in early goniatites. Kalis (1969) reported <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> and <u>Cheiloceras</u> from boreholes at Öpole Lubelskie and Niedrzwica, in the western Lublin basin. House (1970) used material from the lower Famennian of the Kielce region, described earlier by Dybcynski (1913) and Sobolev (1914a,b), to illustrate his arguments outlining the origin of the clymeniids. He introduced the new genus <u>Tornia</u>.

Southern Europe

Carnic Alps

Little has been added to our knowledge of Famennian ammonoids of the Carnic Alps since Frech's (1902) study. D'Angelis Ossat

(1897) illustrated what appears to be a species of <u>Balvia</u> from Pal Grande in the Carnic Alps and Gortani (1907) described in detail a fauna from Casera Promosia, nearby. Recently House and Price (1980) have revised old reports of ammonoids, preliminary to a restudy of the rich faunas from this area.

Montagne Noire

Böhm (1935) is the only person to have published on the Famennian ammonoids of the Montagne Noire this century.

Spain

Our understanding of late Devonian ammonoids from the Iberian peninsula was poor until Kullmann made a study in the Cantabrian Mountains of Spain twenty years ago, (Schindewolf and Kullmann 1959, Kullmann 1960). A large number of Devonian ammonoids were described, from the Emsian upwards, including a complete sequence through the Famennian.

Greece

Recently Roth (1964) reported the occurrence of <u>Cheiloceras</u> from the island of Chios in the eastern Aegean.

North Africa

Famennian ammonoids were first discovered in the central Sahara in 1903 (Haug 1903). By 1933 (Menchikoff 1933) sufficient was known of the ammonoids of the Saoura valley and the Ougarta Mountains for all the German ammonoid Stufen to be recognised in North Africa. Later Clariond, Termier and Roch (<u>fide</u> Petter 1959) demonstrated the presence of similar faunas further west in

Morocco. The first detailed descriptions of the faunas were prepared by the Termiers (Termier and Termier 1948, 1950) and much of this material was redescribed in detail by Petter (1959, 1960). Buggisch and Clausen (1972) described sequences across the Frasnian-Famennian boundary in Morocco.

<u>USSR</u>

Perna (1914) described the Famennian faunas of the Verchneuralsk' region (Chelyabinsk Oblast'), east of the Urals, enlarging on the contributions of Karpinskiy (1884) and Tokarenko (1903). The faunas indicate the presence of a sequence ranging from the Cheiloceras to Platyclymenia Stufen. In a review of Perna's exemplary study Schindewolf (1922) introduced a new genus, Pernoceras. Nalivkina (1936) described Cheiloceras and Cyrtoclymenia from the western coast of Novaya Zemlya, and from the southern Urals Later (1953) she described a fauna of almost (1936b). exclusively <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe age from the Mugozhar (Novorossik Region, Aktubinsk Oblast') which had been discussed briefly by Kind (1944). Kolotukhina (1938) described a Platyclymenia Stufe fauna from Kazachstan (Kairakty, Karaganda Oblast').

Over the last 25 years B. I. Bogoslovskiy has been responsible for a series of major papers on the Devonian ammonoids of Russia, mostly from the Timan and the Urals. Much of his work describes specimens collected by various expeditions and survey programmes. Famennian faunas have been described principally from the southern Urals (Bashkirskaya ASSR) and Kazakhstan (Mugozhar Mountains = Aktubinsk Oblast', and Karaganda Oblast'), but also from the northern Urals. The following genera were introduced: 1955; <u>Kiaclymenia</u> (River Kiya, Aktubinskaya Oblast'); 1957; <u>Sedgwick-Oceras, Paratorleyoceras, Polonites, Paradimeroceras</u> (S. Urals);

1962; Spinoclymenia (R. Kiya, Akt. Obl.); 1965; Carinoclymenia (Kara-Zhar, Sukhinorskiye, Akt. Obl.); 1975; Acriclymenia (Kara-Zhar, Akt. Obl.), Pinacoclymenia (R. Kiya, Akt. Obl.); 1977; Uraloclymenia (R. Man'ya, N. Urals, R. Kiya, S. Urals; R. Sherubay-Nura, Karaganda Ob1.); 1979a; Cteroclymenia (Kara-Zhar, Akt. Obl.); 1979b; Aktuboclymenia (Kara-Zhar, Akt. Obl.), Kazakhoclymenia (R. Sherubay-Nura, Kara. Obl.), Ornatoclymenia (R. Kiya, Akt. Ob1.), Laganoclymenia (R. Kiya, Akt. Ob1.); 1981; Mesoclymenia (R. Sherubay-Nura, Kara Obl.), and Riphaeoclymenia (R. Kiya, Akt. Ob1.). In a work dealing with mainly Carboniferous ammonoids Librovich (1947, 1957) introduced Acutimitoceras, and Synwocklumeria, described from the River Ryazyak (Bash. ASSR), and later reported by Bogoslovskiy (1981) from the River Marukhye in the northern Caucasus.

An atlas of key fossils found in the USSR (Nalivkina 1947) illustrated many Famennian ammonoids. In 1962 the <u>Osnovi</u> <u>Palaeontologii</u> volume on cephalopods covered Devonian ammonoids in depth (Bogoslovskiy 1962) and recently Bogoslovskiy has contributed to knowledge of the nature of the siphuncle in the early stages of clymeniids (1976) and has discussed the phylogeny of the Clymeniina (1979b), and Gonioclymeniina (1981).

South Central Asia

Iran

Walliser (1966) described goniatites and clymeniids of the <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe from the Shotari Range of north eastern Iran, and introduced the subgenus <u>Sporadoceras</u> (<u>Iranoceras</u>).

Pakistan

<u>Platyclymenia</u> has been reported from near the Khyber Pass in Pakistan (Talent and Mawson 1979).

<u>China</u>

There is only one early record of Famennian ammonoids from China; Mansuy (1912) identified <u>Paradoceras globosum</u> (Münster) which may be <u>Paratorlevoceras</u> sp. However, within the last twenty-five years there has been a great increase in our knowledge. Chang (1958) described two new genera, <u>Sinotites</u> and <u>Sunites</u>, from near Taminshang in the Great Khingan. Further aspects of the fauna were subsequently described (Chang 1960), which indicated levels ranging from the <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe to the <u>annulata</u> Zone.

The presence of the <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen was demonstrated by Sun and Shen (1965) from Kweichow, Kwangsi and north eastern Yunnan with a rich suite of goniatites and Clymeniids. Two genera, <u>Sinoqlatziella</u> and <u>Asioclymenia</u>, were introduced. Ammonoid faunas from the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe have also been discovered in Kansu and Sinkiang by Ruan and He (1978), and recently Ruan (1981) described in detail the ammonoid fauna of the Daihua Formation of Kwangsi and Kweichow.

Australia

The presence of Famennian ammonoids in Australia was first recognised by Foord (1890) who studied specimens sent to him from the Kimberley District of the Canning Basin, Western Australia. These were first described in detail by Delépine (1935). Further collecting by Teichert since 1939 (Teichert 1941, 1943) and later by a number of institutions has resulted in the recognition

of goniatites and clymeniids ranging in age from the <u>Cheiloceras</u> to <u>Clymenia</u> Stufen. These have been described in detail by Petersen (1975).

Famennian ammonoids from eastern Australia are less well known. Pickett (1960) reported <u>Cymaclymenia</u> and <u>Platyclymenia</u> from north eastern New South Wales. From the same area Jenkins (1966) subsequently described <u>Cheiloceras acutum</u> Münster, indicative of the lower <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe. However, the identification of the poorly preserved specimens is open to question, and they may be <u>Tornoceras</u>. Reports from higher levels (Jenkins 1968) of <u>Genuclymenia</u>, <u>Platyclymenia</u> and <u>Sporadoceras</u>, although based on poorly preserved material, are more convincing.

North America

Few Famennian ammonoids are known from North America, but older Devonian faunas are comparatively more widespread.

Western Canada

The only records of Famennian ammonoids are of a <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe fauna (<u>Cheiloceras</u>, <u>Sporadoceras</u> and <u>Dimeroceras</u>) from the North West Territories, and a possible <u>Platyclymenia</u> from Fisher Range, Alberta (House and Pedder 1963).

Eastern North America

The few known records are from a belt of Devonian rocks cropping out on and near the southern shores of Lake Erie. House (1962, 1968) reported <u>Cheiloceras</u>, <u>Aulatornoceras</u> and <u>Maeneceras</u>. There is an earlier record by Miller and Flower (1936) of <u>Spora</u>-<u>doceras</u> from Pennsylvania. These are certainly indicative of the <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe, and possibly of the lower part of the <u>Platy</u>-

<u>clymenia</u> Stufe. Further west in Ohio (House 1978) recorded <u>Cyrtoclymenia, Cymaclymenia</u> and <u>Platyclymenia</u>. Earlier (1962) in Iowa, he had recorded <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> and <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, and Manger (1973) recognised <u>Falciclymenia</u>.

Montana and New Mexico

The Three Forks Shale fauna of Montana was first described by Raymond (1907, 1909, 1911) and was restudied by Schindewolf (1934). He considered it to be from the <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe and introduced a new genus, <u>Raymondiceras</u>. House (1962) recognised <u>Rectoclymenia</u>. Miller and Collinson (1951) reported <u>Falciclymenia</u> from the Percha Shale of New Mexico.

Miscellaneous Subjects

Walliser (1970) and House (1971) have both described wrinklelayer structures in Famennian ammonoids. In a posthumously published article Schindewolf (1972) discussed many aspects of his life's work, including clymeniids. House has written several papers (1964, 1971, 1972, 1973) dealing with the distribution of Devonian ammonoids, and these include accounts of Famennian ammonoids, particularly <u>Cheiloceras</u> and <u>Platyclymenia</u> (1971). Drushchits, Bogoslovskaya and Doguzhaeva (1976) described the evolution of ammonoid septal necks, including details of the Clymeniida.

. .

 $(1, \dots, n, N_{k+1}) = (1, \dots, N_{k+1}) + (1, \dots,$

and the second second

 $= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{$ and the second Chapter 3

and the state of the second second

The ammonoid zonation of the Famennian

and a start of the second s

 $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2}$

and the second second second second second

Introduction

This chapter is divided into four parts. Firstly the subdivisions of the Famennian in Belgium are examined, considering especially reports of ammonoids. Then follows a detailed discussion of the ammonoid zonation of time-equivalent rocks in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, for which no single name exists, save "mittleres und oberes Oberdevon". Two charts summarise the evolution of the Stufen and zonal terminologies (Textfigs. 3,1 and 3.2a-d, respectively). Reports of ammonoid biostratigraphy are critically examined, especially the unrivalled account of Wedekind (1908), and those of Schindewolf (1916 et seq.), Schmidt (1921, 1924), Lange (1929), Matern (1931), and Wedekind (1913a, b, 1914). For the two intervals curvispina-annulata Zone (Wedekind 1908) and the Wocklumeria Stufe (Schindewolf 1937a) little that is significant in terms of ammonoid specific ranges, has been added to date. Precise evidence is newly presented here for the intervening annulata Zone and Clymenia Stufe.

In a brief section the use of ammonoid zonal names within the Famennian is rationalised, each zone being defined. Finally, using information accumulated for this thesis and from the literature, a series of 23 faunal levels based on specific ranges are newly recognised. These are related to the zones.

The Famennian

The Famennian, used as a name for the uppermost Stage of the Devonian, was established as a name for a series of shelf clastics with subordinate carbonates, almost lacking in ammonoids, in the Dinant Basin of southern Belgium (Gosselet 1860, Mourlon 1875, <u>fide</u> Lecompte 1956). Brachiopods (Gosselet 1877), and more recently conodonts (Bouckaert, Ziegler and Thorez 1965) and spores

(Bouckaert <u>et al</u>. 1968), have been used as the principal means of biostratigraphic correlation within it.

Over the same period of time German authors (see below) have devised an ammonoid zonal scheme in condensed ammonoid-rich carbonates in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge. Correlation between the two areas has largely been based on lithological grounds, in the Aachen and Velbert areas, where the two facies overlap to some degree. Ziegler's (1962) work on the conodont faunas of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge has enabled the Famennian of Belgium to be more accurately correlated with the German sequences.

Famennian of Belgium

A review of the evolution of the terminology of the subdivisions of the Famennian can be found in the <u>Lexique Stratigraphique</u> (Lecompte 1956). During the last twenty-five years there have been serious attempts to provide a biostratigraphy of the Famennian, so that it can justifiably be used as a stage name outside its historical type-area with some degree of reliable correlation.

Sartenaer (1957 <u>et seq</u>., see references in Sartenaer 1970) has provided a series of articles refining a rhynchonellid zonation of the lower Famennian. He also published a detailed description of the now destroyed Frasnian/Famennian boundary type-section at Senzeilles, and showed that the sequence through the Assises de Senzeilles was not complete.

Bouckaert <u>et al</u>. (1965) proved the conodont zones which Ziegler (1962) had recognised in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge (see Chapter 6 for a discussion of the Famennian conodont zones) to be present in Belgium, thus permitting a more precise correlation between the Famennian of Belgium and Germany.

Bouckaert et al. (1965) also helped to clarify the limits to

the Famennian. Gosselet (1877, p. 306) had placed the Frasnian/ Famennian boundary at Km 101.026 in the railway-cutting at Senzeilles at the base of a 6m thick unit, where "Cyrthia murchisoniana" entered the record. Bouckaert et al. (1965) obtained a Middle triangularis Zone fauna from a limestone 6m above this level. Later Bouckaert, Mouravieff, Thorez, Streel and Ziegler (1972) attempted to improve the documentation of the Frasnian/ Famennian boundary in Belgium. They pointed out that the conodont record at Senzeilles was incomplete, the Lower triangularis and Upper gigas Zones being unrecognised. By studying another sequence at Hony, they pointed to a major change in the conodont faunas occurring between the Upper gigas and Lower triangularis Zones, which, by means of a simultaneous significant change in the composition of the arcritarch fauna, they correlated with a level some two metres above Gosselet's Frasnian/Famennian boundary at Senzeilles. On this basis they proposed that the Frasnian/ Famennian boundary be redrawn at Hony at the base of Bed 48b, which was coincident with the base of the Lower triangularis Zone.

The Tournasian is the stage overlying the Famennian, and the boundary between them was equated with the Devonian/Carboniferous boundary. However, Bouckaert <u>et al</u>. (1965) reported a <u>costatus</u> Zone fauna from levels assigned to the zone Tnla, the lowest Tournaisian, which, by comparison with the conodont and ammonoid faunas from the Devonian/Carboniferous sequence at Oberrödinghausen, would place it in the uppermost Devonian. The detailed palynological work of Streel (see references in Paproth and Streel 1970) has added to the precision of correlation around these levels. Acceptance of the base of the <u>sulcata</u> Zone (Paproth 1980) as the Devonian/Carboniferous boundary, with the Famennian and Tournaisian stages either side of it, should prompt realignment of the base of the Tournaisian to coincide with the system boundary, and hence

define the top of the Famennian.

The Famennian in Belgium was divided on the basis of lithostratigraphic units (Lecompte 1956). Bouckaert <u>et al</u>. (1968) showed that these units were diachronous, and proposed new divisions using biostratigraphic units based on a combination of brachiopod, conodont, spore and foraminiferal zones. The lower/upper Famennian (Fa 1/2) boundary was drawn between the <u>crepida</u> and <u>rhomboidea</u> Zones, which they said was a major macro- and micro-faunal break, and coincided with a change in lithology in a part of the Dinant Syncline.

There are few reported occurrences of ammonoids in the Famennian of Belgium and France. Dehée (1929, p. 15) stated that such records as there were should be treated with reserve since most of the clymeniids which he had been able to examine in old collections were gastropods. He illustrated (pl. 1, fig. 13) <u>Cyma</u>. <u>evoluta</u> from Avesnelles, and two further specimens (pl. 1, figs. 11,12) collected from the Carrière du Parcq by Gosselet. Demanet (1958, p. 55) recorded "<u>Striato</u>. <u>euryomphala</u>" (= <u>Cyma</u>. <u>evoluta</u>?) from Landélies, near Namur.

Hébert (1855, p. 1170) recorded <u>Clymenia undulata</u> and <u>Cl</u>. ? <u>laevigata</u> from the Étroeungt. In 1957 Sartenaer (1957a) recognised the <u>Cheiloceras</u> Zone near Limbourg, and House (1973) reported a single specimen of <u>Cheiloceras</u> (<u>Torleyoceras</u>) sp. from a locality on the Aye sheet. Bouckaert <u>et al</u>. (1968) show <u>Cheiloceras</u>, <u>Sporadoceras latilobum</u> and <u>Cymaclymenia euryomphala</u> on a range-chart (hors-texte III), but do not state their evidence. Dreesen (1982a,b), in describing distinctive oolite ironstones from the Dinant and Vesdre basins, reported <u>Cheiloceras circum</u>-<u>flexum</u> and <u>Ch. amblylobum</u> identified by me. I can report another occurrence near Han-sur-lesse (near Pt. 42 of Sartenaer 1970). A sample collected by Gerd Trost yielded Cheiloceras circumflexum

Техтғіб. 3.1			UPPER D	IEVONIAN		CARBON IFEROUS
	CHEILOCERAS STUFE II	РLATYCLYME III	nia Stufe IV	CLYMENIA STUFE V	WOCKLUMERIA STUFE VI	Gattendorfia, Stufe I
Kayser 1873	Cypridina Stufe		- Wi	l unsteri Zone or Clymenia Stufe	=	
Frecн 1887	Goniatites curvispina Si	ufe		Clymeni	a Stufe	
Frecн 1902	Goniatites curvispina			Clyme	nia	
Frech 1913	Cheiloceras II	Prolobites Poe III	stprolobites IV			
WEDEKIND 1913A	Cheiloceras	Prolobites Poe	stprolobites			
WEDEKIND 1913B	Cheiloceras	Prolobites Pou	stprolobites	Laevigata V		
WЕDЕКIND 1914	Cheiloceras	Prolobites Pos Pl	tprolobites- atyclymenia	Laevigata-Gonioclymenia	Wocklumeria VI	
SCHINDEWOLF 1916	Cheiloceras	Prolobites Pcs Pl	tprolobite8- atyclymenia	Laevigites	Wocklumeria	
Schindewolf 1921	Cheiloceras	Prolobites Pos Pl	tprolobites- atyclymenia	Laevigites	Gattendorfia	Wocklumeria VII
М ЕДЕКІИД 1926	Cheiloceras	Prolobites Pi	latyclymenia	Laevigites	Wocklumeria	Gattendorfia
SCHINDEWOLF 1926				Laevigites	Wocklumeria	Gattendorfia
Lange 1929	Cheiloceras	Prolobites P.	menia rionoceras divisum	Orthoclymenia	Wocklumeria	Gattendorfia
Matern 1931	Nehden	Hembe	rg	Dasb	erg	Hangenberg
JONGMANS 1937 & GOTHAN 1937					Wocklumeria	Gattendorfia I
Miller 1938	Cheiloceras	Prolobites-Plo III	aty clymenia	Laevigites-Gonioclymenia IV	Wocklumeria-Kalloclymenia V	
SCHINDEWOLF 1952	Cheiloceras	Prolobites-Plu III	atyclymenia IV	Oxyclymenia-Gonioclymenia V	Wocklumeria-Kalloolymenia VI	
Kullmann 1960	Cheiloceras	Prolobites-Pl	atyclymenia	Clymenia-Gonioclymenia	Wocklumeria-Kalloclymenia	
House 1962	Cheiloceras	Platycly	menia	Clymenia	Wocklumeria	
Erben & Zagora 1967	Nehdenian	Hember	gian	Dasbe	rgian	
ZIEGLER 1979	Nehdenian	Hember	gian	Dasbergian	Wocklumerian	

Textfig. 3.1 Chart showing correlation between various names given to Famennian Stufen

	<i>GATT.</i> Tour.	WOCKLUMERIA	CLYMENIA	PLA	FAMEN	ANIAN	CHEILOC	Eras
	I	V1	v	IV	III		11	
Kavser 1873			Clymenia Stufe = Münsteri Stufe				Cypridina Stufe	
Frech 1887A			Clymenia Stufe				Goniatites curvispina	subpartitum Stufe
Frech 1897							Goniatites curispina	Stufe S
Frech 1902			A	1	annulata annulata	Pseudoclymenia Sandbergeri	Cheiloceran	041d310100
FRECH 1913		Gonioclymenienkalke	? Clymenia ef. undulata	Postprolohites Jakolevi & Clymenia tenuicostata Clymenia crassicosta	Prolobites delphinus & Clymenia involuta	Tornoceras Sandbergeri		Chci toceraten
WEDEKIND 1913A			? Clymenia laevigata & Clymenia hisulvata	Clymenia laevipata Poetprolobiter Freed Clymenia annulata Grenzbank mit Clymenia	Clymenia protacta Frelohitee delfilma & Clyrenia inveluta	Tornocerae Sandt crgeni	Cheilocerae Fimerocerae ƙ Apanider	Cheiloernair.

Textfigs. 3.2a-d. Charts illustrating the correlation between zonal names used in the Famennian.

WEDEKIND 1913B	WEDEKIND 1914	SCHINDEWOLF 1916	SCHINDEWOLF 1921	Scнм1DT 1924
			Wocklumeria Denckmanni & Oxyclymenia Wocklumeri	Postclymenia evoluta
Gonioclymenienkalko	Wocklumeria Denckmanni. Kalloclymenia subarmata & Oxyclymenia Wocklumeri	Wocklumeria Denekmanni Wocklumeria n. sp.	Gattendorfia subinvoluta	Wocklumeria sphaeroides & Glatziella nucleus
C	Laevigites laevigata & Gonioclymenia Tournquisti Clymenia aegoceras & Laevigites Hoevelensis	Laevigites laevigata Laevigites hoevelensis	Laevigites laevigatus Oxyclymenia undulata & Conioclymenia Kiliani Laevigites Hoevelensis Oxyclymenia subundulata, & Conioclymenia Torleyi	Gonioclymenia speciosa & Cynaclymenia ornata Gonioclymenia Hoevelensis \$ Platyclymenia acuticostata
	Postprolobites Frechi & Platyclymenia valida Platyclymenia annulata Platuclumenia protacta	Fostprolobites Frechi, Platyclymenia annulata & Platyclymenia protacta	Postprolobites Frechi & Platyclymenia spp. Platyclymenia protacta Platyclymenia annulata	Platyclymenia annulata
Frolobites delphinus & Clymenia involuta	Frolobites delphinus & Clymenia involuta	Prolobites delphinuc & Clymenia involuta	Prolobites delphinus 6 Cyrtoalymenia involuta	Prolobites delphinus
Tornoceras Sandbergeri	Pseudoclymenia Sandbergeri	Pseudoclymenia Sandbergeri	Pseudoclymenia Sandbergeri & Pseudoclymenia Drevermanni	Pseudoclymenia Sandbergeri
Cheiloceras & Dimeroceras		Cheiloceras enkebergense	Cheiloceras enkebergense & Dimeroceras Guembeli	Sporadoceras Pompeckji & Cheiloceras
Cheiloceraten		Cheiloceras subpartitum	Cheiloceras subpartitum	Cheiloceras curvispina

Textfig. 3.2b

WEDEKIND 1926	LANGE 1929	SCHINDEWOLF 1937	A	House 1962
	Gattendorfia eubinvoluta			
Wocklumeria Denckmanni, Kalloclymenia subarmata b Oxyclymenia Wocklumeri	Gonioclymenia wocklumensis	Parawocklumeria paradoxa Kalloclymenia eudarmata & Kalloclymenia brevispina	Wocklumeria sphaeroides Kamptoclymenia endogona	Wocklumeria Ephaeroid.r Kalloclymenia subarmata
Laevigites laevigatus b Gonioclymenia Tornquisti Laevigites Hoevelensis & Clymenia aegoceras	Gonioclymenia speciosa ? Gonioclymenia hoevelcnsis Costaclymenia binodosa			Gonioclymenie speciena Gonioclymenie hocvelenee
Platyclymenia valida & Postprolobites Frechi Platyclymenia annulata var densicosta, Platyclymenia protacta	Flatyclymenia annulata			Flatyclymenia anrulata
Prolobites delphinue 8 Clymenia involuta	Rectoclymenia subflezuosa 6 Cyrtoclymenia involuta			Pròlobiter delphinn
Pseudoclymenia Sandbergeri	Flatyclymenia kayteri			Pseudoclymenia sandbergeri
Cheilocerar enkebergense & Dimeroceraten	Dimeroceras benneckei			Speradocerae pompeckát
Cheiloceras subpartitum	Cheilocerae (acutum)			Cheileacra curteștua

Textfig. 3.2c

PROPOSED IN THIS THESIS	nsuoud	evoluta sphaeroides paradoxa	endogona	brevispina	speciosa	hoevelensis	annulata	de lphinus	dillensis	pompeckji	currispina
Korn 1981 a		Сутасlутеніа еигуотрhala f Иоскlитеніа	Parawocklumeria sphaeroides paradoxa Parawocklumeria	Kalloclymenia subarmata & Kalloclymenia brevispina	Piriclymenia piriformis Ornatoclymenia ornata	Progonioclymenia acuticostata Kosmoclymenia serpentina					·
House 1979	Cymaclymenia f	Parawocklumeria	Wocklumeria	Kalloclymenia	Gonioclymenia	Gonioclymenia	Platycłymenia	Prolobiter	Peeudoclymenia	Maeneceras	Cheilocerar
	Prionoceras spp.	paradoza	Bphaeroiden	subarmata	speciosa	hoevelensia	(P.) annulata	delphinus	sandbergeri	pompeckji	amblylobum
House 1978	Prionoceras	Cymaclymenia	Wocklumeria	Kalloclymenia	Gonioclymenia	Gonioclymenia	Platyclymenia	Prolobites	Pseudoclymenia	Maeneceras	Cheiloceras
	8p.	euryomphala	8phaeroides	subarmata	speciosa	hoevelensis	annulata	delphinus	sandbergeri	pompeckji	curvispina

and Ch. amblylobum.

Delépine (1929) recognised <u>Cyma</u>. <u>camerata</u> from the Étroeungt of Sémeries in northern France. Bouckaert, Dreesen and Drijkoningen (1978) reported a number of examples of <u>Cheiloceras</u> from the Souverain-Pré Formation of the Avesnois, northern France. They are too poorly illustrated for their identifications to be confirmed.

A possible means of correlating the Famennian of Belgium with the German Cephalopodenkalk sequence existed through the Famennian of the Aachen area on the west of the Rhine, and the Bergischesland and Velbert areas on the east, where the Etroeungt, at least, has long been recognised. Ammonoids have been reported from all of these three areas.(Schindewolf 1921, Wulff 1923, W. Schmidt 1956, Kasig <u>et al</u>. 1979, Jux and Krath 1974, Paeckelmann 1922, Paul 1939).

Ammonoid Zonation in the Famennian of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge Early Schemes

Kayser (1873, p. 669) recognised two divisions of the Upper Devonian of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge by their ammonoid fauna, which he correlated with Gosselet's (1873) subdivision of the Upper Devonian of the Ardennes:

Kayser 1873 Clymenien-stufe Cypridinen-stufe

Intumescens-stufe

Gosselet 1873

Kalkstein von Étroeungt Sandstein von Condroz Schiefer der Famenne Schiefer von Matagne mit <u>Cardiola retrostriata</u> Kalke and Mergel von Frasne (Cuboides-Schichten)

(from Kayser 1873, p. 654)

Ziegler's (1979) account seems to misinterpret the events surround-

ing this division of the Upper Devonian into an upper and lower part. He suggests (p. 42) that it was Kayser who first did this, but surely this was first achieved by Gosselet a few months previously (Gosselet 1873) since Kayser (1873, p. 654) quotes from Gosselet.

Kayser's twofold division of the Upper Devonian was based on the observation that the lower part contained distinctive goniatites, termed Primordiales (= Gephuroceratina), which were absent from the upper part. This, latter, was subdivided into a lower part containing a new and distinctive goniatite fauna (= Cheilocerataceae), and an upper part in which clymeniids first appeared. To these divisions Kayser gave the names <u>Intumescens</u>-Stufe, <u>Cypridinen</u>-Stufe and <u>Clymenien</u>-Stufe. He also suggested the name <u>Münsteri</u>-Stufe for this uppermost subdivision (Kayser 1873, p. 664). This name was adopted by Loewinson-Lessing (1892) who described a fauna from the eastern Urals which appears to range in age from the <u>delphinus</u> to <u>subarmata</u> Zones. No attempt was made, however, to integrate this zone in any scheme, nor to define its character, save perhaps, by implication the presence of <u>Sp</u>. (<u>Sp</u>.) <u>muensteri</u>.

A similar scheme was repeated by Frech (1887a, p. 438, 446). Here he recognised the lowest Frasnian <u>G</u>. <u>lunulicosta</u> Zone, and gave the name Stufe des <u>Gon</u>. <u>curvispina</u> (also named as Stufe des <u>Gon</u>. <u>curvispina</u> and <u>Gon</u>. <u>subpartitus</u> on p. 438) to the horizon containing a fauna of Simplices (tornoceratids and cheiloceratids) which lay above the <u>Intumescens</u>-Stufe (with a fauna of Primordiales), and below the <u>Clymenien</u>-Stufe (with a fauna of clymeniids and sporadoceratids). In the <u>Lethaea Palaeozoica</u> (Frech 1897, p. 176-8) Frech retained this four-fold division of the Upper Devonian.

Denckmann (1901a, b, Denckmann and Lotz 1901) was the first to attempt to divide the clymeniid faunas. Denckmann and Lotz (1901)

5)

recognised a similarity between the Upper Devonian faunas of the Kellerwald and the Sauerland. In the Balve area they reported a three-fold division of the Clymenienkalk: a lower division, a middle division, characterised by <u>Cl</u>. <u>annulata</u>, noticed in a quarry at Beil, and an upper division, characterised by <u>Cl</u>. <u>speciosa</u> and and <u>Cl</u>. <u>laevigata</u>. A fuller account (Denckmann 1901b) of the faunas from the Kellerwald used the explicit term "Zone der <u>Clymenia</u> <u>annulata</u>" and listed the following important species:

Enkeberger Kalk = Untere Clymenienkalk

<u>Prolobites delphinus</u> <u>Tornoceras simplex</u> <u>Brancoceras sulcatum</u> <u>Sporadoceras Münsteri</u> <u>Clymenia cf. laevigata</u> <u>Clymenia aff. striata</u>

Zone der <u>Clymenia</u> annulata

<u>Clymenia</u> annulata

<u>C1. angustiseptata</u>

<u>C1</u>. cf. <u>laevigata</u>

Dasberger Kalk = Oberer Clymenenkalk

<u>Clymenia annulata</u> <u>Cl. angustiseptata</u> <u>Cl. cf. laevigata</u>

Frech (1902), provided supplementary information and subdivided the middle and upper Upper Devonian:

Clymenien Stufe

Zone der Gonioclymenien Zone der <u>Clymenia annulata</u> Zone der <u>Pseudoclymenia Sandbergeri</u>

Zone des <u>Cheiloceras</u> curvispina

The <u>Ch. curvispina</u> Zone contained a fauna 99% of which was <u>Cheilo-</u> <u>ceras</u>, the <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> <u>Sandbergeri</u> Zone fauna included <u>inter</u>

<u>alia, Oxy. striata, Oxy. undulata, Ps. Sandbergeri, Prolobites</u> <u>delphinus, and Sporadoceras spp.</u> Only <u>Ps. Sandbergeri, T. plani-</u> <u>dorsatum and Cl. annulata</u> were recorded from the <u>Cl. annulata</u> Zone. The uppermost Gonioclymenien Zone contained, <u>inter alia, Cl.</u> <u>solarioides, Pseudarietites</u>, and <u>Cl. laevigata</u>, and <u>Gonioclymenia</u> spp. especially.

Wedekind's (1908) Enkeberg Section

A 9.2m trenched section through the Clymenienkalk of Enkeberg, Sauerland, was investigated by Wedekind (1908) in a systematic manner, collecting bed-by-bed. He was able to chart the precise ranges of most known Famennian ammonoids and he divided the suc-The usefulness of his results cession into Beds by their fauna. is diminished by the discrepancies (House 1970) which can be found between his species ranges in the text, the table of faunal ranges (opposite p. 634), and the listing of the fauna bed-by-bed.(p. 569-71), and the condensed nature of the sequence. His results are summarised in Textfig. 3.3 and the important points it contains are picked out. The sequence was divided into Lower (Beds 31-26) and Upper Cheiloceras Beds (Beds 25-18 (p. 572) or 25-16 (table)) (this discrepancy is important since Bed 17 contains the first occurrence of <u>Ps. sandbergeri</u>); Beds with Clymenia involuta and Prolobites delphinus (Beds 14-10); and Beds with Clymenia annulata (Beds 9-4). Wedekind did not locate the Frasnian/Famennian or <u>Intumescens</u>-Stufe/<u>Cheiloceras-Stufe</u>, boundary nor did he specify which ammonoids enabled him to identify Bed 32 as Intumescens-Schichten. Wedekind had two attempts at analysing what he thought were the major faunal divisions at Enkeberg. On p. 572 he stated that the middle/upper Upper Devonian boundary was at Bed 17 (not above or below it) in which <u>T. sandbergeri</u> occurred. On p. 574 he listed three divisions: Beds with species of Cheiloceras, Beds



Textfig. 3.3 Ammonoid faunal ranges through the Famennian at Enkeberg, (using the data of Lange 1929 and Wedekind 1908).

with species of <u>Cheiloceras</u> and <u>Sporadoceras</u>, and Beds with species of <u>Sporadoceras</u> and clymeniids.

The Lower Cheiloceras Beds were 6.53m thick although Bed 31, 6m thick, containing <u>Protornoceras planidorsatum</u>, and possibly <u>Ch. sacculum</u> (contrary information can be found on p. 571 and in the table) accounted for most of this. <u>Cheiloceras (Ch.) verneuili</u> and <u>Torl. curvispina</u>, and <u>Ch. (Ch.) acutum</u> were restricted to the Lower Cheiloceras Beds. <u>Paratornoceras lentiforme</u> appeared in Bed 29, and <u>Lobotornoceras bilobatum</u> in Bed 27. The boundary with the two metres thick Upper Cheiloceras Beds (25-716) appears to have been marked by the incoming of <u>Sp. (Sp.) biferum</u> since no new cheiloceratids were recognised. Later, in Bed 22, numerous species of <u>Dimeroceras</u> first occurred, along with <u>Maeneceras</u> <u>acutolaterale</u>.

The uppermost boundary of Wedekind's Cheiloceras Beds is difficult to define. In the table he drew it between Beds 16 and 15, although according to this table no ammonoids were recorded with certainty from Beds 16 or 15, only from Beds 17 and 14. Wedekind appears to have interpreted the terms Clymenienschichten and obere Oberdevon as synonymous. Thus on p. 573 he states that the lower Clymenia Beds begin with dark brown limestones (Bed 14) containing Sp. (Sp.) biferum, numerous Prol. delphinus and two poorly preserved clymeniids, which are identified in the text (p. 615) and table as <u>Prot</u>. <u>steinmanni</u> (Wedekind). However, on the opposite page (p. 572) Wedekind seems to have been unable to accept the absence of cheiloceratids from levels within the Cheiloceras Beds. According to the table and on p. 571 numerous species of <u>Cheiloceras</u> are recorded from Bed 25. Thereafter in the table only Cheiloceras (Ch.) sacculum is recorded from Bed 22. This is confirmed in the text (p. 584) although not in the bed-bybed faunal list. Beds 21 and 20 (0.92m thickness) contain no

fauna, <u>Pn</u>. <u>dorsatum</u> is known from Bed 19 (faunal list, p. 572) although this is not confirmed by either the table or the text (p. 579) and the next horizon with a fauna is Bed 17 containing <u>Ps</u>. <u>sandbergeri</u>, <u>Ps</u>. <u>dillensis</u>, <u>Pn</u>. <u>dorsatum</u> and <u>Dim</u>. <u>padbergense</u>. Then there is a gap of 24cms until the next faunal horizon, Bed 14 in which <u>Prol</u>. <u>delphinus</u> appears. Wedekind chose the appearance of <u>Ps</u>. <u>sandbergeri</u> in Bed 17 to delimit the mittlere/obere Oberdevon boundary (p. 572). However, not thirty lines of text later, he contradicted this statement by placing the lower boundary of the obere Oberdevon between Beds 15 and 14.

It is possible that the problem arose because Wedekind felt he was unable to make Bed 17, just 9cms of limestone, into a distinct division (as Drevermann and Frech had done) even though it contained a distinctive species confined to that level. Consequently he maintained a conservative scheme, including the level in the Cheiloceras Beds (= mittlere oberdevon) and tried to retain the equivalence of obere Oberdevon and Clymenienschichten, yet at the same time mentioning, almost in passing, that the mid/upper Upper Devonian boundary was to be drawn at Bed 17, leaving it to the reader to take whichever of his contradictory statements he preferred.

The explanation of the higher parts of the sequence is slightly less complicated. Wedekind named Beds 14-10 (0.51m thick) as Schichten mit <u>Prolobites Delphinus</u> und <u>Clymenia involuta</u>. In the faunal list (p. 572) Bed 14 contains <u>Cyrt. involuta</u>, <u>Prot.</u> <u>steinmanni</u> and <u>Sp. (Sp.) biferum</u>. In the text <u>Cyrt. involuta</u> is not recorded from this level, although <u>Prol. delphinus</u> is (p. 590) and the table records with certainty only this species from Bed 14. It is not clear, therefore, from Wedekind's information, whether clymeniids appear in Bed 14, nor therefore, that <u>Prol. delphinus</u> appears simultaneously with them. The fauna in Bed 13 is much

more diverse, and comprises <u>Genu. frechi</u>, Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>stuckenbergi</u> (= <u>lotzi</u> Wedekind), <u>Cyrt</u>. (?) <u>phillipsi</u>, <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>involuta</u>, <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>pompeckii</u>, <u>Sp</u>. (<u>Sp</u>.) <u>angustisellatum</u>, <u>Sp</u>. (<u>Sp</u>.) <u>contiguum</u>, <u>Sp</u>. (<u>Sp</u>.) <u>muensteri</u>. Above this level more species of these genera were recognised. Also Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>subflexuosa</u> and <u>Prae-</u> <u>glyphioceras pseudosphaericum</u> appeared in Bed 12, and <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Pleur</u>.) <u>brevicosta</u> appeared in Bed 11. <u>Stenoclymenia sandbergeri</u> is recorded in the text and table from Beds 14 and 12, although only from Bed 10 in the faunal list (p. 570), and five examples of <u>Cyma</u>. <u>costellata</u> (Münster) (= <u>Var</u>. <u>costata</u> Wedekind) are recorded from Bed 11 in the table and text, though, again, not from the faunal list.

The upper part of the sequence at Enkeberg, ranging in age from IV to VI α , consisted of some three metres of dolomitic sandstone, which contained little fauna. This was divided into two parts, Beds 9-4 and Beds 3-1, and the boundary was drawn where <u>Gonioclymenia</u> appeared. Often the fossils were poorly preserved and Wedekind's identifications can only be questionably accepted.

The table shows <u>Rect.</u> roemeri, <u>Prot.</u> steinmanni, <u>Genu.</u> frechi, <u>Rect.</u> costa-protracta (Wedekind <u>nom.</u> <u>nud.</u>? = <u>Plat.</u> (Trig.) <u>pro-</u> <u>tacta</u>, <u>Plat.</u> (<u>Pleur.</u>) <u>brevicosta</u> and <u>Prol.</u> <u>delphinus</u> were present in Beds 9 and 8. This fauna is indicative of either IIIß or IV. The only other acceptable records are of <u>Cyma.</u> <u>sp.</u> and <u>Gon.</u> (<u>Kall.</u>) <u>subarmata</u> from Bed 3. The faunal list (p. 569) gives more data and includes <u>Cl.</u> <u>laevigata</u> as low as Bed 8. No one else has recorded platyclymeniids and <u>Clymenia</u> sp. together.

Born (1912a)

Born (1912a) described a section through the upper Devonian from the Aeketal in the Harz. He used the terms ?<u>annulata</u> Zone (without himself finding any <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata</u>), Zone des <u>Prol</u>.

<u>delphinus</u> and <u>Cl. involuta</u> (1912, p. 571), and Cheiloceras Schichten. He included a 24cms thick bed containing <u>Torn. sandbergeri</u> and <u>Ch.</u> cf. <u>circumflexum</u> in the Cheiloceras Schichten, and drew the boundary between this and the above zone below Bed 17 in which <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>Wedekindi</u> entered the sequence. <u>Prolobites delphinus</u> entered yet higher in Bed 21.

Wedekind's introduction of a zonal scheme (1913a,b, 1914, 1926)

In 1913 Wedekind (1913a) produced a zonation scheme for the Upper Devonian, introducing the notation of Roman numerals for the six Stufen and Greek letters for their subdivisions (zones). The Frasnian section was based on information mainly from Martenberg bei Adorf (Wedekind 1913b) and the Cheiloceras, Prolobites and part of the Postprolobites Stufen relied on information from Enkeberg. Wedekind merely retabulated his 1908 data for the lower Famennian dividing the Cheiloceras Stufe into two parts, a lower zone, II α with <u>Cheiloceras</u> spp., and an upper part, II β , with Cheiloceras and Dimeroceras, (therefore, it is not clear whether he considered that <u>Sporadoceras</u> entered in II α or II β). The horizon (Bed 17) containing Tornoceras sandbergeri and the Beds with Prolobites delphinus and Clymenia involuta were combined to form two zones of the Prolobites Stufe.

Only a sparse fauna was recorded from the upper parts of the sequence at Enkeberg, so to devise zones Wedekind investigated sections at Beil, Hövel and Dasberg near Balve, and incorporated his data with the outline scheme of Denckmann and Lotz (1901), which was based on collecting from localities in the same area, and from near Bad Wildungen. At first three Stufen were defined. The lowermost <u>Postprolobites</u> Stufe was the best known, and was divided into two zones, IV_{α} <u>Clymenia</u> <u>protacta</u>, and IV_{β} <u>Postprolobites</u> frechi and <u>Clymenia annulata</u>. The other two Stufen were unnamed;

V was divided into three zones, the lower one was named <u>C1</u>. <u>laevi-gata</u> and the middle one, <u>C1</u>. <u>bisulcata</u>. Above this no precise information was given except to equate the terms, Stufe VI, Gonio-clymenienkalke and Wocklumer Kalk.

By the end of 1914 three more schemes had been published, each incorporating minor revisions. Frech (1913) included in his catalogue of Devonian ammonoids a scheme which he acknowledged was based on information from Wedekind 1913a, then unpublished. It probably derived from a manuscript and therefore predates Wedekind's published version because the zonal index fossils for Stufen IV and V are different (see Textfig. 3.2) and the Gonioclymenienkalke consists of two Stufen, VI and VII.

Wedekind's second published scheme (1913b) included a boundary zone of <u>Clymenia</u> cf. <u>striata</u> between IV_{α} and IV_{β} , based on information from Enkeberg and Burg and he considered that <u>Cl. laevigata</u> was still present in the middle zone of Stufe V, now named the <u>Laevigata</u> Stufe. A note indicated that IV_{β} faunas had been collected at Beil, <u>Cl. laevigata</u> Zone faunas from Hövel, and <u>Cl.</u> <u>laevigata</u> and <u>Cl. bisulcata</u> Zone faunas from Dasberg.

In 1914 Wedekind revised his zonal index fossils again; <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata var. densicosta</u> and <u>Plat. protacta</u> in IV α and <u>Postpr</u>. <u>frechi</u> and <u>Plat. valida</u> (<u>sensu</u> Drevermann, <u>non</u> Phillips 1841) in IV β , and no boundary zone between them. Stufe V was renamed <u>Laevigata - Gonioclymenia</u> Stufe and divided into two zones, V α with <u>Clymenia</u> <u>aegoceras</u> and <u>Laevigata hoevelensis</u> and V β with <u>Laevigates laevigata</u> and <u>Gon. tornquisti</u>. The uppermost zone, identified as equivalent to the Fossley, was placed either in V or VI, and Stufe VI was named the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe, comprising only one zone with <u>Wock. denckmanni</u>, <u>Kall</u>. <u>subarmata</u> and <u>Oxy</u>. <u>wocklumeri</u>. Schindewolf (1916, 1921, 1923a) used Wedekind's Stufen and zonal names. He noted (1923a) that Wedekind had used <u>Ch. sub-</u> partitum and <u>Ch. enkebergense</u> as zonal indices for the two divisions of the <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe (but these were not first published by Wedekind).

Schmidt (1921, 1924)

Working in the Warstein area Schmidt (1921) measured a complete section through Famennian at the Provinzialsteinbruch, Drewer. Although he gave ranges for various species he did not produce a zonal scheme. Paeckelmann and Schmidt (in Paeckelmann 1922) produced an alternative zonal scheme for the upper Famennian, based on Paeckelmann's stratigraphic researches in the Elberfeld region. Two Stufen were each divided into three zones:

	Zone of <u>Wock.</u> spheroides and <u>G1.</u> glaucopis
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Zone of Gonioclymenia speciosa and Cyma-
Gonioclymenia	<u>clymenia</u> <u>ornata</u>
Sture	Zone of <u>Gon. hoevelensis</u> and <u>Gon. torleyi</u>

	Zone of <u>Clymenia annulata</u>
<u>Platyclymenia</u>	Zone of <u>Clymenia</u> involuta
Stufe	Zone of <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> <u>Sandbergeri</u>

Later Schmidt (1924) revised this scheme, adding, <u>inter alia</u>. a lowermost Carboniferous <u>Postclymenia evoluta</u> Zone, recognising a <u>Sp. Pompeckji</u> Zone above a <u>Ch. curvispina</u> Zone in the <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe, and renaming three other zones, namely: <u>Wock. sphaeroides</u> and <u>G1. glaucopis</u> became <u>Wock. sphaeroides</u> and <u>G1. nucleus;</u> <u>Gon. hoevelensis</u> and <u>Gon. torleyi</u> became <u>Gon. hoevelensis</u> and <u>Plat.</u> <u>acuticostata</u>; and <u>C1. involuta</u> became <u>Pro1. delphinus</u>.

Schindewolf (1937a) divided the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe into a lower <u>Kall</u>. <u>subarmata</u> and <u>Kall</u>. <u>brevispina</u> Zone, and an upper <u>Para</u>. p<u>aradoxa</u> Zone, further subdivided into an upper <u>Wock</u>. <u>sphaeroides</u>

Subzone, and a lower Kamp. endogona Subzone.

House (1962), in a summary table correlating European Devonian ammonoid Zones with newly introduced American Zones used a mixture of Schmidt and Schindewolf's zonal names in abbreviated form. Schindewolf's (1937a) <u>Kall</u>. <u>subarmata</u> Zone was used; other names were taken from Schmidt (1924).

Lange (1929)

The only other major contribution was made by Lange (1929) who over a period of years re-excavated Wedekind's Enkeberg section, collected from a trench through the Annulata-kalk at Beuel, and from a sequence ranging from doIII - V at Hövel. He produced a new zonal scheme but although he investigated the same sections as Wedekind it is not always easy to correlate their lithological divisions. Since Lange's faunal ranges were less precise than Wedekind's (see Textfig. 3.3) doubt has justifiably been shed on his results (House 1970).

Lately Korn (1981a) has collected from the Hövel section and sections nearby at Effenberg and Müssenberg, and produced a fourfold zonation of the Clymenia Stufe. Walliser (Alberti et al. 1974) examined a fauna collected from a trenched section through the Stockum Limestone. This was from a level with imitoceratids with openly umbilicate inner whorls, near the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary not present in the Hönnetal type-section and therefore not included in earlier zonal schemes. Its discovery has made the incoming of the distinctive Gattendorfia ammonoid fauna seem a much less clear-cut event and therefore made the actual position of the system boundary more difficult to define in terms of ammonoids. The name prorsum Zone has been applied to this level (Arbeitgemeinschaft 1971).

All of these schemes are tabulated for ease of comparison in Textfig. 3.2 and are discussed Stufe by Stufe below. It will be obvious to the reader that all of the schemes were devised in Germany, and with one exception (Schindewolf 1916, 1923a) in the This is not because of a lack of well-preserved faunas Sauerland. elsewhere, nor the absence of useful sections, since the section in the Sauerland probably represents as thin a development of Famennian ammonoid bearing limestones as anywhere else in the Sequences in Poland (Dybczynski 1913, Sobolev 1914a,b) world. USSR (Perna 1914, Nalivkina 1936a, b, 1953, Bogoslovskiy 1969, 1971) North Africa (Petter 1959, 1960), Iran (Walliser 1966), China (Chang 1958, 1960, Ruan and He 1978), and Australia (Petersen 1975) were all treated from the standpoint of taxonomic description only, and the age ranges of the various taxa were stated only in terms of the German Stufen rather than being used to form independent The blame for these missed opportunities can be zonal schemes. laid on the apparent cosmopolitan nature of the faunas and the easily used carpet-bag nature of the taxonomic and Stufen nomenclature.

Cheiloceras Stufe doII

The <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe faunas of the Kirch-Gattendorf were described by Schindewolf (1916, 1923a, p. 270) from a section ca 14m thick. II α was characterised by the occurrence of <u>Cheiloceras</u> <u>subpartitum</u> (Münster). He suggested (1923a, p. 271) that the boundary between II α and II β was situated between Beds 4 and 5. In Bed 4 there was an increased frequency of <u>Ch.</u> (<u>Ch.</u>) <u>pompeckji</u> (Wedekind) and <u>Ch.</u> (<u>Torl.</u>) <u>curvispina</u> (Sandberger and Sandberger), and <u>Ch.</u> (<u>Ch.</u>) <u>subpartitum</u> was supposedly replaced by the thickerwhorled <u>Ch.</u> (<u>Ch.</u>) <u>subpartitum</u> <u>crassum</u>. Schindewolf did not find

Wedekind's IIB zonal index, Ch. enkebergense, but considered Ch. aequisellatum and Ch. ultimum to represent similar levels since they had similar pointed lateral lobes and differed only in shell form, but he did not know from which bed his specimens came. In Bed 5 Ch. (Ch.) subpartitum crassum disappeared and Ch. (St.) pompeckji became less frequent. This was an extremely unsatisfactory way to draw a zonal boundary, yet Schindewolf mentioned only in passing (1923a, p. 272) that in Bed 5 appeared the first Dimeroceras and Sporadoceras. In fact according to Schindewolf's Table (p. 271) only Dim. guembeli and Paradimeroceras inflexum were recorded with certainty and there were no unequivocal records of Sp. (Sp.) biferum until Bed 8. This suggests that Dimeroceras appeared before Sporadoceras, contrary to the observations of Wedekind (1908) and Lange (1929), but little should be read into this because of the paucity of the fauna from Kirch-Gattendorf. However, a similar sequence was observed in better documented sections reported by Teichert (1941) and Petersen (1975) from the Canning Basin of Western Australia, particularly in a sequence over 250' thick (1975, table 1, labelled Ta-To). Here Paratornoceras, Dimeroceras spp. and Torleyoceras were recorded in the lower half of the section (Ta-Tm) and Sporadoceras occurred in the upper half.

Schmidt (1921, tab. III, fig. 2) described a 30m profile at Drewer from I_δ to the lower Carboniferous. The <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe amounted to 16m of limestone from levels 24-8. At level 19 he recorded two specimens of <u>Ch. (Ch.) planilobum</u>, level 18 contained numerous examples of <u>Ch. (Ch.) amblylobum</u>. <u>Maeneceras pompeckji</u> appeared at level 16, which Schmidt took as the index for II_β and the zone was named as such in Schmidt's (1924, fig. 3) biostratigraphic scheme. He did not find <u>Ch. (St.) pompeckji</u> in level 8. Unfortunately he did not record <u>Dimeroceras</u>, nor any
tornoceratids.

Lange re-excavated Wedekind's Enkeberg section (1929). He grouped his beds corresponding (almost) to Wedekind's divisions but did not document so precisely the measured section. Therefore accurate comparison is not possible. Although Lange recognised more species than Wedekind their ranges are less well defined. Cheiloceras (Ch.) acutum was the only cheiloceratid confined to II_{α} , and Lange named the zone after it. The base of IIs was marked by the appearance of various species of Sporadoceras and Lange separated out an uppermost division, Beds 22-21, IIy, the Dimeroceras benneckei Zone, where various species of Dimeroceras appeared. Notable records are: the presence in IIg of "cf. Imitoceras richteri", which cannot be accepted without question, since he did not state that he had observed the internal suture which alone permits discrimination of Imitoceras from Cheiloceras; and the presence in IIy of Prol. delphinus var. atava and insulcata. Prolobites delphinus had been considered by Wedekind to mark the base of III8.

Fuhrmann (1954) also discriminated a IIy zone (Bed 10) in a section at Altestal (Blatt Zellerfeld, Harz) but he did not state how he had used this term. His zone IIB (Bed 11) contained <u>Dimeroceras</u> spp. including <u>Dim. benneckei</u> and in his 0.26m thick Bed 10 (p. 636) <u>Sp. (Sp.) muensteri</u> and <u>Dim.</u> spp. including <u>mammiliferum</u> appeared.

House (1962) recognised in eastern North America <u>Ch</u>. (<u>Ch</u>.) <u>amblylobum</u> and <u>Maeneceras</u> cf. <u>pompeckji</u> which he interpreted as being from the lower and upper zones of <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe. Later House (1979) renamed the II_{α} zone as <u>Cheiloceras</u> <u>amblylobum</u>, presumably because this species appears to have a world-wide distribution and is the earliest occurring species of <u>Cheiloceras</u> in North America. <u>Cheiloceras</u> (<u>Ch</u>.) <u>amblylobum</u> is known from

<u>6</u> 7

Germany, Montagne Noire, Belgium, Poland (Gürich 1909, Sobolev 1914a,b), USSR (Novaya Zemlya, Nalivkina 1936a,b; Urals, Chernyshev 1887b, Perna 1914; Kazakhstan, Nalivkina 1953), China (Ruan 1978), North Africa (Petter 1959, 1960) and Australia (Glenister and Klapper 1966, Petersen 1975). Therefore it may be more suitable for use as an index fossil and perhaps can be used to define the Frasnian-Famennian boundary in terms of the ammonoid biostratigraphy. If it can be shown that Cheiloceras curvispina appears late in the Cheiloceras curvispina Zone, or that the Cheiloceras Stufe can be subdivided on the basis of other species of cheiloceratid, such as Ch. (Ch.) amblylobum, then various levels could be drawn within $II\alpha$ resulting in the abandonment of the Cheiloceras curvispina Zone, or in its more precise definition. It is better, however, to retain the name Cheiloceras (Torl.) curvispina for the division of the Cheiloceras Stufe before the appearance of sporadoceratids, until such time as the faunas of the Cheiloceras Stufe are better documented. The occurrence of one Cheiloceras bearing level in the Famennian of eastern North America seems insufficient grounds to rename the lower zone of the Cheiloceras Stufe, although there can be no objection to its use within the context of the eastern North American succession.

Mention should also be made (see also Chapter 6) of the apparent presence of three species described as belonging to <u>Manticoceras</u>, in the <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe: <u>M. superstes</u> (Wedekind) and <u>M.</u> <u>nehdense</u> Lange, the former found at Kirch-Gattendorf (Schindewolf 1923a) and both found at Nehden, and <u>M. niedzwiedzkii</u> (Dybczynski) from Kielce (Holy Cross Mountains, Poland). These three species may in fact be synonymous and are all based on few specimens. It is considered that these species are members of the Tornoceratidae, and that the Gephuroceratina do not, on this evidence at least, extend to the Famennian (<u>contra</u> House 1979). (See Chapter

6, for a more lengthy discussion of these three species).

Platyclymenia Stufe doIII-IV

This name was first used explicitly by Lange (1929, p. 3) for the amalgamation of Wedekind's <u>Prolobites</u> and <u>Postprolobites</u> Stufen, because he had been unable to recognise these as major faunal divisions. Schindewolf (1923a, p. 284) had been unable to demonstrate at Kirch-Gattendorf Wedekind's twofold division of the <u>Post-</u> <u>prolobites</u> Stufe and reported <u>Postpro. yakowlevi</u> Wedekind from the lower part and <u>Plat</u>. <u>protacta</u> from the upper part. These were Wedekind's index fossils for the upper and lower zones of the <u>Postprolobites</u> Stufe, respectively. Schmidt (1924, textfig. 3) amalgamated Wedekind's Stufen III and IV and divided it into three zones: α , <u>Ps. Sandbergeri</u>, β , <u>Prol. delphinus</u> and γ <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata</u>.

Miller (1938) reported that Schindewolf intended to renumber the German Upper Devonian Stufen so that the <u>Prolobites-Platyclymenia</u> Stufe became III, <u>Laevigates-Gonioclymenia</u> IV, <u>Wocklumeria</u> V and <u>Gattendorfia</u> VI. This revised scheme was never used again and now Wedekind's numerical scheme is well entrenched in the German literature, even though II refers to a Stufe and IV to a zone. Clearly it is preferable to refer to the ammonoid biostratigraphic zonation of the Upper Devonian in terms of clearly defined zones and subzones, and until this is achieved the old nomenclature will persist.

The problems Wedekind (1908) encountered in delimiting the boundary between the middle and upper Upper Devonian have already been discussed (see above). The issue was clarified when he (Wedekind 1913a) divided the former obere Oberdevon into four Stufen and abandoned the term. The <u>Prolobites</u> Stufe was divided into two zones, the lower <u>Tornoceras Sandbergeri</u> Zone (III α),

and the upper zone with <u>Prolobites delphinus</u> and <u>Clymenia involuta</u>, and this scheme has remained essentially unchanged (Frech 1913, Wedekind 1913b, 1914, 1926).

Schindewolf (1921, 1923a) considered that <u>Prolobites delphi-</u> <u>nus</u> was the most important indicator for III β . He assigned 3.6m of limestone at Kirch-Gattendorf (Beds 9-12) to the <u>Prolobites</u> Stufe. Bed 9, a unit 1.2m thick, contained <u>Ps. pseudogoniatites</u> and other species of this genus, and <u>Rect. kayseri</u> (Drevermann), <u>Cyrt. pulcherrima</u> (Wedekind) and <u>Genu. frechi.</u> <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> entered in Bed 9 and <u>Platyclymenia</u>, <u>Stenoclymenia</u> and <u>Trigonoclymenia</u> in Bed 11. Unable to collect <u>Prolobites</u>, and finding only one specimen of <u>Cyrt. involuta</u>, in Bed 11, he put Bed 9 in III α and Beds 10-12 in III β , drawing the boundary at Bed 10, where <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> disappeared from the record, rather than in Bed 11 where <u>Cyrt. involuta</u> first appeared, or within Bed 10, where other clymenids were present.

Lange (1929, p. 15) also recorded clymeniids from III α . The evidence for this was that in 1906 during an excursion to Enkeberg led by von Koenen he had collected a piece of limestone containing <u>Ps. pseudogoniatites</u> (and therefore, he said, it presumably came from Bed 17) and <u>Pn. dorsatum</u>, and from the same piece of limestone von Koenen had broken off a sample which was now in the Göttingen Museum and contained the species <u>Rect</u>. <u>kayseri</u>. This evidence is indeed thin and unacceptable, but Lange renamed the III α zone as <u>Platyclymenia kayseri</u> (1929, p. 3).

Schindewolf's (1923a) record of clymeniids and <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> in the same bed can be explained by the 1.2m thickness of his unit Bed 9. Fuhrmann (1954, p. 642) recorded at Aeketal <u>Var</u>. <u>kayseri</u>, <u>Ps</u>. <u>pseudogoniatites</u> and <u>Sporadoceras muensteri</u> from his 1m thick Bed 5 and considered this as $III\alpha$. However, since he did not specify that these ammonoids came from the same level within Bed 5 the conclusion that clymeniids occur in III α is not justified.

Lange recorded <u>Prolobites delphinus tardesulcata</u> Lange and <u>Prol. delphinus striatus</u> Lange from III α , and he also found <u>Prol.</u> <u>delphinus atava</u> and <u>Prol. delphinus insulcata</u> Lange in his II γ zone. Therefore he could not use <u>Prol. delphinus</u> as the index for the base of III β , and he renamed this as the <u>Rectoclymenia</u> <u>subflexuosa</u> and <u>Cyrtoclymenia involuta</u> Zone, the latter species being the most common clymeniid within it.

Wedekind divided the <u>Postprolobites</u> Stufe into two parts yet in none of his schemes (Wedekind 1913a,b, 1914, 1926; Frech 1913) did he use the same zonal indices. He explained the divisions (1914, p. 8), giving faunal lists for the two zones. On Enkeberg he encountered three species which he considered to be from zone $IV\alpha$: <u>Plat. (Trig.) protacta, Plat. (Plat.) annulata</u> and <u>Plat.</u> (<u>Plat.) annulata densicosta</u>, (more are listed in his earlier report, 1908, p. 569, and by Lange (1929, p. 20)). Above this level (Beds 9 and 8) very few ammonoids were found. The upper zone $IV\beta$, was encountered, therefore, not at Enkeberg but at Beil "beneath the Laevigatakalk" (Wedekind 1914, p. 8) and the rich fauna, from a section which has not been accurately documented, included many species of <u>Platyclymenia</u> and <u>Postprolobites</u>, hence the use of species of these genera as zonal indices.

Schindewolf (1923a, p. 284) considered the two zones to be indistinguishable. Lange (1929, p. 20) recorded <u>Trig. protacta</u>, Wedekind's IV α index from what he considered as III β . Lange used the <u>annulata</u> Zone as a synonym of the <u>Postprolobites</u> Stufe which he renamed the <u>Prionoceras divisum</u> Unterstufe, since he recognised <u>Postprolobites</u> Wedekind to be a junior subjective synonym of <u>Prionoceras</u> Hyatt.

Practically no other biostratigraphic information is available

for a discussion of the <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe even though rich faunas are known from elsewhere in the world and have been well illustrated (North Africa, Petter 1959,1960; Russia: Urals, Perna 1914, Kazakhstan, Nalivkina 1953; Iran, Walliser 1966; North America Montana, Raymond 1909). In the late 1920's Schindewolf dug a trench through this level near Bad Wildungen where he had earlier (1921) confirmed Wedekind's bipartite division of IV, although he never described the faunas. In Thuringia Müller (1956) described faunas from near Schleiz and Brügge (1973) extended his Alte Heerstrasse section up through the IV/V boundary. However, this interval remains poorly known (as are the faunas around the Frasnian/Famennian boundary) and faunas such as those described from Geuser by Münster 150 years ago can still not be incorporated into the biostratigraphic scheme.

Clymenia Stufe doV

Wedekind's early attempts to divide what were to be known later as the <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen met with little success, simply because this part of the succession at Enkeberg (Beds 9-1) was comparatively lacking in fauna. Subsequently he investigated sequences at Hövel, Dasberg, Burg and Borke-Wehr (Wocklum), all near Balve, but never published detailed sections of any localities.

When Wedekind established the <u>Laevigata</u> Stufe (Frech 1913) he divided it into three zones: <u>Clymenia laevigata</u>, <u>Clymenia</u> cf. <u>undulata</u>, and an unnamed zone, succeeded by the Gonioclymenienkalke (Stufen VI and VII) comprising the Fossley and the Wocklumer Kalk. In later schemes (Wedekind 1913a) <u>Clymenia laevigata</u> was retained as the index with <u>Clymenia laevigata</u> and <u>bisulcata</u> (1913a) and then <u>Cl. bisulcata</u> alone (1913b), for the middle zone. The upper zone remained unnamed but the Fossley was included in it

(1913a,b) and the Gonioclymenienkalke was renumbered as Stufe VI. Another scheme for the renamed <u>Laevigata-Gonioclymenia</u> Stufe was proposed in 1914 (Wedekind 1914). A lower zone V α , was characterised by <u>Clymenia aegoceras</u> and <u>Laevigites hoevelensis</u> Wedekind, and an upper zone V β , by <u>Laevigites laevigata</u> (Münster) and <u>Gonioclymenia tornquisti</u> Wedekind. This scheme was retained in 1926 (Wedekind 1926).

The section published by Schindewolf at Kirch-Gattendorf (1923a) was the first to give bed-by-bed details of faunas from this level. In the <u>Laevigites</u> Stufe he recognised a lower zone, $V\alpha$, (Beds 15-17), with <u>Laevigites hoevelensis</u> and an upper zone, $V\beta$, (Beds 17-18), with <u>Laevigites laevigata</u>, confirming Wedekind's scheme. Besides the incoming of <u>Clymenia</u> in this Stufe, he also noted the appearance of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> and <u>Imitoceras</u>.

Schmidt (1924) described the sequence across the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary in the Balve area. He introduced (1924, p. 108) a new threefold division, α , β , γ , for the uppermost part of the Devonian, the Dasberg Schichten. These zones were characterised by <u>Gonioclymenia hoevelensis</u> and <u>Platyclymenia acuticostata</u>, <u>Gonioclymenia speciosa and Cymaclymenia ornata</u>, and <u>Wocklumeria</u> <u>spheroides</u> and <u>Glatziella nucleus</u> Schmidt. This latter zone has been treated by all subsequent authors as the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe.

Lange, working in the same area, at the same time as Schmidt, devised another scheme for his <u>Orthoclymenia</u> Stufe (1929, p. 5 & 26), using a profile through a quarry at Melschede (= Hövel of Wedekind 1914, and Ballberg of Ziegler 1962). He felt unable to accept Wedekind's distinction between <u>Clymenia laevigata</u> and <u>Cl. hoevelensis</u>, and considered them as one species. A lower, Va, <u>Costa-Clymenia binodosa</u> and <u>Sellaclymenia torleyi</u> Zone was added to Schmidt's two <u>Gonioclymenia</u> Zones, renumbered Vb and Vc, though Lange felt uncertain about recognising the upper <u>speciosa</u> Zone.

6 S

I have been unable to match Lange's published section with the outcrop at Hövel. Schindewolf used a series of names for V but did not add any biostratigraphic information: <u>Orthoclymenia</u> -<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (reported by Miller 1938), <u>Oxyclymenia</u> - <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Schindewolf 1952) and finally (1957) <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe.

Recently Korn (1981a) has collected from the quarry section at Hövel, and from other sections nearby at Müssenberg and Effenberg, and proposed four zones: <u>Kosmoclymenia serpentina</u>, <u>Progonio</u>-<u>clymenia acuticostata</u>, <u>Piriclymenia piriformis</u> and <u>Ornatoclymenia</u> <u>ornata</u>. He gave no details of faunal ranges, nor measured sections.

Wocklumeria Stufe doVI

Wedekind (1914, p. 10) recognised only one zone at this level, characterised by <u>Wocklumeria denckmanni</u> (Wedekind), <u>Kalloclymenia</u> <u>subarmata</u> (Münster) and <u>Oxyclymenia Wocklumeri</u> Wedekind. He gave only cursory account of these faunas from Burg, near Balve.

Schindewolf's (1916) study of the profile at Kirch-Gattendorf included a division of the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe. A lower VI_{α} <u>Wock-</u> <u>lumeria</u> sp. nov. Zone, and an upper VI_{β} <u>Wocklumeria</u> <u>Denckmanni</u> Zone were recognised. However, Schindewolf did not actually find <u>Wocklumeria</u> and he later modified his scheme (Schindewolf 1923a). He included Bed 22 (with a fauna of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>, <u>Sellaclymenia</u>, <u>Cymaclymenia</u> and <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>) and Bed 21 (with a fauna of <u>Imitoceras</u> and <u>Gattendorfia</u>) in a new division, the <u>Gattendorfia</u> Stufe (VI), and presumed that above this came the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe (VII), which was absent. Therefore it seems that <u>Wocklumeria</u> sp. nov. Schindewolf 1916 was later recognised by Schindewolf as <u>Gattendorfia</u> subinvoluta, and used to name a new Stufe.

Schmidt (1924, p. 169) pointed out that Schindewolf had inverted Stufen VI and VII, and thus he revised his stratigraphy accord-

ingly (Schindewolf 1926, p. 94). Schmidt (1924) drew the base of the Carboniferous at the base of the Hangenberg Schiefer. Schindewolf (1926) placed the base of the Carboniferous above the <u>Gattendorfia</u> Stufe, but later lowered it (Jongmans and Gothan 1937) to the base of the <u>Gattendorfia</u> Stufe, that is to the base of the Hangenbergkalk above the Hangenberg Schiefer at Oberrödinghausen.

Schindewolf (1937a) produced an extremely detailed study of the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe faunas, principally from the railway-cutting at Oberrödinghausen near Menden, and established a series of new zones and subzones based on the precisely charted ranges of many species (1937a, p. 27-29). The <u>Parawocklumeria paradoxa</u> and and <u>Kamptoclymenia endogona</u> Subzones were both recognised by Selwood (1960) at Launceston in Cornwall.

The base of the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe has not been clearly defined. Schindewolf named his lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe division as the <u>Kalloclymenia subarmata</u> and <u>brevispina</u> Zone, although he did not identify the former species from the Oberrödinghausen section. A likely explanation of this is the poorly known nature of this species. The boundary between Stufen V and VI appears to have been drawn by Schindewolf between Beds 22 and 23 on the basis of a possible occurrence of <u>Kall</u>. <u>brevispina</u> in Bed 22. However, many authors (e.g. Eickhoff 1973) have taken the base of Schindewolf's (1937a) section, i.e. the base of Bed 23, as the base of the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe.

It is possible that <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>subarmata</u> occurs in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. Certainly the subgenus <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) has been found in association with other ammonoids of that age at Landlake, Cornwall (<u>Kall</u>. <u>insignis</u> Phillips; Selwood 1960), Hövel (<u>Kall</u>. <u>dasbergensis</u> and <u>crassa</u>, Wedekind 1914, p. 9), and Beil (see <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>), Chapter 5).

The lower Hangenbergschiefer at Oberrödinghausen and Drewer contains Cyma. evoluta, Kosmo. wocklumeri and Gon. (Kall.) wocklumensis (Schindewolf 1937a) but above this only species of Imitoceras and Acutimitoceras occur until the incoming of the Gattendorfia Stufe faunas in the Hangenbergkalk. The Stockum Limestone, occurring locally as thin limestone lenses in the upper Hangenbergschiefer of the Balve area, but unfortunately not in the Oberrödinghausen section, contains a distinctive Protognathodus conodont fauna (Koch et al. 1970; Alberti et al. 1974) and goniatite fauna which includes Acutimitoceras prorsum and Acut. carinatum. Acutimitoceras prorsum prorsum has early whorls which are openly umbilicate, an umbilical lobe which is centred outside the umbilical seam and biconvex growth-lines, and thus is considered as transitional between Imitoceras and Gattendorfia. In short there has been argument over whether this fauna has greater affinity with the Carboniferous than the Devonian, and similar arguments exist for transitional taxa in other fossil aroups. The name prorsum Zone has been applied to this level (Arbeitgemeinschaft 1971). House (1979) used Prionoceras spp. instead. Korn (1981b) described a similar fauna from Müssenberg.

Rationalisation of zonal names

The reader who believes he has followed everything in this account so far deserves credit. It should be evident however, that some standardisation in the use of zonal names is desirable. The practice of recognising the priority of specific zonal names has long been in operation in the Mesozoic systems (e.g. Arkell 1946) and this will be done here. Unfortunately German stratigraphers do not operate in this way, especially those who were responsible for devising the conodont zonation, with which the

ammonoid zonal scheme is most often compared and correlated.

Zones will be listed in chronological order, starting from the base of the Famennian. Problems arise for those zones for which some doubt exists over the validity or usage of the index, particularly <u>sandbergeri</u>, <u>annulata</u> and <u>euryomphala</u>.

curvispina Zone IIa

This was first used as a zonal name by Frech (1902), and was applied to faunas collected at Nehden and to 20m (Bed 6) of a continuous section at La Serre, Cabrières, Hérault. The zone was characterised at Nehden by the overwhelming predominance of species of <u>Cheiloceras</u> (1000 examples), compared with only "two <u>Gephyoceras</u>, four <u>Sporadoceras</u> and two <u>Aganides</u>". These latter specimens are considered to have been misidentified, especially the <u>Aganides</u> (= <u>Imitoceras</u>), which was illustrated in pl. IV(III), fig. 16a, where it clearly lacks the extra internal lobe which would distinguish it from <u>Cheiloceras</u>.

The zonal index is <u>Torleyoceras curvispina</u> and the zone corresponds to the range of <u>Cheiloceras</u> before the entry of <u>Maeneceras</u> or <u>Sporadoceras</u>. Schindewolf's (1916) <u>subpartitum</u> Zone is considered as synonymous. It was established in Oberfranken, in a ?different faunal province and may deserve local usage there. The same comment can be applied to the <u>amblylobum</u> Zone (House 1962), used for a level in the Gowanda Shale, New York State.

No formal subzones have been used within the <u>curvispina</u> Zone. However, certain faunal levels are widely recognisable, and these may ultimately deserve subzonal status. They are discussed below.

pompeckji Zone IIB

The name holding priority for a zone above the <u>curvispina</u> Zone is Schindewolf's (1916) <u>enkebergense</u> Zone. This is not used here because it was established in Oberfranken rather than in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge. Schindewolf (1923) considered that its base was marked by the appearance of <u>Ch. (Ch.) subpartitum</u> <u>crassum</u>, which has an only slightly different whorl section from <u>Ch. (Ch.) subpartitum subpartitum</u>. Therefore Schmidt's pompeckji Zone is used.

This was first recognised at Drewer, by the entry of <u>Maeneceras</u> pompeckji. This level has also been recognised at Enkeberg (1908) where the entry of index is accompanied by <u>Sp</u>. (<u>Sp</u>.) <u>biferum</u> and <u>clarkei</u>, and in New York State (House 1962). For this study at Beil only <u>Sp</u>. (<u>Sp</u>.) <u>biferum</u> was recorded (See Chapter 7), and this may prove a more useful means of defining the base of the zone, although Schmidt (1924, p. 109) believed that the entry of <u>biferum</u> succeeded <u>pompeckji</u> (1921, taf. III). He placed it in III α , but this date is questionable since he did not record <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> at Drewer.

sandbergeri Zone IIIa

This name was first used by Frech (1902) but was not clearly defined until Frech (1913) or Wedekind (1913a) introduced the overlying <u>delphinus</u> Zone. Then it became clear that it was characterised by the appearance of <u>Pseudoclymenia</u>. No section was associated with the recognition of this zone, except by implication, Enkeberg, which was the only place where it had been recorded <u>in situ</u> (Wedekind 1908).

The taxonomic status of the index <u>Gon</u>. <u>sandbergeri</u> has not been made clear before. It was first used by Gümbel (1862, p. 289) who credited Beyrich with authorship. No precise reference was cited, but Beyrich (1859) had drawn attention to the fact that <u>C1</u>. <u>pseudogoniatites</u> Sandberger 1853 was a true goniatite, and thus had an inappropriate name. Therefore <u>sandbergeri</u> Gümbel can be interpreted as an invalid replacement for <u>pseudogoniatites</u>,

but nowhere in Gümbel's account was this explicitly stated. It has been widely interpreted by reference to Frech's (1902, pl. III(II), fig. 18) illustration. Gümbel (1862), Kayser (1873) and Drevermann (1901) had illustrated only the suture, sufficient only to identify <u>Pseudoclymenia</u>.

It is desirable that this zone should be renamed, in which case Schindewolf's (1921) <u>drevermanni</u> Zone is available. This specific name is an invalid replacement for <u>dillensis</u> Drevermann 1901, which should, therefore, be used instead. <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> <u>dillensis</u> appears to be a useful marker for this zone, whatever its name. No subdivision within this zone has been proposed, although the earliest clymeniid occurs here.

delphinus Zone IIIß

This name was first used by Schmidt (1924) as a contraction of Frech's (1913) <u>Prol. delphinus</u> and <u>Cl. involuta</u> Zone. The index is <u>Prolobites delphinus</u> and in this account its range has been interpreted by reference to Wedekind's (1908) section at Enkeberg. Here the base of the zone seems clearly defined by the entry of the index. Other authors have found this to be a less clear-cut event (see below).

annulata Zone IV

This name was first used by Denckmann and Lotz (1901), referring specifically to an outcrop in a quarry at Beil. Wedekind (1913a-1926) had several attempts at subdividing this zone. Schmidt (1924) and Lange (1929) did not believe that there was sufficient evidence to do this. None of Wedekind's subzones is considered to be practically applicable here.

The identity of the index species <u>annulata</u> Münster is discussed below, in Chapter 5. The conclusion reached is that it has been interpreted <u>sensu richteri</u>Wedekind. Hence this species is used

as the marker for the base of the annulata Zone.

Clymenia Stufe V

The division between this and the underlying <u>annulata</u> Zone has long been recognised by the entry of the first complex sutured clymeniids, namely: <u>Gonioclymenia</u>, <u>Costaclymenia</u> and <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>. Wedekind chose as zonal indices for his lower and upper divisions of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe <u>hoevelensis</u> and <u>laevigata</u>, two species of <u>Clymenia</u>. They were recognised as subjective synonyms by Lange, and that opinion is confirmed below (see Chapter 5). Furthermore collection at Hövel indicates that <u>Clymenia laevigata</u> is confined to the middle of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. Consequently it is proposed that Wedekind's zonal names be abandoned.

Priority devolves upon names introduced by Schmidt (1924). He used a lower division of <u>Gon. hoevelensis</u> and <u>Plat. acuticostata</u> and an upper division of <u>Gon. speciosa</u> and <u>Cyma. ornata</u>, observed on and near Dasberg. Species of <u>Gonioclymenia</u> are rare, poorly defined, and being highly ornate would seem prone to high specific variability. It may prove inappropriate to use the <u>Gonioclymenia</u> species as zonal names, in which case those species named secondly by Schmidt deserve priority.

Lange (1929) introduced a <u>binodosa</u> Zone, lowermost in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. Korn (1981a) introduced a fourfold zonation of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, using in part Schmidt's names <u>acuticostata</u> and <u>ornata</u>. The section at Hövel in which Lange defined his <u>binodosa</u> Zone cannot be related to the outcrop visible today (see Chapter 7). Korn's (1981a) zonal scheme was not accompanied by any details of <u>in situ</u> collecting, but was based on observations at Hövel, Effenberg and Dasberg.

hoevelensis Zone Va

This zone was introduced by Schmidt (1924) and has Gon. (Gon.)

<u>hoevelensis</u> as its index. The base is undefined. Korn recognised two levels within the zone, which he named <u>serpentina</u> Zone and <u>acuticostata</u> Zone, based, by implication, on the appearance of these two species. It is argued in the systematic section (Chapter 5) that the species <u>acuticostata</u> Münster has been wrongly interpreted in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge. Examples from there are better referred to the species <u>aegoceras</u> Wedekind, which would serve as the marker for the <u>acuticostata</u> Zone.

speciosa Zone VB

This zone was introduced by Schmidt (1924) and has as its index <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>speciosa</u>. Its limits are undefined. Korn recognised two zones within it, named <u>ornata</u> and <u>piriformis</u>, but these, if used, would be better treated as subzones. The indices are rare and have an homeomorphic shell form and are difficult to distinguish without view of the suture. Adequate means of recognising these two divisions were not proposed.

Wocklumeria Stufe VI

Faunal horizons within this Stufe are clearly defined, thanks to the precise documentation of Schindewolf (1937a). However, the boundaries with the adjoining Stufen were not clearly stated. Schindewolf utilised two zonal names: a lower <u>Kall</u>. <u>subarmata</u> and <u>brevispina</u> Zone, and an upper <u>Para</u>. <u>paradoxa</u> Zone. <u>Kalloclymenia subarmata</u> is considered inappropriate as an index because it is not clearly distinguishable from other species of <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>s.s.</u>, and may range down into the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. Hence the more distinctive <u>Gon</u>. (Subgen. Nov. <u>A</u>) <u>brevispina</u> is preferred. Usage of a <u>paradoxa</u> Zone, including a <u>sphaeroides</u> Subzone seems synonymous, at least in part, with Paeckelmann and Schmidt's (in Paeckelmann 1922) <u>Wock</u>. <u>sphaeroides</u> and <u>Glatz</u>. <u>glaucopis</u> Zone. Therefore it should be abandoned in favour of

the prior sphaeroides Zone.

brevispina Zone

This zone was first used by Schindewolf (1937a). The index is <u>Gon</u>. (Subgen. Nov. <u>A</u>) <u>brevispina</u> Lange. The base is recognised by the entry of the index, and this was recorded by Schindewolf at Bed 22 in the Oberrödinghausen railway-cutting section. No subdivisions have been proposed for this zone.

sphaeroides Zone

This name was first used by Paeckelmann and Schmidt (in Paeckelmann 1922), presumably using evidence from their work at Dasberg and in the Hönnetal (Schmidt 1924). The index is <u>Wock</u>. <u>sphaeroides</u>, the occurrence of which is restricted to the middle, <u>sphaeroides</u> Subzone.

Three divisions are recognised. The lowest is the <u>endogona</u> Subzone. The index for this is <u>Kampt</u>. <u>endogona</u> and the base of the subzone is defined by the entry of <u>Para</u>. <u>paradoxa</u>, recognised at Bed 10 in Schindewolf's (1937a) Oberrödinghausen section.

The name <u>sphaeroides</u> as a subzone was applied by Schindewolf (1937a). Its base was defined by the entry of the index in Bed 4 at Oberrödinghausen.

Schindewolf did not give a name for his uppermost faunal division at Oberrödinghausen. This was termed the "Schichten mit <u>Cyma. euryomphala</u>" and was applied to the Hangenberg Schiefer. The first explicit use as a zone occurs in Arbeitsgemeinschaft (1971) where it appears in a table, and is correlated with the lower part of the Hangenberg Schiefer. House (1978) has given this name zonal status, but it is treated here as a subzone, The name <u>euryomphala</u> is newly recognised here (Chapter 5) as an invalid replacement for <u>evoluta</u> Schmidt, after which this subzone should now be named.

prorsum Zone

This name was first used in Arbeitsgemeinschaft (1971), but was not clearly defined. The index, and associated species of <u>Acutimitoceras</u>, are well known from an horizon known as the Stockum Limestone, recognised at two localities in the Sauerland, but not in the Oberrödinghausen section. The index is <u>Acutimitoceras</u> <u>prorsum prorsum</u>, the appearance of which defines its base. The zone is characterised by a fauna of <u>Acutimitoceras</u> spp. and lacks clymeniids. Co-occurring is a conodont fauna known as the upper <u>Protognathodus</u> fauna (see Chapter 6). Currently this is believed (Alberti <u>et al</u>. 1974) to lie within the <u>sulcata</u> (conodont) Zone and thus to be Carboniferous in age, but this question is not finally resolved.

The prorsum Zone is a very short interval, and once it is placed either in the Carboniferous or Devonian, it should be incorporated as a subzone within either the <u>subinvoluta</u> or <u>sphaeroides</u> Zones. The base of the <u>subinvoluta</u> Zone (and its lower <u>acutum</u> Subzone) is currently defined by the entry of <u>Gatt. subinvoluta</u>, recognised in Bed 6 of Vöhringer's (1960) Oberrödinghausen section. Recently Walliser (in Paproth and Streel 1982, p. 34,36) has drawn attention to a unit below Vöhringer's measured section, and has suggested that the basal locms of Bed 6 lacks <u>Gatt. subinvoluta</u>, although he did not state which goniatites were present.

Faunal levels recognised within the Famennian

The faunal ranges presented here (Textfigs. 7.11,17), when taken in conjunction with the data of Wedekind (1908, Textfig. 3,3), Schmidt (1921) and Schindewolf (1937a) allow some 23 faunal levels to be recognised within the Famennian (Textfig. 3.4). This is

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
Gen. Nov. sp. nov. aff. falcata			1		+	-	1	+	-	1	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-	
Ch. amblulobum																								
Ch. circumflexum]																			
Ch. pompeckii																								
Ch. acutwn]																				
Ch. (St.) sacculum				l																				
Torl. oxyacantha				L																				
Torl. curvispina																								
Paratorl. umbilicatum																								
Paratorn. lentiforme																								
Gen. Nov. aff. Dim. spp.																								
Maen. pompeckji				.	•••																			
Sp. (Sp.) biferum																								
Dim. spp.				.						_														
Ps. dillensis																								
Gen. Nov. D kayseri				.					_															
Gen. Nov. F stuckenbergi									_															
Cyrt. involuta									+		_													
Sp. (Sp.) muensteri	÷	÷		•					+		_													
Sp. (Sp.) posthumum	•								-	-	-+	-	-	-+	+	-	+	-						
Sp. (Sp.) inflexum		×	•		•				-		-													
Prol. delphinus	·	•	·	·	·	•	•	•	· }	-														
Plat. (Plat.) guaratedti				·						·														
Plat (Plat.) michtom																								
Plat. (Plat.) intracostata					.																			
Plat. (Tria.) spinosa					.																			
Car. beuelensis					.				.	.														
Prot. sp.														_	_		8							
Prot. serpentina							.								_									
End. (Cost.) kiliani · · · ·															-									
Prog. (Prog.) aegoceras	.															-							1	
Cl. laevigata																_								
Gon. (Gon.) spp.															• +	-+	+	-	-					
Kosmo. subundulata	.					.										••+	+	+	-				`	
Gon. (Kall.) spp.					-					ч.							•	• +	-+	-+	-			
Kosmo. inacquistriata																· -	+	-+	-					
Orn. ornata	·			·	·			·	•	•					•	· -	-							
Kosmo. effenbergensis	·	•	э.	•		•			•			•	·	•		•	· -	+	•					
Piri. piriformis	·	·	•	·	·	•		·	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	· +	••+	•					
Gon. (Subgen. Nov. A) brevispina	•	•	•	·	•	·	·	•	·	•	·	·	·	·	•	•	•		-					
Kosmo. undulata	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	· H	-+-	-				
Gon. (Kall.) subarmata	•	•	•	•		·	•	·	•	•	•	•	1		•	•			+	-				
imit, quadripartitum			•	•	•	•	•	•	1	•	•	•	•	۰.	•	•	•	•	+	+	+			
Komo woklymen						•	•	•	•	•		•			•		•	•	T	•				
Para naradara						1					•	•		•			•	·		T		-		
Wook sphaenoides									1												1	"		
Cuma, evoluta		.																		Ī	1	•		
Acut. prorsum																					ÌΓ			
Gatt. subinvoluta							.															Ì		
						1																	Г	

Textfig. 3.4 Range chart showing the 23 faunal levels recognised in the Famennian. Based mainly on Textfigs. 3.3, 7.11, 7.17 and Schindewolf 1937a. seen as a first step to erecting subzones.

curvispina Zone Levels 1-?5

The best evidence for level 1 comes from the Montagne Noire where recent work by myself and M.R. House has shown that a distinctive ribbed tornoceratid (Gen. Nov. sp. nov. aff. <u>falcata</u> Frech) enters the record after the last occurrence of a manticoceratid, and before the appearance of <u>Cheiloceras</u>. This species has also been recorded from Guadalmez (Spain, Groth 1914), Thuringia (Zimmerman 1893), Brittany (Frech 1897) and Nehden (Frech 1897).

Level 2 is marked by the entry of <u>Cheiloceras</u>. First the simple-sutured species <u>Ch. subpartitum amblylobum</u>, <u>planilobum</u> <u>verneuili</u>, <u>acutum appear</u>. This level was not recognised <u>in situ</u> at Beil, and has been observed only at Nehden, and in the Montagne Noire.

In Level 3 the more complex-sutured cheiloceratids <u>Torl</u>. <u>curvispina</u>, <u>oxyacantha</u> and <u>Paratorleyoceras</u> <u>umbilicatum</u> appear. These have an extra internal lobe. Both the section at Beil, and Wedekind's (1908) data commence at this level.

Thé entry of <u>Paratornoceras lentiforme</u>, a distinctive oxyconic goniatite, marks Level 4. This horizon has been reported from Enkeberg (Wedekind 1908), Holy Cross Mountains (Sobolev 1914a,b), n. Urals (Nalivkina 1936b) and is newly recorded here from the Montagne Noire. Unfortunately this species was not collected <u>in situ</u> at Beil, where the relevant part of the section coincides with the gap in the trench exposure (Textfig. 7.21).

Gen. Nov. aff. <u>Dimeroceras</u> was recorded from Beil in Bed 14, and marks Level 5. This taxon is distinguished from <u>Dimeroceras</u> by the presence of a lobe centred near to the umbilical seam, rather than near the mid-flank. It has also been recognised in the Canning Basin, where Petersen (1975) dated his specimens as from the overlying <u>pompeckji</u> Zone. <u>Sporadoceras</u> <u>primaevum</u> and <u>Imitoceras</u> sp., reported from the North West Territories by House and Pedder (1963), probably both belong in this genus.

pompeckji Zone Levels 6,7

Level 6 is recognised by the incoming of <u>Sporadoceras</u>, although which species serves best as a marker for the base is still not certain (see above).

Wedekind (1908) recorded the appearance of <u>Dimeroceras</u> after <u>Sporadoceras</u> (Textfig. 3.3), and this may mark an upper division of the <u>pompeckji</u> Zone, Level 7. The formal name, <u>benneckei</u>, from Lange (1929), is available for this. No faunas were collected from this level at Beil.

dillensis Zone Level 8

Level 8 is already widely recognised as the horizon where Pseudoclymenia appears.

delphinus Zone Levels 9-11

Details of subdivisions within this zone have already been given. Level 9 is marked by the incoming of clymeniids; Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> stuckenbergi and kayseri seem to be the earliest widely recorded species. The former appears in Bed 42 at Beil, and both Schindewolf (1923) and Lange (1929) reported the latter from around this level. Level 10 is marked by the appearance of <u>Prol. delphinus. Sporadoceras (Sporadoceras) muensteri</u> and <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>involuta</u> are two common species characterising this level. <u>Platyclymenia</u> enters the record in Level 11.

annulata Zone Levels 12-14

Three faunal levels within the <u>annulata</u> Zone were all recognisable at Beil. <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>richteri</u> and other strongly ornamented forms all appear in Level 12. The distinctive

species <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Trig</u>.) <u>spinosa</u> and <u>Carinoclymenia</u> <u>beuelensis</u> mark Level 13 and <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> appears in Level 14.

Further evidence for Level 14 comes in the section at Hövel (see Chapter 7), and in faunas collected at Wäschholz, where <u>Aktuboclymenia</u> also occurs.

Clymenia Stufe Levels 15-18

Korn (1981a) named four zones within this Stufe, and four faunal levels are recognised. However, the two schemes cannot be correlated since Korn gave no details of faunal ranges, except for species of <u>Cymaclymenia</u>.

The lowest Level, 15, has a fauna including <u>Pro. serpentina</u> and <u>End. (Cost.) kiliani</u>, although neither was recognised in this study at Hövel. Level 16 contains a fauna including <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>subundulata</u>, <u>Prog. (Prog.) aegoceras</u>, <u>Cyma. costellata</u>, <u>Gon. (Gon.)</u> <u>hoevelensis</u> and <u>Cl. laevigata</u>, all of which enter the record here. The upper two levels, 17,18, corresponding to the <u>speciosa</u> Zone, are distinguished by the species <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> they contain; <u>inaequistriata</u> in 17, and <u>effenbergensis</u> (Korn and Price in prep.) in 18.

Wocklumeria Stufe Levels 19-23

The ranges of species within this Stufe are well known (Schindewolf 1937a). Level 19 corresponds to Schindewolf's <u>subarmata</u> Zone, although the appearance of <u>Gon</u>. (Subgen. Nov. <u>A</u>) <u>brevispina</u> is used to mark the base. The entry of <u>Glatziella</u> in the middle of the level could be used to make a further subdivision. <u>Parawocklumeria</u> <u>paradoxa</u> marks Level 20, and <u>Wocklumeria</u> <u>sphaer</u>-<u>oides</u> Level 21. These are both easily recognisable widely occurring species. Level 22, marked by <u>Cyma</u>. <u>evoluta</u>, is characterised more by the absence of taxa, than the appearance of new forms. Schindewolf (1937a) considered there to be four or five

clymeniids in the early part of the level (= lower Hangenberg Schiefer) but only <u>evoluta</u> occurs in the upper part. In Level 23 there are only species of <u>Acutimitoceras</u>. Interestingly <u>Imito-</u> <u>ceras</u>, known from above and below, has not been recorded here.

.

Chapter 4

· • • • • •

Classification, phylogeny and evolution of the Clymeniida

Early Classification of the Clymeniida

Early classification schemes: Münster, von Buch and Sandberger

In modern times (i.e. post-Schindewolf 1923b) classification schemes dealing with the Clymeniida have been published by the following authors: Bogoslovskiy (1955, 1975, 1979b, 1981), Delépine (1952), Korn (1981a), Miller (1938), Miller and Furnish (1954), Ruzhencev (1957, 1960, 1962), Schindewolf (1924, 1928, 1937a, 1939, 1949a, 1955, 1957, 1972). Reviews of the development of the classification of the Clymeniida have been published by Bogoslovskiy (1981), and Schindewolf (1949a).

Early attempts to classify this diverse group of cephalopods, united by the presence of a dorsally situated siphuncle (Textfig. 4.2b), were based on the morphology of the septa. Münster (1832) made two divisions of the genus Planulites (renamed Clymenia in 1834): a group with weakly curved and rounded lateral lobes (comprising the species laevigatus, pygmeus, angustiseptatus, compressus and inflatus), and another group with pointed lobes and rounded saddles (comprising the species planorbiformis, undulatus, sublaevis, inaequistriatus, linearis, parvulus, serpentinus and striatus). Further discoveries (Münster 1839) of species of <u>Clymenia</u> meant that this classification scheme had to be modified to accept more complex forms. Α third group was introduced to accommodate clymeniids with two lateral lobes (comprising the species bilobata, angulosa, semicostata).

Leopold von Buch (1838, p. 159) divided the clymeniids into two groups, <u>adscendentes</u> and <u>incumbentes</u>, in the following way:

<u>Adscendentes</u>, species with a saddle at the umbilical seam (actually defined by a lateral saddle rising to the umbilical seam).

<u>Incumbentes</u>, species with a lobe at the umbilical seam e.g. <u>C1</u>. <u>striata</u> (actually defined by a lateral saddle <u>falling</u> to the umbilical seam).

This classification was revised and extended by Sandberger (1853, p. 212):

Clymeniae arcuatae, species with roundly curved lateral lobes (comprising the species <u>compressa</u>, <u>binodosa</u>, <u>arietina</u> and <u>subnautilina</u>).

Clymeniae angulatae, species with pointed lateral lobes. Adscendentes, as defined by von Buch (comprising the species <u>laevigata</u>, <u>undulata</u>).

Incumbentes, as defined by von Buch, (C1. pseudogoniatites).

Gümbel (1863) undertook a major revision of the clymeniids, based mainly on the specimens from Münster's Collection which had passed to the Bavarian State Collections in Munich. He devised a classificatory scheme with far more divisions than that of von Buch, returning to the approach used by Münster, but refining it. There were three major categories, termed Gruppen or Untergattungen, and these were subdivided at a further two levels.

Euclymenieae, species without a continuous siphuncular tube (i.e. without contiguous septal necks).

Cyrtoclymeniae, species with a single, roundly curved, lateral lobe.

Sublobatae, with a shallow, wide, lateral lobe, rising uninterrupted to a saddle at the umbilical seam (comprising the species <u>angustiseptata</u>, <u>flexuosa</u>, <u>annulata</u> and <u>spinosa</u>).

Longilobatae, with a deep, rounded, lateral lobe and a sickle-shaped inner lateral saddle (<u>C1</u>. <u>binodosa</u>).

Genuflexilobatae, with a curved lateral lobe modified by a pointed base and a simple saddle at the umbilical seam (comprising the species <u>dunkeri</u>, <u>laevigata</u>).

Oxyclymeniae, species with a single deeply pointed lateral lobe.

Adscendentes, with a saddle at the umbilical seam (<u>C1. undulata</u>).

Incumbentes, with a lobe at the umbilical seam (<u>C1</u>. <u>striata</u>).

Cymaclymeniae, with two lateral lobes of equal depth (<u>C1</u>. <u>bilobata</u>).

Nothoclymenieae, species with a continuous siphuncular tube (i.e. with contiguous septal necks).

Sellaclymeniae, species with a ventral saddle and evolute whorls (<u>C1</u>. <u>angulosa</u>).

Gonioclymeniae, species with a ventral lobe and evolute whorls (comprising the species <u>speciosa</u>, <u>subarmata</u>, <u>inter-</u><u>media</u>, <u>beaumonti</u>*).

Discoclymeniae, species with a ventral lobe and involute whorls (<u>C1</u>. <u>haueri</u>*).

Cycloclymenieae, species with a continuous siphuncular tube, ventral lobe, and almost cylindrical, evolute whorls (<u>Cl</u>. <u>planorbiformis</u>*).

(* these are all now recognised to be goniatites).

Hyatt: recognition of families

Hyatt (1884, p. 312-4) revised Gümbel's groups (of rank lower than subgenera) Cyrtoclymeniae, Oxyclymeniae, Cymaclymeniae, Sellaclymeniae and Gonioclymeniae, and gave them generic status as <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>, <u>Oxyclymenia</u>, <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, <u>Sellaclymenia</u> and <u>Gonioclymenia</u>. He acknowledged his debt to Gümbel by saying (1884, p. 313) "We...., use his names without making any claim ... of having originated them", but by a decision of the ICZN (Opinion 182) Hyatt was declared to be formal author of these generic names since he had been the first to use them in the nominative singular, as laid down by Article 8 of the <u>Code</u>. Hyatt (1884) divided the clymeniids into 3 families:

> Cyrtoclymenidae Cyrtoclymenia Oxyclymenia Platyclymenia Cymaclymenidae Cymaclymenia Sellaclymenia Gonioclymenidae Cryptoclymenia Cycloclymenia Discoclymenia

These he grouped into the Suborder Gastrocampylii (Hyatt in Eastman-Zittel, 1900, p. 547) which was replacement for the name Intrasiphonata which Zittel (1895, p. 396) had used without indicating its taxonomic rank, describing it vaguely as a group. He was unsure of the affinities of the clymeniids and dealt with them in a footnote.

Hyatt also suppressed, without justification or explanation, the genus <u>Clymenia</u> and this caused a taxonomic problem which has remained unsolved in the literature, even though Schindewolf discussed it on many occasions (1923a, 1949a, 1955, 1972).

The generic name <u>Clymenia</u> was revived by Frech (1902, p. 29) who claimed that Münster (1832, 1843) had given prominence to the species <u>laevigatus/a</u> as the type of the genera <u>Planulites</u> and <u>Clymenia</u>. Further developments in the usage of the name <u>Clymenia</u> are described later (see Chapter 5). Frech (1902, p. 28) used the term Clymeniae (Intrasiphonata) but referred to this group as a family.

Edwards (1849, p. 21, 50) introduced the first supra-generic classification of the clymeniids when he erroneously included <u>Clymenia</u> with the nautiloid genus <u>Aturia</u> in the family Clymenidae. As the rules (<u>Code</u>)currently stand the author of a family is not automatically credited with authorship of groups of higher rank. Thus Wedekind (1914) is the author of Order Clymeniida etc., and not Edwards.

Wedekind (1908, p. 604) took Gümbel's group Cyrtoclymeniae and subdivided it on the basis of his observations of clymeniids collected from a measured sequence at Enkeberg in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge.

Cyrtoclymeniae sublobata	Rectoclymeniae						
	Protactoclymeniae						
	Varioclymeniae						
Cyrtoclymeniae genuflexibiliae	Genuclymeniae						
	Orthoclymeniae						

(N.B. genuflexibiliae may be a misquotation of genuflexilobatae Gümbel, 1863, p. 118).

He referred, in headings, to <u>Rectoclymenia</u>, <u>Protactoclymenia</u> etc. as genera but referred to all species as <u>Clymenia</u> in his descriptions, thus not making clear the relationship between it and his new generic names.

A more formal approach can be found in Wedekind's (1914) monograph on the clymeniids of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, where he treated the clymeniids as a suborder (Clymeniacea) of the Order Ammonoidea, and was the first author to afford them

formal supra-familial rank. Thus Wedekind, rather than Hyatt, is credited with the authorship of both the Order Clymeniida and the Suborder Clymeniina (contra Schindewolf 1957, Ruzhencev 1957) rather than Hyatt, who had given no indication of the rank of his Clymeninae. The current rules (<u>Code</u>) do not cover taxa of supra-familial rank, and offer no guidance on this point. Wedekind divided the Clymeniacea into three families by their growth-lines, shell form and suture. He recognised two groups, one with biconvex growth-lines, subdivided by the nature of the coiling into Cymaclymeniidae (involute) and Platyclymeniidae (evolute), and another group with straight growth-lines, Gonioclymeniidae.

Cymaclymeniidae	<u>Rectoclymenia</u>	
	Protactoclymenia	(Protactoclymenia)
	<u>Protactoclymenia</u>	(<u>Genuclymenia</u>)
,	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>	
	<u>Cymaclymenia</u>	
Platyclymeniidae	<u>Varioclymenia</u>	
	<u>Platyclymenia</u>	
	Laevigites	
	<u>Oxyclymenia</u>	
Gonioc1ymeniidae	<u>Sellaclymenia</u>	
	<u>Gonioclymenia</u>	
	<u>Kalloclymenia</u>	$\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x} \right)^{2} + \left(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x} \right)^{2} \right] \left(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x} \right)^{2} + \left(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x} \right)^{2} \right]$

Later Wedekind (1917) devised a scheme in which he separated the ammonoids into three orders, Palaeo-, Meso-, and Neoammonoidea, discriminated by the presence or absence of frilling ("Inzisionen") on lobes and saddles. The clymeniids should therefore have fallen within the Palaeoammonoidea, but were not discussed explicitly.

Schindewolf: Introduction of ontogenetic considerations

The next reviser of the clymeniids was Schindewolf (1923a)

who introduced ontogenetic, and hence supposed phylogenetic considerations in a short paper (1923b) which clarified the taxonomic position of genera he had introduced earlier (1923a). The Clymenoidea were treated as an order, with two suborders, Gonioclymeniacea and Platyclymeniacea (Textfig. 4.1), the distinction between which was not based solely on simple morphological criteria, but rather on differences in ontogenetic development. The presence of a ventral lobe was considered a primitive characteristic, since the earliest supposed clymeniid, Acanthoclymenia, possessed one (House 1961, showed that this anomalous, middle Frasnian genus was in fact a manticoceratid) and because the earliest septa of clymeniids had a ventral lobe (Kosmoclymenia, Branco 1880, Cymaclymenia, Schindewolf 1923b). The Gonioclymeniacea retained a ventral lobe throughout ontogeny, and were considered as progressive; Platyclymeniacea lost their ventral lobe early in ontogeny, it being replaced by a saddle, and were The picture is, of course, more complicated termed regressive. than envisaged, but this argument has been used to divide the clymeniids into two groups ever since.

Two families within the Gonioclymeniacea were recognised; Gonioclymeniidae, with an undivided ventral lobe, and Sellaclymeniidae, in which the ventral lobe is divided by a saddle. Division into genera was based on sutural formula and aspects of the shellform and ornament.

Subdivision into families within the PlatyClymeniacea was based on a combination of the character shell-form, coiling and growth-line shape. Within the Cymaclymeniidae and Rectoclymeniidae genera were distinguished by their sutural formula, but within the Platyclymeniidae by the shape of sutural elements or by shell ornament.

SCHINDEWOLF 1923B

Order CLYMENOIDEA

Suborder GONIOCLYMENIACEA Family Gonioclymeniidae Hexaclymenia Acanthoclymenia Costaclymenia Gonioclymenia Kalloclymenia Otoclymenia Schizoclymenia

Family Sellaclymeniidae Sellaclymenia Biloclymenia

Sphenoclymenia

Suborder PLATYCLYMENIACEA Family Platyclymeniidae

mily Platyclymeniidau Varioclymenia Platyclymenia Laevigites Protozyclymenia Oxyclymenia

Family Cymaclymeniidae Cyrtoclymenia Genuclymenia Cymaclymenia Biloclymenia

Family Rectoclymeniidae Rectoclymenia Falciclymenia SCHINDEWOLF 1957

Suborder CLYMENIINA

Superfamily GONIOCLYMENIACEAE Family Hexaclymeniidae Hexaclymenia Progonicolymenia Soliclymenia

Family Acanthoclymeniidae Acanthoclymenia

Family Gonioclymeniidae Costaclymenia Gonioclymenia Kalloclymenia Kall. (Kalloclymenia) Kall. (Otoclymenia) Sphenoclymenia Sellaclymenia

Family Wocklumeriidae Pachyclymenia ?Miroclymenia Wocklumeria Biloclymenia

Family Glatziellidae Glatziella Postglatziella

Superfamily CLYMENIACEAE Family Clymenidae Platyolymenia Plat. (Platyolymenia) Plat. (Pleuroclymenia) Plat. (Trigonoclymenia) Clymenia Piriclymenia Prochoclymenia Protoxyolymenia Kosmoclymenia

Family Cyrtoclymeniidae Cyrtoclymenia Genuclymenia Cymaclymenia

Family Rectoclymeniidae Rectoclymenia Falciclymenia

Superfamily PARAWOCKLUMERIACEAE Family Parawocklumeriidae Kamptoclymenia Triaclymenia Parawocklumeria

BOGOSLOVSKIY 1981

Order CLYMENIIDA Suborder GONIOCLYMENIINA

Superfamily SELLACLYMENIACEAE Family Hexaclymeniidae Hexaclymenia Soliclymenia Progonioclymenia

Family Costaclymeniidae Costaclymenia Mesoclymenia

Family Sellaclymeniidae Sellaclymenia

Family Miroclymeniidae Uraloclymenia Pachyclymenia Miroclymenia

Family Biloclymeniidae Kiaclymenia Biloclymenia Riphaeoclymenia

Family Wocklumeriidae Wocklumeria Epiwocklumeria Synwocklumeria

Family Gonioclymeniidae Gonioclymenia Schizoclymenia Sphenoclymenia Kalloclymenia Kall. (Kalloclymenia) Kall. (Otoclymenia)

Superfamily PARAWOCKLUMERIACEAE Family Parawocklumeriidae Kamptoclymenia Triaclymenia Parawocklumeria

Suborder CLYMENIINA

Superfamily CLYMENIACEAE Family Cyrtoclymeniidae Cyrtoclymenia Platyclymenia Plat. (Platyclymenia) Plat. (Pleuroclymenia) Plat. (Spinoclymenia) Stenoclymenia Trochoclymenia Sulcoclymenia Piriclymenia

Family Rectoclymeniidae Rectoclymenia Falciclymenia Cteroclymenia

Family Carinoclymeniidae Carinoclymenia Acriclymenia Pinacoclymenia

Family Clymeniidae Aktuboclymenia Protoxyclymenia Kosmoclymenia Clymenia

Family Cymaclymeniidae Genuclymenia Cymaclymenia Ormatoclymenia Kazakhoclymenia Laganoclymenia

TEXTFIG. 4.1.

classification schemes.

Former clymeniid

Schindewolf (1923b, textfig., p. 62) produced a phylogenetic scheme for the clymeniids, which differs little from that presented here, except for the omission of then unrecognised genera. Lange (1929) used the same scheme of classification as Schindewolf.

Schindewolf (1934) revised the genus <u>Platyclymenia</u>, recognising three subgenera (<u>Platyclymenia</u>, <u>Trigonoclymenia</u> and <u>Pleuroclymenia</u>) distinguished by their growth-line shape (Textfig. 4.12). Precise collecting at Oberrödinghausen enabled Schindewolf (1937a) to produce a detailed study of the gonioclymeniid faunas of the Wocklumeria Stufe, particularly four families, Wocklumeriidae, Glatziellidae, Hexaclymeniidae and the Parawocklumeriidae. (The new family Parawocklumeridae, was then placed within the Platyclymenidae, distinguished by its suture in which both ventral and dorsal lobes were replaced by saddles).

Treatise schemes: Schindewolf and Ruzhencev

Schindewolf's final ideas on the classification of the Suborder Clymeniida were expressed in the <u>Treatise</u> (Schindewolf 1957). This incorporated newly established taxa within his earlier (1923b) scheme, with renaming where appropriate (Textfig. 4.1).

Preparation of the Russian Treatise (Ruzhencev 1957, 1960; Bogoslovskiy 1962), and the study of Devonian ammonoids in the USSR (Bogoslovskiy 1955 et seq.) prompted the development of an alternative classification scheme (Textfig. 4.1). This treats the Clymeniida as an Order, and thus permits an extra rank in the hierarchy.

The main distinction between the Russian and German schemes is in the composition of some families. Schindewolf (1957) included <u>Costaclymenia</u>, <u>Gonioclymenia</u>, <u>Kalloclymenia</u> and <u>Sella</u>- <u>clymenia</u> within the Gonioclymeniidae, whereas Ruzhencev (1960) placed them in three separate families, Costaclymeniidae, Gonioclymeniidae and Sellaclymeniidae, and the Gonioclymeniidae were put into a separate superfamily. Groupings within the Clymeniaceae/ Clymeniina were also quite different; where Schindewolf had only two families, Clymeniidae and Cyrtoclymeniidae, Ruzhencev had three, Clymeniidae, Cyrtoclymeniidae and Cymaclymeniidae. Exact generic composition of these families can be seen in Textfig. 4.1. Essentially Ruzhencev considered that <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> had an affinity with <u>Platyclymenia</u> rather than <u>Cymaclymenia</u>; Schindewolf took the opposite view. During the last 25 years Bogoslovskiy (1955 et seq.) has erected some 12 genera, and has enlarged the classification scheme to accommodate them.

Bogoslovskiy's scheme is used here (Textfigs. 4.4, 4.5), but with some modification of the taxonomic rank by the use of subfamilies along the lines introduced by Schindewolf (1928) and Weyer (1981). Within the Gonioclymeniina families comprise genera showing a common pattern of sutural elaboration; genera are distinguished primarily by sutural formula, and subgenera by differences in whorl form, or major ornament. Within the Clymeniina such a rigid approach cannot be adopted. Sutural pattern is much simpler and genera tend to be distinguished by relatively minor differences in sutural pattern, often by the shape of individual elements, and families contain genera with a common shell-form or growth-line course. Thus differences which in the Clymeniina would serve to separate genera can in the Gonioclymeniina only separate species.

Future Work

This classification can only be usefully improved when two

important sets of data are obtained: a greater understanding of the ontogeny of clymeniids, and precise stratigraphic ranges of genera and species. To date the ontogenies of only <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (Schindewolf 1923b) and Gen. Nov. <u>C</u> (Bogoslovskiy 1955) are known, to which can be added here <u>Cymaclymenia</u> (Textfig. 4.15). Schindewolf (1937a) provided partial sutural ontogenies of a number of genera. Details of the stratigraphic ranges of early genera would be particularly important in unravelling the evolution of the clymeniids in the <u>delphinus</u> and <u>annulata</u> Zones, by which time most families had already appeared. Identification of the earliest clymeniid species should shed evidence on whence the group is derived.

Evolution of the Clymeniida

Origin of the Clymeniida

Arguments surrounding the origin of the Clymeniida were summarised by House (1970, 1981a) and Schindewolf (1972). Two major hypotheses have been advanced.

Archoceras - Hexaclymenia

Schindewolf (1932, 1933, 1972), following an idea initiated by Hyatt (1884), derived <u>Hexaclymenia</u> from <u>Archoceras</u>, the grounds for this being their near homeomorphy, with the exception of their siphuncular position, ventral in <u>Archoceras</u>, dorsal in <u>Hexaclymenia</u> (Textfig. 4.3a). Branco (1880, pl. VII, fig. 3b) had figured a clymeniid with a siphuncle in the ventral position at the first septum. Schindewolf (1923b), has stated that the Textfig. 4.2a Prosuture and first septum of various clymeniid species (after Bogoslovskiy 1976). A, B protoconch, and proseptum and first septum of <u>Cymaclymenia evoluta</u> (after Schindewolf 1923b); C, <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> cf. <u>serpentina</u>; D, Gen. Nov. <u>F subflexuosa</u>; E, <u>Genuclymenia frechi</u>; F, <u>Genu-Clymenia angelini</u>; all X40.

2b Cross-sections of the early whorls of clymeniids (after Bogoslovskiy 1976): A, <u>Genuclymenia frechi</u>; B, <u>Cyrtoclymenia frechi</u>; C, <u>Platyclymenia richteri</u>; D, <u>Pachy-</u> <u>Clymenia intermedia</u>; all X45. Each illustration shows the dorsad migration of the siphuncle, achieved by the third septum.

Textfig. 4.3b House's (1970) theory for the derivation of the Clymeniida from Tornoceras via Protornoceras and Tornia, by increasing evolution of the shell form, flattening of the lateral lobe, and, finally, migration of the siphuncle. ?Protactoclymenia humboldti (A) has been selected from Sobolev's (1914) illustrations of the Kielce fauna from which House obtained the specimens he illustrated to support his theory. A, ?Protactoclymenia humboldti (after Sobolev 1914, fig. 118 and pl. IX, fig. 30 (X2)); B, Tornia mirabile (after House 1970, textfig. 2A and pl. 126, fig. 4 (X2)); C, Protornoceras aff. zuberi (after House 1970, textfig. 2B, pl. 126, fig. 2 (X3)); D, Protornoceras simplificatum (after House 1970, textfig. 2C. pl. 125, fig. 5 (X3)); Protornoceras dorsoplanum (after Sobolev 1914, pl. IX, fig. 36a (X1)); E, Tornoceras aff. crebriseptum (after House 1970, textfig. 2D, pl. 125, fig. 4 (X2)).

Textfig. 4.2

۵





b










siphuncle in the clymeniids changes position from ventral to dorsal over the course of the first three septa, which view was substantiated by the beautifully prepared sections of Bogoslovskiy (1976), Textfig. 4.2a. Schindewolf also considered <u>Hexaclymenia</u> to represent the earliest stage of evolution within the clymeniids, since it has the simplest sutural formula of the Gonioclymeniina, the group in which the ventral lobe is retained throughout ontogeny. At this time <u>Acanthoclymenia</u> was considered to be the earliest clymeniid (Schindewolf 1934) and the middle Frasnian <u>Archoceras</u> seemed a plausible choice as its ancestor. When House (1962) recognised that <u>Acanthoclymenia</u> was not a Frasnian clymeniid, attention could be focused solely on the early clymeniids of the middle Famennian (?<u>delphinus</u> Zone).



Textfig. 4.3a. Schindewolf's (1972) theory for the origin of the Clymeniida. All magnifications are unknown, (from Schindewolf 1972, abb. 3). Archoceras paeckelmanni, A, suture; B, side view. <u>Hexaclymenia hexagona</u>, Ci, ii, suture; D, side view.

The youngest <u>Archoceras</u> is known from the lower Famennian <u>Curvispina</u> Zone, yet <u>Hexaclymenia</u> is not known until later, in the <u>delphinus</u> Zone (Bed 12, Enkeberg, Wedekind 1908). The earliest Clymeniids that Wedekind recorded were <u>Stenoclymenia sandbergeri</u> (Bed 12), <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Plat.</u>) <u>pompeckii</u>, <u>Cyrtoclymenia involuta</u>, Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> lotzi, <u>Genuclymenia phillipsi</u>, <u>Genu. frechi</u> and <u>Genu</u>. <u>angelini</u>, all from Bed 14, <u>delphinus</u> Zone, thus representing a diverse fauna. Lange (1929) reported <u>Rect. kayseri</u> from the <u>pseudogoniatites</u> Zone.

Tornoceras - Tornia - clymeniids.

It was to these apparently earlier clymeniids, with simpler sutures, that House (1970) turned when he argued that the Tornoceratidae, via <u>Tornoceras</u> (<u>T.</u>) <u>crebiseptum</u>, <u>Protornoceras</u> and <u>Tornia</u>, all known from the <u>sandbergeri</u> or <u>delphinus</u> Zones, gave rise to the "earliest clymeniids" (Textfig. 4.3b). These have a shell form and suture similar to <u>Tornia</u>, especially <u>Stenoclymenia</u>, <u>Platyclymenia</u> and <u>Protactoclymenia</u>.

House (1970, p. 673) neatly summarises six reasons to support his argument for the derivation of the clymeniids from <u>Tornoceras</u>, rather than <u>Archoceras</u> or nautiloids. These were (with my comments in brackets): the similarity of the egg-shaped protoconchs of the clymeniids and <u>Tornoceras</u> (the Anarcestina, of which <u>Archoceras</u> is a member, also have egg-shaped protoconchs); the lack of an umbilical perforation in both clymeniids and <u>Tornoceras</u> (<u>Archoceras</u> has no umbilical perforation); the migration of the siphuncle from a ventral to dorsal position, early in ontogeny (there is a ventral siphuncle in all goniatites); and recognition of a series of tornoceratid species which show a transition in shell-form and sutural shape, between <u>Tornoceras</u> and clymeniids (<u>Archoceras</u> and <u>Hexaclymenia</u> have the same shell-form).

A strong argument against accepting that migration of the

siphuncle could take place in <u>Archoceras</u>, is the shape of the septum (House 1970, 1980a). This in <u>Archoceras</u> is convex orad (Schindewolf 1937b, pl. 19, fig. 1b) and has a lateral saddle as the dominant element. In early clymeniids (<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>, <u>Platy-</u> <u>clymenia</u>) the septum is concave orad and dominated by the broad lateral lobe.

Little has been added to these arguments since that date. Schindewolf (1972) and Bogoslovskiy (1976) rejected House's opinion, maintaining that the sutures of <u>Archoceras</u> and <u>Hexaclymenia</u> were homologous, both having the formula EL:I (i.e. Schindewolf's (1954) U-type)whereas the sutures of <u>Tornia</u> and <u>Platyclymenia</u> are merely homeomorphic, their formulae being EAL:I (i.e. Atype, in which the adventive lobe is derived from secondary splitting of the lateral saddle) and L:I (U-type) respectively, meaning that the lateral lobe is Adventitious in <u>Tornia</u>, but truly Lateral in <u>Platyclymenia</u>. It should be added that for none of these four particular genera is the sutural ontogeny known, but is presumed from knowledge of similar, and related, tornoceratids and clymeniids.

Bogoslovskiy (1976) ably demonstrated that in clymeniids the siphuncle migrated from a ventral to a dorsal position during early ontogeny (Textfig. 4.2). Drushchits and Doguzhayeva (in Drushchits <u>et al.</u> 1976) argued that the Clymeniina were derived from the Tornoceratina because in that group the position of the siphuncle is unstable, unlike the Anarcestina (of which <u>Archoceras</u> is a member) in which it is stable.

House's argument is appealing. The evolution of <u>Archoceras</u> into a clymeniid requires:

- 1 reversal of the septal face
- 2 migration of the siphuncle
- 3 discovery of a transitional species to bridge the

stratigraphic gap between the <u>curvispina</u> and <u>delphinus</u> Zones.

But the evolution of Tornia into a clymeniid requires only:

1 migration of the siphuncle

However the arguments of Schindewolf (1972) and Bogoslovskiy (1976), concerning sutural ontogeny, are difficult to ignore. In the clymeniids the prosuture develops into a first suture with a lateral lobe near the umbilicus, migrates across the flank towards the venter (e.g. Kosmoclymenia, Textfig. 4.2b and Schindewolf 1923b; Cymaclymenia, Textfig. 5.31F-I). In Tornoceras (and by inference in the closely related genera Protornoceras and Tornia) the lateral lobe is formed by the division of the ventrad flank of the lateral saddle, and it migrates across the flank towards the umbilicus (Bogoslovskiy 1971, fig. 7), and the umbilical lobe remains centred on the umbilical seam. This fundamental difference in sutural ontogeny between Tornoceras and the clymeniids, central to the way in which the Ammonoidea are subdivided into orders (Wiedmann and Kullmann 1980), amounts to an overwhelming objection to House's theory.

Alternative Theories

Sobolev (1914a,b) considered that the clymeniids were polyphyletic, each being derived from a goniatite with a similar suture. Ten such lineages were proposed, all involving migration of the siphuncle from the venter to the dorsum. Thus <u>Cyma</u>-<u>clymenia striata</u> was described as being derived from <u>Pseudo</u>-<u>clymenia, Cyrtoclymenia angustiseptata</u> from <u>Tornoceras simplex</u> etc. The confusion implicit in this system was compounded by the introduction of new generic, and, subsequently (1925), specific/ subspecific names, which were indicative of a species' position

in one of the ten lineages, and also of its morphology. Thus <u>Cheiloceras tenue</u> Sobolev, at first became <u>Oma-monameroceras</u> (<u>Cheiloceras</u>) <u>tenue</u> Sobolev, and then, <u>Oma-amblylobites subgaleatus-retrovaricatus f. <u>tenuis-subinterrupti-genuvaricata-</u> <u>spirostriata</u> Sobolev, <u>Tornoceras siemiradzkii</u> Dybcynskii became <u>Gomi-umbo-gony-re-amblylobites</u> <u>dorsatus-dorsovaricatus-subcostatus</u> f. <u>subumbilicatum</u> Sobolev, and <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> aff. <u>plicata</u> (Münster) became <u>Umbo-cyrtolobia</u> (<u>Alobia</u>) <u>globularis-subcorrecti-lineata</u>?-<u>subcostata</u> Sobolev f. <u>sub-umbilicata</u> Sobolev. Such theory and nomenclatorial practice has met with little support in modern times (only Termier & Termier 1948 have supported a polyphyletic origin for the clymeniids) and Schindewolf was instrumental in having Sobolev's genera (though not his species) declared invalid by a ruling of the ICZN, (Opinion 132, Schindewolf <u>et al</u>. 1936).</u>

Flower (1961) and Donovan (1964) maintained that clymeniids were derived from coiled nautiloids.

Classification and Phylogeny

A classification scheme is shown in Textfig. 4.4 and some phylogenetic links are shown on the range chart (Textfig. 4.5). The Order Clymeniida is subdivided into two Suborders, Gonioclymeniina and Clymeniina. In the first group the ventral lobe is retained throughout ontogeny, although in some families (see below) it is lost secondarily. In the Clymeniina the ventral lobe is lost early in ontogeny.

Suborder Gonioclymeniina

ada dilika usharinshi yashi k

This group is subdivided into three superfamilies: Sellaclymeniaceae, Parawocklumeriaceae and Gonioclymeniaceae. In the first group sutural elaboration takes place either by division of the ventral lobe by a saddle, or by addition of umbilical lobes. In the second the dorsal lobe is replaced by a saddle, and in the third a deep ventral lobe is retained throughout ontogeny, and sutural elaboration takes place by addition of both Adventive and Umbilical lobes.

Sellaclymeniaceae

Three families are recognised: Glatziellidae, Sellaclymeniidae and Biloclymeniidae. The Glatziellidae have a simple suture consisting of a ventral lobe (sometimes divided by a saddle) a lateral lobe, and a dorsal lobe, and sometimes an umbilical lobe. Growth-lines are concavo-convex. Sellaclymeniidae are evolute, have a ventral lobe (sometimes divided by a saddle), a large lateral lobe and umbilical and dorsal lobes. Growth-lines are S-shaped. The Biloclymeniidae are subinvolute with a suture

S 8

SELLACL YMENIACEAE	∕Hexaclymeniidae	Hexaclymeniinae	{	Hexaclymenia Progonioclymenia((Prog.) Prog. (Soliclymenia)	EL:I L:I EL:I
		Glatziellinae	{	Glatziella Postglatziella	(E,E,)L:I (E,E,)LU:I
	Costaclymeniidae	Costaclymeniinae	{	Costaclymenia (Cost.) Cost. (Endosiphonites) ?Trochoclymenia Mesoclymenia	ELU: I ELU: I LU: I ELU: I
		Sellaclymeniinae		Sellaclymenia	(E ₁ E ₁)LU:I
	Biloclymeniidae (Pachyclymeniinae	{	Uraloclymenia Pachyclymenia	EL:I EL:UI
		Biloclymeniinae	{	<i>Biloclymenia</i> Gen. Nov. <i>C</i>	(E ₁ E ₂ E ₁)L:UI (E ₁ E ₁)LU:UI
l	Wocklumeriidae		{	Wocklumeria Epiwocklumeria	ELU:UUI ELU:UUI
PARAWOCKLUMERIACEAE	Parawocklumeriidae		{	Kamptoclymenia Triaclymenia Parawocklumeria	$(E_1E_1)L:(I_1I_1)$ $(E_1E_1)L:u(I_1I_1)$ $(E_1E_1)L:u(I_1I_1)$
GON LOCLYMEN LACE AE	Gonioclymeniidae	Gonioclymeniinae	{	Gonioclymenia (Gon.) Gon. (Kalloclymenia) Gon. (Subgen. Nov. A) Gon. (Subgen. Nov. B)	EALU:I EALU:I EALU:I EALU:I
		Sphenoclymeniinae	• {	Schizoclymenia Sphenoclymenia	EALU:U ₁ I EA ₂ A ₁ LU:U ₁ I
CLYMENIACEAE	/ Platyclymeniidae	Platyclymeniinae		(Platyclymenia (Plat) Plat. (Pleuroclymenia) Plat. (Trigonoclymenia) Plat. (Spinoclymenia) ?Stenoclymenia ?Trochoclymenia	L:I L:I L:I L:I EL:I LU:I
		Subfam. Nov.∝		[Sulcoclymenia Piriclymenia Ornatoclymenia	AL:I AL:I ALU:I
	Cyrtoclymeniidae			{ Cyrtoclymenia Protactoclymenia	L:I L:I
	Rectoclymeniidae			{ Gen. Nov. D Gen. Nov. E Cteroclymenia	L:I L:UI LU:UI
	Carinoclymeniidae			(Gen. Nov. F Carrinoclymenia Pinacoclymenia	EL:I EL:I ELU ₁ :UI
	Clymeniidae			(Aktuboclymenia Protoxyclymenia Kosmoclymenia Clymenia	L:I AL:I AL:I L:I
	Cymaclymeniidae			(Genuclymenia Cymaclymenia Kazakhoclymenia Laganoclymenia	AL:UI & AL:I ALU:I EAL:UI EL:UI

Textfig. 4.4 Classification of the Order Clymeniida, divided into the Suborder Gonioclymeniina (above the line), and Suborder Clymeniina (below the line). Sutural formulae are based on Wedekind's system, after Wiedmann and Kullmann (1981). N.B. The sutural formulae of Hexaclymenia and Progonioclymenia (Prog.) have been transposed. Riphaeoclymenia, (E₁E₁)LU:UI, has been omitted from the Biloclymeniinae.



Clymeniida: generic range chart for the Famennian.

comprising ventral, lateral and dorsal lobes, to which may be added a ventral saddle and an umbilical lobe.

Glatziellidae

Two subfamilies are recognised: the earlier Hexaclymeniinae, with a simple suture comprising ventral, lateral and umbilical lobes, and the Glatziellinae, in which the ventral lobe becomes subdivided and there may also be an additional umbilical lobe (Textfig. 4.6).

Hexaclymeniinae

There are two genera, <u>Hexaclymenia</u> and <u>Progonioclymenia</u>. Both have the same sutural shape (Textfigs. 4.5J-L). <u>Hexa-</u> <u>clymenia</u> has a distinctive hexagonal shell form, and is known only from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone. <u>Progonioclymenia</u> is evolute and strongly ribbed. Two subgenera are recognised: <u>Prog. (Progonioclymenia)</u> which has a compressed whorl section, and is known from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, and <u>Prog. (Soliclymenia</u>), known from the <u>annulata</u> Zone and the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe, which has a depressed whorl section, and develops triangular coiling in one species.

Glatziellinae

Two genera are recognised: <u>Glatziella</u> and <u>Postglatziella</u> in which there is an additional umbilical lobe (Textfigs. 4.6F-H). Early species (<u>endogona</u> Subzone: stratigraphic data from Schindewolf 1937a) of <u>Glatziella</u> are evolute with strong ribs and shallow (<u>tricincta</u>), and deep (<u>helenae</u>) ventro-lateral grooves. <u>Glatziella</u> <u>tricincta</u> differs from <u>Prog</u>. (<u>Soli</u>.) <u>solarioides</u>, from which it probably evolved, by having a ventral saddle, rather than a lobe,

Parawocklumeriidae

A, B Parawocklumeria paradoxa; C, Triaclymenia triangularis;

D, E Kamptoclymenia endogona.

Glatziellinae

F, G, Postglatziella carinata; H, I, Glatziella diensti.

Hexac1ymeniinae

J, Progonioclymenia ?aegoceras; K, Soliclymenia solarioides;

- L, <u>Hexaclymenia</u> <u>hexaqona</u>.
- All after Schindewolf 1937a (figs. 6,7,17,19,20,22,23) except L, after Schindewolf 1972 (fig. 3). All magnifications are unstated.

Textfig. 4.7

Sellaclymeniaceae, Sellaclymeniidae

A, <u>Sellaclymenia</u> <u>angulosa</u>, -D=30, WH=11, BSP AS VII 589. Sellaclymeniaceae, Costaclymeniidae

B, Mesoclymenia nalivkinae (after Bogoslovskiy 1981, fig. 9): i, WW=8, WH=15.5; ii, WW=4, WH=7; C, Costaclymenia multicostata, (after Bogoslovskiy 1981, fig. 7), D=51, WW=10.4, WH=14; D, Cost. kiliani, partial sutural ontogeny: i, WH=8.8,

ii, WH=4.65, iii, WH=3.2.



and ventro-lateral grooves. Later species (<u>diensti</u>, <u>buxtorfi</u>, <u>pasquayi</u>) develop more depressed whorl sections, resulting in a globular shell form. The last known species (<u>glaucopis</u>) loses its ribs and has a very depressed section (Weyer 1981). Sun and Shen (1965) erected a new subgenus (<u>Sinoglatziella</u>) for smooth forms such as this. <u>Postglatziella</u>, known from the <u>sphaeroides</u> Subzone, has weak ribs, a depressed whorl section and globose shell, a narrow umbilicus and an extra umbilical lobe.

Evolutionary trends within the Glatziellinae are a decrease in the degree of shell evolution, increased depression of the whorl section, increased globularity of the shell form, loss of strong ornament and deep ventro-lateral grooves (surely a function of the whorl width/whorl height ratio), and addition of an umbilical lobe. Within the Hexaclymeniinae the only trends would seem to be an increased depression of the whorl section (not wholly confirmed by stratigraphic data), and the development of triangular coiling.

Sellaclymeniidae

Two subfamilies are recognised: the Sellaclymeniinae and the Costaclymeniinae, the former having a presumed secondarily subdivided ventral lobe (Textfig. 4.7). Stratigraphical evidence for the evolution of this small group is lacking. <u>Costaclymenia</u> (<u>Costaclymenia</u>) <u>multicosta</u> (Textfig. 4.7C) is a small strongly ribbed species from the <u>annulata</u> Zone, with a compressed whorl section. Its direct ancestor is unknown. It is extremely different in shell form and growth-line course from <u>Prog. (Soli.)</u> <u>solarioides</u>, the only other representative of the Gonioclymeniina known from the <u>annulata</u> Zone. Investigation of the sutural ontogeny (Textfig. 4.7D) of a later species (<u>kiliani</u>) shows the



early suture to have a broad, deep lateral lobe and a ventral lobe, reminiscent of <u>Stenoclymenia</u>, one species of which (<u>sandbergeri</u>) is a near homeomorph of <u>End</u>. (<u>Cost</u>.) <u>multicosta</u>. (<u>Stenoclymenia</u> has a ventral lobe, which recent authors have considered as secondary, and thus have included it within the Clymeniina. Lange, however, considered it to be a gonioclymeniid. There are no details of sutural ontogeny of <u>Stenoclymenia</u>.)

Species of <u>Endosiphonites</u> from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe are divided here into subgenera by their ornament; <u>End. (Costaclymenia)</u> has strong ribs, or tubercles, but these are weak or absent in <u>End</u>. (<u>Endosiphonites</u>). Their relative stratigraphic positions are unknown.

<u>Mesoclymenia</u> (Textfig. 4.7B) is an interesting genus known from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of Kazakhstan (Bogoslovskiy 1981). It has a smooth shell, with a ventral groove developed early in ontogeny, and a suture with the same number of elements as <u>Endo-</u> <u>siphonites</u>, but with a pointed, rather than a broad, lateral lobe. Thus it represents a stage intermediate between <u>Endosiphonites</u> and <u>Gonioclymenia</u>, from which it differs only by the absence of a ventro-lateral (Adventive) lobe, and ribbing. Presumably <u>Gonio-</u> <u>clymenia</u> evolved from Endosiphonites via Mesoclymenia.

<u>Sellaclymenia</u> (Textfig. 4.7A) is known from only few examples. It is believed to have evolved from <u>Endosiphonites</u>, by formation of a ventral saddle, rather than <u>Mesoclymenia</u>, since that genus has a smooth shell and a ventral groove. The earlier <u>Sellaclymenia</u> <u>torleyi</u> (<u>Clymenia</u> Stufe) has an ornament consisting of strong tubercles, whereas the later <u>Sell</u>. <u>plana</u> is smooth.

Biloclymeniidae

This family, as used here, differs in composition from the

Biloclymeniinae

A, <u>Riphaeoclymenia canaliculata</u>, (after Bogoslovskiy 1981, fig. 21), D=30, WW=9.0, WH=7.6; B, Gen. Nov. <u>C</u>, (after Schindewolf 1937a, fig. 10); C, <u>Biloclymenia uralica</u>, partial sutural ontogeny; i, WW=13.7, WH=13.6; ii, D=21.3, WW=9.1, WH=10.6; iii, WW=6.5, WH=6.5; iv, WW=4.5, (after Bogoslovskiy 1955); D, <u>Biloclymenia semiplicata</u>, WW=10.7, WH=9.8, (after Bogoslovskiy 1981, fig. 18).

Sellaclymeniaceae, Wocklumeriidae

E, Epiwocklumeria kiensis, D=24, WW=13, WH=12 and WW=4.6,

WH=5; F, Epiwocklumeria applanata, (after Schindewolf 1937a, fig. 13); G, <u>Wocklumeria sphaeroides</u> (after Schindewolf 1937a, fig. 12).

Sellaclymeniaceae, Biloclymeniidae, Pachyclymeniinae

I, <u>Pachyclymenia abeli</u>, (after Schindewolf 1937a, fig. 11); J, <u>Uraloclymenia volkovi</u>, D=18, WW=10, WH=6.7, (after Bogos-

lovskiy 1981, fig. 13).

Textfig. 4.9

A, <u>Parawocklumeria paradoxa</u>; B, <u>Parawocklumeria distorta</u>;
C, <u>Triaclymenia triangularis</u>; D, <u>Kamptoclymenia trivaricata</u>;
E, <u>Kamptoclymenia trigona</u>; F, <u>Kamptoclymenia endogona</u>.
All after Schindewolf 1937a, figs. 20,25,26,27, (all magnifications unstated).



usage of Bogoslovskiy (1955, 1981), principally because of a reappraisal of the genera which he recognised as valid. He used two families, Miroclymeniidae (Uraloclymenia, Pachyclymenia and Miroclymenia) and Biloclymeniidae (Kiaclymenia, Biloclymenia and <u>Riphaeoclymenia</u>), the distinction between them being drawn on subdivision of the ventral lobe (Textfig. 4.8A-D,I,J). Miroclymenia is not accepted as a valid genus, but is treated as a possible synonym of Cymaclymenia. Erected by Schindewolf (1923b) and diagnosed as like Cymaclymenia, but with a ventral lobe, it was used as the type-genus for the subfamily Miroclymeniinae Schindewolf 1924, containing Miroclymenia and Wocklumeria. Later (1937a) Schindewolf reported the apparent loss of the only specimen of Miroclymenia from the collections at Jena and described it as a juvenile, only 15mm in diameter. On these grounds he considered Miroclymenia to be a "genus inquirendum" and thus unreasonable for use as the type for a family, and so he erected a new family, Wocklumeridae, to replace it, comprising Pachyclymenia, Miroclymenia, Wocklumeria, Epiwocklumeria and Biloclymenia.

In 1955 Bogoslovskiy erected a new family Biloclymeniidae to accommodate <u>Biloclymenia</u> and <u>Kiaclymenia</u>, which differed from the above listed genera by having a subdivided ventral lobe. Ruzhencev (1957) further divided Schindewolf's Wocklumeriidae into three families, Miroclymeniidae (<u>Miroclymenia</u>, <u>Pachyclymenia</u>, EL:I or EL:UI) Wocklumeriidae (<u>Wocklumeria</u>, <u>Epiwocklumeria</u> and <u>Synwocklumeria</u>, with three umbilical lobes) and Biloclymeniidae (with subdivided ventral lobe). Bogoslovskiy (1960) described <u>intermedia</u>, a new species of <u>Pachyclymenia</u>, with an incipient umbilical lobe, apparently transitional between <u>Pach</u>. <u>abeli</u> and <u>Miro</u>. <u>interpres</u>.

Schindewolf (1972) accepted Bogoslovskiy's new evidence confirming a link between <u>Pachyclymenia</u> and <u>Miroclymenia</u> and reinstated the family Miroclymeniidae. He retained the Wocklumeriidae but treated the Biloclymeniinae as a subfamily of the Miroclymeniidae, regarding the subdivision of the ventral lobe to be worthy of recognition only at subfamilial level, as he had done for the Sellaclymeniinae.

Bogoslovskiy (1977) reiterated his argument when he established a new genus <u>Uraloclymenia</u>, intermediate in sutural form between <u>Hexaclymenia</u> and <u>Pachyclymenia</u>. He saw this new genus as the ancestor of <u>Biloclymenia</u>, and considered that <u>Wocklumeria</u> was derived from <u>Pachyclymenia</u>. I would agree with these phylogenetic conclusions.

Korn (1981a) erected <u>nephroides</u>, a new species of <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, which had a suture exactly like that of <u>Miro. interpres</u>, but later in ontogeny the ventral lobe transformed into a saddle. The shell form also agrees with Schindewolf's (1937a) description of <u>interpres</u>. On this evidence I would endorse Schindewolf's own statement (1923b) that <u>Miro. interpres</u> was like <u>Cymaclymenia</u> and suggest that it is a <u>Cymaclymenia</u>. Therefore <u>Miroclymenia</u> and Miroclymeniidae can be treated as synonyms of <u>Cymaclymenia</u> and Cymaclymeniidae, respectively.

It is argued below (Chapter 5) that the biloclymeniid group comprises only <u>Biloclymenia</u>, Gen. Nov. <u>C</u> and <u>Riphaeoclymenia</u>, and deserves only subfamilial rank, since it is characterised only by the subdivision of the ventral lobe. (Although <u>Riphaeoclymenia</u>, differing only by its shell form, may warrant only subgeneric status (cf. <u>Kalloclymenia</u>, <u>Soliclymenia</u>, <u>Trigonoclymenia</u> etc.)). A new subfamily, Pachyclymeniinae, is then required to accommodate <u>Pachyclymenia</u> and <u>Uraloclymenia</u>, and both of these subfamilies are placed in the Biloclymeniidae because Miroclymeniidae is accepted as a synonym of Cymaclymeniidae. Should <u>Miroclymenia</u> be shown to be a valid genus, with a true ventral

lobe, then the family name would revert to Miroclymeniidae.

Wocklumeriidae

Two genera are included here, <u>Wocklumeria</u> and <u>Epiwocklumeria</u> (Textfig. 4.8E-G), distinguished only by their shell form. The later <u>Epiwocklumeria</u> is involute in contrast to <u>Wocklumeria</u>, which is subinvolute, becoming subevolute in later whorls. Both exhibit triangular coiling. There seems no way to distinguish <u>Syn-</u> <u>wocklumeria</u> (Librovich 1957, Bogoslovskiy 1981) from <u>Epiwocklumeria</u> (but see Chapter 5).

Parawocklumeriaceae

This superfamily has been included within the Platyclymenida (Schindewolf 1937a) or been treated as equal in rank to Gonioclymeniaceae and Clymeniaceae (Schindewolf 1957). Ruzhencev (1957) included them within the Gonioclymeniina. Weyer (1981) gave them only subfamilial rank within the Glatziellidae.

Only one family, Parawocklumeriidae, is recognised, united by the possession of divided ventral and dorsal lobes (i.e. it has ventral and dorsal saddles Textfig. 4.9). To it are assigned three genera, <u>Kamptoclymenia</u>, <u>Triaclymenia</u> and <u>Parawocklumeria</u>, which were the subject of a study by Schindewolf (1937a).

These three genera are separated somewhat arbitrarily by their degrees of involution: <u>Kamptoclymenia</u>, evolute; <u>Triaclymenia</u>, subevolute to subinvolute, and <u>Parawocklumeria</u> subinvolute to involute. <u>Parawocklumeria</u> also has an extra umbilical lobe. All three genera appear at the base of the <u>endogona</u> Subzone, in Bed 10 at Oberrödinghausen (Schindewolf 1937a). Schindewolf (1937a, textfig. 27) arranged all of the species of <u>Kamptoclymenia</u>,



<u>Triaclymenia</u> and <u>Parawocklumeria</u> in an evolutionary series from from <u>Kampto</u>. <u>endogona</u> through <u>Kampto</u>. <u>trigona</u>, <u>Kampto</u>. <u>trivaricata</u>, <u>Tria</u>. <u>triangularis</u>, <u>Para</u>. <u>patens</u>, <u>Para</u>. <u>distorta</u> to <u>Para</u>. <u>paradoxa</u>, in which there is increasing involution, and triangularity of the shell coiling, emphasised by deep constrictions. There is no stratigraphic basis for this series, since the ranges of all species (except <u>Para</u>. <u>paradoxa</u>) are either uncertain, or concurrent (Schindewolf 1937a).

The immediate ancestor of <u>Kampto</u>. <u>endogona</u> is unknown. <u>Progonioclymenia</u> (Soli.) <u>solarioides</u> is morphologically most <u>similar</u>, but this has strong ribs, and lacks the ventro-lateral grooves and dorsal saddle present in <u>Kampto</u>. <u>endogona</u>, and is therefore unlikely to be its direct antecedent.

Gonioclymeniaceae

Two subfamilies are recognised, Gonioclymeniinae (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>, divided into subgenera) and Sphenoclymeniinae (<u>Sphenoclymenia</u>) distinguished by their sutural formulae, Sphenoclymeniinae having extra umbilical and ventro-lateral lobes (Textfig. 4.11). <u>Gonioclymenia</u>, which appears low in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, was probably derived from <u>Mesoclymenia</u>, by addition of a ventro-lateral lobe. Little stratigraphic evidence is available to give credence to any phylogenetic consideration of this group. Wedekind (1914) and Schmidt (1924) recognised a lower division of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe in which <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>hoevelensis</u> and <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>subcarinata</u> were present. These have shallow ventro-lateral lobes, and strong tuberculose ornament. <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>speciosa</u>, from the upper <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe has a deeper ventro-lateral lobe, and a more subdued ornament (Textfig. 4.10B-D).

It seems that there are at least 5 lines of descent within



Gonioclymeniinae

A, <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) <u>levis</u>, D=70, WW=11.6, WH=21, (after Bogoslovskiy 1981, fig. 35); B, <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) <u>speciosa</u>, D=91, and D ca20, BSP AS VII 538; C, <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) <u>subcarinata</u>, D ca59, WW ca15, WH ca20, BSP AS VII 595; D, <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) <u>hoevelensis</u>, D=45, WW=9.5, WH=14.3, (after Bogoslovskiy 1981, fig. 31).

Textfig. 4.11

Gonioclymeniidae, Sphenoclymeniinae

A, <u>Sphenoclymenia maxima</u> (after Schindewolf 1920); B,
<u>Sphenoclymenia intermedia</u>, WW=20.9, WH=40, holotype, BSP AS
VII 586, combined with SM H10397 (internal suture only);
C, <u>Schizoclymenia drevermanni</u> (after Drevermann 1901, pl. XIII, fig. 10).

Gonioclymeniidae, Gonioclymeniinae

D, <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>wocklumensis</u>, D ca30, holotype, MfN; E, <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>subarmata</u>, D=98, BSP AS VII 537; F, <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>crassa</u>, D=37, lectotype, RE 551.734.5 A255. the Gonioclymeniaceae: <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) with strong parabolic ribs, and a shallow ventro-lateral lobe appeared in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe (<u>crassa</u> Textfig. 4.11F); <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>A</u>), with a spinose ventro-lateral ornament and no ventrolateral groove, appeared late in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe (<u>brevispina</u>); <u>Gon.</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) which lacks all ornament, occurs in the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe; <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) itself, retaining the ventral groove; and <u>Sphenoclymenia</u> with an extra umbilical and ventro-lateral lobe. Bogoslovskiy (1981) illustrated two species of <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>levis</u> Textfig. 4.10A; <u>kiensis</u>) with incipient ventro-lateral lobes, which could be considered as intermediate to <u>Sphenoclymenia</u>. The little known <u>Schizoclymenia</u> can also be interpreted in the same light.

Some thirty gonioclymeniacean species have been erected by Münster, Wedekind (1914), Lange (1929), Petter (1960) and Bogoslovskiy (1981), and these can all be subdivided into plausible evolutionary lineages, but this will not be attempted for the want of stratigraphical details.

It can be noted, however, that somewhat later species of this genus demonstrate retention to the adult stage of characters, which in earlier species, are present only in early whorls. Thus <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>subarmata</u> has a tongue-shaped lateral lobe at larger diameters, resembling the early lateral lobe of <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>speciosa</u> (see Textfigs. 4.10Bii and 4.11E). The earlier <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>crassa</u> (Textfig. 4.11F) has a lateral lobe like the mature lateral lobe of <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>speciosa</u>.

Species of <u>Gon</u>. (Subgen. Nov. <u>A</u>) have a strong spinose ornament throughout all stages, and this ornament resembles that present only on the early whorls of <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>). A ventral groove is developed only in <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>). In other subgenera the flat venter of the earliest whorls is retained



throughout growth.

Suborder Clymeniina

Only one superfamily, Clymeniaceae, is recognised within the Clymeniina, grouped together because all lose their ventral lobe early in ontogeny. There are six families: Platyclymeniidae, Cyrtoclymeniidae, Rectoclymeniidae, Carinoclymeniidae, Clymeniidae and Cymaclymeniidae. Division between them is in some cases rather arbitrary.

Platyclymeniidae

Two subfamilies are recognised, distinguished by their sutures: Platyclymeniinae and Subfam. Nov. α .

Platyclymeniinae

<u>Platyclymenia</u> is the major genus of this subfamily. It is evolute with a suture consisting of a ventral saddle, a broad lateral lobe and a dorsal lobe (Textfig. 4.12E). Growth-lines are concave over the flanks, and ribbing is common. Whorl section is circular to subcircular. At least sixty species have been assigned to this genus, most on the basis of just a handful of specimens. There are four subgenera, distinguished by their ornament (but see Chapter 5): <u>Trigonoclymenia</u> with parabolic ribs, <u>Pleuroclymenia</u> with a depressed whorl section, <u>Spinoclymenia</u> with a spinose ornament projecting ventrally from the ventrolateral shoulder, and <u>Platyclymenia</u> itself (Textfig. 4.12).

Also included within the Platyclymeniinae are two rather problematic genera, <u>Stenoclymenia</u> and <u>Trochoclymenia</u> (Textfig. 4.12). <u>Stenoclymenia</u> contains forms which are indistinguishable from

Platyclymeniidae

A, <u>Stenoclymenia stenomphala</u> (after Lange 1929, fig. 12);
B, <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Pleuroclymenia</u>) <u>crassissima</u>, WW=11.8, WH=8,
holotype, Mbg. 3154; C, <u>Trochoclymenia wysoqorskii</u> (after
Schindewolf 1937a, fig. 3); D, <u>Trochoclymenia ornata</u>, partial
sutural ontogeny (after Petter 1960, fig. 2E); E, <u>Platyclymenia</u>
(<u>Platyclymenia</u>) <u>annulata</u> (after Schindewolf 1934); F, G, H,
diagnostic growth-lines of the subgenera <u>Trigonoclymenia</u>,
<u>Platyclymenia</u> and <u>Pleuroclymenia</u> (all after Schindewolf 1934).

Textfig. 4.13

Clymeniidae

A, <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> <u>sublaevis</u>: i, WW=6.1, WH=5.9; ii, WW=3.3, WH=2.4; iii, WW=1.4, WH=1.9, DK 78D 1125.1; B, <u>Clymenia</u> <u>laevigata</u>, D=50, lectotype SM H10366. Internal suture after Schmidt 1924, fig. 4c; C, <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> <u>dunkeri</u>, WW=5.5, DK 81D 6430.2; D, <u>Aktuboclymenia</u> <u>ancestralis</u>, D=22.2, WW=6.7, WH=9 (after Bogoslovskiy 1979b, fig. 1).

Platyclymeniidae, Subfam. Nov.a

E, <u>Piriclymenia piriformis</u> (after Schindewolf 1937a, fig. 4);
F, <u>Ornatoclymenia ornata</u>, D=24, lectotype BSP AS VII 550;
G, <u>Sulcoclymenia sulcata</u> (after Schindewolf 1972, fig. 2b).
Platyclymeniidae, Platyclymeniinae

H, <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Platyclymenia</u>) <u>annulata</u> (after Schindewolf 1934).



Platyclymenia, except that they have a shallow ventral lobe (Textfig. 4.12A), considered by most authors to appear secondarily in ontogeny (reference has already been made to this under Costaclymeniidae above). Trochoclymenia is based on a single specimen from Dzikowiec (Ebersdorf) and was diagramatically illustrated by Schindewolf (1937a, Troch. wysogorskii, figs. 1,3). Recorded as being from Wrocław it is now presumed to have been lost when the collections were destroyed in the last war. It differs from Platyclymenia by having a small additional lobe near the umbilical seam (Textfig. 4.12C). Petter (1960, p. 34) erected Trochoclymenia ornata, which includes examples with a shell form and suture similar to Costaclymenia, except they have a flat ventral saddle up to a diameter of ca 25mm. The holotype of Troch. ornata (pl. III, figs. 8-8a) may be a true Costaclymenia, since it is a fragment of an outer whorl, and has a shallow ventral lobe. It is indistinguishable from End . (Endosiphonites) muensteri. The other specimens illustrated by Petter are all small (D<25), and have a very shallow lateral lobe with a flexure near to the umbilical seam, but do not actually form an umbilical lobe (Textfig. 4.12D). Therefore they are very similar to Platyclymenia, and may represent juveniles of Troch. wysogorskii. It is very easy to argue that a relationship exists between these specimens and Platyclymenia.

The distinction between some members of <u>Platyclymenia</u>, <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> and <u>Protactoclymenia</u> is not always clear, especially for examples with a broad whorl section, subevolute coiling and prorsiradiate growth-lines (see Chapter 5). It is likely that there is some phylogenetic relationship between <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> and <u>Platyclymenia</u>, at least, so this ambiguity will remain.

This subfamily, for which the name Piriclymeniinae would be proposed, contains the genera <u>Sulcoclymenia</u>, <u>Piriclymenia</u> and <u>Ornatoclymenia</u>, which all have a similar pear-shaped whorl section. Their relationship and sutural development was described by Korn (1981a), who elaborated on the arguments of Schindewolf (1972), who derived this group from <u>Platyclymenia</u> by the formation of an adventive lobe (Textfig. 4.13E-G).

Korn (1981a) argued that <u>Ornatoclymenia</u> had a greater affinity with <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> than with the Cymaclymeniidae (<u>contra</u> Schindewolf 1957, Bogoslovskiy 1979b). His argument for this was that <u>Ornatoclymenia</u> had a V-shaped dorsal lobe, rather than a tongueshaped dorsal lobe like <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, the umbilical and lateral lobes were rounded rather than pointed as in <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, and the adventive lobe was deep rather than shallow.

Which family these three genera are to be included within is largely determined by what is considered as their immediate ancestor. Schindewolf (1972) suggested that <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> and <u>Piriclymenia</u> were derived from <u>Platyclymenia</u>. Korn (1981a) suggested that <u>Ornatoclymenia</u> too was derived from <u>Sulcoclymenia</u>. Both Schindewolf (1957, 1972) and Bogoslovskiy (1981) include <u>Piriclymenia</u> and <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> in the Platyclymeniidae. Korn, however, placed them in the Clymeniidae, together with <u>Aktuboclymenia</u>, <u>Protoxy-Clymenia</u>, <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> and <u>Clymenia</u>. Bogoslovskiy's classification scheme allows the lineages <u>Aktuboclymenia</u>-<u>Protoxyclymenia-Kosmo-Clymenia</u> and <u>Sulcoclymenia-Piriclymenia</u> to be derived independently from <u>Platyclymenia</u> (see Textfigs. 4.13E-H). Korn's scheme requires <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> and <u>Aktuboclymenia</u> to be closely related, with one ancestral to the other, and derived from <u>Platyclymenia</u> through only one lineage. This idea was not discussed or sub-



stantiated by him in any way. Although the growth-lines, suture and shell form of <u>Aktuboclymenia</u> and <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> are quite similar a conservative approach is adopted here, and the two genera placed in separate families.

Cyrtoclymeniidae

This family contains only two genera, <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> and <u>Protactoclymenia</u>, with a simple suture (Textfig. 4.15D) and are differentiated by the degree of involution, more evolute examples being included in the latter genus (see Chapter 5). Representatives of this family are quite rare, with the exception of <u>Cyrt. involuta</u>, which is the most common clymeniid in the <u>delphinus</u> Zone. Insufficient is known of the stratigraphic occurrence of this family to make any comments on its evolution, even though species included within <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> range from the <u>delphinus</u> to <u>paradoxa</u> Zones.

Rectoclymeniidae

This family contains three genera, Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> (<u>Rectoclymenia</u> <u>auctt</u>.), Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> (<u>Falciclymenia auctt</u>.) and <u>Cteroclymenia</u>. Most species have a compressed carinate whorl section, and all have biconvex, radial growth-lines. The genera are distinguished by the number of umbilical lobes; Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> has none, Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> one, and <u>Cteroclymenia</u> two (Textfigs. 4.14A-C). Stratigraphic data for this group is rather poor; Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> is known from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone, and Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> from the <u>annulata</u> Zone, and possibly a little above (Brügge 1973). On this evidence, and their morphological similarity (apart from the number of umbilical lobes) they have been arranged in an evolutionary sequence,

Rectoclymeniidae

A, <u>Cteroclymenia</u> <u>rozmanae</u>, D=19.4, WW=7.2, WH=9.8 (after Bogoslovskiy 1979a, fig. 2a); B, Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> sp. <u>a</u>, WW=10.9 WH=23, Mbg; C, ?Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>finitima</u> (after Bogoslovskiy 1975, fig. 1c).

Carinoclymeniidae

D, <u>Pinacoclymenia inexpectata</u>, WW=10.4, WH=30.5 (after Bogoslovskiy 1975, fig. 3b); E, <u>Carinoclymenia thaumasta</u>, WW=2.1 WH=5.7, (after Bogoslovskiy 1975, fig. 2b); F, <u>Carinoclymenia</u> <u>beuelensis</u>, D=25.2, WW=4.8, WH=12.4, (after Bogoslovskiy 1975, fig. 1d); G, Gen. Nov. <u>F stuckenbergi</u> (after Perna 1914, fig. 65).

Textfig. 4.15

Cymac1ymeniidae

A, <u>Cymaclymenia</u> sp. <u>b</u>, D=32.8, WW=11, WH=17, SM H10406;

B, Genuclymenia karpinskii, WW=5.6, WH=7.5, DK 80D 4511.1;

C, Genuclymenia angelini (after Perna 1914, fig. 81).

Cyrtoclymeniidae

D, Cyrtoclymenia involuta, (after Schmidt 1924, fig. 4).



<u>Rectoclymenia-Falciclymenia-Cteroclymenia</u> (Bogoslovskiy 1979a). It has been argued (Lange 1929) that Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>kayseri</u> is the earliest known clymeniid, being recorded from the <u>sandbergeri</u> Zone at Enkeberg (Lange 1929) and Kirch-Gattendorf (Schindewolf 1923b). These dates are, however, not beyond question (see Chapter 5).

Carinoclymeniidae

This family, containing the genera Gen. Nov. F, Carinoclymenia and Pinacoclymenia, is similar in many respects to the Rectoclymeniidae, except that growth-lines are prorsiradiate, biconvex, with the ventro-lateral salient being prominent. There is frequently a shallow ventral lobe (Textfig. 4.14D-G). Bogoslovskiy (1975) erected Acriclymenia, differentiated from Carinoclymenia by "the more prominently segregated carination of the shell emphasised by longitudinal grooves, by the broad ladle-shaped ventral sinus of the growth-lines and the unusually high ventral saddle of the suture (Textfig. 4.14E). Such a finely drawn distinction does not warrant generic status (at least in comparison with the diagnoses of other Famennian clymeniid genera). Species assigned to Gen. Nov. F have previously been included within Rectoclymenia and Cyrtoclymenia.

Clymeniidae

This family includes <u>Aktuboclymenia</u>, <u>Clymenia</u>, <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> and <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>. The development of arguments surrounding the phylogenetic relationships of <u>Clymenia</u>, <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> and <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> is detailed in Chapter 5. The taxonomy and evolution of <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> and <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>, which will be separated into their own subfamily, are the subject of a detailed study in
preparation by the author and a German colleague. Precise stratigraphic details were not available for inclusion in this thesis to justify the evolutionary scheme which will be proposed, but an outline is given here.

Kosmoclymenia is derived from Protoxyclymenia by the sharpening of the lateral lobe early in the Clymenia Stufe. Three lineages (indicated on Textfig. 4.5 as Gen. Nov. J-L) have been traced within <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>. In one (<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> s.s.) growth-lines become finer, more closely spaced, and change direction from prorsiradiate to rursiradiate simultaneously becoming more strongly biconvex, shell coiling becomes more evolute, the appearance of the first growth-lirae becomes delayed, and the ventral ornament changes from continuous flares to close but isolated spines, becoming increasingly more widely spaced until, in the species wocklumeri, they disappear altogether (Gen. Nov. L). In a second lineage (Gen. Nov. J) the ventral ornament is lost, and finer, more strongly biconvex, growth-lines developed, which change direction from radial to rursiradiate, and in a third lineage (Gen. Nov. K) ventral ornament is lost and deep ventro-lateral grooves are developed.

It is considered that <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> arose via <u>Aktuboclymenia</u> from <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Plat.</u>) <u>quenstedti</u>, in the <u>annulata</u> Zone (Textfigs. 4.13A,C). <u>Clymenia</u> itself has a suture similar to <u>Aktuboclymenia</u> and <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> (Textfigs. 4.13B-D). A small evolute species of <u>Clymenia</u> is now known from the <u>annulata</u> Zone (Chapter 7) and so it may also be derived from <u>Aktuboclymenia</u>.

Cymaclymeniidae

The genera <u>Genuclymenia</u>, <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, <u>Laqonoclymenia</u> and <u>Kazakhoclymenia</u> are included here. <u>Genuclymenia</u> may be derived

-112



from Aktuboclymenia (Bogoslovskiy 1979b) but its shell form, growthline shape and suture seem much closer to Protactoclymenia and The relationship between Cymaclymenia and Genu-Cyrtoclymenia. Clymenia has long been recognised (Schindewolf 1923b), the former being derived from the latter by the deepening and sharpening of the lateral lobe (Textfigs. 4.15A, B, D). This divergence must have taken place from a species which lacked the extra internal Umbilical lobe present in some (Textfig. 4.15B), presumably later, species of Genuclymenia (see Chapter 5). It should, however, be pointed out that the suture of Cymaclymenia passes through an ontogenetic stage which is similar to the mature suture of Sulcoclymenia (see Textfigs. 4.13G and 4.16Diii), which could be proposed as an Wedekind (1908, 1914) considered that Cymaclymenia ancestor. appeared in the <u>delphinus</u> Zone, but this contention has not been substantiated by any subsequent studies. If the origins of Cymaclymenia are as suggested above it should have appeared by the annulata Zone, which is the highest level from which Genuclymenia has been recorded. However, there are no reports of Cymaclymenia from what are frequently very rich annulata Zone faunas. Generally these are dominated by species of Platyclymenia, and species of Genuclymenia itself are rare, so perhaps there was some facies control over the distribution of early Cymaclymenia.

<u>Kazakhoclymenia</u> and <u>Laganoclymenia</u> were erected by Bogoslovskiy (1979b) to contain five specimens in which there was a shallow ventral lobe (Textfigs. 4.16A,B). The single known specimen of <u>Kazakhoclymenia</u>, from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of Kazakhstan, differs from <u>Laganoclymenia</u>, known from the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe of the southern Urals, by having a deep, more acutely pointed lateral lobe. <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, certainly a very successful genus in the <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen and is exceeded in frequency only

u113

Textfig. 4.16

Cymaclymeniidae

A, Laganoclymenia levis, D=19.3, WW=4.1, WH=7.3 (after Bogoslovskiy 1979b, fig. 4); B, <u>Kazakhoclymenia medocvi</u>, D=36, WW=7.6, WH=13 (after Bogoslovskiy 1979b, fig. 3); C, <u>Cyma-</u> <u>Clymenia</u> sp. b, D=32.8, WW=11, WH=17, SM H10406; D, <u>Cymaclymenia</u> sp. i, WW=8, WH=11.5, HU P82. 19; ii, WW=1.44, WH=1.88; iii, WW=0.77, WH=0.76; iv, eighth septum, WW=0.43, WH=0.38, (ii-iv, HU P82. 18).



114

by <u>Clymenia laevigata</u>, <u>Parawocklumeria paradoxa</u> and some species of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>. <u>Cymaclymenia evoluta</u> is believed to have been the last clymeniid to survive in the uppermost Devonian seas.

الا المراجع ال مراجع المراجع ال

Chapter 5

Systematic descriptions; principally of the species originally described by Count Münster

and the second second

and the second second

and the second second

and a second second

en de la composition En la composition de la

and the second second

. . .

Introduction

It is 150 years since Georg, Graf von Münster (see portrait, frontispiece) first reported ammonoids from the Fichtelgebirge, north eastern Bavaria (Münster 1831). In the following year he published a description of about 40 species, some of which were at first placed in the genus <u>Planulites</u> (Münster 1832), but were later placed in the new genus <u>Clymenia</u> (Münster 1834a). These are now recognised as representatives of the Order Clymeniida, which is confined to the upper Famennian, the uppermost part of the Devonian. This extremely diverse group of cephalopods evolved rapidly and is characterised by possessing a dorsal siphuncle, setting it apart from most other ammonoids.

In his time Münster had one of the largest palaeontological collections in Europe and in 1840 Adam Sedgwick purchased from him a duplicate series of specimens (Clarke and Hughes 1890). This is now in the Sedgwick Museum Cambridge and consists of some 10 000 specimens, many of which still bear Münster's handwritten labels. Münster provided a catalogue with this collection. A copy of the relevant pages is reproduced in Textfig. 8.1, together with photographs of typical labels (Textfig. 8.2). On Münster's death in 1847 the majority of his remaining collection passed to the Bavarian State Collections in Munich (now the Bayerische Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und historische Geologie), and the rest is now dispersed between the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin; Universität Erlangen - Nürnberg; Bayreuth Museum and the British Museum (Natural History).

Most of the specimens which are discussed here derive from Famennian limestones in the area surrounding Hof, Oberfranken. Münster was fortunate in having as the source for these specimens, and many thousands more, the limestone crushing plant at St.

Georges Prison, Hof. Here were processed limestones extracted from quarries which included Elbersreuth, Schübelhammer, Geuser, Horwagen (near Naila) and Gattendorf.

The species which Münster established (Münster 1832, 1834b, 1839, 1840, 1842: see Chapter 8 for a review of his publications) have been revised by many authors but none of them has examined all the available material. Gümbel (1862, 1863) and Schindewolf (1923a,b) looked only at the specimens in Berlin and Munich. which has resulted in some misinterpretation. Although most of Münster's originals were saved from damage in Munich during the last war, the associated material was lost and the same fate befell material in Berlin. Consequently significance can now be attached to the Münster Collection in the Sedgwick Museum, Cambridge, because it is a well documented, rich source of primary material. Examination of this collection, together with the various other Münster Collections, has enabled a fuller reconsideration of Münster's species descriptions to be made, than by previous authors.

Famennian ammonoid taxonomic work relies heavily on the many species established by Münster and has always (with the single exception of Schindewolf 1923a) been conducted outside the area from which these species were first described. Any attempt to restudy Famennian ammonoid faunas must have as its basis a thorough documentation of the species described in the early 19th century literature, and especially those studied by Münster. This chapter attempts to go some way towards this goal and considers the clymeniids established by Münster. Some species are omitted because there is no suitable material available to redescribe them, but collecting from Oberfranken to fill this gap in our knowledge is now in progress. Species established by other authors are discussed where this is relevant.

The systematic classification (see Chapter 4) used here is based on that developed by Ruzhencev (1957, 1960, 1962) and Bogoslovskiy (1979b, 1981) and Weyer (1981), which is more comprehensive than that of Schindewolf (1957). Any useful modification of it must be based on evidence from ontogenetic studies of well preserved material, which is not available at present.

Abbreviations used throughout were referred to in Chapter 1, and relevant localities are described later in Chapter 7. Comments on the evolution of the Clymeniida are to be found in Chapter 4 and zonal names are discussed in Chapter 3. Data used in plotting the various graphs in this chapter will be found at the end.

and the second second

Superorder Ammonoidea Zittel 1884 Order Clymeniida Wedekind 1914

Diagnosis: Ammonoidea with siphuncle situated at the dorsal margin. Septal necks retrochoanitic.

Description: Shell coiling is spiral or triangular, evolute to involute, serpenticonic to globose. Maximum size of adults ranges from 10-250mm. Whorl section is quadrate, circular, reniform oval or subtriangular. Growth-lines are prorsiradiate, rectiradiate or rursiradiate, concave, concavo-convex or biconvex, with a ventral sinus. Ornament is either lacking, or there are weak or strong ribs, especially near the umbilicus, tubercles at the lateral shoulders, parabolic tubercles, ventral spines and flares running around the ventro-lateral shoulder.

The sutures are simple, lobes and saddles being rounded or pointed. In its simplest form the mature suture has a ventral, lateral and dorsal lobe, but can be more complicated by the addition of ventral saddles, adventitious and umbilical lobes or saddles. In sagittal section septa appear concave, or more rarely convex (adorally).

The protoconch is elliptical, or spherical, with a diameter measured at the nepionic constriction, of 0.8-1.1mm. The prosuture is latisellate (Branco 1880; Textfig. 4.2a) and in this the siphuncle is situated ventrally, migrating to the dorsum in the first and second sutures (Textfig. 4.2b; Bogoslovskiy 1976).

Two suborders, Gonioclymeniina and Clymeniina are recognised. In the former the primary ventral lobe persists throughout ontogenetic development, but in the Clymeniina it is replaced by a broad saddle. Some 60 or more genera are distinguished at present (Textfigs. 4.4, 4.5). <u>Asioclymenia</u> Sun and Shen 1965 was stated by Ruan (1981) to be a junior synonym of <u>Eocanites</u> Librovich 1957. <u>Cycloclymenia</u>, <u>Cryptoclymenia</u>, <u>Discoclymenia</u> and <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> have long been recognised to be goniatites.

Horizon and distribution: Clymeniids are first known from the <u>sandbergeri</u> or <u>delphinus</u> Zones of the middle Famennian in western Europe, Urals and N. America, and they range up into the <u>sphae</u>roides Subzone, near the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary. Examples are known from western and southern Europe, North Africa, Urals, Iran, Pakistan, Australia, south west China and western and eastern North America.

Suborder Gonioclymeniina Schindewolf 1923

Diagnosis: Clymeniida with a ventral lobe retained throughout ontogeny, although divided in some cases by a median saddle.

Description: Shell form is typically evolute with compressed quadrate whorl section, although some groups are globular or involute. Growth-lines are concavo-convex, or S-shaped, with a ventral sinus. Ribbing is common. Suture is variable with up to seven lobes (between venter and dorsum).

The Gonioclymeniina are divided into three superfamilies distinguished by their patterns of sutural elaboration: Sellaclymeniaceae, Parawocklumeriaceae and Gonioclymeniaceae. Sutures are generally more complicated in this suborder.

Superfamily Sellaclymeniaceae Schindewolf 1923

Diagnosis: Gonioclymeniina in which sutural elaboration takes place by addition of umbilical lobes, or subdivision of the ventral lobe.

Four families are recognised within the Sellaclymeniaceae: Glatziellidae, Sellaclymeniidae, Biloclymeniidae and Wocklumeriidae.

Family Glatziellidae Schindewolf 1928

Type genus: <u>Glatziella</u> Renz 1913b. Diagnosis: Sellaclymeniaceae with simple suture consisting of ventral lobe, sometimes divided by a saddle, lateral lobe and sometimes umbilical and dorsal lobes. Coiling is evolute to involute and whorl section depressed to quadrate. Growth-lines consist of a lateral and ventral sinus. Strong concave ribs are

Two subfamilies are recognised: Hexaclymeniinae retaining a simple suture consisting of ventral, lateral and dorsal lobes, and Glatziellinae in which the suture is elaborated by subdivision of the ventral lobe, and addition of an umbilical lobe.

Horizon and distribution: The family ranges from the <u>delphinus</u> to <u>paradoxa</u> Zones. Some authors (Schindewolf 1949b, 1972; Bogoslovskiy 1976) consider <u>Hexaclymenia</u>, the oldest representative of the family, to be ancestral to all other clymeniids.

Subfamily Glatziellinae Schindewolf 1928

Diagnosis: Glatziellidae with subdivided ventral lobe.

Description: Suture consists of subdivided ventral lobe, lateral and internal lobes (<u>Glatziella</u>), and in <u>Postglatziella</u> there is an extra umbilical lobe (Textfig. 4.6). The shell form is varied, commonly strongly ribbed, and the venter has ventro-lateral grooves or depressions running around it.

Included genera:

common.

<u>Glatziella</u>	Renz 1913b	
<u>Postglatziella</u>	Schindewo1f	1937a

Remarks: Sun and Shen (1965) introduced <u>Sinoglatziella</u>, which differs from <u>Glatziella</u> only by being smooth shelled and lacking ornament. No representatives of the Glatziellinae are described here.

Horizon: subarmata and paradoxa Zones.

Subfamily Hexaclymeniinae Lange 1929

Type genus: <u>Hexaclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1923a, p. 428. Diagnosis: Member of the Hexaclymeniidae with simple suture consisting of a dorsal, a lateral and a ventral lobe (Textfig. 4.1C).

Included genera:

<u>Hexaclymenia</u>	Schindewolf	1 923a
<u>Progonioclymenia</u>	Schindewolf	1937a
Soliclymenia	Schindewolf	1937a

Discussion: <u>Stenoclymenia</u> Lange 1929 has been placed in this family (Lange 1929). Its relationship to the genus <u>Platyclymenia</u> Hyatt is uncertain, but the latter is differentiated by the presence of a ventral saddle. This genus is discussed below. Weyer (1981) placed <u>Soliclymenia</u> in synonymy with <u>Progonioclymenia</u> and recognised only <u>Hexaclymenia</u> (<u>Hexaclymenia</u>) and <u>Hexaclymenia</u> (<u>Progonioclymenia</u>), but gave no reasons for his action. Presumably he united them because of their similar sutures.

Horizon and distribution: Representatives of the family are known from the middle <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe to the lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe of the Carnic Alps (Austria-Italy), Oberfranken, Rheinische Schiefergebirge (W. Germany), south western Poland, southern Urals (USSR), and Kweichow, (south western China).

Genus <u>Hexaclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1923a

1981 <u>Hexaclymenia</u> Schindewolf - Bogoslovskiy, p. 38.

Type species: <u>Genuclymenia</u> <u>hexagona</u> Wedekind 1908, p. 619, pl. XLIII, figs. 7.7a, by original designation (monotypy) of Schindewolf (1923a, p. 428).

Diagnosis: Member of the Hexaclymeniinae with whorl section approaching hexagonal. Flanks and venter are flattened, between them runs a broad groove and there are distinct umbilical walls between the flanks and the seam. Growth-lines are concave over the umbilical walls and flanks, strongly prorsiradiate across the ventro-lateral groove, and form a sinus over the venter.

Remarks: Only the type species is known. House (1970, pl. 126) incorrectly designated the specimen figured by Wedekind (see above) as the holotype. The type series consisted of six specimens, so the specimen House figured must be termed the lectotype. Two specimens of <u>Hex. hexagona</u> from the type locality of Enkeberg, Sauerland, are illustrated here (Pl. 5.1, Figs. 1-4).

Horizon and distribution: The genus is known from the middle <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge (W. Germany), and from the southern Urals (USSR) (Perna 1914).

Genus Progonioclymenia Schindewolf 1937a

Type species: See the nominate subgenus. Diagnosis: Hexaclymeniinae with strong concave or S-shaped ribs. Included subgenera:

<u>Progonioclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1937a <u>Soliclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1937a

Remarks: These two taxa, separated as genera by Schindewolf (1937a), are distinguished only by the presence or absence of a rim running around the ventro-lateral shoulder, and whorl form: <u>Progonioclymenia</u> compressed, <u>Soliclymenia</u> depressed, which are sufficient only for division of subgeneric, or even specific level.

Horizon and distribution: Schindewolf (1937a) believed that <u>Progonioclymenia</u> occurred in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, and <u>Soliclymenia</u> in the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe. Recently Bogoslovskiy (1981) has reported <u>Soliclymenia</u> <u>solanoides</u> from the <u>annulata</u> Zone.

Subgenus Progonioclymenia (Progonioclymenia) Schindewolf 1937a

- * 1937a Progonioclymenia gen. nov. Schindewolf, p. 55.
 - 1981 Proqonioclymenia Schindewolf Bogoslovskiy, p. 40
 (see here for full synonymy).
 - 1981 Progonioclymenia Schindewolf Ruan, p. 109.

Type species: <u>Clymenia acuticostata</u> Münster 1842, by original designation of Schindewolf (1937a, p. 55). Diagnosis: <u>Progonioclymenia</u> with quadrate whorl section and flattened venter, bounded by a rim on the ventro-lateral shoulder. This definition is essentially unchanged from the review of Schindewolf (1937a, p. 55).

Description: Shell evolute and serpenticonic. Whorl section quadrate. The flattened, smooth venter may develop a broad, median groove in mature specimens. Ribbing is present on the flanks, which are bordered by a rim running around the ventrolateral shoulder. The ribbing is strong, prorsiradiate or radial, sinuous, straight or concave and may modify in mature examples when ventro-lateral spines can develop. The suture (Textfigs. 5.1B,D) consists of dorsal, lateral and ventral lobes.

Available names include:

* <u>acuticostata</u>	Münster,	1842,	p. 126, pl. XII, figs. 6a-c,
			Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.
aeqoceras	Frech,	1902,	p. 31, pl. II(I), figs.
			5a-b, Grosser Pal, Carnic
	•		Alps.
<u>ventroplana</u>	Bogoslovskiy,	1981,	p. 41, pl. II, figs. 5-7,
			textfigs. 4c, 5a. R. Kiya,
		L.	Aktubinskaya Oblast, USSR.

Horizon and distribution: This subgenus is known from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of Oberfranken, Rheinische Schiefergebirge (W. Germany), south west Poland, Aktubinskaya Oblast, southern Urals (USSR) and Kweichow (south west China).

Progonioclymenia (Progonioclymenia) acuticostata (Münster 1842)

*	1842	<u>Clymenia acuticostata</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 126,
		pl. XII, figs. 6a-c.
p.(?)	1863	<u>Clymenia speciosa</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 150.
non	1902	<u>Clymenia</u> aegoceras sp. n Frech, p. 31, pl. II(I),
н н. Т		figs. 5a-b.
v non	192 3 a	<u> Platyclymenia</u> (?) <u>acuticostata</u> Braun - Schindewolf,
	a	p. 461, pl. XVII, fig. 12.
non	1937a	<u>Progonioclymenia</u> <u>acuticostata</u> (Braun) - Schindewolf,
		p. 56, pl. I, figs. 1-2.
non	1962	Progonioclymenia acuticostata (Braun) - Bogoslovskiy,
		pl. XXX, figs. la-b.
non	1981	Progonioclymenia acuticostata (Braun) - Bogoslovskiy,
		p. 40, pl. II, figs. 2-4, textfigs. 4d, 5b,c.

non 1981 <u>Progonioclymenia</u> <u>acuticostata</u> (Braun) - Ruan, p. 109, pl. 28, figs. 39-41.

Type material: The type species consisted of three specimens from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken (Münster 1842, p. 126) which are now missing from the Münster Collection in the BSP Munich. Therefore, any definition of this species must be based on Münster's figure of two specimens (1842, pl. XII, figs. 6a-c), or on similar specimens. The larger of the two specimens figured by Münster (figs. 6a,b) is designated as the lectotype. Diagnosis: <u>Progonioclymenia</u> (<u>Prog.</u>) with S-shaped ribs.

Description: Münster shows two fragments which at a whorl height of 2-8mm have densely, sinuously ribbed, flattened flanks bounded by a rim running around the ventro-lateral shoulder, at the margin of a smooth flat venter.

Dimensions:

	WW	WH
Lectotype, Münster 1842,	3.5	6
pl. XII, figs. 6a,b.		

Remarks: Münster did not describe a suture for this species. Therefore it is assumed to have a suture similar to <u>Prog. aeqoceras</u>, from the general morphological similarity of that species. Authorship of this species is generally attributed to Braun (see synonymy list), or even to Bronn (Ruan 1978). However, it must be given to Münster since there is no evidence that Braun wrote the original description, only that he found and gave the specimens to Münster, as he had also done for the species <u>C1</u>. <u>interrupta</u> and <u>C1</u>. <u>dorsonodosa</u> (Münster 1842, p. 126).

Frech established (1902, p. 31) a new species, <u>C1</u>. <u>aeqoceras</u>, which had concave ribs at a whorl height of 4mm but was otherwise similar to Münster's figure of <u>Prog</u>. <u>acuticostata</u>. In the

explanation to his figures (1902, p. 41, pl. II(I), figs. 5a-b) Frech referred to the species as "C1. acuticostata Münster = aegoceras". Frech's opinion is not accepted here since the rib courses are different in the two species. Schindewolf claimed (1923a, p. 460) to have compared the originals of Münster and Frech, and declared them to be conspecific. His opinion is not followed either, because of the differences in ornament. Unfortunately both of these specimens are missing, as is the specimen from Braunau, Wildungen upon which Schindewolf based his drawing of the suture (1937a, fig. 6). Two specimens of Progonioclymenia aegoceras are figured for comparison, One (P1. 5.1, Figs.7,8, 14, 15, Textfig. 5.1A, B, Mbg. 3130) is from Braunau near Wildungen, and was figured by Schindewolf (1923, pl. XVII, fig. 12). Bogoslovskiy's figures of a specimen from the Urals (1962, pl. XXX, figs. la-b; 1981, pl. II, figs. 2-4) have ribs which at a whorl height of between 3.5 and 6mm are straight, and one specimen (1962 supra cit., 1981, fig. 4) lacks a rim on the ventro-lateral shoulder. They are, therefore, not considered to be conspecific with Prog. acuticostata.

Horizon and distribution: This species is known from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of Oberfranken. Korn (198¹a) has used this species to name a zone in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. Almost certainly he was referring^{the} species described here as <u>Prog. (Prog.) aegoceras</u>.

Progonioclymenia (Progonioclymenia) aegoceras (Frech 1902)

P1. 5.1, Figs. 5-8,14,15; Textfigs. 5.1A,B,D.

* 1902 <u>Clymenia aeqoceras</u> sp. nov. - Frech, p. 31, pl. II(I), figs. 5a-b.

- v. 1923a <u>Platyclymenia</u> (?) <u>acuticosta</u> Braun Schindewolf, · p. 461, pl. XVII, fig. 12.
 - 1937a Progonioclymenia acuticostata Braun Schindewolf, p. 56, pl. 1, figs. 1-2.
 - 1962 <u>Progonioclymenia</u> <u>acuticostata</u> (Braun) Bogoslovskiy, pl. XXX, figs. la-b.
 - 1981 <u>Progonioclymenia acuticostata</u> (Braun) Bogoslovskiy, p. 40, pl. II, figs. 2-4; textfigs. 4d, 5b,c.
 - 1981 <u>Progonioclymenia acuticostata</u> (Braun) Ruan, p. 109, pl. 28, figs. 39-41.

Type material: The holotype, which was figured (see above) by Frech, came from Grosser Pal in the Carnic Alps and has not been traced.

Diagnosis: <u>Progonioclymenia</u> (<u>Prog</u>.) with concave or radial ribs and a grooved venter.

Description: Only one specimen, Mbg. 3130, is preserved well enough to be described. It is serpenticonic, with quadrate whorl section. The flattened venter has a shallow median depression at a diameter of 15mm. In early whorls, which appear to have a more rounded cross-sectional shape, raised concave lirae are visible up to a diameter of about 4mm (P1. 5.1, Fig. 15). Thereafter broad, concave, slightly prorsiradiate ribs develop, which become radial on the last whorl seen, numbering 36 ribs. The weakly grooved venter is bounded by a rim. Growth-lines run parallel with the ribs over the flanks and form a deep sinus over the venter (Textfig. 5.1A, P1. 5.1, Fig. 14).

Schindewolf figured a sagittal section (1937a, pl. 1, fig. 1) showing the septal necks. These were long, extending 60% of the distance between adjacent septa at an apertural height of 1.5mm, and becoming contiguous between the last two septae seen, at an apertural height of 3.7mm. The external suture, from Mbg. 3130, is shown in Textfig. 5.1B, and comprises a shallow lateral lobe and a ventral lobe. Schindewolf (1937a, textfig. 6) figured a suture from the same locality as Mbg 3130, and showed a V-shaped internal lobe (Textfig. 5.10).

Schindewolf included in this species a fragment from Hövel, Sauerland (1937a, pl. 1, fig. 2), no more than 6mm in length, showing only two ribs, which at a whorl height of 6mm were sinuous. It lacked a ventro-lateral rim, and the last rib seen was extended to form a spine protruding from the ventro-lateral shoulder. It is, of course, possible that sinuous ribs/loss of ventral rims, are mature characteristics, and therefore not specifically diagnostic.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Mbg 3130, P1.5.1 Figs.7,8,14,15.	17.9	10.7	ca5	3.7
Mbg P1.5.1, Figs. 5,6.	15.7	9.9	ca4	3.4

Remarks: The relationship of this species to <u>Prog. acuticostata</u> has been discussed under that species. To compare them material must become available from the Carnic Alps and Oberfranken. Most specimens, which previously have been assigned to these two species, have been collected in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge.

Horizon and distribution: Known from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of the Carnic Alps, the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Aktubinskaya Oblast, s. Urals and Kweichow. This species was used as an index for the lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe by Wedekind (1914).

Subgenus Progonioclymenia (Soliclymenia) Schindewolf 1937a

1957 <u>Soliclymenia</u> Schindewolf - Schindewolf, p. L40.

1962 Soliclymenia Schindewolf - Bogoslovskiy, p. 401.

1981 <u>Soliclymenia</u> Schindewolf - Bogoslovskiy, p. 42 (see here for full synonymy).

Type species: <u>Goniatites</u> solarioides von Buch (1838, p. 157, pl. 1, fig. 5) by original designation of Schindewolf (1937a, p.57).

The holotype of the species was figured by Schindewolf (1937a, pl. 1, fig. 3) but is now missing from the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin.

Diagnosis: Progonioclymenia with rounded, depressed (? ren iform) whorl section, lacking a rim running around the ventral margins.

Description: Evolute, serpenticonic, showing triangular to spiral coiling. Whorl section depressed and rounded. Ornament consists of strong concave prorsiradiate ribbing on the flanks, and growthlines form a deep sinus over the smooth venter. Suture (Textfig. 5.1F) consists of a dorsal lobe, or broad lateral lobe and a ventral lobe.

Available species names include:

* <u>solarioides</u>	von Buch	1838, p. 157, pl. I, fig. 5,
ę .		Dzikowiec, Poland.
paradoxa	Münster	1839, p. 14, pl. XVI, figs. 6a-d,
		Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.
<u>recticosta</u>	Ruan	1981, p. 108, pl. 27, figs. 4-7,
		textfig. 77, Kweichow.
<u>semiparadoxa</u>	Schindewolf	1937a, p. 60, pl. 1, fig. 5,
		Dzikowiec, Poland.

Remarks: The designation by Frech (1902, p. 43) of <u>G</u>. <u>solarioides</u> as the type of <u>Cycloclymenia</u> Hyatt, 1884, was invalid (Schindewolf, 1937a, p. 57). Authorship of <u>Cycloclymenia</u> was given to Hyatt by an ICZN ruling (Opinion 182) because Gümbel (1863, p. 100),

in first publishing the name, had used the plural ending -eae for his "Untergattung" Cycloclymenieae. Although Hyatt (1884, p. 314) failed to nominate a type species for <u>Cycloclymenia</u> he had stated (1884, p. 313) that he used Gümbel's name in the sense of the original author. Since Gümbel's Untergattung Cycloclymenieae contained only the species <u>Planulites planorbiformis</u> Münster, 1832 this automatically became the type species, by monotypy, of Hyatt's genus <u>Cycloclymenia</u>.

Horizon and distribution: This subgenus is known from Oberfranken, Dzikowiec, Bashkirskaya ASSR, and Kweichow, from levels presumed to lie in the lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe, but Bogoslovskiy (1981) reported a specimen from the <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe.

Proqonioclymenia (Soliclymenia) paradoxa (Münster 1839) Textfig. 5.1E

- * 1839 <u>Clymenia paradoxa</u> sp. nov. Münster, p. 14, pl. XVI, figs. 6a-d.
 - 1843 <u>Clymenia paradoxa</u> Münster Münster, p. 41, pl. XVI, figs. 6a-d, (copy of Münster 1839).
- vp 1937a <u>Soliclymenia paradoxa</u> Münster Schindewolf, p. 60, pl. 1, figs. 4b,6-8.
 - 1981 <u>Soliclymenia paradoxa</u> (Münster) Ruan, p. 108, pl. 27, figs. 1-3, textfig. 76.

Type material: The holotype has been missing from Munich at least since the time that Frech wrote (1902, p. 63). Diagnosis: <u>Soliclymenia</u> with triangular coiling, and whorl section which is depressed and has a venter with two shallow grooves running around it.

Remarks: Schindewolf described the species using five museum specimens, which all came from Dzikowiec (formerly Ebersdorf),

in Poland. The one figured by Tietze (1871, pl. XVI, fig. 4) is believed to have been destroyed with the collections at Wrocław during the last war, so that the only remaining specimens are in the GPI, Göttingen, and the British Museum (NH), (BM 66384).

Horizon and distribution: Besides the type locality of Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, the species is also known from Dzikowiec, and Kweichow. Since no examples of <u>Parawocklumeria</u> have been reported from Schübelhammer, the species is presumed to come from the lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe.

and the second second

 $(A_{ij})_{ij} = (A_{ij})_{ij} + (A_{ij})_{ij$

Family Sellaclymeniidae Schindewolf 1923b

Type genus: <u>Sellaclymenia</u> Hyatt 1884.

Diagnosis: Sellaclymeniaceae with suture consisting of ventral lobe, which may be subdivided by a saddle, lateral, umbilical and dorsal lobes, of which the lateral lobe is the largest. Growthlines are S-shaped.

Two subfamilies are recognised:

Sellaclymeniinae Schindewolf 1923b Costaclymeniinae Ruzhencev 1957

Remarks: These two subfamilies, previously given family rank (Bogoslovskiy 1981), are grouped together here, recognising that the only difference between them is the divided nature of the ventral lobe in the Sellaclymeniinae. Although the ontogeny of the suture in <u>Sellaclymenia</u> is unknown, the ventral saddle is presumed to have arisen secondarily, on the ventral lobe.

Horizon and distribution: see the included subfamilies.

Subfamily Sellaclymeniinae Schindewolf 1923b

Type genus: <u>Sellaclymenia</u> Hyatt 1884. Diagnosis: Member of the Sellaclymeniaceae with a suture consisting of a broad ventral lobe divided by a median saddle, a large lateral lobe, an umbilical lobe, and a dorsal lobe (Textfig. 4.7A).

Included genus:

Sellaclymenia Hyatt 1884

Horizon and distribution: Species occur in the <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen of Oberfranken and the Rheinische Schiefergebirge (W. Germany). * 1884 <u>Sellaclymenia</u> gen. nov. - Hyatt, p. 314
 1981 <u>Sellaclymenia</u> Hyatt - Bogoslovskiy, p. 50 (see here for synonymy.

Type species: <u>Clymenia angulosa</u> Münster 1839 (= <u>Planulites</u> <u>planus</u> Münster 1832), by original designation of Hyatt, 1884. Diagnosis: As for the Sellaclymeniinae.

Description: Evolute with compressed whorl section, parallel flanks and flattened venter. Shell smooth, or with pronounced ribs terminated by strong ventro-lateral tubercles, present on the phragmocone only. Growth-lines S-shaped with a sinus over the venter. The suture has a broad ventral saddle, bounded by shallow ventro-lateral lobes, broad V-shaped lateral lobe, an umbilical lobe and a dorsal lobe.

Available specific names include:

* <u>angulosa</u>	Münster	1839, p. 12, pl. XVI, figs. 2a-c, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.
planidorsata	Münster	1839, p. 36, Gattendorf, Oberfranken.
<u>planus</u>	Münster	1832, p. 32, pl. VI, figs. 4a-c,
		Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.
? <u>semicostata</u>	Münster	1839, p. 13, pl. XVI, figs. 2a-d,
		Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.
<u>spinosa</u>	Schmidt	1924, p. 136, pl. 7, fig. 8,a,
		Dasberg, Sauerland.
<u>torleyi</u>	Wedekind	1914, p. 58, pl. 6, fig. 1, Hövel,
		Sauerland.

Remarks: The type species, <u>Sell</u>. <u>angulosa</u>, may be a junior subjective synonym of <u>Sell</u>. <u>plana</u>. This is discussed below.

Sellaclymenia planidorsata and <u>semicostata</u> are two poorly known species which are regarded as <u>nomina</u> <u>dubia</u>. There are two unnumbered specimens in the BSP Munich, which are associated with a "<u>C1</u>. <u>planidorsata</u> Gattendorf" label, one of which is a <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>, and the other is too poorly preserved to identify.

Sellaclymenia semicostata is a species which is difficult to interpret. Münster's figure (1839, pl. XVI, fig. 2) shows a lateral view (fig. 2a) and a ventral view (fig. 2b) of a fragment of a whorl still attached to a piece of limestone, a fragment of a larger specimen (fig. 2c) and a <u>Sellaclymenia-type</u> suture (fig. 2d). The accompanying description (1839, p. 13) stated that the figured specimen (<u>sic</u>, i.e. singular) was to be found in de Verneuil's collection, but showed no sutures. This specimen is presumably the one in figs. 2a,b. Münster then stated that he possessed another fragmentary specimen (presumably that in figs. 2c,d) which showed the suture.

Neither of these two specimens has been located (but see below), nor any resembling Münster's description. Therefore no types are recognised. If Münster's observations were correct the species would seem to be diagnosed as a <u>Sellaclymenia</u> with a quadrate whorl section and strongribbing which runs either prorsiradiately or rursiradiately. The ribbing orientation is uncertain because there is no indication of the direction of the aperture in Münster's figures.

Gümbel (1863, p. 150, pl. XIX, figs. 3a-b) figured and described a specimen which he considered to be Münster's original of <u>Cl. semicostata</u>. This specimen (BSP AS VII 592) has a broad ventral lobe not divided by a saddle and this, with its small size and ornament precludes it from being either of the specimens Münster figured. The sutural ontogeny of <u>Sellaclymenia</u> is unknown, so this specimen can only questionably be assigned to <u>Sellaclymenia</u>. The specimen (Pl. 5.10, Figs. 3,4) has inner

whorls which are smooth, and at a diameter of cal8mm tubercles are developed on the ventro-lateral shoulder. The maximum diameter is ca 24mm, therefore this specimen cannot be compared with either of Münster's figures of the species, which seem (if the illustration is at natural size) to have a diameter of at least 35mm. The ornament on this particular specimen develops too late for it to be considered as <u>Sell. torleyi</u> (see below), and so it is identified as <u>Sellaclymenia</u> sp.

There is in the BSP Munich a specimen (AS VII 591, P1. 5.10, Figs. 1,2) associated with a C1. semicostata label which has closely spaced straight prorsiradiate ribs, as in Münster's figure of semicostata. The ribs continue over the venter, and form a shallow sinus, centred on a shallow mid ventral depression. Münster made no comments about the nature of the venter in his description. The suture is only poorly visible, yet clearly shows a deep ventral lobe, and is of Gonioclymenia-type over the flanks. This then is a specimen, the ornament of which has affinities with Münster's figure of semicostata, but also has a Gonioclymenia-type suture, but only a shallow ventral groove. It is thus transitional between Gonioclymenia and Kalloclymenia. It is mentioned here in an attempt to identify C1. semicostata, but the evidence is too inconclusive to state that it is not a Sellaclymenia.

Wedekind described <u>Sell</u>. <u>torleyi</u> as a <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (1914, p. 58), although he recognised that the ventral lobe was possibly divided by a median saddle. This latter point has been confirmed by an examination of the lectotype (RE 551.734.5 A254, Pl. 5.33, Figs. 2,3, Textfig. 5.2E). Lange (1929, p. 74) recognised that the species was a <u>Sellaclymenia</u> and that <u>Sell</u>. <u>spinosa</u> Schmidt (Pl. 5.33, Figs. 15,16, Textfig. 5.20) was synonymous with it.

This species is described below.

Wedekind (1914) referred the Münster species <u>angusta</u> to <u>Sellaclymenia</u>, apparently by reference to a specimen in Berlin. No such specimen can be found today, and the species <u>Gon. angustus</u> will be discussed (see below under Gonioclymeniidae, Remarks).

Horizon and distribution: The genus is known from Oberfranken, Rheinische Schiefergebirge (W. Germany), ranging from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, to the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe (<u>contra</u> Textfig. 4.5).

<u>Sellaclymenia</u> <u>plana</u> (Münster 1832)

P1. 5.10, Figs. 5-12, Textfigs. 2,3.

v*	1832	<u>Goniatites planus</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 30, pl. VI,
	4	figs. 4a-c.
	1834b	<u>Goniatites planus</u> Münster - Münster, p. 92, pl. VI,
		figs. 3a-c, (French translation of Münster 1832).
v	1839	<u>Clymenia angulosa</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 12, pl. XVI,
		figs. 2a-c.
(?)	1839	<u>Clymenia planidorsata</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 36.
	1843	<u>Goniatites</u> <u>planus</u> Münster - Münster, p. 23, pl. VIa
1.		figs. 4a-c, (copy of Münster 1832).
	ia i internationalista tata tata tata tata tata tata tata t	<u>Clymenia angulosa</u> Münster - Münster, p. 40, pl. XVI,
, .		figs. 2a-c, (Copy of Münster 1839).
		<u>Clymenia planidorsata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 36,
vp	1863	<u>Clymenia angulosa</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 149, pl. XIX,
	en de Nei en en	figs. 2a-c.
vp	1902	<u>Gonioclymenia plana</u> Münster - Frech, non pl. II(I),
		fig. 4, textfig. 6b ₁₋₅ (p. 38).
pv	1923a	<u>Sellaclymenia</u> <u>angulosa</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 485.
non	1924	<u>Gonioclymenia plana</u> Münster - Schmidt, p. 140.

Type material: BSP AS VII 594, from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, is designated here as the lectotype. The counter-part of this specimen, MfN c601 (P1. 5.10, Fig. 10) is in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin.

Münster's (1833) catalogue of his collection lists only one specimen, but the original description (Münster 1832) seems to use more than one. No paralectotypes have been identified. Diagnosis: <u>Sellaclymenia</u> with a smooth shell at all stages and very compressed whorl section.

Description: Two specimens have been examined, the proposed lectotype, BSP AS VII 594, and the lectotype of <u>C1</u>. <u>angulosa</u>, proposed here as BSP AS VII 589.

The first part of the description applies equally to both specimens. Evolute shell, with compressed whorl section and flat umbilical area. Whorl section is compressed with umbilical wall, flat converging flanks and flattly rounded venter (P1. 5.10, Figs. 8,11). Growth-lines are S-shaped with a sinus over the venter (Textfig. 5.2B) strong secondary ornament is absent but BSP AS VII 594 has very faint ribs on the internal mould, at a diameter of ca 40mm.

Similar suture lines are visible on both specimens (Textfigs. 5.2B,C). Over the flanks the suture greatly resembles <u>Gonio-Clymenia</u>, but the ventral lobe is divided by a saddle. In sagittal-section (visible on BSP AS VII 594) one septum shows two saddles (P1. 5.10, Fig. 6). The early suture can be seen on the same specimen at a diameter of ca 10mm and here the elements are rounded (P1. 5.10, Fig. 7). (The rounded elements of the suture at this stage may suggest that <u>Sellaclymenia</u> has a closer affinity to <u>Biloclymenia</u> than <u>Costaclymenia</u>.) The siphuncle is visible on BSP AS VII 594 at a diameter of ca 50mm, where it is reniform, the base being raised, apparently to accommodate the keel of the wrinkle layer (Textfig. 5.24). It is approximately 2mm in height and the septal necks run together to form a continuous tube.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype; BSP AS VII 594	80.5 75.7	28 27	ca17 vca15 9.2 12.8 3.8 5.1	32.2 30 17.3 20.5 5.4 7.6
Lectotype of <u>C1</u> . <u>angulosa</u> Münster BSP AS VII 589	43.3 34.5	17.8 13.1	ca12.5 ca 8.4	17.8 12

Remarks: Münster's original figure of this species shows (1832, pl. VI, fig. 9c) a ventral lobe. However, the lectotype so greatly resembles the figure in other respects, such as size, ornament, that it is considered that Münster either figured the suture incorrectly, or based it on another specimen. Münster's description appears to be based on more than one specimen yet the catalogue of his collection (Münster 1833) refers to one only. In view of this contradiction the type specimen is referred to as the lectotype. Similar contradictions can be found in the references to other species, e.g. <u>Gon. speciosa</u> and <u>Gon. spuria.</u> (Even more strange is the reference (Münster 1832, p. 21) to only one specimen of <u>Paratorleyoceras globosum</u>, since two are shown in the original figure (Münster 1832, pl. IV, figs. 4a-c)).

The counterpart (MfN c601) of the lectotype has been recognised in Berlin, and it is probably this specimen that was referred to by Frech (1902, p. 39) and Wedekind (1914, p. 57), only the latter of whom correctly recognised its genus. Schmidt's (1921, p, 333, 1924, p. 140) use of this specific name as a <u>Gonioclymenia</u> was justified by saying that the specimens he had collected (probably various specimens of Gen. Nov. <u>A</u>) resembled Münster's (1832) figure. Frech (1902, textfig. 5_3) also figured a suture of a <u>Sellaclymenia</u>, which he referred to as "<u>Sell</u>. <u>angulata</u> Mstr". This misnaming may be based on a mistakenly written museum label,

cf. SM H10402.

Schindewolf (1923a) recognised that the Münster species <u>plana</u> and <u>angulosa</u> were synonymous, based on an examination of the two specimens described here but, inexplicably, gave priority to <u>angulosa</u>.

An undescribed species of Gen. Nov. <u>A</u>, similar to <u>frechi</u>, but lacking any ribbing or tuberculation, greatly resembles <u>Sell. plana</u>, and is differentiated only by the presence of a ventral lobe.

Horizon and distribution: This species was reported from the Wocklumeria Stufe by Schindewolf (1923a).

<u>Sellaclymenia torleyi</u> (Wedekind 1914) Pl. 5.33, Figs. 2,3, Textfigs. 5.2D,E

- v* 1914 <u>Gonioclymenia torleyi</u> sp. nov. Wedekind, p. 58, pl. VI, fig. l.
- v 1924 <u>Sellaclymenia spinosa</u> sp. nov. Schmidt, p. 136, pl. 7, fig. 8.

1929 <u>Sellaclymenia</u> torleyi Wedekind - Lange, p. 74.

Type material: RE 551.734.5 A254, Torley Collection, from Hövel, illustrated in Pl. 5.33, Figs. 2,3, is proposed as the lectotype. Diagnosis: <u>Sellaclymenia</u> with compressed quadrate whorl section, which develops strong ventro-lateral tubercles after a diameter of ca 10mm.

Description: Three specimens have been examined: the lectotype, RE 551.734.5 A124, a second specimen in the Torley Collection, and MfN c596, Haarman Collection, Dasberg, which is proposed as the lectotype of <u>Sellaclymenia spinosa</u> Schmidt 1924, and is regarded as conspecific with <u>Sell</u>. torleyi.

The lectotype is a reasonably well preserved specimen, fractured, but retaining shell material and showing the suture. Coiling is evolute, and the whorl section compressed (WW/WH = 0.56). Early whorls are smooth, and although not sectioned, appear Weak indications of tubercles near the ventro-lateral quadrate. shoulder appear at a diameter of ca 7mm. These rapidly increase in size and spread across the flank to form weak ribs. By a diameter of 38mm the tubercles protrude at the ventral shoulder, such that they double the whorl width; their frequency is approximately 18 per whorl. Growth-lines more widely spaced over the tubercles, are first visible at a diameter of 22 mm, where they are prorsiradiate, weakly S-shaped and form a deep sinus, U-shaped, over the venter. Over the fraction of the body chamber which is missing from the lectotype the tubercles and the ribs must diminish in strength, because at a diameter of 50mm only the lirate growth-lines remain of the ornament. The venter has a shallow depression running along it, and the whorl section is compressed, quadrate, with the flattened flanks converging slightly onto the venter. A small area of wrinkle-layer is preserved at a diameter of 28mm, where, on the venter, there is a series of subparallel anastomosing striae running from side to side, Α fine string-like keel runs along the middle of the venter, suggesting that the body chamber of this individual extended for a further quarter whorl, making it just over a whorl in length.

The suture (Textfig. 5.2E) is visible at a diameter of 27mm and shows the characteristic tongue-shaped lateral lobe, and ventral saddle.

A second, weathered, specimen (RE 551.734.5 A124) from the type locality, adds little to our knowledge of the species. Tubercles appear at a diameter of approximately 14mm and the last septum was formed at a diameter of ca 60mm.

The lectotype (MfN c596) of <u>Sell</u>. <u>spinosa</u> Schmidt, the precise collecting horizon of which is unknown, resembles <u>Sell</u>. <u>torleyi</u> in gross morphology, but there are several minor differences, particularly in the tubercular ornament. Tubercles are developed later, (D = 11), increase in size more slowly, have a lower frequency (ca 12 per whorl), are associated with feeble low ribs at the umbilical shoulder, and have disappeared by a diameter of ca 30mm. The body chamber is preserved through 180⁰, and there are very weak S-shaped plications on the internal mould. Suture and growth-lines are illustrated in Textfig. 5.2D; elements of the suture are somewhat subdued through polishing. The growthlines have a much shallower ventral sinus where there are no ventrolateral tubercles.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype, RE 551.734.5 A254	55.9 37.9	25.3 18	10.4 10	18.7 -
RE 551.734.5 A124	36.5	16.6	8	12.4
MfN c596 (lectotype of <u>Sell</u> . <u>spinosa</u>)	56.1 51	22.7 21.3	12.3 11	20 18.9

Comparisons: <u>Sellaclymenia plana</u> is easily distinguished by its lack of strong sculpture. Species of <u>Gonioclymenia</u> and <u>Kalloclymenia</u> are difficult to distinguish without knowledge of the suture over the venter. However they do possess very pronounced ventro-lateral tubercles early in ontogeny, which extend ventrally rather than laterally, and generally these develop into ribs extending across the middle of the flank (e.g. Pl. 5.4, Figs. 2,3,5).

Remarks: Wedekind included this species within <u>Gonioclymenia</u>, even though he stated (1914) that there may have been a ventral saddle, rather than a ventral lobe. Lange (1929) found a single specimen at the type locality, and recognised the species to belong to <u>Sellaclymenia</u>.

1.43

Horizon and distribution: This species is known only from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of the Sauerland where Wedekind reported it from V_{α} (although there is some ambiguity in his wording, <u>viz</u>. "unter Laevigata-Kalken-V β -"). Lange reported his specimen from V_{α} .

Subfamily Costaclymeniinae Ruzhencev 1957

Type genus: <u>Costaclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1920. Diagnosis: Member of the Costaclymeniidae with a suture (Textfigs. 4.7C,D) consisting of a broad ventral lobe, a broad asymmetric trough-shaped lateral lobe, a very shallow umbilical lobe, centred outside the umbilical seam, and a dorsal lobe.

Included genera:

Endosiphonites	(<u>Costaclymenia</u>)	Schindewolf 1920
Endosiphonites	(Endosiphonites)	Ansted 1838
Mesoclymenia		Bogoslovskiy 1981

Remarks: <u>Trochoclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1923 may belong in this subfamily. One of its species, <u>Troch</u>. <u>wysoqorskii</u> (Frech) has a suture with a ventral saddle, a broad lateral lobe, a shallow umbilical lobe, and a dorsal lobe (Textfig. 4.12C). The other species (<u>Troch</u>. <u>ornata</u> Petter) has a suture which is homeomorphic with <u>Costaclymenia</u>, save that it has a ventral saddle (Textfig. 4.12D). An alternative, and more likely interpretation, is that <u>Trochoclymenia</u> is derived from <u>Platyclymenia</u> by the addition of an umbilical lobe.
Comparisons: <u>End</u>. (<u>Costaclymenia</u>) has ribbed or tubercular ornament, whereas <u>End</u>. (<u>Endosiphonites</u>) is smooth, or nearly so. <u>Mesoclymenia</u> has a pointed symmetric lateral lobe.

Horizon and distribution: The family seems to be largely confined to the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of England, Germany, Poland, USSR, and probably the USA. The earliest species, <u>End</u>. (<u>Cost</u>.) <u>multi-</u> <u>costata</u>, has been reported from the <u>annulata</u> Zone of Kazakhstan (Bogoslovskiy 1981). Thus it can be argued that <u>Endosiphonites</u>, and hence the Gonioclymeniina can be derived from the morphologically similar <u>Stenoclymenia</u> (see Chapter 4).

Subgenus Endosiphonites (Endosiphonites) Ansted 1838

* 1838 Endosiphonites gen. nov. - Ansted, p. 416.

Type species: <u>Endosiphonites muensteri</u> Ansted 1838 proposed herein. Diagnosis: <u>Endosiphonites</u> with shallow ventral lobe, and ornament which is subdued or absent. Prominent ribs are not developed.

Description: This subgenus has weak ornament consisting of small ventro-lateral tubercles and faint plicate ribs, in contrast to the blunt strong ribs and tubercles of <u>End</u>. (<u>Costaclymenia</u>).

Remarks: This generic name was proposed by Ansted (1838) as a replacement for <u>Clymenia</u> Münster 1834, on the grounds that the name better described the distinctive character of the genus, namely the ventrally positioned siphuncle. Ansted described three species as <u>Endosiphonites</u>: <u>End. carinatus</u> (SM H4011) which is a <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> sp., <u>End. minutus</u> (SM H4012), which is a <u>Clymenia</u> sp., and <u>End. muensteri</u> (SM H4010). The last named

was the largest and best preserved of the three specimens, and the one on which Ansted observed the position of the siphuncle. Therefore, it is most appropriate that this species should be formerly designated as the type species and thus legitimize this long ignored genus. <u>End. (End.) enodis</u> lacks all ornament; the holotype (MfN) is illustrated in Pl. 5.2, Figs. 10,11.

Available species names include:

*	muensteri	Ansted	1838,	p.	419,	pl.	VIII,	fig.	1,	South
	e de la seconda de la second	Petherv	vin.					6 - E e .		

? <u>bowsheri</u> Miller and Collinson 1951, p. 601, fig. 1, Hillsboro, New Mexico.

enodis Schindewolf 1926, p. 108, Braunau, Kellerwald.

Horizon and distribution: This subgenus is known from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of south west England, Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Algeria, and possibly from the USA (New Mexico).

Endosiphonites (Endosiphonites) muensteri Ansted 1838 P1. 5.2, Figs. 12,13, Textfig. 5.4A

v*	1838	Endosiphonites	<u>Münsteri</u>	sp.	nov.	- Ansted,	p. 419,
		pl. VIII, figs.	1, 4?	× .			

v 1852 <u>Clymenia Muensteri</u> Ansted - M^cCoy, p. 402, pl. 2A, fig. 12, (refiguring of the holotype).

? 1950 <u>Costaclymenia binodosa</u> Münster - Termier and Termier, p. 77, pl. CLX, figs. 28-10.

v 1960 <u>Costaclymenia muensteri</u> Ansted - Selwood, p. 157, pl. 26, fig. 1, (refiguring of the holotype).

? 1960 <u>Costaclymenia</u> cf. <u>enodis</u> Schindewolf - Petter, p. 12, pl. III, figs. 10,a-c, textfig. 2B, (refiguring of the Termiers' specimen).

Type material: The holotype, SM H4010, from the Petherwin Beds, Launceston, Cornwall, is refigured here (P1. 5.2, Figs. 12,13, Textfig. 5.4A).

Diagnosis: <u>Endosiphonites</u> with faint ribbing.

Description: Only the holotype has been seen. The whorl section is compressed with flattened, converging flanks, and a flatly rounded smooth venter. The early whorls are smooth. Traces of ribs appear by a diameter of ca 20mm and there are approximately 30 weak, concave, prorsiradiate ribs in the whorl prior to a diameter of 45mm. Thereafter the ribs diminish in strength, becoming more closely spaced, radial and S-shaped on the body chamber.

Dimensions:

ана стана стана Стана стана стан	D	U	WW	WH
Holotype, SM H4010	97.8	40.4	15.9	36
P1.5.2,Figs.12,13	73	32.8	11.8	25.7

Remarks: In his text Ansted (1838, p. 419) states, "... as will be clearly seen from the figure, shows the siphuncle very clearly". It is equally clear that Ansted's pl. VIII, fig. 1 does not show the siphuncle. Selwood (1960, p. 158) drew attention to the fact that in M'Coy's figure the holotype was missing several chambers and had been subsequently restored, and was now lacking only one. It is suggested that this chamber, marked by an "a" in Ansted's fig. 1, was removed and figured by Ansted separately (pl. VIII, fig. 4), also marked with an "a", to show the nature and position of the siphuncle.

A specimen from Braunau near Wildungen (MfN, P1. 5.2, Figs. 1,11), figured by Schmidt (1924, p1. 6, figs. 31a) as <u>Costaclymenia</u> <u>wysogorskii</u> (Frech) was subsequently designated the holotype of <u>Costaclymenia enodis</u> (Schindewolf 1937a, p. 25). As the name suggests this species lacks ornament, although Schmidt (1924, p. 130) stated that there were traces of umbilical ribs on middlesized specimens. In either case the ornament is subdued, therefore this species is assigned to the subgenus <u>End</u>. (<u>Endosiphonites</u>). Apart from the ribbing the only apparent difference between this species and <u>End</u>. (<u>End</u>.) <u>muensteri</u> is the whorl cross-sectional shape, although this is difficult to compare since the holotypes are of different sizes. <u>End</u>. (<u>Endosiphonites</u>) <u>enodis</u> has almost parallel whorl flanks and <u>End</u>. (<u>End</u>.) <u>muensteri</u> has converging whorl flanks.

147

A series of weak ribs and a whorl section with converging flanks are visible on the specimen figured by Termier and Termier (1950, pl. CLX, figs. 28-30) and Petter (1960, pl. III, figs. 10a-c), and thus it seems closer to <u>End</u>. (<u>End</u>.) <u>muensteri</u> than to <u>End</u>. (<u>Cost</u>.) <u>binodosa</u> (as Termier and Termier suggested) or <u>End</u>. (<u>End</u>.) <u>enodis</u> (as Petter suggested).

Attention must also be drawn to the resemblance between End. (End.) <u>muensteri</u> and <u>Falciclymenia</u> <u>bowsheri</u> Miller and Collinson (1951, p. 600), I have examined a plaster cast of the holotype of <u>Falc. bowsheri</u> (SM H7366, Pl. 5.33, Figs. 8,9) in the Sedgwick Museum, Cambridge, and I believe that the whorl section as figured by Miller and Collinson (1951, fig. 1) has too acute a venter and that the impressed area is too deep. Also the ventral area is very worn and it is impossible to say whether there was a simple ventral saddle or if it was divided by a median lobe. Consequently this species, which lacks ornament, cannot with certainty be excluded from either <u>Trochoclymenia</u> or <u>End</u>. (<u>Endosiphonites</u>). Further discussion of this species is presented under Gen. Nov. <u>F</u>, below.

Horizon and distribution: End. (End.) muensteri is known from

the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of Cornwall and Algeria, and possibly from New Mexico. USA.

Subgenus Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) Schindewolf 1920

1920 <u>Costaclymenia</u> gen. nov. - Schindewolf, p. 127. 1981 <u>Costaclymenia</u> Schindewolf - Bogoslovskiy, p. 44 (see here for full synonymy).

Type species: <u>Goniatites binodosus</u> Münster 1832, by original designation of Schindewolf (1920, p. 127). Diagnosis: <u>Endosiphonites</u> with flanks possessing a ribbed or strongly tubercular ornament.

Description: Evolute, widely umbilicate, with rectangular compressed whorl section. Strong ribs, following the same course as the slightly prorsiradiate concave growth-lines across the flanks, are sometimes terminated by ventro-lateral and umbilico-lateral tubercles. Early whorls are smooth. Suture (Textfig. 4.7) with shallow rounded ventral lobe, broadly rounded lateral lobe, shallow rounded umbilical lobe outside the umbilical seam, and deep pointed dorsal lobe.

Available species names include:

*	<u>binodosa</u>	Münster 1832, p. 31, pl. IV, figs. 5a-b,
		Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.
	crassa	Schindewolf 1921, p. 122, nom. nud.
	<u>kiliani</u>	Wedekind 1914, p. 61, pl. VI, fig. 4, Dasberg,
		Sauerland.
	multicostata	Bogoslovskiy 1981, p. 47, pl. IV, figs. 3,4,
		textfigs. 6b, 8, R. Kairakty, Karagandinskaya
		Oblasť.

Remarks: <u>Endosiphonites</u> has priority over <u>Costaclymenia</u> and thus becomes the nominate genus. <u>Costaclymenia binodosa</u> has been interpreted by authors (e.g. Schindewolf 1920, 1923a, Lange 1929, Petter 1960) on the basis of Münster's second (1839) and more detailed figure (see <u>End. (Cost.) binodosa</u>, below, for a discussion of Münster's usage of the specific name). This apparent example of deliberate misquotation of the type species of <u>Costaclymenia</u> <u>binodosa</u> could be considered as sufficiently explicit to warrant invoking the relevant part of the <u>Code</u> (1964), Article 70(b) on "Deliberate use of misindentification" which states:

If the type designated for a new nominal genus is a previously established species, but the designator states that he employs its specific name in accordance with the wrong usage of a previous author, the type species is to be interpreted as the one actually before the designator, not the one that correctly bears the name.

The actual statement of Schindewolf (1920, p. 127) was "... dem Genotyp; <u>Costaclymenia binodosa</u> Mstr sp. (<u>Clymenia binodosa</u> Muenster, a. a. 0." (Münster 1843) "S.24 u. 37, Taf. VIa, Fig. 5a,b, Taf. II, Fig. 3a-c."

The only figure Schindewolf gave of the suture (1920, fig. 2a) conforms to Münster's later figure (1839, pl. II, figs. 3a-c) and the intention of the <u>Code</u> would seem to be to legitimize <u>Costaclymenia binodosa</u> Schindewolf 1920, with <u>Clymenia binodosa</u> Münster 1839, pl. II, figs. 5a-c, as its holotype. The precise wording of Art. 70(b), however, defeats this aim because Schindewolf not only used <u>binodosa</u> in the Wrong usage of a previous author" (Münster 1839, pl. II, figs. 5a-c) but also in the correct usage of a previous author (Münster 1832, pl. II, figs. 5a-c). Therefore it is the correct oldest usage of <u>binodosa</u> which is 'valid and relevant.

Horizon and distribution: This subgenus is known from the <u>Platy-</u> <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of Kazakhstan, and the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Oberfranken (W. Germany), the Holy Cross Mountains (Poland), Urals and Kazakhstan (USSR).

Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) binodosa (Münster 1832) P1. 5.2, Fig. 1

1832 Goniatites binodosus sp. nov. - Münster, p. 31, pl. VI, figs. 5a-b. 1834b Goniatites binodosus Münster - Münster, p. 94, pl. V, figs. 6a-b (French translation of Münster 1832) 1839 Clymenia binodosa Münster - Münster, p. 9, pl. XII, non. figs. 3a-c. Clymenia binodosa Münster - Münster, p. 21, pl. VIa, 1843 figs. 5a-c (copy of Münster 1832). 1843 Clymenia binodosa Münster - Münster, p. 37, pl. XII, non. figs. 3a-c (copy of Münster 1839). all subsequent references to binodosa, which are non. listed under Costaclymenia kiliani (Wedekind). 1871 <u>Clymenia binodosa</u> Münster - Tietze, p. 134, pl. XVI, non. figs. 10, (non 11), (see Schindewolf 1937a, p. 26). 1902 <u>Clymenia binodosa</u> Münster - Frech, p. 33, pl. V(IV), non. fig. 3. 1962 Costaclymenia binodosa Münster - Ruzhencev, pl. XXX, non. figs. 2a-b. 1981 Costaclymenia binodosa (Münster) - Bogoslovskiy, p. p. 45, pl. III, fig. 1, pl. IV, figs. 1,2, textfigs. 6a,7.

Type material: A neotype, MfN, from Elbersreuth, Oberfranken, is proposed here.

The holotype, from Schübelhammer, figured by Münster (1832), as a fragment of body chamber, cannot be traced in the BSP. Münster (1839, pl. XII, figs. 3a-c) subsequently figured another specimen which he considered to be conspecific with it, and there are a number of specimens from his collection resembling this later figure in various museums. None of these is regarded to be conspecific with the specimen originally figured, and so a neotype, MfN, labelled as from Elbersreuth (a few kilometres from Schübelhammer, and quite possibly from the same locality), Oberfranken, is proposed.

Diagnosis: <u>Costaclymenia</u> with spinose ventro-lateral and umbilicolateral tubercles, and lacking strong ribs joining them.

Description: Münster's figure of the holotype (1832, pl. VI, figs. 5a-b) shows a fragment of body chamber 35mm in length with a whorl height of 13mm and a whorl width of 12.5mm, increasing to 15.5mm over the tubercles which develop on the ventro-lateral shoulder. These are joined by a rib to less pronounced tubercles on the umbilico-lateral shoulder. On the original figure the ribs are shown ambiguously as prorsiradiate and rursiradiate, and with the umbilico-lateral tubercles joined by them to either one or two ventro-lateral tubercles.

A description of the species, conforming with Münster's first figure, is based solely on the neotype, MfN, (P1. 5.2, Fig. 1). This has ventro-lateral tubercles which are pronounced, being almost spinose, low rectiradiate ribs, numbering 15 in the half whorl prior to a diameter of 60mm, and a <u>Costaclymenia</u>-type suture visible on the flank. Most of the phragmocone of the neotype is missing, so the nature of the inner whorls is unknown.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WH
Neotype, MfN P1.5.2.Fig.1.	60	31.5	16.2

Remarks: A neotype must be designated for this widely used species name because it has been subject to various interpretations, none of which have as their basis Münster's original figure, and no

specimen from the type series has been traced. Münster (1839, pl. XII, figs. 3a-c) figured another specimen without ventro-lateral tubercles on the body chamber, which he believed was conspecific with his earlier figure. This is considered to be incorrect, and specimens resembling this figure are placed in the species <u>End</u>. (<u>Cost.</u>) <u>kiliani</u> (Wedekind).

Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) binodosa is the type species of the subgenus Costaclymenia Schindewolf. However, Schindewolf and later authors have interpreted this species, and thus the genus, on the basis of Münster's 1839 figure (see above).

The course of the suture over the venter cannot be seen on the neotype, therefore Schindewolf's definition of the suture of <u>Costaclymenia</u> is questionable. <u>Trochoclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1926, has a similar suture over the flank, but is distinguished by the presence of a ventral saddle. If <u>End</u>. (<u>Cost</u>.) <u>binodosa</u> is found to have a ventral saddle then <u>Trochoclymenia</u> would become a subjective synonym of <u>Costaclymenia</u>.

Bogoslovskiy has recently (1981) described <u>Cost</u>. <u>binodosa</u> using 20 examples from the southern Urals. In the synonymy he interprets the specific name broadly to include <u>Cost</u>. (<u>Cost</u>.) <u>kiliani</u>. Three of the examples he illustrated show ornament like the neotype, with tubercles on the flank margins, lacking strong ribs running between them, but the other large specimen (D=190), apart from having no ornament on the last whorl, has strong ribs at smaller diameters, more like <u>End</u>. (<u>Cost</u>,) <u>kiliani</u>.

The highly ribbed specimen which Bogoslovskiy (1962) assigned to <u>Cost</u>. <u>binodosa</u>, has recently (Bogoslovskiy 1981), been referred to a different species, <u>Cost</u>. <u>multicostata</u>.

Horizon and distribution: This species is known from the lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of Oberfranken, the southern Urals and Iran (<u>fide</u> Bogoslovskiy 1981).

Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) kiliani (Wedekind 1914) P1. 5.1, Fig. 11, P1. 5.2, Figs. 2-9, Textfigs. 5.4B-F

v.	1839	<u>Clymenia binodosa</u> Münster - Münster, p. 9, pl. XII,
		figs. 3a-c.
v.	1843	<u>Clymenia binodosa</u> Münster - Münster, p. 37, pl. XII,
		figs. 3a-c (copy of Münster 1839).
v.	1863	<u>Clymenia binodosa</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 135, pl. XIX,
		figs. la-e.
*.	1914	<u>Gonioclymenia Kiliani</u> sp. nov Wedekind, p. 61,
		pl. 6, fig. 4.
•	192 3 a	Costaclymenia binodosa Münster - Schindewolf, p. 483.
	1929	Costaclymenia binodosa Münster - Lange, p. 73, pl. 2,
		fig. 17.
p.	1981	<u>Costaclymenia binodosa</u> Münster - Bogoslovskiy, p. 45,
		pl. III, fig. 2.

Type material: The lectotype (proposed as the specimen figured by Wedekind 1914, pl. 6, fig. 4) collected by Wedekind from Dasberg, Sauerland, cannot be traced at the GPI Göttingen (Jahnke, pers. comm.), and is believed to be lost.

The holotype is poorly known and was placed originally in the genus <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Wedekind 1914, p. 61). However, Wedekind did not observe a suture, and the growth-lines on the figured specimen are concave, unlike the S-shaped growth-lines of <u>Gonioclymenia</u>. Lange (1929, p. 73) believed that the species was conspecific with <u>Costaclymenia binodosa</u>.

Diagnosis: <u>Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia</u>) with ornament consisting of smooth inner whorls. Later tubercles develop on the ventrolateral shoulder, which are replaced in mature examples by concave ribs.

Description: Four specimens were considered: Wedekind's figure, BSP AS VII 587 (Pl. 5.2, Figs. 8,9), 590 (Pl. 5.2, Figs. 3,6,7), SM H10385 (Pl. 5.2, Figs. 4,5). The inner whorls, visible on BSP AS VII 587 and SM H10385, are smooth with a rounded crosssection. Ventro-lateral tubercles are developed on BSP AS VII 587 by a diameter of ca 15mm, and on this specimen and SM H10385, gradually extend across the flanks to become concave, and then radial ribs, as the diameter increases and the whorl section becomes more quadrate and compressed. The ribs diminish in strength on the body chamber, and protrude less from the ventrolateral shoulder on BSP AS VII 587, yet remain strongly developed on SM H10385. The partial sutural ontogeny is illustrated in Textfigs. 5.4D-F, from SM H10385.

Dimensions:

		,		
D	U	WW	WH	R
ca52	27		12.5	30 (
48.9 35.2	24.9 ⁻ 18.6	v.ca8 6.9	13.4 9.5	• · · ·
60.8 47.8	29.4 23.3	11 8.8	19 14.7	ca3 5
		13 7.6 5 ca2	18 9.5 3.2 ca2.5	38 20 11 3.3
	D ca52 48.9 35.2 60.8 47.8	D U ca52 27 48.9 24.9 35.2 18.6 60.8 29.4 47.8 23.3	$\begin{array}{cccc} D & U & WW \\ ca52 & 27 & - \\ & & & \\$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} D & U & WW & WH \\ ca52 & 27 & - & 12.5 \\ \hline 48.9 & 24.9 & v.ca8 & 13.4 \\ 35.2 & 18.6 & 6.9 & 9.5 \\ 60.8 & 29.4 & 11 & 19 \\ 47.8 & 23.3 & 8.8 & 14.7 \\ \hline 13 & 18 \\ 7.6 & 9.5 \\ 5 & 3.2 \\ ca2 & ca2.5 \\ \end{array}$

Remarks: The three species of End. (Costaclymenia) can be distinguished by their ribbing. End. (Cost.) multicostata has dense, weakly concave, prorsiradiate ribs. End. (Cost.) binodosa has long been interpreted as having straight or concave ribs and lacking pronounced tubercles, exemplified by Münster's 1839 figure. However, since strong ventro-lateral and umbilicolateral tubercles are visible on Münster's earlier (1832) figure, this is the way in which End. (Costaclymenia) binodosa has been redefined here. <u>Gonioclymenia kiliani</u> Wedekind was recognised by Lange (1929) to be a <u>Costaclymenia</u>, and this is the only avaiable name for <u>End.(Costaclymenia</u>) binodosa <u>sensu</u> Münster 1839 and later authors. It is unfortunate that Wedekind's figured

specimen has been mislaid, but his photograph of it is adequate enough for interpretation.

Brügge (1973) has recently studied a carefully collected sequence across the <u>Platyclymenia/Clymenia</u> Stufe boundary at Alte Heerstrasse near Schleiz, Thuringia. Here he recorded the incoming of <u>Bispathodus</u> costatus costatus (Branson 1934) (the index for the base of the costatus Zone) in Bed 35, occurring with species of <u>Platyclymenia</u>. Above this in Beds 28, 27, 26, 24, and 16 he recorded Costaclymenia binodosa. Of the two specimens he illustrated (MfN c429.6, Bed 26, p1. 1, fig. 5; c429.7, Bed 16, pl. 2, fig. 1) only the latter (Pl. 5.1, Fig. 11) seems to belong to this species. The former (P1. 5.1, Figs. 9,10) is too small to be identified with certainty, but may be End. (Cost,) binodosa Both have smooth inner whorls and later develop spinose S.S. ventro-lateral tubercles and then a ribbed ornament. From the same beds Brügge recognised species of Kosmoclymenia and Clymenia, which are a confirmation of the position of this fauna in the Clymenia Stufe (but not the earliest Clymenia Stufe).

Horizon and distribution: The species is known from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Oberfranken, southern Urals and Kazakhstan. Lange (1929, p. 26) made this species the index for his lowest <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe zone, V α , but Wedekind stated that the type came from the upper <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, V β , of Dasberg.

Family Biloclymeniidae Bogoslovskiy 1955

Type genus: <u>Biloclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1921

Diagnosis: Sellaclymeniaceae with subinvolute coiling, rounded venter lacking ornament, and with a suture ranging in complexity from comprising ventral, lateral and dorsal lobes, to subdivided ventral lobe, lateral umbilical and dorsal lobes.

Two subfamilies are recognised Pachyclymeniinae (proposed here) and Biloclymeniinae, the latter having a subdivided ventral lobe.

Subfamily Pachyclymeniinae nov.

Type genus: <u>Pachyclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1937a. Diagnosis: Biloclymeniidae with simple suture consisting of ventral, lateral and dorsal lobes (<u>Uraloclymenia</u>), or an additional lobe (<u>Pachyclymenia</u>) (Textfig. 4.8I,J).

Included genera:

<u>Uraloclymenia</u> Bogoslovskiy 1977 <u>Pachyclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1937a

Remarks: No species are described here.

Horizon: Examples are known from the <u>annulata</u> Zone and the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. <u>Uraloclymenia</u> is known from the Urals and Kazakhstan (USSR) and <u>Pachyclymenia</u> from the Kellerwald (W. Germany), and from the southern Urals (USSR).

Subfamily Biloclymeniinae Bogoslovskiy 1955

Type genus: <u>Biloclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1921. Diagnosis: Biloclymeniidae with subdivided ventral lobe. Included genera:

<u>Biloclymenia</u>	Schindewolf 1921				
Gen. Nov. <u>C</u>	proposed here				
<u>Riphaeoclymenia</u>	Bogoslovskiy 1981				

Remarks: <u>Biloclymenia</u> is not well known, and <u>Kiaclymenia</u> Bogoslovskiy 1955 is considered to be a junior subjective synonym of it (see below). Gen. Nov. <u>C</u>, which has an extra umbilical lobe, is proposed as a replacement name for <u>Biloclymenia sensu</u> Bogoslovskiy, Schindewolf 1937a, non Schindewolf 1921, 1923. <u>Riphaeoclymenia</u> described from only one specimen, appears simply to be an evolute <u>Biloclymenia</u>, and thus may deserve only subgeneric rank.

Horizon and distribution: Representatives of the subfamily (<u>Biloclymenia</u>, Gen. Nov. <u>C</u>) are known from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Oberfranken (W. Germany), Thuringia (E. Germany), Urals, Kazakhstan (USSR), North Africa, Carnic Alps (Austria-Italy) and Cantabrian Mountains (Spain). <u>Riphaeoclymenia</u> was recorded from the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe of the southern Urals.

Genus Biloclymenia Schindewolf 1921

Type species: <u>Clymenia laevis</u> Richter 1848, by original designation (monotypy) of Schindewolf (1921, p. 167). Diagnosis: Member of the Biloclymeniidae with an external suture consisting of a broad ventral saddle with a shallow ventro-lateral lobe on either side, and a lateral lobe (Textfig. 4.8C).

Description: Shell subinvolute with rounded flanks and venter. Growth-lines are S-shaped over the flanks and there is a sinus

over the venter. The internal suture consists of an umbilical lobe and a dorsal lobe.

Saalfeld, Thuringia.

	Saalfeld	d, Thu	ring	gia.			. 7	
* <u>laevis</u>	Richter	1848,	p.	30,	p1.	III,	figs.	81,2,
Included species:	1 - 18 - ¹	• •			-	, ÷ .		

<u>adversa</u>

?<u>bilobata</u>

Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. <u>semiplicata</u> Bogoslovskiy 1981, p. 63, pl. VI, fig. 10, textfig. 18c, Kiya River, Aktyubinsk Oblast', s. Urals. <u>sinuata</u> Richter 1848, p. 30, pl. III, figs. 79,80, Saalfeld, Thuringia. <u>uralica</u> Bogoslovskiy 1955, p. 136, figs. 1a-d, Kiya River, Aktyubinsk Oblast', s. Urals.

Richter 1848, p. 29, pl. III, figs. 75,6,

Münster 1839, p. 11, pl. II, figs. 6a-c,

Remarks: Schindewolf considered that he had established this genus in 1923 (Schindewolf 1923a, b) with type species C1. bilobata Münster, which he diagnosed (1923b, fig. 4d) as having a suture with the same elements as Biloclymenia laevis Richter, (see Textfig. 4.8D). Schmidt (1923) reported briefly on the goniatites and clymeniids described by Richter (1848), and suggested that <u>C1. laevis</u> was synonymous with <u>Cymaclymenia</u> obesa (Richter). In 1924 Schindewolf published a description of the ammonoid faunas of the Famennian of the Saalfeld region, whence Richter had derived his material. Here he stated that <u>Biloclymenia</u> <u>laevis</u> and bilobata could be distinguished from one another by their whor1 cross-sectional shape: <u>Bi1</u>. <u>laevis</u> was compressed with whorl height greater than whorl width, and Bil. bilobata was depressed with whorl height equal to whorl width. In the original description of <u>C1</u>. <u>laevis</u>, however, Richter (1848, p. 30) states that "D = 1", (i.e. the ratio of whorl height to whorl width was equal to one). Too much attention should not be paid to this,

except to point the apparent contradiction, since fossils from Saalfeld are strongly deformed (e.g. Richter 1848, pl. IV), and thus extremely difficult to interpret.

Later, Schindewolf (1937a) modified his ideas still further and using material from Beil (Balve) and Enkeberg, redefined <u>Biloclymenia</u> by its suture. The dimensions of the specimen or specimens on which the figures were based were not stated, nor can the relevant material be traced, but a mature suture (fig. 10) was shown consisting of a shallow secondary ventral lobe and ventrolateral lobe, a lateral lobe, two umbilical lobes and a dorsal lobe (Textfig. 4.8B, 5.2I). Schindewolf assumed that this represented the adult suture of <u>Biloclymenia</u>, modified by the formation of an extra lobe at the umbilicus, and modification of the low ventral saddle by the addition of a secondary ventral lobe. He made no attempt here to discriminate between species of <u>Biloclymenia</u>, all figures were assigned to <u>Bil. bilobata</u>.

This interpretation of the ontogeny of the suture of <u>Bilo-</u> <u>clymenia</u> was contradicted by Bogoslovskiy (1955) who interpreted <u>Bil. bilobata</u> on the basis of Schindewolf's figure of the mature suture (1937a, fig. 10) and established a new monospecific genus, <u>Kiaclymenia</u>, for specimens with a suture similar to <u>Biloclymenia</u> <u>sensu</u> Schindewolf, 1923b (Textfig. 4.8C).

A satisfactory solution to this problem can as ever, only be found by looking at the original statements and figures. Firstly the establishment of <u>Biloclymenia</u> Schindewolf dates, not from the time of definition (1923) but from the time of first usage. This was in a faunal list (Schindewolf 1921, p. 167) and <u>laevis</u> Richter was the only included species. The justification for this decision can be found in the <u>Code</u>, Articles 12 and 16 (a)(v) which state (12) that "... a name published before 1931 must have been accompanied by a description, definition or indication (Art. 16)",

and (16(a)(v)), "The word 'indication' includes 'the citation, in combination with a new genus group name, of one or more available specific names.'".

When interpreted this way the type species of <u>Biloclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1921 remains poorly known. Richter's illustration (1848, pl. III, figs. 81,2) and original material are poor, and <u>C1</u>. <u>laevis</u> Richter may itself be a junior subjective synonym of <u>C1</u>. <u>adversa</u> or <u>C1</u>. <u>sinuata</u>, which were described earlier in the same text. Dr. D. Weyer (Magdeburg) has informed me that he has collected <u>Biloclymenia</u> from the type-area of Bohlen, seen Richter's material in the Zentrales Geologisches Institut (Bernau, outside Berlin) and that a revision is in progress.

<u>Biloclymenia bilobata</u> is considered in greater detail below. Briefly Münster's figure (1839, pl. II, figs. 6a-c) shows an external suture consisting of a broad, deep ventral lobe, a lateral lobe and an umbilical lobe, and thus it resembles neither <u>Bilo-Clymenia</u> s.s. nor <u>Biloclymenia sensu</u> Schindewolf 1937. Schindewolf seems to have based his later interpretation of <u>Bil. bilobata</u> on Gümbel's figure (1863, pl. XIX, figs. 4) which he had claimed was Münster's original.

If we accept Bogoslovskiy's evidence that his suture drawing of <u>Kiaclymenia</u> (<u>Biloclymenia</u> s.s.) is based on a mature example, which is likely (WW = 13.6, WH = 13.7), then <u>Kiaclymenia</u> becomes a subjective synonym of <u>Biloclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1921 and <u>Bilo</u>-<u>Clymenia sensu</u> Schindewolf 1937, fig. 10, with its additional lobes may deserve an independent generic name, since it is also based on a mature specimen, and is referred to here as Gen. Nov. <u>C</u>.

Horizon and distribution: The genus is known from the Sauerland, Oberfranken (W. Germany), Saalfeld (E. Germany), Carnic Alps Austria-Italy), Cantabrian Mountains (Spain) and the southern Urals (USSR).

<u>Biloclymenia</u> <u>bilobata</u> (Münster 1839)

Pl. 5.11, Figs. 2,5,6, Textfigs. 5.2F-H

- * 1839 <u>Clymenia bilobata</u> sp. nov. Münster, p. 11, pl. II, figs. 6a-c.
 - 1843 <u>Clymenia bilobata</u> Münster Münster, p. 39, pl. II, figs. 6a-c.
- non 1863 <u>Clymenia bilobata</u> Münster Gümbel, p. 147, pl. XIX, figs. 4,5.

Type material: Two syntypes, BSP AS VII 524 and 525, have been traced but since these are both juveniles neither has been proposed as the lectotype. No new material has been collected and so the species is currently regarded as a <u>nomen dubium</u>. Diagnosis: <u>Biloclymenia</u>, shown with compressed whorl section in Münster's figure.

Description: The two specimens from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, housed in the BSP are used in this description.

The two syntypes are small (dimensions below). One (BSP AS VII 525) has been broken and polished to show the suture (Textfig. 5.2F), the other (BSP AS VII 524) shows no suture, and therefore may not belong to this genus. This second specimen (P1. 5.11, Figs. 2,5,6, Textfig. 5.2G) however, has written upon it "C ii 6", which is taken as a reference to Münster's figure, and on this evidence it is regarded as a syntype. This figure (1839, pl. II, figs. 6a-c) shows a subinvolute ammonoid (dimensions below) with a compressed whorl section, and a broad rounded venter. The description (p. 11) made no reference to ornament and none is visible in the figure. The external suture (fig. 6c) comprised two lateral lobes and a broad lobe over the venter.

A cross-section of BSP AS VII 525 is illustrated here (Textfig. 5.2H) along with the suture. Its course over the umbilicus is not clear since the wall has been broken away. The other

remaining syntype BSP AS VII 524, is illustrated in P1. 5.11, figs. 2,5,6, but the photographs reveal little apart from the gross morphology, a ventral keel (part of the wrinkle-layer), weak umbilical ribs, growth-lines which are S-shaped, and swing forwards strongly over the ventro-lateral shoulder.

Dimensions: see <u>Biloclymenia</u> sp. below.

2 All Alexander Alexander a

Remarks: This species is no longer regarded as the type of <u>Bilo-</u> <u>clymenia</u>. No other material from the type area has been traced, either in museums or by recent collecting, and so instead of resurrecting this species by choosing a neotype, it will be allowed to fall into disuse, and be regarded as a <u>nomen dubium</u>, since in the absence of suitable type material it can never be accurately defined. The dimensions of the specimens figured by Münster and Richter as <u>Cl. bilobata</u> and <u>Cl. laevis</u> seem similar, but these are taken from the original drawings and are not considered sufficient to place the two species in synonymy.

Horizon and distribution: All known specimens were collected from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, and are presumed to be from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe.

<u>Biloclymenia</u> sp. <u>a</u>

P1. 5.11, Figs. 7-10, Textfigs. 5.2J-L

Material: Three specimens from the Sauerland, two of which are housed in the MfN (one being figured by Schindewolf 1937a, pl. 1, fig. 10), and another, KW 2091, from Kasberg, Langenholthausen (Balve) in the collection of Dr. K. Wunderlich.

Description: The three specimens are illustrated in P1. 5.11 (Figs. 7-10). The best preserved is KW 2091 (Figs. 7-10).

The subinvolute coiling and rounded cross-sectional shape can be see in Textfig. 5.2L. Growth-lines are not preserved on the dorsad part of the flanks, but are probably biconvex. There is a salient over the ventro-lateral shoulder and a broad sinus over the venter (Fig. 7), where there are shallow grooves, parallel with the growth-lines. Such grooves are also visible near the umbilicolateral shoulder (Fig. 10). Also visible on Fig. 10 are small tubular structures which are the tests of cemented arenaceous The wrinkle-layer, a series of radial, slightly Foraminifera. sinuous ridges appears to cover the attached Foraminifera, as it does also a part of the cemented pedicle valve of a disciniscid brachiopod. This suggests that both the Foraminifera and the brachiopod attached themselves during the life of the ammonoid, and were subsequently sealed in when the next whorl of the ammonoid reached that point.

The body chamber was at least 360° in length. The external suture (Fig. 9, Textfig. 5.2J,K) consists of a lateral lobe and an umbilical lobe only, and there is no subdivision of the broad ventral saddle, even at a whorl height of 20mm.

Figure 1 is of a specimen from Beil which shows growth-lines which are concavo-convex rather than biconvex, and has faint plicate ribs on the flanks near the umbilical shoulder. The specimen in Figs. 3 and 4 is illustrated simply to show the morphology of the specimen which was sectioned by Schindewolf (1937a, pl. 1, fig. 10) to show the nature of the siphuncle. This has a diameter which varies between 15 and 25% of the whorl height, between diameters of 15 and 27mm. The septal necks run into one another to form a continuous tube.

	D	U	WW	WH	AH
2091	69 45.4 32.5 15.9 7.6	14.7 8.9 6.5 3.1 1.2	20.2 18.5 12.4 7.1 3.7	31 20.0 15.6 7.4 3.5	19.6 13.0 9.9 5.0 2.5
<u>bilobata</u> <u>sensu</u> <u>auCtt.</u> MfN = Schindewolf 1937a, fig.10.	34.5 26.2	. 6 .1 5.0	6.9 6.1	17.3 13	10.3
Münster 1839, pl.II fig.6.	26.3	6.0	10.5	13	8.5
Gümbel 1863, pl.XIX fig.4	25.2	7.5	11	12	7
Richter 1848, pl.III fig.81.	20	4	8.5	8.5	
BSP AS VII 524	11.1	3.8	5.5	4.35	
BSP AS VII 525			4	3.5	

Remarks: The conclusions of the discussion of <u>Biloclymenia</u> so far have been its diagnosis without further comment on the type species, for lack of information, and an inconclusive description of <u>Bil. bilobata</u>. This suggests that the genus is only poorly known, yet this would be a false impression since authors have provided good descriptions and illustrations (Petter 1960, Kullmann 1960, Bogoslovskiy 1981).

Horizon and distribution: The age of these specimens cannot be stated with certainty. Only the lower part of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe has been proved at Beil, and the specimen from Langenholthausen was found in association with <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> and is considered to be from the middle <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. There is no evidence of the age of the specimen from Enkeberg.

Family Wocklumeriidae Schindewolf 1937

Type genus: <u>Wocklumeria</u> Wedekind 1917

Diagnosis: Sellaclymeniaceae with constricted globose shell form and triangular coiling in early whorls, at least. Suture comprising ventral, lateral, three umbilical and dorsal lobes.

Included genera:

Wocklumeria	Wedekind 1917
<u>Epiwocklumeria</u>	Schindewolf 1937a
Synwocklumeria	Librovich 1957

Remarks: It is not apparent to me how Bogoslovskiy (1981) distinguishes <u>Epiwocklumeria</u> and <u>Synwocklumeria</u>. They appear to be homeomorphic yet he suggests that their similar sutures are derived in different ways, i.e. <u>Epiwocklumeria</u> "VUU¹:U¹ID", <u>Synwocklumeria</u> "VUU¹:U²ID" (Textfig. 4.8E,F), but he provided no sutural ontogenies to substantiate his opinion.

Horizon and distribution: This distinctive family is known only from the <u>sphaeroides</u> Subzone of England, Brittany, Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Thuringia, Poland, Carnic Alps (Austria-Italy), Montagne Noire, Urals, Caucasus (USSR) and North Africa.

Superfamily Parawocklumeriaceae Schindewolf 1937

Family Parawocklumeriidae Schindewolf 1937

Diagnosis: Gonioclymeniina in which ventral and dorsal lobes either become divided during ontogeny, or replaced by saddles.

One family is recognised, Parawocklumeriidae, containing three genera:

<u>Parawocklumeria</u>	Schindewolf	1926
<u>Kamptoclymenia</u>	Schindewolf	1937a
<u>Triaclymenia</u>	Schindew01f	1 937a

Remarks: The typical globose, triangular shell form of this family resembles that of Wocklumeriidae, which can be found in the same stratigraphic horizons. Fundamental differences in sutural pattern distinguish these homeomorphic groups (see Chapter 4). No examples are described here.

Horizon and distribution: Representatives are widely known from the <u>paradoxa</u> Zone of England, Brittany, Germany, Poland, Urals, Carnic Alps, Montagne Noire, and south western China.

1 8 6

Superfamily Gonioclymeniaceae Hyatt 1884

Only one family is recognised, the Gonioclymeniidae.

Family Gonioclymeniidae Hyatt 1884

Diagnosis: Gonioclymeniina with suture comprising ventral, adventive, lateral, umbilical and internal lobes, which elaborate by addition of further adventive and umbilical lobes. Shell form is discoidal, coiling evolute, with compressed whorl section.

Two subfamilies are recognised: Gonioclymeniinae and Sphenoclymeniinae.

Horizon and distribution: Representatives are known from the <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen of England, Germany, Poland, Urals, Caucasus (USSR), North Africa, Carnic Alps and Montagne Noire (France).

Subfamily Gonioclymeniinae Hyatt 1884

Type genus: <u>Gonioclymenia</u> Hyatt 1884. Diagnosis: Gonioclymeniidae with stable sutural composition comprising ventral, adventitious (= ventro-lateral), lateral, umbilical and dorsal lobes.

Description: Coiling is evolute, shell form discoidal and whorl section compressed. Growth-lines are S-shaped with a ventral sinus. Ribs are common and tubercles or spines are developed in some cases. Septa are concave in sagittal-section, and septal necks long and contiguous.

Included genera:

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) Hyatt 1884 <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) Wedekind 1914 <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>A</u>) proposed here <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) proposed here

Remarks: <u>Otoclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1923a is regarded as a synonym of <u>Kalloclymenia</u> Wedekind 1914. Two new subgenera are proposed here and are discussed below.

Münster described many species which can now be recognised as belonging to the Gonioclymeniinae. Many were distinguished by trivial differences in sutural shape or ribbing. Unfortunately they were poorly illustrated and good type material is lacking, so that most of these names must be regarded as <u>nomina dubia</u>.

All of the available Münster species names are listed below, and, where possible they are assigned to a subgenus:

arquatus,	? Münster 1839, p. 27, pl. XVIII, fig. 4;
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	later (1840, p. 110) as <u>arcuatus</u> , Schübel-
	hammer, Oberfranken.
angustus,	? Subgen. Nov. <u>A</u> . Münster 1839, p. 28,
	Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.
<u>bucklandii</u> ,	? Münster 1839, p. 26, pl. XVIII, fig. 5,
	Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.
<u>canalifer</u> ,	Gonioclymenia. Münster 1839, p. 26, pl. XVIII,
	fig. 2, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.
<u>clymeniaeformis</u> ,	? Subgen. Nov. <u>A</u> . Münster 1839, p. 24, pl.
	XVII, figs. 4a-c, Gattendorf, Oberfranken.
<u>cottai</u> ,	<u>Gonioclymenia</u> . Münster 1839, p. 25, Schübel-
	hammer, Oberfranken.
<u>preslii</u> ,	<u>Gonioclymenia</u> . Münster 1839, p. 24, pl. XVII,
	figs. 3a-c, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.
roemeri,	Gonioclymenia. Münster 1839, p. 27, pl. XVIII,
	fig. 3, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.
speciosa,	<u>Gonioclymenia</u> . Münster 1831a, p. 177,
· · ·	Heinersreuth (= Schübelhammer ?) Oberfranken.

<u>subarmata</u>, <u>Kalloclymenia</u>. Münster 1832, p. 28, pl. VI, figs. 2a-c, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. <u>Subcarinata</u>, <u>Gonioclymenia</u>. Münster 1839, p. 25, pl. XVIII, figs. la-c, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. <u>Spuria</u>, <u>Gonioclymenia</u>. Münster 1832, p. 30, Elbersreuth and Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Because some of these names cannot be assigned to particular genera they will all be discussed here. Gonioclymenia speciosa, Gon. subcarinata and Kall. subarmata are the only Münster names to have achieved universal usage in the literature. Frech (1902, p. 39) used Gon. plana Münster and listed Gon. subcarinata, preslii and <u>canalifer</u> as being synonymous with it, basing his views on material in the Museum für Naturkunde in Berlin. Wedekind reexamined the Berlin material and gave a description (1914, p. 62) of Gon. plana which agreed with Münster's description and he made Gon. plana sensu Frech a synonym of either Gon. speciosa or subcarinata. Schmidt (1921) later used the name Gon. plana but this species belongs to the genus Sellaclymenia, which was pointed out by Schindewolf (1923a). Schmidt also used the names Gon. cottai and Gon. interrupta (1921, p. 332,333). He regarded Gonioclymenia cottai to be a variety of speciosa, and he distinguished it from other species of Gonioclymenia by its flattened sides, deeper ventral groove and closely packed sickle-shaped ribs, numbering 36 in the whorl prior to a diameter of 25mm. Adding to this description (1924, p. 139) he said that the variety had sickle-shaped growth-lines with the convex part being more forwardly curved than in the normal type. Münster had described (1839, p. 25) the species as similar in size and suture to \underline{G} . preslii, but with more closely spaced ribs. The description of G. preslii was based on an example two inches in diameter (1839. p. 24). Therefore the small specimen (BSP) which was figured by

Gümbel as Münster's original (1863, pl. XIX, figs. 8a-d) is clearly not Münster's type specimen, and since Münster did not figure an example the name cannot with certainty ever be assigned to a particular specific description. Furthermore Gümbel's figure (1863, pl. XIX, figs. 8a-d) of this specimen shows the ribs to be more densely spaced than they actually are (see Pl. 5.4, Fig. 3).

Schmidt's use of the name Gon. interrupta is also of questionable value. No specimens collected by Münster can be examined today, nor did Schmidt state that his usage of the name was based on an examination of any type material. Münster's description and figure of C1. interrupta (1842, p. 126, pl. XII, figs. 3a-b) show a flatly discoidal ammonoid with falcate growth-lines, every fifth or sixth one being raised to form a rib, of which there are 11 - 12 in early whorls, but ca 20 in the whorl preceding a diameter of 40mm. The ribs and growth-lines form a sinus over the venter which is bounded by a pair of longitudinal grooves, similar to those at present in the species Kosmoclymenia bisulcata (Münster). This latter feature precludes the species, sensu Münster, from being a Gonioclymenia since it has no median ventral groove. Unfortunately Münster did not figure a suture and even though his figure is relatively detailed it is not possible at present to equate this with a particular species, nor even assign it to a Schmidt distinguished his species interrupta on the basis genus. of spines developed on the ventro-lateral shoulder, present up to a diameter of at least 25mm. I have been unable to trace Schmidt's specimen in Berlin but it is likely that it is related to Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u> brevispina (Lange). Czarnocki (in Miller and Zwierz, 1970) also used the name Kall. interrupta presumably in the same sense as Schmidt, as did Bogoslovskiy (1981).

A digression is necessary here to discuss the names introduced by Czarnocki, who between 1909 and the Second World War published a series of papers (see Rühle 1972, p. 120-1) on the stratigraphy and the palaeontology of the Devonian and Carboniferous of the Holy Cross Mountains of Poland. He prepared, but never published, a monograph on the ammonoid faunas of the Upper Famennian in which he intended to introduce at least 16 new generic and 131 new specific names. His museum labels appear to have been used in a catalogue of the Palaeozoic fossils deposited in the Geological Institute in Warsaw (Miller and Zwierz 1970). These names were subsequently given wider publication in a catalogue of fossils forming part of the series, <u>Geology of Poland</u> (Rühle 1972). Thus all of Czarnocki's taxonomic names were introduced to the literature without description, and are <u>nomina</u> <u>nuda</u>.

There are remaining in Munich, Berlin and Cambridge examples of the species <u>G</u>. <u>arquatus</u> (1839, p. 27) and <u>G</u>. <u>canalifer</u> (1839, p. 24, pl. XVII, figs. 3a-c). None can be well described because of the state of the preservation of the material. Münster distinguished his species <u>G</u>. <u>arquatus</u> by its suture, which had angular lobes and saddles, with the exception of the ventral lobe which was shallow. An unnumbered specimen in Munich which is labelled as <u>G</u>. <u>arquatus</u> has a suture like <u>Sphenoclymenia</u> <u>maxima</u> and therefore cannot be the specimen Münster figured. Münster's description of the species is unlike that of any other <u>Gonioclymenia</u>. They all have deep, pointed ventral lobes, unless there is material missing from the venter, (which is a possible explanation in this case) although <u>Kall</u>. <u>subarmata</u> has a wide ventral lobe (Textfig. 5.9A). Schmidt (1921, p. 332, textfig. 7c) figured the suture of <u>Kall</u>. <u>biimpressa</u> (von Buch), which had

similar proportions, but he later (1924, p. 139) changed this identification to <u>Kall</u>. <u>subarmata</u> (Münster).

Three extant specimens labelled as <u>G</u>. <u>canalifer</u> in Berlin (unnumbered), Munich (unnumbered) and Cambridge (SM H10408), although well preserved, are similarly of little diagnostic use. Münster's description (1839, p. 26) was based on a large example. This is shown by the size of the suture that he figured (1839, pl. XVIII, fig. 2). He distinguished the species by its straight, prorsiradiate ribs and its grooved venter.

There is one unnumbered specimen of the species G. preslii (Münster 1839, p. 24, pl. XVIII, figs. 3a-c) remaining in Munich. This is highly weathered and bears little resemblance to Münster's figure, which showed a compressed high whorl section and straight radial ribs. Frech figured an apertural view of a specimen from Berlin under the name Gon. plana (Münster), but identified it as an original of G. preslii Münster (1902, p. 39, pl. II(I), fig. 4b, textfig. 6b_{1_4} (p. 38)). Gümbel's figure of Münster's original differs from Münster's figure by having falcate, not straight ribs, at a diameter of 35mm, and a whorl cross-section which is more depressed with converging flanks and a deep ventral groove, in contrast to the compressed whorl section with parallel flanks Münster's and shallow ventral groove in the original figure. figure of G. preslii (pl. XVIII, fig. 3c) has a suture with rounded lobes and saddles, which shows it to be an immature form. Frech's figure of the suture (?1897, p. 177k, textfig. $6b_{1_4}$, and 1902, supra cit.) from a larger specimen, is also of little use in more accurately diagnosing the species.

Münster described a species <u>G</u>. <u>spurius</u> (1832, p. 30) which he did not figure. This, he said, had the shell shape of <u>G</u>. <u>speciosus</u>, the suture of <u>G</u>. <u>subarmatus</u> and the ornament of <u>G</u>.

<u>planus</u>. One specimen exists in the Sedgwick Museum, Cambridge, but this (SM H10408) is badly weathered and cannot be the basis for a detailed description, save to say that it is a species of <u>Gonioclymenia</u>. Münster's description is closest to <u>Gonioclymenia</u> <u>subcostulata</u> Petter.

There were other species, which, from Münster's scant descriptions, appear to be gonioclymeniids. They were distinguished by him on the basis of their suture, a characteristic which shows more variability within a specimen during its growth, than between species at similar dimensions. These distinctions are therefore largely invalid. Two species are not represented by existing specimens: <u>G. Bucklandii</u> (1839, p. 28, pl. XVIII, fig. 5) and <u>G. Roemeri</u> (1839, p. 27, pl. XVIII, fig. 3).

Genus Gonioclymenia Hyatt 1884

Type species: <u>Goniatites speciosus</u> Münster 1831, by original designation of Hyatt. Diagnosis: Gonioclymeniidae with a suture consisting of a ventral lobe, three lateral lobes, and a dorsal lobe, all of which are pointed.

Description: See the separate subgenera below.

Horizon and distribution: As for Gonioclymeniinae.

Subgenus <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) Hyatt 1884

Type species: <u>Goniatites speciosus</u> Münster 1831, by original designation of Hyatt (1884, p. 314). Diagnosis: <u>Gonioclymenia</u> with a grooved venter.

Description: Evolute with compressed whorl section and converging flanks. Early whorls with an ornament consisting of spines on the ventro-lateral shoulders, developing into ribs, which later disappear, on the body chamber. A ventral groove is present on the phragmocone but may disappear on the mature body chamber. Growth-lines are S-shaped over the flank with a ventral sinus. Maximum size is large for clymeniids, attaining a diameter of 30 centimetres.

Available species names include:

* <u>speciosa</u>	Münster 1831, p. 177, Heinersreuth, Oberfranken.
? <u>corpulenta</u>	Bogoslovskiy 1981, p. 85, pl. XIV, figs. la,b,
	textfig. 36b, Kiya River, Akt. Oblast'.
hoevelensis	Wedekind 1914, p. 58, p1. 6, figs. 2a-b, p1. 5,
	fig. 7, Hövel, Sauerland.
<u>kiensis</u>	Bogoslovskiy 1981, p. 82, pl. IX, figs. 2a,b,
	textfig. 34, Kiya River, Akt. Oblast'.
<u>levis</u>	Bogoslovskiy 1981, p. 83, pl. X, figs. 1,2,
	textfig. 35, Kiya River, Akt. Oblast'.
praematura	Wedekind 1914, pl. 6, figs. 6a-c, Hövel,
	Sauerland.
subcarinata	Münster 1839, p. 25, pl. XVIII, figs. la-c,
e ge	Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.
subcostulata	Petter 1960, p. 14, pl. 1, figs. la,6a,12a,
,	textfigs. 2E,, Kreb oued Amerloh, Tafilalet,
	Algeria.
<u>tornquisti</u>	Wedekind 1914, p. 64, pl. 5, fig. 4a-b, pl. 6,
	fig. 7, pl. 7, fig.2, Hövel, Sauerland.

Remarks: Only two Münster species are recognised, <u>Gon. subcarinata</u> and <u>Gon. speciosa</u>. Species have been established by other authors, such as <u>Gon. praematura</u>, <u>tornquisti</u> and <u>hoevelensis</u> Wedekind but these are based on minor differences in ribbing and sutural form observed on only few specimens. These cannot be recognised as useful species until more specimens have been collected and studied. Descriptions of the types of these species

can be found in Wedekind 1914, and they are amplified below in cases where type material was available. Yet more species established by Wedekind (1914) are now recognised **a**s belonging to other genera, namely <u>Sellaclymenia torleyi</u>, <u>Costaclymenia (Cost.) kiliani</u> and <u>Gon. (Kalloclymenia) crassa</u>. Münster was responsible for naming many species subsequently included within <u>Gonioclymenia</u>. These have already been discussed (see above, Gonioclymeniinae).

Horizon and distribution: This subgenus is known from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of Launceston (England), Cantabrian Mountains (Spain), Montagne Noire (France), Carnic Alps (Austria-Italy), Oberfranken, Rheinische Schiefergebirge (W. Germany), Thuringia, Sächische, Vögtland (E. Germany), Holy Cross Mountains (Poland) and the southern Urals (USSR).

Gonioclymenia (Gonioclymenia) speciosa (Münster 1831) Pl. 5.3, Figs. 1,3,4,7-9, Pl. 5.4, Figs. 1,8, Textfigs. 5.7A,B.

- * 1831 Goniatites speciosus sp. nov. Münster, p. 177.
 - 1832 <u>Ammonites speciosus</u> Münster von Buch, p. 180, pl. II fig.,7.
- v? 1832 <u>Goniatites speciosus</u> Münster Münster, p. 27, pl. VI, figs. la-c.
 - 1834b <u>Goniatites speciosus</u> Münster Münster, p. 90, pl. V, figs. 5a-c, (French translation of Münster 1832).
- v 1839 <u>Goniatites speciosus</u> Münster Münster, p. 27, pl. XVIII, figs. 6.
- non 1839 <u>Clymenia speciosa</u> sp. nov. Münster, p. 7.
 - 1843 <u>Goniatites speciosus</u> Münster Münster, p. 21, pl. VIa, figs. la-c, (copy of Münster 1832), p. 53, pl. XVIII, fig. 6, (copy of Munster 1839).
 - vp 1863 <u>Clymenia speciosa</u> Münster Gümbel, p. 151, pl. XIX, figs. 6a-d, pl. XX, fig. 3.
 - pv 1923a Gonioclymenia speciosa Münster Schindewolf, p. 487.

Type material: BSP (unnumbered) is designated herein as the lectotype, and figured here, P1. 5.3, figs. 7,8. This is believed to be the specimen figured by Münster 1832, p1. VI, figs. la-e. Diagnosis: <u>Gonioclymenia</u> with a deep pointed ventro-lateral lobe, of about one half the depth of the midflank lateral lobe, and strong ribbing on the phragmocone.

Description: Three specimens from the Münster collection in Munich have been used to form this description: the lectotype, AS VII 595, which was the specimen figured by Münster (1839, pl. XVIII, fig. 6), and later by Gümbel (1863, pl. XX, figs. 3a-b), and AS VII 538, which was the specimen figured (embellished) by Gümbel, (1863, pl. XIX, figs. 6a-c).

The inner whorls of the lectotype (P1. 5.3, Fig. 8) are not preserved, nor is a suture visible. Closely spaced radial or prorsiradiate, slightly sinuous ribs appear at a diameter of ca 20mm. There are ca 36 in the whorl prior to a diameter of 80mm (i.e. 14 whorls after ribs are first visible). The last whorl preserved is, apparently, smooth and the flanks converge to flattened venter, which has a very shallow median groove.

The second specimen that Münster figured, AS VII 595, (P1. 5.3, Figs. 1,9) is remarkably preserved, in that it appears to show a series of interpenetrating funnel-shaped dorsal lobes, which would have totally enclosed the siphuncle. Ribbing, and a polished suture typical of the species are visible.

The internal whorls of Gümbel's figure (1863, pl. XIX, fig. 6a) are drawn in, because the specimen BSP AS VII 538, on which it appears to be based is strongly weathered up to a diameter of 50mm. A small tubercle on the ventro-lateral shoulder is visible at a whorl height of 6.3mm, and the ribs are not yet present. By a diameter of 55mm the rib internal moulds are straight, and by 70mm the ribs are slightly convex, radial and sinuous. In

this respect they differ from the straighter, prorsiradiate ribs of the lectotype. Minor inter-rib ribs are developed on the dorsad half of the flanks, becoming less prominent as the major ribs spacing increases at a diameter of 80mm, although spacing varies within this interval. They are restricted to the flanks.

The whorl cross-section at the maximum diameter preserved (ca 110mm) is narrower and the flanks less converging than figured by Gümbel (1863, pl. XIV, fig. 6b). A ventral groove is clearly visible between a diameter of 45mm (Pl. 5.3, Fig. 4) and 85mm but this becomes shallow, and barely visible on the venter, although the ventral area is polished or damaged.

The suture, at a whorl height of 6.3mm, has rounded elements, a shallow ventro-lateral lobe, and a tongue-shaped, deep mid flank lobe resembling that of <u>Kalloclymenia</u>. At larger diameters the lobes and saddles became heightened and more angular, and the ventro-lateral lobe migrates dorsad across the flanks (Textfig. 5.7A,B).

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype, BSP	150	ca62	vca38	51.5
P1.5.3,Figs.7,8	114	44.1	25	42.1
BSP AS VII 538	107.7 83.2	45.5 37.4	ca22 16.5	ca38 27.5

Remarks: This species was first mentioned by Münster in a general paper (1831, p. 177) on the occurrence of ammonites in thefossil record: "Dans le calcaire intermediare de Heinersreuth il y à un grand goniatite, que j'ai appelé Goniatites speciosus". This ammonite was <u>Ammonites conybeari</u> = (<u>Vermiceras conybeari</u> (Sowerby 1816, p. 70, pl. 131) according to D. T. Donovan) which is similar to <u>Gon. speciosa</u> in as far as it is large, evolute and ribbed, but it also has a keel, approximately equal whorl width and height

and concave ribs which curve forwards over the venter, and therefore hears little close resemblance to the specimens which Münster subsequently figured as <u>speciosa</u>. Nevertheless this specific description probably falls within the scope of Article 12 of the <u>Code</u> (p. 13) which says that "a name published before 1931, must have been accompanied by a description, definition or indication". "Indication" is explicitly defined in Article 16(a) (i) - (viii) (<u>Code</u> p. 15) and Münster's wording certainly does not fall within any of the noted categories. However, Münster's definition was probably adequate by the standards of his day, and 1831 is interpreted as the valid date of <u>Conioclymenia speciosa</u>.

In the same year that Münster described G. speciosus fully, with a figure (1832, p. 27, pl. VI, figs. 1a-c), Leopold von Buch also published a description of it, but acknowledged Münster as the author (1832, p. 180, pl. II, fig. 7). It has been common practice of authors (e.g. Schindewolf 1923a, 1957) to cite Münster 1832 as the bibliographic reference for this species, but it is likely that von Buch's description was published before Münster's since only Münster gives a specific page reference to the other's This implies that as he wrote he had von Buch's work in work. front of him. Wedekind seems to have been aware of the dilemma of which author had priority, and referred the species to both Münster and von Buch (1914, p. 62,3). Münster revised his account of the species in 1839 (p. 28, pl. XVIII, fig. 6). Clymenia speciosa Münster 1839 (p. 7) is an undescribed variety of C1. laevigata, distinguished by Münster from other species of Clymenia by its large size. Beyrich (1859) first recognised that this species was a clymeniid and not a goniatite.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gon</u>) <u>subcostulata</u> Petter (1960, p. 14, pl. 1 figs. 1,a, 6,a, 12,a; textfigs. 2E₁; lectotype proposed herein

as the specimen in pl. 1, figs. 1,a) has an ornament consisting of closely packed, shallow ribs (numbering approximately 100 in the whorl prior to a diameter of 60mm), and converging flanks.

Horizon and distrbution: The type locality was given by Münster (1831) as Heinersreuth, and this may be a mere reference to Schübelhammer only a few kilometres away, from which came most of the other clymeniids he later described (Münster 1832). The species is known from Oberfranken, Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Montagne Noire, Carnic Alps and the southern Urals. It is considered to come from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) <u>subcarinata</u> (Münster 1839) Pl. 5.3, Figs. 5,6, Pl. 5.7, Fig. 3, Textfig. 5.7C,D.

v*	1839	<u>Goniatites subcarinatus</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 25,
		pl. XVIII, figs. la-c.
v	1843	<u>Goniatites</u> <u>subcarinatus</u> Münster - Münster, p. 50,
		pl. XVIII, figs. la-c, (copy of Münster 1839).
pv 1863	1863	<u>Clymenia speciosa</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 151, pl. XX,
		figs. la-c.
	1914	<u>Gonioclymenia subcarinata</u> Münster - Wedekind, p. 63.
vp	1923a	<u>Gonioclymenia</u> <u>subcarinata</u> Münster - Schindewolf,
		p. 489, textfig. 21b.
	1929	<u>Gonioclymenia subcarinata</u> Münster - Lange, p. 76.
	1960	<u>Gonioclymenia subcarinata</u> Münster - Petter, p. 13,
		pl. 1, figs. 2,a, 3,b, pl. II, figs. 4,a, 5,b, textfig
		2D.

Type material: The only specimen remaining in the BSP in Munich is AS VII 596, which proposed as the lectotype.

This specimen was figured by Gümbel (1863, pl. XX, figs. 1a-c) and is refigured here (Pl. 5.2, Figs. 5,6). Gümbel's figure is a detailed representation of it with little embellishment.
Münster's original figure (1839, pl. XVIII, figs. la-c) has exactly the same dimensions and is probably a representation of the same specimen.

Diagnosis: Gonioclymenia with a shallow ventro-lateral lobe.

Description: Only one specimen, the lectotype, BSP AS VII 556, has been seen, and this is figured in Pl. 5.3, Figs. 5,6 and Textfig. 5.7, and described below. Another specimen, SM H10409, figured here in Pl. 5.3, Fig. 2, may belong to this species, and on this the inner whorls are visible. The species greatly resembles <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gon.</u>) <u>speciosa</u>. Gross morphology is exactly similar but the lectotype is well preserved and thus the inner whorls can be described, but not compared with <u>Gon.</u> (<u>Gon.</u>) <u>speciosa</u>, since they are not known for this species.

The inner whorls up to a diameter of ca 10mm appear to have a rounded whorl section, and an ornament consisting of ventrolateral tubercles. Thereafter the flanks are flattened, the whorl section compressed and slightly S-shaped, prorsiradiate ribs develop across the flanks from the ventro-lateral tubercles. The tubercles themselves disappear after a diameter of ca 35mm, and the ribs become less prominent, being more widely spaced, broad and plicate after a diameter of ca 70mm. At the greatest diameter seen (110mm), which on the lectotype is still phragmocone, there seem to be no ribs, although at this stage the specimen has suffered from weathering. Between a diameter of 50mm and 80mm the venter is grooved, and in it runs a string-like keel (pl. The flanks at a miximum diameter of ca 110mm, although 5.3, Fig. 5). weathered appear slightly rounded and converging. The suture is illustrated in Textfig. 5.7C.

181

Dimensions

	D	U	WW	WH	A
Lectotype, AS VII 596	109	4 6	ca25.5	40.8	
RE 551,734.5. A256, lectotype of <u>Gon</u> . (<u>Gon</u> .) <u>subcarinata</u> <u>praematura</u>	108 78	39.5 43.5	27.3 22	40.7 29.5	36
BM 81839b, P1.5.4, Fig. 4.			9.6	18	

Remarks: According to Münster (1831) the distinctive features of the species was the presence, in all but the last whorl, of a strong keel in the ventral groove. This keel can be seen in many clymeniids and is laid down on the venter of preceding whorls beneath the wrinkle-layer, as the animal secreted the floor of its new body chamber. Wedekind and subsequent authors have distinguished the species by its shallow rounded ventro-lateral lobe (Textfig. 5.7C) in contrast to the deeper, angular lobe to be seen on <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>speciosa</u>, otherwise their morphology is similar. The inner whorls of <u>Gon</u>. <u>speciosa</u> are unknown. <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>subcarinata</u> has a spinose ventro-lateral ornament on the earliest whorls, and then ribs develop (P1. 5.3, Fig. 2).

Wedekind recognised a variety <u>praematura</u> (1914, p. 63), which had curved flanks and an acute saddle (Textfig. 5.7D) between the ventro-lateral lobe and the lateral lobe, in contrast to the rounded saddle in <u>subcarinata</u>. The difference in angularity may be caused by polishing on the lectotype. A further species, <u>Con</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>tournquisti</u> (1914, p. 64), was recognised by Wedekind on the basis of a broad and rounded saddle between the ventro-lateral and first lobes, which in <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>subcarinata</u> was alleged to be smaller. Lange (1929, p. 76,77) united these two forms as varieties of <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>subcarinata</u> and dismissed the sutural differences as unfounded, distinguishing <u>subcarinata</u> tournquisti by its weak sculpture and <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>subcarinata praematura</u> by its curved flanks. The lectotype of <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>subcarinata</u> appears to have curved flanks itself at a diameter of 110mm.

The lectotype (proposed herein) of Gon. (Gon.) subcarinata praematura (P1. 5.4, Figs. 5-7, RE 551.734.5 A256) has only a shallow groove on the venter of the body chamber at a diameter of ca 100mm. Grooves are visible on the internal mould of the body chamber at the same diameter presumably these were caused by raised structures on the internal side of the shell. They appear to follow the same course as the growth-lines. Wedekind used Gümbel's figure of the apertural shape of Gon. (Gon.) subcarinata (1863, pl. XX, fig. 1b), which showed converging, flat to concave flanks to define the whorl section shape in that species. Gümbel's figure was a conjectural reconstruction (see the actual apertural shape, P1. 5.3, Fig. 5) and thus one of Wedekind's and Lange's criteria for subspecies diagnosis has no foundation.

Wedekind figured two specimens of <u>Gon</u>. <u>tournquisti</u> (1914, pl. 5, fig. 4, pl. 6, fig. 7, pl. 7, fig. 2) and the specimen in pl. 5, fig. 4 is proposed as the lectotype, since it was from this specimen that Wedekind figured the suture. (M. R. House has kindly provided photographs of these and other specimens figured by Wedekind (1914), now housed in the GPI, Göttingen.) Wedekind stated (1914, p. 64) that the sculpture on this species was weaker at middle sizes than in <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>subcarinata</u>. Ribbing density has been calculated on various specimens:

Number of ribs in half whorl prior to diameter of ca 40mm.

<u>Gon. (Gon.) subcarinata,</u> lectotype Wedekind 1914, pl.6, fig.5 <u>Gon. (Gon.) praematura</u>, lectotype.

ca 14 ca 13

ca 12

<u>Gon. (Gon.) tournquisti</u> ,	ca	8	
lectotype.			
Wedekind 1914, pl.7, fig.2.	ca	12	

There seems to be a correlation between the frequency of ribs and their shape. Where there are few ribs they are broad, whereas closely packed ribs are narrow. Therefore the term weak sculpture, as used by Wedekind, can be taken to describe both rib frequency and strength. At present there is very little evidence concerning the ribbing strength of any species of <u>Gon.</u>) <u>Gonioclymenia</u>, although Lange (1929, p. 77) stated that it was variable. Therefore, I do not accept the distinctions in ornament drawn between <u>Gon.</u> (<u>Gon.</u>) <u>subcarinata</u>, <u>praematura</u> or <u>tournquisti</u> as valid for for the purposes of defining subspecies.

Wedekind distinguished another species, Gonioclymenia hoevelensis (1914, p. 60, pl. 5, fig. 7, pl. 6, fig. 2) which has a subcarinata-type suture. The specimen figured in the former of these is designated as the lectotype. The species was used as the index for Schmidt's lower Clymenia Stufe zone, Va. The diagnostic characteristic of this species was, according to Wedekind, the presence of paired ribs, joined at the ventro-lateral shoulder by a tubercle. Wedekind's description and figure (pl. 6, fig. 2) of a narrow whorl cross-section with parallel flanks, is unsubstantiated since Wedekind does not appear to have cut the specimen upon which his section is based. Petter (1960, p. 16, p1. I, figs. 9, 9a) described and figured one specimen which she assigned to this species.

Paired ribbing and strong ventro-lateral tubercles are probably not sufficient grounds for distinguishing at specific level a morphology which is otherwise little different from <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>subcarinata</u>. However, the argument is not so strong as that for the varieties described above.

Horizon and distribution: The lectotype of <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>subcarinata</u> came from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken and was reported by Schindewolf (1923a) from the upper zone of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe nearby at Kirch-Gattendorf. In the Rheinische Schiefergebirge Wedekind's <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>praematura</u> came from the lower V_{α} zone at Hövel, which was confirmed by Lange (1929, his zone V β), who together with Schmidt (1924), recorded it and <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>subcarinata</u> from Hövel (= Melschede) and Dasberg. Wedekind (1914) recorded <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) tournguisti from the upper <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, but Lange (1929) recorded this only rarely from his V β zone. Petter reported the species from an imprecisely known level in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of the Souara Valley (Algeria).

Subgenus <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) Wedekind 1914 Textfig. 5.10.

Type species: <u>Goniatites subarmatus</u> Münster 1832, by subsequent designation of Schindewolf (1923a, p. 490). Diagnosis: Similar to <u>Gonioclymenia</u> but lacking a ventral groove, and with an ornament which includes ribs with parabolic tubercles on the ventrad portion of the flank, like <u>Trigonoclymenia</u> Schindewolf.

Available species names include:

subarmata	Münster 1832, p. 28, p1. VI, figs. 2a-c,
	Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.
<u>crassa</u>	Wedekind 1914, p. 59, pl. 6, figs. 3a-b,
	Hövel, Sauerland.
? <u>dasbergensis</u>	Wedekind 1914, p. 67, pl. 5, figs. 6a-c, pl. 7,
	fig. 1, Dasberg, Sauerland.
<u>insignis</u>	Phillips 1841, p. 119, pl. XLIX, fig. 228,
	Petherwin, England.
<u>lata</u>	Bogoslovskiy 1981, p. 92, pl. XII, fig. 2,
	pl. XIII, fig. 2, Kiya River, Akt. Oblast'.

	pessoides	von Buch 1838, p. 4, pl. I, figs. 1-3, Dzikowiec
		Poland.
n.	nud. <u>quadrata</u>	Schindewolf 1921, p. 161 (= <u>Gon</u> . (<u>Kall</u> .)
		<u>biimpressa</u> <u>fide</u> Schindewolf 1937a, p. 44).
	<u>uhliqi</u>	Frech 1902, p. 41, pl. II(I), fig. 1, Dzikowiec,
		Poland.

Remarks: Schindewolf's subsequent designation of a type species (1957, p. L40) was unnecessary since he had already (1923a, p. 490) designated subarmata (Münster 1832) as the genotype. Wedekind had failed to nominate a type when he established the genus (1914, p. 65), stating only that it comprised the "Formgruppe C1. biimpressa". It is not certain, however, that C1. biimpressa belongs to the subgenus Kalloclymenia, as it is now defined (see below). Various authors (Schindewolf, Lange etc., reviewed in Selwood 1960, p. 158) have discussed the diagnostic validity of the four characters which Wedekind used to distinguish the genus, namely: growth-line shape, ribbing, nature of the whorl cross-Selwood's conclusion section and the absence of a ventral groove. (1960, p. 158) was that Kalloclymenia merited subgeneric status and was distinguished from Gonioclymenia solely by the absence of a ventral groove. Now the major distinguishing factor is considered to be the presence in Kalloclymenia of parabolic tubercles.

In a review of the classification of clymeniid genera Schindewolf (1923b, p. 29) established the genus <u>Otoclymenia</u> with type species <u>Gon. uhliqi</u> Frech, distinguished by a sculpture with parabolic ribs and tubercles on the ventro-lateral shoulder. Later, (1937a, p. 55) after noting specimens of <u>Kalloclymenia</u> with a subdued parabolic sculpture he said that <u>Otoclymenia</u> was at most a subgenus, and that there was a graduation in the ornament between <u>Kalloclymenia</u> and <u>Otoclymenia</u>. However, in his species list (1937a, p. 28) he included <u>uhliqi</u> as a <u>Kalloclymenia</u>.

In the Treatise (1957, p. L40) Schindewolf retained the subgeneric distinction and characterised <u>Kalloclymenia</u> as being "without distinct parabolic ribs", and Otoclymenia as having "marked parabolic ribs and nodes". However, the original figure of G. subarmatus (Münster 1832, pl. VI, fig. 2a) shows a sculpture with distinct parabolic ribs and nodes up to a diameter of at This figure, however, may be based on several specimens least 90mm. since none has been found resembling it exactly. Since this is the type species of Gon. (Kalloclymenia) the genus must be interpreted as having a Gonioclymenia-type suture, a smooth ungrooved venter and an ornament consisting of ribs with parabolic nodation. Schindewolf's implied statement that degrees of parabolic nodation could be used to differentiate between Kalloclymenia and Otoclymenia This is far too subjective a criterion, especially is untenable. since he did not indicate where the dividing line was to be drawn. Therefore it is better to treat <u>Otoclymenia</u> as a junior subjective synonym of Kalloclymenia, since its only distinguishing character, the presence of a parabolic sculpture is also to be found on Gon. (Kalloclymenia) subarmata, the type species of Gon. (Kalloclymenia).

The species which are recognised as belonging to <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kallo-</u> <u>Clymenia</u>) have stratigraphic ranges which are not well known, but are better known than other gonioclymeniids, largely through Schindewolf's (1937a) study of a section through the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe at Oberrödinghausen in the Hönnetal. <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>subarmata</u> was reported by Schindewolf (1937a) from the Oberrödinghausen railway-cutting section in Beds 18-16, that is in the middle of the <u>subarmata</u> Zone, and <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>uhligi</u> (Frech) was reported from Beds 19-8 in the <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>subarmata</u> Zone and the <u>endogona</u> Subzone. <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>crassa</u> (Wedekind) was recorded by Wedekind (1914, p. 59) from the lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe at Hövel, and Gon. (Kall.) dasbergensis from the upper <u>Clymenia</u>

Stufe at Dzikowiec and Dasberg. <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kall.</u>) <u>pessoides</u> was reported by Schindewolf from Beds 15-19 in the Oberrödinghausen railway-cutting, and is also known from the lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe of Dzikowiec. <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>insignis</u> is known possibly from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of South Petherwin, Cornwall (see Selwood 1960, p. 158, but many of the species from the Landlake Limestone Quarry in Selwood's faunal list occur in the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe e.g. <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>undulata</u>, <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>linearis</u>, <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>plicata</u>, <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>angustisepta</u>, <u>Imitoceras</u> cf. <u>guadripartitum</u>). More important is Selwood's fauna (p. 154) from a single horizon where <u>Cl</u>. <u>hoevelensis</u>, indicative of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, occurs with <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>biimpressa</u>.

Three of the six known species of <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) are recorded from levels which yield faunas containing genera considered to be indicative of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, i.e. <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Gonio-</u> <u>Clymenia</u> (<u>Gon</u>.). Whilst these elements could persist into the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe it has always been held (e.g. House 1979) that the base of the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe can be recognised by the incoming of <u>Kalloclymenia</u>, and the simultaneous disappearance of <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Gonioclymenia</u>. Clearly this premise would benefit from closer examination of faunas from around the <u>Clymenia/Wocklumeria</u> Stufe boundary.

Comparisons: The types of all the Western European species of <u>Gon. (Kalloclymenia</u>) have been examined, most were discussed by Lange (1929). Dimensions are given below for comparison:

	D	U	* WW -	WH
<u>Gon. (Kall.)</u> subar _{mata} , lectotype, BSP AS VII 537	100 .4 69.0	54.4 37.5	ca20 ca14	24.9 ca18
<u>Gon. (Kall.) uhliqi</u> holotype, MfN c550	68.5	32	19	22

	D	U	WW	WH
<u>Gon. (Kall.) crassa</u> holotype, RE 551. 734.5 A255	52 36	23.6	14.2 10.2	16.2 12.2
<u>Gon. (Kall.)insignis</u> holotype, GSM 7083,a	61 46.5	32.4 24	ca9	16.7 12.5
<u>Gon. (Kall.)dasberg-</u> <u>ensis</u> , lectotype, proposed herein as Wedekind 1914, pl.V, figs. 6a-c, pl. fig.l (the same specimen)	50	21	13	18
<u>Gon. (Kall.) pess-</u> <u>oides</u> , lectotype MfN, P1. 5.5, Figs. 1-3.	31.2	17.9	8.2	7.5

These species are all known from only few examples, from different localities and from uncertain stratigraphic levels. At present any comparison between them can only be based on the type specimens Gonioclymenia (Kall.) uhligi (P1. 5.5, Figs. 9-11, Textfig. 5.9C) was described by Frech (1902) from only one specimen. It had a quadrate whorl section at a diameter of 65mm, and prominent parabolic tubercles are visible at this point. <u>Gonio-</u> clymenia (Kalloclymenia) subarmata (P1. 5.5, Figs. 8,13,14, P1. 5.7, Figs. 1,2) has parabolic tubercles up to a diameter of ca 25mm, thereafter there are closely spaced, straight, prorsiradiate ribs which diminish in strength by a diameter of ca 50mm, and seem to be absent on the body chamber. At a diameter of ca 70mm the whorl cross-section is compressed and rectangular. Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) crassa (P1. 5.5, Figs. 4-7,12, Textfig. 5.9E) lacks a ventral groove (Fig. 7) and cannot be a It has prominent para-<u>Gonioclymenia</u> as Wedekind stated (1914). bolic tubercles, lacks ribs and may be synonymous with Gonio-<u>Clymenia</u> (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>uhligi</u>. Gonioclymenia (Kall.) insignis (P1. 5.6, Figs. 7-10, Textfig. 5.9D) has parabolic tubercles visible up to a diameter of ca 35mm and strong prorsiradiate ribbing thereafter,

persisting to the maximum measured diameter of 61mm.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Kall.) <u>subarmata</u> and <u>insignis</u> have a similar ornament and suture, as do <u>Gon</u>. (Kall.) <u>uhligi</u> and <u>crassa</u>, and thus these pairs may be synonymous. <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Kall.) <u>dasbergensis</u> appears to be intermediate in character between these two pairs, it has parabolic tubercles up to a diameter of ca 25mm but its ribbing is less prominent than on any other species. Lack of precise stratigraphical control of the collecting horizons of these five nominal species makes any formal consideration of their precise taxonomic relationship unwise. <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Kall.) <u>pessoides</u> (Pl. 5.5, Figs. 1-3) although known from a small specimen seems a distinct species with slowly increasing whorl height, parabolic tubercles and an apparent lack of ribbing.

Horizon and distribution: The stratigraphic ranges of the various species of <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kall</u>.) have been discussed above. The subgenus is known from the upper <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe and the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe of Launceston (England), Montagne Noire (France), Oberfranken, Rheinische Schiefergebirge (W. Germany), Thuringia and Sächische Vögtland (E.Germany), Dzikowiec, Holy Cross Mountains (Poland), the southern Urals (USSR) and the Saoura Valley (Algeria).

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>subarmata</u> (Münster 1832) P1. 5.5, Fig. 13, P1. 5.6, Figs. 1,2, P1. 5.7, Figs. 1,2,4,5, Textfigs. 5.9A,B.

- v* 1832 <u>Goniatites subarmatus</u> sp. nov. Münster, p. 28, pl. VI, figs. 2a-c.
 - 1834b <u>Goniatites subarmatus</u> Münster Münster, p. 91, pl. VI, figs. la-c, (French translation of Münster 1832)

v.	1842	<u>Clymenia subarmata</u> Münster - Münster. p. 123, pl. XII
		fig. 4.
v?	1841	<u>Goniatites insignis</u> sp. nov Phillips, p. 119,
	10	pl. XLI, fig. 228.
	1843	<u>Clymenia subarmata</u> Münster – Münster, p. 22, pl. VIa,
		figs. 2a-c, (copy of Münster 1832).
pv	1863	<u>Clymenia subarmata</u> Münster – Gümbel, p. 157, pl. XXI,
		figs. 2a-b, non la-d.
?.	187 3	<u>Clymenia subarmata</u> Münster - Kayser, p. 622, pl. XX,
		figs. 3a-c.
non	1902	<u>Clymenia</u> <u>subarmata</u> Münster - Frech, p. 41, pl. II(I),
		fig. 3b, non 3a, (holotype of Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u> brevi-
		<u>spina</u> Lange 1929).
(?.)	1914	<u>Kalloclymenia</u> <u>subarmata</u> Münster - Wedekind, p. 66.
(?)	1923a	<u>Kalloclymenia subarmata</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 491.
?.	1960	<u>Kalloclymenia subarmata</u> (Münster) - Petter, p. 17,
	• •	pl. I, figs. 8-b.
v?	1960	<u>Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) biimpressa</u> (von Buch) -
		Selwood, p. 159, pl. 26, fig. 2, (refiguring of the
		holotype of <u>Gon</u> . <u>insignis</u> Phillips).
non	1962	<u>Kalloclymenia</u> <u>subarmata</u> (Münster) - Bogoslovskiy,
		pl. XXI, fig. 3, (= <u>Costaclymenia</u> (<u>Cost</u> .) <u>binodosa</u>).
?	1981	<u>Kalloclymenia</u> <u>subarmata</u> (Münster) - Bogoslovskiy,
		p. 90, pl. XII, figs. 2a,b, textfig. 38.

Type material: BSP AS VII 537, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, is proposed as the lectotype. Diagnosis: <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) with straight prorsiradiate ribs present to a diameter of at least 50mm, and parabolic tubercles up to a diameter of 30mm.

Description: Only the lectotype, BSP AS VII 537, is well enough preserved to be described. Other specimens from Münster collections (SM H10394, BM 81834, BSP AS VII 593) have little ornament remaining and therefore cannot be assigned, with certainty, to this subgenus.

Shell evolute, with a quadrate compressed whorl section and slightly arched venter. Inner whorls are damaged but parabolic

tubercles are visible at a diameter of ca 15mm and persist to a diameter of ca 30mm. Thereafter there are closely spaced straight or S-shaped prorsiradiate ribs, numbering 16 in the half whorl prior to a diameter of ca 50mm. The last whorl of the lectotype is weathered but appears to have faint, broad ribs. The suture (Textfig. 5.9A) is of the <u>Gonioclymenia-type</u>, with the same number of elements but the largest, midflank, lateral lobe has a characteristic broad, spearhead-shape.

Dimensions:

				5	1. S. M. Anderson, M.	
	max D	D	U	WW	WH	A
Lectotype, BSP AS VII 537	115	100.4 69	54.4 37.5	ca20 ca14.5	24.5 ca18	
SM H10394		103.8 a 76 55.5 35.5 27.9	53 38.8 ca27.5 18.9	22.5 17.7 12.7 9.1 ca5.4	29.5 ca21 16 11.8 9	28.2 ca20.5 15.0 11.2
Münster 1832 pl.VI, figs.2a-	·b	180 140	96 35	32	24.5 16	

Remarks: Munster's (1832) figure of this species is of an individual much larger than the lectotype. It has slightly different ornament. Parabolic tubercles are shown as present up to a diameter of ca 90mm. However, no specimen exactly resembling this figure can be traced and it may be composite, based on several specimens. The specimen regarded by Gümbel (1863) as Münster's original has been designated the lectotype, since it partly resembles Münster's 1832 figure, and is considered to be a syntype.

In 1842 Münster figured a second specimen, (pl. XII, fig. 4) from Gattendorf. He (p. 123) added little to his previous description, and the figured specimen (BSP AS VII 593, Pl. 5.6, Figs. 1,2) is extremely poorly preserved. The only reason Münster seems to have had for referring again to this species was to comment on the similarity of ornament between it and the new species <u>Clymenia</u> <u>spinosa</u>. Both have parabolic ventro-lateral tubercles.

The relationship between this species and others included in Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) has already been discussed (see Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) above). The holotype and only known specimen (GSM 7083,A) of Gon. (Kall.) insignis (Phillips) has parabolic tubercles visible up to a diameter of 35mm and therefore may be synonymous with Gon. (Kall.) subarmata. The type specimens of Gon. (Kall.) uhligi (MfN c550) and Gon. (Kall.) crassa (RE 734.551.5 A255) still have parabolic tubercles at diameters of 65 and 55mm respectively (P1. 5.5, Figs. 4,10) and thus are closer in appearance to Münster's figure of Gon. (Kall.) subarmata than the lectotype. Gon. (Kall.) crassa was referred by Wedekind to the genus Gonioclymenia but since it lacks a ventral groove this These two species have sutures which show cannot be correct. In some differences from Gon. (Kall.) subarmata and insignis. Gon. (Kall.) crassa and uhligi the midflank lateral lobe and the ventral lobe are deeper and narrower (Textfigs. 5.9C,E) which may in itself be sufficient for a taxonomic distinction to be drawn.

The holotype (MfN c600) of Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u> biimpressa (von Buch) is figured in Pl. 5.8, Figs. 7,8, (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u> is discussed below). It lacks parabolic tubercles, and therefore cannot be assigned to <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>). This lack may be due principally to the poor preservational state of the holotype, which came from Dzikowiec. Many authors have commented on the relationship between it and <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>subarmata</u>. Lange (1929), who refigured the holotype (pl. 2, fig. 10) considered that the differences between them lay in the ribbing. He said that <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>subarmata</u> still had ribbing at a stage where it had disappeared in Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u> <u>biimpressa</u>. However, since at its maximum diameter of 42mm the holotype of Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u> <u>biimpressa</u>

still has ribbing of similar strength to that of the lectotype of <u>Gon. (Kall.) subarmata</u> at the same diameter, this statement is impossible to disprove, and so is useless in helping to diagnose the species. In view of the poor state of preservation of the holotype Subgen. Nov. <u>B biimpressa</u> is a species best regarded as a <u>nomen dubium</u>.

Horizon and distribution: The lectotype came from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken and Schindewolf reported the species from Dzikowiec (1937a), Beds 18-16 (<u>Kalloclymenia subarmata</u> Zone) at Oberrödinghausen, Sauerland (1937a) and Bed 20 at Kirch-Gattendorf (1923a). <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>insignis</u> is known from the Petherwin Beds Cornwall. The two precisely known horizons are both in the <u>subarmata</u> Zone.

Gonioclymenia (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>)

Proposed name: <u>Langeclymenia</u>, in recognition of W. Lange. Type species: <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>wocklumensis</u> Lange 1929, p. 79, pl. 2, figs. 18,a, designation proposed here. Diagnosis: <u>Gonioclymenia</u> with flatly arched venter at all stages, and with ornament consisting of subdued ribs on the flanks at most, lacking spines near the umbilicus, and parabolic tubercles at or near the venter.

Comparisons: This genus is similar to <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) but lacks the parabolic tubercular ornament of that genus.

Available species names include:

	*wocklumensis	Lange 1929, p. 79, pl. 2, figs. 18,a, Burg,
		Wocklum, Sauerland.
•	? <u>frechi</u>	Lange 1929, p. 81, Dzikowiec, Poland.
	glabra	Bogoslovskiy 1981, p. 96, pl. XV, fig. 5, textfig.
		42, Loz'ra R., Tumenskaya Oblast', n. Urals.

<u>kozhimensis</u> Bogoslovskiy and Kuzina 1980, p. 69, pl. IX,
fig. 1, textfig. 1, Kozhima R., Komi ASSR, n. Urals.
<u>linquilobata</u> Bogoslovskiy 1981, p. 91, pl. XIII, fig. 1, text fig. 39a, Kiya R., Akt. Oblast', s. Urals.

and other species, only questionably included are:

angustaMünster, 1839 (see Gonioclymeniidae above).biimpressavon Buch, 1838, p. 5, pl. II, figs. 1-3, Dzikowiec.clymeniaeformisMünster 1842 (see Gonioclymeniidae above).?pachydiscusBogoslovskiy 1981, p. 94, pl. XIII, fig. 3, text-figs. 40a,b, Kiya R., Akt. Oblast', s. Urals.vinctaSowerby 1840, p. 703, pl. XLIV, fig. 18.

Schindewolf (1937a, p. 28) implied that there were two other related species, <u>Kall</u>. wocklumensis mut. nov. and <u>Kall</u>. sp. nov. aff. wocklumensis. These, however, were not described.

Remarks: Goniatites clymeniaeformis and G. angustus may belong in this genus. The former was figured by Münster (1839, pl. XVII, figs. 4a-c) and described (p. 24) as being evolute, smooth shelled with a quadrate compressed whorl section, and suture consisting of two lateral lobes (similar in shape to those on <u>Sellaclymenia</u>) and a ventral lobe. This description was modified by Gümbel (1863, p. 153, pl. XX, figs. 2a,b), who said that the original specimen was a weathered mould. His figures showed the flanks converging to a rounded smooth venter and also a shallow ventro-lateral lobe, as well as a ventral lobe. Münster's description of the suture may be inaccurate; it resembles that of <u>Mesoclymenia</u> Bogoslovskiy although Gümbel's illustration (1863, pl. XXI, fig. 2b) shows an adventive lobe. There is a great resemblance in shell form between Gon. clymeniaeformis and Subgen. Nov. A frechi, but since no more of Münster's specimens can be traced, no more than this can be said.

Goniatites angustus may also belong in this genus. It was

considered by Schindewolf (1923a) to be a <u>Sphenoclymenia</u>, but Münster (1839) had clearly stated that this species had a suture which was similar to <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>subarmata</u>. A specimen in the Münster Collection at Cambridge, SM H10314, is designated as the lectotype. It is, however, poorly preserved (Pl. 5.6, Fig. 4) and the species should be considered as a <u>nomen dubium</u> since it cannot be described, nor distinguished from <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>subarmata</u>.

The status of Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u> <u>biimpressa</u> is somewhat similar. It has already been discussed (see above, <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kallo-</u><u>clymenia</u>) Remarks) and its holotype is poorly preserved. Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u> <u>frechi</u> (Lange 1929) also has as its type a specimen which is poorly preserved (Pl. 5.8, Figs. 2-4, Textfig. 5.9F). It is discussed below.

The holotype of <u>Goniatites vinctus</u> Sowerby (p. 703, pl. XLIV, fig. 18), recorded from Barnstaple, is recognised as SM H4015 (Pl. 5.6, Fig. 3). It lacks shell and therefore cannot be excluded with certainty from <u>Kalloclymenia</u>. The ribs are not so strong as illustrated by Sowerby. The flanks are converging and this feature alone may permit the recognition of Subgen. Nov. <u>B vincta</u> as a distinct species, but more material must be collected to demonstrate this.

Various new species of <u>Gonioclymenia</u> and <u>Kalloclymenia</u> recently introduced by Bogoslovskiy (1981) are very poorly documented. Most are based on few poorly preserved specimens, indeed only one (<u>Gon. (Gon.) levis</u>) is represented by more than one example. Hence little useful can be said of the four species listed above. Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u> <u>kozhimensis</u> and <u>glabra</u> lack ornament and have the same shell form and coiling as <u>Gon</u>. (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u> <u>wocklumensis</u>, and thus may be synonymous.

Horizon and distribution: Examples are known from the paradoxa

and <u>evoluta</u> Zones of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Thuringia, England, Poland, Urals and North Africa.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>wocklumensis</u> (Lange 1929) P1. 5.8, Figs. 1,5,6, Textfig. 5.9G

- v* 1929 <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>wocklumensis</u> sp. nov. -Lange, p. 79, pl. 2, figs. 18,a, textfigs. 14,15. 1959 <u>Kalloclymenia</u> <u>wocklumense</u> - Pfeiffer, p. 263.
 - ? 1960 <u>Kalloclymenia wocklumensis</u> Lange Kullmann, p. 531, pl. 8, fig. 1, textfig. 17a,b.
 - ? 1960 <u>Kalloclymenia wocklumensis</u> Lange Petter, p. 17, pl. I, figs. 4,5, textfig. 2C.

Type material: The holotype (MfN), from Burg near Wocklum, Sauerland, is figured here (see above). There were five paratypes, none of which have been traced. Diagnosis: Species of <u>Gon</u>. (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) with converging flanks, flattened venter and faint plicate ribs, U/D = 0.38.

Description: A description is based on the slightly distorted holotype. Subevolute, inner whorls appear to be half embraced by succeeding whorls. Whorl section is a compressed trapezoid, flanks are converging and flat. Inner whorls are not preserved, or have been damaged by preparation. At a diameter of 50mm and greater, S-shaped growth-lines and faint plicate ribs are visible. The suture is illustrated in Textfig. 5.5.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Holotype, MfN P1. 5.8,Figs.1,2.	45	17	10 6.5	16.8 11

Remarks: This species is a near homeomorph of Sell. plana Münster,

but is distinguished by the presence of ribbing, and a ventral lobe, and has thicker whorls. The fragment of a whorl figured by Kullmann (1960) can only be questionably included here, since it is poorly preserved, and the same reservation must be applied to the two specimens, one a juvenile, and the other an internal mould, figured by Petter (1960). The species was reported by Selwood (1960) from Launceston, though not figured.

Horizon and distribution: The type locality of this species is recorded as Burg, Wocklum, Sauerland and it is also known from the Beds 4-1, and the Hangenberg Schiefer at Oberrödinghausen, Saalfeld, Launceston and possibly from Algeria and the Cantabrian Mountains.

Gon. (Subgen. Nov. B) aff. wocklumensis Lange 1929 P1. 5.8, Fig. 10, Textfigs. 5.9H, I.

Material: One specimen, SM H10373 (Pl. 5.8, Fig. 10, Textfigs. 5.9H,I) in the Münster Collection at Cambridge, from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, has been seen.

Description: The inner whorls have been dissolved by pressure solution but the outer whorls are preserved and shown in Textfig. 5.9I. The ventral area has a shallow depression running along it at diameters of 50 and 72mm. The flanks are rounded and converging towards the venter. Broad plicate, slightly sinuous ribs are visible up to a diameter of ca 90mm, thereafter the flanks are smooth. The suture is illustrated in Textfig. 5.9H. Differences between the suture of this specimen and the holotype are likely to be caused by the polished nature of the holotype, and their differences in size.

Interestingly the siphuncle is preserved in the last whorl seen, at a diameter of 140mm. It is visible as a thin black ring within the funnel of the dorsal lobe, and its depressed, subcircular shape can be seen in the cross-section (Textfig. 5.91).

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
SM H10373	140	50.5	31.4	51.6
	58	22.5	12.5	22
	38	-	8	12

Horizon: There is no evidence of the age of this specimen but it is presumed to come from the lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>frechi</u> (Lange 1929) Pl. 5.8, Figs. 2-4, Textfigs. 5.9F

- pvp 1902 <u>Gonioclymenia pessoides</u> von Buch Frech, p.38, pl. II(I), figs. 14a (lectotype), b, textfig. 6a (P. 40).
 - 1914 Gonioclymenia pessoides von Buch Wedekind, p. 66, pl. 5, figs. 5, (refiguring of Frech 1902, pl. II(I), fig. 14b).
 - v* 1929 <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Kalloclymenia) <u>frechi</u> nom. nov. Lange, p. 81.

Type material: A lectotype, MfN, from Dzikowiec, Poland, is proposed here.

Lange (1929, p. 81) nominated as the <u>holotype</u> of this species <u>two</u> of Frech's figures (1902, pl. II(I), figs. 14a,b) and this designation is therefore invalid. The specimen figured in the former of these is selected as the lectotype. The specimen represented by the latter was figured by Wedekind (1914, pl. V, fig. 5) but was housed at Wrocław, and is now presumed to have been destroyed.

Diagnosis: Species of Gon. (Subgen. Nov. B) lacking ornament apart

from small ventro-lateral tubercles visible up to a diameter of ca 25mm.

Description: The description is based on the lectotype. Evolute with compressed quadrate whorl section. Flanks slightly rounded and converging to flatly rounded venter. Small ventro-lateral tubercles are visible between diameters of ca 10 and 25mm, otherwise there is no ornament and the flanks are smooth. The ribbing indicated near the aperture on Frech's figure (1902, pl. II(I), fig. 14a) is not so strong as illustrated.

The suture is illustrated in Textfig. 5.9F, although at the point on the shell where it is visible there has been much filing and polishing. Therefore the elements are bound to be somewhat smoothed.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype, MfN	71.6	39.3	14.4	18.4
P1. 5.8, Figs. 2-4.	59.2	30	11.2	15.1

Horizon and distribution: The lectotype comes from the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe of Dzikowiec (Poland). Schindewolf (1937a) reported the species from Oberrödinghausen, Sauerland, in Bed 9, which is in the <u>endogona</u> Subzone of the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>A</u>) Textfig. 5.11

Proposed name: <u>Silesiclymenia</u> (after Silesia). Type species: <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>brevispina</u>, Lange 1929, designation proposed here. Diagnosis: Gonioclymenia with arched, rounded venter and spinose ornament on ventro-lateral and umbilico-lateral shoulders.

Description: The genus has a <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) - type suture and the only species has a very compressed whorl section.

Available species names include:

*brevispina Lange 1929, p. 83, Dzikowiec, Poland. interrupta Schmidt 1924, non Münster 1842, p. 139, pl. 7, figs. 9,a, Wildungen, Kellerwald.

Remarks: Only the type species is well known. <u>Gonioclymenia</u> <u>interrupta sensu</u> Schmidt 1924, p. 17, fig. 9,a, non Münster 1842, may represent another species.

Bogoslovskiy (1981, pl. IX, figs. la,b) figured an example of "<u>Gonioclymenia interrupta</u> (Münster)". This had a number of thin spines projecting from the ventro-lateral shoulder and partially enveloped by succeeding whorls, and thus resembles the ornament on Schmidt's (1924) specimen, of the same name, but this lacks a ventral groove, hence its inclusion in this subgenus. The Russian specimen has a clear ventral groove and thus may be a <u>Gonioclymenia</u>. It does not, however, resemble Münster's figure, and represents an incorrect use of the specific name.

Horizon and distribution: Species are known from the <u>subarmata</u> and <u>paradoxa</u> Zones of England, Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Oberfranken (W. Germany), Urals (USSR) and North Africa.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>A) brevispina</u> Lange 1929 Pl. 5.9, Figs. 6-10

vp 1902 <u>Gonioclymenia subarmata</u> Münster - Frech, pl. II(I), fig. 3a only.

v* 1929 <u>Gonioclymenia brevispina</u> sp. nov. - Lange, p. 83.

Type material: The holotype, from Dzikowiec (Dolny Śląsk = Silesia, Poland) has been recognised in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin. Diagnosis: Species of <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Gen. Nov. <u>A</u>) with a strongly compressed whorl section and prominent rectradiate ribs terminated by spinose tubercles on the ventro-lateral shoulders of early whorls. These ribs degenerate, becoming radial and low joining tubercles on the ventro-lateral and umbilico-lateral shoulders.

Description: Two specimens have been seen, the holotype (P1. 5.9, Figs. 9,10) and a specimen (P1. 5.9, Figs. 6-8) from Bed 11 in Schindewolf's (1937a) Oberrödinghausen section. The holotype is a small broken specimen, One half is preserved partly as an external mould and partly just as shell, and the other half has solid form, with the shell being polished away to reveal a <u>Kalloclymenia</u>-type suture. The inner whorls are not well preserved. At a diameter of ca l0mm widely spaced, radial ribs are visible terminated on the ventro-lateral shoulder by spinose tubercles. By the next whorl, at a diameter of ca 14mm, tubercles are present on both margins of the flanks. On the body chamber at a diameter of ca 22mm the ribs have become more closely spaced, and are just low folds joining two tubercles. The ventro-lateral tubercle is at this stage far less spinose.

Schindewolf's specimen shows several features in common with the holotype, especially the spinose ventro-lateral tubercles of middle whorls and the closely spaced, diminished tuberculate ribs of the body chamber. Therefore, it is considered to be conspecific. The early whorls, up to a diameter of ca 4mm are smooth. Thereafter, straight rectiradiate ribs develop which extend at the ventro-lateral shoulder to form tubercles, and then spines, which are enveloped by the succeeding whorl, by a diameter of 7mm. The ribs then become progressively less rectiradiate and diminish in strength, reducing to two tubercles only at a diameter of 15mm. The next three quarters of a whorl is missing. The body chamber

has a very compressed, quadrate whorl section with parallel flanks and rounded venter. At a diameter of 30mm the internal mould shows shallow ribs joining two tubercles of equal strength. On the last part of the body chamber preserved, at a diameter of ca 35mm, the umbilico-lateral tubercles become more closely spaced and the ribs and ventro-lateral tubercles disappear.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Holotype, MfN	21			
MfN, P1.5.9,Figs.6-8	34.4	ca14.2	4.1	11

Remarks: Lange avoided any confusion over the status of this species with a statement of designation exhibiting rare precision: "Frech's <u>Gonioclymenia subarmata</u> 1902, Taf. 2, 3a stellt dagegen ein andere neue Art dar = <u>Gonioclymenia brevispina</u> nom. nov." Frech's figure was a composite (see Frech 1902, pl. II(I) explanation) the inner five whorls of which were based on a specimen from Wrocław, and the outer whorl was based on a specimen in Berlin. Lange designated this latter specimen as the holotype, and it is figured here. Lange considered the specimen on which the inner whorls of Frech's figure were based to be <u>Gon</u>. (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>biimpressa</u>.

Horizon and distribution: The species is known from the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe of the type locality, Dzikowiec, and from the Sauerland, where Schindewolf (1937a) reported it from Beds 13-11 at the top of the "<u>Kalloclymenia subarmata</u> and <u>brevispina</u> Zone" (lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe). He also reported it questionably from Bed 22. Evidence for this latter occurrence came from the recognition of this species in two levels at Dzikowiec. The upper one he correlated with Beds 11 and 10 of his Oberrödinghausen section,

and the lower one with "Bed 22?". The reasoning for this (1937a, p. 21) was that <u>brevispina</u> was a species occurring, albeit questionably, in the Wocklumer Schichten, "auf Grund der <u>Kalloclymenia</u> <u>brevispina</u> gehort sie aber zweifellos noch den Wocklumer Schichten an".

This opinion is unfounded, and other taxa reported as occurring with Gen. nov. <u>A brevispina</u>, i.e. <u>Cymaclymenia striata</u>, <u>Kosmo</u>-<u>clymenia bisulcata</u> and <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> cf. <u>undulata</u>, were all names misinterpreted by Schindewolf, and their horizon can be stated only as Clymenia or <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen.

Subfamily Sphenoclymeniinae nov.

Type genus: <u>Sphenoclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1920. Diagnosis: Gonioclymeniidae with additional umbilical or adventive lobes.

Two genera are recognised:

<u>Schizoclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1920 <u>Sphenoclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1920

Remarks: <u>Schizoclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1920 was regarded by Schindewolf (1957) as a synonym of <u>Gonioclymenia</u>, and he stated that it was based on a misinterpretation of the internal suture of a specimen figured by Drevermann (1901, pl. XIII, fig. 10)as <u>Clymenia</u> sp. This single specimen formed the basis for a new species, <u>Schiz</u>. <u>drevermanni</u> Schindewolf 1920, but I have been unable to locate the specimen amongst the collections at Marburg, where most of the material figured by Drevermann (1901) is held.

Horizon and distribution: Known from the <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen of Germany, North Africa and the Urals.

Genus <u>Sphenoclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1920

Type species: <u>Goniatites maximus</u> Münster 1832, by original designation of Schindewolf 1920, p. 128. Diagnosis: Member of the Gonioclymeniidae with ventral, four lateral, umbilical and dorsal lobes.

Description: Evolute, with compressed fastigate or quadrate whorl section. Ornament is lacking or consists of plicate ribs, or ventro-lateral spines. The suture is illustrated in Textfig. 4.11, most of the elements are pointed. According to Schindewolf (1924, p. 98) the second umbilical lobe may be either inside or outside the umbilical seam.

Available species names include:

* <u>maxima</u>	Münster 1832, p. 29, pl. VI, fig. 3, Schübelhammer,
	Oberfranken.
apertus	Richter 1848, p. 36, pl. IV, figs. 125-6, Saalfeld,
	Thuringia.
intermedia	Münster 1839, p. 29, pl. XVIII, fig. 7, Schübel-
· .	hammer, Oberfranken.
plana	Bogoslovskiy 1981, p. 103, pl. XVI, figs. 1,2,
	textfig. 46, Kiya River, Aktyubinskaya Oblast'.

Schindewolf (1937a) p. 28) also recognised an unnamed species from Bed 17 at Oberrödinghausen.

Remarks: <u>Schizoclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1920, with type species <u>drevermanni</u> was diagnosed by having one lobe less than <u>Spheno-</u> <u>clymenia</u> (cf. Textfigs. 4.11A,C). Only one specimen has been illustrated (Drevermann 1901, pl. XIII, fig. 10), and it is questionable whether a generic distinction on the basis of the absence of a ventro-lateral lobe is useful, especially when <u>Sphen</u>. <u>intermedia</u> (Textfig. 4.11,B) has only a weak ventro-lateral lobe.

Horizon and distribution: The genus is known from the <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen of Oberfranken and Sauerland (W. Germany) and Thuringia (E. Germany), the Souara Valley (Algeria), and Aktyubinskaya Oblast', southern Urals (USSR).

Sphenoclymenia maxima (Münster 1832)

Textfig. 4.11A

1		
*	1832	<u>Goniatites maximus</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 29, pl. VI,
		fig. 3.
	18 34 b	<u>Goniatites maximus</u> Münster - Münster, p. 92, pl. VI,
		fig. 2, (French translation of Münster 1832).
	1839	<u>Goniatites maximus</u> Münster - Münster, p. 30, pl. XVIII,
		fig. 8.
	1843	<u>Goniatites</u> <u>maximus</u> Münster - Münster, p. 22, pl. VIa,
		fig. 3 (copy of Münster 1832) p. 54, pl. XVIII, fig. 8,
		(copy of Münster 1839).
p	1863	<u>Clymenia intermedia</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 157, pl. XXI,
	•	figs. 4a-b.
	1902	Gonioclymenia maxima Münster - Frech, p. 42, textfig. 7.
	1920	<u>Sphenoclymenia</u> <u>maxima</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 128,
		textfig. 2d.
	1923a	<u>Sphenoclymenia maxima</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 481,
		textfig. 21d.

Type material: No type material has been identified. Interpretation of the species is not in question, so no neotype has been proposed. Diagnosis: <u>Sphenoclymenia</u> with a fastigate venter and a relatively deep, pointed ventro-lateral lobe (Textfig. 4.11A).

Description: The description is based solely on the figures of Münster (1832, 1839) and Gümbel (1863). The whorl section is subtriangular between whorl heights of 80 and 140mm. The impressed area is small and shallow and the flanks are smooth. The suture consists of 12 mostly angular saddles and lobes (Textfig. 4.11A, based on Schindewolf's 1920 figure). The ventro-lateral lobe is pointed and half as deep as the outer lateral lobe. Frech and Schindewolf(1920) both showed the umbilical lobe as being inside the seam.

Remarks: Münster based his original description on a fragment of a specimen, of which he only figured a cross-section (1832, pl. VI, fig. 3). He stated that the suture was similar to <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kall</u>.) <u>subarmata</u>, but that the ventro- lateral lobe was on the flank. Later he described and figured a fragment on which the suture over the flanks was seen to contain not three but four lobes (1839, pl. XVIII, fig. 8). Gümbel figured the same specimen with greater accuracy, which was improved upon further by Frech (1902, textfig. 7). Although Schindewolf made this species the type of his new genus <u>Sphenoclymenia</u> he did not at this time, nor later, redescribe it. This suggests that he did not examine Münster's figured material, and it cannot be found today.

Horizon and distribution: The type locality is Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, and the species was also reported by Schindewolf (1937a) from Bed 12 in the <u>Kall</u>. <u>subarmata</u> Zone at Oberrödinghausen, Sauerland.

> <u>Sphenoclymenia</u> intermedia (Münster 1832) P1. 5.9, Figs. 1-5, Textfigs. 5.7F,G

- (?) 1831 <u>Nautilus intermedius</u> sp. nov. Münster, p. 181.
- *v 1839 <u>Goniatites intermedius</u> Münster Münster, p. 29, pl. XVIII, fig. 7.
 - v 1843 <u>Goniatites intermedius</u> Münster Münster, p. 53, pl. XVIII, fig. 7, (copy of Münster 1839).

- pv 1863 <u>Clymenia intermedia</u> Münster Gümbel, p. 73, pl. XXI, figs. 3a-e.
 - 1902 <u>Clymenia plana</u> var. <u>intermedia</u> Münster Frech, p. 40.
 - 1924 Sphenoclymenia intermedia Münster Schindewolf, p. 101.
 - 1960 <u>Sphenoclymenia intermedia</u> Münster Petter, p. 18, pl. 2, figs. 15,a,16, textfig. 2A.

Type material: BSP AS VII 586, from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, is proposed as the lectotype and figured here, Pl. 5.9, Figs. 3-5. Diagnosis: <u>Sphenoclymenia</u> with quadrate whorl section and shallow ventro-lateral lobe.

Description: The lectotype is a large, weathered specimen on which no inner whorls are preserved. It is evolute with a compressed whorl section and flanks converging slightly to a broad rounded venter. The ornament consists of broad, low slightly sinuous radial ribs. The suture is illustrated in Textfig. 5.9F.

Another specimen, SM H10397, has also been seen. It is a fragment of a whorl which has been polished to show the suture (P1. 5.9, Figs. 1-2, Textfig. 5.9F). This specimen shows the whorl section well. The flanks converge more strongly than on the lectotype and they appear to be unornamented, but they are weathered or polished. The course of the suture is visible over the umbilical wall where there is a shallow lobe, centred outside the presumed position of the umbilical seam. There is no such lobe visible on the lectotype, but this may be caused by the failure to prepare the suture well enough to reveal such a lobe.

Dimensions:

			D	U	WW	WH	A
Lectotype,	BSP	AS	126	57.1	21.5	39.5 31	ca3 5
VII JOU	00	00 Caso	13.1	23.8	22.5		
SM H10397					22.3	44.7	41.6

Remarks: Münster's (1839) figure is a very diagrammatic sketch of a suture, but it shows the characteristic shallow ventro-lateral lobe drawn more accurately on Gümbel's (1863) figure. Both Münster and Gümbel figure specimens of similar size, and therefore, the specimen figured by Gümbel is considered as the lectotype. This seems to have lost its inner whorls since Gümbel's figure was drawn.

Comparisons: This species is differentiated from <u>Sph</u>. <u>maxima</u> by the whorl cross-sectional shape, and the depth of the ventro-lateral lobe. Schindewolf (1924) stated that in <u>Sph</u>. <u>maxima</u> the umbilical lobe was centred inside the seam, and outside the seam in <u>Sph</u>. <u>intermedia</u>. It has already been stated that no umbilical lobe is present on the lectotype of <u>Sph</u>. <u>intermedia</u>, which may indicate that the umbilical lobe, if present, is internal, or that no such lobe is developed, or is hidden beneath matrix. Petter (1960, textfig. 2A) shows a shallow umbilical lobe centred on the seam.

<u>Goniatites apertus</u> was recognised by Richter (1848) possibly to be a synonym of <u>Sph. intermedia</u>. Richter's figures (pl. IV, figs. 125,6) show a <u>Sph. intermedia</u>-type suture and a whorl section which converges to a flattened venter, and so can be regarded as similar to <u>Sph. intermedia</u>. The specimen is , however, a juvenile and so assignation to this species cannot be certain.

<u>Sphenoclymenia plana</u> Bogoslovskiy has a much more compressed whorl section, and a spinose ornament on the ventro-lateral shoulder.

Horizon and distribution: The species is known from Sauerland, Oberfranken, Bohlen, Thuringia, and Ouarourout and the Souara Valley. Both Schindewolf (1924) and Petter (1960) considered it to be from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe.

Suborder Clymeniina Wedekind 1914

Diagnosis: Clymeniida in which the ventral lobe is replaced by a saddle early in ontogeny. Sutural pattern basically comprises lateral and dorsal lobes, elaborated by formation of secondary ventral lobes and adventive, lateral and umbilical lobes.

Remarks: Sutural variation within this suborder is far less marked than in the Gonioclymeniina, and authors have recognised only one superfamily, Clymeniaceae. Similarly there is far less variety of shell form than in the Gonioclymeniina, but there is greater variation in growth-line shape, which is used to distinguish families and genera (see Chapter 4).

Horizon and distribution: Representatives range from the ?<u>sand-bergeri</u> or <u>delphinus</u> Zones to the <u>evoluta</u> Zone. <u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>evoluta</u> from the Hangenberg Schiefer of the Sauerland is the youngest known clymeniid. House (1970) has argued that the Clymeniida evolved from the Tornoceratidae, via <u>Tornia</u> and <u>Platyclymenia</u>. Clymeniina are known from all continents, except Antartica and South America.

Superfamily Clymeniaceae Edwards 1849

Diagnosis: As for the suborder.

Included families: Cyrtoclymeniidae Hyatt 1884, Platyclymeniidae Wedekind 1914, Rectoclymeniidae Schindewolf 1923a, Carinoclymeniidae Bogoslovskiy 1975, Clymeniidae Edwards 1849 and Cymaclymeniidae Hyatt 1884.

Remarks: Subdivision into groups at familial level is rather arbitrary, which reflects the lack of knowledge of the relationship between them.

Family Platyclymeniidae Wedekind 1914

Type genus: <u>Platyclymenia</u> Hyatt 1884.

Diagnosis: Clymeniaceae with evolute to subevolute shell form. Growth-lines are concave, or concavo-convex. The suture is simple, with a broad lateral lobe and a dorsal lobe, and sometimes a ventral lobe. In Subfamily Nov. α this pattern is modified by the addition of adventive or umbilical lobes.

Remarks: Two subfamilies are recognised, Platyclymeniinae and Subfamily Nov. α , distinguished by their sutures.

Horizon and distribution: Examples are known from North America, Europe, N. Africa, Urals, Iran, and Australia, from the <u>Platy-</u> <u>clymenia</u> and <u>Clymenia</u> Stufen.

Subfamily Platyclymeniinae Wedekind 1914

Type genus: <u>Platyclymenia</u> Hyatt 1884.

Diagnosis: Coiling evolute and discoidal with concave growthlines over the flanks. The simple suture consists of dorsal and lateral lobes and a ventral saddle, sometimes there is an umbilical lobe, and sometimes a ventral lobe.

Description: Evolute, U/D varies from 0.3-0.6 and WW/WH varies from 0.5-2.25. Whorl cross-section varies between depressed reniform, circular and quadrate compressed. Growth-lines are concave or straight, radial or prorsiradiate and there is a ventral sinus. Concave ribs on the flanks are common. Parabolic ribs and ventral spines are also known.

Included genera:

Platyclymenia	Hyatt 1884
(<u>Plat.)</u> <u>Pleuroclymenia</u>	Schindewolf 1934
(<u>Plat.)Trigonoclymenia</u>	Schindewolf 1934
(Plat.) Spinoclymenia	Bogoslovskiy 1962
Stenoclymenia	Lange 1929
Trochoclymenia	Schindewolf 1923a

Remarks: Following Schindewolf (1934) <u>Platyclymenia</u> has been divided using the characters of whorl sectional shape and growthline course, into four subgenera: <u>Platyclymenia</u>, <u>Pleuroclymenia</u>, <u>Trigonoclymenia</u> and <u>Spinoclymenia</u>. <u>Spinoclymenia</u> and <u>Trigonoclymenia</u>, diagnosed by their distinctive ornament, are clear.

Schindewolf (1934) illustrated the simple growth-lines of <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Plat.</u>), but the specimen he illustrated (1923a, pl. XVII, fig. 8) as <u>annulata</u>, the type-species, has growth-lines which are transitional between <u>Platyclymenia</u> ss. and <u>Trigonoclymenia</u> (see Textfig. 5.12J). <u>Pleuroclymenia</u>, illustrated (Schindewolf 1934) by depressed species from Raymond's (1909) Three Forks Shale fauna, from Montana USA, has as its type species <u>crassissima</u> Schindewolf 1955. This has growth-lines which are concave (Textfig. 5.12G), and a whorl section which although depressed, approaches the field occupied by typical <u>Platyclymenia</u>. It is certainly more similar to species of <u>Platyclymenia</u> than to the American species of <u>Pleuroclymenia</u> (<u>vide</u> Textfigs. 5.13, 5.12G,J and 4.12G,H), which are very depressed (WW/WH >2), and have convex growth-lines.

<u>Trochoclymenia</u>, which has a shallow umbilical lobe and a ventral saddle, is only questionably included here (see Chapter 4).

Its sutural ontogeny is unknown but if Petter (1960) is correct in dating <u>Troch. ornata</u> as from the <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe of Algeria (Marhouma) then it is more likely to be a near homeomorph of <u>Costaclymenia</u> (which has a ventral lobe) than a relation of it, since this is not known until the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe (however, Bogoslovskiy (1981) has reported <u>Costaclymenia</u> from the <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe of Kazakhstan).

Horizon and distribution: Examples are mostly confined to the <u>delphinus</u> and <u>annulata</u> Zones, and are known from Oberfranken, Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Thuringia (Germany), Holy Cross Mountains (Poland), England, Montagne Noire (France), Carnic Alps (Austria-Italy), Urals, Kazakhstan (USSR), north eastern Iran, Pakistan, Australia, North West Territories (Canada), and Montana (USA). Bogoslovskiy (1962) reported <u>Spinoclymenia</u> from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of the southern Urals.

Genus Platyclymenia Hyatt 1884

Type species: <u>Goniatites annulatus</u> Münster 1832, by subsequent designation of Frech 1902, p. 32, footnote 2. Diagnosis: Member of the Platyclymeniinae with growth-lines which are concave, radial or prorsiradiate, with a sinus over the venter. The suture is simple consisting of a ventral lobe, a broad lateral lobe and a dorsal saddle.

Description: Shell evolute to ?subevolute, whorl cross-section circular to subcircular or quadrate, with converging flattened flanks. Growth-lines are concave, either radial or prorsiradiate, with a sinus over the venter. The suture is simple with a dorsal lobe, a lateral lobe and a ventral saddle. Perna (1914, p. 60) suggested that the genus could be distinguished from the morphologically similar <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> by the length of its septal necks; these are short in <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>, yet longer (though not contiguous) in <u>Platyclymenia</u>. Schmidt (1924, fig. 4) only partially confirmed this. He showed <u>Plat</u>. <u>subnautilina</u> (<u>annulata</u> Zone) with a Vshaped dorsal lobe and short septal necks, <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>involuta</u> (<u>delphinus</u> Zone) with a broad shallow dorsal lobe and short septal necks, but <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>lata</u> (<u>Clymenia</u> Stufe) was shown as having a deeper dorsal lobe and long septal necks.

Bogoslovskiy (1976) illustrated a section through the initial whorls of <u>Plat. richteri</u> (Textfig. 4.2B,C). The diameter of the protoconch was 0.52mm and that of the embryonic shell 0.96mm, the body chamber being 285° in length. Early septal necks are short and the siphuncle migrates from a position central in the whorl in the first septum to a normal dorsal position by the third septum.

The dorsal wrinkle-layer consists of closely spaced radial anastomosing striae. The ventral wrinkle-layer is unknown.

Included subgenera: Following Schindewolf (1934) four subgenera are recognised:

Hyatt 1884
Schindewolf 1934
Schindewolf 1934
Bogoslovskiy 1960

<u>Platyclymenia</u> has a subcircular or compressed whorl section, <u>Pleuroclymenia</u> a depressed whorl section, <u>Trigonoclymenia</u> parabolic nodes, and <u>Spinoclymenia</u> has spines projecting from the ventrolateral surface. <u>Stenoclymenia</u>, with a shallow ventral lobe, is treated as a separate genus.

Remarks: There have been many attempts at designation of a type species for this genus, and while <u>C1</u>. <u>annulata</u> Münster has long

been regarded as the type species authors have had difficulty pinning down where the earliest statement of this fact was made. <u>Platyclymenia</u> was established by Hyatt (1884, p. 314) but through a typographical error, or otherwise, he did not use the same wording in assigning species to this genus as he had done with others and thus no type species was designated. It appears that the word "Type" was omitted from between "subquadragonal" and "<u>Platy</u>. <u>annulata</u>", which would then have made the description conform in style with Hyatt's others.

211

Hyatt's intention seems to have been to designate Gümbel's figure (1863, pl. XV, fig. 11) as the type for <u>Platyclymenia</u>, since this is first in the list of three species included within <u>Platy-</u><u>clymenia</u> (the others were <u>Cl. spinosa</u> and <u>binodosa</u>).

Gümbel (1863, p. 130) had given a long description of <u>C1</u>. annulata and included a number of other authors' figures in synonymy. He had said that a small fragment (pl. XV, fig. 11) was Münster's original. At first sight this statement may seem implausible since Münster's figure (1832, pl. VI, fig. 6) is of a whole specimen 35mm in diameter, from Regnitzlosau (Oberfranken), whereas Gümbel's is a fragment half this size from Schübelhammer (Oberfranken). However, Münster's original account states that the drawing was greatly enlarged and later (1839, p. 14) he qualified his earlier description by saying that this first figure was based on more than one fragment, and that it had been incorrectly stated that the specimens were collected from Regnitzlosau, rather than Schübel-Newly collected specimens enabled him to say that the hammer. species was recognised to be a Clymenia and that the whorl section was not rounded and quadrate, but rounded with equal whorl height and width. This revised description still gave no account of the suture.

In 1842 (p. 123, pl. XII, figs. 1a-c) Münster published another

description of <u>C1</u>. <u>annulata</u>, which differed significantly from the earlier accounts. Here were shown S-shaped ribs and a sickleshaped <u>Costaclymenia</u>-type suture on a well preserved specimen. The rib shape alone would cause this specimen to be placed in a different family.

We can now accept that the specimen figured by Gümbel (1863, pl. XV, fig. 11), listed by Hyatt (1884) as an example of the genus and probably intended as the type, and the specimen figured by Münster (1832, pl. VI, fig. 6) are one and the same. This particular specimen, the lectotype, is lost; indeed no author since Gümbel has described it.

Discussion: <u>Platyclymenia</u> was introduced by Hyatt (1884) to accommodate evolute ribbed forms with simple sutures, comprising rounded lateral and dorsal lobes. Frech (1887a - 1913) did not use the genus <u>Platyclymenia</u> but merely defined its type in a footnote (1902). Other German accounts (e.g. Drevermann 1901, Wedekind 1908, Rzehak 1910, Born 1912) also ignored it.

Wedekind 1908 introduced three genera, <u>Rectoclymenia, Protactoclymenia</u> and <u>Varioclymenia</u>, in all of which he included species which would now be assigned to <u>Platyclymenia</u>. These genera (in fact three "Gruppen" "Rectoclymeniae" etc. were defined (1908, p. 604-5) but later in the text the explicit terms "Gattung: <u>Rectoclymenia</u>" etc. were employed) were defined by their growthlines and these definitions are paraphrased below. Wedekind failed to designate any type species, which hinders current interpretation.

<u>Rectoclymenia</u>: growth-lines radial and concave during all growth stages. <u>Protactoclymenia</u>: Growth-lines with a more or less pronounced ventro-lateral salient.
Varioclymenia:

growth-lines on the phragmacone have a pronounced ventro-lateral salient, but are radial on the body chamber.

Type species have subsequently been designated for two of the genera, and a type species is proposed for the third.

<u>Varioclymenia</u>, Wedekind 1914, p. 30: type species <u>Varioclymenia</u> <u>pompeckji</u>, which is an illegal emendation of <u>Varioclymenia</u> <u>pompeckii</u> Wedekind 1908, p. 607, pl. XLIII, figs. 4a, subsequently designated by Matern 1931, p. 97, (designation invalid, see <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> below).

<u>Rectoclymenia</u>: <u>Rectoclymenia roemeri</u> Wedekird 1908, p. 613, pl. XLIII, fig. 9, subsequently designated by Matern 1931, p. 95, (but see Gen. Nov. <u>D</u>, below).

Protactoclymenia: Protactoclymenia pulcherrima Wedekind 1908, p. 608, pl. XLIII, figs. 13,a, designation proposed herein (see below).

Using these type species to define the genera only <u>Varioclymenia</u> falls within the Platyclymeniidae. Some of the species which authors placed in <u>Varioclymenia</u> are not now placed within the family e.g. <u>kayseri</u> Drevermann, <u>wedekindi</u> Born, <u>sulcata</u> Schindewolf.

Wedekind adopted the use of <u>Platyclymenia</u> in 1914 (p. 29) in his revision of the clymeniid faunas of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, introducing at the same time numerous species and providing new generic diagnosis:

Platyclymenia:	growth-lines concave over the flanks and
	with a sinus over the venter.
Varioclymenia:	growth-lines biconvex, becoming straight on
	the body chamber of mature individuals

Schindewolf (1923a) dropped the use of Varioclymenia, but after

submitting his text, assigned species to it in footnotes. He described more than twenty species of <u>Platyclymenia</u>. Both Wedekind and Schindewolf divided <u>Platyclymenia</u> into six groups on the basis of shell form and ribbing, namely:

Group Definition	
Plat. rotundata:	rounded cross-section and ribs of even thickness
Plat. annulata:	flattened flanks with ribs thickening towards the venter.
Plat. bicostata:	flattened flanks with paired ribs
Plat. protacta:	parabolic ribs
<u>Plat. intracostata</u> :	ribs only on the earliest whorls
<u>Plat. quenstedti</u> :	ribs absent

Later Schindewolf (1923b) changed his conception of <u>Varioclymenia</u> and <u>Platyclymenia</u>. He believed that <u>Varioclymenia</u> was the precursor of <u>Platyclymenia</u>.

<u>Varioclymenia</u>: concavo-convex growth-lines, lacking parabolic ribs <u>Platyclymenia</u>: concavo-convex growth-lines, with parabolic ribs

Lange (1929) interpreted <u>Varioclymenia</u> as having growth-lines which were biconvex in early stages, becoming straight and lacking parabolic ribs. Because there was no sharp dividing line between presence and absence of parabolic ribs <u>Varioclymenia</u> was separated only at subgeneric level. Lange also recognised that in certain early species the growth salient over the umbilico-lateral shoulder could be so slight as to give the impression that the growth-lines were concavo-convex.

Both Schindewolf and Lange acknowledged that many transitional forms existed between the species they recognised. In particular Lange realised that Wedekind's division of the genus into groups

based on whorl cross-sectional shape was untenable. He preferred to use the nature of the ribbing as a distinguishing character, though even here there was no clear boundary between groups with or without parabolic ribs, nor those with or without paired ribbing.

Lange also introduced <u>Stenoclymenia</u> for clymeniids with a quadrate compressed whorl section, growth-lines which were concave, prorsiradiate with a ventro-lateral salient, and, most importantly, a suture consisting of ventral, lateral and dorsal lobes. The presence of a ventral lobe caused Lange to place this genus in his suborder Gonioclymeniaceae, rather than in Platyclymeniacea.

Clearly the question of variability could be solved only by examining a large number of individuals and Schindewolf (1934) collected around 480 specimens from trenches at Hauern (Wildungen, Kellerwald) which he used as a basis for a comparison between <u>Platyclymenia</u> from the Three Forks Shale (Montana) and European forms. His conclusions were, however, somewhat limited being restricted to a discussion of the three subgenera into which he divided <u>Platyclymenia</u>.

He introduced two new subgenera, defined as follows and summarised in a simple diagram, Textfig. 4.12

Platyclymenia:	concave ribs
Pleuroclymenia:	whorl cross-section depressed with
	straight radial growth-lines and broad
	shallow lateral and dorsal lobes
Trigonoclymenia:	with parabolic ribs

With the single exception of Nalivkina (1953) all subsequent authors have utilised this scheme.

In 1962 Bogoslovskiy introduced what he treated as an additional subgenus of <u>Platyclymenia</u>, <u>Spinoclymenia</u>. This was distinguished by the presence of spines developed on the ventro-lateral shoulders, projecting ventrally. Walliser (1966) realised that

<u>Platyclymenia</u> had been subject to taxonomic oversplitting when describing a small collection of <u>Platyclymenia</u> from Iran. He has postponed a thorough reconsideration of the genus until he has obtained more material.

There are a large number of specific names available. These are listed below.

Although most of the species of <u>Platyclymenia</u> were initially described from material collected at either Beil (Balve) or Kirch-Gattendorf, both of which are the concern of this thesis, I do not have sufficient material to make a decisive contribution towards integrating the large number of available specific names or charting their variation. At Beil the level yielding <u>Platyclymenia</u> has in recent years been trenched and intensively collected over nearly all its outcrop of 400m, making further collecting difficult. Comment will be restricted to a discussion of the few types I have encountered in examining museum collections.

Available specific names:

annulata	Münster 1832, p. 32, pl. VI, fig. 6, Schübel-
	hammer, Oberfranken.
alterna	Jenkins 1968, p. 543, pl. 105, figs. 8-11,
·	Tamworth, New South Wales.
<u>arieticosta</u>	Schindewolf 1923a, p. 459, pl. XIII, figs.
	2a-b, textfig. 20, Kirch-Gattendorf, Ober-
	franken.
<u>beuelensis</u>	Lange 1929, p. 101, pl. 3, fig. 28, textfig.
	29, Beil, Sauerland.
<u>bicostata</u>	Wedekind 1914, pl. 3, figs. 16,17,18 (holotype)
ана стана стана Стана стана стан	by subsequent deisgnation of Matern 1931,
	Beil, Sauerland.
bisulcata	Schindewolf 1923a, p. 445, Langenaubach.
<u>callimorpha</u>	Lange 1929, p. 100, pl. 3, fig. 27, textfig.
	28, Enkeberg, Sauerland.
<u>clarkei</u>	Schindewolf 1923a, p. 452, pl. XVII, fig. 10,
	Bed 14, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken.
	annulata alterna arieticosta beuelensis bicostata bisulcata callimorpha clarkei

Perna 1914, p. 75, pl. III, fig. 14, fig. 77. correcta Schindewolf 1923a, p. 458, textfig. 19b. <u>crassa</u> Bed 14, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. curvidorsata Sobolev 1912, p. 9, pl. IV, figs. 5-7, Lagow, Poland. Wedekind 1908, p. 610, pl. XLIII, figs. 11a, cycloptera Enkeberg, Sauerland. Kind 1944, p. 157, pl. 1, fig. 12, Mugozhar, decora USSR. <u>denckmanni</u> Schindewolf 1923a, p. 450, pl. XVII, figs. 13a,b, Bed 14, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Frech 1902, p. 31, pl. II(I), fig. 7, (lectodensicosta type, designated by Jenkins 1968 as Gümbel 1863, pl. XV, fig. 13). densicostata Tokarenko 1903, pl. III, fig. 2,a,3, s. Urals. geminicostata Petter 1960, pl. V, figs. 6, a, Ouarourout, Saoura Valley, Algeria. Müller 1960, p. 71, pl. 2, fig. 21, Schleiz, grossi Thuringia. Loewinson-Lessing 1892, p. 21, pl. II, figs. inostranzevi 2a-c, s. Urals. Frech 1902, pl. II(I), fig. 8, textfig. lc, intracostata La Serre, Montagne Noire. kasakstanica Kind 1944, pl. 1, figs. 16,17,a, Mugozhar, USSR. h <u>kasakstanica</u> Nalivkina 1953, pl. IV, figs. 4a, b, Mugozhar, USSR. mirabilis Wedekind 1914, p. 45, pl. 3, fig. 6, Beil, Sauerland. <u>nodosa</u> Gümbel 1863, p. 130, pl. XVIII, figs. lla-d, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. pattisoni M'Coy 1851, p. 488, 1852, p. 403, pl. 21, figs. 11a,b, Landlake, Cornwall. <u>placida</u> Perna 1914, p. 77, pl. III, figs. 13a,b, pl. IV, figs. 12,13a,b. pompeckii Wedekind 1908, p. 607, pl. XLIII, figs. 4,a,5, Enkeberg, Sauerland. pseudoflexuosa Rzehak 1910, pl. 181, pl. I, fig. la (holotype), b,c, pl. II, figs. 8,9, Brno, Czeckoslovakia. <u>quenstedti</u> Wedekind 1914, p. 45, pl. 2, figs. 5a.b. pl. 3, figs. 10a, b, Beil, Sauerland.

<u>quirinqi</u>	Müller 1956, p. 74, pl. 2, fig. 25, Schleiz,
	Thuringia.
raricosta	Schindewolf 1923a, p. 451, Bed 14, Kirch-
	Gattendorf, Oberfranken.
recticosta	Rzehak 1910, p. 180, pl. II, figs. 10a-c,
	Brno, Czeckoslovakia.
<u>richteri</u>	Wedekind 1914, p. 34, pl. 3, figs. la-c,
	Beil, Sauerland.
rotundata	Wedekind 1914, p. 34, pl. 2, fig. 15, Beil,
	Sauerland.
ruedemanni	Wedekind 1914, p. 46, pl. 2, figs. la-c,
	pl. 3, fig. 9, Beil, Sauerland.
rustica	Perna 1914, p. 76, pl. III, fig. 15, textfig. 78.
<u>schleizi</u>	Müller, 1956, p. 74, pl. 2, fig. 24, Schleiz,
	Thuringia.
semiornata	Petter 1960, p. 27, pl. V, figs. 12a, Erfoud
	(Tafilalet), Algeria.
semperornata	Petter 1960, p. 25, pl. IV, figs. 6,a, 11,a,
	Erfoud (Tafilalet), Algeria.
<u>senilis</u>	Lange 1929, p. 100, pl. 3, fig. 26, Enkeberg,
	Sauerland.
<u>simplex</u>	Kind 1944, p. 161.
subnautilina	Sandberger 1855, p. 5, pl. I, figs. la-f,
	Kirschofen, Weilburg.
<u>teicherti</u>	Jenkins 1966, p. 541, pl. 104, figs. 1-4,
in an	textfigs. 2a-j, Tamworth, New South Wales.
transita	Kind 1944, p. 161, pl. 1, figs. 18,a.
tschernyschewi	Rzehak 1910, p. 186 and Chernyshev 1887b,
	p. 18, pl. I, figs. 23-6.
varicatum	Sobolev 1914, p. 373, pl. 9, figs. 33a,b, 34,
and the second	Kielce, Poland.
<u>walcotti</u>	Wedekind 1914, p. 38, pl. 3, figs. lla,b,
	Beil, Sauerland.

221

Subdivision of <u>Platyclymenia</u> into species groups:

<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Platyclymenia</u>) can be split into two groups by shell shape:

<u>annulata</u> Group

Concave or straight, radial to slightly prorsiradiate ribs

or growth-lines over the flanks, cross-section subcircular to quadrate compressed.

222

<u>quenstedti</u> Group

Prorsiradiate ribbing or growth-lines with ventral band and compressed quadrate whorl section.

The shape of growth-lines, ribbing and suture appear to be a function of shell cross-sectional shape. Thus forms with a subcircular cross-section have growth-lines with a relatively deep sinus on the flanks and venter. As the whorl cross-section becomes compressed and the flanks flattened the growth-lines become straighter and prorsiradiate with an increasingly deeper ventral sinus. Forms with increasingly depressed cross-sections have growth-lines and sutures which become more radial and straight, with a shallower ventral sinus (cf. Pleuroclymenia).

The other major varying character within <u>Platyclymenia</u> is the ribbing strength and density. Generally where ribs are few they are strong and <u>vice-versa</u>. Some forms are smooth, others have ribbed inner whorls and smooth outer whorls, and yet others have ribs which remain throughout all growth stages. The combinations of these characters are best expressed in tabular form; see Lange (1929), and below.

The end-points of these apparently continuous morphological variants have been separated out by authors as distinct subgenera, thus <u>Pleuroclymenia</u> grades into <u>Platyclymenia</u>, and <u>Stenoclymenia</u> represents those variants of the <u>Plat</u>. <u>guenstedti</u> group where the venter has become so flattened that the ventral saddle is expressed as a secondary shallow lobe. This effect can also be produced by polishing flat the rounded venter of a normal <u>Platyclymenia</u>.

An attempt was made to examine the various species of Platy-

clymenia more objectively, to see if the groupings authors had made within it could be substantiated. Two characters were evaluated: the ratio WW/WH, which is an indication of the whorl cross-sectional shape, and the ornament, principally a simple rib The figures obtained were plotted in Textfig. 5.13. count. The type specimens alone were considered, and thus only 42 "species" This is because data is lacking for the others appear on the plot. or the types are missing, unillustrated or are too poorly preserved to show the features recorded. There is no stratigraphic control and the rib counts have not been made at similar diameters. For a more perfect comparison there should be plots based on examinations of large numbers of individuals from single populations, accounting also for ontogenetic variation in the whorl cross-section and ornament. Obviously if such samples were available more sophisticated multivariate analysis could be carried out.

The groupings in the table appear to validate the subjective divisions which have already been made by Schindewolf, Lange etc. These groups are:

1) with compressed rectangular whorl section

i) unribbed

<u>arieticosta</u>	Schindewolf
<u>prorsistriata</u>	Schindewolf
<u>quiringi</u>	Müller
<u>schindewolfi</u>	Lange
<u>stenomphala</u>	Lange
<u>valida</u>	Phillips (<u>ss</u>)

ii) ribbed

<u>clarkei</u>	Schindewolf
<u>intracostata</u>	Frech
sandbergeri	Wedekind

- 2) with compressed subcircular to circular whorl section
 - i) unribbed

<u>beuelensis</u>	Lange
<u>crassa</u>	Schindewolf
<u>placida</u>	Wedekind
<u>quenstedti</u>	Wedekind
ruedemanni	Wedekind

ii) ribbed, densities between 15 and 40 per whorl

a)	<u>annulata</u>	Münster
	<u>bicostata</u>	Wedekind
	<u>densicosta</u>	Frech
	semperornata	Petter
	<u>valida sensu</u>	Wedekind
	walcotti	Wedekind
b)	mirabilis	Wedekind
	<u>nodosa</u>	Münster
	pompeckii	Wedekind
	richteri	Wedekind
	<u>rotundata</u>	Wedekind
	<u>rustica</u>	Perna
	semiornata	Petter

- 3) depressed whor1 section
 - i) ribbed

<u>americana</u>	Raymond
<u>brevicosta</u>	Wedekind
<u>crassissima</u>	Schindewolf
<u>polypleura</u>	Raymond

Group li comprises species of <u>Stenoclymenia</u>. Group lii and 2 comprise species of <u>Platyclymenia</u>. Group 3 comprises species of <u>Pleuroclymenia</u>.

No defence is made for the taxonomic usage of all species listed, they are merely names for particular morphologies to assist in discussion. Short diagnoses are given for the species listed in the table, mostly translations from authors' original descriptions.

In many cases lectotypes etc. are undesignated and the opportunity is taken to propose them. Measurements are listed in the order diameter, umbilical width, whorl width, whorl height. Where it is known the collecting horizon, locality and museum in which the specimen is now housed are given.

annulata

Münster 1832, p. 32, pl. VI, fig. 6 (= Gümbel, 1863, pl. XV, fig. 11, lectotype, designation proposed here). Neotype (designation proposed herein), Pl. 5.12, Fig. 8, Mbg, (dimensions below) from Bed 14 Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Densly ribbed Platyclymenia with quadrate compressed whorl section.

> 26.8 14

<u>beuelensis</u> (ruedemanni var.)

Lange 1929, p. 101, pl. 3, fig. 28, from "1 metre above the fossil rich bed", Beil. Flanks more strongly curved than on ruedemanni, and the venter more flattened.

> 11.5 38 16.5 10.5

Wedekind 1914, p. 38, pl. 3, figs. 16,17a,b,18a,b, bicostata (lectotype, designated by Matern 1931, p. 100) ? 19 (not listed in text). Figs. 16 and 17 are at Menden, the rest are untraced. Thinly discoidal, widely umbilicate with ribs arranged in two's or three's.

13

9

25

20

callimorpha

Lange 1929, p. 100, pl. 3, fig. 27 (holotype) Enkeberg. Narrower umbilicus than pompeckii. 7.5 6

clarkei

Schindewolf 1923a, p. 459, pl. XVIII, fig. 10 (lectotype, Mbg 3128, proposed herein) from Bed 14

7

Kirch-Gattendorf.

- Thinly discoidal, widely umbilicate with a quadrate whorl section. P1. 5.12, Figs. 1,2.

25 12

<u>crassa</u> (<u>quenstedti</u> var.) Schindewolf 1923a, p. 458, textfig. 19b (lectotype, Mbg 3152, proposed herein) Bed 14 Kirch-Gattendorf. Lacking ornament and with a more circular crosssection than <u>quenstedti</u>.

32 14.8 9.3 9.0

<u>crassoides</u> Matern 1931, p. 102, footnote 1. Invalid substitution for <u>Plat</u>. <u>quenstedti</u> var. <u>crassa</u> Schindewolf 1923a, which is a senior homonym of <u>Plat</u>. <u>crassa</u> Schindewolf 1923a (see <u>Pleur</u>. <u>crassissima</u>, below).

cycloptera Wedekind 1908, p. 610, pl. XLIII, figs. 11a, (lectotype, Göttingen) Beds 10 or 12 at Enkeberg. Fine ribs, whorl section depressed, becoming compressed in later whorls.

21.5 9.5 7.2 7.0

<u>denckmanni</u>

Schindewolf 1923a, p. 450, pl. XVII, figs. 13a,b, (holotype proposed herein). Recorded as from Bed 14 Kirch-Gattendorf, but since Schindewolf did not collect this specimen it is difficult to see how he could have determined the horizon. Pl. 5.15 Figs. 6,7. Paired ribs with whorl width and height equal,

flattened flanks and wide umbilicus.

18 7.5 6 6.5

densicosta

Frech 1902, p. 31, pl. II(I), fig. 7, Enkeberg, untraced. Neotype, Göttingen, proposed herein, Wedekind 1914, p. 36, pl. 3, figs. 2a,b, from Ense.

Defined by Frech as having "close ribs", and by Wedekind as quadrate with strong angular ribs at all stages. At a diameter of 20mm ribs have a spacing of 2.5mm.

227

28 13 9.2 8.9

h <u>densicosta</u> Wedekind 1914, p. 35. (<u>richteri</u> Never illustrated, defined simply as having denser var.) ribbing.

<u>qrossi</u>

Müller 1956, p. 71, pl. 2, fig. 21 (holotype MfN 5522), Bed 3B Alte Heerstrasse, Schleiz. Widely umbilicate with 10 ribs per whorl, circular whorl section, becoming compressed.

15 9 **3.5** - A4

intracostata Frech 1902, p. 32, pl. II(I), fig. 8, La Serre, Montagne Noire. Type material is presumed to have been destroyed at Wrocław. Smooth outer whorls, possibly with converging flanks.

<u>mirabilis</u> Wedekind 1914, p. 45, pl. 3, figs. 10a,b (lectotype ? Göttingen) designated by Matern 1931, p. 102, pl. 2, figs. 5a,b, Beil. Widely umbilicate with oval whorl section and no radial ribs.

1t	5 7	25	16	19.2
	36.5	17.6	9.5	11.7

<u>nodosa</u> Münster 1839, figured by Gümbel 1863, p. 47, pl. XVIII, figs. lla-c (lectotype BSP AS VII 606 proposed herein), Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Outer whorls smooth with radial S-shaped growthlines and converging flattened flanks.

pattisoni M'Coy 1851, p. 488, 1852, p. 403, pl. 2A, fig. 11 (holotype SM H990, Pl. 5.16, Figs. 5,6) Lower Petherwin Beds, Landlake, Launceston. Smooth compressed whorls.

20 🦼

9.5

7

Selwood (1960) made this species the senior synonym

of <u>C1</u>. <u>subnautilina</u> and followed Schmidt (1922) in making that species a senior synonym of <u>Platy-</u> <u>clymenia quenstedti</u>. Neither opinion is correct since <u>Plat. subnautilina</u> has weak ribbing (see below). <u>Plat. pattisoni</u> is too poorly preserved to be compared with <u>Plat. quenstedti</u> and is regarded as a <u>nomen dubium</u>.

228

pompeckii

Wedekind 1908, p. 607, pl. XLIII, figs. 4,a (lectotype, Göttingen, designated by Matern 1931, p. 99). Beds 12 or 13, Enkeberg. Circular cross-section, strong radial ribs and biconvex growth-lines at middle sized diameters. Type species of <u>Varioclymenia</u> Wedekind.

47.5 25.5 15 13

<u>pseudoflexuosa</u>

Rzehak 1910, p. 181, pl. 1, fig. 1 (proposed here as the lectotype), pl. II, figs. 8,9, Haidenberg, Brno.

Faint ribs, smooth body chamber with flattened converging flanks.

57	28	— • • • •	23
47	18	11.8	16

quenstedti

Wedekind 1914, p. 45, pl. 3, figs. 10a,b (lectotype, Göttingen, designated by Matern 1931, p. 102) pl. 2, figs. 5a,b, Beil.

Widely umbilicate, oval whorl section and prorsiradiate bunched concave growth-lines and ventral band. Pl. 5.14.

1t	57	25	16	19.2
	36.5	17.6	9.5	11.7

quiringi

Müller 1956, p. 74, pl. 2, fig. 25 (holotype, MfN 5526) Bed 3A Alte Heerstrasse, Schleiz. Extremely widely umbilicate, flatly discoidal without ribbing, and slowly increasing whorls.

24.5

5.5

3.5

raricosta

Schindewolf 1923a, p. 451, (holotype, Mbg, recognised here and figured Pl. 5.16, Fig. 1) Bed 14 Kirch-Gattendorf.

Paired ribs in early whorls, and widely spaced ribs in outer whorls.

recticosta

Rzehak 1910, p. 180, pl. II, figs. 10a-c (holotype recognised herein), Haidenberg, Brno. Smooth inner whorls, subsequently developing ribs. Oval whorl section.

43.5 15.4 12.3 14.1

richteri

Wedekind 1914, p. 34, pl. 3, fig. 1 (lectotype Menden, designated by Matern 1931, p. 99), Beil. Very strong ribs on the inner whorls and widely spaced on the outer whorls, where whorl section becomes compressed. Pl. 5.12, Fig. 6.

60 27.7 17.7 20

rotundata

Wedekind 1914, p. 34, pl. II, fig. 15 (lectotype Göttingen, designated by Matern 1931, p. 99), Beil. Curved flanks present up to a diameter of 40mm, with prorsiradiate concave growth-lines.

ruedemanni

Wedekind 1914, p. 46, pl. 2, fig. la-c (lectotype designated by Matern 1931, p. 103), Beil. Widely umbilicate with flattened flanks and no ribbing.

76.2	32.4	ca23	ca21.0
55.9	21.5	16.7	20
27.3	11.5	7.5	8.5

<u>schleizi</u> (subnautilina) Müller 1956, p. 74, pl. 2, fig. 24 (holotype, MfN 5525) Bed 3A Alte Heerstrasse, Schleiz. Widely umbilicate with straight growth-lines, which run together to form a ventral band.

15 7.5 5.0 5.0

<u>semiornata</u>

Petter 1960, p. 27, pl. IV, figs. 12, a (holotype

230

proposed herein), Erfoud, Algeria. Similar to <u>ruedemanni</u> but with ribbed inner whorls.

28 14 8.0 8.5

semperornata Petter 1960, p. 25, pl. IV, figs. 6,a, 11,a
(richteri var.) (lectotype proposed herein), Erfoud, Algeria.
Similar to richteri but with 32 ribs instead of 25.

1t	54	27	15	15
	21	11.5	7	5

senilisLange 1929, p. 100, pl. 3, fig. 26 (holotype),(pompeckiiEnkeberg.var,)Differ from pompeckii in developing flattened
flanks earlier.

27 12.5 9

subnautilina

Sandberger 1855, p. 5, pl. I, figs. la-f (lectotype, Wsb, and syntype proposed here as P1. 5.15, Figs. 9 and 8 respectively), Kirschofen, Weilburg. Sandberger's figure is based on the lectotype, but the ornament of the inner whorls and the patch of shell near the aperture are based on the syntype. Inner whorls weakly ribbed with faint S-shaped ribs on the body chamber.

8

<u>walcotti</u>

Wedekind 1914, p. 38, pl. 3, fig. 11 (lectotype, Menden, proposed herein), Beil. Fine widely spaced ribs on the inner whorls flatly discoidal and widely umbilicate. It has a curved venter and single ribs at the aperture which distinguish it from <u>bicostata</u>.

37.2 17.6 9 11

Horizon and distribution: <u>Platyclymenia</u> is the most widely distributed clymeniid, being known from Cornwall (England), Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Harz, Thuringia, Oberfranken, Saxony (E. and W. Germany), Holy Cross Mountains (Poland), Brno (Czechoslovakia), Montagne Noire (France), Carnic Alps (Austria-Italy), s. Urals, Kazakhstan (USSR), Pakistan, Great Khinghan (China), Canning Basin, New South Wales (Australia), Alberta (Canada) and Montana (USA).

Most authors have reported the genus from the <u>delphinus</u> and <u>annulata</u> Zones, but Bogoslovskiy (1979) extended its range into the lower half of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe.

Subgenus Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) Hyatt 1884

Textfigs. 5.12-14

Type species: as for genus. Diagnosis: <u>Platyclymenia</u> with concave ribs, lacking spines or parabolic tubercles.

Horizon: <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe.

<u>Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) annulata</u> (Münster 1832)

P1. 5.12, Figs. 1-5,8, Textfigs. 5.12M,N

*	1832	<u>Goniatites</u> <u>annulatus</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 32, pl. VI, fig. 6.
	1834	<u>Goniatites annulatus</u> Münster - Münster, p. 95, pl. VI, fig. 4 (copy of Münster's 1832 figure).
	1839	<u>Clymenia annulata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 14.
non	1842	<u>Clymenia annulata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 123, pl.
		XII, figs. la-c.
	1843	<u>Clymenia annulata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 25, pl. VIa,
		fig. 6 (copy of Münster's 1832 figure).
p.	1863	<u>Clymenia annulata</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 130, pl. XV,
		figs. 11a-d, only.
non.	1901	<u>Clymenia annulata</u> Münster - Drevermann, p. 132,
*		pl. XIV, figs. 5,a,7,a.

1902	<u>Clymenia annulata</u> Münster - Frech, p. 31, pl. II(I),
	figs. 6a-c.
1902	<u>Clymenia annulata</u> var. <u>densicosta</u> nov. – Frech,
	p. 31, pl. II(I), fig. 7.
1914	<u>Platyclymenia annulata</u> Münster - Wedekind, p. 35.
	<u>Platyclymenia annulata</u> var. <u>densicosta</u> Frech -
	Wedekind, p. 36, pl. III, figs. 2a-b.
1923a	<u>Platyclymenia</u> <u>annulata</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 447,
	pl. XVII, fig. 7, non fig. 8.
1923a	<u>Platyclymenia annulata</u> var. <u>densicosta</u> Frech -
•	Schindewolf, p. 449.
1929	<u> Platyclymenia annulata</u> Münster – Lange, p. 107.
1929	<u> Platyclymenia annulata</u> Münster - Lange, p. 108.
1 956	<u> Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) annulata</u> (Münster) -
	Müller, p. 70.
1960	<u> Platyclymenia annulata</u> (Münster) - Petter, p. 23,
	pl. IV, figs. 4,7,9,10, textfig. 3E.
1968	<u>Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) annulata annulata</u>
	(Münster) - Jenkins, p. 503, pl. 104, figs. 5,6.
1968	<u>Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) annulata densicosta</u>
·	Frech - Jenkins, p. 540, pl. 104, figs. 7,8.
	1902 1902 1914 1923a 1923a 1929 1929 1929 1956 1960 1968 1968

Type material: A neotype (Mbg) illustrated in P1. 5.12, Fig. 8, from Bed 14, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken, (see Schindewolf No syntype material could be traced. 1923a) is proposed. Remarks: Gümbel's figure (1863, pl. XV, fig. 11) of Münster's original of <u>G</u>. annulatus (1832, pl. VI, fig. 6), embellished and enlarged when he figured it (see above, Genus Platyclymenia, Münster 1839, p. 14 and Gümbel 1863, p. 130) is regarded as the The selection by Wedekind (1914), Schindewolf (1923a), lectotype. Lange (1929) and Jenkins (1968) of another of Gümbel's figures (pl. XV, fig. 12), a specimen from Planitz (Saxony) in Geinitz' Collection, as "Typus" was invalid, since that specimen is not The figures of the juvenile lectotype are from the type series. insufficient for its accurate interpretation and therefore it is proposed that a neotype be selected from material from Kirch-Gattendorf, which is near to the type locality. Diagnosis: Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) with quadrate to subcircular compressed whorl section, and rounded to flattened flanks Ribs are closely spaced at all diameters and are and venter.

sometimes paired on the inner whorls.

Description: Four specimens were studied, the neotype, and three others from Bed 14 at Kirch-Gattendorf. All are housed at Marburg, and are illustrated in Pl. 5.12.

The neotype (Fig. 8) is evolute, with an umbilical width about 50% of the diameter. The whorl section is rounded in early whorls, becoming quadrate with flattened flanks and venter. At a diameter of ca 3mm there are periodic fine lirate growth-lines, which develop into strong sharp ribs by a diameter of ca 10mm. There are approximately fifteen ribs in the half whorl up to a diameter of 5mm, and this frequency is maintained up to a diameter of 20mm. Near to the aperture the ribs are diminished in strength and packed twice as closely. The ribs never continue over the venter.

Two other specimens of <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>annulata</u> from Bed 14 are illustrated here. These are Mbg 3125 (Pl. 5.12, Figs. 3,4, Textfigs. 5.13M.N) and Mbg 3128 (Pl. 5.12, Figs. 1,2). The former, figured by Schindewolf 1923a, pl. XVII, fig. 7, as <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata</u>, has slightly different ribbing. The inner whorls have ribs which are wider spaced, there being ca 25 per whorl up to a diameter of 15mm, and the ribs themselves are wider. The last whorl, up to a diameter of 30cm, has finer ribs with a closer spacing, numbering ca. 50 in the last half whorl.

The other figured specimen is the lectotype of <u>Plat</u>. <u>clarkei</u> Schindewolf, apparently distinguished by its wider umbilicus, and paired ribs on the inner whorls. The umbilical width is no different from <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata</u>, and the presence of paired ribbing is not considered to be a specifically diagnostic character.

Discussion: Münster's first illustration of <u>G</u>. <u>annulatus</u> (1832, pl. VI, fig. 6) was a reconstruction, the extent of which has been

demonstrated by Gümbel (see Genus <u>Platyclymenia</u>, above), who figured what he believed to be the same specimen (1863, pl. XV, figs. 11a,b). Subsequent authors (Wedekind 1914, Jenkins 1968) ignored this information. Drevermann (1901, p. 132) included Gümbel's figures in synonymy, and figured two examples, 7 and 15mm in diameter, from Langenaubach (pl. XIV, figs. 5,a,7,a), which can now be identified as <u>Trigonoclymenia</u> sp.

Frech (1902) further confused the interpretation of this species by figuring (pl. II(I), figs. 6a-c) examples from Enkeberg and Beringhausen. One complete example had a subcircular whorl section, a diameter of 28mm and ca 20 ribs in the last whorl. Inner whorls appear to have ribbing only half as dense as in Gümbel's figure 11. At the same time he introduced another name, var. densicosta, for a variety with closely packed ribs, and gave as examples of this a figure of a fragment showing only six ribs (p1. II(I), fig. 7) and a reference (p1. XV, fig. 15) to a figure of a specimen from the Fichtelgebirge, "Gümbel Pl. XV, Fig. 15", which doesn't exist. Rzehak (1910, p. 170) drew attention to the differences between Frech's new interpretation of <u>C1</u>. annulata, and the figures of Gümbel and Münster, saying that Frech's crosssection was far too rounded, unlike the quadrate sections reported by Gümbel (figs. 12,13), Cherynshev (1887b, pl. 1, figs. 11-16) and Wedekind (1908). He figured several specimens from Brno.

Wedekind (1914) recognised the broad way in which <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata</u> ("der typischen Art") had been interpreted and redefined it as having a square whorl section flattened flanks and widely spaced ribs. However, he did not provide an illustration. He stated that he had been unable to trace Münster's original and based his interpretation on Gümbel's illustration (1863, pl. XV, fig. 12). This was a specimen from Planitz (Saxony) which Geinitz had figured (1853, pl. IX, fig. 4, (<u>fide auctt.</u>)) as <u>Cl. dunkeri</u>.

Schindewolf (1923a, p. 447) followed Wedekind's opinion and stated explicitly that he regarded Gümbel's fig. 12 as the type, because he thought it was uncertain whether the two figures provided by Gümbel and Münster, which purported to be of the same specimen, were identical. Authorship was therefore attributed to Gümbel. This course of action, resulting in the interpretation <u>Platyclymenia annulata</u> Gümbel, lectotype pl. XV, fig. 12, could only be accepted if two conditions were to have been fulfilled: that Gümbel was creating a new genus, and that he was clearly interpreting <u>annulata</u> in a different way from its original author. In fact no new genus was being established and the interpretation was unchanged since Gümbel included in synonymy a figure of what he thought was Münster's original. Hence the above statement that Schindewolf's designation was invalid.

Schindewolf figured photographically two specimens as <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata</u> (1923a, pl. XVII). One (fig. 8) was from Langenaubach, and the other (fig. 7) from Kirch-Gattendorf. Both of these are illustrated here (Pl. 5.12, Figs. 9-12; 3,4, respectively).

Lange (1929) and Matern (1931) also recognised Gümbel's fig. 12 as the type. Lange was the first person to attempt to described precisely the ribbing and whorl cross-section of <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>annulata</u>, which he did in tabular form:

	D	U	WW	WH	Ribs
<u>annulata</u> Lange 1929, p.108	10.3 30.5 34.5 61.0	5.5 13.3 16.0 28.0	3.7 8.8 10.0 ca17.0	3.0 10.0 10.7 20.0	21, 17 17 _
<u>densicosta</u> Lange 1929, p.108	18.0 38.5	8.5 12.2	6.0 call	5.6 12.2	29 31, 28

The only differences lay in the ribbing, with <u>densicosta</u> possessing almost 50% more ribs at comparable diameters. However, introduction of similar figures for the specimens he regarded as types

(marked below with an asterisk) clouds the easy distinction that Lange drew between <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata</u> and <u>densicosta</u>, since the number of ribs (<u>annulata</u> 26,28; <u>densicosta</u> 25,34) falls between the values which Lange thought were typical for both species.

		D	U	WW	WH	Ribs	
	annulata		÷				6
-	Schindewolf 1923a, pl. XVII			r			
	fig.7 (distorted) fig.8	28 20	16	7	7	ca60, -	ca25 ca23
*	Gümbel 1863, pl.XV fig.12 fig.13	39 26	20	8	11	26, 28,	28 24
	<u>densicosta</u>				Ň		
*	Wedekind 1914, pl. 3, fig. 2	28	13	7.2	8	34,	25

The lectotype of <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>annulata</u>, interpreted from Gümbel's figure, has at a diameter of ca 10mm ca 11 ribs in one third of a whorl, and thus would be placed in <u>densicosta</u> by Lange etc. The lectotype of <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>densicosta</u> has six ribs on a fragment, the angular length of which is difficult to estimate. Gümbel's figure 12 is listed above, as are the specimens figured by Wedekind and Schindewolf.

In 1934, when he revised the genus <u>Platyclymenia</u>, Schindewolf stated that Münster's original (1832) figure was to be regarded as the type of <u>Plat. annulata</u>. This view was repeated in the <u>Treatise</u> (Schindewolf 1957).

The last contributor to this discussion was Jenkins (1968), who adopted a dogmatic approach. He regarded Wedekind's (1914) use of Gümbel's fig. 12 as the basis for his description as a neotype designation for <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>,) <u>annulata annulata</u>. Such a view is untenable; Wedekind employed a very flexible usage of the concept of "Typus" compared with modern (<u>Code</u>, 1961) definition and I am sure he had no understanding of the meaning of neotype, especially in the strict interpretation available to Jenkins. In short Jenkins has tried to wring as much as possible from statements written fifty years ago when a different philosophy prevailed. He excluded Gümbel's fig. 11 (= Münster 1832, pl. VI, fig. 6) from synonymy and selected Gümbel's fig. 13 as the lectotype for <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata densicosta</u>, assuming, presumably, that this was the specimen Frech had meant when he had erroneously written fig. 15 (see above).

237

To accept Gümbel's figs. 12 and 13 as types of two subspecies would leave little room for distinction (see rib count above). Jenkins stated that 26 ribs was to be the dividing line. However, we do not have to use as types the two specimens stated by Jenkins. Wedekind certainly did not select a neotype for <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata</u> and Gümbel's fig. 13 is not available for selection as the lectotype of <u>Plat</u>. <u>Plat</u>. <u>densicosta</u>, since it was not included in the type series.

As things stand only one figure (Gümbel fig. 11) is available for selection as the lectotype of <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata</u> (for fig. 12 to be available Hyatt would have had to have excluded fig. 11 in giving examples of what could then have been regarded as <u>Platy-Clymenia</u> gen. nov. Hyatt <u>annulata</u> sp. nov. Hyatt). Also only one figure (Frech's fig. 7) is available for designation as the holotype of <u>densicosta</u> Frech. Both are inadequate for clear definition and neither can be traced, which is why the confusion outlined above has arisen. Thus there is justification for choosing neotypes. In selecting these an attempt has been made to conform with the authors' original figures and with the interpretations of subsequent workers. The material available has also exercised a constraint.

The particular specimen (P1. 5.12, Fig. 8) proposed as the

neotype for Plat. (Plat.) annulata is selected because it comes from near the type locality of G. annulatus and because it closely resembles Münster's figure. This interpretation is at variance with the opinions of some German workers (e.g. Lange) but is maintained because there seems to have been no agreement amongst these authors, simply because so few illustrations of Plat. annulata were ever published (viz. Frech 1902, pl. II(I), fig. 6, later interpreted by Wedekind as Plat. rotundata; Drevermann 1901, p1. XIV, figs. 5,7, which are two juveniles; Rzehak 1910, p1. II, figs. 1-5, most of which are excluded by Schindewolf 1923a, Lange 1929, etc.; Schindewolf 1923a, pl. XVII, figs. 7,8, discussed ' below). It is all the more important to define this species since it is currently used as the index fossil for the upper part of the Platyclymenia Stufe, although I believe that authors have used Schindewolf 1923a, pl. XVII, fig. 8 (= Pl. 5.12, Figs. 9-12) as their model, which is in fact Plat. (Plat.) richteri.

The neotype came from Bed 14 at Kirch-Gattendorf and bears the label <u>Plat. annulata densicosta</u> in Schindewolf's handwriting. The specimen in Fig. 5 was also similarly labelled. They are less densely ribbed. Bed 14 was recorded as a 2.40m thick limestone (1923a, p. 256), and from it Schindewolf recorded (p. 285) no less than 18 species of <u>Platyclymenia</u>, namely:

<u>rotundata</u> Wedekind

- <u>annulata</u> Gümbel
 <u>densicosta</u> Frech
 <u>walcotti</u> sp. nov.
- * <u>raricosta</u> sp. nov. <u>bicostata</u> Wedekind
- * <u>clarkei</u> sp. nov.
- * <u>denckmanni</u> sp. nov. <u>intracostata</u> Frech

<u>valida</u> Phillips

* <u>quenstedti</u> Wedekind

- * <u>crassa</u> sp. nov. <u>ruedemanni</u> Wedekind
- * arieticosta sp. nov. barrandei Wedekind spinosa Münster dorsocava sp. nov. protacta Wedekind

Because of the thickness of Bed 14 these cannot have been collected

from one population but it is tempting to regard half of these (left-hand column) as synonyms, for they differ only slightly in rib density and whorl cross-sectional shape. To these could be added several other species which Wedekind (1914) introduced, namely:

<u>mirabilis</u> <u>richteri</u> <u>richteri</u> var. <u>densicosta</u> <u>valida sensu</u> Wedekind, non Phillips

Initially comment will be restricted to those species marked with an asterisk, which are illustrated here. Dimensions of these species were given above.

Most of the specimens collected at Kirch-Gattendorf are distorted, flattened and often the dissolved shell has been replaced by a Chloritic film on a stylolitic surface. A specimen Schindewolf interpreted as <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata</u> from Bed 14 (Pl. 5.12, Figs. 3,4) differs from the neotype of <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>annulata</u> in having less densely, more strongly ribbed inner whorls. By contrast its outer whorls are densely ribbed. More different however, is the other specimen which Schindewolf interpreted as <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata</u>. This was from Langenaubach, and is discussed with Wedekind's species from the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, below.

There appears to be no difference between the lectotype of <u>Plat. clarkei</u> Schindewolf (Mbg 3128, Pl. 5.12, Figs. 1,2, proposed herein) and <u>Plat. (Plat.) annulata</u>. Schindewolf asserted that <u>Plat. clarkei</u> was distinguished from <u>Plat. walcotti</u> by a wider umbilicus. This is difficult to substantiate since the specimen is both incomplete, and distorted. <u>Platyclymenia raricosta</u> (Pl. 5.16, Fig. 1) is too poorly preserved to be treated other than as a <u>nomen dubium</u>; it may be a <u>Plat. (Trigonoclymenia</u>). Wedekind (1914) described a number of species of <u>Platyclymenia</u> from the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, details of which were tabulated above (see <u>Platyclymenia</u>). Three species, <u>mirabilis</u>, <u>rotundata</u> and <u>valida sensu</u> Wedekind, are very similar to the neotype of <u>Plat. annulata</u>, and differ only in their whorl cross-sectional shape, being more nearly circular. Wedekind's interpretation of <u>Plat. valida</u>, based on the interpretation of Drevermann (1901) was false, and especially so when Phillips' original and accurate figure is compared with it (Pl. 5.16, Figs. 7,8, Textfig. 5.12I). A specimen from Schleiz (Pl. 5.16, Fig. 9) figured by Müller (1956) is illustrated to demonstrate the German use of the name <u>valida</u> Phillips. For a current diagnosis of that species see under <u>Stenoclymenia</u>, below.

240

Two species, <u>walcotti</u> and <u>bicostata</u>, were established by Wedekind for examples with paired ribs; <u>walcotti</u> was distinguished by the presence of single ribs near the aperture and a curved venter. Paired ribs are a common feature of many <u>Platyclymenia</u> and are not considered to be a diagnostic character.

<u>Platyclymenia richteri</u> (see below) is one of the better defined of Wedekind's species, being based on an example 60mm in diameter. It has strong widely spaced ribs on the inner whorls, which become closer spaced and weaker on the body chamber. The specimen (Mbg 3126, Pl. 5.12, Figs. 7,9-12) figured by Schindewolf (1923a, pl. XVII, fig. 8) from Langenaubach is considered to belong to this species. <u>Platyclymenia denckmanni</u> (Pl. 5.15, Figs. 6,7) may belong here also. A specimen of <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>richteri</u> from Ainkhausen is illustrated in Pl. 5.13, Figs. 3,4,5,8,12. This represents what I believe stratigraphers have used to define the <u>annulata</u> Zone in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge. The ribs are stronger than <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>annulata</u> and more widely spaced.

This specimen, and the one figured by Schindewolf demonstrates

a feature that was remarked on by Lange (1929), namely how difficult it is to separate specimens with and without parabolic ribbing. There are no prominent ears, as are developed on <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Trig</u>.) <u>spinosa</u>, but in places the ribs and growth-lines are convergent. This feature is especially visible on Pl. 5.12, Fig. 7, Textfig. 5.12L in the last whorl where the ribs extend onto the ventral margins and clearly cut across the growth-lines. Wedekind's variety of <u>Plat</u>. <u>richteri</u> <u>densicosta</u> cannot be interpreted because of the lack of a description or illustration.

At the opposite end of the spectrum from <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>annulata Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>subnautilina</u> shows only rudimentary ribs on its inner whorls and <u>Plat</u>. <u>beuelensis</u> Lange and <u>Plat</u>. <u>ruedemanni</u> are totally lacking in ribs. <u>Platyclymenia densicosta</u> is considered as a synonym of <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata</u>, as may be <u>Plat</u>. <u>valida</u> <u>sensu</u> Wedekind (see above), which has even more ribs. <u>Platyclymenia</u> <u>quenstedti</u> has distinctive prorsiradiate, bunched concave growthlines and possesses a ventral band.

Until more collecting is undertaken from various levels within the <u>annulata</u> Zone no further advances can be made in the understanding of this group of <u>Platyclymenia</u>. Therefore, none of the names used are accorded a systematic position, except for <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>annulata</u>, <u>richteri</u>, <u>nodosa</u>, <u>subnautilina</u>, <u>ruedemanni</u> and <u>quenstedti</u>.

Specimens figured in the literature from outside Oberfranken can also be included within <u>Plat. annulata</u>. These are <u>Plat</u>. <u>densicosta</u> (Wedekind 1914, pl. III, fig. 2a) and three with more circular whorl sections and closer spaced ribbing: <u>Plat. valida</u> (Wedekind 1914, pl. III, figs. 3a,4), <u>Plat. rotundata</u> (Wedekind 1914, pl. II, fig. 15) and <u>Plat. mirabilis</u> (Wedekind 1914, pl. III, fig. 6a).

Comparisons: The closest species is <u>Plat</u>. <u>richteri</u>, with fewer stronger ribs in the inner whorls and a smooth body chamber. This is described below.

Horizon and distribution: <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Plat.</u>) <u>annulata</u> is widely reported in the literature, from south west England to the southern Urals, Algeria, Iran, the Khingan Mountains of China and south eastern Australia. For the most part these reports are unhelpful because of the lack of a clear diagnosis of <u>Plat.</u> (<u>Plat.</u>) <u>annulata</u>. Interpretation appears to have been based on Schindewolf's figure (1923a, pl. XVII, fig. 8) of a specimen from Langenaubach. This is discussed below under <u>Plat.</u> <u>richteri</u>.

This species is the index fossil for the <u>annulata</u> Zone of Germany. It could be proposed that this zone be renamed the <u>richteri</u> Zone since most reports of <u>Plat</u>. <u>annulata</u> have probably been of this species. There would probably be considerable opposition from stratigraphers to such a proposal because the <u>annulata</u> Zone is firmly embedded in the literature. The only way to reconcile the continued usage of the name <u>annulata</u> Zone would be to apply to the ICZN to designate Mbg 3126 (Schindewolf 1923a, pl. XVII, fig. 8) as the neotype of <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>annulata</u>.

<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Platyclymenia</u>) <u>richteri</u> Wedekind 1914 Pl. 5.12, Figs. 6,7,9-12, Pl. 5.13, Figs. 1-5,8,12, Textfig.5.12K,L

v*	1914	<u>Platyclymenia</u> <u>richteri</u> sp. nov Wedekind, p. 34,
		pl. 3, fig. 1.
V.	1923a	Platyclymenia annulata Gümbel - Schindewolf, p. 447,
		p1. XVII, fig. 8.
	1929	<u>Platyclymenia richteri</u> Wedekind - Lange, p. 111.

1020 Blatesland is a state Gimbal Jango n 107

1929 <u>Platyclymenia annulata</u> Gümbel - Lange, p. 107.

1931	<u>Platyclymenia</u> <u>richteri</u> Wedekind - Matern, p. 99.
1960	Platyclymenia richteri Wedekind - Petter, p. 25, pl. IV,
,	figs. 13,a.
	<u>Platyclymenia annulata</u> var. <u>densicosta</u> Frech - Petter,
	p. 24, pl. IV, fig. 5, pl. V, figs. 13,a, 23.
• · ·	<u>Platyclymenia</u> <u>annulata</u> (Münster) - Petter, p. 23,
	pl. IV, figs. 4,a,7,a,9,a,10,a, textfig. 3E.

Type material: The lectotype from Beil (subsequently designated by Matern 1931, p. 99) was figured by Wedekind 1914, pl. III, figs. la-c, and is now housed in the Staatsmuseum Menden. Diagnosis: <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Platyclymenia</u>) with circular crosssection in earlier whorls, becoming quadrate. Inner whorls have strong widely spaced ribs which diminish in strength on later whorls, culminating in a body chamber with only weak indications of slightly concave ribs.

Description: Besides the lectotype (Pl. 5.12, Fig. 6) specimens examined include examples from Wäschholz (Oberfranken) (Pl. 5.12, Figs. 7-12, Pl. 5.13, Figs. 5,8,12), Langenaubach and Ainkhausen (Rheinische Schiefergebirge).

The lectotype has its inner whorls obscured by matrix. There are 15 blunt ring-like ribs in the whorl up to a diameter of ca 20mm, followed by a further 15 in the next half whorl, to a diameter of ca 30mm. The ribs are concave and persist to just over the ventro-lateral shoulder. In the last whorl seen the ribs gradually diminish in strength. The whorl section at a diameter of 30mm is quadrate, with flattened, slightly rounded flanks and a rounded venter.

The small specimen from Wäschholz (P1. 5.13, Fig. 2) has narrow, paired ribs to a diameter of 10mm, which are succeeded by single ribs which are less strong than on the lectotype. A well preserved specimen (HU P82.3) was collected from a loose block (see Chapter 7) at Ainkhausen (P1. 5.13, Figs. 1,3-5,8,12).

ັ 2 4 3

This shows well the ribbing of the innermost whorl (Fig. 12). Even at these small diameters some of the ribs cross cut the growthlines. The rib density on this specimen is far less than on the lectotype, there are twenty ribs arranged in pairs in the whorl prior to a diameter of 20mm, and at this point the ribs begin to diminish in strength, and are barely present on the later stages of the body chamber. The flank ribs are reflected on the internal mould, as well as shallow sinuses over the venter. At a diameter of 20mm there was a break in the shell (Figs. 1,4) and when shell growth resumed the fracture was sealed internally from a position just apicad of it (Fig. 1). Also visible in Fig. 1 is the fine keel associated with the wrinkle-layer.

Dimensions:

	D	U	, ww	WH
Lectotype, Wedekind 1914, p. 34.	60	27.7	17.7	20
HU P82.3	37.2	17.2	11.9	12.4
	29.8	13.8	ca 5.2	9.0
Mbg 3126	33.4	15.2	8.4	10.8
	29.2	13.6	7.3	10.3

Remarks: This species has been described simply because it is believed that most authors have treated this form as <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>annulata</u>. It is not possible, with only the photographs of type material available, to say which other species of <u>Platyclymenia</u> should be included in synonymy.

Comparisons: <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>annulata</u> has more closely spaced, finer ribs on inner whorls, and tends to have a more square whorl section and a wider umbilicus. <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>nodosa</u> has S-shaped growth-lines on the body chamber.

Horizon and distribution: There is no precise stratigraphic information for this species, believed to be restricted to the

× 241

annulata Zone.

<u>Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) nodosa Münster 1839</u>

Pl. 5.13, Figs. 9-11

1839 <u>Clymenia binodosa</u> var. <u>nodosa</u> nov. - Münster, p. 10.
1843 <u>Clymenia binodosa</u> var. <u>nodosa</u> Münster - Münster, p. 38, (copy of Münster 1839).

p. 1863 <u>Clymenia annulata</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 131, pl. XVIII, figs. 11a-c only.

Type material: The specimen (BSP AS VII 600) which Gümbel figured (1863, pl. XVIII, figs. 11a-c) and claimed (1863, p. 132) was the "original" of <u>Cl. binodosa</u> var. <u>nodosa</u> Münster is proposed as the lectotype.

Diagnosis: <u>Platyclymenia</u> with compressed whorl section, converging flanks, rounded venter and strongly ribbed inner whorls, with smooth body chamber and radial weakly S-shaped growth-lines.

Description: Evolute, whorl section subcircular up to a diameter of 20mm, thereafter becoming quadrate (P1. 5.13, Fig. 9). The first three whorls seem smooth, then the whorl between a diameter of ca 5 and 10mm has a sculpture consisting of 12 pairs of ribs. Only fragments of the shell of outer whorls are preserved; in the half whorl between a diameter of ca 15 and 20mm there are six single prominent radial, slightly concave ribs which diminish in strength, becoming blunt by a diameter of ca 32mm, and do not continue onto the umbilical wall.

Faint, rursiradiate plicate ribs are visible on the internal mould of the body chamber, though not on the shell itself, which shows only concavo-convex growth-lines.

246

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
BSP AS VII 600	58	23.4	12.8	21.4

Discussion: Münster's description (1839, p. 10) of the variety to which Gümbel attributed this specimen is short and lacks a figure: "Clymenia nodosa, a variety of Cl. binodosa, which has only a single row of tubercles on the outer side". Presumably Münster meant that his new variety had a series of ventro-lateral It is clear that this description is difficult to tubercles. apply to the specimen figured by Gümbel as Münster's "original". If Münster had had this large well preserved specimen to hand when he established the new variety nodosa it is likely that he would have illustrated it, or at least given a fuller description. Therefore, it is possible that this specimen does not come from the type series of <u>C1</u>. <u>nodosa</u>. However, there are several unnumbered similar but less well preserved specimens in the Münster Collections at Munich and Berlin, all labelled as "nodosa Münster", thus it is certain that Münster interpreted the species in this way.

Frech (1902) drew attention to the similarity between this specimen and his new species <u>C1</u>. <u>intracostata</u> from La Serre, Montagne Noire. Certainly from a comparison of the figures, the ornament and the whorl cross-section appear similar but <u>C1</u>. <u>intracostata</u> should not be treated as a synonym until fresh material is collected from the type locality, the original material being untraced at present.

Horizon and distribution: The type locality is Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. The horizon of the lectotype is unknown, but is probably the <u>annulata</u> Zone.

Subgenus Platyclymenia (Pleuroclymenia) Schindewolf 1934

Type species: <u>Platyclymenia crassa</u> Schindewolf 1923a (= <u>Pleuro-</u> <u>clymenia crassissima</u> Schindewolf 1955 <u>nom</u>. <u>subst</u>. pro <u>Plat</u>. <u>crassa</u> which was preoccupied by <u>Plat</u>. <u>guenstedti</u> var. <u>crassa</u> Schindewolf 1923a), by original designation of Schindewolf 1934, p. 343. Diagnosis: <u>Platyclymenia</u>, with depressed almost reniform whorl section and growth-lines which are radial over the flanks and form a broad sinus over the venter.

Description: Few species are well known, and a description is given below of the type species.

Available specific names:

<u>crassissima</u>	Schindewolf	1955,	p.	419,	<u>nom</u> .	subst.	pro	Pleur.
	<u>crassa</u> Schir	ndewolf	192	23a.				

<u>americana</u> Raymond 1907, p. 118, textfig. 1. Three Forks Shale, Montana. Many strong ribs, growth-lines with a wide deep ventral sinus. Cross-section subrectangular with a depressed venter.

D	U	WW	WH
32	-	14	8
	-	12	9.5

- <u>brevicosta</u> Wedekind 1908, p. 608, pl. XLIII, figs. 3,a (lectotype proposed here) Beds 11-8 Enkeberg. Extremely depressed, reniform whorl section with numerous strong blunt radial ribs on inner whorls and a smooth body chamber.
- h <u>crassa</u> Schindewolf 1923a, p. 458, textfig. 19c, (holotype Mbg 3154, proposed here) Kirschofen, Weilburg. Whorl section reniform with radial ribs.

	D	D U		WH	
	37.5 34.5	15.0 15.5	15.5 11.6	12.4 11.6	
<u>costata</u>	Lange 1929,	p. 63, pl.	2, fig. 14	(holotype)	Bei1.
	30-35 ribs p	per whorl.			
	D	. U	WW	WH	
	30	13	9	14	

eurylobica

Petersen 1975, p. 47, pl. 7, figs. 4-7, textfigs. 26.27B. This species (Textfig. 5.13, point 15, shows increasing depression of the whorl section and may be a Cyrtoclymenia).

D	U	WW	WH	
14.5	5.4	7.7	5.5	
10.8	4.4	5.0	4.3	

humile

Schindewolf 1934, p. 343, invalid substitution pro Var. brevicosta Wedekind 1908 which has a different spelling from <u>C1</u>. <u>brevicostata</u> Münster 1842, and in any case belonged to a different genus and was not No type material of C1. therefore a homonym. brevicostata Münster can be traced, the illustration of it is of a juvenile (Münster 1842, pl. XII, figs. 5,a,b) and it is therefore treated as a nomen d.......

	aublum.				
polypleura	Raymond 190	9, p. 5, p1. 7	7, figs. 4	-6, textfi	g. 5.
	Ribs smalle	r and fewer th	nan <u>Pleur</u> .	<u>americana</u>	with
	subcircular	cross-sectior	n and smal	1 ventral	sinus
	D	U	WW	WH	
	16	8.5	8	4	
transita	Kind 1944,	p. 161, pl. 1	L. fias. 1	8,a.	

Discussion: This is another case (cf. Gen. Nov. E, Gen. Nov. C) of Schindewolf establishing a new genus with a particular type species/specimen, but actually describing it with another. In this instance (1934) Pleur. americana was used to define the growthlines of <u>Pleuroclymenia</u>. On <u>americana</u> these run straighter over the flanks, and have a deeper ventral sinus than on the type species. The whorl section is also considerably more depressed (see Textfig. 5.13).

Horizon and distribution: Species are known from the Rheinische Schiefergebirge (W. Germany), Thuringia (E. Germany), Canning Basin (W. Australia) and Montana and Ohio (USA). Few specimens are accurately dated, either by association with other species or otherwise. The Montana examples are from the Trident Member,

Three Forks Formation, which has yielded a <u>styriacus</u> Zone conodont fauna (Sandberg and Poole 1977). Wedekind and Schindewolf believed that their specimens were from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone, and Lange suggested that his came from near the boundary of this and the overlying <u>annulata</u> Zone.

Platyclymenia (Pleuroclymenia) crassissima Schindewolf 1955 Pl. 5.16, Figs. 2,3,10, Textfigs. 5,12G,H

*v 1923a <u>Platyclymenia</u> <u>crassa</u> sp. nov. - Schindewolf, p. 458, textfig. 19c. non 1923a <u>Platyclymenia</u> <u>quenstedti</u> var. <u>crassa</u> nov. - Schinde-

wolf 1923a, p. 458, textfig. 19b.

Type material: Only the holotype Mbg 3154 (proposed designation herein) from Kirschhofen, Weilburg, is known.

Description: The holotype is evolute with depressed whorl section, which is reniform at a diameter of 36mm. The umbilical wall is short and curved slightly and the umbilico-lateral shoulder poorly Textfig. 5.15 shows the ratio U/D to decrease and the defined. ratio WW/WH to decrease greatly, with increasing diameter. The curved flanks run without break into the broad flattened venter. Growth-lines are barely visible but the ribs (Textfig. 5.12G), which run parallel, are radial, slightly convex over the flanks (but the holotype is distorted and also shows concave growth-lines/ In early whorls the ribs have a symmetric cross-section, ribs). but on the body chamber are asymmetric with the steeper face orad. Ribs are present at all visible diameters, but diminish in strength on the body chamber. The venter is smooth but the internal mould shows deep constrictions (P1. 5.16, Fig. 1). The suture is

illustrated in Textfig. 5.12G. It has a low ventral saddle.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Holotype, Mbg 3154	41			
P1.5.16,Figs.1,2	35.7	15.0	15.5	12.4
figured by Schinde-	34.5	15.5	11.6	11.6
wolf 1923a, textfig. 19c.		10.9	12.3	8.3

Discussion: Duplication of the specific name crassa in the original account (Schindewolf 1923a) of this species required that a substitute name be proposed. Matern (1931) proposed crassoides, but for the senior homonym, so this substitution was invalid. The situation was rectified by Schindewolf (1955), who proposed crassissima.

Horizon and distribution: Only the holotype is known and its age is uncertain, but is probably from either the delphinus or annulata Zones.

Subgenus Platyclymenia (Trigonoclymenia) Schindewolf 1934

Type species: <u>Clymenia spinosa</u> Münster 1842, by original designation of Schindewolf 1934, p. 343. Diagnosis: Member of the <u>Platyclymenia</u> with parabolic ornament.

Description: Morphology resembles Platyclymenia with the exception of the ribbing. During shell growth there were periodic halts when an aperture was formed by resorption of shell on the outer flanks and the venter to give tear-shaped or concave indentations of the shell margin, cross-cutting pre-existing growth-lines. The way in which the apertural margin cuts across growth-lines is best seen in P1. 5.17, Fig. 5. These features are the parabolic

nodes of the diagnosis. Infilling of these sinuses resulted in the triangular structures (see P1. 5.17, Fig. 4) which are alluded to in the generic name. Strong nodes are not always formed. In some cases a rib is formed by the approximation of several growthlines which are only slightly transverse to the normal growth-lines. Between these two types there is a spectrum of variants with progressively deepening nodes such as are illustrated in P1. 5.17, Figs. 1 and 8. Spiral ornament is a common feature.

Available species names includes:

spinosa	Münster 1842, p. 122, pl. XI, figs. 15a,b, Schübel-
	hammer, Oberfranken.
biptycha	Lange 1929, p. 109, pl. 3, figs. 29,a, (holotype),
	30, Beil, Sauerland.
<u>barrandei</u>	Wedekind 1914, pl. 3, figs. 13a-b, 14, pl. 7, fig. 4,
	Beil, (pl. 3) and Hövel (pl. 7), Sauerland.
<u>crassicosta</u>	Wedekind 1908, p. 616, pl. XLIII, figs. 1,a, Enkeberg,
	Sauerland.
<u>curvicosta</u>	Wedekind 1914, pl. 3, figs. 12a,b, pl. 7, fig. 3,
	Beil, Sauerland.
dorsocava	Schindewolf, 1923a, p. 455, pl. XVIII, fig. l,
анан алан алан алан алан алан алан алан	textfig. 18, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken.
<u>epicypha</u>	Lange 1929, p. 110, Beil, Sauerland.
<u>protacta</u>	Wedekind 1908, p. 616, pl. XLIII, fig. 2, Enkeberg,
	Sauerland.

Discussion: The development of parabolic nodes was, presumably, to accommodate some protruding soft part, such as the eyes, when the body was withdrawn into the shell. Similar features can also be seen in <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>). Several species are distinguished principally by the shape of their parabolic nodes. However, since there is often considerable variation in nodal shape within one specimen this characteristic is of little diagnostic value. Most names will become synonyms of <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Trig</u>.) spinosa.
Horizon and distribution: Species are known from the <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe of the Sauerland, Oberfranken (W. Germany), Thuringia (E. Germany), Carnic Alps (Austria-Italy) and Algeria.

<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Trigonoclymenia</u>) <u>spinosa</u> (Münster 1842) Pl. 5.17, Figs. 2-8, Pl. 5.15

- v* 1842 <u>Clymenia spinosa</u> sp. nov. Münster p. 122, pl. XI, fiqs. 15a,b.
- vp 1863 <u>Clymenia spinosa</u> Münster Gümbel, p. 132, pl. XVI, figs. la-d, non fig. 2.
- non 1929 <u>Platyclymenia</u> <u>spinosa</u> Münster Lange, p. 115, pl. 3, figs. 32,a, 33.
 - 1934 <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Trigonoclymenia</u>) <u>spinosa</u> Münster -Schindewolf, p. 341, textfig. 9.
- ? 1956 <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Trigonoclymenia</u>) <u>spinosa</u> (Münster) -Müller, p. 75.
 - 1960 <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Trigonoclymenia</u>) <u>spinosa</u> (Münster) -Petter, p. 32, pl. V, figs. 10,a,b, 22,a,b,

Type material: Gümbel (1863) recorded three specimens from Münster's collection in the BSP Munich. These are probably AS VII 521, 522 and 598. The largest of these, AS VII 598, is proposed as the lectotype and was figured by Münster (1842, pl. XI, figs. 15a,b).

Münster also mentioned specimens with shell; these are considered to be AS VII 521 and 522, which therefore are syntypes. Diagnosis: <u>Trigonoclymenia</u> with quadrate whorl section and tearshaped parabolic nodes on the ventrad part of the flanks.

Description: Four specimens were examined; the three syntypes and another specimen (KW 2064) from Schübelhammer in the collection of Dr. K. Wunderlich. All are figured in Pl. 5.17. Coiling is evolute with a rounded whorl section in the inner whorls, becoming compressed and quadrate with slightly convex converging flanks curving over into a flattened venter after a diameter of 40mm.

The ornament consists of ribs and parabolic nodes, which appear at a whorl height of ca 4mm (AS VII 522). The growthlines are normally concave and radial (Fig. 5) with a deep sinus over the flat venter. When the parabolic node is formed shell is resorbed and a raised rim formed marginal to the tear-shaped node (Figs. 5,7) which has a different shape internally from externally (Fig. 7) being directed towards the venter, rather than towards the apex. At the same time as the node is being formed growth accelerates at the venter and the ventral sinus is infilled to form triangular structures (Figs. 4,6). Only a shallow ventral sinus is present during the period of cessation of growth. Nodes are present up to a diameter of at least 32mm, and there is a spiral ornament (Fig. 2, but better seen on Plat. (Trig.) barrandei, Fig. 1) and very shallow ribs on the venter (Fig. 3). At greater diameters the ribs diminish in strength, and are radial rather than rursiradiate (Fig. 2) and the whor1 cross-section becomes more compressed (Fig. 8).

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype, BSF AS VII 598	4 4	21.4	9.2	14.5
KW 2064	52	24.7	13	17

Discussion: The specimen figured by Gümbel (BSP BT, 1863, pl. XXI, fig. 2a) is excluded because it is a <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>). Lange and Müller were incorrect in eliminating from synonymy Gümbel's figures (1863, pl. XVI, figs. 1a-d), since three of these (1a-c) are based on the same specimen that Münster figured, which they did include in synonymy. The specimens in Lange's figures (1929, pl. 3, figs. 32,a, 33) seem to be closer to <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Trigonoclymenia</u>) <u>barrandei</u> (Wedekind 1914) in which the parabolic nodes are less deep, and the ribs sickle-shaped.

Comparisons: Wedekind (1914), Schindewolf (1923a) and Lange (1929) between them recognised at least another seven species of <u>Trigono-</u> <u>clymenia</u>. Mostly these were distinguished by whorl cross-sectional shape, or rib/node shape. All except <u>Trig. dorsocava</u> were described from Beil; this has a grooved venter and probably represents a distinct species. The others with ribs varying from simple to concave (<u>crassicosta</u>) and developing tear-shaped nodes progressively in the morphological series <u>protacta-barrandei</u>-<u>curvicosta-spinosa</u>. This, however, may simply represent variation within one species. I have insufficient material to determine this. A specimen from Hauern, figured in Pl. 5.17, Fig. 1, and closest to <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Trig</u>,) <u>barrandei</u> is included for comparison.

Horizon and distribution: The species has the same distribution as the genus, and probably comes from the <u>annulata</u> Zone.

Subgenus <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Spinoclymenia</u>) Bogoslovskiy 1962

Type species: <u>Spinoclymenia</u> <u>aculeata</u> Bogoslovskiy by original designation of Bogoslovskiy 1962, p. 166. Diagnosis: Similar to Platyclymenia but with paired radially directed spines, the bases of which lie on the ventro-lateral shoulder.

Description: The morphology of the only known species of this genus is similar to <u>Platyclymenia</u>, with the exception of the ventral spines. These are hollow, conical, are connected to the body and extend up to two-thirds of the whorl height radially away from the venter.

Remarks: This subgenus is included to complete the description of <u>Platyclymenia</u>. Spines such as these are known from no other Devonian ammonoids. The flares or spines formed on <u>Teicherti</u>-<u>ceras</u>, <u>Acanthoclymenia</u>, <u>Pseudoclymenia</u>, Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> and <u>Kosmo</u>-<u>clymenia</u> all differ in not being connected to the body chamber, but are merely peripheral extensions of it, nor are they completely closed to form a hollow chamber. These spines may therefore have performed a different function.

Horizon and distribution: Species are known only from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of the southern Urals (USSR).

Genus Stenoclymenia Lange 1929

Type species: <u>Stenoclymenia</u> <u>stenomphala</u> Lange 1929, by original designation of Lange 1929, p. 69.

Diagnosis: Member of the Platyclymeniinae with compressed whorl section, flanks converging to a flattened venter, plicate ribs and concave prorsiradiate growth-lines with a ventral sinus. Suture consists of a dorsal lobe, a broad lateral lobe and a flat dorsal saddle or shallow lobe.

Available species names include:

* <u>stenomphala</u> Lange 1929, p. 70, pl. 2, fig. 15, holotype, from Enkeberg. Thinnly discoidal with a wide umbilicus.

D	U	WW	WH
36	15	7.2	12.5

prorsostriata Schindewolf 1923a, p. 453, pl. XVII, fig. 9 (lectotype, Mbg 3127, proposed herein), Bed 11, Kirch-Gattendorf. Extremely compressed whorl section (Pl. 5.16, Fig. 4).

D	U	WW	WH
31	14	11	3.5

sandbergeri Wedekind 1908, p. 620, pl. XLIV, figs. 9,a,10 (lectotype designated by Matern 1931, p. 101) Enkeberg. Ribbed, markedly quadrate crosssection. D U WW WΗ 37.3 19.6 6 10.8 Lange 1929, p. 71, p1. 2, fig. 16, holotype, schindewolfi Beil. Narrow umbilicus. D U WW WH 11 41 13 21.4 Kind 1944, p. 144, pl. 1, figs. 1,a, textfig. 1. elegans valida Phillips 1841, p. 126, pl. 54, fig. 245 (holotype

?

Phillips 1841, p. 126, pl. 54, fig. 245 (holotype GSM 7176) Landlake Qy., Launceston. Compressed flanks with numerous ribs, concave, prorsiradiate, at larger diameters these become superimposed onto shallow wide ribs, and bifurcate at the mid-flank, (Pl. 5.16, Figs. 7,8).

Discussion: <u>Stenoclymenia</u> was established by Lange for species similar to <u>Platyclymenia</u> but with a compressed whorl section with flanks converging to a flattened venter and a suture distinguished by a shallow ventral lobe. Lange included this genus within the Gonioclymeniaceae, implying that the dorsal lobe was primary. Schindewolf (1934, 1937a) considered that this lobe was only secondary and a result of the flattening of the venter. Further examples of platyclymeniids with ventral lobes are <u>Plat. (Plat.)</u> <u>prorsostriata</u> and <u>Plat. (Trig.) dorsocava</u>. Recently Bogoslovskiy (1979b) has described as new genera other species which have flattened venters, compressed whorl sections and ventral lobes. These include <u>Kazakhoclymenia</u>, distinguished from <u>Cymaclymenia</u> and <u>Carinoclymenia</u> distinguished from <u>Rectoclymenia</u>.

The sutural ontogeny of <u>Stenoclymenia</u> is still unknown, but it is reasonable to assume, given the flattening of the ventral lobe in platyclymenias with a compressed whorl section and flattened

256

venter, and the similar development of a secondary ventral lobe in other clymeniids, that the ventral lobe in <u>Stenoclymenia</u> is secondary. Therefore it is classified with the Platyclymeniinae, with which it has greater morphological similarity.

Horizon and distribution: The type species was dated as from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone, and Lange (1929) recorded another species from the <u>annulata</u> Zone. Bogoslovskiy (1979b) has extended the range up into the <u>hoevelensis</u> Zone. The genus is known from the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Oberfranken (W. Germany), and presumably from the southern Urals (hence Bogoslovskiy's data).

Subfamily Nov. α

Textfig. 5.16

Proposed name: Piriclymeniinae. Type genus: <u>Piriclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1937a. Diagnosis: Platyclymeniidae with an arched ventral saddle, and adventive, lateral and dorsal lobes.

Description: Besides the characteristic suture members of this subfamily have a small shell with ventro -lateral grooves, growthlines which are concavo-convex, or biconvex, and frequently have strong ribbing on the flanks.

Included genera:

<u>Sulcoclymenia</u>	Schindewolf	1972
<u>Piriclymenia</u>	Schindewolf	1937a
<u>Ornatoclymenia</u>	Bogoslovskiy	1979b

Remarks: Schindewolf (1972) argued that <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> and <u>Piri-</u> <u>Clymenia</u> were derived from <u>Platyclymenia</u> by the addition of an adventive lobe. He included these genera within the large family Clymeniidae. Korn (1981a) suggested that <u>Ornatoclymenia</u> too was derived from <u>Sulcoclymenia</u>. Bogoslovskiy (1979b, 1981) included this group of genera within the Cyrtoclymeniidae. Full details of the classification adopted here are given in Chapter 4 but it suffices to say that here they are placed within a subfamily in the Platyclymeniidae, which recognises their close affinities with each other, and their direct origins in <u>Platyclymenia</u>, not <u>Protoxyclymenia</u>.

Horizon and distribution: Members of the subfamily are known from Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Oberfranken, s. Urals, Carnic Alps and N. Africa.

Genus Sulcoclymenia Schindewolf 1972

p	1923a	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>	Hyatt	-	Schindewolf,	p.	427	(footnote).
---	-------	----------------------	-------	---	--------------	----	-----	-----------	----

- p 1959 Platyclymenia Hyatt Petter, p. 31.
 - 1972 <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> gen. nov. Schindewolf, p. 29.

Type species: <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> <u>sulcata</u> Schindewolf 1923a, by original designation of Schindewolf 1972.

Diagnosis: Genus of Subfamily Nov. α with a shell which has weak ventro-lateral grooves, lacks strong ribs, and has an external suture consisting of shallow umbilical lobe, a shallow ventral lobe, and a ventral saddle.

Description: Only the type species has been named, and this is described below. It has concavo-convex growth-lines; other specimens (see below) differ only in being weakly ribbed, or having growth-lines which, as they run over the flank, are straight or biconvex.

Comparisons: This genus has a suture similar to Piriclymenia, but

a simpler ornament, lacking ribs.

Remarks: This genus was established by Schindewolf (1972) to accommodate two specimens, named <u>sulcata</u>, which he had collected from Oberfranken years earlier (1923a), and specimens illustrated by Petter (1960) from the Sahara, to which she had given the same specific name. The genus was diagnosed by its suture, but the illustration which Schindewolf (1972) gave was not derived from the type material of the type species, but rather from the illustrations of the Sahara material. There can be no certain way of showing that <u>sulcata</u> Schindewolf = <u>sulcata</u> Petter, but in the absence of evidence to the contrary this suggestion is accepted here, but doubt must remain until material showing the suture has been obtained from Oberfranken (see Textfig. 5.12A).

Horizon and distribution: Known from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone of Oberfranken and North Africa.

<u>Sulcoclymenia</u> <u>sulcata</u> (Schindewolf 1923a) Pl. 5.19, Figs. 10,11,14,15, Textfig. 5.12A

- pv* 1923a Cyrtoclymenia sulcata sp. nov. Schindewolf, p. 427, pl. XVI, fig. 10, (non fig. 11 = Sulcoclymenia aff. sulcata).
 - 1960 <u>Cyrtoclymenia sulcata</u> Schindewolf Petter, p. 31, pl. II, figs. 14, pl. III, figs. 3,a,5,b,6,a,9,a.
 - 1972 <u>Sulcoclymenia sulcata</u> Schindewolf Schindewolf, p. 29, textfig. 2, (copy of the suture from Petter's illustration).

Type material: Schindewolf (1923a) based his description on two specimens (Mbg 3129a,b), one of which (a), illustrated in Pl. 5.19, Figs. 10,11, is proposed as the lectotype. It was collected by Schindewolf from Bed 10 at Kirch-Gattendorf. Diagnosis: <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> with lirate concavo-convex growth-lines.

Description: The lectotype, although poorly preserved, is selected because it shows the part of the suture. Coiling is subevolute (U/D = 0.31). The whorl section is compressed; ventro-lateral grooves are present by a diameter of ca l2mm. Growth-lines (Textfig. 5.12A) are prorsiradiate, concavo-convex, with a broad sinus over the flank, and a narrow, prominent dorso-lateral salient which has its apex ventrad of the ventro-lateral grooves. Growthline frequency is ca 5 per millimetre, at a diameter of ca l5mm. The poorly preserved suture (Textfig. 5.12A) is visible as an indistinct boundary between the sparry calcite infill of the last chamber, and the micrite infill of the body chamber.

A specimen (HU P82.1, P1. 5.19, Figs. 14,15) from Geuser, and another from Wäschholz (KW 2065, P1. 5.19, Fig. 19), both collected from loose blocks, are included within this species. The former is small but well preserved, and shows characteristic growth-lines numbering 7 per millimetre at a diameter of 12mm, and ventro-lateral grooves by a diameter of ca 7mm. The venter is weakly arched, and the growth-lines running across, although diminished in strength, The suture was not observed. The form a deep U-shaped sinus. specimen from Wäschholz is much larger (D = 25) and has the same whorl cross-section and growth-line frequency and course. At diameters greater than 20mm weak, concave ribs are formed over the flanks, over which the growth-lines are relatively bunched.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype,Mbg 3129a	17.5	5.4	4.0	6.3
HU P82.1	13.4	5.5	ca 3	4.2
KW 2065	2 6	11.2	_	ca 8.7

	D	U	WW	WH
Mbg 3129b	12.5	5.8	-	3.2
HU P82.2	14.7	6.2	3.1	4.3

Comparisons: Two specimens with a different ornament have been observed, but are not given specific distinction. One, Mbg 3129b, is a second specimen collected from Kirch-Gattendorf by Schindewolf. It (P1. 5.19, Fig. 16) differs from the lectotype in being more evolute (U/D = 0.23), and having growth-lines which, over the flank, are slightly convex, rather than concave. The specimen from Wäschholz (HU P82.2, P1. 5.19, Fig. 18) has truly biconvex growthlines, which are bunched into groups of 5-7, alternately widely and closely spaced.

Remarks: The lectotype, although not the specimen figured by Schindewolf, is selected because it shows the suture. Growthlines are not well preserved on Petter's material from the Sahara, but the enlarged figure (pl. III, fig. 3,a) and description show a concave course over the flank.

Horizon and distribution: Preparation of conodonts from the matrix of Mbg 3129b produced "no biostratigraphically useful forms" (Prof. W. Ziegler). The lectotype was collected from Bed 10 at Kirch-Gattendorf, accompanied by a fauna comprising <u>Rectoclymenia</u> and <u>Genuclymenia</u>, which suggests a <u>delphinus</u> Zone age.

Genus <u>Piriclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1937a

Type species: <u>Platyclymenia</u> <u>piriformis</u> Schmidt 1924, by original designation of Schindewolf 1937a, p. 31. Diagnosis: Genus of the Subfamily Nov. α with a strongly ribbed pear-shaped body chamber, and a suture consisting of large and

strongly prorsiradiate ventral saddle, adventive and lateral lobes and a dorsal lobe (Textfig. 4.13E).

Description: Only the type species has been established, and this is described below.

Comparisons: <u>Ornatoclymenia</u> Bogoslovskiy 1979b has an homeomorphic shell shape, but is distinguished by its suture; its lateral lobe is similar to that of <u>Cymaclymenia</u>. <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1972, with similar shell form and suture has a less strongly pearshaped whorl cross-section, lacks strong ribbing, and has a ventral saddle which is far less prominent.

Discussion: See <u>Sulcoclymenia</u>.

Horizon and distribution: This genus is known only from the Sauerland of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, where Schindewolf (1937a) reported numerous examples from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. Korn has recently used <u>Piri</u>. <u>piriformis</u> as an index for the fourth, uppermost zone of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe.

> Piriclymenia piriformis (H. Schmidt 1924) Pl. 5.19, Figs. 4,8,9, Textfig. 4.13E

	1921	" <u>Clymenia</u> " sp. aff. <u>kayseri</u> Drevermann - Schmidt,
		p. 284.
v*	1924	<u>Platyclymenia piriformis</u> sp. nov Schmidt, p. 125,
		pl. 6, figs. 18,19.
v	1937a	Piriclymenia piriformis Schmidt - Schindewolf, p. 37,
		fig. 4.
	1972	Piriclymenia piriformis Schmidt - Schindewolf, p. 28,
	t	fig. 2c.
	1979	<u>Piriclymenia piriformis</u> Schmidt - Korn, in Clausen,
		Korn and Uffehorde, p. 56, pl. I, figs. 1,2.

1981a <u>Piriclymenia piriformis</u> Schmidt - Korn, p. 174, figs. 1a-c, 2a,b, 3a-d, 4c.

Type material: Only one specimen from the type series, comprising at least five specimens (Schmidt 1924, p. 125), has been located by me. This, an unnumbered specimen in the MfN, figured by Schmidt (1924, pl. 6, figs. 18,a) is proposed as the lectotype. Type locality: Schmidt (1924) gave Ainghausen (sic) for the origin of the lectotype. This is currently Enkhausen (Balve). However, the label associated with the lectotype reads "Lotz Collection, Hömberg, north side".

Description: The lectotype (P1. 5.19, Figs. 8,9) is poorly preserved with little shell still adhering. Coiling is evolute, umbilical width amounting to 40-45% of the diameter, with a compressed pear-shaped whor1 section and a narrow flattened venter, delimited by ventro-lateral grooves, which are more clearly expressed on the internal mould, than on the shell surface. Widely spaced radial ribs are present on the flanks and over the ventro-lateral shoulder; these swing forwards and become less marked on the body chamber. The complete growth-line course is not preserved but over the venter of the phraqmocone impressions of strong lirae are present on the internal mould. A half-whorl of body chamber is preserved; on the first part of this the ribs are more closely spaced and then disappear, leaving an almost smooth The only suture discernible consists simply of internal mould. a ventral saddle and a broad lateral lobe, although this is damaged.

One other smaller specimen (MfN) has been examined (P1. 5.19, Fig. 4). According to its label this is from south south east of Dählsen, and was used by Schindewolf (1937a, fig. 4) to illustrate the suture of this species. However, this specimen shows no sign of preparation in the dorsal area, which is included in Schindewolf's figure. The ribs on this specimen are far weaker, and more closely spaced than on the lectotype, but they show the same variation on the body chamber. The nature of the external suture, with its two lobes on the flank can be seen in Fig. 4. The ventral saddle runs strongly forwards through the ventro-lateral furrow, and narrow venter.

Comparisons: <u>Ornatoclymenia</u> ornata has a very similar shell form, and is only surely distinguishable when the suture can be seen.

Discussion: Only three other examples of this species have been figured (Korn 1981a, figs. 3a-d) and these demonstrate the broad way in which this species is interpreted. The ribbing is different on each specimen, all of which are preserved as internal moulds. In fig. 3a there are strong, widely spaced ribs with 2 or 3 inner These minor ribs disappear from the flanks at a ribs between. diameter of ca 7mm and are present only over the venter. Fig. 3c has widely spaced ribs only, and there are no weak ribs or growthlines. Over the course of one whorl the specimen illustrated in fig. 3d has an ornament consisting of strong lirate growth-lines, followed by six strong ribs, and then the ribbing becomes less strong and more closely spaced, and finally indistinguishable from the growth-lirae. There is also variation between the three specimens in the relative size of the lateral lobes and their position.

With so few specimens available for study and no indication of their relative stratigraphic position the significance of this variability in ornament cannot be evaluated.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Lt., P1.5.19,Figs.8	,9.19.1	8.3	ca 3.8	5.9
P1. 5.19, Fig. 4.	14.7	6.2	3.5	4.7

Horizon and distribution: Korn (1981a) has used this species as the index for the fourth and uppermost zone of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe; it may range upwards into the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe, though the base of this is currently not well defined. The species is known only from the Rheinische Schiefergebirge.

Genus Ornatoclymenia Bogoslovskiy 1979b

Type species: <u>Clymenia</u> <u>ornata</u> Münster 1834, by original designation of Bogoslovskiy 1979, p. 36.

Diagnosis: Genus of the Subfam. Nov. α , with pear-shaped subtriangular whorl section, narrow venter delimited by ventro-lateral grooves, strong ribbing and a <u>Cymaclymenia</u>-type lateral lobe.

Description: Only the type species is known, and this is described below.

Comparisons: See Piriclymenia.

Discussion: Schindewolf (1937a) recognised that the absence of a deep umbilical lobe differentiates this species from other species of <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, but nevertheless included it within <u>Cymaclymenia</u>. Subsequently Kullmann (1960) and House (1970) questionably placed <u>ornata in Kosmoclymenia</u> and <u>Cymaclymenia</u> respectively. The generic position of the type species was settled, in a way, when Bogoslovskiy (1979b) established a new genus to contain it. When it was a species of <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, <u>ornata</u> was placed within the family Cyrtoclymeniidae (e.g. Schindewolf 1923a); Bogoslovskiy (1979b), using a different classification scheme, placed it in the Cymaclymeniidae.

On the basis of a poorly preserved specimen from the Cantabrian Mountains Kullmann (1960) questionably assigned the type species to the genus <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> and hence in the family Clymeniidae. This opinion was strengthened by Korn, enlarging on the argument of Schindewolf (1972), who had said that <u>Piriclymenia</u> was derived from <u>Sulcoclymenia</u>, and by suggesting that <u>Ornatoclymenia</u> too was derived from <u>Sulcoclymenia</u>.

Horizon and distribution: Korn (1981a) used the type species as an index fossil for the third zone of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. The genus is known from Sauerland, Oberfranken (W. Germany), Carnic Alps (Austria-Italy), s. Urals (USSR) and possibly from the Cantabrian Mountains (Spain).

<u>Ornatoclymenia</u> <u>ornata</u> (Münster 1834)

P1. 5.19, Figs. 1-3,5-7,13,14, P1. 5.20, Figs. 5-7

Textfigs. 5.12D-F

Clymenia ornata sp. nov. - Münster, p. 77. 1834 Clymenia ornata Münster - Münster, p. 122, pl. II, 1839 figs. 7a-c. 1843 Clymenia ornata Münster - Münster, p. 127, pl. II, figs. 7a-c (copy of Münster 1839). Clymenia striata var. ornata Münster - Gümbel, p. 147, 1863 v pl. XVIII, figs. 9a-e, 10a-c. Cymaclymenia ornata Münster - Schmidt, p. 288. 1921 Cymaclymenia ornata Münster - Schindewolf, p. 442. 192**3**a 1924 Cymaclymenia ornata Münster - Schmidt, p. 132, pl. 7, figs. 4,5. Cymaclymenia ornata Münster - Lange, p. 92. (?) 1929 Cymaclymenia ornata Münster - Schindewolf, p. 32, fig. 5. 1937a Cymaclymenia ornata Münster - Lewowicki, p. 97. (?) 1959 Kosmoclymenia ? cf. ornata (Münster) - Kullmann, p. 536. 1960 ? Cymaclymenia (?) ornata (Münster) - House, pl. 126, 1970 V figs. 16,17 (holotype). Ornatoclymenia ornata (Münster) - Bogoslovskiy, p. 37, 1979b pl. III, figs. 6,7, fig. 2.

- 1979 <u>Piriclymenia</u> (?) <u>ornata</u> (Münster) Korn, p. 58, pl. 1, fig. 3, textfig. 3, figs. 7a-c.
- 1981a <u>Ornatoclymenia</u> <u>ornata</u> (Münster) Korn, p. 179, figs. 2c,d, 3e-h, 4d, 5a-f.

Type material: BSP AS VII 550 is proposed as the lectotype. Remarks: Both of the specimens figured by Gümbel (1863, pl. XVIII, figs. 9a-e) are to be found in the BSP, Munich. The specimen represented by figs. 9a,b, which Gümbel (1863) claimed was Münster's original, was illustrated by House (1970, pl. 126, figs. 16,17) as the holotype of the species. Münster when he first described the species (1834, p. 77) failed to give a figure, or to state how Subsequently he figured an example (1839, many specimens he had. pl. II, figs. 7a-c) which is approximately the same size as the specimen represented in Gümbel's figs. 9a,b. This specimen, AS VII 550, is believed to be the specimen figured by Münster (1839), and is proposed as the lectotype, rather than the holotype, since it can never be shown that the type series consisted of just one specimen.

Diagnosis: <u>Ornatoclymenia</u> with umbilical width amounting to approximately 50% of the diameter, compressed pear-shaped whorl section, and ornament consisting in large specimens of lirate growthlines with a concavo-convex course, becoming biconvex and bunched so as to form ribs.

Description: Four specimens from Schübelhammer collected by Münster (MfN, SM H10382 and BSP AS VII 550,551) were available for study, together with four specimens (MfN) collected by Schmidt from "Ziegelei (= brickyard) Müller, Üllendah1".

The lectotype, BSP AS VII 550 (P1. 5.19, Figs. 5-7) is reasonably well preserved and shows most of the features of the mature shell. The umbilical width amounts to 40% of the diameter, at a diameter of 26.5mm, where the compressed whorl section is pearshaped with clearly developed ventro-lateral grooves delimiting the high arched venter.

The lirate biconvex growth-lines (Textfig. 5.12F) are alternately widely and closely spaced on the flanks, the bunched growthlines forming ribs, which are also visible on the internal mould. Over the venter growth-lines are equally spaced, and form a shallow sinus. The <u>Cymaclymenia-type</u> suture is illustrated in Textfig. 5.12F, but has been revealed by polishing and so may not represent the true form.

Two other Münster specimens allow more details of the species to be determined. The small specimen from Berlin (Pl. 5.19, Figs. 12,13) has a whorl section which is subcircular up to a diameter of 7mm. Thereafter the umbilical walls are more clearly defined, and the flanks are less strongly curved. After a diameter of 17mm the groove develops on the flatly rounded flanks. The growthlines at all stages are prorsiradiate and weakly biconvex, with a broad mid-flank sinus. Ribbing is not visible externally, but only on the internal mould of the body chamber, commencing at a diameter of ca 12mm.

The whorl cross-section can be clearly seen on SM H10382 (P1. 5.20, Figs. 5-7, Textfig. 5.12E). The ventro-lateral grooves are formed by the shell thinning in that region, and the internal mould has a simple subtriangular shape. This contrast can be detected on all three specimens, where there is a strong groove externally, but this is absent or weak on the internal mould. Growth-lines are biconvex (Textfig. 5.12D), groups of four alternately bunched and widely spaced on the flanks so as to form ribs. Growth-lines number three per millimetre on the venter at a diameter of 20mm, but become more crowded after this, doubling their frequency where the ribbing is lost on the last quarter whorl. The earliest sign of ribbing is developed by an umbilical width of 2.2mm, where there are umbilical tubercles. Ribs disappear at a diameter of 20mm.

All of the specimens from Elberfeld (P1. 5.19, Fig. 1) are preserved flattened in shales, with no sign of the suture and so

°**26**8

cannot certainly be assigned to this genus. BM C82398, also from Oberfranken, is recognised as belonging to this species.

Discussion: This species dates from 1834 and not 1839 as stated by all authors listed in the synonymy. The relationship of this species to <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> and <u>Piriclymenia</u> on the one hand, and <u>Cymaclymenia</u> on the other, has already been discussed. Suffice it to say that it is not absolutely certain with which <u>Orn. ornata</u> has closer affinities.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype, BSP AS VII 550	26.5 20.6	12.4 8.5	ca 5.5 6.4	ca 8 7.8
BSP AS VII 551 P1.5.19, Figs.2,3.	23	-	7	10
SM H10382	22.2	10.2	ca 7.5	. 8.0

Horizon and distribution: <u>Orn</u>. <u>ornata</u> is known from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of the Sauerland, Oberfranken, and the southern Urals. Korn (1981a) used the species as the index fossil for the third highest zone of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe.

Family Cyrtoclymeniidae Hyatt 1884

Type genus: <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> Hyatt 1884 Diagnosis: Clymeniaceae with subinvolute to subevolute coiling, globose to thickly discoidal shell, biconvex growth-lines with prominent ventro-lateral salient, and simple suture comprising broad lateral lobe and dorsal lobe.

Description: Shells are usually smooth, weak ribs are developed near the umbilicus in some species. Septal necks are short.

Included genera:

<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>	Hyatt 1884
<u>Protactoclymenia</u>	Wedekind 1908

Remarks: <u>Protactoclymenia</u>, established without a type species, has been revived to contain subevolute species, previously included in <u>Varioclymenia</u> or <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>. <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>, a long-ranging genus, includes a spectrum of forms and is divided here into morphological groups.

Comparisons: The Platyclymeniinae are all evolute and have concave growth-lines. The Carinoclymeniidae and Rectoclymeniidae have a more compressed, sometimes oxyconic shell-form, and again, different growth-lines.

Horizon and distribution: <u>Protactoclymenia</u> is known only from the <u>delphinus</u> and <u>annulata</u> Zones of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge (W. Germany). <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> is known from the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Oberfranken, Thuringia (Germany), Poland, England, Brittany, Carnic Alps (Austria-Italy), Cantabrian Mountains (Spain), Montagne Noire (France), North Africa, Novaya Zemlya, Urals, Kazakhstan (USSR), and Western Australia.

Genus Cyrtoclymenia Hyatt 1884

Textfig. 5.18

*	1884	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> gen. nov Hyatt, p. 313
p	1908	<u>Varioclymenia</u> gen. nov Wedekind, p. 605.
p		Protactoclymenia gen. nov Wedekind, p. 608.
р	1914	Protactoclymenia Wedekind - Wedekind, p. 20.
		Cyrtoclymenia Wedekind - Wedekind, p. 26.
p	1923a	Cyrtoclymenia Hyatt - Schindewolf, p. 421.
р	1924	Cyrtoclymenia Hyatt - Schmidt, p. 126.
p.		<u>Lenticlymenia</u> gen. nov Schmidt, p. 126.
	1929	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> Hyatt - Lange, p. 85.
	1931	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> Hyatt - Matern, p. 96.
p	1953	Cyrtoclymenia Hyatt-Nalivkina, p. 101.
	1957	Cyrtoclymenia Hyatt - Schindewolf, p. 145.
	1960	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> Hyatt - Petter, p. 39.
	1962	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> Hyatt - Bogoslovskiy, p. 407.
	1962	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> Hyatt - House, p. 279.

Type species: <u>Planulites angustiseptatus</u> Münster 1832, by original designation of Hyatt 1884. Diagnosis: Subinvolute Cyrtoclymeniidae, with biconvex or concavoconvex growth-lines.

Description: This heterogeneous and long-ranging genus encompasses a variety of forms, which are split here into three morphological groups, named after species within them: <u>involuta</u>, <u>angustiseptata</u> and <u>plicata</u>.

<u>involuta</u> Group

The <u>involuta</u> Group contains species with a subinvolute compressed to subglobular shell form, and growth-lines with a prorsiradiate biconvex course, but the concave portion over the flank is extremely shallow. Two examples of <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>involuta</u> itself, from Beringhausen and Beil (Fig. 6), are illustrated (Pl. 5.34, Figs. 1,2,6, Textfigs. 5.17C-E) to show the shell form and ornament. Schmidt (1924) described the septal necks from this species as being short, extending for only one third of the distance between adjacent septa. Perna (1914) regarded <u>C1. frechi</u> Tokarenko as a synonym of <u>involuta</u> (but considered them to represent sexual dimorphs). Wedekind described a stouter variety, <u>crassa</u> (P1. 5.34, Figs. 3-5) which has growth-lines which are almost straight with ribbing at the umbilical shoulder, and a shallow broad ventral salient.

Various authors have named species considered to belong within this group, which include:

crassa	Wedekind 1914, p. 21, pl. 1, figs. 8a,b,9.
frechi	Tokarenko 1903, p. 33, p1. III, fig. 6.
<u>involuta</u>	Wedekind 1908, p. 608, pl. XLIV, figs. 1,a.
<u>krasnopolski</u>	Perna 1914 (non Chernyshev 1887b), p. 19, pl. II,
	figs. 17a,b,18a-c.
<u>lenticularis</u>	Petter 1960, p. 44, pl. VII, figs. 15,a.
<u>stenomphala</u>	Petter 1960, p. 42, pl. VI, fig. 4, pl. VII,
	figs. 7,a,13,a.
<u>uralica</u>	Nalivkina 1953, p. 103, pl. IV, figs. 4a,b, =
	? <u>krasnopolski</u> Chernyshev 1887b, pl. 1, figs.
	17-21.

These species are known from the <u>delphinus</u> and <u>annulata</u> Zones of Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Novaya Zemlya, southern Urals and North Africa. Wedekind used <u>Cyrt. involuta</u> in conjunction with <u>Prol. delphinus</u> as indicators of his IIIß zone.

plicata Group

The second group, characterised by strong concave ribbing, is exemplified by <u>Cyrt. plicata</u>. Species known from the <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen of Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Oberfranken Cornwall and North Africa include:

	<u>cincta</u>	Münster 1839, p. 9, pl. XVI, figs. 5a-c.
h	crassa	Petter 1960, p. 43, pl. VI, figs. 13,16,a, pl. VII,
		figs. 6,a, textfig. 4H.
? '	fasciata	Phillips 1841, p. 125, pl. LIII, figs. 242.
	<u>plicata</u>	Münster 1832, p. 32, pl. VI, fig. 7.
	<u>plurisepta</u>	Phillips 1841, p. 126, pl. LIV, fig. 244.
	subnodosa	Münster 1832, p. 32, pl. VI, fig. 7.

angustiseptata Group

The last group contains <u>angustiseptata</u>, the poorly known type species of <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>. It comprises compressed, smooth shelled species, with biconvex growth-lines from the <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wock-</u> <u>lumeria</u> Stufen of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Thuringia, Oberfranken, Silesia, Carnic Alps, Montagne Noire, Algeria, southern Urals, USA, and includes:

<u>angustiseptata</u>	Münster 1832, p. 7, pl. I, figs. 3a-c.
<u>inflata</u>	Münster 1832, p. 7, pl. I, figs. 5a,b.
<u>lata</u>	Münster 1832, p. 7.
<u>lateseptata</u>	Schindewolf 1924, p. 106.
<u>strigata</u>	House 1962, p. 279, pl. 47, figs. 8,9, pl. 48,
	figs. 1-5.
tetragona	Schmidt 1924, p. 127, pl. 6, figs. 22,23.
<u>ventriosa</u>	Petter 1960, p. 41, pl. VI, figs. 5,a,12,a,
	15,a, pl. VII, figs. 18,a, textfig. 4E

<u>Cyrtoclymenia tetraqona</u>, which differs from other species in the group, is included here for expediency. It has a distinctive shell form with flat converging flanks and a flatly arched venter. The growth-lines, poorly visible on the lectotype (MfN, Pl. 5.35, Fig. 1) are biconvex with an extremely prominent but narrow ventro-lateral salient. Examples are known from the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe of the Sauerland and Cornwall.

A number of species, all with simple concave lateral lobes,

are not assigned to a particular genus, largely because the material on which the names were based is poorly preserved or inaccessible. These are listed below, for completeness' sake. Most were introduced by Sobolev (1912, 1914a, 1914b). The first two papers were in Russian and the last one is concerned with phylogenetic concepts, and newly introduced species were not described individually. The illustrations for these publications, to which I have had access, show the fauna to be well preserved as haematitic (?) internal moulds. Growth-lines are, for the most part, not visible in the photographs, so there is little benefit in speculating to which particular genus these species belong.

<u>campanulata</u>	Richter 1848, p. 29, figs. 66-72.
compressa	Münster 1832, p. 7, pl. I, figs. 4a-c.
<u>curvidorsata</u>	Sobolev 1914a, p. 9, pl. IV, figs. 5-7, pl. VIII
	figs. 26,7.
<u>djartassensis</u>	Nalivkina 1953, p. 28.
<u>flexilobata</u>	Sobolev 1914a, p. 64, pl. IX, fig. 33, fig. 118.
<u>genulobata</u>	Sobolev 1914a, p. 66, pl. IX, figs. 37a,b,
	figs. 12,13.
gracilis	Schmidt 1924, p. 126, pl. 6, figs. 20,a, 21.
gracilis	Nalivkina 1953, p. 111, p1. 4, fig. 14.
<u>kajraktensis</u>	Kolotukhina 1938, p. 678, pl. 2, figs. 5,a,
	6,7, figs. 6,7,8.
<u>lagowiense</u>	Sobolev 1912, p. 10, pl. 3, fig. 5.
p <u>lanilob</u> a	Sobolev 1914b, p. 354, pl. 8, figs. 5a,b,
	fig. 3.
rotundata	Sobolev 1914b, p. 361, pl. 9, figs. 28a,b.
subacuta	Sobolev 1914a, p. 30, pl. 9, figs. 12a,b,
	15a,b, fig. 27.
subcostata	Sobolev 1914b, p. 362, pl. 9, figs. 25a,b,26a,b.
tenuis	Sobolev 1912, p. 9, pl. III, figs. 7a,b.
undosa	Sobolev 1914b, p. 360, pl. 9, figs. 7,8.

Little is known of the species listed above, although the following observations may be added:

<u>campanulata</u> Richter: based on two distorted internal moulds showing a <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> suture - a <u>nomen dubium</u>. <u>compressa</u> Münster: based on a weathered internal mould a <u>nomen dubium</u> (see also <u>Cymaclymenia</u> below). <u>gracilis</u> Schmidt: based on a weathered internal mould (MfN) a <u>nomen dubium</u>. <u>gracilis</u> Nalivkina: if a <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>, then this is a junior secondary homonym of <u>gracilis</u> Schmidt.

<u>kjraktensis</u> Kolotukhina: this, together with <u>varicata</u> and <u>callimorpha</u>, all described in the same article, are probably referrable to <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Pleuroclymenia</u>) <u>callimorpha</u> (Lange).

Cyrtoclymenia angustiseptata (Münster 1832) Pl. 5.34, Figs. 11-13,16,17, Textfig. 5.17F

*v	1832	<u>Planulites</u> <u>angustiseptatus</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 7,
		pl. I, figs. 3a-c.
(?)	1832	<u>Goniatites latus</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 17.
	1834b	<u>Clymenia angustiseptata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 69,
		pl. I, figs. 3a-c (refiguring of the lectotype).
(?)	1839	<u>Clymenia</u> <u>lata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 7.
	1843	<u>Clymenia angustiseptata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 4,
		pl. la, figs. 3a-c (refiguring of the lectotype).
	1863	<u>Clymenia angustiseptata</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 110,
		pl. XV, figs. la-c.
	1923a	<u>Cyrtoclymenia angustiseptata</u> Münster - Schindewolf,
		p. 428.
	1924	<u>Cyrtoclymenia angustiseptata</u> Münster - Schmidt,
		p. 127.
?	1929	<u>Cyrtoclymenia angustiseptata</u> Münster - Lange, p. 87.
non	1960	<u>Cyrtoclymenia angustiseptata</u> (Münster) - Petter,
		p. 40, pl. VI, figs. 9,a,17,a, pl. VIII, fig. 7,
		fig. 4I.

Type material: A lectotype, BSP AS VII 585, is proposed here. This was collected by Münster from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Remarks: The composition of the type series is unknown, therefore,

this specimen is proposed as the lectotype. Diagnosis: Subevolute <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> (U/D=0.25) with slightly compressed whorl section (WW/WH 0.9-1.0). Growth-lines are prorsiradiate, biconvex with a more prominent ventro-lateral salient, and a deep ventral sinus. Weak ribs are present around the umbilicus.

Description: Only the lectotype has been seen. This, BSP AS VII 585, is illustrated in Pl. 5.34, Figs. 11-13, and is poorly preserved. Therefore little information can be added to the diagnosis.

The body chamber amounts to three-quarters of a whorl in length, and the last four septa are approximated, suggesting that this is a mature specimen. The whorl section has flanks which are flatly converging towards a rounded venter. The suture is illustrated in Textfig. 5.17F.

Dimensions:

		D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype,	BSP AS	56.4	14.3	. 17,6	23.8
VII 585		46	12.7	18	19.5
BSP AS VII	5 99	56	14	21	25. 5

Discussion: The lectotype is poorly preserved, and so the characters of the species, and hence the genus <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>, have not been fully elucidated. Gümbel (1863) reported only one specimen in the Münster Collection at Munich, and no others have been traced. Schindewolf (1923a) seems to have found no well preserved specimens from Kirch-Gattendorf.

Various authors have reported this species (see the list in Foord and Crick 1897, p. 18), but few examples have been illustrated. The name has been used broadly in the sense of <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> sp. Schmidt (1924, pl. 6, fig. 24) figured an unhelpful cross-section, and did not describe growth-lines. Lange (1929) described poorly preserved examples with "almost radial" growth-lines, in contrast to those on the lectotype.

In 1937 Schindewolf (1937a) reported <u>Cyrt. angustiseptata</u> from throughout the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe, but did not describe it. Later (1952) he figured a whorl section of the lectotype. Petter (1960) described several specimens from the <u>Platyclymenia</u> and <u>Clymenia</u> Stufen of Algeria. The growth-line shape is not visible in her illustrations, but her account mentioned a ventro-lateral saddle, and a flank sinus of similar proportions, which is markedly different from the growth-lines of the lectotype. Growth-lines cannot be seen on the large undescribed specimen figured by Bogoslovskiy (1962, pl. XXXI, figs. 3a,b).

Münster described (1832, p. 17) <u>G</u>. <u>latus</u>, a species with a "flat rounded side lobe". Later (1839, p. 7), from observations on a newly found specimen, he recognised this to be a <u>Clymenia</u>, by its ventral saddle and siphuncular position. It was probably this highly weathered specimen, lacking all indication of growthlines, which Gümbel illustrated (1863, pl. XV, figs. 3a-c). It (BSP AS VII 599) is shown here in Pl. 5.34, Figs. 16,17. This specimen is proposed as the lectotype. The whorl outline clearly resembles that of <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>angustiseptata</u>, but its preservation means that interpretation of this species is virtually impossible, and so it is declared a <u>nomen dubium</u>.

Schmidt (1924, pl. 6, figs. 25-8) illustrated specimens which he assigned to <u>Cyrt. lata</u>. One at least (fig. 26; Pl. 5.35, Figs. 6,7) has weak ribs around the umbilical shoulder and so cannot be compared with <u>Cl. lata</u> Münster. Nor, however, can it be compared with other ribbed species of <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> (see <u>Cyrt. plicata</u>, below).

Comparisons: Most other species of Cyrtoclymenia have differently

shaped growth-lines, and thus can be distinguished. <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>ventriosa</u> Petter (1960) has similar growth-lines, but a much thicker whorl section, like <u>Cyrt</u>. aff. <u>angustiseptata</u> described by Schindewolf (1952). <u>Cyrt. strigata</u> House (1962) has a subinvolute and more discoidal whorl section, with converging flanks, a strigate ornament, and its ventro- lateral growth-line salient is broader and less prominent.

Horizon and distribution: Of modern authors only Schindewolf is able to have interpreted the species correctly, since he alone had seen the lectotype. Therefore, accepting his opinion, <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>angustiseptata</u> is known from the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe of Oberfranken, Thuringia, Dzikowiec, Montagne Noire and the Carnic Alps.

?<u>Cyrtoclymenia inflata</u> (Münster 1832)

P1. 5.34, Figs. 18,19

- v* 1832 <u>Planulites inflatus</u> sp. nov. Münster, p. 7, pl. I, figs. 5a-b.
 - 1834b <u>Clymenia inflata</u> Münster Münster, p. 70, pl. I, figs. 5a-b (copy of Münster 1832).
 - 1843 <u>Clymenia inflata</u> Münster Münster, p. 4, pl. Ia, figs. 5a-b (copy of Münster 1832).
- v 1863 <u>Clymenia inflata</u> Münster Gümbel, p. 120, pl. XV, fig. 5 (refiguring of the holotype).

Type material: BSP AS VII 536 from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, is recognised as the holotype.

Remarks: Münster (1832) stated that he had only one specimen. Diagnosis: <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> with depressed whorl section umbilical width amounting to 30% of the diameter, and smooth shell.

Description: Only the holotype has been seen (P1. 5.34, Figs.

18,19) and this is badly weathered so that no details of the ornament are preserved. The shell at a diameter of 100mm has an umbilical width of 30mm. Half a whorl prior to this the ratio WW/WH is 1.2. At a whorl height of 41mm the shell wall is 2.5mm thick at the mid-flank and 1.2mm thick at the venter. There is a convex constriction running across the flank of the internal mould, widest near to the umbilical shoulder and narrowing towards the venter. The suture, scratched out on the inner whorls, consists of a broad lateral lobe.

Dimensions:

		D	U	WW	WH
Holotype, VII 536	BSP AS	98	30	37	31

Discussion: This species will remain poorly known unless better preserved material can be located. However, identification of the holotype means that future interpretation should not be ambiguous.

Horizon and distribution: An unknown level (?<u>Clymenia</u> Stufe) in Oberfranken.

<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> <u>plicata</u> (Münster 1839)

P1. 5.35, Figs. 15-18, Textfig. 5.17G

?	1832	<u>Goniatites</u> <u>subnodosus</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 32,	
		pl. VI, fig. 7.	
?	1834b	Goniatites subnodosus Münster - Münster, p. 95,	

pl. VI, fig. 5 (translation of Münster 1832)

1839 <u>Clymenia subnodosa</u> Münster - Münster, p. 8.

v* 1839 <u>Clymenia plicata</u> sp. nov. - Münster, p. 8, pl. XVI, figs. 4a-c.

ý	1839	<u>Clymenia cincta</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 9, pl. XVI,
		figs. 5a-c.
ŀ	1843	? <u>Clymenia subnodosa</u> Münster - Münster, p. 25, pl. VIa,
•		fig. 7 (copy of Münster 1832).
	1843	<u>Clymenia plicata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 36, pl. XVI,
		figs. 4a-c (copy of Münster's 1839 figure).
	1843	<u>Clymenia cincta</u> Münster - Münster, p. 37, pl. XVI,
		figs. 5a-c (copy of Münster's 1839 figure).
vp	1863	<u>Clymenia angustiseptata</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 120,
		pl. XV, figs. 2a-c (refiguring of the lectotype of
•		<u>Cl</u> . <u>plicata</u>), figs. 4a-c (refiguring of the lectotype
		of <u>Cl. cincta</u>) ?figs. 6a-c,e (refiguring of the lecto-
		type of <u>C1</u> . <u>subnodosa</u>).
?	1914	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> cf. <u>plicata</u> Münster - Wedekind, p. 26,
		pl. V, figs. 2a-b.
p.	1923a	<u>Cyrtoclymenia plicata</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 430.
v	1924	<u>Cyrtoclymenia plicata</u> Münster - Schmidt, p. 128,
		pl. 6, figs. 29,a.
?	1960	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> <u>crassa</u> sp. nov Petter, p. 43, pl. VI,
7		figs. 13,16,a, pl. VII, figs. 6,a, textfig. 4A.
. د		

~ 280

Type material: BSP AS VII 584, collected by Münster from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, is proposed as the lectotype. Diagnosis: <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> with blunt concave ribs on the flanks near to the umbilicus, numbering ca 14 per whorl.

Description: <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>plicata</u> will be described, and then be compared with other ribbed species of <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>. Two specimens have been seen, the lectotype (Pl. 5.35, Figs. 17,18) and an unnumbered specimen in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin (Pl. 5.35, Figs. 15,16).

The lectotype is clearly recognisable as the specimen illustrated, almost without elaboration, by Münster (1839) and Gümbel (1863). It is an incomplete weathered internal mould with remains of ribs on the inner whorls. Early whorls are unknown. The whorl section is depressed at a radius of 35mm (WW/WH = 0.16). At a diameter of about 30mm the ribs are blunt, strongest near the umbilical shoulder, and concave. The simple external suture, consisting of a shallow concave lateral lobe, and a ventral saddle, is illustrated in Textfig. 5.17G.

The better preserved example in the Münster Collection at Berlin clearly shows concave ribs with swellings near the umbilicus, numbering eight in the half whorl prior to a diameter of 40mm. Ribs are absent from the body chamber.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH	R
Lectotype, BSP AS VII 584.			25.5	22	35
MfN, P1.5.35,Figs. 15,16.	53	17	21	21	
<u>Cl. cincta, lecto-</u> type, BSP AS VII 583.	45 32.7	15 10.6	25 16.8	19.8 16.5	• • • •
<u>C1. subnodosa</u> 1ectotype, BSP P1.5.35,Figs.4,5.	19.2	4.9	6.8	8	·
<u>C1. fasciata</u> , GSM 7172, lectotype.	35		18.1	ca15	
<u>C1. plurisepta</u> , GSM 7174, lectotype.	26	6.8	10.5	12.5	. • .

Discussion: Wedekind (1914) described two genera, <u>Protactoclymenia</u> and <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>, without defining how they were to be distinguished. Only one specimen was assigned to <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> and this, <u>Cyrt. cf. plicata</u> (pl. 5, fig. 2), was poorly preserved and showed remains of neither ornament nor growth-lines. Thus its specific designation must be uncertain.

Schindewolf (1923a) adopted the same approach that is used here, and included all ribbed species of <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> as <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>plicata</u>. Schmidt (1924, pl. 6, figs. 29,a) figured an example (Pl. 5.35, Figs. 3,4) from Ense which had concave ribs, with swellings near to the umbilicus, which is recognised as belonging to this species.

In 1839 Münster also introduced two further ribbed species of <u>Clymenia</u>, <u>cincta</u> and <u>subnodosa</u>. The lectotype of Cl. cincta is recognised as BSP AS VII 583 (P1. 5.35, Figs. 6-10), which is the specimen upon which the illustrations of Gümbel and Münster were based. It is subevolute with a ratio U/D of 0.32, an almost circular whorl section with a well defined, steep umbilical wall, and converging flanks which run into a broad rounded venter. The shell is not well preserved, and no growth-lines can be seen. There are, however, strong ribs, straight or slightly biconvex, continuing over the venter in a shallow sinus, prorsiradiate, numbering 40 in the whorl prior to a diameter of 45mm. One third of a whorl of body chamber is preserved, and there is a normal Cyrtoclymenia suture.

The proposed lectotype of <u>C1</u>. <u>subnodosa</u> (BSP, P1. 5.35, Figs. 4,5) is a small specimen with 20 concave ribs strongly developed on the dorsad portion of the flanks, but barely visible on the ventrad half. It has a normal <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> suture. This specimen is much larger than that figured by Münster as <u>G</u>. <u>subnodosus</u>, which is lost, and so the species is considered as a <u>nom</u>. <u>dubium</u>.

The lectotypes of <u>C1</u>. <u>plicata</u>, <u>subnodosa</u> and <u>cincta</u> are distinctive and different. One has widely spaced concave ribs, one closely spaced concave ribs, and the other closely spaced straight or weakly biconvex ribs. How closely they are related to one another cannot be determined because so few examples of ribbed <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> are known.

Two ribbed specimens now recognised as <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> were described by Phillips (1841) from Petherwin Cornwall: <u>C1</u>. <u>fasciata</u>, lectotype GSM 7172, Pl. 5.35, Figs. 11,12, (p. 125, pl. XLIII, figs. 242a-d) and C1. plurisepta, lectotype GSM 7174, P1. 5.35, Figs. 13,14, (p. 126, p1. LIV, figs. 244a-c). These are both very poorly preserved, with little or no trace of shell remaining. Weak ribbing can be seen, especially near to the umbilicus, but nothing more. Association of these specimens with one of the German specific names (or <u>vice versa</u>) would be pointless (see P1. 5.35); more material from Cornwall is required. Their whorl sections appear similar to <u>Cyrt. plicata</u>, as Selwood (1960) pointed out.

Petter (1960) established <u>crassa</u>, a new variety of <u>Cyrto-</u> <u>Clymenia enkebergensis</u> Wedekind, for a number of depressed, ribbed specimens from the <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe of the Saoura Valley of Algeria. The ribs are concave and number ca 17 per whorl. Like the Münster species described here they are strongest near to the umbilical shoulder. The major morphological distinction between these specimens and <u>Cl. subnodosa</u>, which has a similar whorl outline, and ornament, is the umbilical width, which amounts to 30% of the diameter, between diameters of 12 and 36mm. The use of <u>crassa</u> as a subspecific name was illegal, since it was preoccupied by <u>involuta</u> var. <u>crassa</u> Wedekind (1914).

Horizon and distribution: Schindewolf (1923a) collected specimens he referred to <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>plicata</u> from the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe of Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken, and later (1937a) at Oberrödinghausen in the Hönnetal, he showed the species to range throughout the whole of the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe. No other occurrence of strongly ribbed specimens of <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> are dated, except for <u>crassa</u> Petter, which was stated to be from the <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe of Algeria.

Genus Protactoclymenia Wedekind 1908

*p	1908	Protactoclymenia gen. nov Wedekind, p. 605,8.
р		<u>Varioclymenia</u> gen. nov Wedekind, p. 605.
р	1914	<u>Protactoclymenia</u> (<u>Protactoclymenia</u>) Wedekind -
		Wedekind, p. 21.
р		<u>Varioclymenia</u> Wedekind - Wedekind, p. 29.
	1923a	Cyrtoclymenia Hyatt - Schindewolf, p. 421.
р	1924	Lenticlymenia nom. nov Schmidt, p. 126.
р	1929	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> Hyatt - Lange, p. 85.

Type species: <u>Protactoclymenia</u> <u>pulcherrima</u> Wedekind, proposed here.

Diagnosis: Cyrtoclymeniidae with discoidal subevolute shell form, and concavo-convex growth-lines.

Description: The shell form is discoidal and subevolute. The whorl section is compressed, quadrate, with a flatly rounded venter. Growth-lines are concavo-convex, often with a prominent ventro-lateral salient resulting in falcate growth-lines. Ribbing is common, especially near to the umbilicus of inner whorls. The suture consists of a dorsal lobe, a broad concave lateral lobe and a ventral saddle.

Species included here are:

<u>pulcherrima</u> Wedekind 1908, p. 608, pl. XLIII, figs. 13,a
<u>crassa</u> Wedekind 1914, p. 31, pl. V, figs. 3a,b.
<u>enkebergensis</u> Wedekind 1908, p. 606, fig. 1.
<u>euryomphala</u> Wedekind 1914, p. 31, pl. 1, figs. 12a-c.
<u>posterior</u> Lange 1929, p. 86, pl. 2, fig. 22.
<u>steinmanni</u> Wedekind 1908, p. 615, pl. XLIII, figs. 12,a.

Remarks: This generic name is used for this distinctive group because it has already been applied to some members, including the proposed type species. Its relationship to other genera is rather obscure because so few specimens are known. These six species certainly do not belong to <u>Platyclymenia</u>, which is considerably more evolute, and has simple concave growth-lines. Similarly <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> is no suitable home for this group because coeval representatives of that genus all have a subglobose shell form, are subinvolute (Pl. 5.34, Figs. 1-7) and have different growth-lines. Species of Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> (Pl. 5.30, Figs. 1-11) which are also coeval, are much smaller with a more compressed discoidal shell form, and differently shaped growth-lines. <u>A</u> single specimen of <u>Prot. enkebergensis</u> is illustrated here, Pl. 5.34, Figs. 9,10, Textfig. 5.17A.

Horizon and distribution: These six species are known only from the Sauerland, in the <u>delphinus</u> and <u>annulata</u> Zones.

Family Rectoclymeniidae Schindewolf 1923

Type genus: Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> (= <u>Rectoclymenia auctt</u>.). Diagnosis: Clymeniaceae with involute to subinvolute coiling, compressed to oxyconic shell form, and growth-lines which are biconvex, rectiradiate, with a deep ventral sinus.

Description: Little needs to be added to the diagnosis. Some species are strongly ribbed especially near the umbilicus. The venter can be carinate, tabulate or rounded. The suture is simple, comprising lateral and dorsal lobes or lateral, dorsal and one or two umbilical lobes.

Included genera:

Gen. Nov. D	proposed here (= <u>Rectoclymenia</u> <u>auctt</u> .).
<u>Cteroclymenia</u>	Bogoslovskiy 1979a
Gen. Nov. E	proposed here (= Falciclymenia auctt.).

Remarks: These three genera are distinguished by their sutures, Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> has no umbilical lobe, Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> one, and <u>Ctero-</u> <u>clymenia</u> two. There are two cases here of new names being proposed for what are considered to be invalid genera. One of them is the type genus of the family. Were these proposed names to be accepted the family name might, under the current rules (<u>Code</u>: Art. 40,41), remain unchanged.

Horizon and distribution: Examples are known from the ?<u>sandbergeri</u> Zone to the lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge Oberfranken, Thuringia (Germany), Poland, Montagne Noire, southern Urals, North Africa and south east Australia.

Gen. Nov. D

Textfig. 5.19

p	1908	<u>Rectoclymenia</u> gen. nov Wedekind, p. 613.
p	1914	<u>Rectoclymenia</u> Wedekind - Wedekind, p. 17.
р	1923a	<u>Rectoclymenia</u> Wedekind - Schindewolf, p. 417.
	1929	<u>Rectoclymenia</u> Wedekind - Lange, p. 84.
* •	1931	Rectoclymenia Wedekind - Matern, p. 94.
	1957	<u>Rectoclymenia</u> Wedekind - Schindewolf, p. 145.
`	1962	Rectoclymenia Wedekind - House, p. 278.
non	1975	Rectoclymenia Wedekind - Bogoslovskiy, p. 35.

Type species: <u>Rect.</u> <u>roemeri</u> Wedekind 1908, proposed here. Diagnosis: Rectoclymeniidae with rectiradiate, straight or weakly biconvex growth-lines. Simple external suture consisting of broad lateral lobe and ventral saddle.

Description: Shell form discoidal, subevolute, whorl section compressed with flanks flattened and usually strongly ribbed. Venter rounded, tabulate or carinate. Growth-lines are prorsiradiate, straight or biconvex with a ventral sinus.

Available specific names include:

	<u>roemeri</u>	Wedekind 1908, p. 613, pl. XLIII, figs. 9,a.
?	acuta	Perna 1914, p. 66, pl. IV, fig. 9, Fig. 61.
?	acuta	Schmidt 1924, p. 128, pl. 6, figs. 30,a.
	arietina	Sandberger 1853a, p. 182, pl. VII, figs. 5a-c.
	<u>brilonensis</u>	Lange 1929, p. 84, pl. 2, figs. 21a, fig. 20.
	<u>costata</u>	Perna 1914, p. 69, pl. IV, figs. 10a,b.
	flexuosa	Münster 1840, p. 125, pl. XVI, figs. 4a,b.
?	<u>kayseri</u>	Drevermann 1901, p. 135, pl. XIII, fig. 11.
	rotundata	Schindewolf 1923a, p. 419, pl. XVII, fig. 3.

Remarks: It is considered that a new name is required to replace <u>Rectoclymenia</u> Wedekind 1908, as it is now interpreted (Schindewolf, 1957). This was established with out a type species, and included
the following species: <u>kayseri</u> Drevermann, <u>roemeri</u> sp. nov., <u>arietina</u> Sandberger, <u>steinmanni</u> sp. nov., <u>annulata</u> Münster, <u>crassicosta</u> sp. nov. and <u>protacta</u> sp. nov. <u>Clymenia annulata</u> had already been designated as the type species of <u>Platyclymenia</u> Hyatt (Frech 1902). Frech, Wedekind and Hyatt (who cited Gümbel 1863) all seem to have interpreted <u>annulata</u> in the same way, and so it is argued that <u>Rectoclymenia</u> should be treated as a synonym of <u>Platyclymenia</u> Hyatt, because Wedekind included <u>annulata</u> within it.

Matern (1931, p. 34) erected Rect. roemeri as the type species of <u>Rectoclymenia</u>, which has been accepted by three subsequent authors (see synonymy). To ensure continuity of understanding of this genus <u>Rect</u>. roemeri is proposed as the type species. This genus is restricted to include only those forms showing growthlines similar to those on the Rect. roemeri type species. This was described from five specimens (Wedekind 1908) and the illustrated specimen (pl. XLIII, figs. 9,a) is proposed as the lecto-The ribs visible on this are radial and straight. Kind type. (1944, pl. 1, fig. 10) and Bogoslovskiy (1962, pl. XXXII, figs. 1,a,b) have both figured similar examples, and the specimens figured by Wedekind (1914, pl. 1, figs. 2d-e) as subflexuosa, and by Perna (1914, pl. IV, figs. 10a,b) as subflexuosa, and by Perna (1914, pl. II, figs. 21a,b) as costata (a junior homonym of costata Münster) may also belong here. Large examples (Bogoslovskiy 1962, Wedekind 1914) appear to develop a carinate venter and compressed whorl section and to lose their ribbing, except in the umbilical region.

A single specimen from the type series of <u>C1</u>. <u>arietina</u> has been located in Wiesbaden, This (P1. 5.31, Figs. 9,10) was figured by Sandberger (1853b, p1. VII, fig. 13). The better specimen figured in his (?) earlier account (1853a, p1. VII, fig. 5)

288

does not now seem to be in Wiesbaden. It is shown with radial weakly biconvex ribs, which swing sharply backwards over the carinate venter. However the specimen figured here has concave prorsiradiate ribs, and is clearly not conspecific. The species is best interpreted by reference to Wedekind's figure (1914, pl. I, figs. 3a-b), rather than Frech's (1902, pl. II(I), fig. 9).

The only other ribbed species is kayseri (Drevermann 1901), a synonymy for which was given by Lange (1929, p. 97). The lectotype (Drevermann 1901, pl. XIII, figs. lla-c) is now missing It was small (D=16) evolute, compressed and had from Marburg. 14 straight, radial, blunt ribs in the last whorl. Another example was figured by Wedekind (1914, pl. 1, fig. 4), collected from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone, which was more densly ribbed, especially in the last quarter of a whorl where the ribs are twice as frequent as on the lectotype, and prorsiradiate. Three further examples were figured by Schindewolf (1923a, pl. XVII, fig. 1) which all showed different rib densities, ranging from ca 12 per These three specimens, all on one block, cannot whorl to ca 20. now be traced. Schindewolf collected the specimens from Bed 9 at Kirch-Gattendorf, together with Pseudoclymenia sandbergeri, indicative of the sandbergeri Zone.

Two examples from Geuser are figured here (P1. 5.31, Figs. 7,8). One (Fig. 8) closely resembles the Drevermann specimens, with few (ca 14 per whor1) radial ribs. The other (BSP AS VII 523), which is more like Schindewolf's specimens, has about 20 ribs per whor1 at a diameter of 10mm, and these are weakly concave and prorsiradiate.

In 1924 Schmidt (pl. 6, figs. 30,a) described an evolute oxyconic specimen as <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> <u>acuta</u>. The holotype, from the <u>Clymenia</u> or <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen of Ense, Wildungen, is poorly

preserved and shows only the shell form and the semicircular lateral lobe. If this is a Gen. Nov. <u>D</u>, and without knowledge of the growth-lines there can be no certainty, then its specific name is preoccupied by <u>Cl</u>. <u>acuta</u> Perna (1914, p. 65, pl. IV, fig. 9, textfig. 61) which has an oxyconic subinvolute shell form and weakly biconvex prorsiradiate growth-lines.

Two specimens from Beil are intermediate in age and shell form between other Gen. Nov. spp. and ?Gen. Nov. <u>acuta sensu</u> Schmidt. These, GT 2015 and KW 2076 (P1. 5.31, Figs. 13-16), have similar shell form, evolute with converging flanks and a subtriangular whorl section. GT 2015 has growth-lines which are weakly biconvex, or concavo-convex. There are no ribs, but the growth-lines are periodically bunched. The specimen was collected from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone at Beil. KW 2076 was collected higher in the sequence, probably from the lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, and has growth-lines which are weakly concave at a diameter of Ca 50mm, but these become weakly convex on the body chamber, at a diameter of 70mm. A groove runs along the ventro-lateral shoulder of the internal mould and the suture is illustrated (Textfig. 5.19D).

Dimensions:

• [*]	D	U	WW	WH
Wsb, P1.5.31, Figs.9,10.	27	9.4		10.9
<u>kayseri</u> , BSP AS VII 523	15.1 12.4	6.1 5	3.6	4.5 4.4
<u>acuta</u> Schmidt, MfN, P1.5.31, Figs.4-6.	31.3	13	. 5	11.4
GT 2015	43.5		7.3	19.5
KW 2076	75.2 51.5	27.8	ca17	29.1 19.3

Horizon and distribution: Gen. Nov. \underline{D} is known from Sauerland, Kellerwald, Oberfranken and s. Urals, and ranges in age from

?sandbergeri Zone (kayseri) to the lower Clymenia Stufe.

Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>flexuosa</u> (Münster 1840) Pl. 5.31, Figs. 1,2, Textfigs. 5.19E-F

*	1840	<u>Clymenia flexuosa</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 125, pl.
		XVI, figs. 4a,b.
n o n	1842	<u>Clymenia flexuosa</u> var. <u>costata</u> nov. – Münster, p. 92,
		pl. XI, figs. 16a,b.
non	1863	<u>Clymenia flexuosa</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 126.
non	1902	<u>Clymenia flexuosa</u> Münster - Frech, p. 32, textfig. 2.
	1923a	Rectoclymenia rotundata sp. nov Schindewolf, p. 419,
		pl. XVII, fig. 3.
n o n	1923a	Cvrtoclymenia flexuosa Münster - Schindewolf, p. 425.

Type material: A neotype, BM 81856, from Geuser, Oberfranken, is proposed.

Remarks: No material from the type series has been located. The neotype is from the same locality as Münster's material. Diagnosis: Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> with compressed shell form, narrow flatly rounded venter, biconvex growth-lines bunched to form ribs, especially near the umbilicus.

Description: Two specimens were examined, the neotype (P1. 5.31, Fig. 2), and Mbg 3121, which is the lectotype (proposed here) of <u>Rect. rotundata</u> Schindewolf, 1923a (P1. 5.31, Fig. 2).

The neotype (BM 81856) has a shell form which is discoidal, subinvolute, the umbilicus amounts to 26% of the diameter at a diameter of 17.8mm. The whorl section is compressed with the maximum width at the umbilicus, the flanks converge slightly towards the flatly rounded venter. Growth-lines are biconvex with a shallow, broad, mid-flank sinus, and a more prominent ventro-lateral salient. Growth-lines are bunched to form flat ribs, especially near to the umbilicus. The suture is not visible.

292

The lectotype of <u>Rect</u>. <u>rotundata</u> has a similar shell form, but has growth-lines which are more truly biconvex withboth flank salients being equally prominent, and the mid-flank sinus being very shallow. However, this distinction may be enhanced by the fact that BM 81856 is distorted. Flat ribs are present, composed of ca 10 growth-lines, which have a frequency of ca 100 per centimetre, at a diameter of 22mm. Part of a radial constriction is visible on the internal mould.

Dimensions:

		D	U	WW	WH
Neotype,	BM 81856	17.8	4.7		8.6
Mbg 3121	(distorted)	22	4.2		10

Discussion: In spite of the fact that Münster's original description and figure were quite unambiguous there has been much incorrect interpretation of this species, hence the need for the establishment of a neotype. The confusion has arisen from a Gümbel failure to identify the specimens figured by Münster. (1863) illustrated four examples as <u>C1. flexuosa</u> in pl. XV. That illustrated in fig. 7 was wrongly claimed to be the original of <u>C1</u>. <u>flexuosa</u> Münster. This is clearly not so since it has strong ribbing. Figure 8 resembles the specimen of C1. falcifera figured by Münster (see below), C1. costulata, the original of which Gümbel claimed to have illustrated in fig. 9, was not figured by Münster, and fig. 10 has been designated as the lectotype of Gen. Nov. F subflexuosa. Gümbel also mentioned C1. flexuosa var. costata, but did not illustrate any examples. The inclusion of such different specimens within C1. flexuosa has been the cause of its broad interpretation.

Frech (1902) examined specimens of C1. falcifera and costulata

in Berlin, and declared them to be identical with the original of <u>Cl. flexuosa</u>. He figured (1902, fig. 2) a large (D=55) sketch of <u>Cl. flexuosa</u>, itself a composite of a specimen from Planitz, Saxony, and another from Cabrières. The larger example is evolute with concave, rursiradiate growth-lines, and is best described as a <u>Platyclymenia</u>.

Schindewolf (1923a) examined four specimens in the Münster Collection at Munich and attempted to resolve the problem of the identity of <u>C1. flexuosa</u>. He recognised <u>C1. subflexuosa</u> to be a distinct species (see below). He selected (1923a, p. 425) the specimen figured by Münster (1842) in pl. XI, figs. 16a,b on which to base his description of <u>C1. flexuosa</u>. Unfortunately this (P1. 5.30, Figs. 6,7) has concavo-convex growth-lines and prominent lunate ribs near the umbilicus and was described by Münster as <u>C1. flexuosa</u> var. <u>costata</u>. <u>C1. costulata</u> was not mentioned by Schindewolf, and he considered the strongly ribbed evolute <u>C1. falcifera</u> Münster to be identical with <u>C1. flexuosa</u> (p. 419).

My conclusions from examining the same specimens are:

- Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>flexuosa</u> is a species defined by reference to Münster 1840, pl. XVI, figs. 4a,b. A neotype (BM 81856) has been selected.
- 2. Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> <u>costata</u> is a species identified by its lectotype BSP AS VII 606, which was figured by Münster 1842, pl. XI, figs. 16a,b, and is described below.
- 3. Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> <u>falcifera</u> is a species identified by comparison with Münster 1842, pl. XI, figs. 17a,b and Gümbel 1863, pl. XV, figs. 8a-d and interpreted by the neotype illustrated in Pl. 5.30, Figs. 8,9 (see below).
- 4. <u>C1. costulata</u> is treated as a <u>nomen</u> <u>dubium</u>; the original

description is brief, and no type material has been located

(but see Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> <u>falcifera</u>, below).

The species described as <u>Rect</u>. <u>rotundata</u> by Schindewolf (1923a) differs only in having slightly more biconvex growthlines, but this apparent distinction may be due simply to the distortion of the neotype.

Comparisons: Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>brilonensis</u> Lange, 1929, described from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone at Enkeberg has a much thicker whorl section, and is more widely umbilicate.

Horizon and distribution: Schindewolf (1923a) reported <u>Rect</u>. <u>rotundata</u> from IIIB (Bed 11, Kirch-Gattendorf). The species is known only from Oberfranken (W. Germany).

Gen. Nov. E

Textfig. 5.19

?p	1923a	Falciclymenia gen. nov Schindewolf, p. 419.
	1923b	Falciclymenia gen. nov Schindewolf, p. 64, fig. 4f.
?	1935	<u>Pseudoclymenia</u> Frech - Böhm, p. 58.
non	1951	Falciclymenia Schindewolf - Miller and Collinson,
		p. 600.
	1957	Falciclymenia Schindewolf - Schindewolf, p. 145.
?p	1960	<u>Falciclymenia</u> Schindewolf - Kullmann, p. 541.
	1960	<u>Falciclymenia</u> Schindewolf - Bogoslovskiy, p. 72.
	1962	Falciclymenia Schindewolf - Bogoslovskiy, p. 407,
•		pl. XXXII, figs. 2a,b.
	1973	Falciclymenia Schindewolf - Brugge, pl. 1, figs. 1-4.

Type species: sp. <u>a</u>, described below. Diagnosis: Rectoclymeniidae with sickle-shaped external suture (Textfig. 5.19M). Description: Shell form compressed, discoidal, subinvolute, venter narrow, tabulate or carinate merging with flanks. Growthlines prorsiradiate biconvex with ventral sinus. Ribbing present. Suture consisting of three lobes, a deep rounded lateral lobe, a shallow umbilical lobe, and a narrow tongue-shaped dorsal lobe. Gen. Nov. <u>E uralica</u> (Bogoslovskiy 1960) is the only existing species certainly assigned to this genus. Two unnamed species are described here, and <u>cyrtostriata</u> Kullmann may belong here, too.

Discussion: This new genus is introduced in order to clear up confusion surrounding <u>Falciclymenia</u>, established by Schindewolf in 1923 (see synonymy above), with type species <u>falcifera</u> Münster, and considered to be a junior subjective synonym of <u>Protornoceras</u> Dybcynski 1913.

An ambiguity arises in interpreting the type species. Münster had used the specific name falcifera for Famennian ammonoids twice: Goniatites falcifer 1840, p. 106, pl. XVI, fig. 7, and The earliest Clymenia falcifera 1842, p. 125, pl. XI, figs. 17a,b. mention of Falciclymenia is in a footnote (Schindewolf 1923a, p. 419), where <u>Rect. falcifera</u> Münster is named as its type species. Schindewolf noted This, in turn, refers to <u>G</u>. <u>falcifer</u> Münster. that Frech (1902) had already recognised this to be a clymeniid, synonymous with C1. subflexuosa Münster, and he had illustrated an example from La Serre (1902, pl. V(IV), figs. 4,a). Schindewolf contradicted this opinion, stating that he had compared the originals of subflexuosa and falcifera with a further example from Cabrières (La Serre). This last specimen, which "... zeigt zu Beginn des 1etzten Umganges fast gerade runde radial Rippen", is believed to have been collected by Escot, and is now in the collections at Marburg, and is illustrated here in Pl. 5.32, Figs. 10,13,14, where the ribbing and the suture can be seen

clearly. Its provenance is discussed below.

Later Schindewolf (1923b, fig. 4f) illustrated a sickleshaped suture for this species, the derivation of the illustrated specimen being "Oberdevon IV, Cabrières". This is also probably based on the specimen referred to above.

Schindewolf (1923a, p. 419) referred <u>C1</u>. <u>falcifera</u> Münster 1842 to <u>Cyrt. flexuosa</u>. This latter species, described above as <u>Rect. flexuosa</u> lacks the strong sickle-shaped ribs visible on Münster's figure, and so <u>falcifera</u> is recognised as a different species, assigned to Gen. Nov. <u>D</u>.

It seems, therefore, that the type species of <u>Falciclymenia</u> Schindewolf is <u>G</u>. <u>falcifer</u> Münster 1840, the original figure and account of which was based on a single specimen. This describes a discoidal, subinvolute ammonoid with a tabulate venter and a sickle-shaped suture. Small tubercles/ribs are visible near the umbilicus of inner whorls but otherwise the shell surface is smooth. Gümbel (1863, pl. V, fig. 3) described what he considered to be the same specimen, but noticed "strongly curved striations" on the flank. His figure of the suture shows a deep rounded lateral lobe and a narrow dorsal lobe. Schindewolf (1923a), in mentioning the original of <u>G</u>. <u>falcifer</u>, described the course of the suture, and weak sickle-shaped growth-lines.

No specimen can be located today in Munich which is identical with all of these authors' descriptions; BSP AS VII 528, described below, comes close to Gümbel and Schindewolf's descriptions in having the correct shell form, ornament and suture, but lacks the tubercular ornament of inner whorls, which was mentioned by Münster. Therefore, there is no holotype.

Considering the original figure, the most likely identification of <u>falcifer</u> Münster, is as a <u>Protornoceras</u>. An example of <u>Protornoceras</u> (RE 551 734.5 A242/1) from Enkeberg, is shown in P1. 5.32, Figs. 4,5. This has an external suture which could be described as falcate (Textfig. 5.19H), a compressed, discoidal shell form, and ribbing, visible especially near to the umbilicus. Kayser (1873) used the name <u>falcifer</u> Münster for a species from Enkeberg, renamed <u>dorsatum</u> by Wedekind (1908), which is now recognised (Bogoslovskiy 1971) as a <u>Protornoceras</u>, so this interpretation of <u>falcifer</u> Münster has been used before, although long since ignored.

Accepting this interpretation of <u>G</u>. <u>falcifer</u>, <u>Falciclymenia</u> must be interpreted as a junior synonym of <u>Protornoceras</u> Dybcynski. A new name is required, therefore, for forms which Schindewolf and subsequent authors have named as <u>Falciclymenia</u>.

The only author to have discussed Falciclymenia in detail is Here he described a new species, cyrtostriata, Kullmann (1960). with a falcate suture and shell form similar to sp. a (see below), but with falcate growth-lines which have a very large prominent ventro-lateral salient, in contrast to the weakly biconvex growth-Similar differences in growth-line course are lines of sp. <u>a</u>. used here to distinguish Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> (= <u>Rectoclymenia</u>) from Gen. Nov. F, so by the same argument cyrtostriata could be excluded from Gen. Nov. E, and would, by implication, require a genus of its It is the opinion of Korn (pers. comm.), who has examined own. Kullmann's specimen, that Falc. cyrtostriata may be a Cymaclymenia. The side of the specimen from which the figure of the suture was drawn is weathered, and thus may not be a true representation of the suture.

The other species which Kullmann included in <u>Falciclymenia</u> are excluded from Gen. Nov. <u>E</u>. These include <u>falcifera</u> Münster, <u>pernai</u> Nalivkina (1953, p. 110, pl. IV, fig. 11), and <u>gracilis</u> Nalivkina (1953, p. 111, pl. IV, fig. 14). Neither has a truly

falcate external suture, the flat umbilical saddle being absent. The illustration of gracilis shows growth-lines and ribs which are concavo-convex rather than biconvex; both are included here in Gen. Nov. \underline{F} .

It has already been argued elsewhere (see <u>Endosiphonites</u> (<u>Endosiphonites</u>) above) that <u>bowsheri</u> Miller and Collinson (1951), a plaster cast of which (SM H7366) is figured here (Pl. 5.33, Figs. 8,9) is not a <u>Falciclymenia</u>.

Horizon and distribution: Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> is known from the <u>Platy-</u> <u>clymenia</u> Stufe of Thuringia, Oberfranken, Montagne Noire, and the <u>annulata</u> Zone in the southern Urals. The only surely dated specimens are those described by Brügge (1973) who recorded examples from around the <u>annulata</u> Zone/<u>Clymenia</u> Stufe boundary in Thuringia.

Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> sp. nov. <u>a</u>

P1. 5.33, Figs. 10,13,14, Textfigs. 5.19M,N

?	1902	<u>Clymenia</u> subflexuosa Münster - Frech, p. 34, pl. V(IV),
		fig. 4.
	1923b	<u>Falciclymenia</u> <u>falcifera</u> sp. nov Schindewolf, p. 64,
		fig. 4f.
?	193 5	Pseudoclymenia (?) sp Böhm, p. 58, pl. II, figs. la,b.
v?	1973	<u>Falciclymenia falcifera</u> Münster - Brügge, pl. 1, figs.
		1-4.

Holotype: An unnumbered specimen from Marburg, collected by Escot. Type locality: La Serre, Cabrières, Hérault, horizon unknown but is probably the <u>annulata</u> Zone or <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. Diagnosis: Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> with subinvolute shell, discoidal shell form, subcarinate venter, weakly biconvex growth-lines and weak radial ribs, developed in early whorls and retained only near the umbilicus on the body chamber of the holotype. Description: The holotype, illustrated in Pl. 5.33, Figs. 10,13, 14, and Textfigs. 5.19M,N, is a large specimen, preserved in dark red micrite with replaced shell, dissolved in places to reveal the septa.

The shell form is discoidal, subevolute, with the umbilical width amounting to 25% of the diameter, at a diameter of 62mm. The whorl section is shown in Textfig. 5.19N, based on a section which is slightly off-centre. The umbilical wall is inclined at 45° to the flanks of the previous whorl. The flanks, which, at a diameter of ca 30mm, are composed of two concave portions with the greatest whorl width at a position midway between umbilicus and venter, become flat with the maximum width at the umbilicus by a diameter of 50mm. Over this interval the venter changes from being flatly arched to subacute, bounded by two shallow grooves.

Growth-lines are prorsiradiate, weakly biconvex at a diameter of 45mm (Textfig. 5.19M) with a very deep narrow ventral sinus, but appear to be concavo-convex prior to this point. Up to a diameter of ca 40mm radial concavo-convex ribs are present, but these gradually diminish in strength to give weak umbilical tubercles at the same position as the last, approximated septa, and are not present at all on the body chamber, which extends for half a whorl.

The falcate external suture with narrow angular ventral saddle is illustrated in Textfig. 5.19M; the internal suture is unknown.

Remarks: It has already been argued that this specimen is probably the one which Schindewolf (1923b) used to illustrated the suture of <u>Falciclymenia</u> and which he had described earlier (1923a). The holotype bears only a superficial resemblance to <u>G</u>. <u>falcifer</u> Münster 1840, which Schindewolf had named as the type species of <u>Falciclymenia</u>, but it is probably this well preserved specimen

which Schindewolf had in mind when he erected his new genus.

The two specimens (MfN c429 ,1,3) from Schleiz, which Brügge illustrated as <u>Falc</u>. <u>falcifera</u> (1973, pl. 1, figs. 1-3, 4) clearly show a falcate suture like the holotype, and have a similar whorl section. Both specimens are very weathered internal moulds; one (c429.3) may show weak indications of ribs (Pl. 5.33, Figs. 10,11) and so they cannot certainly be included here. Brügge provided no description of these specimens.

The single fragment of a large specimen which Frech (1902, pl. V(IV), fig. 4) illustrated as <u>Cl. subflexuosa</u>, from La Serre, Cabrières the type locality of sp. <u>a</u>, has a falcate external suture, and an oxyconic shell form. It may represent a weathered example of this species, but it is too poorly preserved to be certain, nor can the material be traced.

The specimen figured by Böhm (1935, pl. II, figs. la,b) as <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> (?) sp., also collected from La Serre, probably belongs here. It is weathered, but has the same shell form and suture as the holotype.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Holotype, Mbg	62	16.6	11.5	ca28
_	40	11	10.9	23

Horizon and distribution: The horizon of the holotype is unknown, but dark red limestones at La Serre range through the <u>Platyclymenia</u> and <u>Clymenia</u> Stufen. Brügge's specimens are from the <u>annulata</u> Zone/<u>Clymenia</u> Stufe boundary. The species is known with certainty only from Thuringia and the Montagne Noire.

? Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> sp. <u>b</u>

P1. 5.31, Fig. 3, Textfig. 5.190

Holotype: BSP AS VII 528. Type locality: Geuser, Oberfranken. Diagnosis: Gen. Nov. \underline{E} with lirate biconvex growth-lines, and a tabulate venter.

Description: Only the holotype has been seen. This is preserved in grey micrite, weathered, and has been polished to show the suture. It (P1. 5.31, Fig. 3) is subevolute with an umbilical width amounting to 25% of the diameter, at a diameter of 20mm. The whorl section is compressed with flattened flanks and a tabulate venter, which is now filed. Growth-lines are lirate, slightly prorsiradiate and biconvex. The external suture (Textfig. 5.190) is falcate over the flank, but its course over the venter is not visible.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
BSP AS VII 528	19.5	5.5	4.8	8

Remarks: There is no conclusive evidence to show that this specimen is not a <u>Protornoceras</u>, although there is no known species with which it can be compared.

Horizon and distribution: This unique museum specimen is from Geuser, Oberfranken. Other specimens collected from Geuser are considered to come from the <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe.

Family Carinoclymeniidae Bogoslovskiy 1975

Type genus: <u>Carinoclymenia</u> Bogoslovskiy 1965 Diagnosis: Clymeniaceae with subinvolute to subevolute coiling, compressed or oxyconic shell form and growth-lines which are prorsiradiate, concavo-convex or biconvex with a very prominent ventro-lateral salient. The suture has a high ventral saddle, usually split by a shallow lobe, a broad lateral lobe, sometimes an umbilical lobe, and a dorsal lobe.

Included genera:

Gen. Nov. <u>F</u>	proposed here	9
<u>Carinoclymenia</u>	Bogoslovskiy	1965
<u>Pinacoclymenia</u>	Bogoslovskiy	1975

Remarks: Bogoslovskiy (1975) erected this family to contain genera with a compressed or oxyconic shell form, growth-lines with a prominent ventro-lateral salient, and with a shallow ventral lobe. This latter feature may simply be a function of the shell form. Here emphasis is placed on the growth-line shape. Species with concavo-convex growth-lines, including a prominent ventro-lateral salient, which formerly have been treated as <u>Rectoclymenia</u> or <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>, are included here in Gen. Nov. <u>F</u>. These are small, distinctive species, often strongly ribbed.

Comparisons: These three genera can be distinguished by the sutures and growth-line course; only Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> has concavoconvex growth-lines, <u>Carinoclymenia</u> has biconvex growth-lines and <u>Pinacoclymenia</u> has biconvex growth-lines and two umbilical lobes.

Horizon and distribution: Examples are known from the <u>delphinus</u> to <u>paradoxa</u> Zones of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Oberfranken, Thuringia (Germany), Poland, the southern Urals and Montana (USA).

Gen. Nov. F

Textfig. 5.20

p	1908	Protactoclymenia gen. nov Wedekind, p. 608.
p		Rectoclymenia gen. nov Wedekind, p. 613.
p	1914	Rectoclymenia Wedekind - Wedekind, p. 17.
p		Protactoclymenia Wedekind - Wedekind, p. 20.
р	1914	<u>Clymenia</u> Münster - Perna, p. 9.
p	1923a	Rectoclymenia Wedekind - Schindewolf, p. 417.
p	e e	Cyrtoclymenia Hyatt - Schindewolf, p. 421.
p	1924	Lenticlymenia gen. nov Schmidt, p. 126.
р	1931	<u>Rectoclymenia</u> Wedekind - Matern, p. 94.
?	1953	<u>Rectoclymenia</u> Wedekind - Nalivkina, p. 100.
?		Cyrtoclymenia Hyatt - Nalivkina, p. 101.
р	1960	Falciclymenia Schindewolf - Kullmann, p. 538.
?	1962	Rectoclymenia Wedekind - House, p. 278.
	1975	<u>Rectoclymenia</u> Wedekind - Bogoslovskiy, p. 36.

Type species: <u>Protactoclymenia lotzi</u> Wedekind 1908 (= <u>C1</u>. <u>stucken</u>-<u>bergi</u> Tokarenko).

Diagnosis: Carinoclymeniidae with prorsiradiate concavo-convex growth-lines.

Description: Commonly small (D <25mm), with evolute to subinvolute coiling. Whorl section is compressed, quadrate or pear-shaped, with subparallel flanks. The venter may be tabulate, grooved with longitudinal ventro-lateral flares, or carinate. Growthlines are prorsiradiate concavo-convex with a prominent ventrolateral salient. Ribbing is common and generally confined to the concave portion of the growth-lines. The suture consists of a dorsal lobe, broad lateral lobe and ventral saddle, which may be subdivided by a lobe.

The following species are included:

<u>lotzi</u> Wedekind 1908, p. 611, pl. XLIII, figs. 8a-c.
 <u>costata</u> Munster 1842, p. 125, pl. XI, figs. 16a,b.

	<u>costulata</u>	Münster 1839, p. 94.
	<u>falcifera</u>	Münster 1842, p. 125, pl. XI, figs. 17a,b.
?	finitima	Bogoslovskiy 1975, p. 304, pl. VI, figs. 3,4.
	glabra	Perna 1914, p. 69, p1. III, figs. 4a,b.
?`	gracilis	Nalivkina 1953, p. 111, pl. IV, fig. 14.
?	<u>haynesi</u>	House 1962, p. 278, pl. 47, figs. 1-3, textfigs.
	,	13A,B.
	minuta	Kind 1944, p. 150, pl. 1, fig. 5.
	<u>orientalis</u>	Perna 1914, p. 67, pl. III, figs. 7a,b.
	ornata	Kind 1944, p. 150, pl. 1, fig. 6.
?	<u>pernai</u>	Nalivkina 1953, p. 110, pl. IV, fig. 11.
	<u>pinnatiformis</u>	Nalivkina 1953, p. 108, pl. IV, fig. 10.
	<u>stuckenbergi</u>	Tokarenko 1903, p. 31, pl. III, fig. 4.
	<u>subflexuosa</u>	Münster 1840, p. 93.
?	tuberculata	Kind 1944 n 152 n1 18 fig 8

Remarks: Few of these species are well known to me, either because little material is available, or the original illustrations and descriptions are poor, and also two-thirds of the "species" were described in Russian. Two of the species, <u>gracilis</u> and <u>pernai</u>, were assigned to <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> by Nalivkina (1953) but later treated as <u>Falciclymenia</u> by Kullmann (1960). They develop weak saddles just outside the umbilical seam, but these are not as broad as in other species of "<u>Falciclymenia</u>", (see Gen. Nov. <u>E</u>), above. Their shell form and ornament resembles other species included in this group.

Comparison: Their small size, subinvolute coiling, compressed shell form and concavo-convex growth-lines distinguish numbers of this genus from <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>. The Rectoclymeniidae all have biconvex growth-lines.

Horizon and distribution: Examples are known ranging from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone to the <u>paradoxa</u> Zone. Most species have been reported from the <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Oberfranken (Germany), s. Urals and Kazakhstan (USSR).

Schindewolf (1937a) listed a species "<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> sp. nov. (cf. <u>stuckenbergi</u> Tokarenko)", as occurring in the <u>sphaeroides</u> Subzone at Oberrödinghausen, which is considerably younger than any other records. The species was neither described nor illustrated.

Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> stuckenbergi (Tokarenko 1903).

P1. 5.30, Figs. 1,2, Textfigs. 5.19A-C

- * 1903 <u>Cl. stuckenbergi</u> sp. nov. Tokarenko, p. 31, pl. III, figs. 4,a,b.
 - 1908 <u>Protactoclymenia lotzi</u> sp. nov. Wedekind, p. 611, pl. XLIII, figs. 8,a-c.
 - 1914 <u>C1. stuckenbergi</u> Tokarenko Perna, p. 68, pl. III, figs. 1-3, pl. IV, figs. 11,16, textfigs. 64,5.
 - 1914 <u>Protactoclymenia</u> <u>lotzi</u> Wedekind Wedekind, p. 23, pl. 2, figs. 13-15.
 - 1924 Lenticlymenia lotzi Wedekind Schmidt, p. 126.
 - 1944 <u>Cyrtoclymenia stuckenbergi</u> Tokarenko Kind, p. 151, pl. 1, figs. 7,a.
 - 1953 <u>Cyrtoclymenia stuckenbergi</u> Tokarenko Nalivkina, p. 105, pl. IV, fig. 5, textfig. 30.

Type material: The specimen figured by Tokarenko is proposed as the lectotype.

Diagnosis: Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> with distinctive compressed whorl section, grooved venter with longitudinal ventro-lateral flares and concavely ribbed flanks.

Material: Two specimens from Beil, GT 2016 (Textfig. 5.19B) and KW 2058 (P1. 5.30, Figs. 1,2, Textfig. 5.19C).

Description: Shell form subevolute (U/D = 0.30) with a compressed whorl section (WW/WH = ca 0.6) with the flanks converging from the maximum width at the umbilical shoulder. The venter is grooved and longitudinal flares form at the ventro-lateral shoulder; these are visible, preserved as stumps on cross-sections of enveloped whorls (Textfig. 5.19C). The concavo-convex growth-lines and ribs, continued to the inner part of the flank, are shown in Textfig. 5.19B, and the broad lateral lobe of the suture is shown in Textfig. 5.19A.

Discussion: Perna (1914) first noticed the similarity between this species with <u>Prot. lotzi</u> Wedekind, of which the specimen illustrated (Wedekind 1908) in pl. XLIII, fig. 8,a,b, is proposed as the lectotype. Nalivkina (1953) indicated that it had a shallow ventral lobe (Textfig. 4.14G). This feature has not been observed on either of the specimens illustrated here.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Tokarenko 1903, p. 31.	20 15		5.5 4.5	7.5 6.5
Wedekind 1914,p.23.	20.5	6.9	5.5	8
Perna 1914, p. 68.	20	7	5.4	7.2
GT 2016	20 13.7 9.2 6.2	5.9 3.7 2.2 1.3	4.8 3.8 2.7 2.1	8.3 5.9 4.3 2.9
KW 2058	19.8	5.4	5.8	7.4

Horizon and distribution: The two specimens described here were collected from the (?) <u>delphinus</u> Zone at Beil, Sauerland. The species is also known from the same horizon in the southern Urals.

3 0 6

Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> falcifera (Münster 1842)

Pl. 5.30, Figs. 3,4,8, Textfig. 5.19P

- (?) 1840 <u>Clymenia</u> <u>decorata</u> sp. nov. Münster, p. 91
- * 1842 <u>Clymenia falcifera</u> sp. nov. Münster, p. 125, pl. XI, figs. 17a,b.
- p 1863 <u>Clymenia flexuosa</u> Münster Gümbel, p. 128, pl. XV, figs. 5a-d.
- p 1923a <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> <u>flexuosa</u> Münster Schindewolf, p. 425.

non 1923a <u>Falciclymenia falcifera</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 418, and all references below to Gen. Nov. <u>E</u>.

Type material: BSP AS VII 533, from Geuser, Oberfranken, is proposed as the neotype.

Remarks: This particular specimen had adhering to it a label in Münster's handwriting, which said "<u>C1. decorata</u>". This species was introduced simply as a name in a list, as <u>C1. ornata</u> var. <u>decorata</u> (1840, p. 91), without a description of its character. It is therefore regarded as a <u>nomen dubium</u>. The neotype agrees completely with the figure which Münster (1842) gave for <u>C1. falci-fera</u>.

Diagnosis: Evolute Gen. Nov. \underline{F} , with compressed whorl section and tabulate venter. Early whorls have strong almost tuberculate ribs at the umbilicus, growth-lines are prorsiradiate concave, becoming concavo-convex, almost falcate.

Description: Two specimens, the neotype (P1. 5.30, Figs. 4,8) and another specimen from Geuser in the Münster Collection at Berlin, were available for study.

Both specimens are preserved in matrix so the shell form cannot be accurately described. Coiling is evolute (U/D = 0.45). The whorl section seems subcircular in early whorls but becomes compressed on the last whorl seen, at a diameter of ca 8mm. Ornament consists of strong lirate growth-lines, present throughout all preserved growth stages (D = 1.5-11mm). Early whorls have concave prorsiradiate growth-lines and strong annular ribs (P1. 5.30, Fig. 4). By a diameter of 5.5mm the neotype has no ribbing, only concavo-convex (Textfig. 5.19P) growth-lines, but the Berlin example has thicker growth lirae and vestiges of ribbing near the umbilicus.

Dimensions:

			D	U	WW	WH
Neotype, VII 533.	BSP	A S	10.9	4.9	-	3.7

Remarks: The ornament by which this species can be separated both from <u>Rect. flexuosa</u> and Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> (formerly <u>Falciclymenia</u> <u>falcifera</u>) was discussed at length above. <u>Clymenia costulata</u> Münster 1839 (p. 94) described as "distinguished from <u>Dunkeri</u> by ring-shaped tubercled ribs without striations between, in outer whorls the tubercles are weaker and almost disappear", may be a senior synonym of this species, but since it was never illustrated nor more precisely described it is better to give priority to <u>falcifera</u>.

Horizon and distribution: This species is only known from the ?<u>sandbergeri</u> Zone at Geuser, Oberfranken.

Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> <u>costata</u> Münster 1842

P1. 5.30, Figs. 6,7, Textfig. 5.19D

 v 1842 <u>Clymenia flexuosa</u> var. <u>costata</u> nov. - Münster, p. 125, pl. XI, figs. 16a,b.
 non 1923a <u>Rectoclymenia flexuosa</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 425.

Type material: BSP AS VII 606 from Geuser, Oberfranken, is recognised as the lectotype.

Remarks: There can be no doubting that the lectotype was accurately

illustrated by Münster 1842.

Diagnosis: Gen. Nov. \underline{F} with subinvolute shell form, compressed flanks, tabulate venter, and prominent lunate ribs, confined to the inner half of the flank, and disappearing on the body chamber of mature examples.

Description: Only the lectotype has been seen. It is preserved in grey micrite and distorted (P1. 5.30, Figs. 6,7, Textfig. 5.19D). The umbilical width amounts to 30% of the diameter, at a diameter The whorl shape, visible in the last third of a whorl, of 20.3mm. is compressed with flattened flanks converging from a maximum width at the umbilical shoulder, towards the tabulate venter. Ornament is visible only on the last half whorl. Here there are four widely spaced lunate ribs. These have a central depression (Textfig. 5.19D) giving the appearance of there being two ribs fused at either end. Over the last quarter whorl the ribs are prorsiradiate narrower, subdued and much closer together, but can be seen extending over the ventro-lateral salient into a U-shaped ventral sinus. Only a portion of the suture (Textfig. 5.19D) is preserved, but this seems to show a broad lateral lobe.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype, BSP AS	20.3	5.9	4.5	8.1
VII 606	15	3.8	4	5.8

Remarks: There have been no references to this species since its initial description. Only <u>pinnatiformis</u> Nalivkina (1953) has similar ribs but this has a pear-shaped whorl section. Two other specimens from Geuser are referred to Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> aff. <u>costata</u>. BSP AS VII 529 (P1. 5.30, Fig. 5) differs in having a ventrolateral groove a longitudinal ornament and a much narrower umbilicus. The same features are found on HU P82.21 except that this lacks the groove in the middle of the ribs.

Horizon and distribution: This species is known only from Geuser, Oberfranken. Conodonts extracted from the matrix of BSP AS VII 529 were dated as older than Middle <u>velifer</u> Zone by Prof. W. Ziegler. This dating suggests an early <u>delphinus</u> Zone age. This is the earliest conodont zone from which clymeniids are known.

Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> <u>subflexuosa</u> (Münster 1840)

P1. 5.30, Figs. 10,11, Textfigs. 5.19E,F

- 1840 <u>Clymenia subflexuosa</u> sp. nov. Münster, p. 93.
- v 1863 <u>Clymenia subflexuosa</u> Münster Gümbel, p. 126, pl. XV, figs. 10a-c.
- non 1902 <u>Clymenia subflexuosa</u> Münster Frech, p. 34, pl. V(IV), fig. 4.
- p 1908 Protactoclymenia subflexuosa Münster Wedekind, p. 612.
- ? 1914 <u>Rectoclymenia subflexuosa</u> Münster Wedekind, p. 18, pl. I, figs. 2a-c, non 2d-e.
- non 1914 <u>Clymenia subflexuosa</u> Münster Perna, p. 64, pl. II, figs. 21a,b.
- v? 1923a <u>Rectoclymenia</u> <u>subflexuosa</u> Münster Schindewolf, p. 417, pl. XVII, fig. 2.

Type material: BSP AS VII 526 from Geuser, Oberfranken, is proposed as the lectotype.

Diagnosis: Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> with oxyconic shell form, umbilicus amounting to 25% of the diameter, and concavo-convex prorsiradiate growth-lines.

Description: Only the lectotype has been seen. This (P1. 5.30, Figs. 10,11) has a shell form which in early whorls (D=3.6, U/D=0.41, WW/WH=1.42) is evolute with a reniform whorl section (Textfig. 5.19F) but by a diameter of 4mm the flanks are compressed and become progressively more so. At a diameter of 15mm the venter is acute, bounded by two shallow grooves, the flanks flat and converging and there is almost no umbilical wall. The ratio WW/WH is 0.51, and the umbilical width amounts to 30% of the diameter which by the maximum diameter of 21.6mm have reduced to 0.38 and 23% respectively.

The growth-lines are concavo-convex, prorsiradiate with a shallow flank sinus and a prominent salient on the ventrad part of the flank, (contra Gümbel's figure 10c), which swings back into a deep sinus over the venter (Textfig. 5.19E). Growth-lines number 150 per centimetre at a diameter of 21mm, where they are separated into groups of ca 25, divided by a few more closely spaced growthlines, which give the impression of weak ribs, visible especially near the venter. Growth-lines are strengthened over the venter where they form a weak crenulation.

The suture is not convincingly visible in the spar-filled phragmacone, but appears to consist of a simple broad lateral lobe.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype, BSP AS	21.6	5 4.5	3.4 2.65	10 6.7
	11 7.2	3.44 2.60	2.18 1.67	4.7 2.88
	5.2 3.6	1.95 1.39	1.85 3.8	1.95 1.30
Mbg 3021	50.5	11.3	11.6	23.5
BSP AS VII 527	11.2	3.7	2.9	4.4

Discussion: Münster stated (1840, p. 93) that he had found one specimen of this new species, after he had prepared the plates for his latest work, and therefore in this instance it is reasonable to accept Gümbel's statement (1863, p. 163) that he had figured Münster's original. The specimen from La Serre, Hérault, figured by Frech (1902, pl. V(IV), fig. 4) cannot be included here; no ornament is preserved and the suture points to it being either a

"Falciclymenia" (see Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> below), or a tornoceratid. One of the specimens figured by Wedekind (1914, pl. 1, figs. 2d-e) clearly has biconvex ribs, unlike the lectotype, and so is not included in synonymy with this species, and the other specimen lacks any ornament. The specimen figured by Perna (1914) has a much thicker whorl section and radial biconvex ribs. It is probably synonymous with <u>Rect</u>. <u>roemeri</u> Wedekind. Schindewolf (1923a) found only one poorly preserved specimen (Mbg 3120); this (Pl. 5.31, Figs. 11,12) lacks ornament and therefore can only questionably be included here.

Another specimen (BSP AS VII 527, P1. 5.30, Fig. 9) from Geuser generally resembles the lectotype, but differs in having a wider umbilicus (though there is indication of umbilical uncoiling on the lectotype) and a more pronounced ornament; there are periodic ribs which are strongest over the ventro-lateral salient. The carinate venter is bounded by grooves. The suture is not visible.

Horizon and distribution: The species is known from Rheinische Schiefergebirge and Oberfranken. Schindewolf (1923a) recorded his specimen from IIIß (Bed 11, Kirch-Gattendorf).

Genus <u>Carinoclymenia</u> Bogoslovskiy 1965

Textfig. 5.21

	1929	? <u>Tornoceras</u> - Lange, p. 49.
	1956	<u>Tornoceras</u> Hyatt - Müller, p. 51.
*	1965	<u>Carinoclymenia</u> gen. nov. – Bogoslovskiy, p. 89.
•	1975	Acriclymenia gen. nov Bogoslovskiy, p. 306.

Type species: ?Tornoceras beuelense Lange 1929, p. 49, by original

designation.

Diagnosis: Carinoclymeniidae with sickle-shaped growth-lines, oxyconic shell form and suture with shallow secondary ventral lobe and highly arched ventral saddle, broad lateral lobe and dorsal lobe.

Description: A detailed description would duplicate either what has been stated above in the diagnosis, or what is given below in the description of the type species. Species included here are:

* <u>beuelensis</u> Lange 1929, p. 49. <u>thaumasta</u> Bogoslovskiy 1975, p. 308, pl. V, figs. 3,4, fig. 2, Kara-Zhar, Akt. Oblast'.

Remarks: Bogoslovskiy (1965) correctly stated that the type species was a clymeniid, rather than a Tornoceras, which had been thought hitherto. This he did using material from the southern Urals and Kazakhstan, for which he established a new genus, Carinoclymenia, distinguished from <u>Rectoclymenia</u> by the presence of a shallow Another, equally diagnostic feature is the growthventral lobe. line course. This, on the holotype of beuelensis, the type species (P1. 5.32, Fig. 12), would be described as falcate. However, Bogoslovskiy's diagnosis (in translation, p. 992,3) states: "Growth striae form rounded lateral and broad, deep ventral sinuses", and "These form a deep broad angular ventral sinus, the two separated by an abruptly protruding rounded-angular (sic) projection situated almost in the middle of the lateral sides of the volution". The lack of the figure of the growth-line course, and the poor quality of the photographicillustrations means that these statements cannot be verified. At first sight Bogoslovskiy's description of the growth-lines and the one given here would seem to be incompatible. But the rather literal translation may not be quite correct, and, since Bogoslovskiy's identification of beuelensis was based solely on Müller's description (the original

description of the holotype is brief and the illustration poor), which included a drawing of the growth-line course, clearly shown as biconvex, it must be concluded that any apparent differences are based on semantics rather than any real difference in the specimens. In any case use of the terms ventral and lateral areas, when the shell form is oxycone, are bound to lead to ambiguity and confusion.

Comparisons: Bogoslovskiy distinguished Carinoclymenia from While Rectoclymenia by the presence of a shallow ventral lobe. this feature has been used to distinguish other genera, e.g. Platyclymenia and Stenoclymenia, it does not seem to be particularly important, being more an artifact of the extremely compressed shell form, in contrast to the development of extra lobes without modification of shell form, which distinguishes Cheiloceras from Sporadoceras, Posttornoceras from Discoclymenia, and Uraloclymenia, <u>Kiaclymenia</u> and "<u>Biloclymenia</u>" (see Gen. Nov. <u>C</u> for a discussion of the correct usage of these names). However, the true Rectoclymenia (see above) has radial or weakly biconvex growth-lines (P1. 5.31), which is a simpler way of distinguishing it from Carinoclymenia. Less clear cut is the dividing line between Carinoclymenia and species assigned here to Gen. Nov. F. These are all compressed, but their growth-lines show varying degrees of falcateness, and the ratio U/D ranges from 0.25-0.50, compared with only 0.10 in <u>Carinoclymenia</u>. The sutures of this group are largely unknown, and a more conservative interpretation would be to include them all as <u>Carinoclymenia</u>.

<u>Acriclymenia</u> Bogoslovskiy 1975, p. 306, was erected to contain the species <u>thaumasta</u>. It was distinguished from <u>Carino-</u> <u>clymenia</u> by faint longitudinal grooves near the venter, a broad ladle-shaped ventral growth-line sinus, and a suture with an

unusually high ventral saddle. These characters seem too trivial to warrant separation at generic level.

Horizon and distribution: Known from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone of the southern Urals and Kazakhstan (USSR) and the <u>annulata</u> Zone of Thuringia, Oberfranken and the Sauerland (Germany).

<u>Carinoclymenia</u> <u>beuelensis</u> (Lange 1929)

P1. 5.32, Figs. 1-12, Textfigs. 5.19J-L, 5.21

- v* 1929 ?<u>Tornoceras beuelensis</u> sp. nov. Lange, p. 49, pl. 1,
 fig. 4.
- v 1956 <u>Tornoceras beuelense</u> Lange Müller, p. 51, pl. 1, fig. 9, textfigs. 9,8.
 - 1965 <u>Carinoclymenia beuelensis</u> (Lange) Bogoslovskiy, p. 993, figs. la-d, 2a-b.

Type material: The holotype, an unnumbered specimen in the Museum für Naturkunde, collected by Lange from the <u>annulata</u> Zone at Beil, is illustrated here, Pl. 5.32, Figs. 11,12. Material: Four specimens from the type locality (KW 2072, GT2021-3) have been examined as well as the specimen figured by Müller (1956). Diagnosis: <u>Carinoclymenia</u> with oxyconic shell form, umbilical width amounting to 10% of the diameter, venter serrate, formed by the spinose projection of riblets, and bounded by very shallow depressions. Umbilical wall steep. Growth-lines falcate, periodically strengthened to form riblets at the venter, and weak ribs at the umbilical shoulder.

Description: The holotype (MfN, P1. 5.32, Figs. 11,12) shows all the features mentioned in the diagnosis, especially the umbilical ribbing, which is more marked than in any of the other specimens described here. The whorl section, evolute to a diameter of ca 3mm, with a rounded venter (GT 2022, P1. 5.32, Fig. 5) quickly

becomes compressed, oxyconic (GT 2023, Textfig. 5.19L) with weakly convex, convergent flanks, and shallow depressions bounding the acute venter (KW 2070, P1. 5.32, Fig. 3). Growth-lines are falcate at all diameters seen, with the apex of the larger salient maintaining a position approximately two-thirds of the way across the flanks from the umbilicus to the venter. Periodically they are strengthened and form lirae. Weak ribs in the umbilical region are already present by a diameter of 10mm. The serrate, spinose venter is clearly visible on GT 2021,3 (P1. 5.32, Figs. 4,7). Wrinkle-layer is visible on KW 2070 (Pl. 5.32, Figs. 9,10); the dorsal wrinkle-layer consists of anastomosing striae running across the flank in a convex course, and the ventral wrinkle-layer is expressed as low bumps on the internal mould of the body chambers representing shallow pits on the inner surface of the body chamber A normal clymeniid wrinkle-layer keel is formed along the shell. ventral ridge, but here the striae are twice as frequent as on the flank.

The suture, observed only on Müller's rather damaged specimen (MfN, P1. 5.32, Fig. 8), is shown in Textfig. 5.19J. It resembles Bogoslovskiy's description.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
MfN, holotype	40 24.2	5 3.9	5	24 13.4
KW 2070	46.8 31.2	3.9 3.1	6.6 5.7	26.3 17.6
GT 2021	21.8	2.5		12.4
GT 2023	14.9 8.9 5.4 3.4	1.9 1.5 1.2	3.2 2.4 1.5 1.15 0.8	8.3 5.0 2.8 1.5 0.6

Horizon and distribution: The newly described topotypes were

316 .

collected from an isolated outcrop at Beil and can be dated only as <u>annulata</u> Zone (see Chapter 7).

Family Clymeniidae Edwards 1849

Type genus: <u>Clymenia</u> Münster 1834.

Diagnosis: Member of the Clymeniaceae with a suture consisting of a broad ventral saddle; lateral lobe, usually asymmetrical with a shallow flank on the dorsad side and a deep U-shaped dorsal lobe.

Description: Shell subevolute to evolute with circular or compressed whorl section. Growth-lines convex, concavo-convex or weakly to strongly biconvex, with a ventral sinus. Ribbing may be present. The suture is described above. Genera are distinguished by the shape of the lateral lobe, and those currently assigned to this family are <u>Aktuboclymenia</u> Bogoslovskiy 1979, <u>Protoxy-</u> <u>clymenia</u> Schindewolf 1923a, <u>Clymenia</u> Münster 1834, and <u>Kosmo-</u> <u>clymenia</u> Schindewolf 1949a.

Discussion: A relationship between the three last named genera has long been recognised. Schindewolf arranged them into the morphological series <u>Clymenia</u> - <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> - <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>, showing a progressive sharpening of the lateral lobe, but this theory could not be substantiated by stratigraphic findings. Lange (1929) reported <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> and <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone, yet <u>Clymenia</u> first appears above, in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe.

Recently Bogoslovskiy (1979) has erected a new genus <u>Aktubo-</u> <u>clymenia</u>, known from the <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe. It is characterised by a very shallow, but slightly asymmetrical lateral lobe. This he regarded as the initial genus in the evolutionary series <u>Aktuboclymenia</u> - <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> - <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>, with <u>Clymenia</u> being a short-lived off-shoot during the early <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe (Textfig. 4.13).

Schindewolf (1957, 1972) and Korn (1981a) would regard the small, highly ribbed genera Sulcoclymenia, Piriclymenia and Ornatoclymenia as belonging to this family. To insert them here would, however, make this family polyphyletic since it has been argued (Schindewolf 1972) that Sulcoclymenia is descended from Platyclymenia, yet the same is true for Aktuboclymenia, included here in the Clymeniidae. Therefore the group Sulcoclymenia, Piriclymenia and (?) Ornatoclymenia may also deserve familial status. A conservative approach is adopted here, and the group is included as a new subfamily within the Platyclymeniidae. Schindewolf would also include Trochoclymenia Schindewolf 1926 in the Clymenii-This has an umbilical lobe lying outside the seam, a narrow dae. ventral saddle, lacking shallow ventro-lateral lobes, and the steeper flank of the lateral lobe is the dorsad one, unlike the other four genera included in the Clymeniidae (see Chapter 4).

Horizon: The family ranges from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone to the <u>evoluta</u> Zone.

Genus <u>Clymenia</u> Münster 1834

Textfig. 5.23

non	1801	Planulites gen. nov Larnarck, p. 101.
non	1822	<u>Planulites</u> - Parkinson, p. 163.
	1831	<u>Planulites</u> - Münster, p. 182.
p	1832	<u>Planulites</u> Parkinson - Münster, p. 4.
p	1834b	<u>Clymenia</u> Münster - Münster, p. 66.
non	1838	Endosiphonites gen. nov Ansted, p. 416.
p	1863	<u>Clymenia</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 35.
p	1884	Oxyclymenia gen. nov Hyatt, p. 313.
p	1902	<u>Clymenia</u> Münster - Frech, p. 29.
p	1908	Orthoclymenia gen. nov Wedekind, p. 605, 619.

	1914	Laevigites gen. nov wedekind, p. 47
	1923a	Laevigites Wedekind - Schindewolf, p. 464.
р	1924	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> Hyatt - Schmidt, p. 129.
	1929	Orthoclymenia Wedekind - Lange, p. 116.
non	1 937a	<u>Clymenia</u> Münster - Schindewolf (= <u>Cymaclymenia</u>).
	1957	<u>Clymenia</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. L44.
	1960	<u>Clymenia</u> Münster - Bogoslovskiy, pl. XXXII, fig. 3.
	1966	<u>Clymenia</u> Münster - Chlupàč, p. 54.
	1981	<u>Clymenia</u> Münster - Ruan, p. 121

320

Type species: <u>Planulites laevigatus</u> Münster, by subsequent designation of Frech 1902, p. 29, (and 1913, p. 5). Diagnosis: Member of the Clymeniidae with serpenticonic shell, very faint convex-concave or biconvex growth-lines, with a suture consisting of a ventral saddle, an asymmetric lateral lobe, and a deep pointed dorsal lobe.

Description: Serpenticonic shell with wide shallow umbilicus, usually greater than 50% of the diameter, circular to compressed whorl section and narrow, shallow impressed area. The shell surface is almost smooth. Growth-lines are very faint, radial or rursiradiate, with a very shallow flank sinus, and a shallow midventral sinus. Spiral ornament is known, as are faint plicate ribs, ribs, and deep constrictions on the body chamber. The suture consists of a ventral saddle, a broad concave, asymmetric lateral lobe, which has a steeper ventrad side, and a deep dorsal lobe.

Available specific names: The following specific names have been used in genus <u>Clymenia</u> <u>sensu</u> <u>stricto</u>.

 *laevigata Münster 1831, p. 182; 1832, p. 5, pl. I, figs. la-f, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, known also from Czechoslovakia, Rheinische Schiefergebirge, England, Carnic Alps, Montagne Noire, N. Africa, W. Australia, s.w. China.
 <u>Cingulata</u> Gümbel 1863, p. 137, pl. XVI, figs. 9a-d, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

cranoides Lange 1929, p. 118, pl. 3, fig. 34a, fig. 34 Melschede (= Hövel), Sauerland. Kolotukhina 1938, p. 675, pl. 2, fig. 10, <u>cranoideformis</u> Karagandinskaya Oblast', USSR. h <u>elliptica</u> Münster 1839, p. 7; Gümbel, 1863, p. 137, pl. XVI, fig. 7, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Wedekind 1914, p. 41, pl. IV, figs. 1,2, <u>hoevelensis</u> Hövel, Sauerland. Münster 1839, p. 7; Gümbel 1863, p. 137, <u>nana</u> pl. XVI, fig. 8, Heinersreuth, Oberfranken. Münster 1832, p. 6, pl. 1, figs. 2a-d, pygmea Geigen and Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Ruan 1981, p. 122, pl. 29, figs. 32-8, pl. 31, rumala fig. 17, textfig. 89, s.w. China. Münster 1839, p. 7; Gümbel 1863, p. 137, <u>semicingulata</u> pl. XVI, fig. 5, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Münster 1839, p. 7; Gümbel 1863, p. 137, <u>semiplicata</u> pl. XVI, fig. 6, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. h <u>speciosa</u> Münster 1839, p. 7; Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Schindewolf 1923a, p. 465, pl. XVIII, fig. 4, <u>spiratissima</u> Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken, Sauerland. <u>striatula</u> Lange 1929, p. 119, pl. 31, fig. 35, Burg, Rösenbeck, Sauerland.

321

The Münster species may all be synonyms of <u>C1</u>. <u>laevigata</u>, and are discussed with that species below, with the exception of <u>C1</u>. <u>nana</u>, for which no type material has been traced. Other authors seem to have used this genus as a repository for evolute specimens which they could not place elsewhere. <u>Clymenia rumala</u> Ruan is the best documented species. It has a certain <u>Clymenia</u> suture, subevolute coiling, and weakly rursiradiate biconvex growth-lines. <u>Clymenia cranoides</u> (Lange) known from two specimens, supposedly from the upper <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe at Hövel has a <u>Clymenia</u> suture, subevolute coiling (U/D=0.4, D=21), and a compressed whorl section. Growth-lines were slightly rursiradiate and linear. <u>Clymenia</u> <u>striatula</u> (Lange) has weak ribs, and is discussed under <u>C1</u>. <u>laevigata</u>. <u>Clymenia</u> spiratissima (Schindewolf) is discussed under <u>C1</u>. <u>spiratissima</u>, below. No suture is known from <u>C1</u>. <u>cinqu-</u> <u>lata</u> Gümbel, but it is described below. <u>Clymenia cranoideformis</u> (Kolotukhina) was described as having <u>Clymenia</u> type suture, but the illustration (fig. 12) does not confirm this. No growth-lines were preserved. Described from small specimens this species could easily belong to <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> or <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>.

Discussion: The nomenclature of this genus was (and still is) in a "confused state" (Schindewolf 1949a, p, 64). Schindewolf had at least four attempts at clarifying the problem (1937a, p. 13; 1949a, p. 65; 1955, p. 418, and 1972, p. 23). Further comments were made by Turner (1962, p. 183). It is still questionable whether a correct decision has been arrived at.

There are three questions to answer; whether <u>Clymenia</u> is a valid genus, if so, when it was first used, and what is to be regarded as its type species?

The name <u>Clymenia</u> was first used in the <u>Neues Jahrbuch</u> (Münster 1834a, p. 42,3), where Münster states in a letter dated 1st December 1833 that his publication "<u>Über Planuliten und Goniatiten</u> ..." (Münster 1832) will shortly be translated into French, and that in it he intends to replace the generic name <u>Planulites</u> Parkinson with <u>Clymenia</u>. No reason was given for this change of name.

It seems worthwhile, at this stage, to document the various descriptions of <u>Planulites.</u> The earliest post-Linnaean usage is by Lamarck (1801, p. 101) who, under the section "Mollusques, Cephales, Ammonitier" states,

Planulite Planulites. Coq. en spirale discoide, a tours contigus et tous apparens, et ayant les parois simples. Cloisons transverses entières. Planorbiter* Planulites sulcata n. Corne - d'ammon à raies andoyantes? Bourg. Petrif. t. 46, f. 290. Bourget (1742) illustrated in pl. 46, fig. 290, a serpenticonic shell which has convexo-concave growth-lines. I could find no description in the accompanying text, but Lamarck seems to have interpreted it as a cephalopod with simple sutures.

Parkinson (1822, p. 163) to whom Münster (1831, 1832) refers, has a more precise definition, but since this lacks an illustration it is also difficult to interpret,

A multilocular, spiral, flattish and discoidal shell; the turns contiguous and apparent, the chambers separated by plain septa pierced with a marginal siphuncle. Fossil. As the shells of the preceding genus (<u>Orbulites</u>) have possessed the outer appearance of Nautilus, with the internal characters of ammonites, so this shell possesses the external appearance of <u>Ammonites</u>, and, at the same time, is characterised by the plain concave septa of <u>Nautilus</u>. As its external form agrees with that of the following genus (<u>Ammonites</u>), another figure is not requisite.

The type species of <u>Planulites</u> would seem to be <u>Pl. sulcata</u> Lamarck, illustrated by specific reference to Bourget's earlier illustration. This figure is virtually uninterpretable and could be of a cephalopod, a gastropod or an annelid. I have been unable to trace a modern usage of the name <u>Planulites</u>. Clearly Lamarck intended it as a cephalopod, but without a more precise diagnosis, or an unambiguous figure it must remain a <u>nomen nudum</u>. Parkinson (1822) gave a similarly unhelpful account, which did not serve to clarify the identity of <u>Planulites</u>. The relationship surrounding the various usages of <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Planulites</u> runs as follows: <u>Clymenia</u> Münster 1834 = <u>Planulites</u> Münster 1831, 1832; <u>Planulites</u> Münster \neq <u>Planulites</u> Parkinson or <u>Planulites</u> Lamarck.

The name Clymenia was derived from Clymene, daughter of Oceanus,
but this name had already been used (by Oken 1807, <u>fide</u> Neave 1939, p. 770). The French translation of Münster 1832 appeared in August 1834, entitled "<u>Memoire sur les Clymènes et les Goniatites</u> <u>du calcaire transition du Fichtelgebirge</u>", in which <u>Clymenia</u> is used instead of <u>Planulites</u>. Therefore, <u>Clymenia</u> should date from 1834. Previously Schindewolf (1937a) argued that its first usage had been in 1832 in Goldfuss' Naturhistorischen Atlas Lief. 4 (1832, p. 489, and Atlas pl. 439, fig. 7) where <u>Cl. striata</u> was described. However, he later (1955) showed that this particular part was published long after, in 1844. Also there is a catalogue of fossils in Münster's Collection (Münster 1833) in which the name <u>Planulites</u> is still used, which also suggests that <u>Clymenia</u> was not adopted in 1832.

Other red herrings in this account: are the generic names, Clymenites and Clymenea. Clymenites was used by Münster in 1835 in another letter to the Neues Jahrbuch (1835, p. 334), but without qualification or description. According to the Code (Art. 56b) this must fall as a homonym of <u>Clymenia</u>. Presumably it represents an attempt to bring the ending of this new ammonoid generic name into line with Ammonites, Goniatites and Ceratites. Four Nomenclators (details in Schindewolf 1949a) list the genus The earliest reference is in Scudder 1882, p. 78 Clymenea. (Nomen. Zool. Suppl. list p. 28 and Univ. Index p. 72), derived from "Agassiz". Scudder cites here Münster 1830, which is a work entitled "Bemerkungen zur näheren kenntnis der Belemniten". Neither Schindewolf (1937a, p. 14; 1949a, p. 65), nor Cox (Opinion 182), nor I can find such a reference, so this must be presumed to be an error, copied uncorrected by subsequent compilers of nomenclators.

A type species for <u>Clymenia</u> was first proposed explicitly by Frech (1913, p. 5) "<u>Clymenia</u> s. str. Typus: <u>Clymenia</u> <u>laevigata</u> Münster", though he had earlier stated (1902, p. 29) "Bei der ersten Beschreibung von <u>Clymenia</u> (1839) hat Graf Münster die 1832 als <u>Planulites</u> bezeichnete <u>Clymenia</u> laevigata als typus der Gattung vorangestellt". Schindewolf (1957, p. L54) accepted the earlier of these statements as a valid type designation "<u>Clymenia</u> Münster(<u>Planulites laevigitus</u> Münster 1832; SD Frech 1902)". Therefore, in the absence of any designation by a previous author Frech (1902) is considered to have established <u>Pl. laevigatus</u> as the type species of <u>Clymenia</u>.

<u>Orthoclymenia</u> Wedekind 1908 and <u>Laevigites</u> Wedekind 1914, established without type species, both contained <u>laevigata</u> Münster, then already established as the type species of <u>Clymenia</u>, and so are both junior objective synonyms of <u>Clymenia</u>.

Comparisons: The most similar genus to <u>Clymenia</u> is <u>Aktuboclymenia</u>, from which it was descended. <u>Aktuboclymenia</u> differs only in being subevolute, and having concavo-convex growth-lines, <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> has a much weaker adventive lobe, and different growth-lines.

Horizon and distribution: <u>Clymenia</u> is known from the middle <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of England, Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Poland, s. Urals, Montagne Noire, N. Africa, and W. Australia.

<u>Clymenia laevigata</u> (Münster 1831)

P1. 5.18, Figs. 5-10,13,14, P1. 5.20, Figs. 2,8,9,12,13 Textfigs. 5.22A,C, 23

* 1831 <u>Planulites laevigatus</u> sp. nov. - Münster p. 182,

	1832	<u>Planulites laevigatus</u> Münster - Münster, p. 5, pl. I,
		figs. la-f.
	1 834b	<u>Clymenia laevigata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 67, pl.I, figs.la-f
	1839	<u>Clymenia laeviqata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 3,35, pl. Ia,
		figs. la-f.
	1853a	<u>Clymenia laeviqata</u> Münster – Sandberger, p. 184,
		pl. VII, figs. la-f.
	1863	<u>Clymenia laeviqata</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 137, pl. XVI,
		figs. 5a-g, 6a,b, 7a-c, only.
	1902	<u>Clymenia laeviqata Münster - Frech, pl. V(IV), fig. 2.</u>
	1914	<u>Laevigites</u> <u>laevigatus</u> Münster - Wedekind, p. 48, pl. IV,
		figs. 1,2.
	1914	<u>Laevigites</u> <u>hoevelensis</u> Münster - Wedekind, p. 49,
		pl. IV, fig. 3.
v	1923a	<u>Laevigites laevigatus</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 464.
v		<u>Laevigites hoevelensis</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 463,
		pl. XVIII, fig. 3.
	1924	<u>Cyrtoclymenia laevigata</u> Münster - Schmidt, p. 129,
		textfig. 4.
	1929	<u>Orthoclymenia laevigata</u> Münster - Lange, p. 117.
	1950	<u>Clymenia</u> laevigata Münster - Termier and Termier, p. 74,
		pl. CLIX, fig. 25.
	1957	<u>Clymenia laevigata</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 144,
		figs. 41.4.
	1960	<u>Clymenia laevigata</u> Münster - Bogoslovskiy, pl. XXXII,
		fig. 3.
	1966	<u>Clymenia laevigata</u> Münster - Chlupàč, p. 94, pl. II, figs. 1-3, pl. III, figs. 1-4, pl. IV, fig. 4.
?	1975	<u>Clymenia</u> cf. <u>laevigata</u> Münster - Petersen, p. 46, pl. 1,
		fig. 5, textfig. 25.
	1981	<u>Clymenia laevigata</u> Münster - Ruan, p. 121, pl. 29,
		figs. 22-24, textfig. 88.

Type material: SM H10366 (Münster Collection), illustrated in P1. 5.18, Figs. 7,8, Textfig. 5.22B,C, collected from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, is proposed as the lectotype, and BSP AS VII 605 (P1. 5.18, Figs. 13,14) is proposed as the paralectotype. Remarks: The type series (Münster 1833) contained at least nine Münster (1832) figured at least two specimens; one specimens. attaining a diameter of ca 140mm, and the other a fragment, cut

?

to show the whorl section. This latter specimen (BSP AS VII 603; P1. 5.18, Figs. 11,12) has been located, but since it shows rursiradiate ribs, is excluded from this species. The large specimen illustrated by Münster cannot now be identified. Grouped together in Munich in September 1978 were some nine specimens, associated with various Münster and Gümbel labels relating to <u>laevigata</u> and its varieties. More specimens in Münster Collections labelled as <u>laevigata</u> and varieties from Schübelhammer were located in Cambridge (SM H10363-72, 10398-00), London (BM 81849, C83295, 81828, C82838), and Berlin (11 unnumbered specimens). Of these two, well preserved and resembling the original figure (Münster 1832, pl. I, figs. la-c), were selected as types. Some of the others are described below, too.

Diagnosis: Large <u>Clymenia</u>, commonly attaining a diameter of 200mm. The whorl section is circular until a diameter of ca 60mm, then becomes increasingly compressed; U/D increases from 0.48 to 0.55 between diameters of 20 and 200mm. Growth-lines are barely visible, rursiradiate, biconvex, with the dorsad salient occupying half of the flank with a shallow sinus over the venter. Secondary ornament is restricted to faint plications, running parallel with the growth-lines.

Description: The lectotype (P1. 5.18, Figs. 7,8) is very well preserved. The whorl section (Textfig. 5.22A,C) is circular up to a diameter of ca 40mm (R = 24), becoming increasingly compressed thereafter, with flanks converging on a narrow venter. Flanks are quite flattened in examples (e.g. SM H10363) larger than the lectotype. The ratio U/D varies between 0.55 and 0.45, between diameters of 25 and 80mm. At all diameters the impressed areas are small, only 10-12% of the previous whorl is enveloped. Growth-lines are extremely difficult to see on the lectotype; until a diameter of ca 40mm they are slightly rursiradiate and weakly biconvex. Thereafter their course is more radial, and the flank sinus even less developed. On the body chamber there are a series of weak ventral grooves numbering 20 in the last half whorl preserved running parallel with the growth-lines, which are reflected on the internal mould as constrictions. Spiral lines run around the venter. The external suture (Textfig. 5.22B) comprises a broad flat ventral saddle, and an asymmetric lateral lobe.

In excess of 100 specimens from Oberfranken, together with many from the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, have been examined, which makes this the commonest species in collections of clymeniids. This wealth of material lacks any precise stratigraphic details, and so makes interpretation of variation within the species impossible to define. A number of specimens has been selected for further comment.

The proposed paralectotype, BSP AS VII 605 (Pl. 5.18, Figs. 13,14) is a large specimen attaining a diameter of ca 130mm. This is almost the same size as that of Münster's figure (1832, pl. I, fig. 1a), but there are major differences in the appearance of the two specimens. This is why it is not proposed as the lectotype. It shows growth-lines which are more rursiradiate than on the lectotype, visible until a diameter of 80mm. Α spiral ornament runs around the venter. An unnumbered specimen in Munich (P1. 5.18, Figs. 5,6) also shows growth-lines which are more rursiradiate than the lectotype and they have an almost concave course on the body chamber. The label associated with this specimen suggests that it is the "original" of Münster's C1. laevigata var. semiplicata. BSP AS VII 601 (P1. 5.18, Figs. 9,10), figured by Gümbel (1863, pl. XVI, figs. 7a,b) as the "original" of Münster's <u>C1. laevigata</u> var. <u>elliptica</u>, has a deep annular constriction at a diameter of 40mm. No trace of growth-lines remains. SM H10365 (P1. 5.20, Figs. 12,13) and BM C82328 (P1. 5.20, Fig. 2) do not appear to differ from the lectotype. SM H10362 has 16 septa in the last whorl, up to a diameter of 105mm.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH	R
SM H10366,	82.5	42.9	16.9	22.7	47.3
lectotype	64,6	33.2	14.1	17.3	-
			13.8	17.1	34.7
			8.2	9.8	18.2
			4.7	ca 5.5	10.9
			2.5	2.8	5.5
BSP AS VII 605 paralectotype	120	64.2	21.0	32.5	67.2
BSP AS VII 601	45.3	24	10.2	12.7	26.3
BSP, P1. 5.18, Figs. 5,6	65.5	33.3	15.4	19.2	38.1
SM H10365	43.5	22.2	11.5	12.8	ca26
SM H10368	45.7	23.3	12.7	13.8	26.6
HU P82.22	185 0	ca100	26	46	

Discussion: Münster's original description (1831, p. 182) runs,

Parmi plusiers espèces de Nautiles du Fichtelgebirge, le plus commun est notre <u>Planulites laevigatus</u>, N. sp., je l'ai trouvé varient d'un demi-pouce à sept pouces en diametre, le test epais est tout à fait lisse et a huit tours de spire completement visible et se retrécissant insensiblement.

House (in Turner 1962) considered this to be a "brief but legally adequate description", with which I would agree. There is no other large, evolute smooth shelled ammonoid from the Fichtelgebirge known to me, with which <u>laevigata</u> could be confused. Schindewolf (1972, p. 75) disagreed with House on the grounds that the description was vague and ambiguous, and considered <u>Planulites</u> <u>laevigatus</u> Münster 1831 to be a <u>nomen nudum</u>. He did not quote all of Münster's description but paraphrased it, so as to make it seem less precise, and suit his own argument.

Turner believed that because <u>Clymenia</u> Münster 1834 was a replacement name for <u>Planulites</u> Münster non Parkinson, <u>laevigata</u> should become its type, by monotypy, being the only species mentioned in the earliest reference to <u>Planulites sensu</u> Münster. Schindewolf's counter to this was that Münster (1831, p. 228) also mentioned the species <u>undulatus</u>. This, however, is a true <u>nomen nudum</u>, being simply a reference to the name, but also this reference occurs in a separate article from that in which <u>laevigata</u> appears. Turner's argument is appealing but invalid. The rules (<u>Code</u>, Art. 68(c)) state "Monotypy - A genus originally established with a simple nominal species as its type,...(type by monotypy)". When "originally established" (Münster 1834a) <u>Clymenia</u>, replacing <u>Planulites</u> Münster, had at least 15 included species.

Münster (1839) introduced names for several briefly described varieties of <u>C1. laevigata</u>; none was illustrated:

<u>elliptica</u>	"an elliptical shape in young and old"
nana	"up to 1" in diameter"
<u>semicinqu1ata</u>	"ring-like ribs on the round venter"
<u>semiplicata</u>	"half-ribs on the inner whorls"
speciosa	"wide (= high) whorls, over 1" in diameter"

Gümbel (1863, pl. XVI) figured what he considered to be Münster's originals of <u>laevigata</u> (fig. 5a-c), <u>semiplicata</u> (fig. 6) and <u>elliptica</u> (fig. 7). Only that of <u>Cl. elliptica</u> can be identified with certainty; it (BSP AS VII 601) is considered to be a <u>Cl. laevigata</u>. It does not have a whorl section which is more elliptical than <u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Pl. 5.18, Figs. 9.10).

The specimen Gümbel (1863, pl. XVI, fig. 5) figured as <u>laeviqata</u> cannot be traced. That figured as <u>semiplicata</u> has already been discussed. Two more specimens were given Münster names, but were not attributed as originals: <u>Cl. laeviqata</u> var. <u>semicinqulata</u>, illustrated (1863, pl. XVI, fig. 5e) by a small fragment of body chamber showing weak ribs, and <u>Cl. laeviqata</u> var. <u>nana</u> (1863, pl. XVI, fig. 8), neither can be traced. <u>Clymenia</u>

<u>nana</u> is dicussed with <u>C1</u>. sp. <u>a</u> below. <u>C1</u>. <u>semicingulata</u> cannot be interpreted from the figure.

Lange (1929) also named a species with radial ribs, <u>C1</u>. <u>striatula</u>. He recorded two specimens with the same shell form, one showed the suture, and the other a ribbed ornament. The extent of the impressed area (one third of the height of the previous whorl) would seem to distinguish this species from Münster's ribbed varieties.

Wedekind (1914) and subsequently Schindewolf (1923a) recognised two major species of <u>Clymenia</u>; <u>Cl. laevigata</u> and <u>Cl</u>. hoevelensis (Wedekind), distinguished by their sutures. It was stated (Wedekind 1914) that in C1. hoevelensis the lateral lobe ran smoothly into the ventral saddle, whereas in <u>C1. laevigata</u> there was a sharp knick separating the two. Wedekind's interpretation of <u>C1</u>. <u>laevigata</u> in this way rests on Gümbel's figure (1863, pl. XVI, fig. 5c) of Münster's "original". It has already been suggested that this is not Munster's original, and the proposed lectotype has a suture which is like Münster's illustration (1832, p1. VI, fig. 1c) and <u>C1. hoevelensis</u> (Wedekind), which means that the two species are synonyms. Lange (1929) after collecting 140 specimens, believed that the apparent difference between C1. hoevelensis and C1. laevigata was caused by removal of material during preparation.

Horizon and distribution: As for the genus.

<u>Clymenia spiratissima</u> (Schindewolf 1923a) Pl. 5.18, Figs. 2-4, Pl. 5.20, Fig. 1, Textfig. 5.23

(?) 1832 <u>Planulites pygmeus</u> sp. nov. - Münster, p. 6, pl. I, figs. 2a-d.

- ? 1863 <u>Clymenia laevigata var. nana Münster Gümbel</u>, pl. XVI, fig. 8.
- * 1923a <u>Laevigites spiratissimus</u> sp. nov. Schindewolf, p. 465, pl. XVIII, fig. 4.

? 1929 Orthoclymenia spiratissima Schindewolf - Lange, p. 118,

Type material: No type has been designated. The specimen figured by Schindewolf (1923a) cannot now be traced at Erlangen, its stated repository.

Diagnosis: According to Schindewolf (1923a) this species could be distinguished from <u>C1</u>. <u>laevigata</u> by its more slowly increasing whorl height, and more evolute shell coiling (see Textfig. 5.23). No actual measurements were given with the original description, only ratios.

Description: A description can be produced only by looking at Schindewolf's illustration. This shows an evolute, weathered shell with no ornament preserved. If the illustration is at natural size then at a diameter of 37mm the ratio U/D is 0.65 and WH/D is 0.22. The whorl section is quadrate with flattened flanks and venter. Measurements from a number of specimens are plotted on Textfig. 5.23: the ratio U/D declines from 0.67 at a diameter of 10mm, to 0.57 at a diameter of 50mm. WW/WH has been calculated at only four diameters but the slope on the regression line is shallower than that for <u>laevigata</u>. Whorl height itself seems to increase more rapidly than <u>C1</u>. <u>laevigata</u> (<u>contra</u> Schindewolf 1923a). Schindewolf described the suture as like that of <u>L</u>. <u>hoevelense</u> (= <u>C1</u>. <u>laevigata</u>).

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Schindewolf 1923a	47	28		12.5
p1.XVII, fig.4,	37	24		8
lectotype.	- ·.			

		D	U	WW	WH
BM	C83292	18.1	11.9		3.8
SM	H7537	9.7	6.5	2.16	1.8

Discussion: The lack of a type specimen makes diagnosis of this species difficult. Schindewolf (1923a, p. 467) stated that he had collected many small examples from Beds 16 and 17 at Kirch-Gattendorf, yet the specimen he illustrated was relatively large (D = ca 50), and from a museum collection. It is possible, therefore, that most of the material he used to describe the species differed from the illustrated examples.

Lange (1929) mentioned the species and gave comparative measurements to discriminate it from C1. laevigata. He found only two specimens, yet from the same levels he collected 140 examples of C1. laevigata, with no forms intermediate in morphology between the two species.

I have observed numerous small (D < 20) evolute specimens which fit Schindewolf's description of C1. spiratissima, including some 50 collected and labelled by him from Ballberg, Hövel, now housed in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin. Two are illustrated here: MfN, labelled as C1. pygmea Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, (P1. 5.18, Fig. 2); and BM C83292 Münster Collection, Schübelhammer (P1. 5.20, Fig. 1). C83292 shows faint traces of rursiradiate, convexo-concave growth-lines at a diameter of 18mm and the Berlin specimen has deep rursiradiate constrictions on the last half whorl. Both specimens appear to have quadrate A small specimen from Erlangen-Nürnberg (Pl. 5.18, whorl sections. Figs. 3,4) may represent the inner whorls of this species, and clearly shows the protoconch.

Planulites pygmeus Münster is illustrated (1832, pl. I, fig. 2) as small, with rursiradiate growth-lines, and a Clymenia

333

1.77 T

type suture, and could be regarded as a senior synonym of <u>C1</u>. <u>spiratissima</u>. However, the figured specimen cannot be traced, and the specimen which Gümbel illustrated (1863, pl. XVII, fig. 7; BSP) as Münster's original is a <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>. There are four specimens in Cambridge labelled as <u>C1</u>. <u>pygmea</u>; one (H7540) is a <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>, and one (H7538) is like the two specimens attributed here to <u>C1</u>. <u>spiratissima</u>. The other two (H7537, 7539) are too small to be identified. Only SM H7540 shows trace of growthlines or suture. Therefore, <u>C1</u>. <u>pygmeus</u> Münster is treated as a <u>nomen dubium</u>.

<u>Clymenia laevigata</u> var. <u>nana</u> Münster, described (1839, p. 7) as "not exceeding an inch in diameter", could on that information alone, be indistinguishable from <u>Cl. spiratissima</u>. Gümbel (1863, pl. XVI, fig. 8) illustrated Münster's original of <u>Cl. nana</u>, but did not describe it. Schindewolf (1923a) stated that <u>Cl</u>. <u>spiratissima</u> and <u>Cl. nana</u> could be distinguished by the more rounded whorl section, and more rapid increase in whorl height in the latter.

Comparisons: The graphs in Textfig. 5.23 show how the parameters U, WW and WH, and the ratios U/D and WW/WH can be used to distinguish between <u>C1</u>. <u>laevigata</u> and <u>C1</u>. <u>spiratissima</u>. Maximum diameter is another criterion. Dimorphism is not a likely explanation for this difference; the species do not co-occur, and their whorl form and coiling are different at all stages.

I have found only one specimen (SM H10364, P1. 5.20, Figs. 10,11, Textfigs. 5.22,23) which cannot be easily assigned to either <u>C1. spiratissima</u> or <u>C1. laevigata</u>. This has whorls which initially increase in height more rapidly than <u>C1. laevigata</u>, and have a more compressed whorl section, being oval by a diameter of 10mm (16mm in <u>C1. laevigata</u>). Growth curves for this specimen are closer to <u>C1</u>. <u>spiratissima</u> than <u>C1</u>. <u>laeviqata</u>, hence it is named <u>Clymenia</u> aff. <u>spiratissima</u>.

Horizon and distribution: This species is known from the ?lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe (<u>fide</u> Schindewolf 1923a) of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Oberfranken and the Carnic Alps.

> ? <u>Clymenia</u> <u>cinqulata</u> Gümbel 1863 Pl. 5.18, Fig. 1, Textfig. 5.23

- * 1863 <u>Clymenia laevigata</u> var. <u>cinqulata</u> Gümbel, p. 137, pl. XVI, figs. 9a-c.
- v 1923a <u>Laevigites cinqulatus</u> Braun (MS) Schindewolf, p. 464, pl. XVIII, fig. 5.

Type material: No type material has been traced, but, because the nature of the species is not in contention, no neotype need be proposed.

Diagnosis: Species of ?<u>Clymenia</u> with strong widely spaced annular ribs on the inner whorls.

Description: Only one specimen has been seen. This (MfN; P1. 5. 18, Fig. 1), from Braunau, Wildungen, was illustrated by Schindewolf (1923a, pl. XVIII, fig. 5). Coiling is evolute U/D = 0.51 at a diameter of 17mm. The whorl section is quadrate, with flattened venter and flanks. Early whorls are smooth, the first ribs appear at a diameter of 5mm, and thereafter there are six per whorl. The ribs and growth-lines are weakly prorsiradiate and concave over the flanks. Ribs continue over the ventrolateral shoulder onto the margin of the venter. No suture has been observed. Dimensions:

		D	U	WW	WH
M£N,	P1. 5.18,Fig.1	17	8.1	-	4.9

Discussion: Schindewolf (1923a) stated that the suture of this species was the same as <u>Laevigites hoevelense</u>, and so it is included here in <u>Clymenia</u>. Doubt arises, however, because no other <u>Clymenia</u> has concave growth-lines, a character which normally distinguishes <u>Platyclymenia</u>.

Horizon and distribution: Schindewolf (1923a) stated that this species was collected together with <u>Cl. laevigata</u> at Gattendorf, which he interpreted as indicating the lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. It is also known from Wildungen, Kellerwald, and the Carnic Alps.

Genus Protoxyclymenia Schindewolf 1923a

Textfig. 5.25

	1 923a	<u>Protoxyclymenia</u> gen. nov Schindewolf, p. 433.
p		<u>Oxyclymenia</u> Gümbel - Schindewolf, p. 467.
	1923b	Protoxyclymenia gen. nov Schindewolf, p. 28, 63.
	1929	<u>Protoxyclymenia</u> Schindewolf - Lange, p. 120.
	1938	<u>Protoxyclymenia</u> Schindewolf - Kolotukhina, p. 683.
	1965	Protoxyclymenia Schindewolf - Sun and Shen, p. 51.
	1966	<u>Protoxyclymenia</u> Schindewolf - Chlupàč, p. 95.
	1975	Protoxyclymenia Schindewolf - Petersen, p. 48.

Type species: <u>Clymenia dunkeri</u> Münster 1839, by original designation.

Diagnosis: Member of the Clymeniidae with weakly biconvex growthlines and a suture consisting of a dorsal saddle with very shallow ventro-lateral lobes on either side, asymmetrical rounded lateral lobe with the shallower slope dorsad, and a deep U-shaped dorsal lobe.

Description: An assessment, and hence description, of the genus is difficult because few well preserved specimens have been studied or reported. Most of the specimens known to me are discussed below under the type species <u>Pro. dunkeri</u> (Münster 1839); the only other known species is <u>Pro. serpentina</u> (Münster 1832), formerly thought to be a <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>.

Discussion: The two species assigned to this genus have such differing growth-lines that they could be placed in separate genera (cf. the groups into which <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> is divided, below). However, such a formal step is unnecessary in this thesis, and they are described simply as <u>Protoxyclymenia</u>.

Horizon and distribution: Known from the <u>?annulata</u> Zone of Oberfranken, and the lower part of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of Sauerland, Oberfranken and the southern Urals.

Protoxyclymenia dunkeri (Münster 1839)

P1. 5.28, Figs. 1,4,5, Textfig. 5.24H-K

- v* 1839 <u>Clymenia</u> <u>dunkeri</u> sp. nov. Münster, p. 15, pl. XVI, figs. la,b.
 - 1843 <u>Clymenia dunkeri</u> Münster Münster, p. 42, pl. XVI, figs. la,b (copy of Münster 1839).
- vp 1863 <u>Clymenia dunkeri</u> Münster Gümbel, p. 135, pl. XVI, figs. 3a-c, non figs. 4a-c.
- non 1902 <u>Clymenia dunkeri</u> Münster Frech, p. 29,31, textfigs. la₁₋₅.
- 1923a <u>Protoxyclymenia dunkeri</u> Münster Schindewolf, p. 433.
 ? 1965 <u>Protoxyclymenia dunkeri</u> Münster Sun and Shen,

p. 51, pl. II, fig. 5, textfig. 9.

Type material: BSP AS VII 559 from Gattendorf, Oberfranken, is

proposed as the lectotype.

Remarks: The type series consisted of the three specimens from Schübelhammer, Heinersreuth and Gattendorf. The specimens figured by Münster were recorded as from Verneuil's Collection and have not been traced. The lectotype was figured by Gümbel (1863) as "Münster's original".

Diagnosis: <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> with prorsiradiate growth-lines and weakly developed, slightly biconvex ribs on the body chamber.

Description: The poorly preserved nature of the lectotype and the absence of any well preserved comparative material from the type locality makes an accurate description difficult.

The lectotype, illustrated in Pl. 5.28, Fig. 1 and Textfig. 5.24K, attains a maximum diameter of approximately 35mm, where the ratio U/D is 0.37. Early whorls appear circular in section and evolute, with the whorl section becoming compressed and the flanks flattened and parallel in the last whorl, after a diameter of ca 17mm. The venter appears tabulate on the body chamber. Growth-lines are preserved only on the inner whorls up to a diameter of ca 15mm. Here they are radial and straight and expressed as raised lirae. There are faint indications of ribs caused by bunching of growth-lines at a diameter of ca 12mm. Twelve poorly preserved faintly biconvex ribs are visible on the last quarter whorl of the body chamber.

The suture, visible at a whorl height of 5.5mm, has been polished and thus damaged, and the ventrad half has been dissolved away. The remaining half of the lateral lobe is illustrated in Textfig. 5.24K and really only shows that this species has a lateral lobe.

However, a more detailed description can be provided by using a single specimen from Wäschholz (Pl. 5.28, Figs. 4,5, Textfigs. 5.24H-J) on which growth-lines and the suture are visible. The shell form is evolute, with a compressed oval whorl section and

a narrow tabulate venter (Textfig. 5.24J). Growth-lines are prorsiradiate, weakly biconvex with a prominent ventro-lateral salient (Textfig. 5.24H). Weak ribs, caused by bunching together of 6 or 7 growth-lines, are formed at the umbilicus. Growthlines over the ventral band are out numbered 6:1 by the flank growth-lines. The formation of a ventral sinus coincides with the formation of the groups of bunched growth-lines. The external suture, consisting of a shallow asymmetric lateral lobe, and a flat ventral saddle, is illustrated in Textfig. 5.24I.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype, P1.5.28 Fig.1, BSP AS VII 559.	32	13.9		11.8
81D 6403.2, P1.5. 28, Figs.4,5.	ca20		5.1	6.9
P1.5.28, Figs.14, 15.	23.8	9.1	7.0	8.2
P1.5.28, Figs.8,9, 12,13.	22.5	9	6.9	7.3
Lange, 1929, p.120	28.0	10.5	ca8.7	10
Sun and Shen, 1965, p.52.	53.6	29.3	10.3	15.5
Measured from Chlupàč, 1966, fig. 3b.	· · · ·		7.0	12.0

Discussion: The two specimens figured by Gümbel (1863) as <u>C1</u>. <u>dunkeri</u> remain in Munich. One, the lectotype, has already been described and the other (BSP AS VII 558, P1. 5.28, Fig. 3, figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XVI, fig. 4), from Geuser, is proposed as the lectotype of <u>C1</u>. <u>sedqwickii</u> Münster 1840, and is described below as a <u>Pseudoclymenia</u>. Two further specimens from Geuser are preserved at Cambridge and one at least (SM H10384) is a juvenile <u>Pseudoclymenia</u>. The other (SM H10383), a fragment, is not identifiable. The label associated with the lectotype has the name C1. semiannulata struck out by the author and replaced by dunkeri.

There are four unnumbered specimens at Marburg from Bed 15 at Kirch-Gattendorf, remaining from the "several" upon which Schindewolf (1923a) based his description of the species. None is well preserved, being only internal moulds, and the sutures are damaged. Presumably they were used as the basis for Schindewolf's figure (1923b, fig. 4c) when he established <u>Protoxyclymenia</u>.

Interpretation of the species has, until now, been based on either Münster's and Gümbel's figures, or Schindewolf's description.

Other authors have recognised specimens belonging to this genus, notably those listed in the generic synonymy. Lange (1929) figured a specimen as Pro. cf. dunkeri which he claimed came from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone at Enkeberg. This was evolute with numerous weak biconvex ribs, and greatly resembled the description of the species which Schindewolf had given. This specimen cannot be traced, but figured in P1. 5.36, Figs. 17,18, is a similar, though less well preserved, specimen (MfN) labelled as having been collected by Paeckelmann, in 1925, from "Bed 9" at Enkeberg, and this, by comparison with the specimen figured in P1. 5.36, Figs. 14-16, Textfig. 5.30I, J, is identified as Genu karpinskii. Also figured in Pl. 5.28, Figs. 8,9,12,13 is another specimen from the Sauerland. This has no ribbing, only concave growthlines and is more likely to be a <u>Protoxyclymenia</u>. Kolotukhina (1938, p. 683) described Pro. cf. dunkeri from the Clymenia Stufe of Central Kazakhstan, basing her identification on Lange's figure. Freyer (1959) listed a specimen of Pro. cf. dunkeri from Taltitz, Vogtland (East Germany). This was not figured and lacked a suture, but was described as having weak biconvex ribs on the body chamber, and so may be a Genuclymenia too. Sun and Shen (1965) figured what appears to be a large (D = 53.6mm) well

preserved specimen which they assigned to <u>Pro. dunkeri</u>. Unfortunately neither can I read the Chinese text nor is the illustration wellmproduced, and so no further comment can be made. Certainly the suture (fig. 9) shows that the specimen belongs to this genus.

Chlupàč (1966, p. 95, pl. IV, figs. 2,3b) figured a specimen as <u>Pro</u>. sp. from Moravia, which has a very slender whorl section and a ventro-lateral lobe as deep as the lateral lobe. Petersen (1975, pl. 7, figs. 2,11,12) figured two small specimens from the Piker Hills Formation, Western Australia, which he assigned to <u>Pro</u>. cf. <u>dunkeri</u>. These, however, have very shallow lateral lobes, especially on GSWA F8389 (fig. 2), which seems hardly distinguishable from that shown by Petersen himself (fig. 25) for <u>Clymenia</u> cf. <u>laevigata</u>. Therefore this report cannot be taken as convincing evidence for the occurrence of <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> in Australia.

To summarise, <u>Protoxyclymenia dunkeri</u> is known from few specimens: Münster's original figure showed an example with concave prorsiradiate ribs, the lectotype has weakly biconvex ribs. Lange (1929) figured an example conforming with the lectotype and Schindewolf (1923a) gave a description based on material from the type locality, his Bed 15. The specimen described here from Wäschholz should serve to clear up any doubt as to the nature of <u>Pro. dunkeri</u>. It appears to resemble the poorly preserved lectotype in shell form and ornament, and has a typical <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> suture.

Sun and Shen (1965) and Chlupàč (1966) both described single specimens with more greatly compressed whorl sections than others described here. Each of the specimens listed here could represent a different species but there is insufficient information to develop further such a view. Specimens assigned to this species by Frech (1902, textfigs. la_{1-5}), from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of Cabrières, belong to <u>Costa-</u> <u>clymenia</u>, as may also those described by Wedekind (1908), who reported a "ventral saddle divided by a shallow lobe", and Gortani (1907), who based his identification of <u>Cl</u>. (<u>Cyrto</u>.) cfr. <u>dunkeri</u> on Frech's figures.

Horizon and distribution: The lectotype is from Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken, where Schindewolf (1923a) considered it to occur in the lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. The specimen from Wäschholz was collected loose, but together with <u>Platyclymenia</u>, <u>Trigonoclymenia</u> sp. and <u>Aktuboclymenia</u> sp. suggesting an <u>annulata</u> Zone age. Matrix from the <u>Aktuboclymenia</u> yielded <u>styrmeus</u> Zone conodonts (Luppold, pers. comm.).

Other reports of the genus, from the Sauerland (W. Germany), Vogtland (E. Germany), Kazakhstan (USSR), Moravia (Czechoslovakia) and Kweichow (s.w. China) confirm a lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe age. However, Lange (1929) recorded a specimen from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone at Enkeberg, and Schindewolf (1952) recorded <u>Pro</u>. sp. from a level which also yielded <u>Platyclymenia</u> and <u>Trigonoclymenia</u> at Mauxion, Saalfeld, Thuringia (East Germany).

<u>Protoxyclymenia serpentina</u> (Münster 1832)

P1. 5.26, Figs. 10,11, Textfigs. 5.24L,M; 5.25; 5.29D,E

v*	1832	<u>Planulites serpentinus</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 12,
		pl. III, figs. la-c.
v	1832	<u>Clymenia</u> <u>serpentina</u> Münster - Münster, p. 74, pl. III,
		figs. 1a-c (translation of Münster 1832).
v	1843	Clymenia serpentina Münster - Münster, p. 8. pl. IIIa

figs. la-c (copy of Münster 1832).

v	1863	<u>Clymenia serpentina</u> Münster - Gümbel, pl. XVII,
		fig. 9 (only).
?	1892	<u>Clymenia dubia</u> sp. nov Loewinson-Lessing, p. 22,
		pl. II, figs. 3a-c.
?	1914	<u>Clymenia dubia</u> Loewinson-Lessing - Perna, p. 79,
		pl. III, fig. 16.
?non	1 923a	<u>OxyClymenia serpentina</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 475.
non	193 7a	<u>Oxyclymenia serpentina</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 27.
?	1947	<u>Genuclymenia</u> <u>dubia</u> Loewinson-Lessing - Nalivkina,
		p. 176, pl. XLV, fig. 1.
?	1 96 2	Protoxyclymenia dubia Loewinson-Lessing - Bogoslovskiy,
		pl. XXXII, fig. 4.
?	1981	<u>Protoxyclymenia</u> <u>dunkeri</u> Münster - Ruan, p. 122,
		pl. 30, figs. 7,8.
•	1981	<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> <u>serpentina</u> Münster - Ruan, p. 127,
		pl. XXXII, figs. 17-19.

Type material: Münster's catalogue (1833, p. 110) lists only one specimen and this, the holotype, is recognised as BSP AS VII 538 (Pl. 5.26, Figs. 10,11) from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Diagnosis: Widely umbilicate <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> with a body chamber which has a compressed section, converging flanks and a subacute venter. The growth-lines are strongly biconvex with each salient equally prominent and a strong midflank sinus, along which develops a shallow depression.

Description: The holotype is evolute with a shallow umbilicus, the umbilical width being 50% of the diameter at all stages. Early whorls have a subcircular cross-section (Textfig. 5.29E), but by a diameter of 50mm the flanks are converging and the whorl secton compressed. The body chamber at a diameter of 90mm has an almost triangular whorl section with a subacute venter, the apex of which is slightly rounded and bounded by two barely visible grooves (P1. 5.26, Fig. 11).

Growth-lines have been eroded from all but the last half whorl where they are biconvex with a deep rounded midflank sinus

(Textfig. 5.29D), along which runs a shallow depression. Periodically growth-lines are strengthened (Fig. 10) to form faint ribs.

The suture, revealed by polishing at a diameter of ca 50mm lacks the sharp pointed base to the lateral lobe. An example from Beil is illustrated in Pl. 5.28, Textfigs. 5.24L,M. This (KW 2077) closely resembles the holotype. Inner whorls are smooth. Growthlines at a diameter of 60mm are biconvex, rursiradiate (Textfig. 5.24L). Weak plicate ribs are visible on the internal mould of the body chamber, and the venter (D = 67) is weakly tabulate, bounded by two very shallow grooves, and has upon its internal mould indications of weak U-shaped ribs. The suture (Textfig. 5.24M) consists of a shallow asymmetric lateral lobe, and a flat ventral saddle. Another example (BM C34762, Pl. 5.20, Figs. 16, 17) from Schübelhammer, may belong to this species.

Dimensions:

·	D	U	WW	WH
Holotype, BSP AS	97	47.8	15.5	25.5
VII 538, P1.5.26	89	41.5	18	26
Figs.10,11.	67	32.5	13	18
	57.5	34.3	12	14
	36.5	18.1	8.5	10.3
•	27.5	12.5	7.3	8.1
	20.0	8.5	5.0	6.5
	13.8	6.0	3.8	4.8
KW 2077, P1.5.28 Figs14,15.	67		14.5	. 17.5

Discussion: The holotype is a specimen which was illustrated well by Münster in 1832 (pl. VI, figs. la,b) being a more accurate representation than many of his other figures. It gives evidence of the type of elaboration Münster's artist used, and is a help in interpreting other figures that he drew. The ornament of the inner whorls is all conjectural and the midflank sinus of the growth-lines is too shallow. There is no evidence for the apertural shape, and the cross-section at the aperture is too compressed.

The nature of the venter is drawn well, although where shown (fig. 1b) in the apertural view, it is not actually preserved.

Gümbel (1863, pl. XVII, figs. 9a,b) also figured this specimen. His artist again drew in the growth-lines of the inner whorls, and completed the venter in the apertural view. The growth-line shape was more accurately represented, though the sutures do not possess the deeply pointed lateral lobes shown.

This species has been completely misinterpreted by all authors this century. Wedekind (1914, p. 51) considered it to be the mature form of <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>undulata</u>. Schindewolf (1923a, p. 475) recognised it as synonymous with <u>Oxy</u>. <u>galeata</u> Wedekind, which has a similar shell shape. Wedekind (1914, p. 52, pl. VII, fig. 5) illustrated the venter of <u>galeata</u> as being acute at a diameter of 25-30mm. This was contradicted by Schindewolf (1923a), but the venter is definitely acute (see Wedekind's photograph) by a diameter of 50mm, at a stage where the venter of <u>Pro</u>. <u>serpentina</u> is still rounded. Furthermore the growth-lines of <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>galeata</u> are not strongly biconvex and it has a pointed lateral lobe.

<u>Kosmo</u>. <u>galeata</u> may be synonymous with another Münster species, <u>C1. otto</u> (1839, p. 31, pl. II, figs. 9a-c) from Dzikowiec. This is shown as having an acute venter and a <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> type suture. The figured specimen was seen by Gümbel (1863, p. 143) but type material cannot now be traced, so it is better to disregard the name as a <u>nomen dubium</u>.

Schmidt (1924) recorded <u>Oxy</u>. <u>serpentina</u> from the Sauerland but figured no examples and compared the ornament to his new species <u>Cymaclymenia striata</u> var. <u>serpentina</u>, which has growthlines with a much stronger ventro-lateral salient than on the holotype. It cannot therefore be certain that Schmidt was referring to the same species.

There is great similarity between Pro. serpentina and

illustrations of <u>Clymenia dubia</u> by Loewinson-Lessing (1892, pl. II, figs. 3a-e), Perna (1914, pl. III, figs. 16a,b, textfig. 82), and Bogoslovskiy (1962, pl. XXXII, fig. 4); thus they may be synonymous. <u>Clymenia dubia</u> has a suture with an asymmetric but rounded lateral lobe, wide umbilicus (WW/D = 0.56) and a compressed whorl section with two shallow ventro-lateral grooves, giving a hint of a keel. The only difference is that in Loewinson-Lessing's figure these grooves appear at an earlier diameter than on <u>Pro</u>. <u>serpentina</u>. <u>Cl</u>. <u>dubia</u> Loewinson-Lessing is, however, an invalid name since it had been used earlier by d'Orbigny (1850, p. 58), who considered his former <u>Bellerophon dubia</u> (1839, pl. 7, figs, 10, 11) to be a <u>Clymenia</u>. This is unlikely, but I have seen neither figure nor description of it.

Although <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> <u>serpentina</u> has a typical <u>Protoxy-</u> <u>clymenia</u> suture it lacks morphological similarity with <u>Protoxy-</u> <u>clymenia</u> <u>dunkeri</u>. Comparison is hindered by the lack of material and the poor preservation of the inner whorls of the holotype on which no growth-lines are preserved.

Horizon and distribution: Earlier authors' comments have to be disregarded because of the varied interpretation of the species. Korn (1981a) has recently used this species to name the lowermost zone of the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe in the Sauerland. The species is known from the lowermost <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of the Sauerland and Oberfranken (W. Germany).

Genus Kosmoclymenia Schindewolf 1949a

Textfig. 5.28

p 1832 Planulites Parkinson (non Lamarck) - Münster, p. 9.

Clymenia Münster - Münster, p. 71. 1834 р Endosiphonites gen. nov. - Ansted, p. 416. 1838 р Clymenia Münster - Richter, p. 30. 1848 p 1853 Clymenia Münster - Sandberger, p. 189. р Clymenia Münster - Gümbel, p. 140. 1863 р 1884 Oxyclymenia gen. nov. - Hyatt, p. 312 (rest: Clymenia). p. Clymenia Münster - Loewinson-Lessing, p. 18. 1892 р Oxyclymenia Hyatt - Gürich, p. 327. 1896 1897 Clymenia (Oxyclymenia) - Frech, pl. 32a (rest: Clymenia). р Oxyclymenia Gümbel - Frech, p. 34, (rest: Cymaclymenia). 1902 р Clymenia (Oxyclymenia) Münster - Gortani, p. 220 1907 p (rest: Cymaclymenia). Clymenia (Oxyclymenia) - Wedekind, p. 605,621, (rest: 1908 р Cymaclymenia). 1914 Oxyclymenia Guembel - Frech, p. 8 (rest: Cymaclymenia, p Ornatoclymenia). 1914 Oxyclymenia Gümbel - Wedekind, p. 49. Oxyclymenia Gümbel - Schindewolf, p. 467. 1923a Oxyclymenia Gümbel - Schmidt, p. 132. 1924 1928 Oxyclymenia Hyatt - Péneau, p. 183. Oxyclymenia Gümbel - Lange, p. 121. 1929 Kosmoclymenia nom. nov. - Schindewolf, p. 69. 1949a Oxyclymenia Gümbel - Termier and Termier, p. 74. 1950 Kosmoclymenia Schindewolf, p. 144. 1957 Oxyclymenia - Lewowicki, p. 97. 1959 Kosmoclymenia Schindewolf - Kullmann, p. 534. 1960 1960 Kosmoclymenia Schindewolf - Petter, p. 35. 1979 Kosmoclymenia Schindewolf - Korn, p. 400.

347

Type species: <u>Planulites undulatus</u> Münster 1832, by original designation of Schindewolf 1949a, p. 69. Diagnosis: Member of the family Clymeniidae with a suture (Textfig. 5.26B) consisting of a low ventral saddle, a very shallow ventro-lateral lobe with the steeper flank on the ventrad side, and a broad U-shaped dorsal lobe.

Description: Shell evolute to subevolute, whorl section circular to compressed, with flanks parallel or subtriangular. The venter may be tabulate or rounded, with or without ventro-lateral grooves continuous ventrally directed flares around the ventro-lateral shoulder, and ventral spines. Growth-lines are generally biconvex on the flanks, but may also be convex with a ventral sinus.

Both ventral and dorsal wrinkle-layer are known from <u>Kosmo-</u> <u>clymenia</u>. Dorsal wrinkle-layer is particularly well shown by an early (lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe) species (<u>Kosmo</u>. aff. <u>inaequistriata</u>), an example of which is shown in Pl. 5.29, Figs. 1-3. Approximately 25% of the previous whorl is enveloped, so the wrinklelayer, a series of prorsiradiate anastomosing ridges, runs from one flank, over the venter, and onto the other flank. Fragments of similar shaped dorsal wrinkle-layer have been seen on many other specimens e.g. <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>subundulata</u> (Pl. 5.23, Figs. 8,9), where it can clearly be seen to cover the thin keel.

Ventral wrinkle-layer is seen much less commonly. It consists of very fine unarranged bumps on the inner ventral shell surface and is most often seen as shallow pits on internal moulds. Examples are shown in Pl. 5.29, Fig. 10, and Pl. 5.23, Fig. 10, which also show a low mid-ventral ridge, corresponding to a groove on the internal shell surface.

The ontogenetic development of the suture (Textfig. 5.24C-E) was shown by Schindewolf (1923b) to progress from an early stage with rounded dorsal, lateral and ventral lobes. The lateral lobe gradually becomes deeper and pointed, and the ventral lobe transforms into a saddle on which secondary ventro-lateral lobes finally develop.

Remarks: <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> is the most commonly occurring clymeniid genus. Numerous species have been described but it is difficult to find in the literature one which has been interpreted correctly. During the course of this study nearly all the type specimens of species of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> have been examined and the opportunity

is taken to describe clearly all of these, and to discuss variability within the genus.

The terms weakly, moderately and strongly biconvex are exemplified in Textfigs. 5.24H, 5.26C, 5.29K, respectively.

Four morphological groups are recognised, distinguished primarily by their growth-line course and shape (Textfig. 5.26). These, however, are not given distinct taxonomic status since the stratigraphic ranges of none of the species described here are known. In a forthcoming paper Korn and Price (1983) will provide stratigraphic information and formal names for newly proposed taxa.

Species now recognised as belonging to <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> were first described by Münster (1832) from Oberfranken. Later Richter (1848) and Sandberger (1853) reported examples from Thuringia and Dzikowiec (Poland), Petherwin (England) and Enkeberg (Rheinische Schiefergebirge) respectively. Gümbel reviewed all of the clymeniids in 1863 and included numerous species of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> within the single species <u>undulata</u> Münster, for which he erected the group name Oxyclymeniae, Adscendentes.

Twenty years later Hyatt (1884) formalised Gümbel's group name as <u>Oxyclymenia</u> (see Opinions 1944), which had as its type species <u>laevigata</u> Münster, and included the other Münster species <u>undulata</u> and <u>dunkeri</u>. Subsequent authors incorrectly accepted Gümbel as the author of <u>Oxyclymenia</u>, interpreting it like his group Oxyclymeniae, rather than like <u>Oxyclymenia</u> Hyatt. Thus Frech, in reporting <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> from Graz (1886), Montagne Noire (1887a, p. 372) and the Carnic Alps (1887b, p. 699) referred to <u>C1</u>. (<u>Oxyclymenia</u>) <u>undulata</u>, and included the species <u>laevigata</u> and <u>dunkeri</u> within <u>C1</u>. (<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>) Hyatt.

Numerous authors followed a similar pattern (e.g. Wedekind

1914; Schindewolf 1923a, 1937a; Schmidt, 1924; Lange 1929; Nalivkina 1947 (<u>Atlas</u>); Termier and Termier 1950; Lewowicki 1959) until Schindewolf (1949a) pointed out that the correct usage was Oxyclymenia Hyatt, (see Opinions 1944) with the type species <u>laevigata</u> Münster. Therefore it should be treated as a junior objective synonym of <u>Clymenia</u> Münster 1834.

Further reports of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> have been made from the southern Urals (Loewinson-Lessing 1892), Poland (Gürich 1896), Armorica (Péneau 1928), North Africa, Pyrenées (Schindewolf 1921; Kullmann 1960) and south west China (Sun and Shen 1965).

Group I

This comprises species similar to the type species <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>undulata</u>.

Diagnosis: Two features diagnose this group, the growth-line course and the ventral ornament of mature specimens. Typically the growth-lines are biconvex (Textfig. 5.27B); either the two salients are of equal size and prominence (as on undulata) or the ventro-lateral salient may be more sharply curved and project further towards the aperture (subundulata). A line drawn between the two salients is either radial or slightly prorsiradiate. The venter always has fewer growth-lines than the flanks; it is bounded by two lines running around the ventro-lateral shoulders, and between these are a series of semicircular sinuses. This is the "Externband" of German authors, which has recently been shown by Korn (1979) to be the bases of spinnaker-shaped spines projecting from the venter. Such a spine is illustrated in Pl. 5.21. Figs. 3,8. The growth-line shape, and examples of Kosmo. undulata can be seen in P1. 5.22, Figs. 5-10 and P1. 5.23, Figs. 1,2; the ventral band is illustrated in P1. 5.23, Figs. 3,9, P1. 5.29,

Fig. 4, and various representatives of the group are shown in P1. 5.24 and 5.29.

Species recognised as belonging to this group are:

*	<u>undulata</u>	Münster 1832, p. 9, pl. II, figs. 9a-c.
s	<u>elegantula</u>	Wedekind 1914, p. 52, pl. II, figs. 10a,b.
•	<u>inaequistriata</u>	Münster 1832, p. 10, pl. II, figs. 4a-c.
	<u>lamellosa</u>	Wedekind 1914, p. 53, pl. IV, fig. 7.
?	<u>linearis</u>	Münster 1832, p. 11, pl. II, figs. 5a-c.
	<u>pattisoni</u>	Selwood 1960, p. 165, pl. 27, fig. 1.
	<u>subundu1ata</u>	Wedekind 1914, p. 50, pl. IV, figs. 5,6.

sp. nov. <u>a</u> P1. 5.24, Figs. 5,6.

Those prefixed by an s are considered as synonyms.

Group II

This group has a characteristic growth-line shape and venter.

Diagnosis: The growth-lines are biconvex (Textfig. 5.26D), with the salient on the dorsad part of the flank being wider and more prominent. A line joining the two salients can be slightly prorsiradiate but, more usually, is radial. Flank and ventral growth-lines form in the ratio 1:1 and there is no evidence of spines or flares projecting from the ventral surface. The nature of the venter is clearly visible in Pl. 5.25, Fig. 16. The whorl section is generally compressed, with flattened, converging flanks.

The two established species, <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>sublaevis</u> and <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>wocklumeri</u>, fall at opposite ends of the spectrum of variation within this group. <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> <u>sublaevis</u> is close to Group I, except that there are no ventral spines. It has slightly prorsiradiate biconvex growth-lines, and a venter bounded by two fine lines (Pl. 5.20, Figs. 3,4, Pl. 5.25, Figs. 5,6) and <u>Kosmo</u>.

35i

wocklumeri has strongly rursiradiate, almost concavo-convex growthlines, and no ventral band (Pl. 5.25, Figs. 16,17). Other, unnamed species, intermediate in morphology between these two, are illustrated in Pl. 5.25.

Species recognised as belonging within this group are:

?	<u>carinatus</u>	Ansted 1838, p. 419, pl. VIII, fig. 2.
?	<u>coronata</u>	Schmidt 1924, p. 135, pl. VII, fig. 6.
	<u>sublaevis</u>	Münster 1832, p. 10, p1. II, figs. 3a-b
	<u>wocklumeri</u>	Wedekind 1914, p. 58, pl. VII, fig. 6.

Group III

Diagnosis: The growth-lines of this group are strongly biconvex (Textfig. 5.29A) with a deep sinus on the flanks and over the venter. The flank to venter growth-line ratio is 1:1. The whorl section is compressed with parallel or converging flanks, and the narrow venter is tabulate, or rounded, bounded by ventro-lateral grooves.

Species recognised as belonging to this group:

<u>bisulcata</u>	Münster 1840, p. 93, pl. XV	VII, figs. 6a,b.
colubrina	Lange 1929, p. 125, pl. 3,	fig. 39.

Other species which may belong here, but which are poorly known are:

<u>galeata</u>	Wedekind	1914,	p.	52,	p1.	VI:	[, fig	. 7.
otto	Münster	1839,	p.	31,	p1.	II,	figs.	9a-c.

Group IV

Diagnosis: The growth-lines of this group are only weakly biconvex (Textfig. 5.29F), becoming almost concave in some species with the dorsad salient barely developed. A line drawn between the two salients is strongly prorsiradiate , and the ventro-lateral salient runs strongly forwards. There is a deep sinus over the venter and the ratio of flank to ventral growth-lines varies between 1 and 4:1. Continuous flares (P1. 5.21, Figs. 1,2), or short blunt spines (P1. 5.21, Figs. 4,5,7), or no spines (P1. 5.27 Figs. 1,2) are formed on a tabulate venter, which is commonly bounded by ventro-lateral grooves.

Only two species in this group have names, and they have lain unused since their establishment, over 140 years ago.

<u>semistriata</u> Münster 1839, p. 11. <u>similis</u> Münster 1839, p. 11.

Specimens now recognised as belonging to this group have formerly been referred to as <u>bisulcata</u> Münster, e.g. Schindewolf 1923a, Selwood 1960.

Group uncertain.

There are several available species names which have not been allotted to any of the above groups:

<u>cristata</u>	Richter 1848, p. 31, pl. III, fig. 89, pl. IV,
	figs. 91-3, Bohlen, Thuringia.
<u>lamellosa</u>	Wedekind 1914, p. 53, pl. 4, fig. 7, Hövel,
	Sauerland.
pyqmea	Münster 1832, p. 6, pl. 1, figs. 2a-d, Geigen,
	Oberfranken.
parvula	Münster,1832, p. 12, pl. II, figs. 7a-c,
	Elbersreuth, Oberfranken.
<u>sublinearis</u>	Münster 1832, p. 11.
<u>tabulata</u>	Sun and Shen 1965, p. 56, pl. II, fig. 1, 14,
	Kweichow, s.w. China.
<u>tenuistriata</u>	Münster 1839, p. 11, Heinersreuth/Schübelhammer
	Oberfranken.

Original descriptions of most of these species were sketchy and no

definitive material has been found.

<u>cristata</u>: Richter (1848) figured a complete, but distorted example of this species (pl. III, fig. 89,90) and two fragments (figs. 91-3). These are not necessarily conspecific, but the type material, now housed at Bernau (DDR) (information from D. Weyer, Magdeburg) has not been examined. The whole specimen (figs. 89, 90) is proposed as the lectotype. This is clearly a <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> and has compressed converging flanks with a tabulate venter bound by two ventro-lateral grooves. The growth-line shape is not visible on the figure but Richter's description best fits those of Group IV. The tabulate venter alone is sufficient to show that this species is not synonymous with <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>bisulcata</u> Münster, which was suggested by Schmidt (1923).

<u>lamellosa</u>: Wedekind (1914) diagnosed this species by its lamellose growth-lines which were "straight, almost concave on the flanks, with a strong ventro-lateral salient". This may place this species in Group IV, but the sculpture is not clearly visible on Wedekind's figure (pl. 4, fig. 7) of the small specimen proposed here as the lectotype. The specimen has not been seen. Wedekind collected the specimen from the same level as <u>Prog. aegoceras</u>, and compared it with specimens collected from a similar level in the Carnic Alps.

pyqmea: This small species has been regarded as a <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (Schindewolf 1923a, Gümbel 1863). <u>Planulites pyqmeus</u>, as described by Münster (1832) from two specimens 18 and 5mm in diameter, was small, evolute with a compressed whorl section and 30 raised growth lirae in the whorl prior to a diameter of 16mm. There were acknowledged similarities to <u>C1</u>. <u>laevigata</u>, and the suture Münster figured (pl. II, figs. 6) consisted of a broad lateral lobes and a low ventral saddle, which is closer to <u>Clymenia</u> than <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>. Later Münster (1839, p. 7) reported a newly found specimen "twice as large as the illustrated specimen" and it may have been this that Gümbel figured (1863, p. 141, pl. XVII, figs. 7a-d) as "Münster's original". This (BSP) is clearly a <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>.

The Münster Collection at Cambridge contains two species: one (SM H7340) is a juvenile <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> and the other (SM H7337-9) is a small evolute species of <u>Clymenia</u> with slowly increasing whorls. Münster's type series may also have contained these two forms. I have never clearly seen growth-lines on this species of <u>Clymenia</u>, which points to Münster's original description being of a juvenile <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>, but the suture he figured contradicts this.

In the absence of a clear original description, or specimens which can be unambiguously identified as from the type series this species is regarded as a <u>nomen</u> <u>dubium</u>.

parvula: This species, unmentioned by Gümbel (1863), was illustrated by Münster, but the specimen figured is too small to be identified. Münster's description lacked comments on the suture; growth-lines were convex, rursiradiate, and there were irregular ribs which formed an acute sinus over the venter. Münster (1843) revised his interpretation of this species, and recognised that it was a gastropod. Three small specimens from Cambridge (SM H10403-5) labelled as <u>parvula</u> can only be determined as <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>. Therefore this species is regarded as a <u>nomen dubium</u>.

<u>sublinearis</u>: This species was described by Münster (1832, p. 11) as "transitional between <u>Plan</u>. <u>sublaevis</u>, <u>linearis</u> and <u>inaequistria</u>-<u>tus</u>", and diagnosed by its smooth shell, fine wavy growth-lines, and absence of ribs. Such a description is highly ambiguous, and

since no material can be traced, nor is there any trace of type material, the species is regarded as a <u>nomen</u> <u>dubium</u>.

tabulata: This species, of which only the poorly preserved holotype is known, was diagnosed by its tabulate venter, oval whorl cross-section and concave growth-lines. The details of the Chinese description are illegible to me, but the species probably belongs to Group I.

<u>tenuistriata</u>: This species was not figured by Münster but described simply as "similar in shape to <u>undulata</u>, more involute, remains small, and has growth-lines visible only with a lens". Gümbel (1863, pl. XVII, figs. 8a-d) figured a small specimen as "Münster's original" and this can be interpreted only as <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> juv. and is regarded as a <u>nomen dubium</u>.

Kosmoclymenia Group I

<u>Kosmoclymenia undulata</u> (Münster 1832) Pl. 5.21, Figs. 3,8, Pl. 5.22, Figs. 5,6,7,8,9, Textfigs. 5.27A-D, 5.28

nom. nud.	1831	<u>Planulites undulatus</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 182.
	1832	<u>Planulites undulatus</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 9,
	•	pl. II, figs. 2a-c.
	1834	<u>Clymenia undulata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 71,
		pl. II, figs. 1a-c (copy of Münster 1832).
	1843	<u>Clymenia undulata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 5,
		pl. IIa, figs. 2a-c, (copy of Münster 1832).
non	185 3	<u>Clymenia undulata</u> Münster - Sandberger, p. 189,
		pl. VIII, figs. la,b.
pvnon	1 86 3	<u>Clymenia undulata</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 140,
		pl. XVII, figs. 1-9, pl. XVIII, fig. 12.

non	1871	<u>Clymenia undulata</u> Münster - Tietze, p. 133,
	1076	pi. Avi, fig. 9.
non	1870	<u>Clymenia undulata</u> Munster - Roemer, pl. 36,
		figs. la,b, 2a-C, (same specimens as figured by
		Tietze and Sandberger respectively).
non	1897	<u>Clymenia undulata</u> Münster - Foord and Crick,
		p. 23, fig. 4. (This work contains an extensive
		list of all previously published uses of the
		specific name undulata, and all species then con-
	4	sidered to be synonymous with it. None of the
		references can be taken at face value; most were
		poorly illustrated or not illustrated at all, so
		that no useful opinion of them can be formed
2. 8		today.)
non	1897	<u>Clymenia (Oxyclymenia) undulata</u> Münster - Frech,
	•	pl. 32a, figs. 1a-c.
(?)	1907	<u>Clymenia (Oxyclymenia) undulata</u> Münster - Gortani,
		p. 220, pl. II(VII), figs. 6,7.
	1914	<u>Oxyclymenia undulata</u> Münster - Wedekind, p. 50,
		pl. 4, figs. 5a,b, 6a-c.
vp	1923a	<u>Oxyclymenia undulata</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 468.
P	1924	<u>Oxyclymenia undulata</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 133,
		textfig. 5a (non 5b).
?	1937a	<u>Oxyclymenia undulata</u> (Münster) - Schindewolf, p.27.
non	1950	<u>Oxyclymenia undulata</u> (Münster) - Termier and
	7	Termier, p. 74, pl. CLIX, figs. 36,a, pl. CLVII,
		fig. 34.
?	1956	<u>Oxyclymenia</u> sp Glenister, pl. 5, fig. 11.
non	1957	<u>Kosmoclymenia undulata</u> (Münster) - Schindewolf,
	7	p. 144, fig. 41.8.
	1959	<u>Oxyclymenia undulata</u> (Münster) - Lewowicki,
		p. 97, pl. 1, figs. 6,10,11 (not seen).
(?)	1957	Kosmoclymenia undulata (Münster) - Freyer, pl. Xb,
		fig. 3.
p .	1960	<u>Kosmoclymenia undulata</u> (Münster) - Kullmann, p.
		534, pl. 8, figs. 6, ?fig. 7.
?p.	1965	<u>Kosmoclymenia undulata</u> (Münster) - Sun and Shen
		p. 53, pl. II, ?fig. 7, fig. 8, non fig. 9.
	1979	<u>Kosmoclymenia undulata</u> (Munster) - Korn, p. 400,
		figs. 1,2.

[~]357

Type material: A neotype, SMF, from Bed 23, Reigern Quarry, Sauerland, will be proposed. No certain syntype material has been identified.

Remarks: The type series consisted of four specimens, one of which was sectioned (Münster 1833). Six Münster specimens designated as <u>C1</u>. <u>undulata</u>, with their original labels still attached, were available for study. None conforms with Münster's original illustration and description. Since it is important that this, the type species, be clearly defined a neotype will be proposed, and this conforms more with accepted usage of the species name than the original description. An ideal solution would be to use as the neotype a specimen from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, which resembles Münster's figure, but no such specimen has been found. Diagnosis: Evolute Kosmoclymenia which has an umbilical width ranging from 45-55% of the diameter (Textfig. 5.28A). The whorl section is circular up to a diameter of 20mm, thereafter becoming compressed with a clearly defined umbilical wall and lateroumbilical shoulder, flattened, slightly converging flanks and, by a diameter of 70mm, a tabulate venter. Growth-lines are radial, biconvex, with a shallow broad sinus between the two equally prominent salients, which are centred near to the shoulders of the flanks at diameters greater than 40mm. The ratio of flank to ventral growth-lines is ca 20:1. Small isolated spinnaker-shaped spines are formed on the venter.

Description: The description is based on four specimens (HU P82.7, 9, 11, SMF). Only the neotype (SMF) was collected <u>in situ</u>, from Bed 23, Reigern Quarry, Hachen, Sauerland. The others were collected loose, but are probably from Bed 33, at Reigern (see Chapter 7).

The shell coiling is serpenticonic and evolute, with the ratio U/D increasing in early whorls, and then reducing from 0.6 at a diameter of 10mm, to 0.5 at a diameter of 40mm (Textfigs. 5.27A, 5.28a). Whorl shape in early whorls is circular, becoming compressed at diameters between 40 and 50mm. A distinct steep umbilical wall, subparallel flanks, and a tabulate venter bounded by shallow grooves, are all developed by a diameter of ca 70mm.

Growth-lines are described from the neotype. Early whorls, up to a diameter of ca 15mm, have a smooth shell; then up to a diameter of ca 20mm there are thin, lirate straight, radial growthlines, with a spacing of 0.5mm, which amounts to ten times their width. After a diameter of 20mm the growth-lines are more closely spaced, and assume a weakly biconvex course (Textfig. 5.27E), with a ventral sinus.

None of the four specimens has exactly similar growth-lines and the following comparisons were made at a radius of 25-30mm. This variation is not a function of the growth-stage achieved on any particular specimen, since the differences in ornament are persistent throughout growth. Growth-line counts were made over a distance of 10mm, near the ventro-lateral shoulder. There is insufficient material to determine whether this variation has any stratigraphic significance, and it is treated as intraspecific variation.

Growth-lines on the neotype have a frequency of 3.2 per mm and are bunched into groups of 6. The bunches form very low ribs 2mm wide, separated by two, more widely spaced, growth-lines. Faint ribs, numbering 5 per centimetre, are also present on the internal mould, especially over the ventro-lateral shoulder.

The strongest ribs are visible on the specimen (HU P82.9) from which the ventral spines are described below (P1. 5.21, Figs. 3,8). Growth-lines have a frequency of ca 9 per mm, bunched into groups of 10-12. There are eight low ribs per centimetre.

The specimen illustrated in P1. 5.22, Figs. 6,7, has no ribs, and the growth-line frequency is 7 per mm. Lastly the specimen in P1. 5.22, Fig. 5, has a similar growth-line spacing, but with weak ribs developed on the inner whorls only.

The striking feature of this genus, the spinnaker-shaped ventral
spines, was first described only recently by Korn (1979). A single spine is illustrated in P1. 5.21, Figs. 3,8. It is preserved on this specimen (HU P82.9) from Reigern at a whorl height of 24mm and a whorl width of 22mm. The spine is triangular in side view, with a height of 15mm, and a maximum length of 10mm. There are four or five weak ribs running parallel with the leading (apertural) edge. The shape of the apicad edge changes at a distance of 5mm away from the venter, below this point the spine seems to have curved round along the semicircular lines of the ventral band to join a similar spine on the other flank (P1. 5.21, Fig. 6). Above this level the spines may have been separated.

The specimen which Korn figured (1979, figs. 1a,b, 2a) has spines with an asymmetrical lateral profile, and an apicad side with a constant slope, interpreted as showing that the spine ran continuously from one side of the venter to the other.

Only one highly weathered specimen (BM C83295), from the various Münster Collections, has been identified as belonging to this species. It is poorly preserved (P1. 5.22, Figs. 8,9) but is identified by its whorl section. The inner whorls are circular and at the maximum diameter preserved are compressed with a distinct steep umbilical wall, angular ventro-umbilical shoulder and tabulate venter showing the remnants of a ventral band. This specimen was labelled as <u>C1. laevigata</u> and thus cannot be considered to be from the type series. It is too poorly preserved to warrant use as the neotype.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Neotype, SMF	75	37.4	19.2	21.7
P1. 5.22, Figs. 6.7.	54.5	27.0	13.1	15.2
(all measurements	40.0	19.8	10.2	11.5
exclude the shell	27.8	14.6	7.4	8.1
thickness)	19.9	10.9	5.4	5.4

1 . · · · ·	D	U	WW	WH
	15.1 11.2 8.4 6.3 4.91 3.4	8.5 6.36 4.70 3.7 2.36 1.64	3.7 2.90 2.14 1.5 1.44 1.04	3.9 2.72 1.84 1.60 1.31 1.12
Münster 1832, pl. II, figs. 2a,b	59 50 43	23 19.5 17.5	12.5	21 19.5 16.5
Wedekind 1914, p. 51.	87 69 27	44 32 12	20 16 8.9	26 21 9
Schindewolf 1923a, p. 470	43	18	12.5	13.5
BM C83295, P1. 5.22 Figs. 8,9.	80	-	20	28
HU P82.7, P1. 5.22, Fig. 10.	44.8	21.2	13.0	14.6
HU P82.11, P1. 5.22 Figs. 5,6.	54.3 36.9 26.8 14.2 7.85	26.7 18.9 14 7.7 4.16	14 10.3 7.1 3.83 2.04	16.4 9.2 7.8 3.6 2.11

Discussions: The reasons why Münster's definition of the species has been disregarded need a full explanation. Failure to define <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>undulata</u> would mean that the type species would be a <u>nomen</u> <u>dubium</u>, and thus the genus <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> would fall. This would be very unsatisfactory from the point of view of stability of nomenclature.

Münster's earliest usage of the name (1831) lacked a description. This was remedied in the following year (1832) when a figure and description were published. The figure (pl. II, figs. la-c) shows an evolute <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> with the ratio U/D being 0.40 at a diameter of 60mm. Growth-lines are shown as concavo-convex on the last whorl, with a ventral band. The description (p. 9) states that "the maximum diameter is 1-2 inches" (<u>contra</u> figs. la,b). "The growth-lines are wavy with a spacing equal to their width, and over the venter have a semicircular course, where they are more widely spaced than on the flank, and bounded by two lines". Münster states that the figure correctly shows the proportions of whorl height and width. His catalogue (Münster 1833) recorded four specimens, one of which had been sectioned. This last specimen (BSP AS VII 539, Pl. 5.30, Fig. 12) is probably the same as that figured by Gümbel (1863, pl. XVII, fig. 1g), but this can only be identified as a clymeniid.

Gümbel (1863) figured Münster's "original" specimen (pl. XVII, figs. la,b,d) but this does not resemble the original figure. It has biconvex, rursiradiate growth-lines which would now cause it to be included in Group II. The well drawn growth-lines (fig. ld) clearly show the absence of a ventral band. The specimen cannot be traced in Munich.

There are four specimens (SM H10388-91) at Cambridge labelled as <u>C1</u>. <u>undulata</u>. Three of these are figured here: H10388 (P1. 5.27, Fig. 18, Textfigs. 5.29H,I) has concave prorsiradiate growthlines, a tabulate venter and vestiges of spine bases and would be included in Group I; H10389 (P1. 5.23, Figs. 5-9) is a small specimen which has weakly biconvex growth-lines, a ventral band and would be placed in Group I; H10390 (P1. 5.28, Figs. 8,9,11, Textfigs. 5.27B,C) has concave radial growth-lines, a ventral band and would be included in Group I; and lastly H10391 is a polished fragment showing an oval whorl section and <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> suture. None of these resembles Münster's figure. There are other examples of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> at Cambridge but these have been given other specific names, e.g. <u>inaequistriata</u>, <u>parvula</u> and <u>serpentina</u>.

In the Münster Collection at the British Museum (NH) there are only two specimens labelled as <u>C1</u>. <u>undulata</u>: one, BM 81827 is a weathered internal mould, and the other, BM 81852, is a juvenile specimen reaching only 18mm in diameter. No specimens were encountered in the Münster Collection at Berlin which resemble Münster's figure, or which were labelled as <u>Cl. undulata</u>.

The sectioned specimen from Munich, figured by Gümbel (1863) has been mentioned above, and Korn (1979) stated that BSP AS VII 539 was figured by Münster (1832, pl. 11, fig. 6). This is a weathered internal mould and of little use in defining the species.

To illustrate <u>C1</u>. <u>undulata</u> Roemer (1876, p1. 36, figs. 1a,b) chose a specimen from Dzikowiec, Poland, rather than copying Gümbel's (1863) figures, as he did for <u>C1</u>. <u>angustiseptata</u>, <u>striata</u> etc. At a diameter of 75mm this large well preserved specimen has a subcircular whorl section, an umbilical width amounting to 50% of the diameter, a ventral band with a high flank to venter growth-line ratio, weakly biconvex growth-lines and closely spaced ribs. An example like this from Braunau, Kellerwald, is illustrated in P1. 5.30, Figs. 14,15 as <u>Kosmo.</u> aff. <u>undulata</u>.

The next author to figure <u>C1</u>. <u>undulata</u> was Frech (1897, 1902). His description was, however, brief and restricted to illustrations of two specimens; one a fragment (1897, pl. 32a, figs. la,b) showing biconvex growth-lines, and another (fig. lc) showing a constriction on the body chamber on a small specimen from Schübelhammer.

Wedekind (1914) retracted the brief description of the species he had given in 1908, and figured (pl. 4, figs. 5,6) poorly preserved specimens from Dasberg and Dzikowiec. He claimed that his examples fully resembled Münster's, and had a circular whorl section up to a diameter of 40mm, and a compressed whorl section thereafter. The growth-line course showed a weak ventro-lateral salient. By contrast his new species <u>Oxy</u>. <u>subundulata</u> had growth-lines with a strong ventro-lateral salient and an oval whorl section (but see description below).

Schindewolf (1937a) recognised <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>undulata</u> from only the lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe at Oberrödinghausen. Later (1949a) he realised that <u>Oxyclymenia</u> Hyatt, with <u>Plan</u>. <u>laevigatus</u> Münster as its type species, could not be included within this large group of clymeniids with pointed lateral lobes. He erected <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> to contain them, with <u>Pl</u>. <u>undulatus</u> Münster as its type species.

A number of similar nomenclatorial revisions came out of Schindewolf's work on the clymeniid part of the <u>Treatise</u>, published in 1957. This contained several new illustrations of Famennian ammonoids, amongst which was <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>undulata</u> (p. L44, fig. 41.8). The specimen (MfN c597) upon which this drawing was based is illustrated in P1. 5.24, Figs. 14,15, Textfig. 5.27H,I. For some reason, which was never explained, Schindewolf revised his conception of <u>P1</u>. <u>undulatus</u> Münster, perhaps because of a re-examination of the original figure, or specimens, an approach which was also adopted in his other post - War paper on Famennian ammonoids (Schindewolf 1952).

It would be easy to accept this specimen from Ense as a neotype for <u>P1</u>. <u>undulatus</u> if the growth-lines resembled those in Münster's figure. They do not. These and the shell form conform with Wedekind's description of <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>subundulata</u>, the type specimen of which also came from Ense.

There seems little reason for upsetting established practice (admittedly only four papers, Schindewolf 1923a, Schmidt 1924, Schindewolf 1937a, Korn 1979) and accepting Schindewolf's reinterpretation of the species. He gave no formal redescription, the specimen is not from the type locality, nor does it fully resemble the original figure. A neotype has been chosen to stabilise nomenclature, and legalise the accepted practice of 65 years standing.

Sun and Shen (1965) figured a number of specimens which they

referred to <u>Kosmo. undulata</u>. Of these IV 4071 (pl. II, fig. 7, textfig. 10) has the correct whorl cross-sectional shape, but IV 4073 (pl. II, figs. 9a,b) has growth-lines which continue unin-terrupted over the venter, and it would therefore be placed in Group II.

Horizon and distribution: Matrix from the neotype was found to contain conodonts of the <u>costatus</u> Zone. The species is known from Devon (England), Sauerland, Kellerwald, Oberfranken (W. Germany) and Kweichow (s.w. China).

Kosmoclymenia subundulata (Wedekind 1914)

P1. 5.21, Fig. 6; 5.23, Figs. 5-9; 5.24, Figs. 12, 13, 16-18; 5.27, Fig. 18; 5.28, Figs. 2,3,6,7,10,11; Textfigs. 5.27B,C,H,I;5.28

*	1914	<u>Oxyclymenia</u> <u>subundulata</u> sp. nov Wedekind, p. 51,
		pl. 4, fig. 4.
?v	1923a -	<u>Oxyclymenia</u> <u>subundulata</u> Wedekind - Schindewolf, p. 471,
		pl. XVIII, figs. 8,9.
	1924	Oxyclymenia undulata var. subundulata Wedekind - Schmidt,
		p. 134, fig. 5c.
?	1929	<u>Oxyclymenia sedgwicki</u> Münster - Lange, p. 121, pl. 3,
		figs. 37,a.
(?)	1947	<u>Oxyclymenia</u> <u>subundulata</u> Wedekind - Nalivkina, p. 180,
•		pl. XLV, fig. 10, fig. 51.
•	1957	<u>Kosmoclymenia undulata</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. L44,
		fig. 41,8.
?p	1960	Kosmoclymenia sedqwickii Münster - Petter, p. 36, pl. VI,
		figs. 1,a, 3,a, 6,a, 10,a,b; pl. VII, figs. 1,a,b, 5,a,
		10; fig. 4F.
?	1960	<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> <u>sedgwickii</u> Münster - Kullmann, p. 535,
		pl. 8, figs. 4,5.

Type material: The specimen figured by Wedekind (1914, pl. 4,

fig. 4) from Ense, Kellerwald, is proposed as the lectotype. Diagnosis: <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> with an oval whorl section, a poorly developed umbilical wall, and a ventral band.

Description: The lectotype is poorly preserved, the last whorl being almost devoid of shell, and polished to show the sutures. A second specimen from the type locality (P1. 5.24, Figs. 14,15) is available, and two specimens from Schübelhammer (P1. 5.27, Fig. 18; P1. 5.28, Figs. 2,10,11) and one from Hövel (P1. 5.28, Figs. 3,6,7).

The lectotype is evolute, with a ratio U/D of 0.48 at the maximum diameter of 57.5mm. Wedekind (1914, pl. 4, fig. 4c) showed a whorl section (the inner part of which must be conjectural since the specimen is unbroken) which at the maximum diameter had flattened, weakly converging flanks, a shallow umbilical wall and a flattened venter. The ratio WW/WH is 0.64. Faint growthlines are preserved at a diameter of ca 35mm, where they are biconvex with the ventrad sinus occupying most of the flank and the ventro-lateral salient being the most prominent. The suture is of the normal <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> type.

The specimen from Ense (MfN c597, P1. 5.24, Figs. 14,15, Textfig. 5.27H,I), figured by Schindewolf as <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>undulata</u> (1957, fig. 41.8) is better preserved than the lectotype. The whorl section is similar to the lectotype, but the whorl width is greater, the flanks less flattened, and the venter more tabulate. Growthlines are weakly biconvex but their course changes at a diameter of ca 25mm (Textfig. 5.27H,I). Prior to this point the umbilical wall is only weakly developed and the growth-lines are prorsiradiate with a broad sinus occupying most of the flank and a narrow, prominent ventro-lateral salient. Half a whorl later, at a diameter of ca 35mm, the umbilical wall is steeper and more clearly defined and the salient situated on the dorsad part of the flank has expanded at the expense of the flank sinus. Here the growthlines are less prorsiradiate, and the ventro-lateral salient less prominent. The ratio of growth-lines on flank and venter is approximately 4:1, and the flank growth-lines are widely spaced, numbering 2.5 per mm at a diameter of ca 30mm. Faint plicate ribs are visible on the internal mould of the body chamber.

Two specimens from Kirch-Gattendorf, figuredand described as <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>subundulata</u> by Schindewolf (1923a) are referred to <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>inaequistriata</u> (see below).

Two specimens from the various Münster Collections have been identified as Kosmo. subundulata (SM H10389 and H10390). The larger of these two (H10390, P1. 5.28, Figs. 8,9,11) has inner whorls and shell material which have been lost by stylolitisation but a section through the outer whorls is shown in Textfig, 5.27C. Early whorls have a rounded whorl section and an umbilical width amounting to 50% of the diameter. By a diameter of 20mm the umbilical width has reduced to 40% of the diameter and the whorl section has become oval, with a ratio WW/WH of 0.8. The venter is tabulate after a diameter of approximately 30mm. Growth-lines (Textfigs. 5.27B,C) are radial, weakly biconvex but a broad shallow sinus occupies most of the flanks. A ventral band is present (P1. 5.28, Fig. 11) where there are paired semicircular sinuses. The flank to venter growth-line ratio is 2:1 at a diameter of 30mm. The suture has not been observed, and there is no ribbing or secondary ornament.

The other specimen of <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>subundulata</u> (SM H10389, P1. 5.23, Figs. 5-9) is remarkably well preserved, showing growth-lines, ventral band and wrinkle-layer structures. The shell was at some point subject to damage, and subsequently repaired. It still retains the fine detail and relief of the growth-lines.

The whorl section is circular in inner whorls, but by a diameter of 25mm has become compressed. This and the growth-line

shape confer the specific diagnosis. The growth lirae are weakly biconvex and prorsiradiate, numbering 4 per mm on the ventro-lateral shoulder at a diameter of 30mm. The lirae visible on the photographs (P1. 5.23) are not in fact the growth-lines. These are clearly visible only with a microscope (but see Fig. 8) and are very fine striations, numbering four or five, running between the lirae. Obviously what are referred to elsewhere in this chapter as growth-lines may, on this evidence, in fact be the second order structures, lirae. There is a series of single semicircular growthlines comprising the ventral band, numbering 28 in the half whor1 prior to a diameter of 30mm. The flank to venter growth-line ratio is 4:1. A <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> suture is visible on the inner whorls (Fig. 5).

The dorsal wrinkle-layer is visible in Fig. 9, formed as the dorsal external surface of one whorl enveloped the ventral surface of the previous whorl. The wrinkle-layer consists of a series of anastomosing ridges running transversly across the venter. These are bounded on the flank by the umbilical seam, the trace of which can be seen in Fig. 8. Running along the midline of the venter is a thin string-like keel, approximately 0.5mm in diameter, which the wrinkle-layer covers (Fig. 9).

The specimen suffered at least four interruptions to its continual growth, indicated by the lack of parallelism in some adjacent growth-lines (Fig. 7). The major discontinuity occurred three-quarters of a whorl before the present aperture, where there was an oblique fracture of the shell (Figs. 5,8,9). The damage appears to have been rapidly repaired, shown by the irregularly and widely spaced growth-lines. Where the new shell is joined to the old it overlaps and appears to be cemented to the internal side, possibly caused by a retraction of the mantle edge. Normal ventral ornament was not formed during the period of repair, there being no ventral band and growth lirae running continuously from the flanks over the venter. The wrinkle-layer keel runs undisturbed over this region, showing that it was formed after the rupturing of the shell.

A small specimen (KW 2070), collected from "low" in the Clymenia Stufe at Hövel, has a flank:venter growth-lirae ratio of only 2:1 (P1. 5.28, Figs. 3,6,7). One specimen from Schübelhammer in the Münster Collection at Berlin, referred to Kosmo. semistriata, shows particularly well the spine bases on the venter (P1. 5.21, Fig. 6). These are paired ventral growth-line sinuses which are preserved as lunate ridges, the spines having broken off. Between them are very fine growth-lines of similar shape, and ridges (Fig. 6) running from the spine base then converging on and running parallel to the midline of the venter. The growth-lines on the flanks coinciding with the spine bases are more widely spaced, and there are plicate ribs on the internal mould of body chamber. Strong ventro-lateral grooves are not developed in this specimen (P1. 5.27, Figs. 10-12), but the growth-lines do show the strong salient at the margins of the tabulate venter, and are concave rather than biconvex, over the flanks.

Dimensions:

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	D	U	WW	WH	A
GPI, P1.5.24,Fig.16.	57.5	22.5	14	22	
MfN, c597, P1.5.24 figs. 14,15.	51.2 35.8	20.0 14.4 10.3	13 ca10.5 8.1	18.1 12.5 10.7	15.6 21.2 17.3
SM H10388	32	13.4	7.4	10.9	
SM H10389	31.4 23.7	13.3 9.6	8.7 7.4	10.4 7.2	
SM H10390	42.5 29.5 20.3	17 11.5 8.4	ca10.3 8.8 6.1	14.7 10.4 7.4	12.8 9.2
MfN, P1.5.27,Figs. 10-12.	24	11	7.5	8.5	

Discussion: The lectotype of this specimen is so poorly preserved that there is an argument for declaring <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>subundulata</u> to be a <u>nomen dubium</u>. However, since this is one of the few species for which a type specimen can be identified with any certainty the name is retained.

Schindewolf (1923a) was the first author to discuss this species at length. However, two specimens collected by him from Bed 18 (upper <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe), Kirch-Gattendorf, are described below as <u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u>. He identified (1937a) further examples from the lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, and the lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe. Also described above was the specimen which he figured in the <u>Treatise</u> (1957, textfig. L 41.8) as <u>Kosmo. undulata</u>. The reason for including it here has already been discussed (see <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>undulata</u>, above).

Three species were included in synonymy with <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>subundulata</u> by Schindewolf (1923a): <u>C1</u>. <u>sedqwicki</u> (<u>sic</u>) Münster, <u>Oxy</u>. <u>sub-</u> <u>undulata</u> var. <u>elegantula</u> Wedekind and <u>Oxy</u>. <u>lamellosa</u> Wedekind. No explanation was given for the inclusion of <u>C1</u>. <u>sedqwicki</u>, in spite of the fact that Münster's figured specimen was present in the Munich Collections (BSP AS VII 558). It is recognised to be a goniatite, <u>Pseudoclymenia</u>.

Lange (1929) and all subsequent authors have used <u>sedqwickii</u> as the valid name for the species, it being the apparent senior synonym. Lange based his description of the species on over 100 examples, but figured only one (1929, pl. 3, figs. 37,a). This large, well preserved specimen shows ribs on the body chamber, just as the specimen in Pl. 5.24, Figs. 17,18 does, and is probably referable to <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>inaequistriata</u>, also.

Lange also figured a single specimen from Beil as cf. <u>Oxy</u>. <u>sedqwickii</u> (pl. 3, figs. 38,a) which he had collected from the <u>annulata</u> Zone. He identified the specimen (MfN, Pl. 5.36, Figs. 3,4) so because it had biconvex growth-lines, faintly ribbed inner whorls, and a ventral band. Slight differences between its ventral band and that of <u>Oxy</u>. <u>sedgwickii</u> caused Lange to separate this specimen from the others. The suture was not described, and is not visible now. Lange used this specimen to suggest that <u>Oxyclymenia</u> was already present in the <u>annulata</u> Zone. Lange argued that <u>Oxyclymenia</u> could not have had <u>Clymenia</u> as its antecedent since its first occurrence preceded that of <u>Clymenia</u>; nor <u>Platyclymenia</u>, since they were present side by side. The first conclusion can be readily understood, the second less so. Lack of knowledge of the suture makes any identification of this specimen questionable, but it is probably a <u>Platyclymenia</u>.

Returning to the two other species, <u>elegantula</u> and <u>lamellosa</u>, which Schindewolf included in synonymy with <u>Oxy</u>. <u>subundulata</u>, it is difficult either to agree or disagree with Schindewolf because the type specimens are small.

Oxyclymenia subundulata var. elegantula Wedekind (1914, p. 52, pl. 2, figs. 10a,b, proposed as the lectotype) was distinguished by its tabulate venter. Wedekind considered that <u>subundulata</u> had a rounded venter (see pl. IV, fig. 4c); this opinion is impossible to justify because Wedekind did not section the lectotype of <u>sub-</u> <u>undulata</u>, and it has weathered outer whorls, thus obscuring the true nature of its venter. Growth-lines on the lectotype of <u>elegantula</u> at a diameter of 34mm are biconvex, slightly prorsiradiate, bunched into groups of 4 or 5 and widely spaced, numbering 1.8 per mm. Wedekind collected the specimen from the lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe at Hövel and it appears to resemble closely the large specimen from the same locality figured by Lange (see above).

Schmidt (1924, pl. 7, figs. 7,a) figured a specimen which he interpreted as <u>Oxy</u>. <u>undulata</u> var. <u>elegantula</u>. It too has a

tabulate venter but bears little other similarity to the lectotype of <u>elegantula</u>. The whorl section is far broader than in Wedekind's figure (although Wedekind did not section the specimen from which he drew his cross-section). The growth-lines (Pl. 5.24, Figs. 7,8) are biconvex and bunch to form ribs, and at a diameter of ca 40mm number 7 per mm. This specimen is compared with <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>inaequistrata</u> below.

<u>Oxyclymenia lamellosa</u> Wedekind 1914 is impossible to define. The small specimen figured by Wedekind is believed to be lost. The species was discussed above in the introduction to this genus, where it was considered as a <u>nomen dubium</u>.

Both Nalivkina (1947) and Kullmann (1960) assigned specimens to this species solely on the basis of shell form. The examples they illustrated are all poorly preserved.

Petter (1960) illustrated a number of specimens which she assigned to <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>sedqwickii</u>. Three of these at least (pl. VII, figs. la,b; 5,a; 10) are juveniles and cannot be identified specifically. The others (pl. VI, figs. l,a; 3,a; 6,a; 10,a,b), with closely spaced bunched growth-lines, low ribs, and a tabulate venter, are closest to <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>elegantula sensu</u> Schmidt.

Kosmo. subundulata, the earliest known example of the genus, has been considered as the ancestral species.

Horizon and distribution: The species is known with certainty only from the Sauerland, Kellerwald and Oberfranken, occurring in the <u>Clymenia</u> and lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen.

P1. 5.21, Figs. 1,2,4,5,7; 5.23, Fig. 10; 5.24, Figs. 1,2,7-13, 17,18; 5.29, Figs. 1-3; Textfigs. 5.27F,G.

(?)	1832	<u>Ammonites inaequistriatus</u> Münster - von Buch, p. 178,
		pl. II, figs. 10,11.
*	1832	<u>Planulites</u> <u>inaequistriatus</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 10,
		pl. I, figs. 4a-c.
	1834	<u>Clymenia inaequistriata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 72,
		pl. II, figs. 2a-c (copy of Münster 1832).
	1843	<u>Clymenia inaequistriata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 8,
		pl. IIa, figs. 4a-c, (copy of Münster 1832).
vnon.	1863	<u>Clymenia undulata</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 139, pl. XVII,
		figs. 4a-c only.
p.	192 3 a	<u>Oxyclymenia undulata</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 468.
p.v	1923a	<u>Oxyclymenia</u> <u>subundulata</u> Wedekind - Schindewolf,
		p. 471, pl. XVIII, figs. 8,9.
v	1924	<u>Oxyclymenia elegantula</u> Wedekind - Schmidt, p. 135,
		pl. 7, figs. 7,a, textfig. 5i.
?	1929	<u>Oxyclymenia</u> <u>subundulata</u> Wedekind - Lange, p. 121,
		pl. 3, figs. 37,a.

Type material: A neotype, SM H10376, collected by Münster from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, is proposed here.

Remarks: The type series comprised two specimens (Münster 1833). The specimen (BSP AS VII 597) which Gümbel figured (1863, pl. XVII, figs. 4a-c) may be one of these, but because it does not resemble Münster's (1832) figure of the species it is rejected, and a neotype (which bears closer resemblance to Münster's figure) has been chosen.

Diagnosis: Species of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> with fine closely spaced biconvex prorsiradiate growth-lines, numbering 10 per mm at a diameter of 30mm and a ratio U/D of ca 0.42. Periodically these are bunched to give the impression of very low ribs, numbering ca 80 per whorl.

Description: The neotype SM H10376 (P1. 5.24, Figs. 9-11) is described; SM H10377 (P1. 5.23, Figs. 3,4) is briefly mentioned

and may represent the inner whorls of this species. Two specimens figured by Schindewolf (1923a) as <u>subundulata</u> are also discussed, and two specimens from the Samerland are used to illustrate the ventral ornament.

The shell coiling is evolute with a whorl section which is circular at early diameters, but by a diameter of 20mm has become compressed. Textfig. 5.27G illustrates the change in successive whorls from a circular whorl section ($D = ca \ 10mm$) to compressed ($D = ca \ 14mm$), to compressed with converging flattened flanks and flattened venter ($D = ca \ 22mm$), to oval (D = 44mm) and finally a quarter of a whorl later at a diameter of 55mm there is a tabulate venter bounded by shallow grooves.

Growth-lines are clearly visible on only the last whorl of the neotype. Here (Textfig. 5.27F) they are prorsiradiate, biconvex with a broad sinus occupying most of the flank. The course of individual growth-lines cannot be followed across the margins of the ventral band, but they are typically semicircular, bounded by two lines. If SM H10377 is indeed a juvenile of this species the growth-lines on earlier whorls have a much broader flank sinus, and a narrower more pointed ventro-lateral salient. There are 17 semicircular spine bases on this specimen in the half whorl prior to a diameter of 20mm, suggesting that this specimen had a continuous flare projecting from the ventro-lateral shoulder (see also Pl. 5.21, Figs. 2,7).

The neotype has 10 growth-lines per millimetre at a diameter of 30mm. These are bunched into groups of approximately six, forming low ribs. The suture is strongly recrystallised, but is of the normal <u>Kosmoclymenia-type</u>.

Two specimens (HU P82.8, P1. 5.21, Figs. 1,2; HU P82.10, P1. 5.21, Figs. 4,5,7, P1. 5.23, Fig. 10), show the ventral ornament particularly clearly. On P82.8 the growth-lines are bunched, and corresponding to each group of growth-lines is a short backwardly directed spine, extending from the ventro-lateral shoulder and joined to the previous spine to form a continuous flare. The flare is formed by the extension of shell material at the ventro-lateral shoulder. Periodically apertural extensions do not extend to the full height of the previous part of the flare, and are then overlapped by the next part. Weak plications can be seen on the most orad part of the internal mould of the body chamber preserved. Such plications are also visible on HU P82.10, where they also extend across the venter (Fig. 5). Where the flare is preserved it can be seen that it is broken up into a series of low spines, creating a serrate margin.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Neotype, SM H10376	56.4 45 32 21.2	23.3 18.8 13.2 8.8	14.4 11.3 9.3 7.2	19.1 14.6 11 7.7
SM H10377	15.1	6.6	6.7	5 .3
BSP AS VII 597	26.5	11.8	7.1	8.7
Mbg 3135, figured by Schindewolf 1923a, pl.XVIII, fig. 8.	47	19.8	9.5	15.9
MfN, figured by Schindewolf 1923a, pl.XVIII, fig.9.	50 .2	21.8	10.5	16.2
MfN c541, figured by Schmidt 1924, pl.7, fig. 7,a.	56.4	25	13.2	17

Discussion: This specific name was first used by von Buch (1832) who described <u>Ammonites inaequistriatus</u> using material from Schübelhammer, sent to him by Münster. The description is ambiguous, and the figure only a sketch which shows a suture with a well developed ventro-lateral lobe, unlike <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>. In the absence of any type material in Berlin <u>Amm</u>. <u>inaequistriatus</u> is treated as a <u>nomen</u> <u>dubium</u>.

In the same year, in a work whose publication post-dated that of von Buch (see the argument under <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>speciosa</u>, above) Münster described "<u>Planulites inaequistriatus</u> nobis" (<u>sic</u>). This is treated as the first vaild usage of the name, and not as synonymous with <u>inaequistriatus</u> von Buch, since that taxon was described in a different genus.

The specimen figured by Münster (1832) had a diameter of 90mm (pl. I) and for this reason the example figured by Gümbel (1863, pl. XVII, fig. 4), described below, cannot be accepted as the No author since Gümbel has used the specific name, and original. even he did not describe the examples in Munich. Schindewolf (1923a) included C1. inaequistriata in synonymy with Oxy. undulata without justification, and described and illustrated two specimens as subundulata. They are poorly preserved, being flattened and stylolitised in parts, but, or because, they are ribbed, they are referred to Kosmo. inaequistriata. The smaller one (Mbg 3135, P1. 5.24, Figs. 12,13) has closely spaced growth-lines, numbering 3 per mm at a diameter of ca 35mm, and a flank to venter growthline ratio of 12:1. The larger specimen (MfN; P1. 5.24, Figs. 17,18) shows growth-lines which have modified in shape in the same way as those on the specimen from Ense described above. At a diameter of 45mm there are weathered remains of 4 ribs over the ventro-lateral shoulder. The venter at this stage is tabulate.

In 1924 (p. 135, pl. 7, figs. 7,a, textfig. 5i) Schmidt described a specimen (MfN c541) collected by Denckmann from Dasberg. This (Pl. 5.24, Figs. 7,8) has a shell shape similar to <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>inaequistriata</u>, but has deep grooves delimiting the tabulate venter and fewer, more prominent growth-lines. They number 7 per mm at a diameter of 26mm, and have the same biconvex course as <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>inaequistriata</u>. Gümbel's specimen (BSP AS VII 539) of <u>C1</u>. <u>inaequistriata</u> (p1. XVII, figs. 4a-c) from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken (P1. 5.24, Figs. 1,2) resembles MfN c541, as does another unfigured specimen from the same locality (BSP AS VII 542). Further examples with a similar form were illustrated by Petter (1960, p1. VI, figs. 1,a, 3,a) as <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>sedgwickii</u>.

The precise stratigraphic position is not known for any of these specimens. The morphological difference between them and the neotype of <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>inaequistriata</u> is not considered sufficient to warrant the use of a different taxonomic name.

Comparisons: Growth-lines are prorsiradiate as in <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>subundulata</u>, but in that species they are not bunched. The ratio U/D is consistently higher, also (Textfig. 5.28a). <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>sublaevis</u> does not develop a ventral band, nor flares, and it has a stouter whorl section.

Horizon and distribution: The horizon of the neotype from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken is unknown, but is probably in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. <u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u> is known from the Sauerland, Oberfranken (W. Germany) and Algeria. Its stratigraphic position is also presumed to be in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe.

Kosmoclymenia sp. a

P1. 5.24, Fig. 6

Material: A single specimen (BSP 1886 III 27) from Dzikowiec, Poland.

Diagnosis: <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> with ventral band, compressed quadrate whorl section with flattened flanks and few convexo-concave growthlines, spaced at a frequency of two per millimetre. Description: Little need be added to this brief diagnosis of a single, but distinctive, specimen. The fine, widely spaced growthlines characterise this species. The venter has relatively few semicircular growth-line sinuses, numbering 9 in the half whorl prior to a diameter of 37mm.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
BSP 1886 III 27 P1.5.24,Figs.5,6.	36.8	16.7	9.2	11.7

Remarks: This specimen is included to demonstrate the breadth of variation within this genus. It is included within Group I, because of its ventral ornament, although growth-lines do not have a biconvex course over the flanks, unlike the other species in this group.

<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> Group II

<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (Group II) is poorly represented in the museum collections. Several species certainly exist; the two named species are described below but insufficient material is available to propose new ones formally. Several specimens are illustrated to demonstrate the variability within the group. <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> <u>carinata</u> and <u>coronata</u> are known from few, poorly preserved specimens and the information available about them is discussed.

Kosmoclymenia coronata (Schmidt 1924)

The type-series of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> <u>coronata</u> (Schmidt 1924) consisted of 16 specimens, two of which are currently housed in Berlin. The figured specimen (1924, pl. 7, fig. 6) from Dasberg is illustrated here (P1. 5.25, Figs. 12,13) and proposed as the lectotype. Schmidt defined his variety <u>coronata</u> by its indications of ribs on the umbilical shoulders. These can be clearly seen in Fig. 12, as are faint nodes at the ventro-lateral shoulder. The lectotype is small, reaching only 17mm in diameter; its mature form is therefore unknown. The whorl section is quadrate, with a rounded venter. Growth-lines are rursiradiate, sickle-shaped over the flanks rather than biconvex because the ventrad salient is actually centred on the venter. The sinus occupies most of the flank. No indications of spines can be seen on the ventral area.

Kosmoclymenia carinata (Ansted 1838)

Kosmoclymenia carinata (Ansted 1838) is also poorly known and based on a small specimen (SM H4011), which is figured here (P1. 5.25, Figs. 2,3). This is distorted and attains a maximum diameter of ca 35mm. The whorl section is slightly compressed and the venter rounded. Growth-lines are biconvex, radial and continue from the flank over the venter. No spines are evident.

Four unnamed "species" are newly recognised, referred to by the letter \underline{d} , \underline{e} , \underline{f} , \underline{g} , and these fall into two groups \underline{d} , \underline{e} and \underline{f} , \underline{g} . The first group has strongly rursiradiate growth-lines and an oval whorl section; in the second the growth-lines are only slightly rursiradiate and the whorl section quadrate.

An example (No. 80, species <u>d</u>) from the Münster Collection at the University of Erlangen-Nürnberg (Pl. 5.25, Figs. 15,16, Textfig. 5.26F) has a very smooth shell with barely visible growthlines, particularly over the venter. These are rursiradiate and slightly biconvex with a deep semicircular ventral sinus (Fig. 16). Growth-lines occur in equal numbers on the flanks and the venter, and the frequency per millimetre on the venter at a diameter of

20mm. Rugose lirae with a spacing of 9 per mm run transversely across the venter.

Another small but distinctive specimen (species <u>e</u>, SM H10399, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer) has widely spaced rursiradiate concavo-convex growth-lines on the flanks (Pl. 5.25, Figs. 7-9, Textfig. 5.26G). The whorl section is oval.

Three specimens from Dzikowiec (all in the MfN) fall into another group with less rursiradiate growth-lines. Species \underline{f} , illustrated in Pl. 5.25, Figs. 1,2, has radial biconvex growthlines, and is similar in morphology to <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>sublaevis</u>, except that it lacks the two lines delimiting the venter. The Polish specimen also has faint ribs on the umbilical shoulder, and spiral lines on the last flank of the whorl. Species <u>g</u>, represented by two specimens (MfN c594, Pl. 5.25, Figs. 10,11; MfN Pl. 5.27, Figs. 19,20) is evolute (umbilical width is greater than 50% of the diameter) with a quadrate whorl section and has slightly rursiradiate, biconvex growth-lines. Most notably a tabulate venter is developed at a diameter of 65mm (Figs. 19,20), bounded by two pronounced ventro-lateral grooves, resulting in a low blunt keel.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
P1.5.25,Figs.1,2 Kosmo. carinata holotype, SM H4011	34.1	18.0	7.5	8.5
P1.5.25,Figs.12,13 <u>Kosmo</u> . <u>coronata</u>	17.7	8.6	ca 4.2	5.0
P1.5.25,Figs.15,16 Univ. Er1-Nürnberg No. 80, <u>Kosmo</u> . sp <u>a</u>	24.4 18.6	12.1 9.3	- 4.5	7.6 5.1
P1.5.25,Figs.7-9 SM H10399, <u>Kosmo</u> . sp. <u>e</u>	23.9 18.1	13.0 10.1	4.7 4.0	6.0 4.7
P1.5.25,Figs.1,2 MfN, <u>Kosmo</u> . sp. <u>f</u>	38.5	17.5	ca 8	11.3

D U WW WH P1.5.25,Figs.10,11 52.8 26.9 12.5 15.3 MfN,c594, Kosmo. sp. g P1.5.27,Figs.19,20 65 32.8 17.8 Kosmo. sp. g

Planulites planorbiformis Münster 1832 (p. 8, pl. II, figs. 1a-c) could be interpreted as belonging to this species group. The original figure shows the species to have serpenticonic shell, with a compressed whorl section. The umbilicus is wide (U/D = 0.52)and shallow; the growth-lines are concave over the flanks up to a diameter of 30mm, and then biconvex, with a ventral sinus. The suture is of Kosmoclymenia-type. Richter (1848, pl.III, figs. 86-8) and, later, Schmidt (1924) interpreted this species as a Kosmoclymenia synonymous (p. 169) with Oxyclymenia linearis (Mänster). Schmidt did not say whether he considered this species to have a ventral Gümbel (1863, p. 160) stated that Münster had figured the band. original specimen inaccurately. In his figure (pl. XXI, figs. 6a-e) Gümbel shows a widely umbilicate form, but with straight radial growth-lines, a reniform whorl section, and a Cycloclymenia suture. Gümbel was not certain that this was Münster's original as he could see no suture prior to preparing one.

It would be tempting to ignore Gümbel's interpretation of <u>C1. planorbiformis</u>, and to accept Münster's description and figure at face value, except that the only well labelled specimen known to me (SM H10375) is undoubtedly a <u>Cycloclymenia</u>, but with a compressed whorl section and shell shape resembling Münster's figure. Therefore interpretation of the specific name will be based on this specimen, and <u>Cycloclymenia clymenioides</u> is recognised as a junior subjective synonym of it. This genus has long been believed to occur in the lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe (Schindewolf), but preparation of conodonts from the matrix of the proposed neotype

of <u>Cyclo</u>. <u>planorbiformis</u> (SM H10375) and the proposed lectotype of <u>Cyclo</u>. <u>clymenioides</u> (UEN) gave an assemblage dated (by Prof. W. Ziegler) as <u>marginifera</u> Zone, which lies in the <u>sandbergeri</u> Zone. This age places <u>Cycloclymenia</u> much nearer in time to its relatives <u>Prolobites</u> and <u>Clymenoceras</u>.

Kosmoclymenia sublaevis (Münster 1832)

P1. 5.20, Figs. 3,4, P1. 5.25, Figs. 5,6, Textfigs. 5.24C-E

- ?v* 1832 <u>Planulites sublaevis</u> sp. nov. Münster, p. 10, pl. II, figs. 3a-b.
 - 1834 <u>Clymenia</u> <u>sublaevis</u> Münster Münster, p. 72, pl. I, figs. 7a-b (copy of Münster 1832).
 - 1842 Clymenia sublaevis Münster Münster, p. 124,
 - 1843 <u>Clymenia sublaevis</u> Münster Münster, p. 6, pl. IIa, figs. 3a-b (copy of Münster 1832).
- p 1863 <u>Clymenia undulata</u> Münster Gümbel, p. 120, pl. XVII, figs. 2a-c (? refiguring of the specimen figured by Münster).
- ? 1914 <u>Oxyclymenia undulata var. sublaevis</u> Münster Wedekind p. 51.

Type material: A specimen from Schübelhammer, BSP AS VII 540, is proposed as the lectotype.

Diagnosis: <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> with rounded venter. Growth-lines are moderately biconvex, radial, and all run over the weak ventral band.

Description: Two specimens have been examined; the lectotype (BSP AS VII 540, Pl. 5.25, Figs. 5,6) and another specimen, labelled as <u>Cl. lineata</u> in the Münster Collection at the British Museum (NH) (BM 81830, Pl. 5.20, Figs. 3,4).

The lectotype is evolute, umbilical width increasing to 45% at the maximum diameter of 45mm. Early whorls appear to have a rounded cross-section, but flattened flanks and an oval cross-

section are developed by a diameter of 35mm.

Growth-lines, although present on the lectotype, are weathered and can be more clearly seen on EM 81830. These are radial, biconvex and expressed as raised lirae. The salient and sinus on the dorsad part of the flank is broad and shallow, the ventrolateral salient is narrower and more strongly curved. A sinus is formed on the venter (Fig. 3) which is bounded by two lines. The flank to venter growth-line ratio is 1:1. The growth-lines are bunched. This is caused by a variation in the spacing, ten closely spaced lirae being followed by 4 or 5 which are more widely spaced. This bunching is also visible on the venter. The suture has not been observed.

Dimensions:

• · · · ·	D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype, BSP AS VII 540, P1.5.25, Figs.5,6.	41.2 33.5	18.2 14.4	12.4 10.3	15 11.5
BM 81830, P1.5.20, Figs.3,4.	33.8		ca 8	12

Discussion: Münster (1833, 1842) listed at least four specimens as belonging to this species, and it is questionable whether the lectotype corresponds exactly with the figures given by Münster and Gümbel. However, it does agree with Münster's description (1832, p.10) which was, "... smooth shell seldom showing striations... and rounded venter". This was amplified later (Münster 1842, p. 124) where the diagnostic characteristics of almost radial growthlines with a smooth venter were emphasised, contrasting with <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>undulata</u>, which had sickle-shaped growth-lines with a deep pocket shaped sinus, on a flattened venter. The caption to Münster's figure (1832, p. 37) refers to "<u>Plan</u>. <u>laevis</u>", but this is taken as an error for sublaevis, since that name appears twice

elsewhere in the text (pp. 10, 35).

Gümbel's (1863, pl. XVII, fig. 25) illustration of a specimen terminated by a septal face may be an elaboration or be based on another specimen, now lost. The growth-lines (1863, fig. 2c) closely resemble those on the lectotype. Both Gümbel and Münster show far fewer growth-lines on inner whorls than on the last whorl; growth-lines are only visible on the last whorl preserved on the lectotype. Earlier they may either have been weathered away, or be much weaker.

Wedekind (1914) recognised the usefulness of this name for a variety with weak growth-lines. However, this description could be applied to several species. I have not seen any of his material nor did he provide a figure, so it is not possible to include his reference in the synonymy with certainty.

Three other specimens are figured which closely resemble <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>sublaevis</u>. One, SM H4011 (P1. 5.25, Figs. 3,4) is the holotype (recognised here) of <u>Endosiphonites carinatus</u> Ansted 1838 from Landlake, Cornwall. This is a small distorted specimen on which the venter is not well preserved, it is not, therefore, placed in synonymy with <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>sublaevis</u>. The shape of the growth-lines over the flank and venter appear similar.

An unnumbered specimen housed at Krefeld (NWGL; P1. 5.22, Figs. 2-4, Textfig. 5.26E) from the Ostprovinzial Steinbruch, Drewer, Sauerland, is identified as <u>Kosmo</u>. aff. <u>sublaevis</u>. This has a similar shell shape and growth-line course over the flanks. However, the growth-lines are more closely spaced (12 per mm on the venter at a diameter of 40mm, compared with 8 per mm at a similar diameter on <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>sublaevis</u>). Also, by a diameter of 40mm a tabulate venter has been developed, and there are no lines around the ventro-lateral shoulder. A silicified internal mould from Bilsteinhöhle (DK 81D 6403.2; Textfigs. 5.24C-E) was dissected to show the sutural ontogeny of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>. A sharp lateral lobe was found already to be present at a diameter of 4mm.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
SM H4011	34.5			
NWGL	50.8 34.1	19.6 13.5	ca14 12.4	19.2 12.5

Horizon and distribution: The lectotype came from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken; its horizon is unknown, and this may be in either the <u>Clymenia</u> or <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen. Wedekind (1914) recorded examples from the Sauerland.

Kosmoclymenia wocklumeri (Wedekind 1914)

P1. 5.25, Figs. 14,17

*	1914	<u>Oxyclymenia wocklumeri</u> sp. nov Wedekind, p. 53,
		pl. 7, fig. 6.
v?p	1923a	<u>Oxyclymenia linearis</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 472,
		non pl. XVIII, fig. 7.
v	1924	Oxyclymenia undulata var. wocklumeri Wedekind - Schmidt,
		p. 135, textfig. 5e.
?	1929	<u>Oxyclymenia linearis</u> (Münster) - Lange, p. 126.
	1937a	Oxyclymenia wocklumeri Wedekind - Schindewolf, p. 37.

Type material: The specimen figured by Wedekind (1914, pl. 7, fig. 6) from Burg, Balve, Sauerland, now housed at Göttingen, is proposed as the lectotype.

Diagnosis: Evolute smooth shelled <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>. Early whorls are circular, but after a diameter of ca 50mm the flanks become

flattened and converging, the venter tabulate and the umbilical shoulder distinct. The barely visible growth-lines change from being rursiradiate, concavo-convex over the flanks to radial and biconvex at the same point.

Description: Little can be added to the diagnosis. Schmidt (1924) figured the characteristic whorl cross-sectional shape. This diagram, based on a specimen (MfN) from Oberrödinghausen, is at natural size. BM 81854 (Münster Collection) is recognised to belong to this species, by its weak rursiradiate convexo-concave growth-lines (P1. 5.25, Figs. 17).

Dimensions:

		D	U	V	NW V	٨H
Wedekind 1914,	p.53	104	50		30 2	23

Discussion: Schindewolf (1923a) failed to recognise this species at Kirch-Gattendorf, or in the Münster Collections. Lange (1929) interpreted this species broadly and included it with <u>Oxy</u>. <u>linearis</u> Münster, using Schindewolf's interpretation of that species. Examination of specimens of <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>linearis</u> from Kirch-Gattendorf in the Schindewolf Collection at Marburg/Berlin shows them to have remains of ventral spines (P1. 5.23, Figs. 1,2, P1. 5.24, Figs. 15, 20). Therefore Lange was wrong to synonymise <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>linearis</u> with <u>wocklumeri</u>.

Horizon and distribution: The species is known from Cornwall (England), Sauerland and Oberfranken (W. Germany). Schindewolf (1937a) recorded it from the Hangenberg Schiefer and Beds 1-11 at Oberrödinghausen, that is in the upper <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe.

Kosmoclymenia Group III

Kosmoclymenia bisulcata (Münster 1840)

P1. 5.26, Figs. 9.12.13. Textfigs. 5.29A-C

v*	1840	<u>Clymenia bisulcata</u> sp. nov Münster, p. 93, pl. XV,				
		figs. 6a-b.				
(?)	1842	<u>Clymenia bisulcata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 125.				
v	1863	<u>Clymenia undulata</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 140, pl. XVIII,				
		figs. 12a-e, (refiguring of the lectotype).				
non.	1902	<u>Clymenia bisulcata</u> Münster - Frech, p. 34, pl. II(I),				
		figs. 12a-c.				
vnon.	1923a	<u>Oxyclymenia</u> <u>bisulcata</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 474,				
		pl. XVIII, fig. 6.				
non	1924	<u>Oxyclymenia</u> <u>bisulcata</u> Münster - Schmidt, p. 135.				
?	1929	<u>Oxyclymenia</u> <u>bisulcata</u> Münster - Lange, p. 125.				
non	1960	Kosmoclymenia bisulcata (Münster) - Selwood, p. 27,				
		fig. 2.				
p.	1960	<u>Kosmoclymenia bisulcata</u> (Münster) - Petter, p. 38,				
		pl. IV, figs. 2,a, 7,a, (non figs. 8,a) textfig. 4G.				
?	1965	<u>Kosmoclymenia bisulcata</u> (Münster) - Sun and Shen,				
	· · · · ·	p. 54, pl. II, figs. 10a,b, textfig. 12.				
non.	1979	<u>Kosmoclymenia bisulcata</u> (Münster) - Korn, textfig. 2e				
	4 .	(= <u>Kosmo</u> . cf. <u>similis</u>).				
p.	1981	<u>Kosmoclymenia bisulcata</u> (Münster) - Ruan, p. 125,				
		pl. 31, figs. 15,16, ?figs. 10-14, fig. 92.				

Type material: BSP AS VII 535, from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, is proposed as the lectotype. Diagnosis: <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> with prominent rounded keel bounded by ventro-lateral grooves, and strongly biconvex growth-lines.

Description: Three specimens have been examined: the lectotype BSP AS VII 535; HU P82.12, collected from scree at Reigern, and a specimen labelled as from South Petherwin, in the Wiesbaden Museum. These last two specimens differ in detail from the lectotype and are referred to <u>Kosmo</u>. aff. <u>bisulcata</u>.

The lectotype (P1. 5.26, Figs. 10,11) has poorly preserved inner whorls. No cross-section has been prepared but they appear evolute with a rounded to oval shape. The last whorl preserved is compressed with flattened flanks and a rounded ventral shoulder, which is delimited by two shallow grooves at the margins of a high rounded keel. The keel is first present at a diameter of 35mm though here the venter is filed and thus it may have developed earlier.

Well preserved growth-lines are visible only at a diameter of 45mm, where they are strongly biconvex. The dorsad salient is the wider, and the midflank sinus is centred three-quarters of the distance from the umbilical seam to the base of the keel. The other salient runs forward over the ventro-lateral shoulder and there is a deep sinus over the keel. The polished suture is of normal Kosmoclymenia-type.

The specimen from Reigern (P1. 5.26, Figs. 14-16) shows inner whorls lacking a keel (Textfig. 5.29B) and a lower less prominent keel on the last whorl at a diameter comparable with the lectotype. The growth-lines are of similar shape (Textfigs. 5.29A,C) although the salients are less prominent.

The smaller specimen from South Petherwin (Pl. 5.24, Figs. 3,4) also has less strongly biconvex growth-lines, but there is a hint (Fig. 4) of a rounded keel at a diameter of 25mm. After this the venter is damaged.

Dimensions:

• •	D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype, BSP AS VII 535	43.3	19.8	9.0	14.2
HU P82.12	ca50		11.5	15.2
Wsb	27.3	12.3	ca 6	3.0

Discussion: This seems a rare, although widely distributed, species. Besides the three specimens described here only three others are known to me, two from Algeria (Petter 1960) and one from Kweichow, s.w. China (Sun and Shen 1965). Widespread reference has been made to the species (Schindewolf 1923a, 1937a, Schmidt 1924, Selwood 1960) but this is erroneous, as the specimens described there all have wide tabulate venters, bounded by ventrolateral furrows. These are discussed below under <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (Group IV).

Gümbel (1863, p. 140) reported three Münster specimens which may perhaps explain how he was able to draw a cross-section (pl. XVIII, fig. 12e), and growth-lines. These, however, were prorsiradiate and do not agree with either Münster's figure, or what is visible on the lectotype.

The single specimen described by Sun and Shen (1965) has a ventral keel which is much narrower than that of the lectotype, and is referred to <u>Kosmo</u>. aff. <u>bisulcata</u>.

Comparisons: <u>Protoxyclymenia serpentina</u> has similar growth-lines to <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>bisulcata</u>, but develops its less prominent keel much later. Also its suture is different. <u>Kosmoclymenia galeata</u> (Wedekind 1914) has a more oxyconic shell form, with a pointed venter rather than a keel.

Horizon and distribution: The species is known from Oberfranken and Sauerland (W. Germany), Cornwall (England) and Souara Valley (Algeria). The precise horizon is unknown, but Petter (1960) reported her specimens from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, or its upper boundary, and the limited exposure at Reigern, part of which is of <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe age, suggests that the specimen from there is either of this age, or from the upper <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe.

Kosmoclymenia colubrina (Lange 1929)

P1. 5.26, Figs. 1-5, P1. 5.30, Figs. 16,17, Textfigs. 5.24F,G

v* 1929 <u>Oxyclymenia bisulcata</u> var. <u>colubrina</u> nov. - Lange, p. 125, pl. 3, fig. 39, textfig. 39.

Type material: A holotype (MfN) from Dasberg, Sauerland, was designated by Lange (1929). Diagnosis: <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> with compressed whorl section and flattened venter in early whorls, which develops into a quadrate keel, the internal mould of which is noded. Faint plicate ribs are present at all stages.

Description: Three museum specimens are described here; the holotype (P1. 5.26, Figs. 2,3) and two specimens from the Kellerwald (P1. 5.26, Figs. 1,4,5). All are housed in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin. Also described is a single specimen (KW 2081), collected from Dasberg (P1. 5.30, Figs. 16,17).

The holotype is well preserved, although slightly distorted. It shows smooth, rounded inner whorls with faint plicate ribs on the dorsad half of the flanks. At a diameter of approximately 15mm the growth-lines are visible as raised lirae and are rursi-One whorl later, at a diameter of 25mm, the course of radiate. the growth-lines can be seen, still rursiradiate but clearly biconvex, with a sinus over the venter. At this point the venter is tabulate but during the last half whorl preserved a high keel develops (Fig. 3), which at a diameter of 44mm is quadrate and bounded by two grooves. The internal mould of the venter is noded, and presumably the venter itself was too. The shell is missing from the flanks of the last half whorl (Fig. 3), where there are weak, plicate ribs running parallel to the biconvex growth-lines, which are stronger than in earlier whorls. At a diameter of 32mm there is a constriction with a deep concave

course. The suture was not seen.

The specimen in P1. 5.26, Figs. 4,5, is smaller than the holotype and lacks a keel. It does, however, show smooth inner whorls, lirate biconvex growth-lines at a diameter of 20mm and a tabulate venter. Another specimen (P1. 5.26, Fig. 7) differs in having a smoother shell, lacking raised lirate growth-lines, but is similar in other respects. Its stratigraphic position is unknown, and it is not separated taxonomically.

The modification of the growth-line shape on the body chamber can clearly be seen on KW 2081 (Pl. 5.30, Figs. 16,17). Here the body chamber is preserved complete with shell, the venter is tabulate, but the ventro-lateral groove is less marked than on the internal mould of the holotype. Early growth-lines (Textfig. 5.24G) are biconvex, and lirate, bunched into groups of 3 or 4, separated by areas of relatively smoother shell. On the body chamber, at diameters greater than 35mm where ventro-lateral grooves begin to develop, the growth-lines become much finer, more closely spaced, and have more prominent ventro-lateral and lateral salients (Textfig. 5.24F). Weak ribs are present, just as on the internal mould of the holotype.

Dimensions:

		U	WW	WH
Holotype P1.5.26, Figs.2-4, MfN	43.9 33.9	21.5 17.6	ca 9.8 6.2	12.5 9.8
MfN, P1.5.26, Figs.4,5.	26.5	12.5	5.5	8.5
MfN, P1.5.26, Fig.1.	19.5	10.1	3.5	5.4
KW 2081	39.5	13.4	-	10.7

Discussion: This species is distinguished from others in the group by its prominent tabulate venter. The specimen in Figs. 4,5, was recognised by Schindewolf in 1925 as a new species and

[°] 391

given the manuscript name falcata.

Horizon and distribution: The horizon of these species is known only as <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. It has been collected in the Sauerland, Kellerwald and Oberfranken, and from Dzikowiec.

Kosmoclymenia sp. h

P1. 5.26, Figs. 6-8, Textfigs. 5.29J-K

One unnamed species (referred to as species <u>h</u>) which has been recognised within this group, is represented by two specimens: one from Dzikowiec, Poland, and the other from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

The Polish specimen is evolute with an almost smooth shell. Inner whorls show faint ribbing, and the whorl section is compressed. At a diameter of ca 20mm a raised tabulate venter is developed (Fig. 7). The growth-lines are strongly biconvex and radial.

The smaller specimen collected by Münster (SM H10400, P1. 5.26, Fig. 6, Textfigs. 5.29J,K) resembles species <u>h</u> and is referred to as <u>Kosmo</u>. aff. species <u>h</u>. It is smaller, has a smooth shell, tabulate venter and compressed whorl section but the two salients of the radial biconvex growth-lines are of equal size, unlike the Polish specimen. This specimen could, arguably, represent the inner whorls of <u>Pro. serpentina</u>.

In neither of the above specimens has the suture been seen, nor is the stratigraphic horizon known.

Dimensions:

• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	D	U.	WW	WH
MfN P1.5.26,Figs.7,8	24.5	12.4	5.2	6.9
SM H10400, P1.5.26, Fig.6.	23.6 18.3	11 9.6	4.3 4.0	5.2 ca5.0

Group IV is discussed primarily to clear up any ambiguity in the interpretation of <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>bisulcata</u>, but there is also a question of whether any other Münster species should be included within it. There are two such species in contention: <u>semistriata</u> and <u>similis</u>. Münster did not figure them, but his descriptions are paraphrased below:

<u>C1</u>. <u>semistriata</u> Münster 1839, p. 11, Schübelhammer. Only the outer whorl is sharply striated, the inner whorls are smooth. The striations are joined in a sharp chevron on the venter. It is discoidal and little involute. The pointed lateral lobe is small.

<u>C1</u>. <u>similis</u> Münster 1839, p. 11. From the grey transitional limestone of Gattendorf near Hof. A fine striation of the shell runs straight over the whorls, as in <u>C1</u>. <u>planorbiformis</u>. The last whorl is compressed and the venter sharply curved. The whorls increase rapidly in width.

Gümbel (1863) discussed these nominal species and figured two specimens which he interpreted as Münster's originals, selected from a number of specimens in the Munich Collection.

One of these (pl. XVII, fig. 6), <u>Cl. semistriata</u>, is illustrated here (BSP AS VII 552, Pl. 5.27, Figs. 16,17). The specimen resembles Münster's brief description reasonably well, though no ornament is visible on the venter. It is proposed as the lectotype. The lack of additional material means that only a brief description can be given.

Evolute with smooth inner whorls, and slowly increasing whorl width, the body chamber shows almost concave growth-lines with a strong ventro-lateral salient and has a compressed flask-shaped section, with a tabulate venter. The internal mould shows shallow plicate ribs on the ventrad part of the flanks and ventral wrinkle-layer.

The nature of <u>C1</u>. <u>similis</u> is less clear. Gümbel again selected what he considered to be Münster's original (p1. XVII, figs. 5a,b,d) from amongst several specimens. The specimen (BSP AS VII 544) labelled as Münster's original at Munich, cannot be said with certainty to be the one which Gümbel figured, since there are significant differences in the areas where he showed the shell to be absent, The specimen is illustrated here P1. 5.26, Fig. 15, and is proposed as the lectotype. The growth-lines are the only diagnostic feature clearly visible on the lectotype; these are barely biconvex over the flank with a slight dorsad salient, and a very prominent ventro-lateral salient. The venter is damaged, but by a diameter of 18mm appears to be tabulate, bounded by ventrolateral grooves.

In the collections at Cambridge there are two specimens (H10311,2) labelled by Münster as <u>Cl. similis</u>. Both are poorly preserved, the former may be <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>wocklumeri</u> and the other may be <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>undulata</u> or <u>inaequistriata</u>. Neither resembles the Munich specimen.

Two specimens from Langenaubach (Mbg unnumbered, P1. 5.27, Figs. 1-5) are assigned to <u>Kosmo</u>. aff. <u>similis</u>. They have a similar shell morphology and growth-line course to <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>similis</u>. There are however, twice as many growth-lines on the specimen in Figs. 1,2 and the growth-lines on the specimen in Figs. 3-5 are alternately bunched and spread out, giving the appearance of ribbing. Both have dorso-lateral growth-line salients more strongly developed than on the lectotype of <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>similis</u>. Neither of the two specimens had spines or flares projecting from the venter, and a

tabulate venter is developed on the larger specimen at a diameter of 17mm. The stratigraphic position of these two specimens is unknown.

At least four distinct species of this genus are known to me, but only from single specimens which cannot be accurately located stratigraphically. They are illustrated here to justify the inclusion of these species into a distinct morphological group, and to demonstrate the variation within it. Two of the species are alloted the Münster names defined above.

A common species in the lower Wocklumeria Stufe is the form which Schindewolf (1923a, pl. XVIII, fig. 6) interpreted as Oxy. bisulcata, which he collected from Bed 20, at Kirch-Gattendorf, an example of which (Mbg 3133) is described. This has a tabulate venter (P1. 5.27, Figs. 13,14) and raised lirate growth-lines (Textfig. 5.29F). These are biconvex on the flank with a strong salient running forward across the ventro-lateral furrow, which They reach furthest forwards on the vertical delimits the venter. flanks of the venter, before running back to form a ventral sinus. The ratio of growth-lines on the flanks and venter is 1:1, and I do not therefore expect that spines were formed. At a diameter of 17mm there are approximately 40 widely spaced growth-lines in the previous quarter whorl, with a frequency of 12 in 5mm. This specimen is referred to Kosmo. similis. Both Münster's and Schindewolf's specimens were collected from the same locality.

Illustrated in P1. 5.27, Fig. 6 is a specimen from Dzikowiec (MfN) with a similar morphology, but with twice as many growthlines at comparable diameters. Specimens collected by Selwood (1960, GSM 87401, pl. 27, fig. 2) from Cornwall, and by Petter (e.g. 1960, pl. VI, figs. 8,a) from Algeria may have a similar shell morphology to the illustrated specimens, but growth-lines are not
well preserved and the tabulate venter seems to be developed earlier.

A further distinctive specimen from Dzikowiec (species <u>i</u>, P1. 5.27, Figs. 7-9) has growth lines which are only just biconvex, and a ventral band. Growth-line course across the flank is almost straight; there is a prominent ventro-lateral salient and a deep sinus over the venter (Textfig. 5.29G). Grooves delimiting the tabulate venter appear at a diameter of Ca 25mm. Growth-lines number ca 40 per 5mm, at a diameter of 20mm, and the ratio of growthlines on the flanks and venter is 6:1. Running between the ventral growth-line sinuses are the diverging lirae (Fig. 7) typical of specimens with ventral flares/spines.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH	R
Kosmo. <u>semistriata</u> lectotype, BSP AS VII 552, P1.5.27, Figs.16,17.	15	8.5	5 3	7.2 2.7	13.2
<u>Kosmo. similis</u> 1ectotype, BSP AS VII 544, P1.5.27, Figs.17,15.	23. 5	10	-	7.8	
Mbg 3133, figured Schindewolf 1923a pl.XVIII,fig.6, Pl.5.27,Figs.13,14	23.9	11	ca 40	6.9	
MfN, P1.5.27,Figs. 5,6.	20.5	9	,	7.2	•
Mbg, P1.5.27,Figs. 1,2.	* 19.4 15	8.1 6.2	5.0 4.1	6.1 4.5	
Mbg, P1.5.27,Figs. 3-5.	17.1	7.2	4.5	5.5	
MfN c595, P1.5.27 Figs. 5-9.	29.0 22.5	12.7 9.5	7.2 6.2	9.4 7.6	

Family Cymaclymeniidae Hyatt 1884

Type genus: <u>Cymaclymenia</u> Hyatt 1884. Diagnosis: Clymeniaceae with involute to subinvolute coiling, discoidal shell form, concavo-convex or biconvex growth-lines, and a suture comprising a weak ventro-lateral lobe, an asymmetric lateral lobe, and a dorsal lobe, at least, with no well defined umbilical lobe.

Description: Shell form varies little within this family. Ribbing concordant with the growth-lines is common, especially near the umbilicus, and some species develop ventro-lateral grooves. Sutural variation within the family consists of the addition of an internal lobe (within species all treated as <u>Genuclymenia</u>), or a shallow ventral lobe (<u>Kazakhoclymenia</u>).

Included genera:

<u>Cymac1ymenia</u>	Hyatt 1884
<u>Genuclymenia</u>	Wedekind 1908
<u>Kazakhoclymenia</u>	Bogoslovskiy 1979b
Laganoclymenia	Bogoslovskiy 1979b

Remarks: The diagnosis of this family may seem ambiguous. In practice three genera (<u>Cymaclymenia</u>, <u>Kazakhoclymenia</u> and <u>Lagano</u>-<u>clymenia</u>) are readily recognised by their distinctive box-shaped pointed lateral lobe. <u>Genuclymenia</u> is included here because it is considered to be ancestral to these three genera.

Horizon and distribution: <u>Genuclymenia</u> is known from the <u>delphinus</u> and <u>annulata</u> Zones of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge, Oberfranken, Kazakhstan and south eastern Australia. <u>Cymaclymenia</u> is widely known from the <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen of the eastern USA, western Europe, Urals, Kazakhstan, north Africa and Australia. <u>Kazakhoclymenia</u> is known from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of Kazakhstan and <u>Laganoclymenia</u> from the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe of the southern Urals.

Genus Genuclymenia Wedekind 1908

- p 1908 <u>Genuclymenia</u> gen. nov. Wedekind, p. 617, (rest: <u>Hexaclymenia</u>).
 - 1914 Protoxyclymenia (Genuclymenia) Wedekind Wedekind, p. 23.
- p 1923a <u>Genuclymenia</u> Wedekind Schindewolf, p. 432, (rest: (<u>Protoxyclymenia</u>).
 - 1929 <u>Genuclymenia</u> Wedekind Lange, p. 88.
- p <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> Schindewolf Lange, p. 120.
 - 1953 <u>Genuclymenia</u> Wedekind Nalivkina, p. 120.

1957 <u>Genuclymenia</u> Wedekind - Schindewolf, p. L44.

Type species: <u>Genu</u>. <u>frechi</u> Wedekind, by subsequent designation of Schindewolf, 1957. Diagnosis: Cymaclymeniidae with subevolute coiling, and suture with

asymmetric lateral lobe.

Description: The whorl section is compressed, but quadrate in some species, oval in others, with or without grooves running along the midflank. The venter is tabulate, flatly arched or narrow and rounded. Growth-lines are concave, concavo-convex, or biconvex, with a deep ventral salient. Ribbing, concordant with the growthlines is common. The suture consists of a flat ventral saddle, asymmetric lateral lobe, dorsal lobe, and an umbilical lobe may be present to a greater or lesser degree.

Available species names include:

*	frechi	Wedekind 1908, p. 617, pl. XLIV, figs. 7,a.
	<u>angelini</u>	Wedekind 1908, p. 617, pl. XLIV, figs. 6,a.
	<u>borni</u>	Schindewolf 1923a, p. 433, pl. XVII, fig. 4.
	<u>discoidalis</u>	Wedekind 1908, p. 618, pl. XLIV, figs. 4,a.
	<u>quembeli</u>	Wedekind 1908, p. 618, pl. XLIV, figs. 5,a.
	<u>karpinskii</u>	Perna 1914, p. 81, pl. III, figs. 18a,b, 19,
		textfig. 8b.
	<u>keepitensis</u>	Jenkins 1968, p. 536, p1. 104, figs. 1-4,
		textfigs. lg,h.

?	<u>phillipsi</u>	Wedekind 1908, p. 612, pl. XXXIX, fig. 26,	
		XLIII, fig. 6.	
	<u>sulcata</u>	Nalivkina 1953, p. 120, pl. V, fig. 11.	
h?	<u>tuberculata</u>	Nalivkina 1953, p. 112, pl. IV, figs. 8,a, 9.	

Remarks: No newly collected <u>in situ</u> material was available, nor were any species of <u>Genuclymenia</u> described by Münster. However, several useful comments can be made about the genus.

<u>Genuclymenia</u> angelini

<u>Genuclymenia angelini</u> has a subevolute, subglobose, discoidal shell form, with rounded flanks converging on a rounded venter. Growth-lines are prorsiradiate, concave. The only suture for this species was figured by Perna (1914, textfig. 4). Perna's specimen has growth-lines which are less prorsiradiate than the lectotype and therefore would be identified as <u>Genu</u>. aff. <u>angelini</u>. This has a flat ventral saddle with extremely shallow ventro-lateral lobes on it, a deep asymmetrical lateral lobe, and a V-shaped dorsal lobe.

<u>Genuclymenia</u> <u>frechi</u>

<u>Genuclymenia frechi</u>, the type species (e.g. Pl. 5.36, Figs. 12, 13, Textfig. 5.30B,C), has a more compressed shell form than <u>Genu</u>. angelini, but it is more involute (WW/WH = 0.88, U/D = 0.34; <u>angelini</u> WW/WH = 0.96, U/D = 0.36). Growth-lines are similarly shaped but strong ribbing is present here, especially on the body chamber. The suture, illustrated by Schindewolf (1957), when compared with <u>Genu</u>, <u>angelini</u> has an extra, incipient umbilical lobe (Textfig. 5.30C).

<u>Genuclymenia</u> guembeli

Two specimens of <u>Genu</u>. <u>guembeli</u> (KW 2061,3) collected from Wettmarsen and Enkeberg were available for study. These (P1. 5.36, Figs. 6,7,9-11) have a distinctive discoidal shell form; the whorl section is compressed, quadrate (Textfig. 5.30H) with a tabulate venter, and clear midflank grooves on the body chamber. Growthlines (Textfig. 5.30F) have the same course as on <u>Genu. frechi</u>, but with a much deeper, broader ventral sinus. The suture is typical for the genus (Textfig. 5.30G). The species <u>sulcata</u> Nalivkina and <u>tuberculata</u> Nalivkina (described originally as a species <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>, and hence a homonym of <u>tuberculata</u> Kind 1944) are probably synonymous with <u>Genu. guembeli</u>, as all have a similar ornament.

<u>Genuclymenia</u> karpinskii

One well preserved specimen from Enkeberg (DK 80D 4511.1) is identified as <u>Genu</u>. aff. <u>karpinskii</u> (Perna). It has a shieldshaped whor1 section and weakly biconvex, prorsiradiate growthlines (P1. 5.36, Figs. 14-16, Textfigs. 5.30I,J). The growthlines are periodically bunched into groups of six or seven forming weak ribs, especially at the ventro-lateral shoulder, although these disappear near the presumed aperture, a half-whorl past the The external suture is like that of other species of last septum. Genuclymenia but there is an umbilical as well as a dorsal lobe. This feature is also shown by Genu. karpinskii (Perna), but on this the growth-lines have the prominent ventro-lateral salient found in other species of <u>Genuclymenia</u>, described above. Lange (1929) described a specimen from Enkeberg, like that described here, but assigned it to Protoxyclymenia cf. dunkeri (see above). Although this particular specimen has not been traced it is probably identical to the specimen illustrated in Pl. 5.36, Figs. 17,18, which was collected by Paeckelmann in 1925 from his trench at Enkeberg. Further discussion of these specimens can be found under Protoxy-<u>Clymenia</u> dunkeri.

<u>Genuclymenia</u> borni

Schindewolf (1923a) described a new species, <u>borni</u>, from Kirch-Gattendorf. The specimen he figured (pl. XVII, fig. 4) cannot now be traced, but two specimens proposed as paralectotypes are illustrated in Pl. 5.36, Figs. 1,2. These (Mbg 3123, 3122) are small and poorly preserved, but show concavo-convex growthlines with a prominent ventro-lateral salient, typical of other species. The validity of <u>borni</u> as a species is open to question since it resembles <u>Genu</u>. <u>angelini</u>, although with so few specimens available it is unwise to place them in synonymy.

Two specimens from Wäschholz, Oberfranken resemble no other described species. One (KW 2066; Pl. 5.36, Fig. 8, Textfig. 5.30D) has a shell form compressed, quadrate whorl section and growthlines more typical of <u>Platyclymenia</u>, but it has an asymmetric lateral lobe, which distinguishes it. The other (KW 2067; Pl. 5.36, Fig. 5, Textfig. 5.30A), on which no suture has been observed, has biconvex growth-lines and a flatly arched venter. It is included here because of its close resemblance to <u>Genu</u>. aff. <u>karpinskii</u>.

The species <u>phillipsi</u> and <u>tuberculata</u> were both described as having <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> sutures, although these were not illustrated. Therefore their inclusion within <u>Genuclymenia</u> is uncertain.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
<u>Genuclymenia frechi</u>	28.9	8.1	10.0	12.9
KW 2095	23.7	7.8	8.0	9.8
<u>Genuclymenia</u> <u>quembeli</u> KW 2061 KW 2063	19.7 42.7	10.5	10.5 cal3	10.4 15.4
<u>Genuclymenia</u> aff.	24.7	8.9	7.7	8.8
<u>karpinskii</u>	18.2	6.7	6.1	6.9

D U WW WH Genuclymenia borni 17.2 Mbg 3122 6.1 6.3 Mbg 3123 ca18.5 ca 3.5 Genuclymenia sp. KW 2066, P1.5.36, 31 12.2 11.8 Fig. 8. KW 2167, P1.5.36 12.2 6.5 Fig. 5.

Horizon and distribution: Most of the species of <u>Genuclymenia</u> were established by Wedekind (1908), who stated that they came from the <u>delphinus</u> and <u>annulata</u> Zones. Later (1914) he restricted their range to III β only. Schindewolf (1923a) suggested that <u>Genu</u>. <u>borni</u>, collected from his 1.8m thick Bed 10 at Kirch-Gattendorf, could be dated as III α . This section contained no <u>Prolobites</u> and therefore no unequivocal indications of III β . The III α age was arrived at by the co-occurrence in Bed 10 of <u>Pseudoclymenia</u>.

Genus Cymaclymenia Hyatt 1884

p .	1832	<u>Ammonites</u> - von Buch, p. 179.
p .	1832	<u>Planulites</u> Parkinson - Münster, p. 13.
p.	1834	<u>Clymenia</u> Münster - Münster, p. 75.
p .	1 85 3	<u>Clymenia</u> Münster - Sandberger, p. 191.
p.	1863	<u>Clymenia</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 144.
p.*	1884	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> gen. nov Hyatt, p. 314 (rest: <u>Bilo</u> -
		<u>clymenia</u>).
p.	1887	<u>Clymenia</u> (<u>Oxyclymenia</u>) - Frech, p. 372, (rest:
		Kosmoclymenia).
p.	1897	Clymenia Münster - Foord and Crick, p. 27.
p.	1908	<u>Varioclymenia</u> gen. nov Wedekind, p. 606.
p.	1908	<u>Oxyclymenia</u> Hyatt - Wedekind, p. 621, (rest: <u>Kosmo</u> -
		<u>clymenia</u>).
p.	1913	Oxyclymenia Guembel - Frech, p. 8 (rest: Ornatoclymenia,
		Kosmoclymenia).

[~] 402

`403

	1914	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> Hyatt - Wedekind, p. 26
	1923b	Miroclymenia gen. nov Schindewolf, p. 28.
	1924	<u>Postclymenia</u> gen. nov Schmidt, p. 153.
	1927	Cymaclymenia Hyatt - Wedekind - Schlosser, p. 6.
	1928	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> Hyatt - Péneau, p. 180.
	1929	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> - Delépine, p. 99.
p.	1931	<u>Striatoclymenia</u> gen. nov Matern, p. 95.
	193 5	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> Hyatt - Böhm, p. 64.
	19492	<u>Clymenia</u> Hyatt - Schindewolf, p. 70,72.
	1950	Cymaclymenia Hyatt - Termier and Termier, p. 75.
	1952	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> Hyatt - Schindewolf, pl. l.
	1954	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> Hyatt - Pfeiffer, pl. VIII.
p.	1959	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> Hyatt - Lewowicki, p. 98, (rest: <u>Ornato</u> -
		clymenia).
	1960	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> Hyatt - Selwood, p. 166.
	1960	Cymaclymenia Hyatt - Kullmann, p. 537.
	1962	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> Hyatt - House, p. 280.
	1963	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> Hyatt - House, p1. 4.
	1981a	Cymaclymenia Hyatt - Korn, p. 181 (see here for other
	·	references to Cymaclymenia).

Type species: Planulites striatus Münster 1832, by original designation of Hyatt, 1884.

Diagnosis: Genus of the subfamily Cymaclymeniinae with a suture (Textfigs. 5.31F-I) consisting of a flat ventral saddle with a shallow ventro-lateral lobe, a distinctive, asymmetric quadrate acuminata lateral lobe, an asymmetrical umbilical lobe centred outside the seam, and a bell-shaped dorsal lobe.

Description: Shell coiling evolute to subevolute, umbilical widths ranging in mature specimens from 20-45% of the diameter. Inner whorls are evolute with a circular to compressed, guadrate whorl section, becoming generally compressed in later whorls (the ratio WW/WH varies from 0.5 to 1) with flattened slightly converging flanks and a rounded venter, which in some mature examples becomes tabulate, bounded by shallow ventro-lateral grooves. The impressed area comprises 10-50% of the whorl height, and up to 70% of the

preceding whor1 may be enveloped.

Growth-lines are generally concave, weakly biconvex with a sinus over the venter and a more or less prominent ventro-lateral salient. Some species, however, have sickle-shaped growth-lines. Ribbing, running parallel with the growth-lines, is common, especially near the umbilical shoulder where weak bullate nodes may develop. Strong concave asymmetric (steeper flank apicad) constrictions are present on the body chambers of most species.

The sutural ontogeny (newly described here) and especially the characteristic lateral lobe shape are best described by reference to Textfigs. 5.31F-M. The eighth septum (the earliest seen) has an asymmetric lateral lobe, which deepens and becomes quadrate, finally developing its pointed tongue-like shape. Schindewolf (1923b) demonstrated that the earliest septa of <u>Cymaclymenia</u> had a ventrad siphuncle (Textfig. 4.2a).

Available species names have recently been listed by Korn (1981a), who provided a comprehensive review of the genus. To his list can be added several other nominal species:

cordata	Wedekind 1914, p. 28, pl. II, figs. 12,13.
<u>costata</u>	Wedekind 1908, p. 606, pl. XLIV, figs. 3,a.
<u>sagittalis</u>	Phillips 1841, p. 125, pl. LIII, figs. 243a-c.
<u>semistriata</u>	Münster 1832, p. 14, pl. III, fig. 4.
serpentina	Schmidt 1924, p. 131, pl. 7, fig. 3.
umbilicata	Münster 1832, p. 14.

Species described here are restricted to those encountered in the Münster Collections, and <u>camerata</u> Schindewolf, <u>evoluta</u> Schmidt (= <u>euryomphala</u> Schindewolf), <u>involvens</u> Lange, the type specimens of which have recently come to light in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, and <u>sagittalis</u> Phillips.

Comparisons: The genera most similar morphologically to Cyma-

<u>clymenia</u> are <u>Kazakhoclymenia</u> Bogoslovskiy 1979, and <u>Laganoclymenia</u> Bogoslovskiy 1979. They can be distinguished by the presence of a ventral lobe, and <u>Laganoclymenia</u> also has a characteristic lateral lobe, with a very short ventrad flank (Textfig. 4.16).

<u>Miroclymenia</u> Schindewolf 1923b is a monospecific genus about which little is, or can ever, be known. It was based on a single juvenile (D = 15mm) specimen with a <u>Cymaclymenia</u>-type lateral lobe, and a ventral lobe, and therefore resembling <u>Laganoclymenia</u>. Schindewolf first considered (1924) <u>Miroclymenia</u> to be transitional to <u>Wocklumeria</u>, although he later (1937a) modified his ideas and regarded it as a "<u>genus inquirendum</u>". The type specimen was already lost by 1937 (Schindewolf 1937a). If the genus is later shown, by recollecting from the type area of Bohlen, Saalfeld, to be valid then it should be treated as the senior synonym of <u>Laganoclymenia</u>. Until then the type species, <u>interpres</u>, should be treated as a <u>nomen dubium</u> and not used. Consequently the family name based upon it (Miroclymeniidae Schindewolf 1924) and subsequently used by Ruzhencev 1957, Bogoslovskiy (1960, 1977, 1979, 1981) must fall or be treated as a junior synonym of Cymaclymeniidae.

<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> and <u>Genuclymenia</u> both have representatives with a similar shell form and growth-lines to <u>Cymaclymenia</u> but they can be distinguished by their sutures. <u>Pseudoclymenia</u>, a tornoceratid, has an homeomorphic lateral lobe, but also has a deep narrow ventral lobe, and a more evolute shell form.

Remarks: A major problem in the description of this genus is the lack of a clear definition for most, if not all, of the species. Once this has been done an attempt must then be made to incorporate the many specimens figured as <u>striata</u> Münster into current classification. The comments below are confined to those species not covered individually.

The species <u>sagittalis</u> (Phillips 1841, p. 125, pl. LIII, figs. 243a-c) collected from South Petherwin Cornwall, is now considered to be a <u>Cymaclymenia</u>. The holotype (GSM 7176) is subinvolute with a compressed whorl section and flattened flanks (Pl. 5.37, Figs. 5,6). Ornament is not preserved but the polished suture is clearly of the <u>Cymaclymenia-type</u>. No other known <u>Cymaclymenia</u> has this characteristic shell form.

Horizon and distribution: This is probably the most widely distributed clymeniid genus. Examples are known from <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen of south west England, France, Belgium, West and East Germany, Poland, Austria, Italy, Algeria, Morocco, USSR (Urals, Kazakhstan), Australia (New South Wales), USA (Ohio, Iowa). <u>Cymaclymenia evoluta</u> occurs in the Hangenberg Schiefer of Westphalia and is the youngest known clymeniid.

Several authors (Wedekind 1908, 1914, Schindewolf 1923a, Schmidt 1924) have asserted that <u>Cymaclymenia</u> occurs in the <u>Platyclymenia</u> Stufe. There is much circumstantial evidence to contradict this, especially Brügge's (1973) study of the <u>Platyclymenia</u>/ <u>Clymenia</u> Stufen boundary. Wedekind (1914) reported that the species <u>costata</u>, which he had earlier (1908) described as a <u>Varioclymenia</u>, had a <u>Cymaclymenia</u> suture. This species had been collected from Bed 11, the "beds with <u>Prolobites delphinus</u> and <u>Clymenia</u> <u>involuta</u>", i.e. <u>delphinus</u> Zone. Lange's (1929) account of the Enkeberg faunas did not, however, confirm this occurrence.

Schindewolf (1923a) reported an unnamed species which he had encountered in the <u>Postprolobites</u> Stufe of Ebersdorf, and in 1952 he recorded (p. 285) a "mixed" fauna comprising <u>Cymaclymenia</u> cf. <u>striata</u> and <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Plat.</u>) cf. <u>annulata</u>, which could be considered as being from the annulata Zone.

Schmidt (1924) stated that Cyma. striata appeared in the

<u>annulata</u> Zone, but did not provide any substantiating evidence. Matern (1931) recorded <u>Prolobites</u> <u>delphinus</u> and <u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>compressa</u> from Bed la of Trench I at Sessacker, which he considered to represent IIIß levels.

This review of occurrences of <u>Cymaclymenia</u> from the <u>Platy-</u> <u>clymenia</u> Stufe demonstrates how circumspect these records are. Korn (1981a) accepted the records, but was unable to substantiate them. The least that can be said is that it has still to be shown unequivocably that <u>Cymaclymenia</u> occurs below the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe.

Three groups of species are distinguished by their ornament: <u>striata</u> group, with lirate growth-lines varying in course from concave to concavo-convex to biconvex; <u>dorsocostata</u> group, with blunt ribs; and <u>costellata</u> group, comrpising species where ribs are formed by the bunching together of growth-lines. <u>Cymaclymenia</u> aff. <u>striata</u> and <u>Cyma. serpentina</u> are intermediate in form between the <u>striata</u> and <u>costellata</u> groups.

<u>striata</u> Group

<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>striata</u> (Münster 1832)

P1. 5.37, Figs. 7-11, Textfigs. 5.31A-C, 5.32

*	1832	Planulites striatus - Munster, p. 14, pl. III, figs. 3a-c.
	1834	<u>Clymenia striata</u> Münster - Münster, p. 76, pl. III,
		figs. 3a-c (translation of Münster 1832)
	1843	<u>Clymenia striatus</u> Münster - Münster, p. 9, pl. IIIa,
		figs. 3a-c (copy of Münster 1832).
non	1981a	Cymaclymenia striata Münster - Korn, p. 187, and all
	-	the references contained therein.

Type material: A neotype, SM H10414, in the Münster Collection, Sedgwick Museum, from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, is proposed here. Remarks: No trace can be found of the type series, which consisted of two specimens (Münster 1833, p. 110), and even Gümbel figured only a "typische" specimen (1863, p. 164). This same specimen (BSP AS VII 545, Pl. 5.37, Figs. 12,13) was held by Korn (1981a) to be Münster's holotype. This designation is invalid on two counts: firstly there can be no holotype since the type series consisted of more than one specimen, and secondly the specimen could not even be proposed as the lectotype since it differs greatly from Münster's (1832) figure (see below). A neotype is proposed to rectify the situation, using a specimen from the type locality, which greatly resembles the original figure.

Diagnosis: <u>Cymaclymenia</u> with concave prorsiradiate lirate growthlines numbering 16 per centimetre at a diameter of 35mm, an umbilical width amounting to 35-40% of the diameter, a compressed whorl section, the ratio WW/WH being between 0.55 and 0.65, with slightly converging flanks and no ribbing.

Material: Three specimens from Schübelhammer were available for study: two collected by Münster, SM H10414 and BM 81824 (formerly 81824a), and HU P82.20.

Description: The well preserved specimen from the Sedgwick Museum (P1. 5.37, Figs. 7-10, Textfigs. 5.31A-C) was sectioned, although all but the last whorl appears to have been dissolved by stylotitisation. The coiling is evolute, with the umbilicus amounting to 36-38% of the diameter at diameters between 20 and 40mm. The whorls are compressed, with the greatest width at the umbilical shoulder and flanks converging to a narrow rounded venter at a diameter of 35mm. Earlier than this the venter is broader, flatter, and the flanks less converging.

Growth-lines, expressed as distinct lirae, are widely spaced, numbering ca 16 per centimetre at a diameter of 35mm. They are concave, prorsiradiate with a broad ventro-lateral salient, curving over into U-shaped ventral sinus (Textfig. 5.31C). Ribs are not present externally, but the internal mould shows weak plicate ribs running parallel with the growth-lines. The body chamber is preserved through 150°.

The suture is illustrated in Textfig. 5.31B, and the lateral lobe is quadrate and pointed in the ventrad corner.

The specimen in the British Museum (NH) (P1. 5.37, Fig. 11) shows features exactly similar to the Cambridge specimen, but near to the former aperture the growth-lirae are approximated, finer, and the ventro-lateral salient is more prominent.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH	A
SM H10414	40.2	13.9	ca10	14.9	10.1
	35.8	13.5	7.9	13.7	
	25.6	9.8	6.3	9.1	6.8
	18.8	7.1	4.4	6.7	
BM 81824	33.6	12.3		13.2	
Münster 1832,	50	16	9	18	
pl. III, fig. 3.	38	11		17	

Remarks: There seems to be no specific description in the literature which can be certainly referred to <u>Cymaclymenia striata</u>. Indeed Schindewolf has already (1923a, p. 437) remarked that the specific name has been used for anything with a <u>Cymaclymenia</u> suture (cf. <u>Kosmoclymenia undulata</u> and <u>Gonioclymenia speciosa</u>). Such confusion results, as ever, from the failure of previous authors to interpret the species from its original description (Münster 1832, p. 14), which was (in translation):

The shell has wavy single well defined fine striations, which run forwards in a circular curve over the margin of the venter, and then curve backwards as deeply. Examples (sic: i.e. plural) found as yet are $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches in diameter and are the same in shape and number of whorls as <u>Pl. costellatus</u>. Also the lobe and saddle are little different. It seems that this is only a juvenile of

<u>P1</u>. <u>costellatus</u>, which would later develop finer striations and ribs.

The illustration provided by Münster is slightly at odds with this description, especially the whorl shape, shown as compressed with parallel flanks and a ratio WW/WH of 0.53, compared with 0.68 for the lectotype of the tabulate ventered <u>costellata</u> (see below), with which similarity was alleged.

Discussion: There is little help from the literature in defining this, the type species of <u>Cymaclymenia</u>. If only modern authors (i.e. 20th century) are consulted agreement is still hard to find.

Wedekind (1908) assigned a number of specimens to this species; they possessed numerous weak ribs, but the growth-lines were not preserved. Later (1914), Wedekind included these examples within <u>Cyma. costata</u>, and recognised striata as being the species with "flattened parallel flanks and a rounded venter". He considered Münster's figure to be "uncertain" (although he claimed to have a specimen from the Fichtelgebirge which closely resembled it) and Gümbel's description to be inadequate. Wedekind identified none of his Sauerland material as <u>Cyma. striata</u>.

Schindewolf(1923a) appears to have included a wide range of forms within <u>striata</u> (see his synonymy). His definition ran "narrowly umbilicate, flanks weakly curved and almost parallel, compressed whorl section with whorl height: whorl width ratio greater than two". No photographic illustrations were provided but there was a sketch of the whorl outline (fig. 17a), although the specimen on which this was based cannot now be traced. The dimensions of two specimens were provided in Schindewolf's account and one was described as well preserved and from the Glass Collection at Munich. A label identifies this, unnumbered specimen, at Munich but although it has similar dimensions (P1. 5.39, Fig. 2) it is certainly not well preserved, and has probably been labelled in error.

Schmidt (1924) considered that he had seen over 300 examples of <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u> from the Sauerland, where it ranged "from the <u>annulata</u> to <u>Wocklumeria</u> Zones". He stated that he interpreted the species broadly, in terms of whorl outline and ornament. Neither of the two specimens he illustrated (1924, pl. 7, figs. 1,2) resemble the species; that in fig. 1 has blunt ribs (Pl. 5.40, Fig. 11) and is identified as <u>Cyma</u>. aff. <u>costellata</u>, and that in fig. 2 has a prominent ventro-lateral growth-line salient (Pl. 5.40, Fig. 7) and is identified as <u>Cyma</u>. aff. <u>serpentina</u>.

Lange (1929) did not recognise <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u>, which is remarkable since he and Schmidt had available to them specimens from the same sections and museum collections. Lange seems largely to have ignored the Prussian Survey Collections in his accounts, and referred only to his own material, which he named as <u>Cyma</u>. <u>compressa</u> Münster, defined by Gümbel's (1863, pl. XVIII, fig. 6) illustration of the species. However, it is more likely that identification was based on a comparison with the figures of <u>Cyma</u>. <u>cordata</u> Wedekind (1914, pl. 2, figs. 12,13) with which Schindewolf (1923a) had placed <u>Cyma</u>. <u>compressa</u> in synonymy.

Matern (1931) followed Lange's usage of <u>compressa</u>, and introduced a new generic name, <u>Striatoclymenia</u>, with <u>striata</u> Münster as its type, incorrectly recognising Gümbel's usage of the group name Cymaclymeniae as a valid genus, with <u>Cl</u>. <u>bilobata</u> as its type species. Matern then proceeded to argue that the species <u>bilobata</u> should be included in <u>Selloclymenia</u> Gümbel which he then treated (again incorrectly) as the senior synonym of <u>Cymaclymenia</u>. Later an ICZN opinion (Opinion 182) invalidated Gümbel's group names, giving authorship to Hyatt (1884).

When Schindewolf described the more unusual elements of the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe clymeniid fauna (1937a) he avoided considerations of <u>Cymaclymenia</u> and unhelpfully included all forms except <u>barbarae</u> and <u>euryomphala</u> within <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u>. Korn (1981a) has only recently revised the genus <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, and it is necessary to explain why his interpretation of the type species is discounted. Korn's diagnosis runs:

shell more or less thinly discoidal, flanks flattened converging slightly towards the venter. Umbilical width less than one quarter of the diameter in mature specimens. Growth-lines biconvex, bunched near the umbilicus. Many strong constrictions on the internal mould.

His selection of BSP AS VII 545 as the holotype has already been argued against above, and none of the specimens he figured resembles Münster's original figure. The species as illustrated by Münster, and defined here has lirate growth-lines which are more widely spaced and lacking bunching near the umbilicus.

Korn figured a number of specimens to illustrate <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u> (figs. 8c,d, lla-h). Of these llh is a juvenile, llf,g have no growth-lines preserved, lle has bunched growth-lines, llc,d is the lectotype of <u>Cyma</u>. <u>semistriata</u>, treated here as a separate species, and 8c,d has a tabulate venter and very fine growth-lines, which become bunched at a diameter of ca 30mm. This last specimen and lla (Korn's holotype of <u>striata</u>) and llb are all treated here as <u>Cyma</u>. aff. <u>striata</u>, since they have fine growth-lines (ca 65 per centimetre on fig. 8c at a diameter of 30mm, compared with 16 per centimetre on the neotype, at a similar diameter). Their whorl cross-sectional shape is unknown.

Comparisons: Cyma. aff. striata and Cyma. camerata are similar

in shell form to <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u>, but can be distinguished by their growth-line frequency and therefore may warrant only subspecific taxonomic status. <u>Cyma</u>. <u>camerata</u> also has a thicker whorl section and a narrower umbilicus and <u>Cyma</u>. aff. <u>striata</u> has a narrower umbilicus and slightly thicker whorl section. Most other species of <u>Cymaclymenia</u> are ribbed. Clearly the lack of stratigraphic control or large samples means that the possibility of this variation being encompassed by one population cannot be evaluated.

Horizon and distribution: This species is known only from Oberfranken, and its stratigraphic position is uncertain.

<u>Cymaclymenia</u> aff. <u>striata</u> (Münster 1832) P1. 5.37, Figs. 12,13,17-20

v* 1863 <u>Clymenia striata</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 144, pl. XV. pv 1923a <u>Cymaclymenia striata</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 437. pv 1981a <u>Cymaclymenia striata</u> Münster - Korn, p. 187, figs. 8c,d, 11a,b, only.

Material: Three specimens are included here, all collected by Münster from Schübelhammer; BSP AS VII 545, UEN 92, and an unnumbered specimen in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin.

Description: These three specimens are discussed principally to describe the poorly preserved specimen (BSP AS VII 545), which has formerly been accepted as the type of <u>striata</u> (see Gümbel 1863, pl. XVII, figs. la-c; Korn 1981a, p. 189).

This specimen (P1. 5.37, Figs. 12,13) is large, weathered and filed in parts to reveal the suture. The umbilicus amounts to 25% of the diameter at a diameter of 50mm, where the whorl section is compressed and the ratio WW/WH is 0.70. A few biconvex growthlines, in groups of 6 or 7 forming weak ribs, are preserved near the aperture where they are biconvex and radial, with a broad midflank sinus.

The other two specimens are little better preserved. That in Berlin is embedded in matrix (P1. 5.37, Fig. 20) the umbilical width amounts to 30% of the diameter at a diameter of 50mm, although this is infilled with matrix. The growth-lines numbering 30 per centimetre at a diameter of 50mm, are biconvex, prorsiradiate, bunched into groups of 5-6 near the umbilicus with the ventro-lateral salient being prominent, whereas one whorl earlier the growth-lines are biconvex, prorsiradiate, with a broad mid-flank sinus. No further details are visible, except for the weak ribs on the internal mould of the body chamber, near the ventro-lateral shoulder.

The final example (P1. 5.37, Figs. 17-19) has most of its shell weathered away. The umbilical width amounts to 28% of the diameter at a diameter of 55mm. The whorl section is compressed, with a maximum width at the umbilical shoulder, flanks converging to a narrow rounded venter, and a ratio WW/WH of 0.65. The growthlines, where visible, are biconvex, fine, clearly spaced and bunched into groups of 4-5 near the umbilicus, with a deep Vshaped sinus over the venter. Weak ribs are present on the internal mould over and near to the venter.

Dimensions:

		4	D	U	WW	WH	A
BSP	AS VII	545	49.6	12.3	14.7	21.1	
MfN			50	15		21.5	
UEN	92		55.8	15.2	16	24.8	17.3

Discussion: It is not claimed that these three specimens are identical, merely that they bear similarities in shell and growth line shape, which make it difficult to distinguish between them.

[~] 414

Attention has already been drawn to the incorrect designation of a holotype for <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u>, by Korn (1981a). BSP AS VII 545 was the specimen he selected as the holotype, identifying it as the one figured by Gümbel (1863, pl. XVII, figs. 3a-c) as "<u>Clymenia</u> <u>striata</u> typische Form". Clearly this specimen does not resemble Münster's original figure (1832, pl. III, figs. 3a-c). The type series contained more than one example, so BSP AS VII 545 is rejected as the holotype on two counts (see <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u>).

How much imagination Gümbel used in illustrating this specimen can be seen by comparing the photographs with his figure. Virtually a complete whorl's length of growth-lines, all with a deep midflank sinus and shallow ventro-lateral grooves have been added. Indeed were it not for the resemblance in gross morphology, and the parallelism in areas of shell removed to reveal the suture, and the inscription in black ink on the specimen "T XVII", it would be difficult to believe that they were based on the same original. It is not surprising, therefore, that authors have experienced difficulty in interpreting <u>Cyma. striata</u>.

Inclusion here of the specimens figured by Korn (1981a) has been discussed above under <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u>.

Comparisons: <u>Cymaclymenia striata</u> has a similar shell and growthline shape, but the growth-line frequency is about one half of that observed in this species.

Horizon and distribution: The specimens described here were collected in Oberfranken and the Sauerland. An horizon is known only for Korn's specimens, namely the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe.

<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>camerata</u> Schindewolf 1923a

P1. 5.37, Figs. 2-4, 14-16, Textfigs. 5.31D, E, 5.32

*vp	1923a	Cymaclymenia camerata sp. nov Schindewolf, p. 441,
		pl. XVII, fig. 6; fig. 17b, non pl. XVII, fig. 5.
non	1923b	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>camerata</u> Schindewolf - Schindewolf,
		p. 25, figs. 1,2.
non	1926	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>camerata</u> Schindewolf - Schindewolf,
		p. 96, fig. 2.
?	1929	<u>Cymaclymenia camerata</u> Schindewolf - Delépine, p. 99
		pl. VI, figs. 1-3.
non	1960	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>camerata</u> Schindewolf - Petter, p. 49,
		pl. VIII, figs. 15,a, figs. 5B, ₁ .
non	1960	Cymaclymenia camerata Schindewolf - Selwood, pl. 27,
		figs. 6,7.
	1981a	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>camerata</u> Schindewolf - Korn, p. 200.

Type material: The specimen figured by Schindewolf (1923a, pl. XVII, fig. 6), currently in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, is proposed as the lectotype.

Diagnosis: <u>Cymaclymenia</u> with an umbilical width amounting to 30-35% of the diameter, and a whorl width: whorl height ratio of 0.6-0.8. The growth-lines are coarse, concave with a prominent ventrolateral salient, and number about 16 per centimetre at a diameter of 25mm.

Description: Two specimens only were available for description: the lectotype (MfN), from Bed 18, Kirch-Gattendorf (Schindewolf 1923a) and illustrated here in Pl. 5.37, Figs. 2-4, and SM H10415, from the Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, illustrated in Pl. 5.37, Figs. 14-16 and Textfigs. 5.31D,E.

Little can be added to the diagnosis. The whorl crosssection (Textfig. 5.31D) becomes more compressed at greater diameters and evolution decreases. The growth-lines, preserved only in the ventral regions of the lectotype are figured from SM H10415 in Textfig. 5.31E. They are concave, prorsiradiate with a prominent

ventro-lateral salient, and a deep U-shaped ventral sinus.

The suture, unknown from SM H10415, and revealed by polishing on the lectotype, is subdued and of little help in diagnosing the species.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
Lectotype, MfN p1.5.37,figs.2,3	26	9.4	ca 9.5	11.4
Schindewolf 1923a p1.XVII,fig.5	22.4 16.5	5.8 6.8	4.2 3.8	9.1 7.0
SM H10415	40.2 29.4	13.9 9.9	9	15.8 11.7

Remarks: Schindewolf (1923a, p. 426) diagnosed the species as "narrowly umbilicate, involution $\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{4}{3}$, without secondary sculpture flanks curved and relatively depressed, V β - VI" and (p. 441, fig. 17b) gave measurements for the specimen he illustrated in pl. XVII, fig. 6. This description fits only one of the examples illustrated by Schindewolf hence its selection as the lectotype. The identity of the other, more compressed specimen, which also lacks growthlines, is uncertain.

Discussion: Schindewolf used this specific name widely for examples of <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, with a wider umbilicus than <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u>, from the uppermost Devonian of Oberfranken, Drewer and Elberfeld (1923b figs. 1,2; 1926, fig. 2). Later Delépine (1929) identified <u>Cymaclymenia camerata</u> from the Étroeungt of northern France, All of these specimens were included by Schindewolf (1937a) in the species <u>Cyma</u>. <u>euryomphala</u>, and I will follow his opinion because no precise details of the material he described in 1923b and 1926 were ever published. Schindewolf (1937a, p. 14) discussed the difference between <u>striata</u>, camerata and <u>euryomphala</u> nom. nov. (= <u>evoluta</u> of this account), "(in <u>striata</u>) ... up to a diameter of 35mm the umbilical width and apertural height are equal, and then the umbilical width increases to become equal to the whorl height. The umbilical width of <u>camerata</u> is already equal to the whorl height at diameters less than this". The other species, <u>euryomphala</u>, was then described simply as "widely umbilicate".

Such narrow distinctions are not useful unless they can be validated by statistical studies of populations. Whilst the observations may be true for the specimens that Schindewolf saw, actual documentation is lacking. Growth curves for various parameters are plotted in Textfig. 5.32. None seems of diagnostic value in identifying species, except for the ratio U/D of <u>Cyma</u>. <u>involvens</u>. Consequently, I have chosen to distinguish between these three species on the basis of growth-line frequency. This criterion suffers from the same drawbacks as the characters chosen by Schindewolf, and would have to be validated by study of populations. It does, however, seem an easier and less subjective means of distinguishing between the few specimens at my disposal.

The specimen figured by Petter (1960) cannot be included here because it has radial, biconvex growth-lines, and the specimen figured by Selwood also has different growth-lines from <u>Cyma</u>. <u>camerata</u>, and is discussed below under <u>Cyma</u>. aff. <u>serpentina</u>.

Korn (1981a) described a single specimen which had the same dimensions as the lectotype.

Horizon and distribution: The lectotype was collected by Schindewolf from Bed 18 at Kirch-Gattendorf, which he considered to be in $V\beta$.

["]418

<u>Cymaclymenia</u> evoluta (Schmidt 1924)

P1. 5.38, Figs. 10-13, P1. 5.39, Fig. 3. Textfigs. 5.31M-0; 5.32

nom. nud.	<u>1897</u>	<u>C1</u> . <u>evoluta</u> - Frech, p. 179, Footnote 2.
?	1921	cf. <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> - Schmidt, p. 294.
non	1923a	<u>Cymaclymenia camerata</u> sp. nov Schindewolf, p. 441,
		pl. XVII, figs. 5,6.
	1923b	Cymaclymenia camerata - Schindewolf, p. 25, figs. 1,2.
v*	1924	<u>Postclymenia evoluta</u> Frech - Schmidt, p. 154, pl. 8,
		figs. 19-21.
	1926	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> camerata Schindewolf - Schindewolf,
* x		p. 95, figs. 2a,b.
?	1929	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>camerata</u> Schindewolf - Delépine, p. 99,
	•	pl. 6, figs. 1-3.
	1937a	Cymaclymenia euryomphala nom. nov Schindewolf, p. 14.
v	1939	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> euryomphala Schindewolf - Paul, p. 656,
u.		676, pl. 40, fig. 3, pl. 41, fig. 3.
· , · .	1981a	<u>Cymaclymenia euryomphala</u> Schindewolf - Korn, p. 201,
		figs. 19b, 21.

Type material: The specimen illustrated by Schmidt (1924) in pl. 8, fig. 19 is proposed as the lectotype, and that in pl. 8, fig. 21 as the paralectotype. Both are housed in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin.

Remarks: Schmidt (1924) listed a type series of 40 specimens, 14 from the Elberfeld area, and the remainder from Drewer. The proposed type locality is the Ost Provinzial Steinbruch, Drewer, and the horizon of the lectotype was given by Schmidt as "Étroeungt". Diagnosis: <u>Cymaclymenia</u> with open umbilicus in early whorls, becoming narrower after a diameter of ca 35mm and flattened almost parallel flanks, tabulate venter and quadrate almost square lateral lobe. Fine, closely spaced prorsiradiate convex growth-lines.

Description: Only three well preserved specimens are available for description, the lectotype, the paralectotype and RE 551 734.5 A373, labelled as from " E_{β} , Bed 21, obere Abschnitt, Ratingen, Cromford", and figured by Paul, 1939, pl. 41, fig. 3. These three

specimens are figured in Pl. 5.38, Figs. 10-13, Pl. 5.39, Fig. 3, and Textfigs. 5.31M-O. All are preserved squashed, in shales, and the finer details of shell ornament etc. are no longer present.

The lectotype, selected because it shows a <u>Cymaclymenia</u> suture, is visible on the bedding surface of calareous mudstone. The umbilical width comprises 30% of the diameter and the whorl height about 35%, at a diameter of 32mm. Growth-lines are not preserved and the body chamber has a longitudinal crack on the midflank caused by compaction of the shell. The polished sutures were accurately represented by Schmidt (1924, pl. 8, fig. 19).

The paralectotype is slightly better preserved, with traces of concave, prorsiradiate growth-lines remaining. There is a ventro-lateral salient over the ventrad part of the flank. The umbilical width comprises 36% of the diameter at a diameter of 37mm. Coiling and whorl shape are visible on this relatively uncrushed specimen (Pl. 5.38, Fig. 13) whorl height increases rapidly and there is a shallow impressed area, the flanks are flattened and converge gradually towards a flattened venter.

The specimen from Cromford (RE 551 734.5 A373) is preserved as a distorted internal mould, and although no traces of ornament are preserved the sutures are stillvisible. What is considered to be the least distorted one is figured in Textfig. 5.31M.

Another specimen (RE 551 734.5 A371), labelled as from Scharpenhaus, north of Heiligenhaus, is preserved as an external mould on a bedding surface of a brown/green weathering mudstone, was considered by Paul (1939) to come from the same level (E_{β}) as A373. This large specimen, although totally flattened, shows the trace of a suture at a diameter of 80mm. Beyond this biconvex, prorsiradiate growth-lines, similar in shape to those of the paralectotype, are preserved on the body chamber. This together with the suture, are illustrated in Textfigs. 5.31N,0. The large size of the specimen and the subtriangular shape of the lateral lobe cause this specimen to be identified as <u>Cyma</u>. cf. <u>evoluta</u>.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WH
Lectotype, MfN P1.5.38,Fig.10	32	11	11
Paralectotype, MfN P1.5.38,Figs.12,13	37.9	11	11
RE 551 734.5 A373	41.8 28.8	12.9 8.2	16.8 16.8
RE 551 734.5 A371	80	22	34

Discussion: Firstly the contents of the synonymy list will be explained, and then the nature of the species, especially as it was envisaged by previous authors, will be discussed.

The first use of the specific name was by Frech (1902) who simply stated "Eine eigenthumliche <u>Clymenia</u> (<u>Cl. evoluta</u>) bildet in Belgien die einzige Ausnahme". Therefore the undescribed <u>Clymenia evoluta</u> is a <u>nomen nudum</u>. No clymeniids were then known from Belgium, except for the note by Hébert (1848) of <u>Cl. undulata</u>.

Schmidt recognised a ventral lobe on a specimen from Drewer (1921) which he referred to cf. <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> (whose lateral lobe and shell form are homeomorphic with <u>Cymaclymenia</u>). Later (1924) he erected a monotypic genus <u>Postclymenia</u>, with the species <u>evoluta</u> Frech as its type species, for what may have been the same specimens, since both <u>Postcl</u>. <u>evoluta</u> and cf. <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> were recorded from the Étroeungt of Drewer. However, Schmidt did not himself place them in synonymy, and without seeing the material he mentioned in 1921 one can never be certain.

Schmidt's use of the species <u>evoluta</u> Frech is totally lacking in foundation, since that species was never described. Presumably Schmidt considered any supposed occurrence of clymeniids in Belgium to be from the Étroeungt and thus comparable with his new genus, which, as the name may suggest, was similar to a clymeniid. However, since <u>Cl</u>. <u>evoluta</u> Frech is a <u>nomen nudum</u>, and thus invalid, and <u>evoluta</u> Frech <u>sensu</u> Schmidt was introduced in a different genus, <u>Postclymenia evoluta</u> Schmidt then becomes a valid species name, now recognised to be a <u>Cymaclymenia</u>.

Schindewolf (1937a), in an argument totally ignoring the account of Schmidt 1924, proposed a new name, euryomphala, for C1. evoluta Frech, which he regarded as a nomen nudum. He obviously considered evoluta sensu Schmidt to be the same as his understanding of evoluta Frech, because he chose a specimen illustrated by Schmidt (pl. 8, fig. 19) as the holotype of euryomphala. A nomen novum can only be introduced as a new name to replace a preoccupied name. Clearly this is not the relationship between C1. evoluta Frech and Postclymenia evoluta Schmidt, and will never be, since <u>C1</u>. evoluta Frech is invalid as <u>nomen nudum</u>. The sense of <u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>euryomphala</u> is preserved by selecting its holotype as the lectotype for evoluta Schmidt. Unfortunately neither name is apt since the colling and umbilical width alluded to by it are not distinctive features (Textfig. 5.32).

Not so clear is the relationship between <u>Cyma</u>. <u>camerata</u> Schindewolf 1923a and this species. Schindewolf (1923a) described this species using material from Kirch-Gattendorf (see above). Later (1923b) he described a protoconch, and then figured a suture (1926), based on material collected from Drewer, from the same horizon as that from which Schmidt had collected <u>Postcl</u>. <u>evoluta</u>. Schindewolf assigned his and Schmidt's specimens to <u>Cyma</u>. <u>camerata</u>.

<u>Cymaclymenia camerata</u> Schindewolf 1923a is distinguished from <u>evoluta</u> by its shell form and growth-lines, but <u>Cyma. camerata</u> Schindewolf 1923b, 1926 is placed in synonymy with <u>Cyma. evoluta</u>, recognising that Schindewolf did not have available well preserved examples with growth-lines which he could compare with his Bavarian material.

In 1929 Delepine described a single poorly preserved specimen as <u>Cyma</u>. <u>camerata</u>. Although Schindewolf (1937a) placed this in <u>Cyma</u>. <u>euryomphala</u> its shell form is closer to <u>Cyma</u>. <u>camerata</u>. No growth-lines were visible.

Horizon and distribution: This species is considered to be confined to the Hangenberg Schiefer of the Rheinische Schiefergebirge. The <u>euryomphala</u> Zone of Schindewolf 1937a, defined as the Hangenberg Schiefer of the Oberrödinghausen railway cutting should be renamed the <u>evoluta</u> Zone.

Cymaclymenia involvens Lange 1929

P1. 5.38, Figs. 7,8,10, Textfigs. 5.31L, 5.32

v*	1929	<u>Cymaclymenia involvens</u> sp. nov Lange, p. 91,
	·	pl. 2, figs. 23, textfigs. 23,4.
?non	1960	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> involvens (Lange) - Petter, p. 47.
	1979	<u>Cymaclymenia involvens</u> (Lange) - Korn, p. 53.
	1981a	<u>Cymaclymenia involvens</u> (Lange) - Korn, p. 194,
	f	figs. 14-16.

Type material: The holotype figured by Lange (1929) is an unnumbered specimen in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin. A paratype (MfN) is proposed here, being the specimen upon which Lange based his illustration of the suture of the species.

Description: Only the type material has been seen. The holotype (P1. 5.38, Figs. 7,8) has a compressed whorl section (WW/WH = 0.80) and a narrow umbilicus, amounting to 33% of the diameter, at a diameter of 21mm. The measurements given here for the holotype

differ considerably from those given by Lange, (but there can be no question of the identity of the specimen since it was illustrated by Lange). The flanks are flattened and converge on a broad, rounded venter. The umbilical shoulder is angular, and the umbilical wall steep and clearly developed.

The lirate growth-lines are well preserved on the shell of the holotype, although they are not visible on the internal mould. They have a shape which is not quite concave. Over the dorsad half of the flanks they run radially or slightly convexly, and then swing strongly forwards to form a ventro-lateral salient. The growth-lines are grouped into threes over the radial portion of their course, and form weak ribs near the umbilical shoulder, especially at the maximum preserved diameter, where they are closer together. Their frequency is 36 per centimetre at a diameter of 20mm. There is no major ribbing, nor is any ornament evident on the internal mould. The suture is not visible on this specimen.

The proposed paratype is weathered and lacks shell. The flanks are compressed and the venter narrow. The umbilical width amounts to only 18% of the diameter at a diameter of 40mm. The suture, with a relatively deep ventro-lateral lobe, is illustrated in Textfig. 5.31L.

Dimensions:

)

	D	U	WW	WH
Holotype, MfN	21	7	7	8.7
Holotype, <u>fide</u> Lange 1929,p.91	25	5	9.8	12.2
Paratype, P1.5.38 Fig. 10	41.2	7.1	15.5	20

Remarks: Lange considered these two specimens to be conspecific although it is difficult to see how he arrived at this decision since they have no common features. Lange himself stated that the

holotype was more widely umbilicate than the other seven specimens he had available.

Discussion: Subsequent authors have experienced difficulties in interpreting this species, not surprisingly. Schindewolf pointedly avoided describing <u>Cymaclymenia</u> when he studied the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe faunas of the Hönnetal (1937a) and included most examples with <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u>.

None of the specimens assigned by Petter (1960) to this species appears to resemble either of the type specimens. She gave the dimensions of 10 specimens ranging in size from 22-44mm. These had a ratio U/D ranging from 0.37 - 0.50, and WW/WH ranging from 0.84 - 0.80. Comparable ratios for the holotype and paratype were 0.33 and 0.80, and 0.18 and 0.78 respectively. Therefore, Petter's specimens are too consistently compressed and widely umbilicate to be included within <u>Cyma</u>. involvens.

Petter described the growth-lines as being comparable to those of the holotype. Such growth-lines are visible on the specimen she illustrated in pl. 8, fig. 6,a, but those on the specimen in pl. 7, fig. 10 are radial and biconvex. No other specimens show the growth-lines. An example from Schübelhammer (SM H10381), illustrated in Textfigs. 5.31J,K is comparable with this latter specimen. Also Petter was wrong to state that Schindewolf (1937a) had regarded his earlier species (1923a <u>Cyma</u>. <u>ovata, nom. nud.</u>), described simply as having a "narrow umbilicus", as synonymous with <u>Cyma</u>. <u>involvens</u>. He merely grouped <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u>, <u>involvens</u> and <u>ovata</u> loosely together.

Lately Korn (1981a) has redescribed the species using 15 specimens from various localities in the Sauerland. He found <u>Cyma. striata</u> and <u>involvens</u> difficult to separate, and did so graphically (fig. 10) on the basis of the ratio WW/WH. Unfortunate-

ly the holotype of <u>Cyma</u>. <u>involvens</u> falls into the field for <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u> (described herein as <u>Cyma</u>. aff. <u>striata</u>). Korn's graph does show, however, that the plots for the two "species" form a "continuum". Also included in this species by Korn were examples lacking growth-lines (e.g. fig. 16c), a practice difficult to justify.

Horizon and distribution: The holotype was probably from the lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe, at Burg, Wocklum, and Korn (1981a) has reported the species from the <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen throughout the Sauerland.

<u>Cymaclymenia</u> aff. <u>barbarae</u> (Loewinson-Lessing 1892) P1. 5.38, Figs. 1,2, P1. 5.40, Figs. 1,2, Textfigs. 5.33I,J

aff.* 1892 <u>Clymenia barbarae</u> sp. nov. - Loewinson-Lessing, p. 20, pl. 2, figs. la-c. v 1929 <u>Cymaclymenia barbarae</u> Loewinson-Lessing - Lange, p. 90, fig. 20. ? 1952 <u>Clymenia</u> cf. <u>barbarae</u> Loewinson-Lessing - Schindewolf, pl. 1, fig. 1.

Type material: The type material was stated by Loewinson-Lessing to be in Dorpat (= Tartu, Estonia). The specimen figured by him is treated as the lectotype. Material: An unnumbered specimen in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, from Melschede, figured by Lange (see above). Diagnosis: Accepting Loewinson-Lessing's description, the diagnostic features of <u>Cyma</u>. <u>barbarae</u> are: a compressed shell form with flat venter and almost flattened flanks with a faint mid-flank depression. The growth-lines are weakly biconvex, with a broad shallow sinus running across the whole flank, and a shallow sinus over the venter. By contrast this species has growth-lines which are truly biconvex and the mid-flank sinus occupies only one half of the flank.

Description: The fragmentary portion of body chamber figured by Lange conforms closely with the description and figure of Loewinson-Lessing. The umbilicus, unknown to Loewinson-Lessing, although incomplete appears to be very narrow. No suture can be seen.

Remarks: Two further specimens are identified as <u>Cymaclymenia</u> aff. <u>barbarae</u>. The first (KW 2084) illustrated in Pl. 5.38, Figs. 1,2, and collected from a level in the <u>subarmata</u> Zone at Reigern (Bed 33), has a similar shaped but broader whorl section, a narrow umbilicus (U/D is 0.23) and weakly biconvex growth-lines, which are bunched to form weak ribs, especially near the umbilical shoulder.

A second, smaller unnumbered specimen (P1. 5.40, Figs. 12,13) in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, collected by Münster from Schübelhammer, also has a similar shell form. On this the lirate growth-lines are concave, prorsiradiate bunched to form weak ribs but with a deeper mid-flank sinus. There is a broad U-shaped sinus on the venter. The ribs are expressed as weak tubercles around the angular ventro-lateral shoulder.

Dimensions:

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	D	U	WW	WH
Loewinson-Lessing 1892, p. 20.	45		11	16
MfN, P1.5.40,Figs. 1,2.	• •		10.3	19.5
MfN, P1.5.40,Figs. 12,13.	24.8	6.5	6.9	8.2
KW 2084	47.2	10.8		21.9

Discussion: Grouped here are a number of specimens with one common feature, a broad tabulate venter. The specimen most similar to <u>Cyma</u>. <u>barbarae</u> is that from Schübelhammer with a close shell form and growth-line shape. The tabulate venter appears,

however, to be developed only in the last half whorl of this specimen. Where the venter is rounded it would be difficult to distinguish this specimen from <u>Cyma</u>. <u>camerata</u>.

The distorted specimen from the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe of Bohlen, Saalfeld which Schindewolf (1952) figured is difficult to evaluate. No growth-lines are visible in the illustration, nor was the specimen described. It appears to have a flattened venter, and thus may belong here.

<u>Cyma</u>. aff. <u>barbarae</u> (i.e. <u>barbarae sensu</u> Lange) has biconvex growth-lines, rather than convex, and a narrower umbilicus and KW 2084 probably represents a well preserved example of this specimen.

Horizon and distribution: Lange stated that his specimen came from $V\beta$, and KW 2084 comes from the <u>subarmata</u> Zone. The horizons of the other specimens discussed are unknown.

Cymaclymenia sp. a

P1. 5.39, Figs. 4-6, Textfigs. 5.33L-N, 5.34

- pv* 1979 <u>Cymaclymenia warsteinensis</u> sp. nov. Korn, p. 55, pl. l, figs. 5,6a,b (non figs. 4a-c), non fig. 2.5, non fig. 3.6.
- p 1981a <u>Cymaclymenia warsteinensis</u> Korn Korn, p. 194, figs. 13c-e (non figs. 13a,b) fig. 12a (non figs. 12b,c).

Material: Besides examining numerous specimens in Korn's own collection there were two more available for study. These are HU P82.5 (P1. 5.39, Figs. 4-6, Textfigs. 5.33L,M), from Effenberg, and SM H7534 (P1. 5.39, Figs. 1,7,8, Textfig. 5.33N) collected by Münster, from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Diagnosis: Cymaclymenia with narrow umbilicus amounting in mature

specimens to 25% of the diameter, compressed whorl section with converging flanks, and narrow rounded venter, and fine closely spaced concave prorsiradiate growth-lines.

Description: The specimen from Effenberg is evolute, with an umbilical width decreasing from 40% of the diameter in early whorls to 27% of the diameter at 15mm. The whorl section (Textfig. 5.33L), subcircular in early whorls, quickly becomes compressed with a maximum width near the umbilical shoulder, and flanks converging on a narrow rounded venter. The growth-lines (Textfig. 5.33M) are prorsiradiate, concave, with a prominent ventro-lateral salient and a deep U-shaped ventral sinus.

The larger specimen, SM H7534, has a similar whorl section (P1. 5.39, Figs. 1,7,8, Textfig. 5.33N) and concave growth-lines numbering 20 per centimetre, are still present at the maximum diameter of 36mm, suggesting that their shape does not change in more mature specimens. The suture of neither specimen is known.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH	A
SM H7534	36	7.8	6.6	9.2	
HU P82.5	13.9 8.95 5.82 3.74	3.88 2.81 2.05 1.56	3.72 2.50 1.76 1.24	6.18 3.81 2.36 1.41	4.46 3.11 2.06 1.41
GPI 62-3, <u>fide</u>	17.4	4.8	5.2	7.7	
Korn 1981a,p.193	10.8	3.4	4.0	4.6	

Discussion: The specimen described here in detail was collected from Effenberg and identified by Korn as <u>Cyma</u>. <u>warsteinensis</u>. Nearly all of the paratype material of <u>Cyma</u>. <u>warsteinensis</u>, including the figured specimens, came from this same locality. None of these specimens, however, resembles the holotype of <u>Cyma</u>. <u>warsteinensis</u>, which was collected from Bilsteinhöhle (see Chapter 7) and is assigned to <u>Cyma</u>. <u>semistriata</u> (see below). The principal

[~] 429

differences between the two species lie in the whorl cross-sectional shape and growth-line form.

The specimen from the Münster Collection at Cambridge was associated with the label <u>C1. plana</u>. According to Münster (1832) this variety had an almost smooth shell and was illustrated (pl. III, fig. 5) with concave, prorsiradiate growth-lines. The illustrated specimen cannot now be traced. Gümbel (1863, pl. XVIII, fig. 3a,b) figured another example (possibly the original, although this was not stated) which, by contrast, had biconvex, rursiradiate growth-lines. In the absence of any convincing type material and recognising that Münster's description and figure are brief and ambiguous, <u>Planulites planus</u> Münster 1832 is considered a <u>nomen</u> dubium.

Horizon and distribution: Specimens are known from the Sauerland and Oberfranken, and according to Korn the species was encountered in the <u>subarmata</u> Zone.

Cymaclymenia sp. b

P1. 5.42, Figs. 3,7,8,10,11, Textfigs. 5.33E-G

Material: SM H10406, collected by Münster from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, labelled as <u>C1</u>. <u>semistriata</u>.

Diagnosis: <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, lacking strong ribs, with an umbilicus amounting to 25% of the diameter, and having fine closely spaced (45 per centimetre) concave prorsiradiate growth-lines, at a diameter of 30mm.

Description: This specimen is illustrated in Pl. 5.42, and Textfigs. 5.33E-G. The shell form is compressed with flanks converging from a maximum width at the umbilicus towards a rounded venter. The internal mould of the venter is bounded by shallow grooves, by

a diameter of 30mm. At a diameter of 45mm the ratio:U/D and WW/WH are 0.25 and 0.66, respectively.

The growth-lines are concave, prorsiradiate, with a U-shaped sinus over the venter. They number 45 per centimetre at a diameter of 30mm, and are bunched into groups of 5 or 6 near to the umbilical shoulder, forming very weak ribs which run slightly forwards, but not far enough to be considered as biconvex.

The body chamber is preserved through 100°, and the presence of a wrinkle-layer keel on the venter, and umbilical seam suggest that it formerly extended to 180°. Four concave asymmetric constrictions are visible on the body chamber. They diminish in depth and have their steeper flank towards the former aperture. The suture is illustrated in Textfig. 5.33F.

Dimensions: «

	D	U	WW	WH	A
SM H10406	43 28.8	10.3 7.67	12.6 8.7	19.1 13.6	13.6 9.5
	19.7	5.82	5.16	8.2	5.82

Comaprisons: <u>Cyma</u>. aff. <u>serpentina</u> is the most similar species. This has truly biconvex growth-lines and the apex to the ventrolateral salient lies on the flank, rather than on the ventrolateral shoulder.

Horizon and distribution: Only this specimen, presumably from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe of Schübelhammer, is known.

Cymaclymenia serpentina Schmidt 1924 Pl. 5.40, Figs. 14,15, Textfig. 5.33K

* 1924 <u>Cymaclymenia striata</u> var. <u>serpentina</u> var. nov. - Schmidt,
p. 131, pl. 7, fig. 3.
Type material: The specimen figured by Schmidt has not been located in Berlin, its stated repository. Material: A single unnumbered, distorted specimen in the Münster Collection at the University of Erlangen-Nürnberg. Diagnosis: <u>Cymaclymenia</u> with narrow umbilicus amounting to 25% of the diameter at a diameter of 45mm, and strongly biconvex, sickle-shaped growth-lines with a prominent rounded ventro-lateral salient.

Description: Illustrated in Pl. 5.40, Figs. 14,15 is the single specimen assigned to this species. It clearly shows the narrow umbilicus, steep umbilical walls and well defined umbilical shoulder. The flanks are flattened and converging and the venter flattened also. The sickle-shaped growth-lines are illustrated in Textfig. 5.33K.

Discussion: The specimen Schmidt figured was from Dasberg, yet this locality was not listed in his account of the species which mentions Üllendahl, Beil and Wildungen.

Comparisons: No other species of <u>Cymaclymenia</u> has such a prominent rounded ventro-lateral salient. <u>Cymaclymenia</u> aff. <u>serpentina</u>, described below, has an angular salient whereas here it is rounded.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
UEN, P1.5.40,Figs. 14,15.	32.3	5.3	10.4	15.7

Horizon and distribution: Schmidt's specimens probably came from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, especially the specimen he reported from Beil, where no levels higher than the middle <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe are known.

432

Cymaclymenia aff. serpentina Schmidt 1924

P1. 5.40, Figs. 6,7

1960 Cymaclymenia cordata Wedekind - Selwood, pl. 27, fig. 5.

Material: An unnumbered specimen in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, collected by Denckmann from Langenholthausen, and figured by Schmidt (1924, pl. 7, fig. 2). Diagnosis: This specimen is distinguished by its narrow umbilicus amounting to only 25% of the diameter at a diameter of 35mm, and biconvex growth-lines, with a prominent angular ventro-lateral salient.

Description: Little can be added to the diagnosis of this specimen, illustrated in Pl. 5.40, Figs. 6,7. Growth-lines are closely spaced, numbering about 80 per centimetre at a diameter of 35mm, and are bunched over the low ribs formed near to the umbilicus.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
P1.5.40,Figs.6,7.	35.7	8.4	cal2	16

Discussion: This specimen closely resembles the specimen figured by Selwood (1960, pl. 27, fig. 5), recorded as from the lower faunal division (<u>endogona</u> Subzone) of the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe.

Horizon and distribution: The species is known only from the two occurrences mentioned above.

dorsocostata Group

Cymaclymenia dorsocostata (Münster 1840)

P1. 5.39, Figs. 16,17

- v* 1840 <u>Clymenia</u> <u>dorsocostata</u>sp. nov. Münster, p. 93, pl. XVI, figs. 5a-b.
- pv 1863 <u>Clymenia</u> <u>dorsocostata</u> Münster Gümbel, p. 146, pl. XVIII, figs. 4a-c (refiguring of the holotype).
- ? 1921 Cymaclymenia dorsocostata Münster Schmidt, p. 288.
 - 1924 <u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>dorsocostata</u> Münster Schmidt, p. 131.
 - 1981a <u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>dorsocostata</u> Münster Korn, p. 202, figs. 22a,b,c (refiguring of the holotype).

Type material: The single specimen, BSP AS VII 557, collected by Münster (1840) from Schubelhammer, is identified as the holotype. Diagnosis: Coarsely ribbed <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, with a compressed whorl section, umbilical width amounting to 30% of the diameter, and weakly concave, prorsiradiate growth-lines with a shallow sinus over the venter, parallel to which run coarse ribs.

Description: Only the holotype (BSP AS VII 557) is known to me. This is a relatively large, but poorly preserved specimen. Between diameters of 27 and 37mm it has an umbilical width amounting to 30% of the diameter. During the last half-whorl preserved the ratio WW/WH decreases from 0.8 to 0.6, as the body chamber becomes more compressed.

Growth-lines, described above, are visible only on a small area of shell at a diameter of ca 28mm. Weak ribs are present on the flanks, parallel with the concave growth-lines, and during the last half whorl these become much stronger near the ventro-lateral shoulder (where some appear to bifurcate), and over the flatly rounded venter. There is a shallow ventral sinus. The ribbing is equally prominent on the internal mould and shell. The polished suture is identifiable only as of the <u>Cymaclymenia</u>type.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH	A
Holotype, BSP AS	37.7	cal1.5	10.2	ca17	13
VII 557	27	9.8	9.1	11.1	

Discussion: This specimen is interpreted as the holotype since it resembles Münster's figure (although reversed). The shallow furrows marginal to the venter as present in Gümbel's figure 4b are not visible.

The specimen mentioned by Schmidt was not large enough for him to certainly assign it to this species. The only other example of the species was figured by Korn (1979, 1981, fig. 22c) where in ventral view ribs with a shallow sinus can be seen.

Horizon and distribution: The holotype comes from an unknown level at Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, and Korn's specimen came from the lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe at Effenberg.

Cymaclymenia semistriata (Münster 1832)

P1. 5.39, Figs. 9-15,18,19

(?)	1832	Ammonites semistriatus sp. nov von Buch, p. 179,
		p1. III, fig. 12.
v*	1832	<u>Planulites</u> striatus var. <u>semistriatus</u> sp. nov. – Münster,
		p. 14, pl. III, fig. 4.
	1834	<u>Clymenia striata</u> var. <u>semistriata</u> Münster - Münster,
		p. 76, pl. III, fig. 4 (translation of Münster 1832).
	1843	<u>Clymenia striata</u> var. <u>semistriata</u> Münster - Münster,
		p. 39, pl. IIIa, fig. 4 (copy of Münster 1832).
vp	1863	<u>Clymenia striata</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 145, pl. XVIII,

fig. 5.

435

- p 1979 <u>Cymaclymenia warsteinensis</u> sp. nov. Korn, p. 55, pl. 1, figs. 4a,b, figs. 2.5, 3.6, only.
- p 1981a <u>Cymaclymenia striata</u> Münster Korn, p. 187, fig. 11c,d
 (refiguring of the lectotype).
- p 1981a <u>Cymaclymenia warsteinensis</u> Korn Korn, p. 192, figs. 13a,b, only.

Type material: BSP AS VII 560, collected by Münster from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, is proposed as the lectotype. Diagnosis: <u>Cymaclymenia</u> with compressed shell form, subparallel flanks, umbilical width amounting to 27% of the diameter in mature specimens, and widely spaced concave growth lirae which are visible at the ventro-lateral shoulder, and form a U-shaped sinus over the flattened venter.

Description: Three specimens are available for description, the lectotype, BSP AS VII 560, SM H10416 and an unnumbered specimen in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin. All were collected by Münster from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

The lectotype (Pl. 5.39, Figs. 18,19), described in detail in the diagnosis, is a poorly preserved internal mould; one side is entirely lacking in ornament and the other filed in parts to reveal the suture, has only a few remnants of featureless replaced shell remaining. The shell form is evolute, with the ratio U/D amounting to 0.27 at a diameter of 38mm. The whor1 section is compressed with subparallel flattened flanks, and a flattened venter. Traces of ornament remain only on the internal mould of the body chamber, itself just over 180° in length. Near the ventro-lateral shoulder there are impressions of the ventro-lateral salients of apparently rib-like, concave growth-lirae. These continue to form a U-shaped sinus over the venter. Running over the flanks are a number of chevron shaped asymmetric grooves, constrictions of the body chamber caused by thickening of the shell. These are more closely spaced in the last quarter whorl, which feature is taken to indicate that

this particular specimen was approaching maturity.

The other two better preserved specimens are smaller than the lectotype (Pl. 5.39, Figs. 9-15). Both specimens are evolute with an umbilical width amounting to 35% of the diameter, at a diameter of 20mm. The compressed whorl section of SM H10416, with rounded converging flanks and rounded venter is illustrated in Textfig. 5.33D.

Widely spaced concave prorsiradiate growth-lines, developed as rib-like lirae, with a prominent ventro-lateral sinus (Textfig. 5.33A), number seven per centimetre at a diameter of ca 20mm on the internal mould, near to and over the venter, and shallow broad ribs are visible near the umbilicus, especially on the larger Berlin specimen. The body chamber of both specimens exceeds 180° in length, and over the last quarter of the whorl the growth-lirae become less pronounced, and approximated, with a more prominent ventro-lateral salient.

Sutures are visible on both specimens; that of SM H10416 is shown in Textfig. 5.33C, and is characterised by a high ventral saddle and a pointed triangular lateral lobe. By contrast the lateral lobe of the Berlin specimen appears more quadrate, with parallel sides.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH	A
BSP AS VII 560 lectotype.	38.4	ca10.2	ca 9.8	ca17.4	ca12
SM H10416	26.0 18.8	9.5 7.0	7.3 5.9	10.1 7.4	
MfN P1.5.39,Figs. 9,10.	22	ca7.9	5.3	7.9	. ^

Comparisons: These specimens, each differing slightly (see below) closely resemble Cyma. dorsocostata, but differ from it by lacking fine growth-lines between the rib-like lirae, and have a relatively

437

deeper ventral sinus.

Discussion: The obvious similarity between these three specimens, and the main reason for grouping them together, is the shape and rib-like nature of the coarse growth lirae, especially in the way they are expressed on the internal mould near to and over the venter. There are differences between the specimens, noticeably the presence of constrictions, the more closely spaced growth-lirae, and the narrower umbilicus on the lectotype. These, however, may all be explained by its greater size.

<u>Cymaclymenia warsteinensis</u> is probably synonymous with this species. Korn (1979, 1981a) introduced the name using as his holotype a specimen from Bilsteinhöhle, preserved as a silicified internal mould, lacking growth-lines. His illustrations show that it closely resembles SM H10416. There are closely spaced ribs/ lirae visible only near to and over the venter, with a moderately deep ventral sinus.

Korn examined only two specimens from the type locality (1979, p. 55), but all of his other paratype material (15 specimens) came from Effenberg. This material (see <u>Cyma</u>. sp. <u>a</u> above) was preserved complete with shell, showing fine closely spaced concave growth-lines numbering about 40 per centimetre on the venter at a diameter of ca 15mm (1981a, fig. 13c,d). By contrast the holotype (1981a, fig. 13b) has only about 15 ribs/lirae per centimetre at a similar diameter. Such a frequency is comparable with that on the specimens from Schübelhammer described above.

Growth curves for <u>Cyma</u>. sp. <u>a</u>, <u>dorsocostata</u>, <u>semistriata</u> and <u>warsteinensis</u> are plotted in Textfig. 5.34. Here the holotype of <u>Cyma</u>. <u>warsteinensis</u> plots consistently in the fields occupied by <u>Cyma</u>. <u>dorsocostata</u> and <u>semistriata</u>, and the paratype (GPI 62.3, the only one for which measurements are available) plots with <u>Cyma</u>. sp. <u>a</u>.

Elsewhere (Korn 1981a) included the lectotype of <u>Cyma</u>. <u>semi</u>-<u>striata</u> in synonymy with <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u>. However, the nature of the growth-lines and the more compressed shell form distinguish it from both <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata sensu</u> Korn and <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u>, as it is redefined here.

Horizon and distribution: The horizon of the material collected by Münster is unknown. Korn stated (1981a) that the holotype of <u>Cyma. warsteinensis</u> came from the <u>subarmata</u> Zone. Specimens are known from the Sauerland and Oberfranken.

costellata Group

An introduction to the taxonomic maze of the remaining ribbed species of <u>Cymaclymenia</u> is necessary. The following specific names have been used in this context: <u>costellata</u> Münster, <u>compressa</u> Münster, <u>costata</u> Wedekind, <u>cordata</u> Wedekind, and, of course, <u>striata</u> Münster. The first name, established in 1832, had lain dormant until revived by Korn (1981a). It is believed that a lectotype has been identified for this species, and it is duly described below.

Wedekind established <u>costata</u> as a species of <u>Varioclymenia</u> in 1908. Later (1914) he recognised that it was a <u>Cymaclymenia</u>. The lectotype (proposed here), from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone at Enkeberg, was figured by Korn (1981a, fig. 6d), and it appears to closely resemble <u>costellata</u>. In 1914 Wedekind established <u>cordata</u>, another species of <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, for examples from the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, which in contrast to <u>costata</u> had biconvex growth-lines, a narrower lateral 10be and a higher ventral saddle. He considered them both to be distinguished from <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u> var. <u>costellata</u> by their ribs, which were confined to the umbilical region. Type material for <u>cordata</u> cannot now be traced. The better preserved specimen which he figured (pl. II, figs. 13a-c) is proposed as the lectotype. This was collected by Torley from Hövel. Torley's Collection passed on his death to several museums and a search at two of these, Ruhrland Museum Essen and Staatsmuseum Menden, revealed a single specimen labelled as <u>cordata</u> (RE 551 734.5 A131/2; Pl. 5.40, Fig. 9) and this seems indistinguishable from the lectotype of <u>cordata</u>.

Schindewolf (1923a) revised the species of <u>Cymaclymenia</u> and placed <u>costellata</u> and <u>striata</u> in synonymy in his group I.1. Characterised by lack of ribbing, <u>cordata</u> was put in synonymy with <u>compressa</u>, using as an example of that species a specimen figured by Gümbel (1863, pl. XVIII, figs. 6a-c).

Subsequently Lange (1929), Matern (1931) and Korn (1979) have all used <u>compressa</u> as the valid senior synonym of <u>cordata</u> Wedekind. However, a careful reading of Münster's and Schindewolf's accounts shows that this was an incorrect decision.

Münster's figure (1832, pl. 1, figs. 4a-c) shows a compressed subinvolute specimen with a <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>-type external suture, and his description confirms this impression. Gümbel (1863) failed to discuss the smaller specimen he figured as <u>compressa</u>, shown with a <u>Cymaclymenia</u> suture (pl. XVIII, fig. 6). Later Schindewolf (1923a) stated that a comparison between <u>compressa</u> and <u>cordata</u> was difficult. He acknowledged that there were major differences between the interpretations of Münster and Gümbel, but explained these away as Münster's errors, and then proceded to find errors in the way Gümbel had figured the specimen. Today, neither Münster's nor Gümbel's specimens can be located.

440

Clearly the correct interpretation of this conflicting account is to regard <u>Planulites/Clymenia compressus/a</u> Münster as ?<u>Cyrto-</u> <u>clymenia</u> sp. and a <u>nomen dubium</u>, since there is no trace of type material. <u>Clymenia compressa</u> Gümbel is simply a junior homonym of <u>Cl. compressa</u> Münster, and thus invalid.

Korn considered that he had identified the holotype of <u>compressa</u> in Munich. I saw there three specimens associated with a <u>compressa</u> label, but like Gümbel etc. I thought none resembled Münster's figure. Regardless of the fact that Korn's holotype had neither whorl outline, nor sculpture preserved, he placed it in synonymy with <u>costellata</u>, with which opinion I cannot concur.

Inconclusion, there are three valid specific names for ribbed species of <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, <u>costellata</u>, <u>costata</u> and <u>cordata</u>, of which the first two are considered as synonyms, and the third less well known species may be so too.

<u>Cvmaclymenia</u> <u>costellata</u> (Münster 1832)

P1. 5.40, Fig. 11, P1. 5.41, Figs. 1,2,7-13

v*	1832	<u>Planulites striatus</u> var. <u>costellatus</u> sp. nov. Münster
		p. 13, pl. III, figs. 2a-c.
	1834	<u>Clymenia striata</u> var. <u>costellata</u> Münster - Münster,
		p. 75, pl. II, figs. 5a-c (translation of Münster 1832).
	1843	<u>Clymenia striata</u> var. <u>costellata</u> Münster - Münster,
		p. 9, pl. IIIa, figs. 2a-c (copy of Münster 1832).
vp	1863	<u>Clymenia</u> <u>striata</u> Münster - Gümbel, p. 144, pl. XVIII,
		figs. 2a-c (refiguring of the holotype).
	1908	<u>Varioclymenia</u> <u>costata</u> sp. nov Wedekind, p. 606,
		pl. XLIV, fig. 3.
	1914	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>costata</u> Wedekind - Wedekind, p. 26, pl. II,
	el y l	fig. 14, pl. V, figs. la,b.
?	1914	Cymaclymenia cordata sp. nov Wedekind, p. 28, pl. II,
		figs. 12,13.

[~]441

p	1923a	<u>Cymaclymenia striata</u> Münster - Schindewolf, p. 437.
	1924	Cymaclymenia striata Münster - Schmidt, pl. 7, fig. 1.
?	1929	<u>Cymaclymenia compressa</u> Münster - Lange, p. 89.
?	1931	<u> Striatoclymenia compressa</u> Münster - Matern, p. 96.
	1981a	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>costellata</u> Münster - Korn, p. 185, figs.

6a,b (refiguring of the holotype).

Type material: BSP AS VII 546 from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken, is recognised as the holotype.

Remarks: Münster's catalogue (1833) lists only one specimen of this species. The holotype is clearly the same specimen as that upon which Gümbel's figure was based, and is of the same size as Münster's figure, and thus taken to be the same specimen. Diagnosis: <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, which by a diameter of 50mm has an umbilical width amounting to 25-30% of the diameter, whorl height:whorl width ratio of approximately 0.65 and pronounced, concave ribs which are strongest near to the umbilicus, and tabulate venter bounded by shallow grooves.

Description: The holotype (P1. 5.41, Figs. 7,8,10,11) at a diameter of 50mm has an umbilical width: diameter ratio of 0.27, and a whorl width:height ratio of 0.67. Earlier whorls are not visible. Ornament is poorly preserved. A small area of shell at a diameter of ca 40mm shows closely spaced, concave, lirate growth-lines, which are bunched to form weak ribs present only on the umbilicad portion of the flanks. The ventro-lateral salients are prominent. The ornament is modified on the half whorl of body chamber, where ribs are visible on the internal mould, running right across the The ribs are broader and more widely spaced on the last flank. Faint impressions of the lirae can be seen on the quarter whorl. internal mould of the body chamber at the ventro-lateral shoulder and one can be traced as a shallow sinus over the venter. The venter, rounded on the phragmacone, becomes tabulate on the body chamber, and bounded by shallow grooves.

An unnumbered specimen in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin,

(P1. 5.40, Fig. 11) collected by Denckmann from Wettmarsen, and figured by Schmidt (1924, p1. 7, fig. 1) can be included in this species. This shows concave ribs on the internal mould of a body chamber, which develops a tabulate venter. It also shows what may have been an apertural modification, where at the maximum diameter preserved, there is a concave prorsiradiate constriction followed by a ridge, representing a groove in the former shell, or a widening of the aperture, extended laterally by flares.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
BSP AS VII 546 holotype.	51.2	14	15	22.2
P1.5.40, Fig.11.	49.8	14	13.2	21.0

Discussion: This species name has long lain unused in the literature. Only Wedekind (1914) has considered it, but he referred no material to it. However, the identification of the holotype with the original figure (Münster 1832) seems quite unambiguous and so the species must be revived. Korn (1981a) reached similar conclusions.

Less certain is the relationship of the ribbed species of <u>Cymaclymenia</u> described by other authors, especially Wedekind, to <u>costellata</u>. He stated what the distinction between <u>costellata</u>, <u>costata</u> and <u>cordata</u> was (see above). I have not had the benefit of examining Wedekind's material, because his material was not available for study when I visited Göttingen, and photographs of the specimens have had to suffice.

The lectotype of <u>Cyma</u>. <u>costata</u> (proposed here as GPIG 390:39) is preserved well enough to show the development of a tabular venter, bounded by grooves, at a diameter of approximately 50mm. Concave radial ribs, strongest at the umbilicus and extending across the flank are visible at a diameter of 40mm. These diminish in strength

443

on the body chamber where there are equally spaced growth-lines. These features are all present on <u>costellata</u>, except that there the ventro-lateral growth-line salient is stronger. The two type specimens are considered to be conspecific.

Wedekind's illustrations (1914, pl. VI, figs. 12,13) of Cyma. cordata are poor, and in the absence of the specimens there is nothing to corroborate his descriptions. He considered the growthlines to be biconvex, yet they appear to be no different from those on the lectotype costata, which he regarded as concave. His other distinguishing criterion between the two species was the extent of the ribbing across the flank. Certainly his photograph shows the ribs on cordata to be strongest near the umbilicus, and to fade on the ventrad portion of the flank, but they are by no means confined to the umbilicus as he suggested, and only little different from costata. Wedekind also recognised a significant difference in the relatively broad lateral lobe of costata and the narrow, pointed lateral lobe of <u>cordata</u>. Nothing is known of the intraspecific variations of sutures of Cymaclymenia, nor the importance of interspecific differences. In conclusion cordata and costellata are provisionally regarded as conspecific. In any case the absence of type material would make it unwise of any author to use the specific name.

> <u>Cymaclymenia</u> aff. <u>costellata</u> (Münster 1832) Pl. 5.40, Fig. 9, Pl. 5.41, Figs. 1-6,12-14

Material: Six specimens, mostly incomplete:

UEN 88 Münster Collection Schübelhammer P1.5.41, Figs.5,6.

UEN 89	Münster Collection	Schübelhammer	P1.5.41 Figs. 12-14.
SM H7530	Münster Collection	Schübelhammer	P1. 5.41, Figs. 1,2.
SM H7531	Münster Collection	Schübelhammer	P1.5.41, Figs. 3,4.
RE 551 734.5 A131/2	Torley Collection	Hövel	P1. 5. 41, Figs. 9.
MfN	von Buch Collection	Dzikowiec	P1. 5.41, Figs. 9.

Description: All of these specimens appear to bear a relationship to <u>Cyma</u>. <u>costellata</u>. The minor differences between them will be stated, but since stratigraphic control of the specimens is lacking their significance cannot be evaluated.

UEN 88 and SM H7530 both have very shallow, concave ribs (P1. 5.41, Figs. 1,2,5,6). The whorl section is compressed with weakly converging flattened flanks. The venter, flattened on SM H7530, develops a pair of ventro-lateral furrows more clearly seen on UEN 88. The growth-lines are closely, equally spaced; numbering 30 per centimetre on UEN 88 and 35 per centimetre on SM H7530 at a whorl height of 16 and 20mm respectively. The ribs, numbering 36 per whorl on SM H7530 are more strongly developed on the internal mould and near to the umbilicus.

SM H7531 (P1. 5.41, Figs. 3,4) is a small fragment of roughly the same dimensions as UEN 88. They are both mature specimens, shown by the approximation of the last few ribs. The venter of H7531 is extremely well preserved. Externally there is a narrow tabulate surface towards which the flanks converge, but internally on the mould are two strong ventro-lateral grooves, and weak ribs on the venter itself. The lirate growth-lines of SM H7531 number 20 per centimetre and differ from other specimens by being biconvex radial with a very shallow mid-flank sinus. Also the growthlines are clearly bunched near to the umbilicus. UEN 89 (P1. 5.41, Figs. 12-14) is a specimen with a compressed quadrate whorl section, well defined umbilical shoulder and a flatly rounded venter, which lacks ventro-lateral grooves, probably because of its small size. The umbilical width amounts to 30% of the diameter at a diameter of 39mm. The growth-lines, expressed as raised lirae, are concave, prorsiradiate with a shallow U-shaped ventral sinus, and number 32 per centimetre at a diameter of 35mm. Actual growth-line spacing is variable but tends to be greater between ribs. The ribs run parallel to the growth-lines; on one side of the shell they are present only near the umbilicus, on the other they are also developed near to the venter. Weak ribs are visible on the internal mould.

RE 551 734.5 A131/2 (P1. 5.40, Fig. 9) has lirate growth-lines (24 per centimetre) which are grouped in bunches of 5-7, and present only on the ribs, between which the shell is relatively smooth. Both on the internal mould, and shell, the ribs are more strongly developed near to the umbilicus. A ventro-lateral groove is visible on the body chamber.

The specimen (MfN, unnumbered) from Dzikowiec has a narrower umbilicus amounting to only 24% of the diameter at a diameter of 43mm, and a whorl height to whorl width ratio of 0.71 (Pl. 5.41, Fig. 9, figured by Frech 1902, pl. V(IV), fig. 1). The umbilical shoulder is well defined, and the umbilical wall steep. Growthlines are concave, prorsiradiate, becoming almost biconvex near the aperture where there is a very low salient near the umbilicus. Ribs, running parallel with the growth-lines, increase in strength during the last whorl from being present only near the umbilical shoulder at a whorl height of llmm, to running almost the whole way across the flank, at a whorl height of l7mm.

The apparent aperture of the shell is marked by a groove on the inner surface of the shell, which does not run parallel with the previous whorl. It is generally concave in shape, but there are strong salients near to the umbilicus and venter where the groove dies away. There seems to be no feature which could be represented by the dotted line on Frech's figure.

Dimensions:

	D	U	WW	WH
UEN 88			15	23
UEN 89	39.2	12.0	14.1	17.5
SM H7530	53.4	14.5	15.4	23.7
SM H7531		ĩ	15	25
RE 551 734.5 A131/2	66	20.7		26
MfN P1.5.41,Fig. 9.	43 36.7	10 5.2	14 7.9	19.7 11.2

Horizon and distribution: These specimens were collected widely throughout central Europe, from unknown stratigraphic levels, probably in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe.

<u>Cymaclymenia</u> sp. <u>c</u>

P1. 5.40, Figs. 3-5,10

Material: Two distorted specimens in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, labelled <u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>recticosta</u> MS, collected by Schindewolf from Hauern, Wildungen.

Diagnosis: <u>Cymaclymenia</u> with fine weakly concave radial lirae and strong blunt ribs, numbering upwards of twenty per whorl.

Description: Little needs to be added to the diagnosis. The umbilicus amounts to about one-third of the diameter and the whorl section is slightly compressed, although erosion of one side of each specimen makes assessment difficult (Pl. 5.40, Fig. 3). The growth-lines, concave at a whorl height of lOmm, become flatter at increasing diameters, and are almost straight by a whorl height of 25mm. Coincident with this change growth-line frequency increases from 50 to about 80 per centimetre, and ribs increase in extent from being present only near the umbilical shoulder, to running across the whole width of the flank. Ribs are not present over the broad venter, and the growth-lines form a shallow U-shaped sinus. An eroded Cymaclymenia suture is identifiable on the larger specimen.

Dimensions: Both specimens are distorted and poorly preserved so any measurements are meaningless.

Remarks: These two specimens are unlike any others included within <u>Cymaclymenia</u>, being distinguished by their blunt ribs and growthlines. They therefore deserve specific distinction.

Horizon and distribution: The horizon of the two described specimens is unknown.



Textfig. 5.35

Explanation of headings used in data lists.

Data used in plotting graphs

Computer print-outs have been used to list the data used to compile the various graphs in the systematic section. A database system and programs developed by R. Middleton, primarily for geochemical analysis in the Geology Department, were used to plot the graphs. Much time was saved by using this sytem and I wish to acknowledge my gratitude to Dick Middleton for his help in this field.

The abbreviations used for the measured parameters are the same as referred to elsewhere in this thesis. Three headings are used to identify each set of data, and these headings need some explanation (Textfig. 5.35). They are:

> Sample Code Meas & Mus

"Sample" is clear enough, indicating the sample number, but there were only six character-spaces available so some specimen numbers have been truncated. Unnumbered specimens are referred to by the Musem abbreviations used throughout e.g. MfN, Museum für Naturkunde, SM, Sedgwick Museum.

Data taken from publications is identified, somewhat cryptically, in two ways. Measurements taken directly from another author's work have a sample number such as 29:172, where 29 indicates the year of publication (in this case referring to Lange 1929), and 172 is the page number. The publications referred to by the numbers are listed below, but the reader will probably already be familiar with the dates of most relevant works, from reading the systematic descriptions. Where measurements have been taken directly from an illustration a six figure number is used, e.g. 631502. Again the first two numbers refer to a publication, in this case Gümbel 1863. The other numbers indicate plate 15, figure 2. This configuration could be confused with a six figure specimen number, but this possibility can be excluded by reference to the third set of numbers, "Meas & Mus" (see below).

The "Code" refers to the taxonomic name of the specimen. It is intended to be acronymic, and refers to both the genus/subgenus, and species. For example CK indicates <u>Endosiphonites (Costa-Clymenia) kiliani; SEP Sellaclymenia plana; GGU Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) <u>speciosa</u> etc. A list of all codes, and their meaning, is given below.

The last set of numbers headed "Meas & Mus" indicates two things. The first figure shows up instances where more than one set of measurements has been taken from the same specimen and its purpose is to ensure that each data set is uniquely identified. Thus 1 indicates the first (usually at maximum size) set of readings taken, and 9 the ninth set. The second and third figures show from where the specimen has come. A series of numbers from 1-20 refer to particular museums, and are listed below. "00" indicates that the particular data set was taken from the literature.

Museum Codes

- 00 No museum literature reference
- 01 British Museum (NH), London
- 02 Bayerische Staatssammlung, Munich
- 03 Bristol University
- 04 Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
- 05 Ruhrland Museum, Essen

06 Geol. Pal. Institut, Göttingen

07 Geological Survey Museum, IGS, London

- 08 Hull University
- 09 Nordrhein Westphalen Geologische Landesamt

10 Fachbereich Geowissenschaft, Marburg

11 Staatsmuseum, Menden

12 Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin

13 Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt

- 14 Sedgwick Museum, Cambridge
- 15 M. R. House Collection
- 16 D. Korn Collection, Sundern
- 17 J. D. Price Collection
- 18 K. Wunderlich Collection, Leverkusen
- 19 G. Trost Collection, Düsseldorf
- 20 Wiesbaden Museum

Abbreviated Literature References

- 03 Tokarenko 1903
- 08 Wedekind 1908
- 10 Rzehak 1910
- 14 Wedekind 1914
- 23 Schindewolf 1923a
- 29 Lange 1929
- 32 Münster 1832
- 38 Müller 1938
- 39 Münster 1839
- 48 Richter 1848
- 52 M'Coy 1852
- 56 Müller 1956
- 60 Petter 1960
- 63 Gümbel 1863
- 75 Petersen 1975
- 92 Loewinson-Lessing 1892

Full details can be found in the section headed References.

Taxonomic Abbreviations

Section 1

BB	<u>Biloclymenia bilobata</u>
BL	<u>Biloclymenia laevis</u>
B1	<u>Biloclymenia</u> sp. (2091)
B2	<u>Biloclymenia</u> sp. (MfN, P1. 5.11, Fig. 10
B3	<u>Biloclymenia</u> sp. (AS VII 524, 525)
CAC	<u>Carinoclymenia</u> <u>beuelensis</u>
СВ	<u>Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) binodosa</u>
CE	<u>Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) enodis</u>
CK	<u>Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) kiliani</u>
CM	<u>Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) muensteri</u>
СМА	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> sp. <u>b</u>
CMB	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>barbarae</u> and aff. <u>barbarae</u>
СМС	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>camerata</u>
CMD	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>dorsocostata</u>
CME	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> evoluta
CMF	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> aff. <u>dorsocostata</u>
CMG	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> sp. <u>a</u>
CMI	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> involvens
CMN	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> aff. <u>costata</u>
CMO	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>costata</u>
CMS	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> striata
CMT	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> aff. <u>striata</u>
CMW	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>semistriata</u>
CY	Cyrtoclymenia
CYA	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> <u>angustiseptata</u>
CYC	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> crassa
CYI	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> involuta
СҮР	<u>Cyrtoclymenia plicata</u>
CYS	<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> <u>subnodosa</u>
DA	Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> arietina
DAC	Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>acuta</u>
DF	Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>flexuosa</u>

```
DK
      Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> kayseri
D1
      Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> sp. (2015)
      Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> sp. (2076)
D2
EA
      Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> sp. <u>a</u>
EB
      Gen. Nov. E sp. b
      Gen. Nov. F costata
FC
      Gen. Nov. F falcifera
FF
      Gen. Nov. F stuckenbergi
FST
      Gen. Nov. F subflexuosa
FSU
GE
      Genuclymenia sp.
GEA
      Genuclymenia angelini
      Genuclymenia borni
GEB
      Genuclymenia discoidalis
GED
      <u>Genuclymenia</u> frechi
GEF
      Genuclymenia guembeli
GEG
      <u>Genuclymenia karpinskii</u>
GEK
GG
      Gonioclymenia (Gonioclymenia) SM H10407
GGP
      Gonioclymenia (Gonioclymenia) praematura
GGS
      Gonioclymenia (Gonioclymenia) speciosa
GGU
      Gonioclymenia (Gonioclymenia) subcarinata
GKI
      Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) insignis
GKC
      Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) crassa
      Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) dasbergensis
GKD
      Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) pessoides
GKP
GKS
      Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) subarmata
GKU
      Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) uhligi
GNA
      Gonioclymenia (Subgen. Nov. A) sp. a
GNB
      Gonioclymenia (Subgen. Nov. A) brevispina
GNF
      Gonioclymenia (Subgen. Nov. A) frechi
      Gonioclymenia (Subgen. Nov. B) wocklumeri
GNW
ΚI
      Kosmoclymenia inaequistriata
KS
      Kosmoclymenia subundulata
```

KU Kosmoclymenia undulata

L's See section 2

00 <u>Ornatoclymenia</u> <u>ornata</u>

PIP <u>Piriclymenia</u> piriformis

Platyclymenia (Pleuroclymenia) crassissima PLC Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) annulata PPA Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) crassa PPC PPN Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) nodosa PPP Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) prorsostriata PPR Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) richteri PPS Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) subnautilina Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) quenstedti PPQ

PRD <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> dunkeri

PRS <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> <u>serpentina</u>

PT <u>Platyclymenia</u> sp.

- PTE <u>Protactoclymenia</u> <u>euryomphala</u>
- PTK Protactoclymenia enkebergensis
- PTN <u>Protactoclymenia</u> <u>steinmanni</u>

PTO <u>Protactoclymenia</u> posterior

PTP Protactoclymenia pulcherrima

PTS <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Trigonoclymenia</u>) <u>spinosa</u>

- SE <u>Sellaclymenia</u> sp.
- SEP <u>Sellaclymenia</u> plana
- SET <u>Sellaclymenia</u> torleyi

SPI <u>Sphenoclymenia</u> intermedia

SUS <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> <u>sulcata</u>

Section 2 Clymenia

- LC <u>Clymenia</u> crassoides
- LI <u>Clymenia</u> aff. <u>spiratissima</u>
- LL <u>Clymenia</u> <u>laevigata</u>

LN <u>Clymenia</u> <u>Cingulata</u>

LS <u>Clymenia</u> <u>spiratissima</u>

Section 3 Platyclymenia

See explanation to Textfig. 5.13.

VII594 VII594 VII589 VII589 2041 Sample A124 A254 A254 c596 c596 VI1552 VI1552 MFN MEN H4010 H4010 VII587 VII587 SEP Code SEP SEP SEP SEP SET SET SET SET SET CE CM CM CK CK. SE SE CE 202 Mus & meas 102 102 202 119 105 105 205 112 212 102 202 112 212 114 214 102 202 80.50 75.70 43.30 34.50 53.30 36.50 55.90 37.90 56.10 51.00 23.80 17.80 72.00 37.00 97.80 73.00 48.90 D 35.20 U 28.00 27.00 17.80 13.10 21.50 16.60 25.30 18.00 22.70 21.30 10.50 7.90 30.00 21.70 40.40 32.80 24.90 18.60 17.00 15.00 5.00 4.50 13.00 8.00 10.40 10.00 12.30 11.00 5.50 3.90 0.00 7.10 15.90 11.80 8.00 6.90 MH 32.20 30.00 17.80 12.00 21.00 12.40 18.76 n.e. 20.00 18.90 8.70 n.e. 28.00 11.60 36.00 25.70 13.40 9.50 VII590 VII590 H10385 H10385 H10385 H10385 140604 29:73 MFN:NT 2078 2091 2091 2091 2091 Sample 2091 2091 2091 2091 Code C¥. CK CK CK CK CK CK CK CB CB B1 R1 81 81 81 81 81 **B**1 Mus & meas 102 202 114 214 314 414 100 100 112 118 118 218 318 418 518 618 718 818 D 60.80 47.80 n.a. 52.00 65.00 60.00 66.00 69.00 64.50 45.40 42.40 32.50 22.40 15.90 11.00 G. .. R. .. A. .. U 29.40 23.30 n.e. 27.00 31.00 31.50 30.50 14.70 13.20 8.90 10.40 6.50 4.70 3.10 R. .. n.e. R.6. 2.10 -11.00 8.80 13.00 7.60 5.00 2.00 5.00 14.50 n.s. 13.00 20.20 20.00 18.50 16.80 12.40 9.90 7.10 5.40 19.00 14.70 18.00 9.50 3.20 3.50 12.50 20.00 16.20 19.00 31.00 28.40 20.00 20.90 15.60 10.40 7.40 MH 5.20 Sample 2091 HFN MFN 390206 631904 VII524 VII525 480381 VII538 VII538 VII538 BSP:LT BSP:LT VII596 A256 A256 H10407 H10407 66F Code **B**2 **B**2 665 665 665 66U 66P 66 B1 **B**8 BB 83 **B**3 BL 665 665 66 Mus & meas 918 112 212 100 100 102 102 100 102 202 302 102 202 102 105 205 114 214 7.60 34.50 26.20 26.30 25.20 11.10 n.s. 20.00 107.70 83.20 77.20 150.00 114.00 99.00 108.00 78.00 70.00 51.00 D 11 1.20 6.10 5.00 6.00 7.50 3.80 D. .. 4.00 45.20 37.40 n.s. 62.10 44.10 41.00 39.50 43.50 27.00 19.20 4.40 8.50 22.00 16.50 15.20 38.00 25.00 22.00 27.30 22.00 16.50 12.00 1 3.70 6.90 6.10 10.50 11.00 5.50 3.50 17.30 13.00 13.00 12.00 4.35 3.50 8.50 38.00 27.50 26.20 51.50 42.10 36.00 40.70 29.50 26.00 19.00 HH H10407 VI1537 VI1537 H10394 H10394 H10394 H10394 H10394 320602 320602 MFN:LT MFN:LT A255 A255 7083 7083 c550 c 550 Sample Code 66 6KS 6K5 6K5 6K5 6KS 6KS GKS 6K5 6KS 6KB 6KP 6KC GKC GK1 6KI 6KU 6KU 114 214 414 514 100 200 105 Mus & meas 314 102 202 314 112 112 205 107 207 112 212 30,00 100,40 69,00 104,00 76,00 55,20 39,50 27.90 180,00 140,00 42,00 31,20 52,00 36,00 61,00 46,50 68,50 54,50 D !! 0.00 54.40 37.50 53.00 38.80 27.50 18.90 0.00 96.00 35.00 20.80 17.90 23.60 0.00 32.40 24.00 32.00 24.00 . 5,00 20,00 14,50 22,50 17,70 12,70 9.10 5.40 32.00 0.00 12,20 8.20 14.20 10.20 0.00 9.00 19.00 18.90 11.00 24.50 18.00 29.50 21.00 16.00 11.80 9.00 24.50 16.00 12.50 7.50 16.20 12.20 16.70 12.50 22.00 15.70 -14:67 MEN:LT MEN:LT NFN MFN: HT MFN: HT H10373 H10373 H10373 H10373 V11586 V11586 H10397 14:34 P82.3 Sample P82.3 3126 3126 6NA Code GKD GNF GNF GNB GNK GNH 6NA GNA 6NA SPI SPI SPI PPR PPR PPR PPR PPR 314 Mus & meas 100 112 212 112 112 212 114 214 414 102 202 114 100 108 208 110 210 n.s. 140.00 92.00 58.00 38.00 126.00 88.00 D 50.00 71.60 59.20 34.40 45.00 0.00 60.00 37.20 29.80 33.40 29.20 н 21.00 39.30 30.00 14.20 17.00 n.s. 50.50 34.00 22.50 0.00 57.10 38.00 0.00 27.70 17.20 13.80 15.20 13.60 13.06 14.40 11.20 4.10 10.00 6.50 31.40 20.00 12.50 8.00 21.50 17.00 22.30 17.70 11.90 9.20 8.40 7.30 -18.00 18.40 15.10 11.00 6.80 11.00 51.60 35.00 22.00 12.00 39.50 31.00 44.70 20.00 12.40 9.00 10.80 10.30 H VII600 3152 3153 3125 3125 3125 3125 3154 Sample 3153 WSB3 WSB2 WSB2 WSB1 3128 3127 3154 3154 3154 PPN PPK PPD PPQ PPS PPS PPS PPS PPC PPP PPA PPA PPA PPA PLC Code PLC PLC PLC Mus & meas 102 110 110 210 120 120 220 120 110 110 110 210 310 410 110 210 310 410 58.00 32.00 39.00 34.00 59.20 56.30 43.90 66.00 24.80 26.20 28.80 21.50 15.70 10.90 35.70 34.50 25.90 21.20 D 23.40 14.80 18.00 14.50 0.00 26.00 20.10 30.20 10.90 14.30 15.00 11.20 11 8.90 6.60 15.00 15.50 10.90 6.70 12.80 9.30 10.00 8.00 18.00 0.00 11.40 17.90 5.30 7.00 7.80 5.50 4,50 3.80 15.50 15.10 12.30 11.50 21.40 9.00 12.20 9.90 22.00 19.00 14.20 21.90 7.90 11.00 7.80 5.50 4.40 3.80 12.40 11.60 8.70 6.90

Section 1

Sample Code Mus & mean	3154 PLC 5 510	V1159 PT5 102	2064 PTS 2 119	3129 SUS 110	a P82. SUS 108	1 2065 SUS 118	3129 SUS 110	6 P82. SUS	2 MFN:L PIP 112	T NFN:L PIP 212	T V11550 D0 102	V1155 D0 202	0 VII55 00 102	1 H1038 D0 114	2 VII58 CVA 102	5 VII58 Cya 202	5 V11599 CYA 102	VI 1584 CVP 102
D	15.0	0 44.0	0 52.0	0 17.50) 13.40	26.0	0 12.5	0 14.7	0 19.10	0 14.70	26.50	20.60	23.00	22.20	56.40	46.00	56.00	53.0 0
U	6.5	0 21.4	0 24.7	0 5.4	0 5.5(11.2	0 5.8	6.2	0 8.3	6.20	12.40	8.5	0.00	10.20	0 14.30	12.70	14.00	17.00
	8.9	9,2	0 13.0	0 4.00	3.00	0.0	0.0	0 3.1	0 3.80	3.50	5.50	6.40	7.00	7.50	17.60	18.00	21.00	21.00
MH.	5,0	0 14.5	0 17.0	0 6.30	4.20	8.7	0 3.2	0 4.3	0 5.90	0 4.70	8.00	7.80	0 10.00	8.00	23.80	19.50	25.50	21.00
Sample	VII583	VI 158	3 BSP	NFN	NFN	2096	2096	P82.4	P82.4	P82.4	PB2.4	P82.4	P82.4	P82.4	P82.4	MEN	14:21	14:21
Code	CYP	CVP	CYS	CY	CY	CYI	CYI	CYI	CYI	CVI	CVI	CYI	CYI	CYI	CYI	CYI	CYI	CYC
nus & ne as	102	202	102	112	112	119	218	108	208	308	408	508	608	708	808	112	100	10 0
D	45.00	32.7	0 19.20	0.00	32.20	42.9	35.00	28.20	20.80	14.10	9.40	6.30	4.10	2.80	2.00	27.30	23.00	34.80
U HU	15.00	14.0	2 4.YU	1 5.50	0.00	10.00	9.00	5.70	5.80	2.90	2.20	1.80	1.40	1.00	0.70	5.00	5.00	17.30
MH	19.80	16.50	8.00	7.50	14.70	19.60	17.30	12.50	9.90	6.R0	4.70	2.90	1.45	1.40	0.90	13.20	12.00	14.60
		1010		7100	14170	1710	1/100	12,00	7.00	0.00	4110	2.170	1100		0.00	10.20	12100	14100
Sample	14:22	MM	2062	14:31	29:80	14:32	2071	2071	WSB	V11523	MFN:LT	81856	2015	2076	2076	NB6:HT	MB6:HT	VII528
Code	PTP	PTE	PTE	PTK	PTO	PTN	PT	PT	DA	DK	DAC	DF	D1	D2	D2	EA	EA	ER
MUS & BEAS	100	111	118	100	100	100	118	218	120	102	112	101	119	118	218	110	210	102
D	30.00	37.00	37.00	57.60	61.00	48.80	42.60	30.40	27.00	15.10	31.30	17.80	43.50	75.20	51.50	62.00	40.00	19.50
8	7.50	12.40	11.50	15.00	15.00	16.40	16.10	12.30	9.40	0.00	13.00	4.70	0.00	27.80	20.00	16.60	11.00	5.50
	9.40	10.00	11.50	12.00	22.00	15.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	3.60	5.00	0.00	7.30	17.00	0.00	11.50	10.90	4.80
#n	12,30	19.00	13.60	17.30	20.30	20.00	16.30	12.40	10.70	4.30	11.40	0.00	14.50	29.20	19.30	28.00	23.00	B. 00
Sample	2016	2016	2016	2016	2058	03:31	03:31	14:23	14:68	VII533	VII606	VII606	VI 1526	VI I 526	VII526	VI I 526	V11526	V11526
Code	FST	FST	FST	FST	FST	FST	FST	FST	FST	FF	FC	FC	FSU	FSU	FSU	FSU	FSU	FSU
MUS & meas	119	219	319	419	218	100	100	100	100	102	102	202	102	202	302	402	502	602
D	20,00	13.70	9.20	6.20	19.80	20.00	15.00	20.50	20.00	10.90	20.30	15.00	21.60	15.90	11.00	7.20	5.20	3.60
U	5.90	3.70	2.20	1.30	5.40	0.00	0.00	6.90	7.00	4.90	5.90	3.80	5.00	4.50	3.44	2.60	1.95	1.39
	4.80	5.80	2.70	2.10	5.80	3.50	4.50	0.50	3.40	0.00	4.00 P.10	4.00	3.80	5.40	2.65	2.18	1.67	1.85
	0.00	3. 10	7.50	2.70	/	1.30	0,00	0.09	/.20	5170	0.10	5.60	10.00	0.70	4.70	2.00	1.70	1.30
Sample	3021	V11527	MFN:HT	NFN:HT	NFN	2070	2070	2021	2023	2023	2023	2 023	2023	V11559	VII559	DF	DK	DK
Code	FSU	FSU	CAC	CAC	CAC	CAC	CAC	CAC	CAC	CAC	CAC	CAC	CAC	PRD	PRD	PRD	PRD	PRD
nus & n eas	110	102	112	112	112	118	218	119	119	219	314	419	214	102	202	116	216	316
D	50.50	11.20	40.00	24.20	32.00	46.80	31.20	21.80	14.90	8.90	5.40	3.40	0.00	32.00	23.50	30.00	21.50	15,20
U	11.30	3.70	5.00	3,90	4.50	3.90	3.10	2.50	1.90	1.50	1.20	0.00	0.00	13.90	10.70	13.80	10.10	7.60
	11.60	2.90	5.00	0.00	5.50	6.60	5.70	0.00	5.20	2.40	1.50	1.15	0.80	0.00	0.00	6.70	5.40	3.90
Wr:	23.30	4.40	24.00	15.40	16.30	20.30	17.60	12.40	8.30	5.00	2.80	1.50	0.60	11.80	0.00	9.50	6.90	4.70
Sample	DK	DK	DK	DK	DK	DK	VII538 V	V11538	VII538 V	/11538 V	11538 V	11538 V	/11538	11538	2 077	c 597	c597 H	10388
Code	PRD	PRD	PRD	PRD	PRD	PRD	PRS	PRS	PRS	PRS	PRS	PRS	PRS	PRS	PRS	KS	KS	¥5
nus k eeas	416	516	616	716	816	916	102	202	302	402	502	602	702	802	118	112	212	114
D	11.00	8.20	6.20	4.70	3.50	2.60	97.00	89.00	67.00	51.50	36.50	27.50	20.00	13.80	67.00	51.20	35.80	32.00
U	5.80	4.40	3.30	2.50	1.80	1.30	47.80	41.50	32.50	34.30	18.10	12.50	8.50	6.00	34.00	20.00	14.40	13.40
	2.60	1.90	1.40	1.25	0.98	0.90	15.50	18.00	13.00	12.00	8.50	7.30	5.00	3.80	14.50	13.00	10.50	7.90
	3.10	2.20	1.60	1.25	0.92	0.80	25.50	26.00	18.00	14.00	10.00	B.10	6.50	4.80	17.50	18.10	12.50	10.90

Section 1

Sample	H103B9	H10389	H10390	H1039	0 H10390	H10376	H10376	5 H1037	6 VII59	7 3135	MFN	H10377	H10377	MEN	SHF:NT	SHF:NT	SHF:NT	SMF:NT
Code Nur L noor	KS 114	K5 214	K5	KS 214	K5	KI 112	KI 212	KI 710	KI 102	KI	KI	KI	KI	KI 112	KU	KU	KU 717	KU A13
∏U5 € 868 5	5 114	214	114	214	314	112	212	217	102	110	112	114	214	117	115	213	313	415
D	31.40	23.70	42.50	29.50	20.30	56.40	45.00	32.00	26.50	47.00	50.20	21.20	15.10	56.40	75.00	54.50	40.00	27.80
U	13.30	9.60	17.00	11.5	0 8,40	23.30	18.80	13.2	0 11.8	19.80	21.80	8.80	6.60	25.00	37.40	17.00	19.80	14.60
id al	8.70	7.40	10.30	8.80	6.10	14.40	11.30	9.30	7.10	9.50	10.50	7.20	6.70	13.20	19.20	13.10	10.20	7,40
# H	10.40	7.70	14.70	10.40	0 7.40	19.10	14.60	11.0	0 8.70) 15.90	16.20	7.70	5.30	17.00	21.70	15.20	11.50	8.10
Sample	SNF:NT	SHF:NT	SMF:NT	SHF: NT	SMF:NT	SHF:NT	SMF:NT	P82.11	P82.11	P82.11	P82.11	P82.11	P82.7	14:22	3122	14:22	14:22	2095
Code	KU	KU	KU	KU	KU	KÜ	KU	KU	KU	KU	KU	KU	KU	6EA	6E B	6ED	6EF	6EF
Mus & meas	513	613	713	813	913	113	213	117	217	317	417	517	117	100	110	100	100	118
D	19.90	15.10	11.20	8.40	6.30	4.91	3.40	54.30	36.90	26.80	14.20	7.85	44.8 0	38.70	17.20	41.50	35.00	29.90
U	10.90	8.50	6.36	4.70	3.70	2.36	1.64	26.70	18.90	14.00	7.70	4.16	21.20	14.00	6.20	13.70	12.00	9.10
WW.	5.40	3.70	2.90	2.14	1.50	1.44	1.04	14.00	10.30	7.10	3.83	2.04	13.00	11.50	0.00	11.50	10.60	10.00
W H	5.40	3.90	2,72	1.84	1.60	1.31	1.12	16.40	9.20	7.80	3.60	2.11	14.60	12.00	6.30	15.60	12.00	12.90
Sample	2095	08:618	2063	2063	2061	14:81	4511.1	4511.1	2066	320303	320303	H10414	H10414	H10414	H10414	81824	VI1545	92
Hus 1 mpas	218	100	118	218	118	100	116	216	118	100	200	114	214	314	414	101	102	104
		•••				••••	••••					•••		•••				•••
D	23.70	28.50	42.70	32.00	29.70	25.00	24.70	18.20	31.00	50.00	38.00	40.20	35.80	25.60	18.80	33.60	49.60	55.80
U	7.80	8.90	0.00	0.00	10.50	8.30	8.90	6.70	12.20	16.00	11.00	13.90	13.50	9.80	7.10	12.30	12.30	15.20
478 1411	0.00	12 00	15.00	11 10	10.30	7.3V 9.30	A R0	6.10 6.90	11.80	18.00	17.00	14.90	13.70	9.10	4.40	17 20	14.70 21.10	24 RO
-	7.00	12.00	10,70	11.10	10.40	1,00	0,00	0170	11.00	10,00	17100	14.70	10.70	,	0.70	15.20	11.10	17:00
Sample	MFN	NFN:LT	NFN	MEN	H10415	H10415	H10415	H10415	H10415	H10415	H10415	MFN:LT	NFN	A373	A373	A371	NFN:HT	29:91
Code	CHT	CMC	CHC	CNC	CMC	CHC	CHC	CHC	CHC	CHC	CNC	CME	CHE	CNE	CHE	CME	CHI	CHI
Nus & meas	112	112	112	212	114	214	314	414	514	614	714	112	112	105	205	105	112	100
D	50.00	26.00	22.40	16.50	39.60	28.30	20.50	14.00	9.60	6.74	4.95	32.00	37.90	41.80	28.20	80.00	21.00	25.50
U	15.00	9.40	5.80	6.80	13.80	10.20	7.20	5.30	3.92	2.81	0.00	11.00	11.00	12.90	8.20	22.00	7.00	5.00
WW	0.00	9.50	4.20	3.80	9.40	7.30	5.50	3.85	2.48	1.88	1.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.00	9.80
WH	21.50	11.40	9.10	7 .0 0	14.70	10.50	8.00	5.56	3.51	2.29	1.6]	11.00	11.00	16.80	11.80	34.00	8.70	12.20
Cample.	2000	02.20	MEN	2004	MEN	HEN	NEN	MEN	110404	H10404	H10404	47574	L7574	P82 5	D00 5	DD2 5	D07 5	D00 5
Code	CHI	CHE	CMB	CMB	CMP	CHP	CMP	CHP	CMA	CMA	CNA	CMS	CHG	CMG	CHG	CMS	CMS	CM6
Mus & meas	119	100	112	116	112	104	112	212	114	214	314	114	214	108	208	308	408	508
D	41.20	45.00	24.80	47.20	35.70	32.30	42.00	35.00	43.00	28.80	19.70	36.00	23.40	13.90	8.95	5.82	3.74	2.54
U	7.10	0.00	6.50	10.80	8.40	5.30	16.20	12.80	10.30	7.67	5.82	8.40	7.80	3.88	2.81	2.05	1.56	1.15
N N	15.50	11.00	6.90	0.00	12.00	10.40	11.80	9.40	12.60	8.70	5.20	11.40	6.60	3.71	2.50	1.76	1.25	0.87
#H	20.00	16.00	0.00	21.90	16.00	15.70	19.40	13.50	19.10	13.60	8.20	15.40	9.20	6.18	3.81	2.36	1.41	0.85
Samle	P82.5	P82.5	P82.5	62-3	VI 1557 V	11557	62-1	11560	H10416	H10416	MEN	V1154A	NEN	89	N7530 4	131/2	MEN	MEN
Code	CNG	CM6	CNG	CNG	CMD	CMD	CHW	CNF	CNF	CNF	CMF	CMO	CHO	CHN	CHN	CHN	CHN	CHN
Mus & meas	60B	709	808	106	102	202	106	102	114	214	112	102	112	104	114	105	112	212
D	1.88	1.37	0.94	17.40	37.70	27.00	22.10	38.40	26.00	18.80	22.00	51.20	49.80	39.20	53.40	65.00	43.00	36.70
U	0.85	0.57	0.40	4.80	11.50	9.80	7.60	10.20	9.50	7.00	7.90	14.00	14.00	12.00	14.50	20.70	10.00	5.20
W M	A / .	A #D				100 CO	1.80 (1.20)(27)	and the second sec										
	0.64	0.49	0.40	5.20	10.20	9.10	5.40	9.80	7.30	5.90	5.30	15.00	13.20	14.10	15.40	0.00	14.00	7.90

Section 2

Sample	62795	81823	81849	C34761	CB2327	C82328	C83292	H10362	H10365	H10365	H10365	H10365	H10365	H10365	H10366	H10366
Code	ш	LL	LL	LL	LL	LL	LL	LL	LL2	LL2	LL2	LL2	LL2	LL2	LL1	LL1
Mus & meas	101	101	101	101	101	101	101	114	114	214	314	414	514	614	114	214
D	37.00	24.80	60.00	48.50	51.50	44.40	12.20	105.00	43.00	n.e.	R.S.	n.s.	n.s.	n. . .	82.50	64.60
U	17.00	13.10	31.00	26.00	26.00	21.50	8.20	52.00	22.10	n.s.	R. S.	n.s.	n.e.	N	G	33.20
Wii	0.00	0.00	17.00	D.#.	B.C.	11.10	n.s.	21.00	11.70	9.00	6.60	5.30	3.90	3.10	16.96	14.10
M H	11.00	7.50	18.00	n.e.	14.40	12.50	3.30	33.00	12.20	9.00	6.90	4.60	3,50	2.20	22.70	17.60
R	n.€.	B	n.#.	D.#.	n.e.	n.s.	n.e.	n.s.	25.40	19.10	13.70	10,10	7.90	6.10	47.30	36.50

Sample	H10366	H10366	H10366	H10366	H10366	H10366	H10366	H10367	H10368	H10368	H10370	H10398	VII601	VI1601	VII603	VI1605
Code	LL1	LL1	LL1	LL1	LLI	LLI	LLI	LL	LL3	113	LL	LL	LL4	LL4	LL	LL
Mus & meas	314	414	514	614	714	814	914	114	114	214	114	114	102	202	102	102
D	60.0 0	n. . .	n. . .	n. e .	n. s .	n. . .	n,#.	28.60	46.00	33.70	51.90	57.70	45.30	34.00	D.E.	120.00
U	n.s.	n. . .	B.S.	n. . .	n.s.	D.#.	n.e.	15.10	23.20	17.40	26.00	28.70	24.00	17.70	N. 8.	64.20
	13.80	10.00	8.20	6.10	4.70	3.85	2.50	6.90	12.70	8.70	n.e.	n.e.	10.20	9.10	15.70	21.00
H H	17.10	12.40	9.80	7.30	5.50	4.60	2.80	9.80	13.90	9.70	15.00	16.00	12.70	9.60	19.00	32.50
R	34.70	27.00	18.20	14.80	10.90	9.40	5.50	n.e.	26.60	n.e.	D	R.#.	26.30	n.e.	n.s.	67.20

Sample VII605 PR0V36 PR0V41 PR0V41 PB2.22 320101 320101 29:117 29:118 29:118 29:118 29:118 H10364 H10364 H10364 H10364 Code LL LL Ш LL LL 11 LL LI LI LI LL LL LL LL LL LI 100 300 Mus & meas 202 102 102 202 100 100 200 100 200 400 114 214 314 414 D 101.50 52.50 185.00 150.00 110.00 160.00 38.00 53.00 21.00 65.50 53.00 56.00 46.30 36.30 29.60 G. .. U 55.50 33.30 26.80 100.00 78.00 55.00 84.00 18.50 25.00 25.00 10.50 28.50 23.00 19.20 n. .. 14.60 WW 10.20 5.30 19.00 14.30 15.40 13.20 26.00 33.00 25.00 26.00 10.50 14.50 16.00 A... 8.40 5.40 NH 27.50 19.60 40.00 44.00 11.40 17.00 5.80 16.70 12.80 10.30 18.30 16.10 46.00 31.00 16.00 7.20 R 60.00 38.10 31.20 87.00 32.40 26.30 21.10 n.e. R.S. n.e. A.#. n.a. D. .. D.#. n.s. 15.40

Sample Code Mus & meas	H10364 LJ 514	H10364 LI 614	231804 LS 100	231804 LS 200	C83292 LS 101	81828 LS 101	H7537 LS 114	H7538 LS 114	H7539 LS 114	NfN LS 100	29:118 LS 100	29:118 LS 200	NfN LN 112	29:119 LC 100
D	20.40	15.50	47.00	37.00	18.10	12.00	9.70	17,50	11.00	19.00	38.00	53.00	17.00	21.00
U	11.30	8.70	28.00	24.00	11.90	8.00	6.50	12.00	6.20	9.80	22.60	30.00	8.10	8.00
WW .	4.70	3.60	n.s.	n.s.	R	n.s.	2.16	n	2.00	5.50	8.00	13.00	n.s.	n.s.
W H	3.60	2.70	12.50	8.00	3.80	2.70	1.80	3.00	2.00	5.50	8.30	13.20	4.90	7.50
R	11.60	8.30	28.00	21.00	n.e.	n. . .	n.s.	n.s.	n.e.	n.s.	R	n.e.	n.s.	R.B.

Section 3

Sample Code Mus & meas	38:196 Are 100	38:196 Ame 200	23:459 Ari 100	29:101 BEU 100	14:38 BIC 100	08:608 Bre 100	29:100 CAL 100	3128 CLA 110	29:63 CD5 100	23:458 CRA 100	3154 CMA 110	3154 CMA 210	3154 CMA 310	08:610 CYC 100
D U WH At	32.00 20.00 14.00 8.00	n.s. n.s. 12.00 9.50	50.00 22.00 10.00 15.00	38.00 16.50 10.50 11.50	25.00 13.00 7.00 7.00	23.30 15.00 9.00 4.50	20.00 9.00 7.50 6.00	24.80 10.90 5.30 7.90	30.00 13.00 9.00 4.00	34.00 17.00 10.50 9.30	35.70 15.00 15.50 12.40	34.50 15.50 15.10 11.60	n.s. 10.90 12.30 8.70	21.50 9.50 7.20 7.00
Rib number	16.00	n.e.	40.00	A	45.00	34.00	21.00	40.00	35.00	R. ₽ .	40.00	A.S.	n. s .	50.00
Sample	23:450	14:36	14:37	75:47	75:47	56:71	14:44	14:44	14:45	14:45	VI 1600	VI 1600	52:403	38:198
Code Nus & meas	DEK 100	DES 100	DRE 100	EUR 100	EUR 200	6R0 100	INT 100	INT 200	NIR 100	MIR 200	NOD 102	NOD 200	PAT 100	POL 100
DU	18.00 7.50	28.00 13.00	32.00 15.00	14.50	10.80 4.40	15.00 9.00	64.00 25.00	29.00 13.40	57.00 25.00	36.50 17.60	58.00 23.40	58.0 0 23 .4 0	20.00 9.50	16.00 8.50
NH NH	6.00	9.20 8.90	9.10	7.70	5.00	3.50	15.20	8.60	16.00	9.50	12.80	12.80 21.40	5.00 7.00	8.00 4.00
Ah Rib number	n.a. 15.00	n.e. 35.00	n.s. 40,00	B.#.	n.s. n.s.	4.00 12.00	n.e. 40.00	n.e. n.e.	n.s. n.s.	R.S. R.S.	n.s. 16.00	n.s. 32.00	R.S. R.S.	n.e. 38.00
Sample Code	8:607 POM	3127 PR0	10:181 PSE	10:181 PSE	14:45 Due	56:74 QUI	23:451 Rar	10:180 REC	14:34 RIC	140215 RDT	14:46 RUE	14:46 RUE	14:46 SAN	08:620 SAN
Nus & meas	100	110	100	200	100	100	110	100	100	100	100	200	100	100
D	47.50	31.00	67.00	47.00	57. 0 0	36.50	23.00	43.50	60.00	42.00	76.20	55.90	27.30	37.30
	25.50	14.00	28.00	18.00	25.00	17.60	n.s.	15.40	27.70	20.80	32.40	21.50	11.50	19.60
WH	13.00	11.00	23.00	16.00	19.20	11.70	R	14.10	20.00	13.30	21.00	20.00	8.50	10.80
Ah	n. s .	R. 8.	A.#.	A.S.	n.e.	A	n. . .	n.e.	n. s .	n. . .	n.e.	n.e.	n. . .	n.s.
Rib number	42.00	R. S .	A.B.	A.O.	N.8.	n. s .	16.00	40.00	25.00	A. B .	n. s .	8.∎.	n. s .	A.€.
Sample	29:71	56:74	60:27	60:25	60:25	29:100	29:70	WSB1	WSB1	W 5B2	7177	14:38	3152	3153
Code Nus & meas	SCH 100	SC 2 100	SE 1 100	SEP 100	S EP 200	SEN 100	STE 100	SUB 120	SUP 220	SUB 120	VAL 107	WAL 100	QCR 110	9 9U 110
D	41.00	15.00	28.00	54.00	21 00	27.00	36.00	56.30	43.90	59.20	39.20	37.20	32.00	39 00
U	13.00	7.50	14.00	27.00	11.50	12.50	15.00	26.00	20.10	n.s.	17.50	17.60	14.80	18.00
**	11.00	5.00	8.00	15.00	7.00	9.00	7.20	n.s.	11.40	18.00	7.00	9.00	9.30	10.00
NH	21.40	5.00	8.50	15.00	5.00	8.00	12.50	19.00	14.20	22.00	13.50	11.00	9.00	12.20
An Rih number	8.8. D.8	R.8.	8.8. 40.00	R. 8. 32.00	ñ.e.	9.4. 54.00	n.s.	n.s.	n.e.	R.C.	n.e. 80.00	R.S. 29 00	A.S.	A.O.
NID HURDER			77.00	VI. VV		14.00			10.00	fi. 🖬 .	04.00	27.00	19. H .	ñ. . .

The University of Hull

Some Famennian (Upper Devonian) ammonoids from north western Europe

being a Thesis submitted for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in the University of Hull

by

Jonathan David Price, B.Sc. (Hons.), London

December 1982

BEST COPY

AVAILABLE

Variable print quality

.

Volume 2

Chapter 5

Illustrations

Progonioclymenia (Prog.) aegoceras (Frech 1902)

A, growth-line; B, suture at D=17.9, WW=ca5 and WH=3.7 from Mbg 3130, X10: figured by Schindewolf (1923a, pl. XVIII, fig. 12), from Braunau near Wildungen, Kellerwald; D, suture, after Schindewolf 1937a, fig. 6 (magnification and size unstated).

Hexaclymenia hexagona (Wedekind 1908)

C, suture, after Schindewolf 1972, fig. 1a, (magnification and size unstated).

<u>Progonioclymenia</u> (<u>Soliclymenia</u>) <u>paradoxa</u> (Münster 1842) E, suture, after Schindewolf 1937a, fig. 8, (magnification and size unstated).

<u>Progonioclymenia</u> (<u>Soliclymenia</u>) <u>solarioides</u> (von Buch 1838)
F, suture, after Schindewolf 1937a, fig. 7, (magnification and size unstated).


Textfig. 5.2 Sellaclymenia

<u>Sellaclymenia plana</u> (Münster 1832)

A, whorl cross-section at D=75, X2; B, suture and growthline (both reversed) at D=ca73, WW=ca14 and WH=28, X1.66: BSP AS VII 594, lectotype, Schübelhammer,Oberfranken; C, suture and growth-line (both reversed) at D=ca30, WH=11, X3.33: BSP AS VII 589, lectotype of <u>Clymenia angulosa</u> (Münster 1839), Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Sellaclymenia torleyi (Wedekind 1914)

D, suture and growth-line (both reversed) at D ca 41, WW=8.9, WH=14, X3.33: MfN c596, holotype of <u>Sell</u>. <u>spinosa</u> Schmidt 1924, pl. 7, fig. 8, from Dasberg, Sauerland; E, suture (reversed) at WH=8, X3.33: RE 551.734.5 A254, lectotype, from Hövel, Sauerland.

Biloclymeniinae

Biloclymenia Cf. bilobata (Münster 1839)

F, suture at WW=4.4, WH=3.5, X3.5; H, whorl section, X3.5: BSP AS VII 525; G, whorl section at D=11, WW=5.5, WH=4.35, X3.5, BSP AS VII 524. Both specimens from Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

<u>Biloclymenia</u> sp. <u>a</u>

I, suture at D ca 30, X3.33: MfN, Beil, Sauerland. Specimen figured by Schindewolf (1937a, pl. 1, fig. 10) as <u>Bilo</u>. <u>bilobata</u> and here in Pl. 5.11, Figs. 3,4; J,K, sutures at WH=20.5 and 16.3 respectively, X3.33; L, cross-section at D=68, X1.5; KW 2091, Kasberg, Langenholthausen, Sauerland.





Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) muensteri Ansted 1838 A, suture (reversed) at D=7.3, WW ca 11, WH=25.7, x4: SM H4010, Petherwin Beds, Petherwin, Cornwal1.

Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) kiliani (Wedekind 1914)

B, suture and rib at WW=7.2, WH=12, x8: BSP AS VII 590, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster (1839, pl. XII, figs. 3a-c) as <u>C1</u>. <u>binodosa</u>. C, whorl crosssection at R=35, WW=12, WH=17, x3; D-F, sutures at D ca 34, WH=8.8, x10; WH=4.65, WW=3.6, x10; WH=3.2, x15, respectively from SM H10385, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.



2





2

Textfig. 5.7 <u>Gonioclymenia</u> and <u>Sphenoclymenia</u>

Gonioclymenia (Gonioclymenia) speciosa (Münster 1831)

A, suture at D=91, X2.66; B, suture at D ca 20, WH=6, X7: BSP AS VII 538, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) <u>subcarinata</u> (Münster 1839) C, suture at D=68, X3.33: BSP AS VII 596, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken; D, suture (reversed, composite of adjacent sutures) at D ca 59, WW ca 15, WH ca 29: RE 551.734.5 A256, lectotype of <u>Gon</u>. <u>praematura</u> Wedekind, Torley Collection, Hövel, Sauerland.

Gonioclymenia sp.

E, suture (reversed) at D ca 30, WW=5.5, WH=12: SM H10407, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Sphenoclymenia intermedia (Münster 1839)

F, suture, X1.66; G, whorl section, X0.5, at WW=20.9, WH=40: BSP AS VII 586, lectotype, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.



.



Textfig. 5.9 <u>Gonioclymenia</u>

Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) subarmata (Münster 1832) A, suture (reversed) at D=98, X3.33: BSP AS VII 537, lectotype; B, whorl section at D=100, X1.33: SM H10394, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>uhligi</u> (Frech 1902) C, suture at D ca 45, WW=12.2, WH=15.3, X3.33: MfN c550 lectotype, Dzikowiec, Poland.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>insignis</u> (Phillips 1841) D, suture at D=45, WH=13, X3.66: GSM 7083, holotype, Petherwin Beds, Cornwall.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>crassa</u> (Wedekind 1914) E, suture (reversed) at D=52, and growth-line/tubercle at D=37, WW ca 10, WH=12.8, X3.33: RE 551.734.5 A255, lectotype, Torley Collection, Hövel, Sauerland.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Gen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>frechi</u> Lange 1929 F, suture at D=59.2, WW=11.2, WH=15.1, X5: MfN, Dzikowiec, Poland.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Gen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>wocklumensis</u> Lange 1929 G, suture (reversed) at D ca 30, X6.66: MfN, holotype, Burg, Wocklum, Sauerland.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Gen. Nov. <u>B</u>) aff. <u>wocklumensis</u> Lange 1929 H, suture (reversed) at D=88, WW=21, WH=36, X1.66, and I, cross-section at D=135, X0.66: SM H10373, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.



·





Textfig. 5.12 Platyclymeniidae

Sulcoclymenia sulcata (Schindewolf 1923a)

A, suture and growth-line at D=15, WW=2.5, WH=3.5, x8: Mbg 3129a, lectotype; B, suture at D=10, x20: Mbg 3129b; both Schindewolf Collection, Bed 10, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. C, suture at WH=5.2 and growth-line at WH=7.4, both reversed, x6.66: KW 2065, Wäschholz, Oberfranken.

<u>Ornatoclymenia</u> <u>ornata</u> (Münster 1834)

D, growth-line at D ca 19, WW=5.5, WH=17.5, x10; E, whor1 section at D=22.1, U=8.6, WW=6.2, WH=8.2, x3.66: SM H10382, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. F, suture at D=21, and growth-line at D=24, x6.66: BSP AS VII 550, lectotype, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

<u>Platyclymenia (Pleuroclymenia) crassissima</u> Schindewolf 1955

G, rib and constrictions at WW=12.2, WH=8.3, suture(reversed) at WW=11.8, WH=8, x3.33; H, cross-section at D=30, x2.5: Mbg 3154, holotype, Kirschofen, Weilburg.

? Stenoclymenia valida (Phillips 1841)

I, suture at WW=7, WH=13.2, x3.66: GSM 7177, lectotype, Petherwin Beds, Petherwin, Cornwall. Figured by Phillips 1841 (pl. LIV, figs. 245a-b).

Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) guenstedti (Wedekind 1914)

J, growth-line at D=28.3, WW=8.2, WH=8.9, x7.66: RE 551 734.5 A150/1, Torley Collection, Beil, Sauerland.

<u>Platyclymenia</u> <u>richteri</u> Wedekind 1914

K, growth-line at D=29.2 and L, rib course at WW=7.2, WH=5.8, x7.66: Mbg 3126, Langenaubach.

<u>Platyclymenia</u> <u>annulata</u> (Münster 1832)

M, growth-line and N, whorl section at D=22, x6.66: Mbg 3125, Schindewolf Collection, Bed 14, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken.



Data is taken from type specimens, where available.

Name

Data Code

1	?	<u>Pleur</u> .	<u>americana</u>	AME
2		<u>Plat</u> .	<u>arieticosta</u>	ARI
3		<u>Plat</u> .	<u>beuelensis</u>	BEU
4		<u>Plat</u> .	<u>bicostata</u>	BIC
5		<u>Pleur</u> .	<u>brevicosta</u>	BRE
6		<u>Pleur</u> .	<u>callimorpha</u>	CAL
7		<u>Plat</u> .	<u>clarkei</u>	CLA
8 9 10 11 12 13 14		<u>Pleur</u> . <u>Plat</u> . <u>Plat</u> . <u>Plat</u> . <u>Plat</u> . <u>Plat</u> . <u>Plat</u> .	<u>costata</u> <u>crassa</u> <u>crassissima</u> <u>cycloptera</u> <u>denckmanni</u> <u>densicosta</u> <u>valida sensu</u> Drevermann 1901	COS CRA CMA CYC DEK DEN DRE
15 16 17 18 20 22 23 42 56 78 90 12 33 45 67 89 0 12 33 45 67 89 0	? ? ?	Plat. Plat.	eurylobica <u>grossi</u> <u>intracostata</u> <u>mirabilis</u> <u>nodosa</u> <u>pattisoni</u> <u>polypleura</u> <u>pompeckii</u> <u>prorsostriata</u> <u>pseudoflexuosa</u> <u>quenstedti</u> <u>quirinqi</u> <u>raricosta</u> <u>recticosta</u> <u>richteri</u> <u>rotundata</u> <u>ruedemanni</u> <u>sandbergeri</u> <u>schindewolfi</u> <u>schleizi</u> <u>semiornata</u> <u>semperornata</u> <u>senilis</u> <u>stenomphala</u> <u>subnautilina</u> <u>valida</u>	EUR GRO INT MIR NOD PAT POL POM PRO PSE QUE QUI RAR REC RUE SAN SCH SCZ SEI SEP SEN STE SUB VAL
41		<u>Plat</u> .	<u>walcotti</u>	WAL
42		<u>Plat</u> .	<u>guenstedti</u> crassa	QCR
43		<u>Plat</u> .	guenstedti guenstedti	QQU

Mbg **3152** Mbg **3153**

Textfig. 5.13 Platyclymenia 8 D 2.0 •1 1.5 •36 WW/WH ts %_10 **o** 31 1.0 •12 •20 **•**17 ts 34. 05 • 23 20 50 60 70 90100 30 40 10 D 8 RIBS 2.0 • 1.5 WW/WH 10 o37 1.0 P12 28

0^{.5} 0 20

40 D

50

80

100

•**1**9



Plat. (Pleuroclymenia) & Plat. (Trigonoclymenia)



Subfam. nov. « (Piriclymeniinae)



Textfig. 5.17 Cyrtoclymenia and Protactoclymenia

Protactoclymenia enkebergensis (Wedekind 1908)

A, growth-line (reversed) at D=37, WW ca 11.5, WH=15.6,

x3.33: KW 2062, Enkeberg, Sauerland.

Platyclymenia sp.

B, growth-line (reversed) at D=39, WH=14.2, x3.33: KW 2071, Beil, Sauerland.

Cyrtoclymenia involuta (Wedekind 1908)

C, growth-line at D=35, WW=15.7, WH=17.3, x3.33: KW 2096,

Beringhausen, Sauerland. D, growth-line at D=15, x6.66:

KW 2059, Beil, Sauerland. E, cross-section at D=27

(maximum), x3.33: HU P82.4, Beringhausen, Sauerland.

Cyrtoclymenia angustiseptata (Münster 1832)

F, suture at D=36, x3.33: BSP AS VII 585, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Cyrtoclymenia plicata (Münster 1839)

G, suture (reversed) at D ca 62, x1.66: BSP AS VII 584, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.





Textfig. 5.18a

Cyrtoclymenia







Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> stuckenbergi (Tokarenko 1903)

A, suture at D ca 15, WW=6, x6.66: GT 2016, Beil, Sauer-land.
B, rib at D=21, x6.66: KW 2058, Beil, Sauerland.
C, cross-section at D=20, x3.33; GT 2016, Beil.

Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> costata (Münster 1842)

D, rib at WH=7.3, x6.66, suture at WH=5.2, x6.66: BSP AS VII 606, Münster Collection, Geiser, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1842 (pl. XI, figs. 16a-b).

Gen. Nov. D subflexuosa (Münster 1840)

E, growth-line (reversed) D=18, WW=3.9, WH=8.5, x6.66; F, cross-section at D=15.9, x3.66: BSP AS VII 526, Münster Collection, Geiser, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1840 (p1. XV, fig. 10).

Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> sp.

G, growth-line and suture (both reversed) at D=60, x3.33: KW 2076, Beil, Sauerland.

Protornoceras sp.

H, growth-line at D=30.5, WW=8.2, WH=13.7 and suture (reversed) at WW=5.9, WH=7.7, x3.33: RE 551 734.5 A242/1, Torley Collections Enkeberg, Sauerland.

Carinoclymenia beuelensis (Lange 1929)

J, suture (reversed) at WW ca 4, WH=11, x8: MfN 5536, Müller Collection, Bed 1, Alte Heerstrasse, Schleiz. Figured by Müller 1956, fig. 8. K, growth-line (reversed) at D ca 43, WW=6.7, WH=24.8, x3.33: KW 2070, Beil, Sauerland. L, cross-section at D=15, x3.33: GT 2023, Beil, Sauerland.

Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> sp. <u>a</u>

M, growth-line at WW=9, WH=18, and suture (reversed) at WW=10.9, WH=23, x3.33; N, cross-section at D=62, x1.5: Mbg, Schindewolf Collection, La Serre, Cabrieres. Figured by Schindewolf 1923b, fig. 4f.

Gen. Nov. E sp. b

O, suture (reversed) at WH=5.5, x10: BSP AS VII 528, Münster Collection, Geuser, Oberfranken.

Gen. Nov. F falcifera

P, growth-line (reversed) at D-10, x4: BSP AS VII 533, Münster Collection, Geuser, Oberfranken.



......

. . . .





Textfig. 5.22 Clymenia

Clymenia laevigata (Münster 1839)

A, cross-section at D=43, x2: SM H10365; B, suture at D=30, x6.66; C, cross-section at D=81, x0.66: SM H10366, lectotype, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. <u>Clymenia</u> aff. <u>spiratissima</u> (Schindewolf 1923a)

D, cross-section at D=49, x3.66: SM H10364, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.



₹

Textfig. 5.23a

Clymenia



Textfig. 5.23b

Clymenia





Textfig. 5.24 Kosmoclymenia and Protoxyclymenia

Kosmoclymenia undulata pattisoni Selwood 1960

A, suture and B, growth-line at D=48, WH=17, x3.33: GSM 87043, Stourscombe Beds, Cornwall. Holotype of Kosmo. pattisoni Selwood (1960, pl. 27, fig. 1).

Kosmoclymenia sublaevis (Münster 1832)

C - E, sutures (reversed) at WW=6.1, WH=5.9, x13.33, WW=

3.3, WH=2.4, x13.33 and D=3.8, WW=1.4, WH=0.9, x16.66; 78D

1125.1, Korn Collection, Bilsteinhöhle, Warstein.

Kosmoclymenia colubrina (Lange 1929)

F,G, growth-lines at WH=10.7 and WH=7.8, both x6.66; KW 2081, Dasberg, Sauerland.

Protoxyclymenia dunkeri (Münster 1839)

H, growth-line at WW=5.1, WH=6.9, x6.66; I, suture (reversed)
at WW=4.6, WH=6.1, x6.66; J, cross-section at D=30, x3.33:
81D 6403.2, Korn Collection, Wäschholz, Oberfranken; K,
penultimate suture (reversed) at WH=5.5, x6.66, BSP AS VII
559, lectotype, Gattendorf, Oberfranken.

Protoxyclymenia serpentina (Münster 1832)

L, growth-line and M, suture (both reversed) at WW ca 10, WH=14, x6.66, KW 2077, Beil, Sauerland.



Textfig. 5.25a

Protoxyclymenia


Protoxyclymenia



. •

Ś

Textfig. 5.26 Kosmoclymenia and Protoxyclymenia

Protoxyclymenia dunkeri (Münster 1839)

A, suture at WH=5.5, x7.5: lectotype, BSP AS VII 559, Münster Collection, Gattendorf, Oberfranken.

Kosmoclymenia

B, <u>Kosmo</u>. sp. suture at WW=5.5, WH=8.9, x7.5: specimen from the lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe, Bilstein, Warstein. Dotted area represents the width of the septum where it is attached to the inner side of the shell wall.

Kosmoclymenia (Group I)

C, <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>subundulata</u> (Wedekind 1914), growth-line at WW=8, WH=12, x7.5: MfN c597, Schindewolf Collection, Ense, Wildungen, Kellerwald.

Kosmoclymenia (Group II)

D, <u>Kosmo</u>. sp. <u>g</u>, growth-line at D=50, WW=12, WH=14, x10: MfN c554, Dzikowiec, Poland. E, <u>Kosmo</u>. aff. <u>sublaevis</u> (Münster) growth-line at D=45, WW=13, WH=15.5: NWGL, Ostprovinzial Steinbruch, Drewer. F, <u>Kosmo</u>. sp. <u>d</u>, growthline at D=20.5, WW=4.8, WH=5.5, x7.5: UEN 80, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. G, <u>Kosmo</u>. sp. <u>e</u>, growth-line at D=23.2, WW=4.7, WH=5.9, x7.5: SM H10399, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Kosmoclymenia (Group III)

H, <u>Kosmo</u>. aff. sp. <u>h</u>, growth-line at WW=4.1, WH=5.5, x7.5: SM H10400, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Kosmoclymenia (Group IV)

I, <u>Kosmo</u>. sp. <u>i</u>, growth-line (reversed) at D=26, WW=7.1, WH=8.9, x10: MfN c595, Beyrich Collection, Dzikowiec, Poland.



Ż

Textfig. 5.27 Kosmoclymenia

Kosmoclymenia undulata (Münster 1832)

A, cross-section at D=76, x3.33: SMF, proposed neotype, Bed 23, Reigern Quarry, Sauerland. D, cross-section at D ca 53, x3.33: HU P82.11, ?Bed 33 Reigern Quarry, Sauerland. E, growth-line at WH=15, x3: HU P82.7, ?Bed 33, Reigern Quarry, Sauerland.

Kosmoclymenia subundulata (Wedekind 1914)

B, growth-line at WW=8, WH ca 7, x6.66. C, cross-section at D=42.5, x4: SM H10390, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. H,I, growth-lines at D=38, WW ca 11 and WH= 13.4, and WW ca 9, WH=11.2, x6.66: MfN c597, Schindewolf Collection, Ense, Wildungen, Kellerwald. Figured by Schindewolf (1957, fig. 41.8).

Kosmoclymenia inaequistriata (Munster 1832)

F, growth-line at WW=9.5, WH=10.6, x7.66, G, cross-section at D=42, WW ca 12, WH=15.9 (maximum) x2, and inset body chamber cross-section at WW=13, WH=16.5, x2: SM H10376, proposed neotype, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.



Textfig. 5.28a

Kosmoclymenia



Kosmoclymenia

Textfig. 5.28b



2

Textfig. 5.29 Kosmoclymenia and Protoxyclymenia

Kosmoclymenia aff. bisulcata (Münster 1840)

A,C, growth-lines at WW=10.5 and one whorl prior to this, x8; B, whorl cross-section at WW=11, WH=15.5 (maximum), x3.5: HU P82.12, Reigern Quarry, Sauerland.

Protoxyclymenia serpentina (Münster 1832)

D, growth-line at D=70, x2.5, E, cross-section at D=92,

x1.5: BSP AS VII 538, holotype, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Kosmoclymenia similis (Münster 1839)

F, growth-line at D=23.9, x7.5: Mbg 3133, Bed 20, Schindewolf Collection, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken.

<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> sp. <u>i</u>

G, growth-line (reversed) at D=26, WW=7.1, WH=8.9, x10.75: MfN c595, Beyrich Collection, Dzikowiec, Poland.

Kosmoclymenia subundulata (Wedekind 1914)

H, growth-line (reversed) at WW=7.2, WH=9.5, x8, I, whorl section at R=15.13, and WW=7.3, WH=9.4 (maximum), x8: SM H10388, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Kosmoclymenia aff. sp. h

J, cross-section at R=13, x8, K, growth-line at D=21, WW= 4.1, WH=5.5, x16: SM H10400, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.



Textfig. 5.30 <u>Genuclymenia</u>

?Genuclymenia sp.

A, growth-line at WW=12.2, x3.33: KW 2067, Wäschholz, Oberfranken.

Genuclymenia frechi (Wedekind 1908)

B, growth-line/rib (reversed), D ca 23, WW=7.9, WH=10.1, x6.66; C, suture, (reversed) at WH=6.2, x6.66: KW 2095, Beringhausen, Sauerland.

Genuclymenia sp.

D, growth-line (reversed), at WH=11.8, x6.66; E, suture (reversed) at WH=6.5, x6.66: KW 2066, Wäschholz, Oberfranken. <u>Genuclymenia</u> <u>guembeli</u> (Wedekind 1908)

F, growth-line (reversed) at WW=6.5, WH=5.5; G, suture (reversed) at WW=7.4, WH=7.6; H, whorl section at WH=11 all x6.66; KW 2061, Wettmarsen, Sauerland.

<u>Genuclymenia</u> aff. <u>karpinskii</u> (Perna 1914)

I, suture (reversed), in two parts, external at WW=5.6, WH=7.5, xl0, internal at WW=2.9, WH=3.0, xl5; J, growthline at WW=6.7, WH=8.1, x6.66: 80D 4511.1, Korn Collection, Enkeberg, Sauerland.



¢

Cymaclymenia striata (Münster 1832)

A, growth-line at D=34, WW=9, WH=13.7, x3.66; B, penultimate suture at D=28, WW=7, WH=10, x7.66; C, cross-section at D= 35.8, x2.5: SM H10414, proposed neotype, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Cymaclymenia camerata (Schindewolf 1923a)

D, cross-section at D=39.6, x2.5; E, growth-line at WH=10, x6.66; SM H10415, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Ober-franken.

Cymaclymenia sp.

F, suture (reversed) at WW=8, WH=11.5, x4; HU P82.19, House Collection, North Africa; G,H, sutures at WW=1.44, WH=1.88, x20, and WW=0.77, WH=0.76, x26.66; I, eighth suture (reversed) at WW=0.43, WH=0.38, x50: HU P82.18, North Africa.

<u>Cymaclymenia</u> sp.

J, suture at WH=11.5, x5; K, whorl section at WH=11.1, x5: SM H10381, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Cymaclymenia involvens (Lange 1929)

L, suture at R=13.5, WH=12, x3.33: MfN, paratype, Burg Wocklum, Sauerland. Figured by Lange 1929 (textfig. 24). <u>Cymaclymenia</u> evoluta (Schmidt 1924)

M, suture at D=37, x3.3: RE 551 734.5 A373, Paul Collection, labelled "Eβ Bed 21 oberer Abschnitt, Ratingen, Cromford".
<u>Cymaclymenia</u> cf. evoluta (Schmidt 1924)

N, suture and O, growth-line at D=80, WH=34, x3.33: RE 551 734.5 A371, Paul Collection, labelled "Scharpenhaus, north of Heiligenhaus".



Textfig. 5.32a

Cymaclymenia striata grp.





г

Textfig. 5.33 Cymaclymenia

Cymaclymenia semistriata (Münster 1832)

A, growth-line, B, constriction and C, suture at D=18.1, U=7.1, WW=5.5; D, whorl section at WW=5.7, WH=7.4, all x3.66: SM H10416, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

<u>Cymaclymenia</u> sp. <u>b</u>

E, growth-line (reversed) at WW=9.5, WH=15; F, suture and G, constriction (both reversed) at D=32.8, WW=11, WH=17, all x3.66; H, (unlettered on the figure), cross-section at D=43, x2.5: SM H10406, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Cymaclymenia aff. <u>barbarae</u> (Loewinson-Lessing 1892)

I, growth-line at WH=14.8, and J, suture, at WH ca 13.5, x3.33: KW 2084, Reigern Quarry, Sauerland.

Cymaclymenia serpentina (Schmidt 1924)

K, growth-line at WW=8.9, WH=11.5, x5.33: UEN, ?Münster Collection, ?Schübelhammer.

<u>Cymaclymenia</u> sp. <u>a</u>

L, cross-section at D=8.95, x5.8; M, growth-line at D=14.2, WH=7.5, x4: HU P82.5, Effenberg, Sauerland. N, whorl section at D=24, x3.33: SM H7534, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.



ζ

Cymaclymenia dorsocostata grp.



D



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Hexaclymenia</u> <u>hexagona</u> (Wedekind 1908)

Figs. 1,2, x3: Mbg; Kaske Collection, Enkeberg, Sauerland. Figs. 3,4, x3: Mbg; Kaske Collection, Enkeberg, Sauerland. <u>Progonioclymenia</u> (<u>Progonioclymenia</u>) <u>aegoceras</u> (Frech 1902)

Figs. 5,6, x2: Mbg; Kayser Collection, Grosser Pal, Carnic Alps.

Figs. 7,8, x2; 14, x5; 15, x10: Mbg 3130; Schwalm Collection, Braunau near Wildungen, Kellerwald. Figured by Schindewolf 1923a, pl. XVII, fig. 12, as <u>Platyclymenia</u> (?) <u>acuticosta</u> Braun.

<u>Endosiphonites</u> (<u>Costaclymenia</u>) <u>binodosa</u> (Münster 1832) Figs. 9, x2; 10: MfN c429.7; Brügge Collection, Bed 26, Alte Heerstrasse, Schleiz. Figured by Brügge 1973, pl. 1, fig. 5, as <u>Costaclymenia binodosa</u>.

Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) kiliani (Wedekind 1914)

Fig. 11; MfN c429.6; Brügge Collection, Bed 16, Alte Heerstrasse, Schleiz. Figured by Brügge 1973, pl. 2, fig. 1, as <u>Costaclymenia binodosa</u>.

Figs. 12,13: BM 81851; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Plate 5.1 Δ

All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) binodosa (Münster 1832)

Fig. 1: proposed neotype MfN; Krantz Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) sp. indet.

Fig. 2: BSP AS VII 588; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XIX, figs. 1a,b. The retrochoanitic septal necks can be seen extending backwards three-quarters of the distance between adjacent, slightly concave septa.

Endosiphonites (Costaclymenia) kiliani (Wedekind 1914)

Figs. 3,6,7: BSP AS VII 590; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1839, pl. XII, figs. 3a-c, as <u>Clymenia binodosa</u>.

Figs. 4,5: SM H10385; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 8,9: BSP AS VII 587; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XIX, figs. 1a-b, as <u>Clymenia binodosa</u> (photographs by M. R. House).

Endosiphonites (Endosiphonites) enodis (Schindewolf 1937a) Figs. 10,11: holotype MfN; Schwalm Collection, Braunau

near Wildungen, Kellerwald. Figured by Schmidt 1924, pl.

6, figs. 31a, as <u>Costaclymenia</u> <u>Wysoqorskii</u> Frech. <u>Endosiphonites (Endosiphonites) muensteri</u> Ansted 1838

Figs. 12,13: holotype SM H4010; Ansted Collection, Petherwin Beds, South Petherwin, Launceston, Cornwall. Figured by Ansted 1838, pl. VIII, figs. 1, 4?

All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) <u>speciosa</u> (Münster 1831)
Figs. 1, x¹/₂, 9, x1¹/₂. BSP AS VII 595; Münster Collection,
Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1839, pl.
XVIII, fig. 6, as <u>G</u>. <u>speciosus</u>. A series of funnel-like
structures can be seen on both figures. These are the
contiguous rectrochoanitic septal necks and they seem to form
a tube almost completely enclosing the siphuncle.
Figs. 3, 4, x¹/₄: BSP AS VII 538; Münster Collection,
Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XIX,
figs. 6a-c, but with inner whorls drawn in.
Figs. 7, 8, x0.66: proposed lectotype, BSP; Münster Collection
figured by Münster 1832, pl. VI, figs. la-c.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) <u>subcarinata</u> (Münster 1839) Fig. 2: SM H10409a; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Inner whorls show a spinose nodation on the ventro-lateral shoulder.

Figs. 5, 6, x³; proposed lactotype, BSP AS VII 596; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1839, pl. XVIII, figs. la-c.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Gonioclymenia (Gonioclymenia) speciosa (Münster 1831)

Figs. 1, 8: BSP AS VII 538; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Fig. 1 is a view of the reverse of the specimen in Pl. 5.3, Fig. 3, showing the poor nature of the preservation of the sutures, effected by weathering, filing and polishing. Fig. 8 shows the whorl cross-section, which is more compressed than in Pl. 5.3, Fig. 4.

Gonioclymenia (Gonioclymenia) spp. indet.

Fig. 2, x6: SM H10387; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. The ornament of the early whorls can be seen, consisting of large spines projecting from the ventro-lateral shoulder.

Fig. 3, x2: BSP AS VII 519; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XIX, fig. 8, as <u>Clymenia cottai</u> Münster.

- <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) aff. <u>subcarinata</u> (Münster 1839) Fig. 4, x2: BM 81839b; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. This specimen shows the shallow nature of the ventro-lateral lobe.
- <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) <u>subcarinata</u> (Münster 1839) Figs. 5, 6, 7; RE 551.734.5 A256; Torley Collection, Hövel, Sauerland. Figured by Wedekind 1914, pl. VI, fig. 6; this specimen is proposed as the lectotype of <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) <u>subcarinata praematura</u> Wedekind 1914.





.

All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

- <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>pessoides</u> (von Buch 1838) Figs. 1-3, xl¹/₂: lectotype, MfN, Dzikowiec, Dolny Śląsk. Figured by von Buch 1838, taf. - Fig. I, 1-3 and Lange 1929, pl. 2, fig. 19.
- Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) crassa (Wedekind 1914)

Figs. 4-6; 7, xl¹₂; 12, x5: proposed lectotype, RE 551. 734.5 A255; Hövel, Sauerland. Figure 7 shows the deep narrow pointed dorsal lobe and in Figure 12 can be seen the parabolic nodes on the ventrad part of the flank, diagnostic of the subgenus <u>Kalloclymenia</u>. Each nodes marks the end of a distinct period of continuous growth, indicated by the different alignment of growth-lines on either side of the former apertures. This specimen was figured by Wedekind (1914, pl. VI, fig. 3)

<u>Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) uhligi</u> (Frech 1902)

- Figs. 9-11: holotype MfN c550; Dzikowiec, Dolny Śląsk. figured by Frech 1902, pl. II(I), fig. 1. The holotype is poorly preserved and thus difficult to compare with other species of <u>Kalloclymenia</u>.
- <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>subarmata</u> (Münster 1832)
 Figs. 8, x4; 13, 14 x³/₄: lectotype, BSP AS VII 537; Münster
 Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Parabolic nodes
 can be seen on the inner whorls. Figure 8 was figured by
 Münster 1832, pl. VI, figs. 2a-c. (Photographs for Figs.
 13, 14 by M. R. House).



2



















All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) ?subarmata (Münster 1832)

Figs. 1, 2: BSP AS VII 593; Münster Collection, Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster, 1842, pl. XII, fig. 4. (Photographs by M. R. House).

Fig. 3: SM H4015; Barnstaple. Holotype of <u>Goniatites</u> <u>vinctus</u> Sowerby 1840, figured by Sowerby in Sedgwick and Murchison 1840, pl. LIV, fig. 18.

Fig. 4, $x1\frac{1}{2}$: SM H10314; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Labelled as <u>Goniatites angustus</u> Münster, and proposed as the lectotype.

Figs. 5, 6, $x1\frac{1}{2}$: SM H10407; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Labelled as <u>G</u>. <u>spurius</u> Münster, and proposed as the lectotype.

Gonioclymenia (Kalloclymenia) insignis (Phillips 1841)

Figs. 7, x3; 8, 10; 9, x2: holotype, GSM 7083; Petherwin Beds, Landlake limestone quarry, Launceston, Cornwall. Figured by Phillips 1841, pl. XLIX, fig. 228.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>GonioClymenia</u> (<u>Kalloclymenia</u>) <u>subarmata</u> (Münster 1832)

Figs. 1, 2: lectotype, BSP AS VII 537; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Considered to be the specimen on which Münster 1832, pl. VI, figs. 2a-c is based. Figs. 4, 5: SM H10394; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Specimen on which the cross-section Textfig. 5.9B is based.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) <u>subcarinata</u> (Münster 1839) Fig. 3: holotype, BSP AS VII 596; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. View of weathered side showing the suture with shallow adventive lobe (at three o'clock), (photograph by M. R. House).



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>wocklumensis</u> (Lange 1929)
Figs. 1, 5, 6, x2: holotype MfN; Lange Collection, Burg,
Balve, Sauerland. Figured by Lange 1929, pl. II, figs. 18,a.
<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>frechi</u> (Lange 1929)

Figs. 3, 4: holotype, MfN; Otto Collection, Dzikowiec, Dolny Slaşk. Note the small spines on the ventro-lateral shoulders of the inner whorls. Figured by Frech 1902, pl. II(I), figs. 14a,b.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>biimpressa</u> (von Buch 1838)
Figs. 7-9: holotype, MfN; von Buch Collection, Dzikowiec,
Dolny Slask. Figured by von Buch 1838, taf. - fig. II, 1-3.
<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) aff. <u>wocklumensis</u> (Lange 1929)
Fig. 10: SM H10373; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer,
Oberfranken. Specimen on which Textfigs.5.9H,I are based.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Sphenoclymenia intermedia (Münster 1832)

Figs. 1, 2: SM H10397; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. The apertural direction is to the right-hand side of Fig. 2.

Figs. 3-5, x4: lectotype, BSP AS VII 586, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Specimen figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XXI, figs. 3a-c. (Photographs by M. R. House).

Figs. 6, 7, x2; 8: MfN; Schindewolf Collection, Oberrödinghausen railway-cutting, Balve, Sauerland. Bed 11 of Schindewolf 1937a.

Gonioclymenia (Subgen. Nov. A) brevispina (Lange 1929)

Figs. 9, 10, x2: proposed lectotype, MfN, Dzikowiec, Dolny Slask. Figured by Frech 1902, pl. II(I), fig. 3a, in combination with another specimen. Figure 10 is the same specimen as that illustrated in Figure 9, which is wetted to show the suture.




All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Gonioclymenia</u> (?<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) sp.

Figs. 1, 2: BSP AS VII 591; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Labelled as <u>Cl. semicostata</u>. This specimen differs from known species of <u>Gonioclymenia</u> (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) by its denser ribbing, which continues over the only slightly grooved venter. It greatly resembles the specimen figured by Münster 1839, pl. XVI, figs. 2a-d as <u>Clymenia</u> <u>semicostata</u>, except that it has a ventral lobe.

Sellaclymenia sp.

Figs. 3; 4, x2: BSP AS VII 592; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XIX, figs. 3a-c, as Münster's original of <u>Cl. semicostata</u>, which it clearly is not.

<u>Sellaclymenia plana</u> (Münster 1832)

Fig. 5: (GT 2041); Trost Collection, Dasberg, Balve, Sauerland.

Figs. 6, x1¹/₂; 7, x7; 10-12: lectotype, Fig. 10, MfN c601; Figs. 6, 7, 11, 12, BSP AS VII 594; Figure 6 shows the shape of the septa in sagittal section, and the siphuncle and septal necks, Figure 7 the early suture, and Figure 10 is the external mould of the specimen in Figure 12.

Figs. 8, 9: BSP AS VII 589; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1839, pl. XVI, figs. 2a-c. Proposed as the lectotype of <u>Cl. angulosa</u> Münster 1839.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Biloclymenia sp.

Fig. 1: MfN; Schindewolf Collection, Beil, Balve. Figs. 3, 4, xl¹/₂: MfN; Schindewolf Collection, Enkeberg (Marsberg). Figured by Schindewolf 1937a, pl. I, fig. 10. Figs. 7-9; 10, x4: KW 2091; Wunderlich Collection, Langenholthausen, Balve. Figure 10 shows the wrinkle-layer on the venter of the preceding whorl, sealing over the pedicle valve of a disciniscid brachiopod and the tests of cemented Foraminifera.

<u>Biloclymenia</u> <u>bilobata</u> (Münster 1839)

Figs. 2, x4; 5, 6, x5: BSP AS VII 524; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) <u>clarkei</u> Schindewolf 1923a

Figs. 1,2, x2: lectotype, Mbg 3128; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 14, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Figured by Schindewolf (1923a, pl. XVII, fig. 10).

<u>Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) annulata</u> (Münster 1832)

Figs. 3,4, x2: Mbg 3125; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 14, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Figured by Schindewolf (1923a, pl. XVII, fig. 7).

Fig. 5, x2: Mbg; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 14, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken.

Fig. 8, x2: Mbg; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 14, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken.

<u>Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) richteri</u> (Wedekind 1914)

Fig. 6: SMM; Brakensiek Collection, Beil, Balve. Figured by Wedekind 1914, pl. III, fig. 1a, (photograph by D. Korn). Figs. 7, x4; 9-11, x2; 12, x6: Mbg 3126; Langenaubach. Some of the ribs appear to intersect the growth-lines over the ventro-lateral shoulder, and therefore are transitional between <u>Platyclymenia</u> and <u>Trigonoclymenia-type</u> ribbing.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Platyclymenia</u>) <u>richteri</u> Wedekind 1914

Figs. 1,12,x4; 3,4,5,8, x2; HU P82.3; 1 shows the wrinklelayer concordant with growth-lines, and the string-like keel over the venter; 12 shows the periodic prominent ribs of the inner whorls, which cut across the intermediate growth-lines. * Fig. 2, x2: HU P82.6; Wäschholz, Oberfranken. Fig. 10: MfN; ?Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) quenstedti Wedekind 1914 Figs. 6,7, xl¹/₂: Mbg 3153; Bed 14, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken, ?figured by Schindewolf 1923a, textfig. 19a.

<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Platyclymenia</u>) <u>nodosa</u> (Gümbel 1863) Figs. 9,11: BSP AS VII 600; proposed lectotype. Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XVIII, 11a,b.

* See \$ 503 and Textifiq. 7.8 for the collecting locality of this specimen.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Platyclymenia</u>) <u>quenstedti</u> Wedekind 1914
Figs. 1,2, x2; 5, x10; 6,7, x3: RE 551 734.5 A150/1,
Beil, Balve.
Figs. 3,4, x2: MfN; Schindewolf Collection, Hauern,
Wildungen.
Notice the ventral band on the venter. This species may

be an antecedent of <u>Aktuboclymenia</u> and the Clymeniidae.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) subnautilina (Sandberger 1855)

Figs. 1,9,10, x1¹/₂: Wsb.

Figs. 2,3, x1¹/₂: Wsb.

Figs. 4,8, x1¹/₂: Sandberger Collection, Kirschhofen, Weilburg. Parts of the specimens in Figs. 8-10 make up Sandberger 1855, pl. 1, figs. la-f. Figs. 4,8 are proposed as the lectotype and syntype, respectively.

<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Platyclymenia</u>) ?<u>annulata</u> (Münster 1832) Fig. 5, x2: Mbg; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 14, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Labelled as <u>Plat. annulata</u> <u>densicosta</u>.

Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) sp. (Schindewolf 1923a)

Figs. 6,7, x2: BSP ii 122; Glass Collection, Kirch-Gattendorf. Figured by Schindewolf 1923a, pl. XVII, figs. 13a,b, as <u>Platyclymenia denckmanni</u>, and proposed here as the lectotype.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Platyclymenia</u>) sp.

Fig. 1, x2: Mbg; Schindewolf Collection, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Holotype of <u>Plat</u>. <u>walcotti</u> var. <u>raricosta</u> Schindewolf 1923a.

<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Pleuroclymenia</u>) <u>crassissima</u> Schindewolf 1955 Figs. 2,3,10, x2: holotype Mbg 3154; ?Schindewolf Collection, Kirschhofen, Weilburg.

<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Platyclymenia</u>) prorsostriata Schindewolf 1923a Fig. 4, x2: Mbg 3127; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 11, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Proposed as the lectotype. Platyclymenia pattisoni (M'Coy 1851)

Figs. 5,6, x2: holotype SM H990; lower Petherwin Beds, Landlake Quarry, Launceston. Figured by M'Coy 1851, pl. IIA, fig. 11.

?<u>Stenoclymenia</u> valida (Phillips 1841)

Figs. 7,8, x2: holotype, GSM 7176; lower Petherwin Beds, Landlake Quarry, Launceston. Figured by Phillips 1841, pl. LIV, fig. 245.

Platyclymenia (Platyclymenia) valida sensu auctt.

Fig. 9, x2: MfN; Müller Collection, Bed 1, Alte Heerstrasse, Schleiz. Figured by Müller 1956, pl. 2, fig. 20.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Platyclymenia (Trigonoclymenia) cf. barrandei</u> (Wedekind 1914)

Fig. 1, x2: MfN; Schindewolf Collection, Hauern, Wildungen.

<u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Trigonoclymenia</u>) <u>spinosa</u> (Münster 1842)

Figs. 2,3, x1¹₂: proposed lectotype, BSP AS VII 598; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1842, pl. XI, figs. 15a,b.

Figs. 4,7, x6: BSP AS VII 522; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 5,6, x4: BSP AS VII 521; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Fig. 8, x2: KW 2064; Wunderlich Collection, Schubelhammer, Oberfranken.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Clymenia cinqulata Gümbel 1863

Fig. 1, x1¹/₂: MfN (ex Mbg); Braunau. Figured by Schindewolf 1923a, pl. XVIII, fig. 5.

Clymenia spiratissima (Schindewolf 1923a)

Fig. 2, x2: MfN; ?Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 3, x3; 4, x11: UEN; ?Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Clymenia laevigata (Münster 1831)

Figs. 5,6: BSP; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. ?Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XVI, figs. 6a. Labelled as <u>C1</u>. <u>semiplicata</u>.

Figs. 7,8: proposed lectotype SM H10366; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 9,10: BSP AS VII 601; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XVI, figs. 7a-c, as <u>C1</u>. <u>laevigata</u> var. <u>elliptica</u>.

Figs. 11,12: BSP AS VII 603; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1832, pl. 1, figs. 1d-f.

Figs. 13,14, x0.75: BSP AS VII 605; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. (Photographs by M. R. House).



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Ornatoclymenia ornata (Münster 1834)

Fig. 1, x3: Mbg; Ziegelei Müller, Üllendahl, Elberfeld. Figs. 2,3, x2: BSP AS VII 551; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel, 1863, pl. XVIII, figs. 10a-c.

Figs. 5-7, x2: proposed lectotype, BSP AS VII 550; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 12,13, x2: MfN; ?Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Piriclymenia piriformis (Schmidt 1924)

Fig. 4, x3: MfN; Schindewolf Collection, south south east of Dahlsen (Neheim-Hüsten). Figured by Schindewolf 1937a, fig. 4.

Figs. 8,9, x2: lectotype, MfN; ?Lotz Collection, labelled Estinghausen/Hömberg.

<u>Sulcoclymenia</u> <u>sulcata</u> (Schindewolf 1923a)

Figs. 10,11, x3: proposed lectotype, Mbg 3129a: Schindewolf Collection, Bed 10, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Fig. 14,15, x3: HU P82.1; sample 388/3, Geuser, Oberfranken. Figs. 16,17, x4: Mbg 3129b; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 10, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken.

Sulcoclymenia aff. sulcata (Schindewolf 1923a)

Fig. 18, x3: HU P82.2; sample 403/6, Wäschholz, Oberfranken. Sulcoclymenia sulcata (Schindewolf 1923b)

Fig. 19, x2: KW 2065; Wunderlich Collection, Wäschholz, Oberfranken.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Clymenia</u> <u>spiratissima</u> (Schindewolf 1923a)

Figs. 1, x2: BM C83292; ?Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Clymenia laevigata (Münster 1831)

Fig. 2: BM C82328; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Kosmoclymenia (Group II) sublaevis (Münster 1832)

Fig. 3, x4, Fig. 4, $xl\frac{1}{2}$: BM 81830; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Fig. 3 shows the course of the growth-lines over the venter.

Ornatoclymenia ornata (Münster 1834)

Figs. 5-7, x2: SM H10382; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Clymenia laevigata (Münster 1831)

Figs. 8,9: SM H10368; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 12,13: SM H10365; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 14,15: BSP; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Clymenia aff. spiratissima (Schindewolf 1923a)

Figs. 10,11: SM H10364; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Protoxyclymenia cf. serpentina (Münster 1832)

Figs. 16,17: BM C34762; Lee Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Kosmoclymenia (GroupI) inaequistriata

Figs. 1, x2; 2, x4: HU P82.8; <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, Müssenberg, Sauerland. This specimen shows growth-lines which continue over the ventro-lateral shoulder to form a pair of raised flares running around the ventral margin. Also the aperture shows what is interpreted as adult modification, where there are plicate ribs and an elongation of the ventro-lateral growth-line salient.

Figs. 4, x2; 5, x3; 7, x4: HU P82.10; <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe, Hövel, Sauerland. This specimen has a series of contiguous low spines. The wrinkle-layer is better shown in P1. 5.23, Fig. 10.

Kosmoclymenia (Group I) undulata (Münster 1832)

Figs. 3; 8, x4: HU P82.9; <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe, Reigern Quarry, Sauerland. Short isolated spines project from the venter.

<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (Group I) <u>subundulata</u> (Wedekind 1914)
Fig. 6, x4: MfN; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. This specimen shows the "Externband" of German
authors particularly well, consisting of a series of semicircular ridges running across the venter, crossed by a series
of convergent lirae. This preservation is typical of
specimens whose ventral spines or flares have been removed
by preparation.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Kosmoclymenia (Group II) aff. sublaevis (Münster 1832)

Figs. 1, x2; 2,4; 3, x2: NWGL; Ostprovinzial Steinbruch, Drewer, Sauerland.

Kosmoclymenia (Group I) undulata (Münster 1832)

Fig. 5: HU P82.11; Reigern Quarry, Sauerland. The inner whorls are very faintly ribbed.

Figs. 6,7: SMF; proposed neotype, Bed 23, Reigern Quarry, Sauerland. This large example, sectioned and illustrated in Textfig. 5.27A, shows the development of a tabulate venter with remnants of spine bases (Fig. 6), and flattened flanks on the mature body-chamber.

Figs. 8,9: BM C83295; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Fig. 10: HU P82.7; Reigern Quarry, Sauerland. The faintly S-shaped growth-lines which characterise this group of <u>Kosmo-</u><u>clymenia</u> are clearly visible on this specimen, and Fig. 2.



P1ate 5.23

All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Kosmoclymenia ?linearis (Münster, 1832)

Figs. 1,2, xl¹₂: MfN; Schindewolf Collection, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Labelled by Schindewolf as <u>Oxy</u>. <u>linearis.</u>

Kosmoclymenia (Group I) inaequistriata (Münster 1832)

Figs. 3, $x2\frac{1}{2}$; 4, x3: SM H10377, Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. This specimen shows the normal mode of preservation of the venter of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>. The spines themselves have been broken off during preparation, leaving the characteristic half-circle bases with converging lirae between them (see also P1. 5.29, Fig. 4).

Kosmoclymenia cf. subundulata (Wedekind 1914)

Figs. 5-7, x2; 8,9, x6: SM H10389; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. This particularly well preserved specimen shows the effect of shell damage and the dorsal wrinkle-layer. Shell damage is visible on both sides of the shell (Figs. 6-9). Immediately following an oblique fracture of the apertural region shell secretion has resumed from a point just inside it so that the two shell layers overlap. The irregular margin of the fracture was rapidly infilled by shell growth (Fig. 8). The growth-lines are continuous over the ventral band, and a further six growth-lines were formed beyond the former aperture, before spine growth was resumed, where there are again a disproportionate number of flank to ventral growth-lines.

The dorsal wrinkle-layer consists of a series of subparallel sinuous ridges, running from side to side and confined to the overlapped area of the preceding whorl (Fig. 8). The thin string-like keel formed on the venter of clymeniids, can be clearly seen. This is also confined to the overlapped area, and it is overlain by the wrinkle-layer. Traces of the umbilical seams, delimiting the overlapped area are visible in Fig. 8.

Kosmoclymenia (Group I) inaequistriata (Münster 1832)

Fig. 10, x10: HU P82.10; Hövel, Sauerland, <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe. The pockled texture is interpreted as a mould of the original shell texture on the internal ventral surface of the body chamber. The arcuate ridges are coincident with spine bases (see also P1. 5.21, Figs. 1,2).



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (Group I) cf. <u>inaequistriata</u> (Münster 1832) Figs. 1,2: BSP AS VII 597; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XVII, figs. 4a,b.

Kosmoclymenia (Group III) cf. <u>bisulcata</u> (Münster 1840)

Figs. 3,4: Wsb; Petherwin Beds, ?Petherwin, Cornwall. Kosmoclymenia (Group I) sp. <u>a</u>

Figs. 5,6: BSP 1886 III 27; Dzikowiec, Poland. <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (Group I) <u>inaequistriata</u> (Münster 1832)

Figs. 7,8: MfN c541; Denckmann Collection, Dasberg. Figured as <u>Oxyclymenia undulata</u> var. <u>elegantula</u> by Schmidt 1924, pl. 7, figs. 7,a.

Figs. 9-11: proposed lectotype SM H10376; Münster Collection,

Kosmoclymenia (Group I) subundulata (Wedekind 1914)

Figs. 12,13: Mbg/MfN; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 18, upper <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Figured by Schindewolf 1923a, pl. XVIII, fig. 8.

Figs. 14,15: MfN c597; Schindewolf Collection, Ense, Wildungen. Figured by Schindewolf 1957, textfig. 41.8. Fig. 16: proposed lectotype, GPI; Wedekind Collection, Dasberg, Sauerland.

Figs. 17,18, x1½: MfN/Mbg; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 18, upper <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Figured by Schindewolf 1923a, pl. XVIII, fig. 9.

Kosmoclymenia (Group I) <u>linearis</u> (Münster 1832)

Figs. 19,10, x1¹/₂: MfN/ex Mbg; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 20, lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Figured by Schindewolf 1923a, pl. XVIII, fig. 7.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Kosmoclymenia (Group II) sp. f

Figs. 1,2: MfN; von Buch Collection, Dzikowiec, Poland. The growth-lines over the flanks are barely biconvex, and the ratio of growth-lines on the flank and venter is 1:1. Figs. 10,11: MfN c544; Dzikowiec, Poland.

Kosmoclymenia (Group II) carinata (Ansted 1838)

Figs. 3,4: holotype SM H4011; Ansted Collection, ?Petherwin Beds, Launceston, Cornwall. Figured by Ansted 1838, pl. VIII, figs. 2.

<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (Group II) <u>sublaevis</u> (Münster 1832)
Figs. 5,6: proposed holotype BSP AS VII 540; Münster
Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (Group II) aff. sp. <u>e</u>

Figs. 7-9, x2: SM H10399; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Although this specimen is small it has a distinctive whorl cross-section and widely spaced faint rursiradiate growth-lines.

Kosmoclymenia (? Group II) coronata (Schmidt 1924)
Figs. 12, x3; 13, x2: proposed lectotype, MfN; Denckmann
Collection, Dasberg, Sauerland.

Kosmoclymenia (Group II) wocklumeri (Wedekind 1914) Figs. 14; 17, x4: BM 81854; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. The growth-lines (Fig. 17) are so rursiradiate that they are no longer biconvex, consisting simply of a convex salient over the flank, and a ventral sinus.

<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (Group II) sp. <u>d</u>

Figs. 15, x2; 16, x3: Univ. Erlangen-Nürnberg 80; Münster Collection, Elbersreuth, Oberfranken. The uninterrupted course of the growth-lines over the venter, characteristic of this group, can be seen in Fig. 16.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Kosmoclymenia (Group III) colubrina (Lange 1929)

Fig. 1, x2: MfN; ? Wildungen, Kellerwald.

Kosmoclymenia (Group III) <u>colubrina</u> (Lange 1929)

Figs. 2,3,4: holotype MfN; <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, Dasberg, Sauerland. Figured by Lange 1929, pl. 3, fig. 39.

Figs. 4,5,x2: MfN; Zwester Weg, Ense, Wildungen, Kellerwald. Kosmoclymenia (Group III) aff. sp. <u>h</u>

Fig. 6, x2: SM H10400; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Kosmoclymenia (Group III) sp. h

Figs. 7,8, x2: MfN; Otto Collection, Dzikowiec, Poland. Kosmoclymenia (Group III) bisulcata (Münster 1840)

Fig. 9, $x1\frac{1}{2}$; Figs. 12,13: proposed lectotype, BSP AS VII 543; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, (photographs for

Figs. 12,13 kindly provided by M. R. House).

Protoxyclymenia serpentina (Münster 1832)

Figs. 10,11: holotype, BSP AS VII 535; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Kosmoclymenia aff. bisulcata (Münster 1840)

Figs. 14,16; 15, x1¹/₂: HU P82.12; collected loose, Reigern Quarry, Sauerland.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Kosmoclymenia (Group IV) aff. similis (Münster 1839)

Figs. 1,2, x2: Mbg; Drevermann Collection, Langenaubach.

Figs. 3,4,5, x2: Mbg; Kreigen Collection, Langenaubach.

Kosmoclymenia (Group IV) aff. similis (Münster 1839)

Fig. 6, xl¹₂: MfN; Dzikowiec, Poland.

<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (Group IV) sp. <u>i</u>

Figs. 7-9, xl¹₂: MfN c595; Beyrich Collection, Dzikowiec, Poland.

<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (Group I) <u>subundulata</u> (Wedekind 1914) Figs. 10-12: MfN; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (Group IV) <u>semistriata</u> (Münster 1839)
Figs. 16,17, x2: proposed lectotype, BSP AS VII 532; Münster
Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel
1863, pl. XVII, fig. 6.

Kosmoclymenia (Group IV) similis (Münster 1839)

Figs. 13,14, x2: Mbg 3133; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 20, lower <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Fig. 15, x2: proposed lectotype, BSP AS VII 544; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. ? Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XVII, figs. 5a-d.

Kosmoclymenia (Group I) <u>subundulata</u> (Wedekind 1914) Figs. 18, xl¹2: SM H10388; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Kosmoclymenia (Group II) sp. g

Figs. 19, 20: MfN; Dzikowiec, Poland.


All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Protoxyclymenia dunkeri (Münster 1839)

Fig. 1, x1¹/₂: proposed lectotype, BSP AS VII 559; Münster Collection, Gattendorf, Oberfranken. ?Figured by Gümbel 1863, p1. XVI, figs. 3a-c.

Figs. 4,5, x1.66: 80D 6403.2 Korn Collection, Wäschholz, Oberfranken.

Kosmoclymenia (Group I) subundulata (Wedekind 1914)

Figs. 2, x5; 10,11, x1¹/₂: SM H10390; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 3, x8; 6,7, x2: HU P82.13; Hövel, Sauerland. <u>Genuclymenia</u> cf. <u>karpinskii</u> (Perna 1914)

Figs. 8,9,12,13, x2: MfN; Estinghausen or Hömberg. Protoxyclymenia serpentina (Münster 1832)

Figs. 14,15: KW 2077; Wunderlich Collection, Beil.

5.28

Plate

All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Kosmoclymenia (Group I) subundulata (Wedekind 1914)

Figs. 1-3, x4: HU P82.14; Beil. Shows the nature of the dorsal wrinkle-layer over the impressed part of the flanks and the venter.

Kosmoclymenia (Group I) sp.

Figs. 4, x5; 5,6, x2: HU P82.15; Beil. Shows the nature of the ventral band, and the lirae running between adjacent spine bases.

Kosmoclymenia (Group I) inaequistriata (Münster 1832)

Figs. 7, x2; 8, x5; 9, x6: KW 2080; Kasberg, Langenholthausen. Shows the nature of shell repair, and the associated loss of ventral spines.

Figs. 10, x10; 11, x10; 12, x3: KW 2093. Fig. 10 shows the ventral wrinkle-layer impression, and Fig. 11 is a closeup of Fig. 12, showing moulds of borings into the internal side of the flank.

PLATE 5.29



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

- Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> stuckenbergi (Tokarenko 1903)
 - Figs. 1,2, x2: KW 2058; Beil, Sauerland.

Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> ?<u>decorata</u> (Münster 1840)

Fig. 3, x2¹/₂: MfN; Münster Collection, Geuser, Oberfranken. Figs. 4, x10; 8, x5: BSP AS VII 533; Münster Collection, Geuser, Oberfranken.

Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> aff. <u>costata</u> (Münster 1842)

Fig. 5, xl_{2}^{1} : BSP AS VII 529; Münster Collection, Geuser, Oberfranken.

Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> costata (Münster 1842)

Figs. 6,7, x2: proposed lectotype, BSP AS VII 606; Münster Collection, Geuser, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1842 pl. XI, figs. 16a,b.

Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> aff. <u>subflexuosa</u> (Münster 1840)

Fig. 9, x4: BSP AS VII 527; Münster Collection, Geuser, Oberfranken.

Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> subflexuosa (Münster 1840)

Figs. 10,11, x4: BSP AS VII 526, holotype; Münster Collection, Geuser, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XV, figs. 10a-c.

Kosmoclymenia sp.

Fig. 12: BSP; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XVII, fig. 1g.

Kosmoclymenia undulata pattisoni Selwood 1960

Figs. 13, x3; 18: GSM 87043, holotype; Selwood Collection, Stourscombe Beds (<u>endogona</u> Subzone), Stourscombe, Cornwall. <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> aff. <u>undulata</u> (Münster 1832)

Figs. 14, 15, xl¹₂: Mbg; Braunau, Wildungen, Kellerwald. Kosmoclymenia colubrina (Lange 1929)

Figs. 16, x2; 17: KW 2081; Dasberg summit, Sauerland.

5,30 Plate



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>flexuosa</u> (Münster 1840)

Fig. 1, x1¹/₂: Mbg 3121; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 11, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken.

Fig. 2, x2: BM 81856, proposed neotype; Münster Collection, Geuser, Oberfranken.

Gen. Nov. E sp. b

Fig. 3, x2: BSP AS VII 528; Münster Collection, Geuser, Oberfranken.

Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>acuta</u> (Schmidt 1924)

Figs. 4-6, cl½: MfN; Zwester Weg, Ense, Wildungen. Figured as <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> <u>acuta</u> by Schmidt 1924, pl. 6, figs. 30,a. Gen Nov. <u>D</u> <u>kayseri</u> (Drevermann 1901)

Fig. 7, x2.66: BSP AS VII 523; Münster Collection, Geuser, Oberfranken.

Fig. 8, x3: 348/1; Geuser, Oberfranken.

<u>Rectoclymenia</u> arietina (Sandberger 1853)

Figs. 9,10, x2: Wsb; Sandberger Collection, Enkeberg, Sauerland. Figured by Sandberger 1853, pl. VII, figs. 5a-c.

Gen. Nov. F ?subflexuosa (Münster 1840)

Figs. 11,12: Mbg 3021; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 11, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken.

Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> sp.

Figs. 13,14: GT 2015; Beil, Sauerland.

Figs. 15,16: KW 2076; Beil, Sauerland.



' Plate 5.32

All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Carinoclymenia</u> <u>beuelensis</u> (Lange 1929)

Figs. 1-3,6, x1¹/₂; 9, x10; 10, x3: KW 2070; <u>annulata</u> Zone, Beil, Sauerland. Figs. 9 and 10 are enlargements of small areas visible on Fig. 6. Fig. 9 shows the internal mould of the pit-like ventral wrinkle-layer, and Fig. 10 shows the anastomosing radial striations of the dorsal wrinklelayer.

Fig. 4, x4: GT 2021; <u>annulata</u> Zone, Beil, Sauerland. This specimen shows particularly well the serrate nature of the ventral margin, resulting from the formation of weak riblets.

Fig. 5, x4: GT 2022; <u>annulata</u> Zone, Beil, Sauerland.
Fig. 7, x4: GT 2023; <u>annulata</u> Zone, Beil, Sauerland.
Fig. 8: MfN; Bed 1, <u>annulata</u> Zone, Alte Heerstrasse,
Öttersdorf, Thuringia. Specimen figured by Müller 1956,
pl. 1, fig. 9.

Figs. 11,12, x2: MfN; holotype, Lange Collection, <u>annulata</u> Zone, Beil, Sauerland.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Protornoceras planidorsatum (Münster 1834)

Fig. 1, $x1\frac{1}{2}$: GT 2001; Trost Collection, Beil, Sauerland. Figs. 6, $x1\frac{1}{2}$; 7, x2: GT 2004; Trost Collection, Beil, Sauerland.

Protornoceras sp.

Figs. 4,5, x1¹/₂: RE 551 734.5 A242/1; Torley Collection, Enkeberg, Sauerland.

<u>Sellaclymenia</u> torleyi (Wedekind 1914)

Figs. 2,3; RE 551 734.5 A254; proposed lectotype, Torley Collection, Hövel. Figured by Wedekind 1914, pl. VI, figs. la,b. Figs. 14,15: MfN; ?Denckmann Collection, Beil. Figured by Schmidt 1924, pl. 7, figs. 8,a, as <u>Sell</u>. <u>spinosa</u> sp. nov. (proposed as the lectotype).

Endosiphonites (Endosiphonites) bowsheri (Miller and Collinson 1951) Figs. 8,9: SM H7366; Percha Shale, Sierra County, New Mexico, USA. Figured by Miller and Collinson 1951.

Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> sp. <u>a</u>

Figs. 10,12,13: Mbg; Escot Collection, La Serre, Cabrières Hérault.

Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> cf. sp. <u>a</u>

Fig. 12a, x2: MfN c429.1; Bed 27, Alte Heerstrasse, Schleiz. Figured by Brügge 1973, p1. 1, fig. 1.

Fig. 11, x3: MfN c429.3; Bed 35, Alte Heerstrasse, Schleiz. Figured by Brügge 1973, pl. 1. fig. 4.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> <u>involuta</u> (Wedekind 1908)

Figs. 1,2; KW 2096; Wunderlich Collection, Beringhausen.

Figs. 3-5, x1¹/₂: MfN; ?Lange Collection, Enkeberg.

Fig. 6, x2: KW 2059; Wunderlich Collection, Beil.

<u>Platyclymenia</u> sp.

Figs. 7,8: KW 2071; Wunderlich Collection, Beil. <u>Protactoclymenia</u> enkebergensis (Wedekind 1908)

Figs. 9,10: KW 2062; Wunderlich Collection, Enkeberg, Cyrtoclymenia angustiseptata (Münster 1832)

Figs. 11-13: proposed lectotype, BSP AS VII 585; Münster Collection, Schubelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1832, pl. I, figs. 3a-c.

Figs. 16,17: BSP AS VII 599; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XV, figs.

3a-c, as Münster's original of <u>C1</u>. <u>lata</u>.

Protactoclymenia pulcherrima Wedekind 1908

Figs. 14,15: Gött; Wedekind Collection, Enkeberg, Sauerland. Photographs by M. R. House.

Cyrtoclymenia inflata (Münster 1832)

Figs. 18,19: proposed lectotype, BSP AS VII 536; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1832, pl. I, figs. 5a,b.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Cyrtoclymenia tetragona Schmidt 1924

Fig. 1, x4: proposed lectotype, MfN; Dasberg. Figured by Schmidt 1924, pl. 6, fig. 23.

Cyrtoclymenia plicata (Münster 1839)

Figs. 2,3, x2: MfN; Denckmann Collection, Zwester Weg, Ense. Figured by Schmidt 1924, pl. 6, figs. 29,a. Figs. 4,5, x2: BSP; ?Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XV, fig. 6, as <u>Cl. subnodosa</u>.

Figs. 8-10: BSP AS VII 583; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1839, pl. XVI, figs. 5a-c, proposed lectotype of <u>Cl. cincta</u>.

Figs. 15,16: MfN; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 17,18: proposed lectotype, BSP AS VII 584; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1839, pl. XVI, figs. 4a-c.

Cyrtoclymenia sp.

Figs. 6,7, xl¹/₂: MfN; Riemke. Figured by Schmidt 1924, pl. 6, fig. 25, as <u>Cl</u>. <u>lata</u>. Its ribs are too narrow and frequent for that species.

Cyrtoclymenia plurisepta (Phillips 1841)

Figs. 13,14, x1¹/₂: GSM 7174; Phillips Collection, South Petherwin, Cornwall. Figured by Phillips 1841, pl. LIV, figs. 244a-c.

Cyrtoclymenia fasciata (Phillips 1841)

Figs. 11,12, x1½: GSM 7172; Phillips Collection, South Petherwin, Cornwall. Figured by Phillips 1841, pl. LIII, figs. 242a-d.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

<u>Genuclymenia</u> <u>borni</u> (Schindewolf 1923a)

Fig. 1, x2: Mbg 3122; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 11, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken.

Fig. 2, x2: Mbg 3123; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 11, Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken.

?Platyclymenia sp.

Figs. 3,4, xl¹₂: MfN; Lange Collection, Beil. Figured by Lange 1929, pl. III, figs. 38,a.

?Genuclymenia sp.

Fig. 5, x2: KW 2067; Wunderlich Collection, Wäschholz. <u>Genuclymenia</u> <u>quembeli</u> (Wedekind 1908)

Figs. 6,7: KW 2063; Wunderlich Collection, Enkeberg, Sauerland.

Figs. 9, x1³; 10,11, x1¹/₂: KW 2061; Wunderlich Collection, Wettmarsen (Kante), Sauerland.

Genuclymenia sp.

Fig. 8: KW 2066; Wunderlich Collection, Wäschholz. Genuclymenia frechi (Wedekind 1908)

Figs. 12,13, x1¹/₂: KW 2095; Wunderlich Collection, Beringhausen, Sauerland.

<u>Genuclymenia</u> aff. <u>karpinskii</u> (Perna 1914)

Figs. 14-16, x2: DK 80D 4511.1; Korn Collection, Enkeberg, Sauerland.

Figs. 17,18, x2: MfN; ?Lange Collection, Enkeberg, Sauerland.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Cymaclymenia striata (Münster 1832)

Figs. 1; 7-10, x1¹/₂: SM H10414; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Fig. 11: BM 81824; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Cymaclymenia camerata Schindewolf 1923a

Figs. 2-4, x1¹/₂: proposed lectotype, MfN; Schindewolf Collection, Bed 18, Kirch-Gattendorf, Figured by Schindewolf 1923a, pl. XVII, fig. 6.

Figs. 14-16: SM H10415; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Cymaclymenia sagittalis (Phillips 1841)

Figs. 5,6, x1¹/₂: holotype, GSM 7177; Phillips Collection, South Petherwin, Cornwall. Figured by Phillips 1841, pl. LIV, figs. 243a-c.

Cymaclymenia aff. striata (Münster 1832)

Figs. 12, 13: BSP AS VII 545; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Gümbel 1863, pl. XVIII, figs. la-e.

Figs. 17-19, $x1\frac{1}{2}$: UEN 92; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Fig. 20: MfN; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Cymaclymenia aff. barbarae (Loewinson-Lessing 1892)

Figs. 1,2; 9, x3: KW 2084; Wunderlich Collection, Reigern. Cymaclymenia sp.

Fig. 3, x4: HU P82.17; North Africa.

Figs. 4,5, x4: HU P82.18; North Africa.

Figs. 5a,6, x1¹/₂: HU P82.19; House Collection, Morocco. Cymaclymenia involvens Lange 1929

Figs. 7,8, x2: holotype, MfN; Lange Collection, Burg, Balve. Figured by Lange 1929, pl. II, fig. 23.

Fig. 10: paratype, MfN; Lange Collection, Burg, Balve.

Figured by Lange 1929, fig. 24.

Cymaclymenia evoluta (Schmidt 1924)

Fig. 10a, x2: lectotype, MfN; Ostprovinzial Steinbruch, Drewer. Figured by Schmidt 1924, pl. 8, fig. 19.

Figs. 12, 13, x1¹/₂: MfN; Ostprovinzial Steinbruch, Drewer. Figured by Schmidt 1924, p1. 8, fig. 20.

Cymaclymenia cf. evoluta (Schmidt 1924)

Fig. 11: RE 551 734.5 A371; Paul Collection, Etroeungt β, Scharpenhaus, Heiligenhaus. Figured by Paul 1939, pl. 40, fig. 3.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Cymaclymenia sp. a

Figs. 1, x4; 7,8, x2: SM H7534; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 4-6, x3: HU P82.5; Effenberg, Sauerland. Cymaclymenia sp.

Fig. 2: BSP; Glass Collection, Kirch-Gattendorf. Cymaclymenia evoluta (Schmidt 1924)

Fig. 3: RE 551 734.5; Paul Collection, Bed 21, oberer Abschnitt, Ratingen, Cromford. Figured by Paul 1939, pl. 41, fig. 3.

Cymaclymenia semistriata (Münster 1832)

Figs. 9,10, x2: MfN; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 12-15, x1¹/₂: SM H10416; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 18,19, x1¹/₂: BSP AS VII 560; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1832, pl. III, fig. 4.

<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>dorsocostata</u> (Münster 1840)

Figs. 16,17, x1½: lectotype, BSP AS VII 557; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Figured by Münster 1840, pl. XVI, figs. 5a,b.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Cymaclymenia aff. barbarae (Loewinson-Lessing 1892)

Figs. 1,2, $x1\frac{1}{2}$: MfN; Lange Collection, Hövel. Figured by Lange 1929, fig. 22.

Cymaclymenia sp.

Figs. 3-5: MfN; Schindewolf Collection, Hauern, Wildungen.

Fig. 10: MfN; Schindewolf Collection, Hauern, Wildungen. Cymaclymenia aff. serpentina Schmidt 1924

Figs. 6, x2; 7: Denckmann Collection, Langenholthausen.
Figured by Schmidt 1924, pl. 7, fig. 2 as <u>Cyma</u>. <u>striata</u>.
<u>Cymaclymenia</u> sp.

Fig. 8, x3: MfN; Schindewolf Collection, Oberrödinghausen,

Balve. Figured by Schindewolf 1934, pl. 1, figs. 7a,b.

Cymaclymenia aff. costellata (Münster 1832)

Fig. 9: RE 551 734.5 A131/2; Torley Collection, Hövel. Labelled as Cyma. cordata.

<u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>costellata</u> (Münster 1832)

Fig. 11: MfN; Denckmann Collection, Wettmarsen, Balve. Figured by Schmidt 1924, pl. 7, fig. 1.

Cymaclymenia aff. <u>barbarae</u> (Loewinson-Lessing 1892)

Figs. 12,13, x2: MfN; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Cymaclymenia serpentina Schmidt 1924

Figs. 14,15, x2: UEN; ?Münster Collection, ?Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Cymaclymenia costellata (Münster 1832)

Figs. 1,2: SM H7530; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 7,8,10,11: proposed lectotype, BSP AS VII 546; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Fig. 9: MfN; von Buch Collection, Dzikowiec, Poland. Figured by Frech 1902, pl. V(IV), fig. 1.

Figs. 12,13, x2: UEN 89; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Cymaclymenia aff. costellata (Münster 1832)

Figs. 3,4, $x1\frac{1}{2}$: SM H7531; Münster Collecton, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.

Figs. 5,6, x2: UEN 88; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken.



All illustrations are natural size unless otherwise indicated.

Cymaclymenia camerata Schindewolf 1923a

Figs. 1, x2; 2, x4: HU P82.20; Steinbruch Köstenhof, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. This specimen shows three interrupted periods of shell growth, and fracture lines separating them.

Cymaclymenia sp. b

Figs. 3,7,8: SM H10406; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Fig. 10, x3, is an enlargement of the internal mould of the body chamber, and shows the moulds of sessile arenaceous foraminifera, attached to the internal side of the shell. Fig. 11, x4, shows the suture, and area of attachment of the septum to the shell wall.

Cymaclymenia sp.

Figs. 4-6, x2: SM H10379; Münster Collection, Schübelhammer, Oberfranken. Fig. 9, x8, clearly shows the attachment area of the internal septum, and the dorsal wrinkle-layer.



化、氯酚 医输出力 营养症的 计

2. Constraint assessed and for the formation of the formation for the transmission of the formation of th

The conodont zonation of the Famennian, and its correlation with the ammonoid zonation

【张·夏】】 常能能动意,这些话,这里是一次是这些人,可以说:"你不能知道,你还是不可以是我们

a se a substance de la de de de de de la comencia d La companya de la servicia de la comencia de la come La comencia de la servicia de la comencia de la come

Introduction

A conodont zonation of the Famennian, recognised as a possibility by Haas (1947) and Müller and Müller (1957), was established by Helms (1959) and Ziegler (1962), and revised by Ziegler (1971b), and Sandberg and Ziegler (1973); Klapper and Ziegler (1979) have recently published a review. There are only few papers which give details of conodonts and ammonoids collected from the same levels; these enable a correlation of the ammonoid and conodont zonal schemes to be made. Ziegler (1962) described a number of sections in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge; Beuel (Beil), Ball-Berg (Hövel) and Oberrödinghausen in the Sauerland, two trenches at Sessacker near Oberscheld, and quarries at Altes-Tal and Aeketal in the Harz. Further details of Hövel and Oberrödinghausen were given later by Ziegler (1971a).

Glenister and Klapper (1966) found that all of Ziegler's conodont zones were present in the Canning Basin of Western Australia and gave details of co-occurring ammonoids, which were described by Petersen (1975). Buggisch and Clausen (1972) described two sections through the Frasnian-Famennian boundary from Morocco. Eickhoff (1973) discussed the conodont sequence in the railway cutting at Oberrödinghausen, from which Schindewolf (1937a) had described ammonoids. This sequence was proposed as the type section for the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary (Jongmans and Gothan 1937), although this decision maybe in the process of being set aside (Paproth 1980), and other possible stratotypes are currently sought. Brügge (1973) listed ammonoids and conodonts from a section described in detail at Schleiz in Thuringia.

Textfig. 6.1a-c combines all published data for the cooccurrence of Famennian ammonoids and conodonts in western Europe. Some of the information is of limited value; for example Ziegler (1962) used some sections with large bed thicknesses, from which ammonoids had previously been described by Born (1912a) and Fuhrmann (1954), in the Harz Mountains, and Schindewolf (1923a) at Kirch-Gattendorf, Oberfranken. Ziegler obtained conodonts from Kirch-Gattendorf simply by dissolving ammonoids which Schindewolf had collected. The sections which Glenister and Klapper (1966) looked at in Australia were far thicker than the Cephalopodenkalk in Germany, but their sampling intervals were large or sporadic.

Attention should therefore only be paid to those few instances where conodonts and ammonoids were either collected from the same or here can be no doubt over the sampled horizon. sample (stippled ornament in Textfig. 6.1)/. This leaves only four or five levels at which conodont and ammonoid zonal schemes can be directly correlated.

A zone-by-zone account of Famennian conodont correlation is given below. A strict chronological sequence is hindered by the need to discuss the Frasnian/Famennian boundary. This, defined as the base of the Assise de Senzeilles, was long thought to be coincident with the Ador/Nehden and <u>Manticoceras/Cheiloceras</u> Stufe boundaries. The latter is defined by the incoming of the simple sutured convex growth-lined <u>Cheiloceras</u>, and it was believed that several species of <u>Manticoceras</u> continued into the <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe (House 1979).

hat the former than the second se

Frasnian-Famennian Boundary

In 1965 Bouckaert, Ziegler and Thorez recognised the Middle <u>triangularis</u> Zone at the base of the Famennian at its type section of Senzeilles. By correlation with (uncited) ammonoid evidence from this zone in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge they stated that the uppermost part of the <u>Manticoceras</u> Stufe overlapped with the Famennian, and thus, by implication, the base of the <u>Cheiloceras</u>





Textfig.6.1b
Textfig.6.1c



Stufe and of the Famennian could no longer be considered as synonymous.

Later studies of the conodont faunas around the Frasnian-Famennian boundary (Bouckaert, Mouravieff, Streel, Thorez and Ziegler 1972, Streel et al. 1977) showed there to be a faunal break at the base of the triangularis Zone in many sections throughout eastern Belgium. Generally the succession representing the lower triangularis Zone is thin or absent. The base of the triangularis Zone was formally suggested (Streel et al. 1977) as the base of the Famennian, at Bed 48bis in the Hony railway cutting south of This level could not be recognised at Senzeilles, where Lièqe. Gosselet (1897) had drawn the Frasnian-Famennian boundary, but a palynological study suggested that it lay in Bed 31 between the appearance of Pampoecilorhynchus lecomptei (Sartenaer) and the appearance of Middle triangularis Zone conodonts, which had been considered as synchronous events by Bouckaert et al. (1965). This is a level above the Frasnian-Famennian boundary of Gosselet, but using the conodont evidence, either boundary falls within the Manticoceras Stufe, since they are below the Upper triangularis Zone, in which is the earliest reported occurrence of Cheiloceras (Buggisch and Clausen 1972).

<u>triangularis</u> Zone

The early work of Ziegler (1962) produced imprecise evidence of the ammonoid faunas of this Zone. From 60cms. of limestone at Aeketal (Beds 6,7 of Fuhrmann 1954) Ziegler collected conodonts ranging in age from the <u>triangularis</u> to Upper <u>crepida</u> Zones.

These levels had yielded no <u>in situ</u> fauna to Fuhrmann, but he did find in loose blocks <u>Dimeroceras</u>, <u>Sporadoceras</u> and <u>Protornoceras</u>, which he assigned to the upper horizon, Bed 6, and <u>Dimeroceras</u> which he assigned to Bed 7. Ziegler wrongly interpreted these as representing faunas from I - II α . More detailed information can be found in Born (1912) who used thinner stratigraphic units. He recorded <u>Cheiloceras</u>, <u>Dimeroceras</u> and <u>Paradimeroceras</u> from his Bed 14 (= Bed 6 of Ziegler and Fuhrmann); these are found in either II α or possibly II β , and this would be in accord with Ziegler's record of a Middle <u>crepida</u> Zone fauna. Born found no ammonoids in the underlying Bed 13, which Ziegler dated as <u>triangularis</u> Zone. With hindsight, and regard to the way in which Fuhrmann collected his material, it is reasonable to assume that he was mistaken in believing that he had collected <u>Dimeroceras</u> from this horizon. Therefore Ziegler (1962) had no evidence concerning the ammonoids of the <u>triangularis</u> Zone.

The first suggestion of <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe ammonoids occurring together with <u>triangularis</u> Zone conodonts, in the same sample, was provided by Glenister and Klapper (1966). From a sample numbered UWA 26213 (table 8; = Bed T45 C62 of Petersen 1975) <u>Cheiloceras</u> <u>ovatum</u> was collected with a conodont fauna dated as ranging from the Upper <u>triangularis</u> to lower <u>crepida</u> Zones.

In 1971(b) Ziegler stated that the Middle and Upper triangularis Zones were associated with <u>Manticoceras cordatum</u> (without citing precise evidence), which meant that these zones should be included within the <u>Manticoceras</u> Stufe. Using Glenister and Klapper's (1966) evidence the Lower <u>crepida</u> Zone was placed in the <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe. <u>Crickites holzapfeli</u>, the index for the highest, I& zone of the <u>Manticoceras</u> Stufe had not been obtained from the Middle or Upper <u>triangularis</u> Zones and so Ziegler created a new ammonoid zone termed post I&, with <u>Manticoceras</u>, but lacking <u>Crickites</u> or <u>Cheiloceras</u>.

House (1962) reported a I δ fauna from the Hanover Shale, the upper part of which Klapper, Sandberg, Collinson, Huddle, Orr,

Rickard, Schumacher, Seddan and Uyeno (1971) correlated with the Upper triangularis Zone (see also House and Kirchgasser 1981).

More positive evidence of ammonoids and conodonts from the <u>triangularis</u> Zone was provided by Buggisch and Clausen (1972), who recognised the co-occurrence in Morocco at Erfoud-Tafilalt of <u>Palmatolepis minuta minuta</u> (Branson and Mehl), <u>Pa</u>. cf. <u>regularis</u> Cooper, <u>Ch</u>. (<u>Ch</u>.) ex aff. <u>verneuili</u> and <u>Ch</u>. (<u>Ch</u>.) cf. <u>amblylobum</u> in all but the lowermost part of the Upper <u>triangularis</u> Zone.

Buggisch and Clausen extensively review all published biostratigraphic data available from around the Frasnian/Famennian boundary. Ziegler confirmed to them (Buggisch and Clausen 1972, p. 158, footnote 3) that he had collected <u>Mant</u>. <u>cordatum</u> from the Middle <u>crepida</u> Zone. They had found only <u>Cheiloceras</u> at that level and explained this unexpectedly late occurrence of <u>Manticoceras</u> as an "echte phylogenetische Nachlaufer".

Prior to the publication of this Moroccan data Ziegler (1962, 1971b) had considered the <u>Manticoceras</u> Stufe to extend to the base of the <u>crepida</u> Zone. In the light of Buggisch and Clausen's data from Morocco Ziegler (1979) revised the post I& interval to include only the Middle and lowermost Upper <u>triangularis</u> Zones. House (1973, 1979) discussed the inadvisability of using the absence of a taxon, in this case <u>Crickites holzapfel</u>, the index for I&, to define a zone, but he introduced a new zone of "<u>Manticoceras</u> sp." instead (House 1975).

Ziegler has made use of the absence of taxa to define other subzones; for example the Upper <u>marginifera</u> and Upper <u>costatus</u> divisions. This practice did not seem sensible to Dreesen (1977, p. 514) who did not recognise the Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone.

<u>crepida</u> Zone

Ziegler (1962) discussed <u>crepida</u> Zone faunas from a number of localities. He dug two trenches at Sessacker, in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge. In Bed 24 of Trench I <u>Manticoceras cordatum</u> was associated with a Middle <u>crepida</u> Zone fauna, and the base of the <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe was considered to be in Bed 26, also in the Middle <u>crepida</u> Zone, where <u>Drevermannia</u> (Formonia) formosa was found. Trench II yielded more ammonoids; Bed 21 contained <u>Manticoceras</u> <u>cordatum</u>, <u>Tornoceras simplex</u> and a Middle <u>crepida</u> Zone fauna. No cheilocerataceans were obtained until much higher in the sequence (Bed 13).

Contradictory data was obtained from other sections. Ziegler (1962) found a Middle <u>crepida</u> Zone fauna from Beds 2 and 3 at Kirch-Gattendorf, from which a number of species of <u>Cheiloceras</u> had already been described by Schindewolf (1923a). These were <u>Cheiloceras subpartitum</u>, <u>verneuili</u>, <u>circumflexum</u>, <u>amblylobum</u>, and <u>Torleyoceras oxyacantha</u> and <u>curvispina</u>. The ammonoid faunas of Beds 6 and 7 at Aeketal, Harz (Ziegler's samples 1,2 (<u>triangularis</u> Zone) 2a,3 (Middle <u>crepida</u> Zone) 4 (Upper <u>crepida</u> Subzone)) have already been discussed. The most cautious interpretation from these three localities is that the Middle <u>crepida</u> Zone encompasses ammonoid zones ranging from I\delta to II β , or that the range of <u>Manticoceras</u> extends upwards to the Middle <u>crepida</u> Zone.

From the lowest part of the road section at Oberrödinghausen Ziegler (1962, p. 144) reported <u>Cheiloceras circumflexum</u>, together with a conodont fauna which he placed in the Upper <u>crepida</u> Zone.

It would be useful at this point to discuss direct and indirect evidence of <u>Manticoceras</u> occurring in the <u>crepida</u> Zone, and hence the <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe.

Indirect evidence relates to three species: M. superstes

(Wedekind), recorded by Wedekind (1908) using a single museum specimen from the Nehden, and by Schindewolf (1923a) from a single specimen from Bed 3 at Kirch-Gattendorf; <u>M. niedzwieski</u> (Dybcynski) described together with a fauna of <u>Cheiloceras</u> and tornoceratids, and <u>M. nehdense</u> Lange, established (1929) for a single specimen from the Nehden Schiefer at Nehden. Clausen (1969) referred this latter species to <u>Lobotornoceras</u> and two specimens were briefly described by Jux and Krath (1974). Whilst their generic assignment is not necessarily correct (see Chapter 3) <u>nehdense</u> Lange certainly belongs in the Tornoceratidae, rather than the Gephuroceratidae. The same can be said for the other two species under discussion, and they may all be conspecific, but the ontogeny of none of these species has been described. Bogoslovskiy (1969) treated all three species as <u>Manticoceras</u> and House (1979) included the German examples in <u>Manticoceras</u>.

Thus the only acceptable record of Manticoceras from the Cheiloceras Stufe is from Ziegler's two trenches at Sessacker (Ziegler 1962) which was reiterated in Buggisch and Clausen (1972) (see above). There are three ways in which its presence can be explained: firstly it may truly be a very rare element in the fauna, and thus difficult to collect, it may simply have been misidentified, or it could have been reworked into higher levels. Ziegler's certainty about the occurrence of M. cordatum must discount the possibility of collecting error. Two of the possibilities are easily dismissed. I have not seen the specimens in question to confirm their identity, but M. cordatum, if well preserved, is unlikely to have been mistakenly identified because of its distinctive subevolute shell-form and suture. No mention was made by Ziegler of reworking the associated conodont faunas, so it is unlikely that M. cordatum was reworked into higher levels. This leaves only the possibility that M. cordatum was truly a very rare

element in the fauna, however, no other collection from the Middle <u>crepida</u> Zone has reported it (see Wedekind 1908, Born 1912, Sobolev 1914, Schmidt 1921, Schindewolf 1923a, Lange 1929, Nalivkina 1936b, Glenister and Klapper 1966, Petersen 1975, and this thesis).

Glenister and Klapper (1966) reported a number of co-occurrences of goniatites and conodonts in the <u>crepida</u> Zone (Textfig. 6.2). The reported occurrence of <u>Sporadoceras</u> in samples B55 and 56 is ignored by Glenister and Klapper (1966, p. 838), and Petersen (1975, p. 50) explains it as due to laboratory "admixture" of specimens. Also <u>Ch. (Ch.) ovatum</u> is not recorded in Petersen's Table 2 as occurring in WAPET B132-1 4 yet the caption to Petersen's (1975) textfig. 15 gives such a provenance to two specimens. This horizon is not mentioned in the systematic description of the species. <u>Tornoceras simplex</u> was probably referred as <u>Ch. circumflexum</u> by Glenister and Klapper.

Their data confirm that the Lower and Middle <u>crepida</u> Zones are equivalent to II_{α} of the ammonoid scheme. But it also suggests that there are already indications in the Upper <u>crepida</u> Zone of II β with <u>Sp</u>. (<u>Sp</u>.) <u>biferum</u> (UWA 26189) and <u>Dimeroceras</u> sp. (WAPET 154-76).

Not all of the information listed above was repeated or confirmed by Petersen (1975), who studied the ammonoids used to date the sequences, and acknowledged (p. 50) difficulties in interpreting the section known as T41, collected from by Teichert in 1939.

Buggisch and Clausen (1972) recorded <u>Ch</u>. (<u>Ch</u>.) <u>verneuili</u>, <u>Ch</u>. (<u>Ch</u>.) <u>subpartitim</u>, <u>Ch</u>. (<u>Ch</u>.) <u>pompeckji</u> (?), <u>Ch</u>. (<u>Ch</u>.) <u>circumflexum</u> and <u>Ch</u>. (<u>Ch</u>.) <u>amblylobum</u> from a section yielding <u>crepida</u> Zone (s.1) conodonts at Erfoud. Another section at Erfoud-Taouz, also yielded <u>Ch</u>. (<u>Ch</u>.) ex. aff. <u>verneuili</u> together with <u>Protornoceras</u> <u>euryomphalum</u> and <u>Prot</u>. <u>planidorsatum</u>, from the same conodont Zone.

	implex (ch.) circumflexum teras) sacculum trum Indet. sp. (Sp.) biferum to lentiforme to lentiforme	e (where assignable) (from d Klapper 1966).
Sample	Tormocerus s Cheiloceras Ch. (Torleyo Ch. (Ch.) ov Ch. (Torl.) o Ch. (Torl.) i Sporadoceras Sp. (Sp.) la Paratornocerus Dimerocerus l	Ammonoid zon Conodont Zon Glenister an
T41 B53	xx	ΙΙα-β
852 =UWA26189 854	x x x	U. <u>crepida</u> table 7 IIα
855 856 ≖UWA26196	x x x x x x	(IIβ) (IIβ) L. <u>crepida</u>
857 =UWA26197	a Second States X - States and States	IIα ¹ ····································
B58	X Solution of the second se	
T45 C62 =UWA26213	×	IIα U. <u>triangularis</u> table 8 - L. <u>Crepida</u> table 8
B132-54	x x	M. <u>triangularis</u> table 2 - L. <u>crepida</u> table 2
81/9-38		U. <u>Crepida</u>
UWA26189	2	(IIB) 7
B132-54	3 3 5	
B154-76	2 1	
GSWA1027A7	3	
1027A9	2	ΙΙα
, wasa	••	
K260	12	
UWA26197	14	<u> </u>

Textfig. 6.2 Ammonoid faunas from Western Australia dated by conodonts after Glenister and Klapper 1966, and Petersen 1975. Dates in brackets were ignored by the authors, explained as caused by "laboratory admixture" (Petersen 1975).

rhomboidea Zone

This zone, established by Ziegler in 1962, was divided by Sandberg and Ziegler (1973), who inserted a Lower division with <u>Pa. poolei</u>, and restricted the former <u>rhomboidea</u> Zone, without <u>Pa. poolei</u>, to a new Upper division. There are few reports of ammonoids dated as from this zone. Schindewolf's (1923a) Beds 5-6, which contained <u>Cheiloceras</u> spp., <u>Torleyoceras</u>, <u>Dimeroceras</u> and possibly <u>Sporadoceras</u> also (the records are uncertain), were dated by Ziegler (1962) as Upper <u>rhomboidea</u> Zone (lower part). Bed 12 at Altestal (Fuhrmann 1954), dated as Upper <u>rhomboidea</u> Zone (Ziegler 1971a, Sandberg and Ziegler 1973), contained species of <u>Cheiloceras</u> and <u>Lobotornoceras</u>. Both samples may be II_Q or II_B in age.

A number of samples (GSWA 1028, B31 C1-5, BMR K177, UWA 26162 (= T39 B8), UWA 26946c (= Tc)) were reported, Glenister and Klapper (1966). These contained <u>Cheiloceras</u>, <u>Paratornoceras</u>, <u>Dimeroceras</u> and can be dated as either II α or β .

Kasig, Dreesen and Bouckaert (1979) described a number of profiles through the Famennian of the Aachen area. They detailed four sections from which conodonts were collected, and all included a nodular limestone horizon at most 4m thick. Schindewolf (1921) listed a fauna from this level, including <u>Ch. amblylobum</u>, <u>verneuili</u>, <u>circumflexum</u>, <u>subpartitim</u> and <u>Torleyoceras globosum</u>, <u>sacculum</u>. This fauna certainly belongs to II, but since the occurrence of <u>Sporadoceras</u> is needed to recognise II β the level is most likely to be II α . Their results are not set out so as to identify the age of each of their conodont samples, but at least one sample from the Cheiloceraskalk, Ha3, with <u>Pa</u>. <u>rhomboidea</u> and <u>Pa</u>. cf. <u>poolei</u>, seems to belong to the Lower <u>rhomboidea</u> Zone.

Bouckaert, Streel and Thorez (1968) placed the base of the

Upper Famennian (Fa2) at the base of the <u>rhomboidea</u> Zone thus including only two of the 13 Famennian ammonoid zones in the Lower Famennian.

In conclusion the <u>rhomboidea</u> Zone can be correlated with the upper part of II α and probably with II β .

<u>marginifera</u> Zone

This zone, established by Ziegler (1962) as the <u>quadranti</u>-<u>nodosa</u> Zone, was renamed as the <u>marginifera</u> Zone by Sandberg and Ziegler (1973). There is an Upper and Lower division.

At Sessacker in Trench II Ziegler (1962) recorded <u>Cheilo-</u> <u>Ceras enkebergense</u> from the Lower <u>marginifera</u> Zone (i.e. II β), and <u>Sp. (Sp.) muensteri</u> and <u>Ps.pseudogoniatites</u> and <u>Pn. weissi</u> from the Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone (i.e. III α).

A more prolific ammonoid fauna was obtained from Altestal where Sandberg and Ziegler (1973) recognised the Lower <u>marginifera</u> Zone in Beds 11-8 of Fuhrmann's (1954) sequence. Bed 11 was dated as II β by Fuhrmann and contained <u>Cheiloceras</u>, <u>Torleyoceras</u>, <u>Paratornoceras</u>, <u>Dimeroceras</u>, <u>Sporadoceras</u> and <u>Maeneceras</u>; Bed 10, dated as II γ (but without explanation; it is better regarded as II β) contained <u>Cheiloceras</u>, <u>Paratornoceras</u>, <u>Dimeroceras</u>, <u>Sporadoceras</u> and <u>Maeneceras</u>. Above this is Beds 7 and 6 <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> and then <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> appeared, but Ziegler was unable to obtain conodonts from these levels. The upper part of this section, above a fault (see Fuhrmann 1954, fig. 3, and Ziegler 1971a, fig. 18) has been subject to different interpretations. Ziegler found <u>rhomboidea</u> Zone conodonts (i.e. II α - β) where Fuhrmann (Bed 2) had reported <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> and <u>Prionoceras</u> (i.e. III β).

The Aeketal section was sampled in greater detail by Ziegler than by Fuhrmann. Thus in Bed 5, to which Fuhrmann assigned a III α age, Ziegler found a series of conodont zones from Upper <u>Crepida</u> to Lower <u>marginifera</u> Zones (samples 4-6). However, if we use the more precise information contained in Born (1912a) the upper 24cms of Bed 5 (= Ziegler's sample 6, Lower <u>marginifera</u> Zone) can be interpreted as containing <u>Rect. kayseri</u> and <u>Ps</u>. <u>Pseudogoniatites</u> (III α or β) and the lower 10cms of Bed 4 (= Ziegler's sample 7, Lower <u>marginifera</u> Zone) as containing <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>wedekindi</u> (hence III β).

Ziegler found only the Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone at Kirch-Gattendorf, in Bed 9, where Schindewolf reported <u>Genuclymenia</u>, <u>Pseudoclymenia</u>, <u>Rectoclymenia</u> and <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>, which may be III α , but is more likely to be III β , (see Chapter 3).

Glenister and Klapper (1966) reported nine instances of cooccurrence of ammonoids and <u>marginifera</u> Zone conodonts, but in only one case (WAPET GI A1, (Table 5)) is there an ammonoid specimen whose age can be estimated, and this, a <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> (therefore III_{α} or more probably III_{β}; but see above), was not described by Petersen (1975).

In conclusion there is evidence at Altestal that the Lower <u>marginifera</u> Zone occurs in II β , recognised by the presence of <u>Sporadoceras</u> and absence of <u>Pseudoclymenia</u>, and <u>Cheiloceras</u>. The Lower <u>marginifera</u> Zone at Aeketal also occurs with <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> and <u>Rectoclymenia</u>, indicative of III α or β . However, at Kirch-Gattendorf these three genera occur with an Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone fauna. At Sessaker the Lower <u>marginifera</u> Zone is associated with a II β fauna, and the Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone with a III α fauna.

<u>velifer</u> Zone

This was established by Ziegler (1962) and divided into three

parts.

At Aeketal detailed sampling by Ziegler (1962) identified the three divisions of this zone from Fuhrmann's (1954) Bed 3, in which he had found <u>Plat. annulata</u> and <u>Rect. roemeri</u> (hence IV). At Hövel Ziegler's sample 9, with a Middle <u>velifer</u> Zone fauna, came from a level from which Paeckelmann (1924) had reported <u>Cyrt</u>. <u>involuta</u> and <u>Prolobites delphinus</u>, hence IIIß or IV.

Two outcrops at Beil (Beuel) were examined by Ziegler (1962) and these yielded a few cephalopods. From the upper cliff in the Middle <u>velifer</u> Zone, sample 5 also yielded <u>Sp. (Sp.) clarkei</u> and <u>Sp. (Sp.) muensteri</u>, which occur as low as III β . The latter also recurred in sample 14 from the lower cliff, in the Middle <u>velifer</u> Zone. <u>Prolobites</u>, but no conodonts, were found in sample 10, which came from 1.40m above sample 11, in the Upper <u>velifer</u> Zone.

The <u>velifer</u> Zone at Kirch-Gattendorf spanned a thick sequence, from Beds 10 to 13. Here Schindewolf had failed to find <u>Prolobites</u> and had drawn an arbitrary base to IIIβ. Bed 10 (Middle <u>velifer</u> Zone) contained <u>Sporadoceras</u>, <u>Rectoclymenia</u>, <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> <u>Sulcoclymenia</u> and <u>Genuclymenia</u>. Bed 11 (also Middle <u>velifer</u> Zone) contained these genera, plus <u>Platyclymenia</u>.

The Lower <u>velifer</u> Zone was not recognised, and Bed 13, from which Ziegler isolated an Upper <u>velifer</u> Zone fauna, contained no cephalopods.

The evidence of Aeketal suggests that the <u>velifer</u> Zone already contains an <u>annulata</u> Zone ammonoid fauna (i.e. IV), but that the Middle <u>velifer</u> Zone has a IIIß fauna.

styriacus Zone

This zone, divided into three parts, has remained unchanged

since Ziegler extablished it in 1962. It is the conodont zone from which fewest ammonoids have been reported.

At Hövel the <u>styriacus</u> Zone lay at the top of Ziegler's section (1962), and he correlated it with a level recognised by Paeckelmann as the base of V α . Later (1971a) Ziegler obtained a Lower <u>styriacus</u> Zone fauna together with <u>Plat</u>. aff. <u>intracostata</u> (hence IV) from the same level (1962: sample 14) which sheds some doubt on his earlier correlation.

Elsewhere in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge a <u>styriacus</u> Zone fauna was recognised only at Oese where two black shale horizons (Bed 26 o and m) were considered by Schmidt (in Ziegler 1962) to contain numerous species of <u>Platyclymenia</u>. However, at this section some 16 samples lacked useful conodonts, and the one sample (sample 33) to which a <u>styriacus</u> Zone could be assigned lay some 5 metres above the upper shale in which <u>Platyclymenia</u> had been determined.

The evidence from Kirch-Gattendorf was no clearer. Bed 14, from which Schindewolf had collected numerous <u>Prionoceras</u> and <u>Platyclymenia</u>, was only questionably assigned by Ziegler to the Lower <u>styriacus</u> Zone. The <u>styriacus</u> Zone here continued up into Beds 15 and 16, comprising the Lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, with <u>Clymenia</u>, <u>Imitoceras</u> and <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>.

Brügge (1973) also recorded <u>Platyclymenia</u> and <u>Prionoceras</u> in the <u>styriacus</u> Zone, together with <u>Falciclymenia</u>.

Glenister and Klapper (1966) reported only one sample from the <u>styriacus</u> Zone, and this may also have ranged into the <u>costatus</u> Zone. Associated with it were <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>, <u>Pleuroclymenia</u>, <u>Raymondiceras</u> and <u>Protoxyclymenia</u>.

化学会 化化化物 化化化物学 化化化物学 化合金属 医胆管的 化二基甲基苯基酚 化化物化合金

Helms (reported in Ziegler 1971b) reported that the Middle <u>styriacus</u> Zone lay in IV, and that the IV-V boundary lay within the Upper <u>styriacus</u> Zone. Presumably he was referring to information later published by Brügge (1973, see below), which gave slightly different results. Ziegler had earlier (1962) placed the upper limit of IV in the lower <u>styriacus</u> Zone, but modified this in (1971b) stating that new uncited evidence showed that IV comprised part of the Upper <u>velifer</u>, and most of the Lower <u>styriacus</u> Zones, but didn't state which specific sections demonstrated this. Furthermore Ziegler (1971a) stated that the Middle <u>styriacus</u> Zone had already ("1962") been shown by him to be clearly associated with "gonioclymenid ammonoids", indicative of V. I can find no such reference.

It seems that the <u>annulata</u> Zone extends into the Lower <u>styri-acus</u> Zone, and that the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe (V) begins in the upper <u>styriacus</u> Zone.

<u>costatus</u> Zone

This, the highest of the wholly Famennian conodont zones, was established by Ziegler in 1962, with three divisions. Only the lowermost was collected from samples also yielding cephalopods, and these indicated a V β age.

The goniatites and clymeniids of the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe had been described in great detail by Schindewolf (1937a) from the railway cutting at Oberrödinghausen. Ziegler (1962) made use of a newly excavated road cutting nearby to detail a sequence ranging throughout the whole of the Famennian. Few ammonoids were recorded in Ziegler's description, and unfortunately a revision of the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe cephalopod faunas by Thiem (see Ziegler 1962, p. 145, footnote 23) was never published. The two authors attempted to correlate precisely the railway and road sections, but without success.

Later Ziegler (1971a) published results of collecting from the railway-cutting, and placed the Middle/Upper <u>costatus</u> Zone boundary at the base of Schindewolf's Bed 7, in the <u>Kamptoclymenia</u> <u>endogona</u> Subzone. The Lower/Middle <u>costatus</u> Zone boundary was placed less certainly at the base of Schindewolf's section, which was, by implication (Schindewolf 1937a) at the boundary between the <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe. This boundary is poorly defined in terms of ammonoid species ranges.

Eickhoff (1973) studied the 28m thick railway-cutting sequence at Oberrödinghausen in its entirety. He considered that the Middle <u>costatus</u> Zone was represented by a much greater thickness of strata than stated by Ziegler. He restricted the Upper <u>costatus</u> Zone to Schindewolf's Bed 1, that is the uppermost part of the <u>Wocklumeria sphaeroides</u> Subzone. The Middle <u>costatus</u> Subzone comprised the rest of Schindewolf's section, and a further 8-10 metres below this, although no ammonoids have been described from this lower level.

Brügge (1973) clearly identified the <u>Platyclymenia/Clymenia</u> Stufen boundary at Alte Heerstrasse, Schleiz, recognised by the appearance of <u>Clymenia</u>, <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> and <u>Costaclymenia</u>. The base of the Lower <u>costatus</u> Subzone lay below this, in the <u>Platy-Clymenia</u> Stufe.

praesulcata and sulcata Zones: Protognathodus faunas

Devonian-Carboniferous boundary

The Devonian-Carboniferous boundary is defined provisionally as the base of the <u>sulcata</u> Zone (Paproth 1980). Faunas of the <u>praesulcata</u> Zone below this, were first recognised by Sandberg

<u>et al</u>. (1972), and a formal zone was later established as the lowest of the otherwise Lower Carboniferous <u>Siphonodella</u> zonation (Sandberg 1978). It is restricted to clastic regressive marine facies, and has been recognised in Germany as low as the Middle <u>costatus</u> Zone (Klapper and Ziegler 1979). Thus it partly overlaps, and continues above the <u>costatus</u> Zone, until the appearance of the <u>sulcata</u> Zone fauna.

In 1969 Ziegler described a newly named <u>Protognathodus</u> fauna, obtained by processing matrix from <u>Acutimitoceras</u> prorsum prorsum and <u>Acut. carinatum</u> collected from Stockum by Schmidt (1924,5). He had identified from the same level one specimen of <u>Gattendorfia</u> and so this fauna was assigned to the Lower Carboniferous. However, Ziegler (1969) and Koch <u>et al</u>. (1970) found none of the conodonts typical of the lowermost Carboniferous <u>dentilineatakockeli</u> Zone (later renamed the <u>sulcata</u> Zone) and so considered the Stockum Limestone horizon as uppermost Devonian in age.

The questions that this apparent contradiction provoked were partly answered by recollecting from the Stockum Limestone freshly exposed by trenching (H. Alberti <u>et al</u>. 1974). The goniatite fauna of the 7cm thick Stockum Limestone was shown to comprise <u>Imitoceras</u> only, and Schmidt's report of a <u>Gattendorfia crassa</u> had been considered (Weyer 1965) to be an incorrect identification of a juvenile <u>Imitoceras</u> with an open umbilicus (but can now be recognised as an <u>Acutimitoceras</u>). Unfortunately no details of the precise nature of the goniatite fauna were published by Alberti <u>et al</u>. but by reference to Schmidt (1924,5) Weyer (1965, 1977) and Vöhringer (1960) they can be interpreted as follows:

Schmidt 1924 Ag. infracarbonicum Ag. intermedius Weyer 1977 <u>I. intermedium</u> <u>I. subbilobatum</u>

<u>Aq. sciotoensis</u> <u>Gatt. crassa</u>

<u>Acut. carinatum</u> <u>Acut. prorsum</u>

Alberti <u>et al</u>. (1974) observed that although no <u>Gattendorfia</u> were encountered at Stockum some of the <u>Imitoceras</u> had an open umbilicus and a lateral lobe centred outside the umbilical seam. They took this as the earliest available evidence of <u>Gattendorfia</u>like characteristics in goniatites, which they said had appeared after the extinction of the clymeniids. Therefore, they proposed that the Stockum Limestone be included within the Carboniferous.

Alberti <u>et al</u>. also investigated the conodont faunas at Stockum. The <u>Protognathodus</u> fauna was divided into an upper and lower part, with and without <u>Prot. kuehni</u>. They admitted the <u>Pr. kuehni</u> was a very rare element of the fauna. It was first encountered in the Stockum Limestone, and, following Collinson <u>et al</u>. 1971, and Sandberg <u>et al</u>. 1972, this was placed in the Lower Carboniferous, with only the lower <u>Protognathodus</u> fauna in the Upper Devonian.

No further relevant information on these horizons has been published, although Korn (1981b) has described species of <u>Imitoceras</u> and <u>Acutimitoceras</u> from a sequence nearby at Müssenberg, developed wholly in limestones, across the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary.

Summary

A summary of the information outlined here can be found in Textfig. 6.3. It can be seen that there are some quite major discrepancies in correlation with the ammonoid zones, between the scheme adopted by Klapper and Ziegler (1979) and a synthesis of all the available information. New data, presented below, largely confirms the scheme adopted by Klapper and Ziegler (1979), in spite of the fact that they did not then, nor earlier, justify their reasons for ignoring some of the correlations they had themselves

Textfig. 6.3

	,	F				2	
Klapper and Ziegler'79		Ammonoid zones	* All published data (see text)		This thesis		
ğ	U.	1	sulcata	subinvoluta	······································		
menia ('IAN		VIB	U Protognath.	carinatum euryomphala	<i>1</i> 15	VIB	U costatus
Wockh		VIQ	u M costatus	paradoxa subarmata	U costatus	VI«	M costatus
ienia ERGN	7	Vβ	U	ornata acuticostata	M COSIL Status	V	L costatus
Clyn DASB	NNAN	Vα	M styriacus	serpentina	<u>Mstyria</u>		U styriacus
clymenia MBERG	JPPER FAMEN	IV	U	annulata	L styriacus	IV	L styriacus
		IIIβ	M velifer	delphinus	M velifera	IĮIβ	L-Mvelifer
Platy HE		<i> </i> //∝	U Marainifera	sandbergeri	U marginifera	<i> </i> //∝	U marginifera
		IIR	L U	pompeckii	Lineopida	IIA	L marginifera
a n S S S		"P	L rhomboidea		U Crep	ΠΡ	U rhomboidea
2 2 2	MENNIAN		U				U crepida
Chei NE		//∝	M crepida L	curvispina	Mcrepida	<i> </i> ∝	U triangularis
			U		- inris -		
s	FRASNAN L. FA	?	Mtriangularis		- inngulu-		
Manticocera: ADORF		18	L	holzapfeli	-Ú triv		

cardad and ammonoid zones can be correlated, using published as indicated ş Information thus the base of the licestants 2 are is reported The inclined lines indicate the uncertainty with which the Zone 1V, and the Vx/VB boundary. * This column north be better understand if moved Correlation between ammonoid and conodont zones. position tetreen

.

presented (e.g. Ziegler 1962, 1971b). The only important error revealed by research for this thesis is in the position of the <u>curvispina/pompeckji</u> Zone boundary in terms of the conodont zonation, and data for the correct position of this was already available in the literature (Altestal, Sandberg and Ziegler 1973, Fuhrmann 1954; Kasig et al. 1979, Schindewolf 1921).

New data relating to the ammonoid/conodont zonal correlation

Approximately 30 conodont samples have been prepared from horizons or ammonoid specimens, either to date accurately typespecimens for museum collections, or to help clarify correlation between the ammonoid and conodont zonations. The results are set out below and summarised in Textfig. 6.3. The initials in brackets indicate which conodont workers kindly dated the samples: WZ = Prof. W. Ziegler, RD = Dr. R. Dreesen, IS = Dr. I. Stewart.

- <u>Cryptoclymenia beaumonti</u> holotype, BSP AS VII 534
 Gattendorf
 Middle Upper <u>costatus</u> Zone (WZ)
- 2) <u>Kosmoclymenia undulata</u>, HU P82.11 Reigern, Bed 33, <u>subarmata</u> Zone Middle <u>costatus</u> Zone (IS)
- 3) <u>Kosmoclymenia undulata</u> (proposed neotype) SMF Reigern, Bed 23, <u>subarmata</u> Zone Lower <u>costatus</u> Zone (IS) N.B. The section at Reigern was dated by Schäfer (1978, Proben 184a/2,1, 184/1-10, abb. 10E) as entirely within the Middle <u>costatus</u> Zone.
- <u>Kosmoclymenia subundulata</u> SM H10388
 Schübelhammer
 Lower <u>costatus</u> Zone (WZ)
- 5) <u>Kosmoclymenia inaequistriata</u> (proposed neotype) SM H10376 Schübelhammer

Upper <u>styriacus</u> - Lower <u>costatus</u> Zone (WZ)

- 7) Kosmoclymenia subundulata SM H10389 Schübelhammer Upper styriacus Zone (WZ) 8) Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> costata BSP AS VII 529 Geuser Lower costatus Zone (WZ) 9) Platyclymenia richteri HU P82.3 Middle - Upper styriacus Zone (RD) Sample 1980/352, HU P82.14 10) Bei1 Lower - Middle styriacus Zone (RD) 11) HU P82.40 Nodule band, Kattensiepen, <u>annulata</u> Zone younger than Middle styriacus Zone (WZ) 12) HU P82.41 Beil, Beds A 1-10 Lower styriacus Zone (RD) 13) ? Gen. Nov. F decorata, BSP AS VII 533 Geuser not younger than Middle velifer Zone (WZ) 14) <u>Cycloclymenia clymenioides</u> (holotype), UEN ? Gattendorf Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone (WZ) 15) Cycloclymenia planorbiformis sensu Gümbel, SM H10375 Gattendorf Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone (WZ) 化合金 化乙酰基苯基 16) 1980/378 방송, 2월 - 2월 2017년 1917년 19 Geuser, includes Ps. sedgwicki Upper marginifera Zone (IS) and the states in the states of the states o 17) Pseudoclymenia dillensis, DK 28 Beil, $III\alpha$ marginifera Zone (IS) 18) Bed 9f
 - Beil, II8 Lower marginifera Zone (RD)

6) Cymaclymenia sp. a (= warsteinensis Korn partim) HU P82.5 Effenberg

? Upper styriacus - ? Lower costatus Zone (IS)

- 19) Bed 11g Beil IIβ, lowest level with <u>Sporadoceras</u> lowermost <u>marginifera</u> Zone (RD)
- 20) Bed 15a Beil II α , lowest level with <u>Dimeroceras</u> Upper <u>rhomboidea</u> Zone (RD)
- 21) Nodules with Gen. nov. sp. nov. (= <u>Falcitornoceras falciculum</u> <u>falciculum</u>, House and Price, in preparation) Nehden, IIα
 - a) Upper <u>crepida</u> Zone (WZ)
 - b) <u>rhomboidea</u> Zone (RD)

The important points from these results are summarised below, with the relevant samples referred to by numbers in the left-hand margin. The base of the <u>pompeckji</u> Zone, recognised at Beil (see Chapter 7) by the appearance in Bed 11g of <u>Sporadoceras (Sp.)</u> <u>biferum lies in the lowermost marginifera</u> Zone (19). Previously the <u>curvispina/pompeckji</u> Zone boundary has been correlated with that between the <u>crepida</u> and <u>rhomboidea</u> Zones. <u>Dimeroceras</u> appears below in Bed 15a, <u>curvispina</u> Zone, falling in the Upper <u>rhomboidea</u> Zone (20).

<u>Pseudoclymenia</u>, which appears in the <u>sandbergeri</u> Zone, was collected from a level yielding a <u>marginifera</u> Zone fauna at Beil (17). A level below this, Bed 9f, yielded a <u>pompeckji</u> Zone ammonoid fauna together with Lower <u>marginifera</u> Zone conodonts (18). A sample from Geuser, Oberfranken, which contained <u>Pseudoclymenia sedgwicki</u> yielded an Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone fauna (19). Matrix from two species of <u>Cycloclymenia</u> (14,15) yielded an Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone fauna, also. Previously this genus has been thought to occur in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe.

Unfortunately no <u>velifer</u> Zone faunas collected <u>in situ</u> have been isolated. However, Ziegler (1971a) did report a Middle <u>vel</u>-<u>ifer</u> Zone fauna from the <u>delphinus</u> Zone at Hövel, recognised by the presence of Cyrt. involuta and Prol. delphinus.

Faunas indicative of the <u>annulata</u> Zone have yielded Lower <u>styriacus</u> (12), Middle <u>styriacus</u> (11) and Middle-Upper <u>styriacus</u> (9) Zone faunas. Above this level common clymeniid species appear to have relatively long ranges, and no conodont faunas have been obtained from any of the index fossils for the <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufen. <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> <u>subundulata</u> has been recorded from rocks yielding a Lower-Middle <u>styriacus</u> (10) and a Lower <u>costatus</u> (4) Zone fauna. Matrix from the neotype of <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>inaequistriata</u> yielded an Upper <u>styriacus</u> - Lower <u>costatus</u> Zone fauna (5). <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> <u>undulata</u> has been collected from levels yielding a Lower (3) and Middle (2) <u>costatus</u> Zone fauna. None of these three species is restricted to one particular ammonoid zone, although fauna 2 was obtained from a level within the <u>subarmata</u> Zone.

Occurrence of these species of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> allows the upper part of the lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe to be correlated with the Middle <u>styriacus</u> Zone, the upper <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe to be correlated with the Upper <u>styriacus</u> Zone and the <u>subarmata</u> Zone to be correlated with the Middle <u>costatus</u> Zone.

Appendix 1

Faunal lists used to date conodont samples detailed above. Workers who identified the species were indicated by their initials above.

	Sample	Specimen	Conodont fauna
1		BSP AS VII 534	Bispathodus ultimus and related forms
2	1,2 3 6,7	HU P82.11	<u>Palmatolepis gracilis gonioclymeniae</u> <u>Pa. g. gracilis</u> <u>Pa. g. sigmoidalis</u>
	20,34,37 17,18 19.?24 23 26	n di serai i	<u>Spathognathodus inornatus</u> <u>Bi. costatus</u> <u>Pseudopolygnathus cf. dentilineatus</u> <u>Bi. aculeatus aculeatus</u> Bi. stabilis
3 4		SMF SM H10388	<u>Bi</u> . <u>aculeatus</u> <u>Bi</u> . <u>aculeatus</u> <u>Bi</u> . <u>costatus</u> <u>Pa</u> . <u>gracilis</u> <u>early <u>Bi</u>. <u>jugosus</u></u>
5		SM H10376	<u>Bi. stabilis</u> " <u>Spathognathodus</u> " <u>fissilis</u>
			" <u>Sp</u> ." <u>werneri</u> <u>Pa</u> . <u>gracilis</u>
6		HU P82.5	<u>Polygnathus communis communis</u> <u>Bi</u> . <u>stabilis</u>
7		SM H10389	<u>Pa. styriacus</u> (late form) <u>Bi. stabilis</u> morphotypes 2 and 3
8		BSP AS VII 529	<u>Pa. gracilis gracilis</u> <u>Pa. g. sigmoidalis</u> <u>Pa. schindewolfi</u>
9		HU P82.3	<u>Pa. gracilis gracilis</u> <u>Pa. g. sigmoidalis</u>

		<u>Pa. minuta minuta</u> <u>Po. granulosus</u>
10	1980/352	<u>Pa. g. sigmoidalis</u> <u>Pa. perlobata schindewolfi</u> <u>Pa. styriaca</u> <u>Pa. subirregularis</u>
11	HU P82.40	<u>Scaphignathus</u> <u>subserratus</u>
12	HU P82.41	<u>Pa. g. sigmoidalis</u> <u>Pa. perlobata schindewolfi</u> <u>Bi. stabilis</u> <u>Po. styriacus</u>
13	BSP AS VII 533	<u>Pa. glabra distorta</u> Pa. schindewolfi
14	HU P82.42	Pa. glabra pectinata Pa. maxima Pa. gracilis gracilis Pa. minuta Pa. schleizia Icriodus alternatus
15	SM H10375	<u>Pa. schindewolfi</u> Pa. glabra pectinata
		<u>Pa. glabra acuta</u> <u>Pa. helmsi</u> <u>Pa. cf. grossi</u> <u>Pa. glabra distorta</u> ?
16	HU P82.43	Pa. marginifera marginifera Pa. perlobata schindewolfi Pa. glabra distorta Pa. gracilis gracilis Pa. perlobata grossi Pa. minuta schleizia Po. glaber bilobatus
17	HU P82.44	No details
18	HU P82.45	<u>Pa. marginifera marginifera</u> <u>Pa. glabra pectinata</u> <u>Pa. inflexa inflexoidea</u>

Pa. perlobata schindewolfi

				<u>Pa</u> .	glabra acuta
				<u>Pa</u> .	<u>q1. lepta</u>
				<u>Pa</u> .	<u>gracilis</u> g <u>racilis</u>
				<u>Pa</u> .	<u>minuta</u> <u>minuta</u>
				<u>Po</u> .	cf. <u>rhomboideus</u>
19		HU	P82.46	<u>Pa</u> .	<u>minuta</u> minuta
				<u>Pa</u> .	<u>inflexa</u> <u>inflexoidea</u>
				Pa.	<u>stoppeli vel marginifera</u>
				Pa.	<u>glabra</u> p <u>ectinata</u>
				Pa.	perlobata <u>schindewolfi</u>
				<u>Po</u> .	<u>glaber</u> <u>glaber</u>
20		HU	P82.47	Pa.	subperlobata
				<u>Pa</u> .	tenuipunctata
				<u>Pa</u> .	rhomboidea
				Pa.	glabra prima
		And a start of the	n Rodals	Pa.	gracilis gracilis
				<u>Po</u> .	communis communis
				<u>Po</u> .	cf. <u>bouckaerti</u>
				<u>Po</u> .	glaber glaber
21a	WZ	HU	P82.48	<u>Pa</u> .	<u>glabra</u> p <u>ectinata</u>
				<u>Pa</u> .	<u>gl. acuta</u>
				Pa.	<u>gl. elongata</u>
b	RD	· HU	P82.49	<u>Pa</u> .	rhomboidea
				<u>Pa</u> .	<u>minuta</u> minuta
			*	<u>Pa</u> .	<u>glabra</u> p <u>ectinata</u>
				<u>Pa</u> .	tenuipunctata
				Pa.	<u>subperlobata</u>

This is a single set of the second second

Collecting localities and details of faunas

لەيدى ئەرىيەت ئەتلەيلەرلەردى كەلەيدىنى بىلەردىن ئەتلەر بەر يەرىيە ئەرلەر بەردۇ بەردىن بەردۇ بەردىنى ئەر قىلى ئەرىيەت ئەتلەيلەردى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەركى بەردىنى بەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى بەتلەرلەر يەتلەتلەر بىلەر بەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى بەركىت بىلەر بىلەر بەردىنى ئەتلەرلەر ئەتلەتلەر ئەتلەيلەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىن ئەتلەرلەر ئەتلەيلەر ئەتلەيلەر ئەتلەيلەر ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى ئەردىنى

energia energia de transferencia en transferencia en transferencia en la conserva en la conserva en la conserva

Introduction

This chapter includes details of all localities mentioned in this thesis, and specimens collected for the study. The mapsheet on which each locality occurs is listed in the first part. The second part details each of the sections from which specimens were collected and includes locality maps, measured sections, photographs and some analysis of the age and significant elements of each sample. Appendix 1 lists specimens deposited in the collections of the University of Hull. Samples are listed in Appendix 2.

Localities mentioned in the text

Localities mentioned in German literature and palaeontological collections in museums are generally referred to and organised using the names and numbers of the 1:25 000 topographic maps, e.g. Beil, Mtb (= Messtischblatt) 4613 Balve. This practice is adopted here.

Kutscher and Schmidt (1958) published four useful maps showing German Devonian fossil localities, 1:25 000 map-sheet names, and Devonian geology. A combined geological map and key is shown in Textfig. 1.6, provided to help the reader locate localities in the Sauerland mentioned in the text, and Textfig. 7.1 shows localities in Thuringia, Sächsische Vogtland and Oberfranken.

The following localities are referred to in this thesis:

$= e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{$. J	Map Sheet	Co-ordinates	
Ainkhausen	4613	Balve	e262	n963
Altestal		Zellerfeld	e380	n460
Ballberg (see Hövel)	13	n a fair an the second s		ې د د د
Beil	4613	Balve	e210	n959
Bensberg	5008	Mülheim am Rhein	e803	n491

Beringhausen	4518	Madfeld		
Borke-Wehr	4613	Balve	e221	n887
Bilsteinhöhle	4515	Hirschberg	e532	n984
Burg	4613	Balve	e227	n895
Cromford	4607	Heiligenhaus	e600	n86 2
Dachskühle	4708	Elberfeld	e717	n845
Dahlsen	4513	Neheim-Hüsten	e275	n965
Dasberg	4613	Balve	e242	n935
Deinstrop	4613	Balve	e238	n957
Drewer	4516	Warstein	e555	n067
Effenberg	4613	Balve	e278	n959
Elbersreuth	5735	Schwarzenbach am Wald	e685	n681
Ense	4820	Bad Wildungen		
Geuser	5734	Wallenfels	e616	n666
Hauern				
Heinersreuth	5735	Schwarzenbach am Wald	e708	n6 7 8
Hövel	4613	Balve	e246	n922
Kasberg	4613	Balve	e225	n867
Kattensiepen	4516	Warstein	e579	n037
Kirch-Gattendorf	5638	Bobenneukirchen	e766	n005
Kirschofen	5515	Weilburg		
Melschede (see Hövel)				
Müssenberg	4613	Balve	e293	n957
Nehden	4517	Alme		
Oberrödinghausen	4613	Balve	e194	n961
Ose	4512	Menden	e 1 57	n969
Reigern	4613	Balve	e298	n953
Schübelhammer	5735	Schwarzenbach am Wald	e678	n693
Sessacker	5216	0bersche1d		, 11 2
Stockum	4713	Plettenberg	e302	n846
Üllendahl	4708	Elberfeld	2 2 2	
Velbert	4608	Velbert	e80	n83
Wäschho1z	5735	Schwarzenbach am Wald	e755	n695
Wocklum (see Borke-				
Wehr)		e fillen an transformer an transformer and the second second second second second second second second second s		
Wettmarsen	4613	Balve	e247	n943

Localities from which collections were made are described individually, below.



Map showing localities in Thuringia, Oberfranken and Sachsische Vogtland which are mentioned in the text. Detailed maps of the areas in small boxes are provided in Textfig. 7.15

Plate 7.1

Beil. Illustrations showing (a) location of outcrops A-D, and upper part of Trench E, and (b) the full extent of the trench. A map of the trench, and associated outcrops is provided in Textfig. 7.10. Detailed sections are shown on Textfig. 7.9. Textfig. 7.2a indicates precisely where the sections are to be found on the illustrations, and Textfig. 7.2b is a detailed map of the area covered by the illustrations.



Textfig. 7.2a





- Outcrop at Beil, location A. Beds numbered in the detailed section (Textfig. 7.9) are indicated in the sketch opposite, Textfig. 7.3a. The scale is lm in length.
- b Outcrops at Beil, location Cl. Beds are numbered below in Textfig. 7.3b. The scale is 50cms in length.



Textfig. 7.3a Beil, outcrop A



v



a Beil location A, with Bed numbers indicated on the sketch below, (Textfig. 7.4a.



b Beil, location H. This is the outcrop referred to by Ziegler (1962) as "untere Klippe". Bed numbers are shown above on Textfig. 7.4b. The scale is 1.25m long.



а

Plate 7.4

- a Ainkhausen quarry (4613 Balve: e262 n963). The inset shows the quarry face profile. The scale is 1.25m in length. The two blocks in the foreground were the principal sources of material.
- b Bilsteinhöhle, old shooting range (4515 Hirschberg: e532, n 989). A profile of this section was given by Clausen et al. (1979, fig. 4). Numbers in square boxes on the sketch (Textfig. 7.5d) were visible painted on the rock face in 1980. Threefigure numbers are sample numbers. Clausen et al. demonstrated that the bedding planes were not regular, being affected by slumping.





Bilsteinhöhle

Profile Ainkhausen 96 100 26 m Warstein

Textfig. 7.5a Sketch

-map of Ainkhausen.




PLATE 7.4



З

Plate 7.5

Hövel, old quarry on the B229, 1.5km south south west of Hövel (4613 Balve e246 n922).

- a Section C, which is detailed in Textfig. 7.6a. The scale is 1.25m in length. Details of beds are given in Textfig. 7.16a.
- b View of the main quarry, showing the prominent change in lithology coincident with the Annulata Shale. The scale is 2.5m in length. Bed numbers are after Ziegler 1971a, and details are given in Textfig. 7.16b.







Textfig. 7.6b Sketch map of old quarry near Hövel

PLATE 7.5



а

Plate 7.6

- a Drewer (4516 Warstein: e555 n067). Slumping in upper Famennian limestones at the Ost Provinzial Steinbruch, north face. The scale is 4m in length.
- b Reigern Quarry (4613 Balve: e298 n393). Bed numbers are shown on the sketch below (Textfig. 7.7b). A detailed section is given in Textfig. 7.20. The scale is 1.25m in length.

Textfig. 7.7a Sketch map of Reigern



Textfig. 7.7b Measured profile at Reigern.



PLATE 7.6





Collecting localities

Ainkhausen e262 n963 4613 Balve P1. 7.4a, Textfigs. 7,5a,b,7.8

A small quarry reached by a track running for 250m north from the large farm-house in the hamlet of Ainkhausen. Exposed in the quarry are 20m of red nodular Famennian limestones (Pl. 7.4a), dipping at 14E/342. The inset profile on the plate shows the notch caused by the Annulata Shale. Schäfer (1978, p. 93 Probe 6) dated the limestones as ranging from the <u>marginifera</u> Zone at the base, to the Middle/Upper <u>velifer</u> Zone at the top of the section. Above this is the Lower Carboniferous Kieselschiefer, in which a bituminous limestone was dated as of <u>anchoralis</u> Zone age.

Ammonoids were collected only from the loose block labelled 2 in Textfig. 7.5b. This was some 60cm thick, and is believed to have fallen from the overhanging ledge forming the lower part of Bed 2. The following specimens were collected: 79/2, 51-60, 2081; 79/23, 61-71; 79/25, 72-76. The presence of <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>richteri</u> (3,61) and <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Trig</u>.) <u>spinosa</u> (70) suggest an <u>annulata</u> Zone age. The occurrence of <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> sp. (62) points to the possibility of recognising an upper division to the <u>annulata</u> Zone.

Beil e210 n960 4613 Balve Pl. 7.1-3, Textfigs. 7.2-4,9-11, 21

This locality is arguably the most important for study of Upper Devonian ammonoids in central Europe. Faunas ranging in age from middle Frasnian to the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe can be collected here (see Textfig. 7.2b): middle Frasnian red nodular limestones, quarry K; middle Frasnian grey limestones, sample point 79/102, exposed in a shallow surface trench; Kellwasser Limestones and nodular limestones in which lie the Frasnian/Famennian boundary,





showing localities

202	T		· · · ·												_																			- - -	
										·							+										'.				`		r	L	Ц
	-																								•								<u>!</u>		
16														·																			· •	•	
352																														\bot	•	•	•		
																	-									1	:		•		•	•		-	·
2		_																											•	·	•	•			•
6																									:	i	i	i	•	•	,	•		•	
9																										T	i	1	•	•	•	•	. •	•	·
10	Γ																		:							•			•	,		,		•	
25			********									<u> </u>								;		Т			•	· •	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	
30																·.·			÷	+		-		Т	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•
32/3	\vdash							- -								- · .			Ť	Ť		1		•	•	•							•		
40	-																						Ť	 •		<u>.</u>	•								
42	┢─																		<u>i</u> -		-+	+-													
16								-											-i-				•	·	•			· · ·							
- 40 			•••••							·									_Ļ	_ _	-+-	•				•	•	•			•	·	•	•	
50								`	` <u> </u>									-1-	<u>i</u> _	_ i _		•	•			·			•		•				
<u> </u>	-																	1_	· · ·	•		• •	•	•			<u>.</u>	·		<u> </u>	•				
(2/1)	ļ																		·		•	•		•	•		•	•				•		•	
(4/3)	<u> </u>		-	<u></u>						·								• •			•	· •	•	•					•.	. • 		•	•••••••		
9f		~		•								·····							·	·	•		·	•	•		•				·		· 	•	
99	[··	.							<u>е</u>	-	:				-	•	· · · ·			<u> </u>						· .	•					•	
10a	ļ											-								•	•		•	•	·	•			•	•		•	•		· .
105										•												•	•		<u> </u>	·	•	•		· .	···.	•		·	
10c																			•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	`			•			•	
10f	Ŀ																			,			•	· .		•	•			•	•	• •	· · ·		
11a																		•		•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	·	•		•	•	•	•	•	·
116			2	1		14	·	<u>e :</u>					1.17	с. С. 4	e je				18	•	-!.			2 • y	•	23	<u>.</u>		i en	• .			•	1947	•
11g												T				Τ	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•
(12/3)	Π								÷ .						Γ	•	•••	· •	· •	•			•	•			·		••		•	···.		-	·
14a	Ħ								1				T	Τ			,	•		•	•	,		•			•	•			•	•	•		
146	Π			a da	Т		1.	et 12	1	ŀ						•			•	۰.	•	•	· · ·	•		•	•		•	•	•	•		•	·
14c	Π	Т	*				T		1	•				•	•		•	ć	•	•		,	,	•		•			•	•			•	•	
14d	H	- 			+	····	T			•		÷	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		,		•	•	•	•	-
15a	Ħ														•	•		•	. ,		•	•	• .	• .		•			•	• •	•	•			
15c	+	+			-+-		+-		•	 ·					• • • •							•	•	•	•	•	•••••	•	•	•			· · ·		
2	\uparrow				-		+-			•	1		·			•			·····			•	•			•		•	•	•	•••••				_
216	┟┼	-+-		<u></u>	-+-	Т	-	Т			- <u>-</u>		•			•	•		· · ·		·		•	,	•	•		•			•		• ,	•••••	-
(23)	H	-+						_		·	•		i		مىسىنىت 1				 ,		•									•					
(25)		-+		- (······					- i																							
(23)	\square													<u>-</u>							<u>.</u>	÷		÷	· · · ·			<u> </u>					- <u>-</u>	<u> </u>	
										-												•7•				•, •			4	۰.					
		-		•											•	5		,				erg				177	٤,	1	1.50	in the	a				
		· .			•							•	;;	÷		Ĵ.		•	÷	ų	• •:	ent	•		•	131	11:	sto.	257	i Gi	8014			•	
	5	·		12	3	(Intra	124	•	•		i fei		1		·	4	22		1715	Engli	orro	ick.		e	•	quei	6.23	e i	2.4.2	32	spi	•	٠. دم	12	2
a de la compañía de la	F		tw	100	ind	172.	10.01	1073	1	1730	1		ů,		est.	÷.	-E	٥,	ten:	it so	Ę	81	32.0			~	-	-		-	2	•	กระ	Ľ	1.14
	Su	ck)	111	v:1	อาณ	юŗ:	33	101	101	ote	1.	a	r.,)	5	1270	l at	1 52	1	E.	. Z	÷.	9	(Jut	1.1	2	lat.	111	tat	iii	10	62	iun s	ele	710	7
	15	adu	1.1.0	12	Cal'	ani	t.)	arit	2.24	16	F	<u>.</u>	277	P.R.	m	2	1	11:	, i	1	a.	0	110	ac.	100	(F)	(F)	(P		н.	Ξ	i ni	en.	Ŭ,	8
14. 14. s	1.2	3	12	at.	.7	2.1	3	11	6	51	210	Ζ.	1 cr	aro	ve	S	S	ਚ	5	3	3	2	110		Ċ,		ų,	.2	ċ			ġ	~	Сы	1.1
	3	3		Fum	lor		C.F		3	ne l	1.11	Gen	Cf.	12:	3	1.	đ	0		cb.	52.	Gen	÷.	170	i'r.	710	F.La	110	- 19 - 1 - 14	T.	11 14	£	Cat	0.1	3074
· · · · ·	Ĺ						_	-																											
	2				_							•																					2		
	 . #		r 1	•	7.1	1	г	aur	ial	ra	Li ž		<u>э.</u> .	r t	- 44	1 -1	1 (500	eeT	'e XI	t t i	к.	7.	9	L U I	51	աթ	Luis	s).						

Construction of the second seco

quarry K (see Wedekind 1913b); the same faunal levels must be exposed in quarry F, but no identifiable fauna could be collected from here; limestones of <u>crepida</u> to <u>marginifera</u> Zone age are exposed in outcrop D,Trench E, sample point 367, and probably in quarry G; <u>velifer</u> Zone limestones are present in quarry H and in outcrops A,B and possibly C and D; <u>styriacus</u> Zone limestones are present at the top of outcrop A, and fossiliferous levels have been now largely removed from outcrops I,J and from a trench (sample 353) marked by the dotted line.

Authors have reported faunas from these localities in the past: Wedekind 1913b (quarries K,L); Wedekind 1914 (unknown locality, possibly the now obscured quarry 10m north east of sample point 367, <u>annulata</u> Zone fauna); Lange 1929 (outcrop I is the remains of his trench; Ziegler 1962 (quarry H is his "untere Klippe", and outcrop A his "obere Klippe"); Schäfer 1978 (his Probe 250 came from the upper part of quarry F and Trench E was commissioned for him to study lower Famennian conodont faunas, reported as Probe 210). Schindewolf and Paeckelmann collected a large fauna from the <u>Gonioclymenia</u> Stufe, which now lies unstudied in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin.

The following specimens were collected for this study:

2211-43,60	<u>in situ</u> from Trench E
2244	outcrop Consider Balance Constant
2245-6	quarry H is a state for the first the second s
2247-87	outcrop A
2288-97	sample point 353
2298-2301	outcrop A to high a shall be a state of the state of the state
2302	sample point 367
2303-6	outcrop A contribution in the second second
2307-11	outcrop J
2312-13	sample point 352 hit a watches and with the dama of the
2314-5	sample point 352
	collected from the debris from Trench E

488

Specimens collected in situ from Trench E were obtained by Sarah Gatley and Tony Beese in November 1977, when the trench was still continuously exposed. A number of specimens (2316 et seq.) were collected from the trench spoil in 1979/80, but their original horizon cannot be accurately determined. They are not listed here. When the trench was being excavated students from the University of Marburg collected 150 specimens from the debris, noting their position in metres from the base of the trench. This distance was correlated with particular beds by means of the diagram in Textfig. 7.21. Identifiable specimens are listed below. Two points of interest arose from these specimens. Complex cheiloceratids (Parat. umbilicatum) enter in Bed 25 (Bed 15 in the in situ faunas) and Gen. Nov. aff. Dimeroceras appears in Bed 23 (Bed 14 in the in situ faunas). However the significance of these records is reduced because it is not wholly certain whence the specimens came.

No.	Bed	Marburg
2	25	<u>Cheil</u> . <u>circumflexum</u> (Sandberger and Sandberger)
14	25	Chpompeckji Wedekind
17	25	Torn. sp.
18	25	<u>Ch. subpartitum</u> (Münster)
19	25	<u>Ch. subpartitum</u> (Münster)
21	25	<u>Ch. subpartitum</u> (Münster)
33	25	<u>Ch. subpartitum</u> (Münster)
37	25	Paratorleyoceras umbilicatum (Sandberger and
		Sandberger)
41(ii)	23	Cheil. subpartitum (Wedekind)
42	23	Torleyoceras curvispina (Sandberger and Sandberger)
44	23	Gen. Nov. aff. <u>Dimeroceras</u>
70	21	<u>Cheil. subpartitum</u> (Münster)
86		<u>Cheil.</u> <u>subpartitum</u> (Münster)
89		Cheil. sacculum (Sandberger and Sandberger)
99	an the second second Second	Cheil. planilobum (Sandberger and Sandberger)
113	13	Cheil. sacculum (Sandberger and Sandberger)

489

115	14	<u>Cheil. pompeckji</u> (Wedekind)
119	14	Cheil. aff. amblylobum (Sandberger and Sandberger)
131	13/12	Cheil. circumflexum (Sandberger and Sandberger)
142	13/12	<u>Cheil</u> . <u>verneuili</u> (Münster)
163	4/3	<u>Sporadoceras biferum</u> (Phillips)
165	2/1	<u>Sporadoceras biferum</u> (Phillips)

The few specimens obtained from Trench E, and the outcrops above, are most important for they allow a correlation to be made between the conodont and ammonoid zonation schemes in the lower Famennian. Unfortunately the Frasnian/Famennian boundary lies in either relatively unfossiliferous levels at the top of quarry F, or under the path which runs across between there and the trench.

Trench E

Schäfer (1978) provided conodont dates for the trench, and ammonoids were later collected from the same level. Unfortunately a 6.5m thick gap in the succession occurs in the middle of the trench between Beds 20 and 14.

Only one specimen (2211) could be identified from the lower part of the trench. This is a weathered example of <u>Prot</u>. cf. <u>planidorsatum</u> and was associated with an Upper <u>crepida</u> Zone fauna. <u>Torleyoceras curvispina</u> (2212) was collected from Bed 15, where there is no conodont date available, but Bed 14, immediately above, a distinctive dark red limestone, yielded <u>Ch. circumflexum</u> (2260), <u>Ch. pompeckji</u> (2216), <u>Torl</u>. <u>curvispina</u> (2217-8), <u>Paratorleyoceras</u> <u>globosum</u> (2219) and a <u>rhomboidea</u> Zone conodont fauna. Bed 14 also yielded a thickly discoidal carinate ventered goniatite identified as <u>Paratornoceras</u> sp. nov. cf. <u>lentiforme sensu</u> Petersen. This can be compared with <u>Parat</u>. <u>lentiforme</u> (2302) which is oxyconic from early whorls. It was collected loose at sample point 367, and may also come from this level since it has a similar matrix.

A number of specimens from this bed have been identified as

Gen. Nov. aff. <u>Dimeroceras</u> (2220,1,3). These differ from true <u>Dimeroceras</u> by having an umbilical lobe centred very near to the umbilical seam, and not on the flank, as illustrated by Wedekind (1908). The ventro-lateral lobe is situated very close to the shoulder and has a characteristic flexure on the ventrad slope, which precludes confusion with <u>Cheiloceras</u>. Petersen (1975) also reported forms like this from the Canning Basin.

All the faunas encountered up to this level would be placed in the <u>curvispina</u> Zone.

The earliest <u>Sporadoceras</u> were encountered in Bed 11; <u>Sp</u>. (<u>Sp</u>.) aff. <u>biferum</u> with a shallow adventive lobe in the lower part, and <u>Sp</u>. (<u>Sp</u>.) <u>biferum</u> in the upper part and in Beds 10 and 9. It is interesting to note that no <u>Cheiloceras</u> were collected in this, the most prolific part of the section and nor were any specimens collected with a broad adventive lobe bound by angular saddles, which could be identified as <u>Maeneceras</u> <u>pompeckji</u>, the index for the upper <u>Cheiloceras</u> Stufe zone. No ammonoids were collected from Beds 8-1. Beds 11-9 would be placed in the <u>pompeckji</u> Zone and Schäfer placed Beds 13-14 in the Lower <u>marginifera</u> Zone. Beds 4-1 are in the Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone.

Outcrop C

The lowest part of outcrop A was dated by Ziegler (1962) as Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone, so it is reasonable to assume that occurrence of <u>Pseudoclymenia dillensis</u> (2244), collected from outcrop C lying between there and the top of the trench, allows the equivalence of the Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone and the <u>sandbergeri</u> Zone to be established.

Outcrop A

Ziegler (1962) identified five conodont faunas from Outcrop A,

后期 人名法德 动象膜上背上紧张的现在分词 计推翻制设置数据 建丁化合金 建长分生化合金

ranging from the Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone to the Middle <u>velifer</u> Zone. It is not certain whether the top of Ziegler's section coincides with Bed 10 or Bed 1. It is a straight-forward section to measure and its base is clearly visible and not prone to erosion, and so measuring error is unlikely. Therefore, Ziegler's Sample 1 is correlated with the top of Bed 10 (see Textfig. 7.9).

<u>Sporadoceras posthumum</u> (2248) and <u>muensteri</u> (2250) were collected from Bed 45, and <u>Sp. inflexum</u> (2251) from Bed 42. The earliest clymeniid was recorded from Bed 42 (2263). Unfortunately this level lies between Ziegler's Samples 8 (Lower <u>velifer</u> Zone) and 9 (Upper <u>marginifera</u> Zone) so precise correlation cannot be achieved. Further specimens were obtained from Beds 32/3 (2254-6, 64-5). An unidentifiable <u>Platyclymenia</u> (2298) and Gen. Nov. <u>D stuckenbergi</u> were collected from Bed 25, in the Middle <u>velifer</u> Zone.

The upper part of outcrop A (Beds 9-1), not sampled by Ziegler, but dated by Dreesen (see Chapter 6, Fauna 12) as Lower <u>styriacus</u> Zone, yielded a large diverse fauna including, <u>inter alia</u>, <u>Prionoceras frechi</u> and <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>richteri</u>. This level is considered to lie beneath that sampled by Lange 1929. Beds 9-1 are distinctive white-grey weathering biomicrites.

Sample point 353

Approximately 150m above Beds 9-1 (marked on Textfig. 7.2b as sample point 353) is a level which has obviously yielded many specimens to German amateur collectors, for they saw fit in the winter of 1979 to excavate a trench 1.5m wide and over 300m in length. Perhaps this was the horizon located by Wedekind and Lange. Specimens 2288-97 were obtained from here.

Outcrop J

A section at point J yielded fauna comprising Plat. (Trig.)

spinosa (2310,1), Prionoceras divisum and Carinoclymenia.

Sample point 352

Specimens of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> were collected loose from the surface at sample point 352. Matrix from HU P82.14 yielded a Lower-Middle <u>styriacus</u> Zone conodont fauna. This level undoubtedly lies in the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, and it is overlain by lower Carboniferous lydites.

Bilsteinhöhle e5321 n9893, 4515 Hirschberg Pl. 7.4b, Textfigs. 7.5c,d

Faunas from this section, situated in an old shooting-range approximately 400m south east of the entrance to Bilsteinhöhle, 3km south west of Warstein, have recently been described by Clausen <u>et al</u>. (1979). Collections from silicified limestones made for this study largely confirm their conclusions. The following specimens, mostly internal moulds, were obtained:

Sample	Specimen Nos.	Age		
79/124	77-79 <u>evo</u>	<u>luta</u> Subzone		
79/117	80-82			
79/122	83-84 <u>sph</u>	aeroides Subzon	e ta ta ta ta ta ta ta ta ta	
79/126	85-87 par	adoxa Zone	 A state of the st	• • • • •
79/129	89-93, 95-98 mid	<u>Clymenia</u> Stufe	– <u>paradoxa</u> Zone	
79/128	94			
79/127	99-102, 106	· · · · · · · · ·		an an an
79/130	103-105 <u>sph</u>	aeroides Subzon	e	

Not all of the samples contained species sufficient for precise zonal discrimination. Important in this respect were; <u>Cyma.</u> cf. <u>evoluta</u> (79), <u>Wock. sphaeroides</u> (83, 105), <u>Para. para-<u>doxa</u> (77, 93), <u>Gon.</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>wocklumensis</u> (85, 94), <u>Imit.</u> <u>quadripartitum</u> (96).</u>

493

Borke-Wehr e221 n186 4613 Balve Textfig. 7,12

This small quarry situated 300m north of point 241, 1.5km east south east of Balve, is the type locality of <u>Para</u>. <u>paradoxa</u> and lies on the slopes of the eponymous hill, Wocklum. A section through the <u>Gattendorfia</u> Stufe was given by Ziegler 1971a, below this lie 1.45m of Hangenberg Schiefer, with nodular limestones of uppermost <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe age below. A section is given in Textfig. 7.12b. Fauna collected includes:

Bed	Specimen no.	•	Age
С	107-8		<u>sphaeroides</u> Subzone
b/d	109-10		paradoxa Zone

Dasberg e241 n950 4613 Balve Textfig. 7.13

Schäfer (1978, p. 95) gave details of a trenched section through the upper Famennian at Dasberg, type locality for the Dasbergian. This trench was filled in some years ago, and the outcrops are no longer accessible. Two small outcrops yielded specimens. Near the roadside a cutting exposed mid-<u>Clymenia</u> Stufe age highly weathered white rubbly limestones, and numerous specimens of <u>Cl. laevigata</u> were collected. Small outcrops 70m along a track into the forest yielded a red-grey biomicrite including specimens of <u>Gon</u>. (Subgen. Nov. <u>A</u>) <u>brevispina</u> and <u>Piri</u>. <u>piriformis</u>.

Drewer e555 n710 4516 Warstein P1.7.6a

Sections in this quarry formed the basis for Schmidt's (1921) biostratigraphic study. It is very difficult to collect faunas here today. Slumping in the northern wall is illustrated in Plate 7.6a.









Effenberg e277 n957 4613 Balve Textfig. 7.14

A profile for this section is given in Textfig. 7.14. Greyred upper Famennian nodular limestones form the overburden in an active quarry exploiting massive Lower Famennian limestones. Consequently no map is given, and the section has now been destroyed.

Faunas were collected from three levels, and included the following:

Sample	Specimen No.	Age
80/123	112-14, 2056-60	? <u>subarmata</u> Zone
80/120	115, 116	
80/112	117-23, 2055	upper <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe

The most distinctive fauna comes from sample 79/112, and includes an undescribed species of <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> (117) (= <u>effen-</u> <u>bergensis</u>, Korn and Price, in preparation), also encountered in Bed 9 at Hövel.

Geuser e615 n668 5734 Wallenfels Textfig. 7.15A

Approximately 20m of grey massive Upper Devonian limestones are exposed in a disused quarry south west of the hamlet of Geuser, 4km south of Wallenfels, Frankenwald. At the top of the quarry, in a dangerous position which precluded accurate measurement of the section, are approximately 50cms of thinly bedded grey limestones, from which a large fauna was collected. Gümbel (1863, p. 112) mentioned a locality "Fundorte vom Grafen zu Münster... beidem Dorf Geiser im oberen Zeyernbach-Thälchen", which description fits this quarry. It is interpreted as Münster's locality "Geiser".

The fauna comprised numerous specimens of <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> (131-69) including <u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster), which hitherto has



has been recognised as a <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>. Matrix from these specimens (378) yielded a <u>marginifera</u> Zone conodont fauna. Also collected from here were, what must on this evidence be considered as early, clymeniids: <u>Platyclymenia</u> sp. (124-6), Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>kayseri</u> (129, 130) and Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> aff. <u>costata</u> (21).

Hövel e246 n923 4613 Balve P1. 7,5, Textfigs. 7.6a-d, 7.16a,b, 7.17

This disused quarry on the B229 1km south west of Hövel is the most important locality for lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe faunas. The limestones exposed range in age from the <u>marginifera</u> to ?<u>costatus</u> Zones. Sections for this quarry have been published by Lange (1929) and Ziegler (1962, 1971a). Ammonoid faunas have been reported by Wedekind 1914, Schmidt (1924), Lange (1929) and Ziegler (1962, 1971). The horizon of the bulk of ammonoid material collected from here (by Wedekind and Torley) was not recorded. Wedekind established his lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe division here, characterised by <u>Clymenia hoevelensis</u>.

Lange's (1929, p. 26) measured section cannot be directly related to the present outcrop. Plate 7.5b clearly shows a marker horizon, the Annulata Shale. Below this the limestones are relatively massive, above nodular. About one metre below the Annulata Shale is a nodular horizon which has yielded <u>Pro1</u>. <u>delphinus</u> and <u>Cyrt. involuta</u> (Textfig. 7.16a). This corresponds to Bed 2 of Lange's account. Bed 3, next above, was described as "grauer dickbankiger flaseriger Kalk", 1.1m in thickness, which is fitting for the unit beneath the Annulata Shale. However, above this level Lange's section cannot be related to the outcrop. He records 20cms of nodular limestones with shaly intercalations (Beds 4,5) overlain by 70cms of relatively massive grey limestones



 $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i$

(Bed: 6-7). The following fauna was recorded: Bed 4: End. (Cost.) <u>kiliani</u>; Bed 5: <u>Cl. laevigata</u>; Bed 6: <u>Cl. laevigata</u>, <u>Prog</u>. (<u>Prog.</u>) <u>aegoceras</u>, <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gon</u>.) <u>subcarinata</u>; and Bed 7: "<u>Oxy</u>. <u>sedgwicki</u>". Beds 5-7 are most probably equivalent to the limestone exposed at Section C, (see below).

Main Quarry Section A P1. 7.5b, Textfigs. 7,6d, 7.16b

For this study <u>Plat</u>. (<u>Plat</u>.) <u>pompeckii</u> (sample 081; 188/9) and <u>Sp</u>. (<u>Sp</u>.) <u>muensteri</u> (sample 076; 176) were collected from an horizon 1.40m below the Annulata Shale, a level dated as Lower <u>velifer</u> Zone (Ziegler 1971a), and represents the earliest dated <u>in</u> <u>situ</u> occurrence of clymeniids.

Section D

A level 40cms above the Annulata Shale (Section D) yielded <u>Plat. (Plat.) cf. valida sensu</u> Drevermann (1908), <u>Plat. (?Trigono-<u>Clymenia</u>) sp. (2371) and <u>Posttornoceras</u> sp. (2368). This level was dated as Lower <u>styriacus</u> Zone by Ziegler (1971a) and represents the highest known <u>in situ</u> record of an <u>annulata</u> Zone fauna. From 15cms (sample 056) above this level a small smooth clymeniid, identified as cf. <u>Cl. spiratissima</u> (1910) was collected. The highest exposures in the main part of the quarry (immediately below Section C) yielded <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> sp., and presumably fall in the Upper <u>styriacus</u> Zone (Ziegler 1971a).</u>

Section C Pl. 7.5a, Textfigs. 7.6a, 7.16b

Above the main quarry an exposure of 1.35m of massive grey limestones of <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe age was excavated. Twenty faunal levels were recorded. Significant records were as follows:

	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		and a standard standard and the standard standard standard standard standard standard standard standard standar
Bed	Sample	Specimen	Species
and the second	and the strain of	网络小学校 化合理器	a the first and a standard of the state of the
1	206	1940	Gen. Nov. D cf. acuta sensu Schmidt
6	331	1948	<pre>Prog. (Prog.) aegoceras</pre>

6	521	1974	Kosmo. subundulata
		2044	Sell. aff. plana
		2045	Cyma. costellata
6c	231	1980	<u>C1. laevigata</u>
7	496	1981	Gon. (Gon.) hoevelensis
7	496	1988	Kosmo. aff. inaequistriata
8a	291	1997	<u>Cyma</u> . cf. <u>tricarinata</u>
8a	311	2019	Kosmo. inaequistriata
8b/9	351 or	2032	Prog. (Prog.) <u>aegoceras</u>
	386	2033	<u>Cl. laevigata</u>
		2034	<u>Sell. torleyi</u>
9	381	2024	Kosmo. effenbergensis (Korn and Price,
2			in preparation).
9	391	2028-30	<u>Cyma</u> . aff. <u>striata</u>

A notable absentee from this list is <u>End</u>. (<u>Cost</u>.) <u>kiliani</u> which Lange (1929) recorded as abundant in the lower <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe at Hövel. Presumably it would be found in the unexposed part of the section, between the main quarry and Section C. <u>Clymenia laevigata</u> is present in Bed 2 near the base of the section and ranges at least as high as Bed 6c, being particularly abundant in Beds 5 and 6. The single record from Bed 8b/9 (sample 351/ 386) is circumspect, and especially so since the correct sample number is not certain.

<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> <u>subundulata</u> was recorded from Bed 6, but ranges lower (Korn pers. comm.). <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> aff. <u>inaequistriata</u> (= <u>Oxy</u>. <u>elegantula</u> <u>sensu</u> Schmidt) with relatively coarse growthlines ranges upwards from Bed 7, <u>Kosmo</u>. <u>inaequistriata</u> appears in Bed 8a, and an as yet undescribed species with regular coarse radial growth-lines enters the record in Bed 9.

Other genera and species are not sufficiently common for ranges to be stated with any degree of certainty. Particularly rare was <u>Gon</u>. (<u>Gonioclymenia</u>) of which only three <u>in situ</u> examples were found. However, since there is no published accurately



" STAT OF Game See

and the second second and the second second and the second second second second second second second second se

measured section through the <u>Clymenia</u> Stufe, this contribution is particularly important, even though neither its upper nor lower boundaries can be defined.

Kattensiepen e579 n036 4516 Warstein Textfig. 7.18a

This large disused quarry lying between Rüthen and Suttrop exposes a sequence of 70m of limestones ranging from the <u>crepida</u> Zone to the <u>anchoralis</u> Zone. Particularly important is a nodular bituminous limestone considered to be a lateral equivalent of the Annulata Shale. It contains a rich fauna of <u>Platyclymenia</u>, <u>Prionoceras</u>, <u>Posttornoceras</u> and <u>Prolobites</u>. Over 500 specimens are known from this level but, interestingly, neither <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u> <u>Cymaclymenia</u> nor <u>Sporadoceras</u> have been found.

Nehden Hohlweg e758 n005 4517 Alme Textfig. 7.18b

Many thousand specimens of <u>Cheiloceras</u> have been collected from this classic locality (Textfig. 7. 18b) where the 20m thick Nehden Schiefer crop out. Most of these specimens have come from fields south east of the village of Nehden, and from Hohlweg in the north east, where a stream cutting exposes ca 5m of black-grey silty shales. From one horizon here over 100 examples of <u>Paratorleyoceras umbilicatum</u> and 30 examples of <u>Cheiloceras "acutum"</u> (<u>sensu Sandberger and Sandberger</u>) were collected. A representative fauna from here has been lodged in the Hull Collections (2193-2201). The Nehden Schiefer appear entirely lower <u>curvispina</u> Zone in age, since no <u>Paratornoceras</u> have been found.

e sense de la contraction de la prese d'Estado de la construction de la factorie de la factorie de la factorie

the second state and second second

ال الاستريكي والمركز مركز المركز المستريكي المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المركز المر المركز المركز

e de la calendaria de la c

499

Oberrödinghausen

Railway-cutting e194 n961 4613 Balve

This is the locality from which Schindewolf (1937a) collected a large fauna, including at least 900 specimens of <u>Wocklumeria</u> <u>Sphaeroides</u>. Such collecting is not possible today, especially at outcrop. A number of unweathered blocks, labelled with Schindewolf's (1937a) bed numbers, have been deposited in the Departmental Collections at Hull.

B515 road-cutting e197 n964 4613 Balve

Until it was cleared for the IUGS Devonian/Carboniferous boundary Working Group in the Summer of 1982, all that remained of the section described by Ziegler (1962) were a few metres of nodular limestones lying immediately below the Hangenberg Schiefer.

Two samples were collected <u>in situ</u>, and their horizon is correlated with Ziegler's (1962) Sample 16, <u>subarmata</u> Zone.

Oese e157 n969 4512 Menden Textfig. 7.19

A 400m long road-cutting on the western side of the B7, just south of the railway Haltepunkt Oese, exposes a thick sequence of interbedded Famennian sandstones, siltstones and shales with nodular uppermost Devonian limestones occurring beneath the Hangenberg Schiefer. A detailed section was given by Ziegler (1962), and the upper portion of this is covered by Textfig. 7.19.

Most specimens were collected from the uppermost 5m of the sequence, dated as <u>Wocklumeria</u> Stufe. However, flattened <u>Platy-</u> <u>Clymenia</u> were recovered from 45cms thick grey/black shales, (Bed 25 of Ziegler's account), some 12.35m below the base of the Hangenberg Schiefer (79/87-9, 2089-96).

The following finds were significant:





<u>Wocklumeria sphaeroides</u> (79/63; 2116), <u>Parawocklumeria paradoxa</u> (79/65; 2111/2), and <u>Imitoceras</u> cf. quadripartitum (79/77; 2097) collected from 4.6m below the Hangenberg Schiefer, the lowest level which can be included within the <u>subarmata</u> Zone.

Reigern e2979 n9528 4613 Balve Pl. 7.6, Textfigs. 7.7, 7.20

An exclusively <u>subarmata</u> Zone fauna was recorded from this small quarry situated on the south slopes of Müssenberg, just north of Hachen. <u>Parawocklumeria</u> was not found and so the whole of the outcrop must lie in the <u>subarmata</u> Zone, which confirms Schindewolf's (1937a) findings. Schäfer (1978, Probe 184) recorded a middle <u>costatus</u> Zone fauna. A particularly prolific and well preserved fauna was collected from loose blocks of a distinctive grey-yellow weathering massive limestone, considered to come from Bed 33. It is from this horizon that <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>, complete with ventral spines, can be collected.

Schübelhammer Steinbruch Köstenhof e675 n692 5735 Wallenfels Textfig. 7.15B

Only one specimen (20) was collected from this quarry, now a source of facing stone. Reference is made to this locality simply because most of Munster's specimens came from Schübelhammer. Gümbel described the rocks here, but gave no precise details of its location. The quarry is presumed either to be Munster's locality, or to be along strike from it.

Wäschholz e752 n699 Schwarzenbach am Wald Textfig. 7.15C

This locality can be described only as in the middle of the forest, three kilometres south east of Schwarzenbach am Wald,



Textfig. 7.21 Chart showing conodont zones and faunal horizons/zones based on ammonoids in Trench E at Beil, used to relate material found loose to outcrop.

Frankenwald (Textfig. 7.15A). Collecting was possible only from loose blocks but information for this Bavarian locality is included because a fauna was obtained which is partially unknown in the Rheinische Schiefergebirge. Co-occurring with <u>Platyclymenia</u> (<u>Plat.</u>) and <u>Plat.</u> (<u>Trigonoclymenia</u>) were <u>Aktuboclymenia</u> (2208), cf. <u>Protoxyclymenia</u> (2207) and examples of an undescribed genus (2202-6). These examples are small (D < 2cms) and highly evolute With a compressed whorl-section and distinctive biconvex prorsiradiate ribs on the last whorl (except for 2206). Unfortunately sutures were not visible on these, nor on the <u>Aktuboclymenia</u>, which Would confirm the only other recorded occurrence of this genus outside the Urals.

502

Appendix 1

Specimens deposited in the collections of the University of Hull

All specimen numbers are preceded by HU P82. Sample numbers are listed in Appendix 2

Illustrated Specimens

No.	Samp.	Name	Illustration
1	388/3	<u>Sulco</u> , <u>sulcata</u> (Schindewolf), Geuser	P1. 5.19, Figs. 14,15
2	403/6	Sulco. aff. sulcata (Schindewolf), Wäschholz	Pl. 5.19, Fig. 18
3	79/29	Plat. (Plat.) richteri (Wedekind), Ainkhausen	Pl. 5.13, Figs. 1,3,4,
			5,8,12
4	an an taon an t	Cyrt. involuta (Wedekind), Beringhausen	Tf. 17E
5	-	<u>Cyma</u> . sp. <u>a</u> , Effenberg	P1. 5.39, Figs. 4-6
			Tf. 5.33L,M
6	396/2	Plat. (Plat.) richteri (Wedekind), Wäschholz	Pl. 5.13, Fig. 2
7	? Bed 33	<u>Kosmo</u> . <u>undulata</u> (Münster), Reigern	P1. 5.22, Fig. 10,
	•		Tf. 27E
8	142	Kosmo. inaequistriata (Münster), Müssenberg	Pl. 5.21, Figs. 1,2
9	? Bed 33	<u>Kosmo</u> . <u>undulata</u> (Münster)	P1. 5.21, Figs. 3,8
10	400-500	<u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u> (Münster), Hövel	P1. 5.21, Figs. 4,5,7,
			P1. 5.23, Fig. 10
11	? Bed 33	<u>Kosmo. undulata</u> (Münster), Reigern	P1. 5.22, Fig. 5
			Tf. 27D
12	-	<u>Kosmo</u> . <u>bisulcata</u> (Münster), Reigern	P1. 5.26, Figs. 14-16
	•	$(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) + (x_1, \dots, x_n) + (x_1, \dots, x_n) + (x_n, \dots, x_n$	Tf. 29B
13	-	Kosmo. subundulata (Wedekind), Hövel	P1. 5.28, Figs. 3,6
14	352	<u>Kosmo</u> . <u>subundulata</u> (Wedekind), Beil	P1. 5.29, Figs. 1-3
15	352	Kosmo. sp., Beil	P1. 5.29, Figs. 4-6
16 .			
17	-	<u>Cyma</u> . sp.	P1. 5.38, Fig. 17
18	-	<u>Cyma</u> , sp.	Tf. 5.31G-I
19	-	<u>Cyma</u> , sp.	Tf. 5.31F
20	408	<u>Cyma. camerata</u> Schindewolf, Schübelhammer	P1, 5.42, Figs. 1,2
21-3	2	see below, after specimen 49	
129	348/1	Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>kayseri</u> (Drevermann), Geuser	P1. 5.31, Fig. 8
Casts			
-------	---		
No.	Name		
23	Plat. (Plat.) prorsostriata Schindewolf see Pl. 5.16, Fig.4		
24	<u>Plat. (Plat.) quenstedti</u> Wedekind see Pl. 5.13, Figs. 6,7		
25	<u>Pr. serpentina</u> (Münster) see P1. 5.26, Figs. 10,11		
26	<u>Kosmo</u> . sp. <u>d</u> see P1. 5.25, Figs. 15,16		
27	Sulco. sulcata (Schindewolf) see Pl. 5.19, Fig. 16		
28	<u>Gen</u> . Nov. <u>D</u> <u>flexuosa</u> (Münster) see Pl. 5.31, Fig. 1		
29	Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> aff. <u>costata</u> (Münster) see P1. 5.30, Fig. 5		
30	Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> ? <u>decorata</u> (Münster) see P1. 5.30, Fig. 8		
31	Gen. Nov. <u>F</u> aff. <u>subflexuosa</u> (Münster) see P1. 5.30, Fig. 9		
32	Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> <u>kayseri</u> (Drevermann) see P1. 5.31, Fig. 7		
33	Gen. Nov. <u>E</u> sp. <u>b</u> see Pl. 5.31, Fig. 3		
34	<u>Plat. (Trig.) spinosa</u> (Münster) see Pl. 5.17, Figs. 5,6		
35	Genu. borni (Schindewolf) see Pl. 5.36, Fig. 2		
36	Genu. borni (Schindewolf) see Pl. 5.36, Fig. 1		
37a	<u>Cyma</u> . <u>striata</u> (Münster) see P1. 5.37, Fig. 7		
b	<u>Cyma. striata</u> (Münster) see P1. 5.37, Fig. 10		
38	<u>Cyma. camerata</u> (Münster) see P1. 5.37, Fig. 14		
39	Cyclo. planorbiformis (Münster), cast of SM H10375.		

Cyclo. planorbiformis (Münster), cast of SM H10375,

. .

proposed neotype. Münster Collection, Gattendorf.

Conodont faunas

No.	Sample	Explanation
40	· - +	Nodule Band, Kattensiepen
41	\mathbf{D}	Beds 1-10, outcrop A, Beil
42	-	<u>Cycloclymenia</u> clymenioides, holotype, UEN
43	378	Geuser (horizon with <u>Ps. sedgwicki</u>)
44	-	Outcrop C1, Beil (matrix from 2244)
45	-	Bed 9f, Trench E, Beil
46	-	Bed 11g, Trench E, Beil
47	-	Bed 15a, Trench E, Beil
48	-	Sample point 2, Nehden
49		Sample point 2, Nehden

When we will the the the second of

and the second second

564

C

Other specimens

No.	Sample	Name and Locality
21	308	Gen. Nov. aff. <u>costata</u> (Münster), Geuser
22	- .	<u>C1. laevigata</u> (Münster), Dasberg road-cutting

Ainkhausen

Samp1e	NO.	Name and the second
79/2	51-5	<u>Prionoceras</u> <u>divisum</u> (Münster)
	56-8	<u>Prionoceras</u> <u>sulcatum</u> (Münster)
	59	<u>Prionoceras</u> <u>frechi</u> (Wedekind)
	60	<u>Prionoceras</u> <u>divisum</u> (Münster)
	2081	<u>Prolobites</u> <u>delphinus</u> (Sandberger)
79/23	61	<u>Plat</u> . (<u>Plat</u> .) <u>richteri</u> Wedekind
	62	Protoxyclymenia sp.
	63	<u>Plat. (Plat.) beuelensis</u> Lange
	64-9	<u>Plat</u> . (<u>Plat</u> .) sp.
	70	<u>Plat</u> . (<u>Trig</u> .) <u>spinosa</u> (Münster)
	71	? <u>Clymenia</u> or Gen. Nov. sp. nov.
79/25	72-4	<u>Prionoceras</u> <u>frechi</u> (Wedekind)
	75	<u>Prionoceras</u> cf. <u>frechi</u> (Wedekind)
	76	<u>Plat. (Plat.) richteri</u> Wedekind
76/29	3	<u>Plat</u> . (<u>Plat</u> .) <u>richteri</u> Wedekind

The fauna recovered from Ainkhausen comes from a loose block considered to be equivalent to lower part Bed 2 (Textfig. 7.8). It can be dated only as <u>annulata</u> Zone.

Bilsteinhöhle

124	77	Parawocklumeria paradoxa (Wedekind)			
Ar en si	78	<u>Kosmoclymenia</u> <u>similis</u> (Münster)			
	79	Cymaclymenia cf. evoluta (Schmidt)			
117	80	Kosmo. sp.			
	81	Kosmo. sp.			
	82	indet.			
122	83	cf. <u>Wocklumeria</u> <u>sphaeroides</u> (Richter)			
	84	<u>Imitoceras</u> sp.			
126	85a,b	<u>Gon</u> . (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>wocklumensis</u> (Lange)			
	86	<u>Gon</u> . (Subgen. Nov. <u>B</u>) <u>wocklumensis</u> (Lange)			

		87.	Kosmo. sp.
	125	88a,b	Kosmo. sp.
	129	89	? <u>Cl</u> . <u>laevigata</u> (Münster)
		90	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
		91	indet.
		92	indet.
		93	<u>Para</u> . p <u>aradoxa</u> (Wedekind)
	128	94	<u>Gon.</u> (Subgen. Nov. <u>B)</u> aff. <u>wocklumensis</u> (Lange)
	129	95	<u>Kosmo</u> . cf. <u>undulata</u> (Münster)
		96	<u>Imitoceras</u> cf. q <u>uadripartitum</u> (Münster)
		97	Imit. sp.
		98	Kosmo. sp. and Imit. sp.
	127	99	Kosmo. sp.
		100	Kosmo. sp.
•	•	101a-d	Kosmo. sp.
		102a-d	Kosmo. sp. Note the body-chamber constriction.
	130	103	Kosmo. sp.
		104	<u>Imitoceras</u> sp.
		105	? <u>Wock. sphaeroides</u> (Richter)
	127	106	Kosmo. sp.
			Porko Wohr
			POLYE-MEIIT
	С	107	<u>Wock.</u> <u>sphaeroides</u> (Richter)
		108	<u>Para. paradoxa</u> (Wedekind)
	b&d	109	<u>Cyma</u> . sp.
		110	<u>Para</u> . <u>paradoxa</u> (Wedekind)
	-	111a, b	<u>Wock. sphaeroides</u> (Richter)
			Effenberg
	123	112a,b	<u>Cyma</u> . <u>costata</u> (Münster)
		113	Kosmo. Colubrina (Lange)
	100	114	Indeterminate Clymeniids
	120	115 116- h	Sporadoceras sp.
	110	lloa,D	Kosmo, sp.
	112	11/	Kosmo. errenbergensis (korn and Price in prep.)
		110	
	•	130 TTA ~	
		T C O	Cyma · SP · a
	•		

•

	121	<u>Kosmo</u> . aff. <u>sublaevis</u> (Münster)
112	122	Kosmo. colubrina (Lange)
	123	<u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
	2055	Cyrto. sp.
123	2056	<u>Kosmo. cf. inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
	2057	Cyma. sp.
	2058	phacopid
	2059	Cyma. sp.
	2060	phacopid
-14 -1		
		Geuser and States
378	124	? <u>Platyclymenia</u> sp.
388/9	125	<u>Platyclymenia</u> sp.
383	126	Platyclymenia sp., cross-section
_	127	Platyclymenia sp.
378	128	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
348	j 129	Gen. Nov. D kayseri (Drevermann), illustrated.
$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{e^{-ik^2 i}}{k_i}$	130	
288	131	<u>Pseudoclymenia</u> sp.
318	132	Protornoceras sp.
378	133	Protornoceras sp.
288	134	Protornoceras sp.
343	135	tornoceratid sp. nov.
363	136	tornoceratid sp. nov.
388	1 37a,b	? <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> sp. nov.
378	138	? <u>Pseudoclymenia</u> sp. nov.
388	139	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
378	140	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
378	141	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
283	142	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
358	143	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
353	144	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
383	145	Pseudoclymenia sp.
283	146	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
378	147	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
343	148	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
-	149	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
-	150	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
378	151	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
333	152	Ps. sedawicki (Münster)

ី ៩ 6 8

388	153	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
378	154	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
348	155	Ps. sedgwicki (Münster), cross-section
378	156	Ps. sedgwicki (Münster), well preserved,
		showing the suture on the reverse.
	157	Ps. sedgwicki (Münster), cross-section.
	158	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
303	159	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
323-78	160	Ps. sedgwicki (Münster), well preserved,
•		ornamented.
298	161	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
378	162	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster)
	163	<u>Ps. sedgwicki</u> (Münster), shows suture.
323	164	<u>Pseudoclymenia</u> sp.
323-78	165	<u>Pseudoclymenia</u> sp.
-	166	<u>Ps. dillensis</u> (Drevermann)
333	167	<u>Ps. dillensis</u> (Drevermann)
328	168a,b	<u>Ps. dillensis</u> (Drevermann)
348	169	Ps. dillensis (Drevermann), cross-section.
313	170	Prionoceras sp.
288	171	Prionoceras sp.
348	172	Prionoceras sp.
-	173	Prionoceras sp.
388/5	174	Prionoceras sp.
388/4	175	Prionoceras sp.
378	176	Prionoceras sp.
293	177	Prionoceras sp.
323-78	178	<u>Prionoceras</u> sp.
318	179	Prionoceras sp.
283	180	Prionoceras frechi (Wedekind)
313	181	Buchiola sp.
388	182	Buchiola sp.
388/4	183	bivalve
318	184	
388	185	

Sample 378 yielded conodonts of marginifera Zone age (see Chapter 6).

Samp1e	Bed	No.	Name
	•		Hövel
0.1		100	
10C	5 · · ·	180	<pre>Sporadoceras</pre>
100		187	goniatite indet.
81		188 189	Plat. (Plat.) pompeckii (Wedekind)
76		1900	<u>Sporadoceras (Sp.) muensteri</u> (von Buch)
86		1901	indet. goniatite
		1902	indet. goniatite
101		1903	indet. goniatite
1982		1904	indet.
		1905	<u>Platyclymenia</u> sp.
71		1906	<u>Platyclymenia_sp.</u>
		1907	<u>Platyclymenia</u> sp.
61		1908	indet.
		1909	indet.
		1910	? Clymenia spiratissima, note whorl section
56	x.	1911	Prionoceras sp.
		1912	indet.
		1913	indet.
		1914	
		1915	
292		1916	indet. clymeniid
			$(x_{i}, y_{i}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $(x_{$
			Sections B and C
186 B	5a	1917a-c	<u>C1. laevigata</u> (Münster)
		1918	Kosmo. subundulata (Wedekind)
		1919	<u>Cymaclymenia</u>
- -		1920	<u>C1. laevigata</u>
		1921	Kosmo. subundulata (Wedekind)
		1922	<u>C1. laevigata</u> (Münster)
		j 1923	cf. Cl. laevigata (Münster)
1 a	19	1924	
161	5b	1925	? <u>Kosmo</u> . sp. nov.
		1926	<u>Cyma. costellata</u> (Münster)
		1927	<u>Kosmo</u> . aff. <u>inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
		1928	Kosmo. aff. inaequistriata (Münster)
166	6a2	1929	<u>Prionoceras</u> sp.

	u ^r .	1930	Cyma. sp.
176	6a1	1931	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
191	6a	1932	Kosmo. sp.
1		1933	Cyma. sp.
*'.		1934	? Progonioclymenia
		1935	<u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
		1936	Kosmo. sp.
171	8a	1937	indet. As a static the second second
		1938	<u>Cyrtocl. cf. plicata</u> (Münster)
2011 - C		1939	Prionoceras sp.
206 C	1.1	1940	Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> cf. <u>acuta</u> (Schmidt)
221	1.2	1941	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
526	3	1942	? <u>Gon</u> . (<u>Gon</u> .) sp.
		1943	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
		1944	indet.
		1945	indet.
1997 - 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 - 1997		1946	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
an a		1947	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
331	6	1948	? Progonioclymenia (Prog.) aegoceras
	• •		(Wedekind) a contract to serve a server server as the server server as the server server as the server se
		1949	Cyrto. sp.
526	3	1950	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
	e to e ca	1951	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
	•	1952	Posttornoceras sp.
1. The second se		1953	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
		ן1954	
1 - 19 A 	n de ser	1955	a the second
506	3	1956	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
te Alexandra de la composición de la co		1957	
		1958	
286	4/5	1959	<u>C1. laevigata</u> (Münster)
		1960	<u>C1. laevigata</u> (Münster)
and the second sec		1961	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
271	4/5	1962	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
226	4/5	1963	<u>C1. laevigata</u> (Münster) so esses
266	4	1964	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster), shows body
		an a	chamber constrictions and the
		1965	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
		1966	<u>C1. laevigata</u> (Münster)
	19 J. A.	1967	<u>C1. laevigata</u> (Münster)

n an thair Tha thair Di

	261	5	1968	<u>Cl. laevig</u> ata (Münster)
	246	5	1969	<u>C1</u> . aff. spiratissima (Schindewolf)
	2013 - 8 1982 - 8 1983 - 8		1970	Cyma. sp.
	216	5	1971	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
	521	6	1972	<u>C1. laevigata</u> (Münster)
			1973	<u>C1. laevigata</u> (Münster)
			1974	Kosmo. subundulata (Wedekind)
			1975	? <u>C1. spiratissima</u> (Schindewolf)
	211	6	1976	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
	236	6a	1977	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
			1978	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
	531	6a	1979	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
	231	6C	1980	<u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
	496	7	1981	<u>Gon. (Gon.)</u> cf. <u>hoevelensis</u> Wedekind
			1982	indet.
	and a second sec		1983	Gon. (Gon.) sp.
	341	?7	1984	cf. <u>Cymaclymenia</u>
	496	7	1985	Kosmo. subundulata (Wedekind)
	326	?7	1986	<u>Cyma</u> . sp. (see 2054)
, et e	356	7	1987	<u>Kosmo. cf. inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
	496	7	1988	<u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
			1989	Cyma : sp. b ? the shake?
	396	7/8a	1990	Gon. (Gon.) hoevelensis Wedekind
	376	8a.1	1991	Prionoceras varicosum (Schindewolf)
	371	8a.1	1992	Cyma. sp. juv.
			1993	
	371	8a.1	1994	<u>Gon</u> . (<u>Gon</u> .) <u>subcarinata</u> praematura
				Wedekind
	516	8a.1	1995	Imitoceras sp. and the second second
			1996	<u>Kosmo</u> . aff. <u>inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
	291	8a.3	1997	Kosmo. aff. inaequistriata (Münster) and
				<u>Cyma. tricarinata</u> Lange
	441	8 a .6	1998	Kosmo. sp. te statistication of the design of the second s
		15	1999	Kosmo. sp.
		2.4 2.4 2.4	2000	Kosmo. subundulata (Wedekind)
			2001	Kosmo. subundulata (Wedekind)
			2002	Kosmo. subundulata (Wedekind)
	34 6	8 a .6	2003	<u>Kosmo</u> . aff. <u>inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
			2004	<u>Kosmo</u> . aff. <u>inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
	361	8a.5	2005	Cyma. indet. A literative setting of the
	n an		an a	the state of the second state of the second s

	·	2006	Kosmo. indet.
321	8a.4	2007	Cyma. indet.
431	8a.5	2008	Prionoceras sp.
311	8a.3	2009	<u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
476	8a.3	2010	<u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
		2011	Kosmo. inaequistriata (Münster)
		2012	Kosmo. inaequistriata (Münster), affixed
			to 2010
366	8a.1	2013	<u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
466	9.4	2014	Kosmo. effenbergensis, Korn and Price in
			preparation.
		2015	<u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
461	8a.7	2016	<u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
481	8a.7	2017	? <u>Cyma</u> . sp.
, I	8	2018	
296	8a.8	2019	<u>Imitoceras</u> sp.
306	9.1	2020	<u>Kosmo</u> . cf. <u>inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
466	9.7	2021	Kosmo. effenbergensis
		2022	Cyrtoclymenia sp. action and
		2023	<u>Imitoceras globo\$um</u> Schindewolf
381	9.3	2024	Kosmo. effenbergensis
		2025	Kosmo. effenbergensis
		2026	Kosmo. indet.
		2027	<u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
	. *	2028	
391	9.2	2029	<u>Cymaclymenia</u> aff. <u>striata</u> (Münster)
		2030	
		2031	cyrtoconic nautiloid and an easter of a
351/386	8b / 9	2032	<u>Prog. aegoceras</u> (two specimens)
		2033	<u>Cl. laevigata (Münster)</u>
		2034	<u>Sell. torleyi</u> (Wedekind)
		2035	<u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
		2036 and a	<u>Prog. (Prog.) aegoceras</u> (Wedekind)
471	8	2037	Kosmo • Sp • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
451	8	2038	Kosmo. sp. the structure have been been been been been been been be
401	8	2039	Kosmo. effenbergensis
421	8	2040	nautiloid Materia berg
486	9.1	2041 }	Kosmo, inaequistriata (Münster)
		2042	
291	8a.6	2043	<u>Kosmo. inaequistriata</u> (Münster)
521	6	2044	<u>Sell</u> . aff. <u>plana</u> (Münster)

•

	Ň	2045	<u>Cyma</u> . <u>costellata</u> (Münster)
		2046	indet.
1		2047	indet.
		2048	indet.
		2049	cf. <u>Cl. laevigata</u> (Münster)
276	4-6	2050	indet.
216	5	2051	Cyma. sp., with strong ribs (with 1971)
496	7	2052	gastropod
		2053	indet.
		аг 	
		2061-8	(see Müssenberg)
		2069-80	(see Oberrödinghausen)
		2081	(see Ainkhausen)
332/7		2082	Sporadoceras sp.
342	6c	2083	Cl. laevigata (Münster)
337	7	2084	C1. laevigata (Münster)
	-	2085	Imitoceras sp.
		2086	Sell. torleyi (Wedekind)
		2087	Cl. laevigata (Münster)
		2080	a - μ ₁ -
			en e
For Hövel	see a	also 2363-7	73 [°] and a second
		an la e	
e di K	` 、		
		2 contractions	Müssenberg
172		2061	<u>Wock. sphaeroides</u> (Richter) and <u>Pr</u> .
			(<u>Kenseyoceras</u>) <u>nucleus</u> (Schmidt)
		2062	- ditto -
		2063	indet.
167		2064	<u>Acutimitoceras substriatum</u> (Münster)
and the second sec	the states of the	2065	<u>Acutimitoceras</u> sp.
an an an Araba an Araba. An Araba an Araba an Araba an Araba Araba an Araba an Araba	a dia 1990. Ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a	2066a-c	<u>Acutimitoceras</u> sp.
		2067	<u>Acutimitoceras</u> sp.
		2068	<u>Acutimitoceras</u> prorsum prorsum (Schmidt)
		2069-80	(see Oberrödinghausen)

et er er

Hohlweg

	at a start of	
	2193	Archoceras, very rare
	2194	posidoniid bivalves
	21 95	posidoniid bivalves, brachiopods, pyrit-
		ised goniatites and <u>Paratorleyoceras</u> .
	2196	crinoid
	2197	crinoid
	21 98	brachiopods
	2199	orthocone
	2200	<u>Cheiloceras</u> sp.
	2201	nodule
	2202-10	(see Waschholz)
		an a
		O be rrödinghause n
140	2069	Wock sphaeroides (Richter)
1.10	2005	Gon. (Subgen, Nov. B) wocklumensis Lange
141	2071	Kosmo, sp.
	2072	Kosmo, Sp.
	2073	Kosmo, inaequistriata (Münster)
	2074	Prionoceras sp.
142	2075	Cvma. sp. a
	2076	Imitoceras sp.
	2077	indet.
	2078	indet.
- 1 	2079	Kosmo, similis (Münster)
143	2080	Kosmo, undulata (Münster)
141 & 143 col1	ected <u>in</u> si	tu = Ziegler's (1962), Sample 16, sub-
armata Zone.	in backer i	
		• A spectra of the second sec second second sec
	2081	(see Ainkhausen)
i K	2082-7	(see Hövel)
4. ¹ 1	a da a	
	8 <u>1</u> 5 2	
79/86	2088	Posidonia

87	2089-94	flattened <u>Platyclymenia</u> indet.
88	2095	bivalves
89	2096	squashed Platyclymenia
77	2097	<u>Imitoceras</u> cf. q <u>uadripartitum</u> (Münster)
73	2098	? <u>Kosmoclymenia</u>
74	2099	Orthoceras
72	2100	Imitoceras sp.
	2101	<u>Imitoceras</u> sp.
	2102	<u>Imitoceras</u> sp.
	2103	<u>Cyma</u> . aff. <u>barbarae</u> (Loewinson-Lessing)
	2104a,b	<u>Cyma</u> . aff. <u>barbarae</u> (Loewinson-Lessing)
	2105	indet.
69	2106	Imitoceras sp.
	2107	<u>Imitoceras</u> sp.
	2108	crinoid ossicle
67	2109	<u>Imitoceras</u> sp.
66	2110	nautiloid
65	2111	Para. paradoxa (Wedekind)
	2112	Para. paradoxa (Wedekind)
61	2113	<u>Gon. (Kall.) subarmata</u> (Münster)
62	2114	<u>Imitoceras</u> sp.
63	2115	Cf. <u>Gon</u> . (<u>Kall</u> .)
	2116a,b	<u>Wock. sphaeroides</u> (Richter)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	na anna Airtean an tao an tao an Airtean an tao a
	a 2 constant	Rod com
Beds	an a	Reigein
2	2117	<u>Imitoceras</u> sp.
9.	2118-23	<u>Imitoceras</u> sp.
	2124	Kosmo. sp.
	2125	
	2126	Kosmo. sp.
	2127	Kosmo. sp.
	2128	Kosmo. sp.
10	2129	<u>Imitoceras</u> sp.
	2130	Kosmo. sp.
13	2131	Kosmo. sp.
	2132	Kosmo. wocklumeri (Wedekind)
14	2133	Kosmo. sp.
15	2134	Cyma. sp.
21	2135	Cyma. sp.

·

			,
		2136	juvenile specimens
		2137	Kosmo. sp.
14		2138	Kosmo. sp.
21		2139	<u>Cyrto</u> . sp.
24		2140	indet.
		2141	Cyma. sp.
27		2142	<u>Imitoceras</u> sp.
		2143	Imitoceras sp.
		2144	Cyma. sp.
		2145	<u>Pr</u> . (<u>Kenseyoceras</u>) sp.
27		2146	<u>Pr</u> . (<u>Kenseyoceras</u>) sp.
loose		2147	orthoceratid
		2148	<u>Gon. (Kall</u> .) cf. <u>subarmata</u> (Münster)
		2149	Kosmo. cf. schindewolfi (Korn and Price
			in preparation)
		2150	Imitoceras indet.
		2151	Imitoceras ?globosum Schindewolf
33		2152	<u>Gon</u> . (<u>Kall</u> .) sp. nov. aff. <u>subarmata</u>
			(Münster)
		2153-64	<u>Kosmo. undulata</u> (Münster)
-		2165-70	<u>Kosmo. similis</u> (Münster)
		2171-2	Gen. Nov. (undescribed)
		2173	<u>Cyma</u> . sp., strong ribs
		2174-7	<u>Cyma</u> . aff. <u>barbarae</u> (Loewinson-Lessing)
	њ. ,	2178-81	<u>Cyrto</u> . aff. <u>plicata</u> (Münster)
	a A A A	2182-3	<u>Sporadoceras</u> sp.
33		2184	<u>Imitoceras</u> q <u>uadripartitum</u> (Münster)
		2185-7	Imitoceras cf. globosum Schindewolf
		2188	<u>Sporadoceras</u> sp.
	x 10	2189	<u>Imitoceras</u> quadripartitum (Münster)
		2190	<u>Imitoceras lineare</u> (Münster)
	.1	2191	<u>Imitoceras</u> q <u>uadripartitum</u> (Münster)
		2192	<u>Imitoceras</u> q <u>uadripartitum</u> (Münster)
		2193-2201	(see Nehden)
		2314	Kosmo. undulata (Münster)
		2315	<u>Sporadoceras</u> sp.

Wå	ischh	olz	4 ⁵ 5			
Gen.	Nov.	sp.	nov	•		

2206	Gen.	Nov.	aff.	SD.	nov.

2207 cf <u>Protoxyclymenia</u>

2202-5

2208 cf <u>Aktuboclymenia ancestralis</u> (Korn and Price, in preparation)
2209 phacopid

2210 Plat. (Plat.) richteri Wedekind

In situ Beil Trench E

21b1 2211	<u>Pernoceras</u> cf. p <u>lanidorsatum</u> (Münster)
15a6 2212	Torleyoceras curvispina (Sandberger and
an alin na li stan i f	Sandberger)
15a2 2213	indet.
15c1 2214	indet.
14d- 2215	indet.
14c1 2216	Cheil. cf. pompeckji Wedekind
14b2 2217	Torl. curvispina (Sandberger and Sand-
	berger)
14b3 2218	Torl. curvispina (Sandberger and Sand-
	berger)
14b7 2219	<u>Paratorleyoceras</u> globosum (Münster)
14b1 2220	Gen. Nov. aff. <u>Dimeroceras</u> sp. nov.
11b 2221	Gen. Nov. aff. <u>Dimeroceras</u> sp. nov.
top 2	n en la construction de la constru La construction de la construction d
14a7 2222	Paratornoceras sp. nov. cf. lentiforme
	<u>sensu</u> Petersen
14a6 2223	?Gen. Nov. aff. <u>Dimeroceras</u> sp.
11g1 2224	Spor. aff. biferum (Phillips)
11b 2225 top 1	Sp. sp.
11a2 2226	Sp. aff. biferum (Phillips)
2227	<u>Sp. biferum</u> (Phillips)
10f1 2228	Sp. biferum (Phillips)
10f2 2229	<u>Sp. biferum</u> (Phillips)
10c1 2230	Sp. biferum (Phillips)
10c2 2231	Sp. biferum (Phillips)
a-b	
10c3 2232	<u>Sp. biferum</u> (Phillips)
10b3 2233	Sp. biferum (Phillips)

	- Star	10b2	2234	Sp. descendens Schmidt
		10b1	2235	Sporadoceras aperture
		10a1- b	223 6	<u>Sp. biferum</u> (Phillips)
		9g5	2237	<u>Sp. biferum</u> (Phillips)
		9g 4	2238	<u>Sp. (Sp.) biferum</u> (Phillips)
		9 f 1	2239	Sp. biferum (Phillips)
		9 f2	2240	Sp. biferum (Phillips)
			Maria and Angelanda Angelanda	
			1. A. A.	$a_{\rm eff} = a_{\rm eff} + b_{\rm eff}^2 a_{\rm eff} + b_{\rm eff}^2 + b_{\rm eff$
				Beil, above Trench E
		9g 3	2241	clymeniid ? (note apparent dorsal siphuncle)
		10f	2242	?Cheiloceras
		14b10	2243	cf. <u>Paradimeroceras</u>
	C1		2244	<u>Pseudoclymenia</u> <u>dillensis</u> (Drevermann)
	79/45	يەت ي قىلىقى يىل	2245	? <u>Cheiloceras</u>
	44		224 6	cheiloceratid
C +	67a-b	45	2247	Sporadoceras sp.
	6 2	45	224 8	Sporadoceras posthumum Wedekind
	66	45	2249	part of 2247
	68	45	2250	<u>Sporadoceras</u> <u>muensteri</u> (Buch)
	61	42	2251	Sporadoceras inflexum Wedekind
	63	42	2252	cf. Gen. Nov. <u>D</u>
	64	40	2 2 53	cf. Gen. Nov. <u>D</u> or <u>Cyrtoclymenia</u>
· .	262	32/3	2254	Gen. Nov. D
	262	32/3	2255	Sporadoceras muensteri (Buch)
	262	32/3	2256	? <u>Protornoceras</u>
	70	30-50	2257	Sporadoceras inflexum Wedekind
	75	10-50	2258	Sporadoceras posthumum Wedekind
	74	10-50	2259a-c	<u>Sporadoceras</u> <u>muensteri</u> (Buch)
		14a4	2260	<u>Cheiloceras</u> cf. <u>circumflexum</u> (Sandberger and Sandberger)
	262	32/3	2261	Sporadoceras muensteri (Buch)
	277	loose	2262	Sporadoceras muensteri (Buch)
	277	loose	2263	cf. Gen. Nov. D stuckenbergi (Tokarenko)
	262	32/3	2264	Sporadoceras muensteri (Buch)
	262	32/3	2265	Sporadoceras cf. muensteri (Buch)
	267	1-10	2266	Sporadoceras sp.
	267	1-10	2267	Prionoceras frechi (Wedekind)
	267	1-10	22 68	Prionoceras sp.
	282	32/3	22 69	<u>Sporadoceras</u> sp.

282	32/3	2270	Sporadoceras sp.
		2271	<u>Sporadoceras muensteri</u> (Buch)
		2272	Sporadoceras sp.
		2273	Sporadoceras muensteri (Buch)
		2274	Prionoceras frechi (Wedekind)
44	2	2275	Sporadoceras posthumum Wedekind
4 7 `	6	2276a,b	Plat. (Plat.) quenstedti Wedekind
48	6	2277	<u>Plat</u> . (<u>Plat</u> .) <u>quenstedti</u> Wedekind
57	9	2278	Sporadoceras posthumum Wedekind
45	2	2279	Prionoceras sp.
	1-10	2280	<u>Plat</u> . (<u>Plat</u> .) <u>quenstedti</u> Wedekind
	1-10	2281	<u>Sporadoceras</u> sp.
	1-10	2282	<u>Sporadoceras</u> sp.
	1-10	2283	Plat. (Plat.) cf. senilis Lange
	1-10	2284	cf. <u>Plat</u> . (<u>Plat</u> .) <u>quenstedti</u> Wedekind
	1-10	2285	<u>Plat. (Plat.) richteri</u> Wedekind
	1-10	2286	<u>Plat. (Plat.) quenstedti</u> Wedekind
	1-10	2287	Plat. (Plat.) indet.
353	annulata	2288	<u>Plat</u> . (<u>Plat</u> .) sp.
	Zone	2289	Plat. (Plat.) richteri Wedekind, borings
		•	in body chamber shell.
		2290	<u>Plat</u> . (<u>Plat</u> .) sp.
		2291	? <u>Platyclymenia</u>
		2292	<u>Plat</u> . (<u>Plat</u> .) sp.
		2293	<u>Plat</u> . (<u>Plat</u> .) <u>intracostata</u> (Frech)
		2294	<u>Plat. (Plat.) ruedemanni</u> Wedekind
		2295	<u>Plat. (Plat.) richteri</u> Wedekind
		22 96	<u>Plat</u> . (<u>Plat</u> .) <u>richteri</u> Wedekind
		2297	<u>Plat. (Plat.) ruedemanni</u> Wedekind
272	25	2298	Sporadoceras sp. and Gen. Nov. \underline{D}
			<u>stuckenbergi</u> (Tokarenko)
		2299	Plat. (Plat.) sp.
		2300	<u>Sporadoceras</u> sp.
		2301	Sporadoceras sp.
-		2302	Paratornoceras lentiforme (Sandberger)
42		2303	? <u>Protornoceras</u> sp.
	A1-10	2304	<u>Plat. (Plat.) ruedemanni</u> Wedekind
	1b	2305	<u>Prionoceras</u> <u>divisum</u> (Münster)
	1b	2306	<u>Prionoceras</u> <u>divisum</u> (Münster)

		2307	
	1b	2308	<u>Prionoceras</u> <u>frechi</u> (Wedekind)
	$X \in C_{1}(X)$	2309	
	1b	2310	Plat. (Trig.) spinosa (Münster)
		2311	
352	<u>Clymenia</u>	2312	<u>Kosmo</u> . cf. <u>colubrina</u> (Lange)
	Stufe	2313	Kosmo. (Group II) sp.

2314-5 (see Reigern)

Hövel

	1	
1982	2363	indet.
	2364	orthocone
an ta ta ang a	2365	<u>Plat</u> . cf. <u>valida sensu</u> Drevermann
	2366	<u>Platyclymenia</u> sp.
	2367	Platyclymenia sp.
	2368	Posttornoceras sp. (note spiral ornament)
	2369	indet.
	2370	Platyclymenia sp.
	2371	? <u>Plat</u> . (<u>Trigonoclymenia</u>) sp.
$C_{1,2}^{(1)} = C_{2,2}^{(1)}$	2372	<u>Plat</u> . sp.

Appendix 2

Samples	Locality	Reference	
79/2,23-29	Ainkhausen	See Textfig.	7.8
79/42,44,45	Beil		7.9
79/61-86	Oese		7.19
79/102	Beil		7.9
112,120,123	Effenberg		7.14
117,122,124-30	Bilsteinhöhle		7.5d
140-3	Oberrödinghausen		
167,172	Müssenberg		• •
262,272,277,282	Beil	and and a second se	7.9
288-388 (xx3 &	Geuser	and the second second	
xx8 only)			
352,353,367	Beil		7.9
1997 - 19		STR AND	and a second
	Ne.	ang tao ng Ang tao ng	\$2.4 j
an a	Hövel	N. Dere	Sec. S. S.
			Sec.
Hövel	Sections A and D	Textfig. 7.16	a _{Charl} à
332			
056	ين (المراجع) المراجع (المراجع)	1997 - 19	

056 200 200 200	n na standard an	$\frac{2^{2}}{2} \frac{2^{2}}{2} \frac{2^{2}}{2}$	
061		$\sim 10^{-10}$	
071	and the second sec		, ¹ , ¹ , ¹ , ¹ , ¹
076	$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\mu_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} $		
081	an mha a' sh		C. A. S
086			:
091			2.381
101	an a	na y stantin na stantin na y stantin na stantin	
121		$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$	
e	tan ing kanalang kan Kanalang kanalang kana		s de

Hövel Section B

Textfig. 7.16b

Beds

141	
146	8b
151	-
156	4
161	5b
166	6
171	8 b

S	Sample	Beds
176		6
181		5b
186		5a
191		6

Hövel Section C

Textfig. 7.16b

	Sample	Beds	Samp1e	Beds
201		1	342	6 c
206		1	347	3
211		6	352 Se	e Beil
216		5 Alexandre and the second	353 Se	e Beil
221		1	361	8a.5
226		4/5	366	8a.1
231		6 c	367 Se	e Beil
236		6a and a constant such that the second se	3 71 (2013)	818 a.1 SF m
246		5	401	8-10
261		5	421	8-10
262	See	Beil	431	8a.4
271		4/5	44 6	8a.8
272	,277,281 See	Beil	451	8-10
306		8	456	8a .1
311		8a.3	461	8a.6
316		8a.2	466	9.4
321	•	8a.4	471	8-10
326		? 7	506	3
331		6	516	8a.1
332	Sect	ion A, above	521	6
337		5	526	3
341		? 7	531	6a

n e se a la serie de la frances de frances de la serie de la fille de la fille de la serie de la serie de la s

A short biography of Count Münster, including a list of his publications dealing with Famennian ammonoids

Child and in some ded in calder with diseasony to the second state of the second second second second second s In the second to find in decidence of the second In the second second

This study revolves around the collections of Count Münster (frontispiece) and it would seem useful to give some biographical details and to list some of his publications. He was born on 17 February 1776 at Langelage in Westphalia (Weiss 1937). His working life was spent in the Prussian Civil Service, including a long period in Bayreuth, which resulted in his interest in Famennian ammonoids, collected in nearby Oberfranken.

Münster travelled throughout Europe and developed a wide interest in palaeontology. He was responsible for early discoveries from the Lithographic Limestones at Solenhofen, and for recognising the Trassic age of the St. Cassian Beds of the South Tirol. The majority of his work, however, was done in Franconia. From the Obermain district alone he described nearly 900 species. He cooperated with Goldfüss in producing the <u>Petrefacta Germaniae</u> (1826-44) a work which ranks with Sowerby's <u>Mineral Conchology</u> and d'Orbigny's <u>Paléontologie Française</u>, which were being published around the same time.

In 1840 he received a pension and spent the last four years of his life writing and editing his own journal <u>Beitrage zur Petra-</u> <u>faktenkunde</u>, of which six volumes appeared before his death. A significant part of these issues deals with faunas of Silurian to Carboniferous age extracted from limestones brought to St. George's Prison near Hof for crushing and burning. These came from Schübelhammer, Elbersreuth, Horwagen and Gattendorf.

Münster's contributions to the study of Famennian ammonoids began in 1831 in two lectures read to the Geological Society of France, describing the distribution of ammonoids (1831a) and nautiloids (1831b) in Germany. Full details of the Famennian ammonoids were given in <u>Die Planuliten und Goniatiten im Ueber-</u> <u>gangskalk des Fichtelgebirges</u> (1832) in which 34 new species were

introduced. In 1834 (1834b) this work was translated into French, with a supplemental description of two new species. The name <u>Clymenia</u> was also introduced at this time, although the intention to do this had been announced sometime earlier (1834a). All of Münster's subsequent publications on Famennian ammonoids are to be found in the <u>Beitrage zur Petrefaktenkunde</u>. These comprise many relatively short articles, which, for brevity, are usually referred to by the volume number in which they appear, rather than individually. They are all listed below.

During his life Münster amassed a vast collection of fossils. At his death this became dispersed, going to Erlangen and Bayreuth, but the bulk of it was purchased for 60 000 Marks by the State of Bavaria, and removed to Munich. Unfortunately a part of this collection (although not the primary types) was destroyed at the close of the last war. Specimens from Erlangen have been used in this study, and the Famennian ammonoids from the collection at Bayreuth have now been transferred to Munich. Material was sent by Münster to von Buch (von Buch 1832) and some of this is now in the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin.

Münster formed a duplicate collection at a time when he hoped to sell his main collection to the King of Bavaria. This sale fell through. Sedgwick and Murchison visited Münster in the summer of 1839 and they persuaded him to sell this duplicate collection for the sum of £500 (Clark and Hughes 1890). Over 22 000 specimens were acquired, and they formed the basis of the Woodwardian/Sedgwick Museum in the University of Cambridge. A catalogue in the Count's own handwriting was provided with the collection, and the section dealing with Famennian ammonoids is reproduced in Textfig. 8.1. Examples of handwritten labels accompanying each fossil are illustrated in Textfig. 8.2. This

inhalopertes siphomiphenes Belerophon foniali Gontalde H. 132l. hiulaus tois Genus Elymenia 1, obscurres h orticularis. ; lawigula. Gon 25.7 munster? . Kat 2 3. mala Tetrace 4. Compressa subarmalas . n. subglotosus n. Cyrlecera pygmea ~ linear Sullau ormes.4 16 . planorty 17: Serpentina un amerus Tus 3. 72 4 , linearus . q. T.B. ~ planifor " parpala an sincle 13 14. y striata. 3 14: 4. - 3 Til a comper 15, costellata m lornuar. 16 . plana. - the e This bonea 17. Semistriata by 1. 19 Carinatas reticulatus 18 ., umbilicata h 3. 15 yum 1914 sublinea -19 subcama 20.0 lawigalus 21 . semiplicate 7. Lil. Treinic nes, G 22 In late the gates leas - angalata. A. to 23 Alicata this Two el

Textfig. 8.1 Pages listing ammonoids, from Münster's handwritten catalogue accompanying his collection in the Sedgwick Museum, Cambridge.

collection of Famennian ammonoids had lain largely unstudied and uncatalogued to the present day, and has proved useful in providing neotypes for species whose primary material has been lost.

Some specimens appear to have found their way from Cambridge to the British Museum (Natural History), where they can be identified by their distinctive labels, although no record of their provenance exists in the earliest catalogue which lists them. J. F. Lee's Collection, transferred to the British Museum (NH) from the Geological Survey in 1911, contains some Famennian ammonoids which were given to him by Münster.

and the second of the second second

a ser a ser a la companya de la comp

u metamatan meneraka sekara panan mendikan seri dikentara seri Manan menerakan juli tahun menerikan peri tahun kana seri unterakan unterman menerikan menerikan seri unterakan selamatan peri tahun kana

the enternative three in the magnetic and place respective to the second encoder g

and the second secon

化脱离化合物 计自动分词 化化合物结构 美国公寓的合物经常的 机油头 异种形式 鼻

《元章 记忆起来,如此是这些"这个人"的话,就是"你还是这些你的你。"这个人的话题,"意思"的事情就能。 "你们,你们不可以不可以不可以不可以不可以不可以不可以不可以不可以不可以不可。" 我们们是我们还不可以不可以不可以不可以不可以不可以不可能不可以不可能。""我们是我们不是我们,我们还

化合成化合物 化化合物合物 化化合物合物 医乳液管理 建氯化物 建氯化物

The second second second second is a second second

动力结 医醋酸胆汁苷 网络里麦斯二克利尔达法林施森 小提和我们吧,做某个准和威勇在分钟 动物种植造出出为就感觉很很容易

全に形式 からい ちょうしかか

Munster's publications dealing with Famennian ammonoids

- 1832 Uber die Planuliten und Goniatiten im Uebergangs-kalk des Fichtelgebirge. 38pp., 6p1s., Bayreuth.
- 1833 Verzeichnis der Versteinerungen welche in der Kreis-Naturalien-Sammlung zu Bayreuth vorhanden sind. Bayreuth. (Pages 108-114 list the ammonoids occurring in the Count's collection, briefly stating how many specimens of each species there were. This catalogue has proved useful in determining the size of type series, although there are some inconsistencies).
- 1834a Mittheilung an Professor Brown. Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie, Geologie und Petrefaktenkunde, 42-43. (This letter, dated 1 December 1833, notifies Münster's intention to replace the name Planulites by Clymenia.)
- 1834b Mémoire sur les Clymènes et les Goniatites du Calcaire de transition du Fichtelgebirge. Ann. des Sciences naturelles 2ieme Série, Zool. 2, 65-99, pls. 1-6. (A translation of Münster 1832, with two species newly described.)
- Nachtrag zu den Clymenien des Fichtelgebirge, Beiträge zur Petrefaktenkunde 1, 6-16, 122, pls. II, XVI. (17 new species introduced.)
- 1839 Nachtrag zu den Goniatiten des Fichtelgebirge, B.z.P. 1, 17-31, pls. III, XVII, XVIII.
- 1839 Seltene Arten Clymenia Cyrtoceras und Orthocera aus dem Uebergangskalk, <u>B.z.P. 1</u>, 31-40.
- 1840 Die Versteinerungen des Uebergangskalkes mit Clymenien and Orthoceratiten von Oberfranken, B.z.P. 3, 33-121. (Part H Cephalopoda deals with clymeniids (91-4), and goniatites (105-111), which are illustrated in plate XVI. 5 species of clymeniid and 5 goniatites introduced.)
- 1842 Nachtrag zu den Versteinerungen des Uebergangskalkes mit Clymenien von Oberfranken, B.z.P. 5, 112-128. (Part V (122-128) deals with Cephalopods, illustrated in pls. XI and XII. Five clymeniid species introduced). 1843 Ueber die Clymenien und Goniatiten im Uebergangskalk des Fichtelgebirges, <u>B.z.P. 1</u> (2nd Edition), 1-30, pls. Ia-VIa. (Münster 1832 reset, with some nomenclatural revision,

and more heavily engraved plates with minor modifications.)

1839

័526

- 1843 Nachtrag zu den Clymenien des Fichtelgebirges, <u>B.z.P</u>. <u>1</u>, (2nd Edition), 35-43, 127, pls. II, XV, XVI. (See above.)
- 1843 Nachtrag zu den Goniatiten des Fichtelgebirges, <u>B.z.P</u>. <u>1</u>, (2nd Edition), 43-55, pls. III, XVII, XVIII. (See above.)
- 1843 Seltene Arten Clymenia, Cyrtocera und Orthocera aus dem Uebergangskalk, <u>B.z.P. 1</u>, (2nd Edition), 55-60. (See above.)

Schubelhamer - Bit - And Olymenia angiastisg 1. Intelación Goniatites subsulcaters. gullendorf Oliver flash

Textfig. 8.2 Labels written by Münster, accompanying type specimens in the Münster Collection, BSP.

.

A second s

Illustrations taken from the 19th Century Literature

The second states has a second second with the second

en tigen for en en el Anna en el Martin an en el Martin el Martin el Martin el Martin el Martin el Martin el Ma

and the second presence and the second se

and the second presses in the formula

and the man of the state of the

and the second second with the second Science Second Sciences

in the local action and the granter product of

There is a second to the state of the second to be a second to be a second to be a second to be a second to be a

,我们就是我们的问题,我们就是我们的问题,我们就是我们的问题,我们就能给你的问题,我们就是我们的问题,我们就是我们的。 我们就是我们的问题,我们就是我们的问题,我们就是我们的问题,我们就是我们的问题,我们就是我们的问题。

Real of the second of the second state of the second second second second second second second second second s

Münster 1832

CD 37 CD

Erklärung der Figuren.

Tab. I. Planuliten mit schwach gebogenen Loben.

Fig. 1. a bis f. Planulites laevigatus. n. von Schübelhammer.

fig. 2. a - d. Plan. pygmeus. n. von der Geigen bei Hof.

fig. 3. a - c. Plan. angustiseptatus n. von Schübelhammer.

fig. 4. a - c. Plan. compressus. n. von Schübelhammer.

fig. 5. a. b. Plan. inflatus. n. von Schübelhammer.

Tab. H. Planuliten mit spitzen Loben.

Fig. 1. a - c. Plan. planorbiformis. n. von Gattendorf bei Hof.

fig. 2. a - c. Plan. undulatus. n. von Schübelhammer.

fig. 3. a. b. Plan. lacvis. n. von Schübelhammer.

fig. 4. a - c. Plan. inacquistriatus. n. von Schübelhammer.

lig. 5. a - b. Pla'n. lincaris n. von Schübelhammer.

fig. 6. a - c. Der Steinkern von Plan. undulatus, laevis und linearis.

fig. 7. a - c. Plan. parvulus. n. von Elbersreuth.

Tab. III. Planuliten und Goniatiten.

Fig. 1. a - c. Plan, serpentinus, n. von Schübelhammer.

tig. 2. a - c. Plan. striatus, a) costellatus. n. desgleichen.

fig. 3. a - c. Plan. b) striatus. n. Schübelhammer.

fig. 4. Plan. c) semistriatus. n. ebendaher.

fig. 5. Plan. d) planus. n. desgleichen.

fig. 6. a - c. Contatites hybridus. n. von Hurtigwagen.

fig. 7. a --- c. Gon. sulcatus. n. von Schübelhammer.

fig. 8. a – c. Con. contiguus. n. chendaher.

Tab. IV. Goniatiten mit einfachen, spitzen Loben.

Fig. 1. a - d. Coniatites ovatus. n. von Gattendorf.

lig. 2. a - c. Gon. sublaevis. n. von Gattendorf.

GD 38 GD

lig. 3. a bisd. Gon. undalosus. n. von Gattendorf.

lig. 4. a - e. Gon. globosus. n. von Gattendorf.

fig. 5. a - c. Gon. sublinearis. n. von Gattendorf.

lig. 6. a. - e. Gon. divisus. n. von, der Geigen und von Gattendorf.

Tab. V. Goniatiten mit spitzen Loben.

Fig. 1. a — d. Gon. linearis. n. von der Geigen und von Schübelhammer. fig. 5. a — d. Gon. subsuleatus. n. von Gattendorf und Schübelhammer. fig. 3. a — c. Gon. Münsteri. Buch. von Schübelhammer. fig. 4. a — d. Gon orbicularis. n. von Schübelhammer.

Tab. VI. Goniatiten mit spitzen Loben.

Fig. 1. h — c. Gon. speciosus. n. von Elbersreuth und Schübelhammer um die die Hälfte verkleinert.

lig. 2. a – c. Gou. subarmatus. n. von Schübelhammer um die Hälfte verkleinert.

fig. 3. Gon. maximus. n. von Schübelhammer um die Hälfte verkleinert.

fig. 4. a - c. Gon. planus. n. von Schübelhammer.

lig. 5. a. b. Gon. biuodosus. n. ebendalier.

fig. 6. Gon. annulatus. n. von Regnitzlosau.

fig. 7. Gon, subnodosus. n. von Elbersreuth.



Lith Anet can Schier in Lichtenfels.



T.M.a.



Lith Anst. von Schier in Lichtenfele.

Münster 1832 (1843 edn.)





Münster 1832 (1843 edn.)



Münster 1839

S 123 KS

Tafel II.

- . 1. Cyrtocera Aigoceros. pag. 33 u. 34.
- .2. a-c. Cyrtoceratentaculata. p.24.
- .3. a-c. Clymenia binodosa. pag. 10.9
- . 4. Cyrtecera cincta. pag. 34.
- . 5. Cyrtocera costata. pag. 34.
- . 6. a c. Clymenia bilobata. pag. 11.
- . 7. a-c. Clymenia ornata. pag. 122.
- . S. a c. Porcellia retrorsa. pag. 3S.
- . 9. a c. Clymenia Otto. pag. 31.

Tafel III.

- . 1. Petraia decussata. pag. 43.
- . 2. Petraia semistriata. pag. 43.
- . 3. Petraia tenuicostata. pag. 44.
- . 4. Petraia radiata. pag. 42.
- . 5. Petraia Kochii. pag. 44.
- . 6. Cidarites Nerei. pag. 40.
- . 7. Goniatites planidorsatus. pag. 21.
- . 8. Goniatites atratus. pag. 37.
- . 9. Goniatites Verneuillii. pag. 17.
- . 10. Sigillaria Sternbergii. pag. 47.

124 (3)

Tafel XVI.

- Fig. 1. Clymenia Dunkeri. pag. 15.
- Fig. 2. Clymenia semicostata. pag. 13.
- Fig. 3. Clymenia angulosa. pag. 12.
- Fig. 4. Clymenia plicata. pag. S.
- Fig. 5. Clymenia cincta. pag. 9.
- Fig. 6. Clymenia paradoxa. pag. 14.
- Flg. 7. Asterocrinus Murchissoni. pag. 4

Tafel XVII.

Fig. 1.	Goniatites	subbilobatus.	pag. 21.
---------	------------	---------------	----------

- Fig. 2. Goniatites subinvolutus. pag. 23.
- Fig. 3. Goniatites Preslii. pag. 24.
- Fig. 4. Goniatites clymeniaeformis. pag. 21
- Fig. 5. Orthoceratites calamiteus. pag. 36
- Flg. 6. Cyrtocera ungulata. pag. 35.

Tafel XVIII.

Fig. 1.	Goniatites carinatus. pag. 25.
Fig. 2.	Loben von Goniatites canalifer. p. 274
Fig. 3.	Loben von Goniatites Römeri. p. 27
Fig. 4.	Loben von Goniat. arquatus. p. 28.
Fig. 5.	Loben von Goniat. Bucklandii. p. 28
Fig. 6.	Goniatites speciosus mit den trich-
	terförmigen Ventral-Loben. p. 296
The way	Taban you Conict intermeding n 80

Fig. 7. Loben von Goniat. intermedius. p.30

Fig. 8. Loben von Goniat. maximus. p. 30.

Münster 1840

CD 132 CD

Tafel XVI.

r ig	. 1.	Beleroph	on acutus	, pag. 90.
,,	2.		subca	rinatus, pag. 90.
22	3.	Clymeni	a Sedgwi	ckii, pag. 92.
23	4.		flexuosa	, pag. 92.
21	5.	33	dorsocos	tata, pag. 93.
	G.	39	bisulcata	, pag. 93.
39	7.	Goniatite	s falcifer	, pag. 106.
,,	8.	a. b. c. (ioniatites	Ungeri, pag. 107.
	9.	a. b. c.	22	Bronnii, pag. 108.
29	10.	a. b. c.	27	Haueri, pag. 109.
19	11.	a. b.	27	acutus, pag. 110.

Münster 1842

3 130 S

Tafel XI.

Fig	, 1.	Lunulacardium inaequic	:05	tatu	m.	120.
	2.	Posidonomia costata.	•			117.
*	. 3:-	Posidonomya lata				117.
33	4.	Avicula planicostata.		•		118.
22	5.	" quinquecostata.				118.
22	6.	Cardium planicostatum.				119.
33	7.	,, paradoxum.				118.
22	8.	" problematicum				119.
22	.9.	Avicula tenuistriata.	•			118.
33	10.	,, nuda				117.
53.	11.	Cardium dichotomum.				120.
22	12.	Euomphalus ellipticus				122.
77	13.	Melania lymnaearis.				122.
>>	14.	Turritella teres				122.
23	13.	Clymenia spinosa				122.
35	16,	" flexuosa, va	r.	cos	-	
	tat	a				125.
73	17	" falcifera				125.
22	18.	Goniatites tripartitus li	ne	atus	5.	128.
		Tafel XII.				
Fig	. 1.	Clymenia annulata (al	()			123.
"	2.	,, dorsonodosa				126.
22	3.	" interrupta.	•			126.
22	4.	Clymenia subarmata.				123.
;;	5.	:) brevicostata.				121.

2.2	9.	;)	DICTICOSTANA.	•	•	•	***
Fig.	6.	Clymenia	acuticostata.				11
37	7.	Goniatites	cinctus				1:
	8.		striatulus.				11

., 9. Orthoceratites paradoxus. . . 1


Lith Anst & Schier in Lichtenfels.



Münster 1839

Taf:XVI.



Loth Anst von Schier in Lichtenfels

Münster 1839



Münster 1839

Taf:XVIII.





Taf: XVI



Taf:XI.





Richter 1848

- Taf. III. 55. O. sinuatus. n. Gr. Stk., (56) Kammerwand. S. 26.
 - 57. O. trachcatus. n. Gr. Stk. S. 26.
 - 58. O. prolapsus. n. Gr. Stk. S. 27.
 - 59. O. subpyriformis. c. Münst. n. Gr. Stk. Linke Seite, (60) Rand des Septums, d. Rücken, v. Bauchseite. S,
 - 64. O. subfusiformis v. Münst., n. Gr. Stk. S. 27.
 - 62. Lituites (Cyrtoceras) lateralis, n Gr. Stk. vom Rücken, (63) von unten, (64) Querdurchschnitt. S. 27.
 - 65. L. ellipticus, n. Gr. Stk. S. 28.
 - 66. Nantilus (Clymenia) polytrichus A. Röm., n. Gr. Stk. S. 28.
 - - 67. Clymenia campanulata, n. Gr. Stk. Profil, (68) von vorn, (69) Var. (angusteseptata v. Münst.), Profil, (von vorn, (71) Kammerwände, (72) Querdurchschnitt. S. 29.
 - 73. Cl. compressa v. Münst., n. Gr. Stk., (74) Kammerwand. S. 29.
 - 75. Cl. adversa, n. Gr. Stk., (76) Kw. S. 29.
 - 77. Cl. bilobala, n. Gr. Stk., (78) Kw. S. 30.
 - 79. Cl. sinualu, n. Gr. Stk., (80) Kw. S. 30.
 - 81. Cl. laccis, n. Gr. Stk., (82) halbe Kw. S. 30.
 - 83. Cl. obesa, n. Gr. Stk., Profil, (85) von vorn, (84) Kw. S 30.
 - 86. Cl. planorbiformis v. Münst., n. Gr. Stk., (87) Bauchseite des letzten Umgangs, (88) Kw. S. 30.
 - 89. Cl. cristata, n. Gr. Stk. (90) Querdurchschnitt, (92, 92) Stücke zweier Umgänge von der Bauchse (93) Kw. S. 34.
 - 94. Clymenia striata v. Münst. n. Gr. Stk. (95) Querdurchschnitt, (96) Kw., (97, 98) Varietäten, (99) derselben. S. 31.
- Taf. IV. Fig. 400. Gonialites sulcalus v. Münst. n. Gr. Stk., (404) derselbe mit Schalenrest, (402) ders. mit Mundrand, (40; Mundoffnung, (104) G. sule. Var. mit verkürzter Rinne, (105) G. sule. mit Mundwulst, Profit, (106) von vor (107) Var., (108) Var., 1/2 u. Gr. (109) Var., n. Gr. (110, 414) Rinnen, (112) Kw. S. 32.
 - 413. G. sphueroides, n. Gr. Stk., (444) Mundöffnung, (445) Kw. S. 34.
 - 446. G. Bucklandi v. Münst., n.Gr.Stk., (447) Dorsallobus, (448) Querdurchschnitt, 1/2 n.Gr., (449) unteres Stüd desselben, n. Gr. S. 34.
 - 420. G. trullatus, n. Gr. Stk. S. 35.
- Taf. V. G. subarmatus c. Münst. n. Gr. Stk. Wohnkammerstück, (122) Kammerstück, (123) Septum, (124) Quer - 121: durchschnitt. S. 35.
 - G. apertus, n. Gr. Querdurchschnitt, (126) Kw. S. 36. 125.
 - 427. G. lenticularis, n. Gr. Stk., innerer Umgang, (128) Kw. S. 36.
 - 129. G. sphaericus Mart. Kammerwand. S. 37.
 - 430. Pileolus dexter, n. Gr. Stk vom Wirbel, (434) von der Seite. S. 37.
 - 432. Enomphalus serpuloides, n. Gr. von unten. S. 37.
 - 433. Vgl. S. 37.
 - Petraia Regulus, n. Gr. von der Seite. (435) von vorn, (436) Durchschnitt. S. 38, - 134.
 - 437. ? Sanguinolaria sulcata Goldf. n. Gr. rechte Schale, (438) vom Rücken. S. 38.
 - 139. ? S. acqualis, vgr., rechte Schale. S. 44.
 - 440. ? Cardinia Goldfussiana de Kon., vgr. linke Schale, (141) vom Rücken. S. 44.
 - 442. ? Cardinia, n. Gr., rechte Schale, (443) vom Rücken. S. 39.
 - 444. Venulites concentricus C. Röm.; n. Gr. rechte Seite, (145) vom Rücken. S. 39.
 - 446. ? Lucina rugosa Goldf. n. Gr., (147) Schalenstück. S. 44.
 - 448. Mytilus Psammitis, n. Gr. linke Schale. S. 39.
 - 149. Aricula leptotus, vgr. rechte Schale, (150) vom Rücken. S. 44.
- - 451. ? Posidonia Becheri Bronn, n. Gr., (152) Streifung. S. 43.
- 153. Terebratula, n. Gr. Stk. Ventralseite, 154. Dorsalseite; 155. Vom Schlofs aus. S. 40.
- Terebrutula, n. Gr. Stk. Dorsalseite, 457 vom Schlofs aus. S. 40. - 156.
- Terebrutula, n. Gr. Stk. Dorsalseite, 139 Längenprofil, 160 Querprofil vom Schlofs, 161 Querprofil von de - 158. Stirn aus. S. 40.
- 162. Cyathocrinus pinnatus Goldf. aus dem Kalke von g. n. Gr. Stielstück mit Oberhaut, (163, 164) dergl. dür nere, (465) verwittertes Säulenstück, (466, 467) Längsdurchschnitte von Säulenstücken, (468) Querdurch schnitt eines von Kalkspath ausgefüllten Stielstücks, (169, 170) Gelenkflächen, (171-173). Dergl. aus de Plattenbruche. S. 40.
- Taf. VI. 474. C. pinnalus G. aus dem Schiefer von i. n. Gr. Säulenstück mit erhaltener Oberhaut, (175-177. Säulenstüch in verschiedenen Stadien der Verwitterung, (478) Längsdurchschnitt, (479-483) Gelenkflächen. S. 45.
 - 184. Vgl. S. 41.
 - 185-204. Vgl. den I. Anhang. S. 42, 43.
 - 205. Actinocrinus, n. Gr. Kelch von unten, 206. Kelch von der Seite, 207. Kelchtafeln verkl., 208. Säulenstüc 209. Gelenkfläche. S. 46.
 - 210. Delthyris, vgr. S. 45.
 - 214. Posidonomya, vgr. S. 45.
 - 212 u. 213. Vgl. den II. Anhang. S. 46.

Jak 111



Gez.v. Dr. R. Richter.

Lith, v. El Acemani.

Druck v.J. Braunsdorf Dreaden.



fez v Dr R. Richter

Druck .v. J. Braunsdorf Dresden

. 14 121 113

Jal. V





Taf.V





Sandberger and Sandberger 1850

Taf.VI



Sandberger and Sandberger 1850

Taf.VIII



 G. lamellosus, Sandb. 2. G. tuberculoso-costatus, D'Arch et De Vern. 3. G. clavilobus, Sandb. 4. G. lamed, id. Var. rugosus.
 G. lamed, Sandb. Var. complanatus. 6. G. Var. cordatus. 7. G. Var. tripartitus. 8. G. Var. latidorsalis. 9. G. Var. calculiformis (Beyr.). 10. G. aequabilis, Beyr. 11. G. bidens, Sandb.

Sandberger and Sandberger 1851



- 4.
- bifer, Phill. 8.
- serratus, Stein.



^{20,21.} lingua 2

^{22.} sacculus



Taf.Xa



1,2.acutus7. undulatus8,12-19. auris3-6,10,11.retrorsus typus9. circumflexus20,23,24. amblylobus

Sandberger 1853b





- 215 -

Taf. VII.

- Fig. 1. Clymenia laevigata von Warstein.
 - 1a. Ein Stück derselben mit den ventralen Siphonalloben;
 - 1b, 1c, 1d. Dreierlei Ansichten einer einzelnen Kammer;
 - Sutur, vom Bauch bis in die Rückenlinie;
 Sutur, vom Rücken bis in die Bauchgrenze.
 - 2. Cl. pseudogoniatites. Enkeberg.
 - 3. Desgl. 3a. Querschnitt.
 - b. Desgi. on. Querschnitt.
 - Desgl. 4a. Ansicht von vorn.
 4b. Sutur, etwas abgerieben.
 - 5. Cl. arietina. Enkeberg.
 - 5s. Von vorn. 5b. Vom Rücken aus.
 - 6. Cl. pseudogoniatites, ganz mit Schale bedeckt.
 - Ein Stück von einem Schliff auf die Windungsebene, welcher die Siphonalduten derselben Art und zwar der stark gefalteten Varietät (von Taf. VIII. Fig. 6) zeigt.
 - 8. Cl. striata, Steinkern aus einem Kalkknauer des Cypridinenschiefers von Saalfeld. 2 der natürl. Grösse.
 - 9. Cl. pseudogoniatites, theils Steinkern mit Loben, theils mit stark abgeriebenen Schalenparthieen.
 - Dieselbe Art mit wohlerhaltener Schale und zur Hälfte mit dem Abdruck derselben.

Taf. VIII.

- Fig. 1. Clymenia undulata (3 Grösse) von Ebersdorf.
 - 1a. Querschnitt.
 - 1b. Sutur.
 - 2. Cl. striata ebendaher.
 - 2a. Querschnitt.
 - 2b. Sutur.
 - Cl. compressa (Var. angustiseptata) voni Enkeberg.
 Sa. Sutur.
 - 4. Cl. pseudogoniatites chendaher.
 - 4a. Ein Stück Steinkern desselben, vom Rücken geschen, mit Dorsalloben, welche sich aber unten völlig schliessen sollten. Nicht ganz correct gezeichnet. S. oben Text.

210 -

- 4b. Runzelschicht, stark vergrössert.
- 4c. Unverletzte ausserliche Sutur.
- 4d. Dorsalgegend derselben bei einem etwas abgeriebenen Exemplare.
- Fig. 5. Clymenia binodosa vom Enkeberg.
 - 5s. Schliff durch den Mittelpunkt auf die Querschnittebene.
 - 5b. Eine Kammer, vom Rücken gesehen, nebst einem Stück von deren Querscheidewand.
 - 6. Starkfaltiges Schalenstück von Clymenia pseudogoniatites, von der Seite geschen.
- 6a=7. Rackengegend desselben Exemplares.

Taf.Xb



Goniatites retrorsus, v. Buch

1-6,8,14,15,18,19,23,25. amblylobus 7,20,22. sacculus 11,12,13. umbilicatus 26. circumflexus 16,17. biarcuatus 9,10,24,28. curvispina 27. planilobus

	Ciimbol	1960
	Gumber	1863
	Taf. XV. Fig. 1. Clymenia angustiseptata Münst., Münster's Original.	Taf. XV. Fig. 5. Dicselbe, Querschnitt zu Mün- ster's Original der Clymenia in-
	1 ^e Seitenansicht.	flata, halbe Grüsse.
Į	1 ^b Stirnansicht.	" 6. Dieselbe, in der Form von Mün-
	1 ^e Querschnitt.	ster's Clymenia subnodosa.
1	1 ^{d.} Suturen.	6 [*] -6 ^e Wie oben.
8	" 2. Dieselbe, Münster's Original der	64 Schalenoberfläche, doppelte
	Clymenia plicata.	Grüsse.
	$2^{\bullet}-2^{\circ}$ Wie oben.	6º Runzelschicht und Kiel, dop-
Ì	n 3. Dieselbe, Münster's Original der	pelte Grösse.
1	Clymenia lata.	6 ^r Stück eines zweiten Exemplars.
;	$3^{\circ}-3^{\circ}$ Wie oben.	6 ^c Oberflächenstreifung, doppelte
-) .	" 4. Dieselbe, Münster's Original der	Grösse.
	Clymenia cineta.	" 7. Clymenia flexuosa, Münster's
1	4 4 Wie oben.	Original.
	Taf. XV. Fig. 7'-7" Wie oben.	Taf. XVI. Fig. 4. Schalenstreifung, vergrössert.
-	" 8. Dieselbe, Münster's Original der	" D. Clymenia laevigata Münst., Mün-
	Clymema falcitera.	ster's Original.
	8"-8" Wie oben.	5° Sutur mit Sinhonal I ohur
	, 9. Dieseibe, Munster's Original der	5 ⁴ Ouevealmitt
	0°-04 Wie oben	5° ain Bruchstück der Münster'-
	10 Dieselle Münster's Original der	schen var. semicingulata.
	Cl. subflexuosa.	5 ^r Oberflächenzeichnung der letz-
and the second second	10 [*] 10 [*] . Wie oben.	toren, vergrüssert.
	10 ^e Oberflächenstreifung, doppelte	5" cine Kammer mit Siphonal-Dute.
	Grösse.	" 6. Dieselbe, Münster's Original der
1	"11. Clymenia annulata Münst., Ori-	var. somiplicata.
	nal des Goniatites annulatus. I.	6 ⁻ Seitenansicht.
3	Beitr. 2. Aufl. t. 6°. f. 6.	6 ⁶ Längendurchschnitt, die Sipho-
	11 ^a Natürliche Grösse.	nul-Duten zeigend.
	11 ^{b.} Vergrüssert.	" 7. Dicselbe, Münster's Original der
	11 ^e Sutur.	var. ciliptica.
8	11 ^e Querschnung vor-	7 ^b . Ouorschuitt
	ni Obermenenseichnung, ver-	7° Sutur
	. 12. Dieselbe, Original der Geinitz.	- 8. Dieselbe, var. nana
	Cl. Dunkeri, t. 9. f. 4.	S ^a Scitenansicht.
	12 [*] Seitenansicht.	8 ^{5.} Sutur.
	12 th Stirnansicht.	8°. Ein Stück mit der Runzelschichte.
•	12° Sutur.	" 9. Dieselbe, in der var. cingulata.
	" 13. Dieselbe, Original der Geinitz'-	9 [*] Seitenansicht.
	schen Cl. Dunkeri, t. 9. f. 5.	9 ^{b.} Stirnansicht.
	13•-13°. Wie oben.	9 ^e Sutur.
	Taf. XVI. Fig. 1. Clymenia spinosa Münst., Mün-	9 ⁴ Oberflächenzeichn., vergrössert.
	ster's Original.	Tat. XVII. Fig. I. Clymenia undulata Münst.,
	$1^{+}-1^{-}$ (V)e oben:	Munster's Original.
	a contraction zero multiple of the second se	1° Kannorstöde mit der Sinhunst
	2 Dieselle in einem von Braun	Duto
	in Bayreuth als Cl. pennicellata	14 Desel. mit dem Siphonal-Lohus
	mitgetheilten Exemplar.	1 ^s Ein angeschliffenes Examplar
	2*-24. Wie oben.	mit den Kammerwänden.
	" 3. Clymenia Dunkeri Münst., Mün-	1 th Ansicht der Extern-Soito mit
	ster's Original.	der Runzelschicht.
	3*— 3° Wie oben.	4 ⁶ Extern-Fläche mit dem Kiel
to the second second	n 4. Dieselbe, zweites Exemplar von	der Runzelschicht.
	Geuser.	1 [*] Runzelschicht und Kiel, ver-
	4 ^m 4 ^m Wie oben.	grössert.

Gümbel 1863

Tet	x v II	Fire	9	Disselle Münsters Original	1	· vvn	i Fi	a 5	Dissellie truische Form wit
rai.	-7 V II.	r.ig.	<i>.</i>	der Cl. sublacvis.	1.01		1, 1 1	5. U	tiefen Einschnürungen am
			91	2 ^h Wie ohen					Steinkern.
			2 0%	Oberflichenzeichnung ver-				5	• 5 th Wie oben.
			~	crössert.				G	. Dieselbe, Münster's var. com-
			3.	Dicselbe, Milnsters Original					pressa.
		n	Ŷ.	der Cl. linearis.				6	-Ge Wie oben.
			3•	3 ^{b.} Wie oben.		•	7	7	. Dieselbe, Münster's var. um-
		-	4.	Dieselbe, Münster's Original					bilicata.
		'n		der Cl. inaequistriata.				- 7	-7 ⁴ . Wie oben.
			4-	4 ^{b.} Seitenansicht und Sutur.			77	8	Dieselbe, ein Fragment mit
			5.	Dieselbe, Münster's Original					Runzelschicht und Kiel.
		.,		der Cl. similis.			**	9.	Dieselbe, var. ornata, Mün-
		•	5*-	Seitenansicht.					ster's Original der Cl. ornata.
			5	Oberflächenzeichnung, ver-				99	-9 ^a . Wie oben.
				grössert.				9	" Die Oberflächenverzierung,
			5•	Sutur.				10	Biark vergrossert.
	•	ກ່	6.	Dieselbe, Münster's Original			n	10.	to Examples mit abusiahan
	•			der Cl. semistriata.					der Rippenhildung
		n	7.	Dieselbe, Münster's Original			•	.10	
			•••	der Cl. pygmaea.				11.	Clymenia annula Münst., Mün-
			7*	7 ⁶ . Seiten- und Stirnansicht.					ster's Original zu seiner Cl.
			7*	Sutur.		•			binodosa, var. nodosa.
		n	8.	Dieselbe, Münster's Original				11	-11 ⁴ . Wie oben.
			<i>.</i>	der Cl. tenuistriata.			13	12	Clymenia undulata Münst.,
			8"-	-8" Wie oben.					Münster's Cl. bisulcata.
			8-"	Obernachenzeichnung, ver-				12	-12 ⁴ . Wie oben.
			^	grossert.	Taf	XIX.	Fig.	1.	Clymenia binodosa Münst., Mün-
		**	9.	Dieselbe, Munster's Original					ster's Original.
			۹۰_	-9° Wie oben				1*-	-1 ⁴ . Wie oben.
Tof	v vm	Fire	1	Olymonia striata Milnet tuni-				1•	Die Siphonal-Duten in einem
¥ 41.	22 V 111.	1.12.	.	sche Form		•		· .	Durchschnitte.
			1•	-1° Wie oben.			n	Ζ.	Clymenia angulosa Munst., Mun-
		-	2.	Dieselbo. Münster's var. cos-				94	-2° Wie oben
		n	- .	tellata.				2 - 21.	Querschuitt.
			2*	2 ^{b.} Wie oben.				2.	Sinhonal-Röhre im Durchsch
			3.	Dieselbe, Münster's var. plana.				3.	Dieselbe, Münster's Original der
		.,	3•-	3 th Scitenansicht und Sutur.					Cl. semicostata.
		<i>n</i>	4.	Dicselbo, Münster's Original				3*-	-3° Wie oben.
				der Cl. dorsocostata.			n	4.	Clymenia bilobata Münst., Mün-
			4*-	-4" Wie oben.					ster's Original.
		÷.	·1ª.	Oberflächenzeichnung.				4*-	-4" Wie oben.

- Taf. XIX. Fig. 5. Dieselbe, ein kleines Exemplar. 5°-5° Wie oben.
 - 6. Clymenia speciosa Münst. spec., typische Form.
 - 6'-6" Wie oben, die Suturen bei i mit dem Siphonal-Lobus.
 - 6^{d.} Querschnitt.
 - 7. Dieselbe, Münster's Original zu Goniatites Presli.
 - 7^{*}-7^e Wie oben.
 - 8. Dieselbe, Münster's Original zu Goniatites Cottai.
 - $8^{\circ}-8^{\circ}$ Wie oben.
 - 8^{4.} Oberflächenstreif., vergrössert.
- Taf. XX. Fig. 1. Clymenia speciosa, Münster's Original des Goniatites subcarinatus.
 - 1" 1". Wie oben.
 - 1º Querschnitt.
 - 1"-1" Sutur in der zweiten, dritten und vierten Windung.
 - 2" 2" Querschnitt und Sutur derselben Art in Form des Goniatites clymeniaeformis Münst.
 - 3ª Siphonal-Duten der Clymenia speciosa, bei x mit einer besonders gefärbten Verdichtung.
 - 3⁶ Dieselben, angeschliffen, den röhrenförmigen Zusammenschluss zeigend.
 - Dieselbe Art, Münster's Origi-4. nal zu Goniatites canalifer mit der Runzelschicht.
 - 5^{*} 5^b Querschnitt und Sutur der Clymenia Beaumonti Münst.

Taf. XXI. Fig. 4. Clymenia subarmata Münst.,

- Münster's Original zu Goniatites subarmatus.
- 1* Seitenansicht.
- 1th Stirnansicht.
- 1" 1" Suturen, erstere in der zweiten, letztere in der dritten Windung.
- 1" Querschnitt.
- 2. Dieselbe, ein jugendliches Exemplar, Herrn Prof. Braun gehörig.
- 2" Scitenansicht.
- 2^h Durchschnift.
- 2ª Sutur.
- 3. Clymenia intermedia Münst. spec. Münster's Original.
 - 3" Seitenansicht, in halber Grösse.
 - 3⁴. Querschnitt.
 - 3°-3° Suturen in der ersten, zweiten und dritten Windung.
- 4. Dicselbe, Münster's Original zu Goniatites maximus Münst.
 - 4" Querschnitt in halber Grösse.
- 4th Sutur in halber Grüsse.
- 5. Clymonia Haueri Münst. spec.
- 5^{*} 5^{*} Seiten- und Stirnansicht.
- 5º Sutur.
- 5⁴ Querschnitt.
- 6. Clymenia planorbiformis Münst.
- 6^{*} 6th Wie oben.
- 6º. Querschnitt.
- 6⁴. 6[•]. Suturen.
- 6^{r.} Oberflächenstreifung, vergrössert.





Gumbel, ars

.



Gümbel 1863









1

Gumbel, ges.

and a second A second secon

nte d'internet de la company References Altra de la company de la c

a second a A second a se A second a se

- de ANGELIS d'OSSAT 1897. Seconda contribuzione allo studio della fauna fossile paleozoica delle Alpi Carniche. Fossile del Siluriano e del Devoniano. <u>Mem. R. Acc. Lincei Cl. di</u>. <u>sc. fis. mat. e nat. Ser. 5 3.</u>
- ALBERTI, H., GROOS-UFFENORDE, H., STREEL, M., UFFENORDE, H. and WALLISER, O.H. 1974. The stratigraphical significance of the <u>Protognathodus</u> fauna from Stockum (Devon-Carbon boundary, Rhenish Schiefergebirge). <u>News1</u>. <u>Stratigr. 3</u>, 263-276.
- ANSTED, D.T. 1838. On a genus of fossil multilocular shells found in the slate rocks of Cornwall. <u>Trans</u>. <u>Cambridge Phil</u>. <u>Soc. 6</u>, 415-22, pl. 8.
- ARBEITSGEMEINSCHAFT für DINANT-STRATIGRAPHIE 1971. Die Stratigraphische Gliederung des Dinantiums und seine Ablagerungen in Deutschland. <u>Newsl. Stratigr. 1</u> (4), 7-18.
- ARKELL, W.J. 1946. Standard of the European Jurassic. <u>Bull</u>. <u>Geol. Soc. Amer. 57</u>, 1-34.
- BABIN, C. 1966. <u>Mollusques</u>; <u>Bivalves</u> <u>et</u> <u>Cephalopodes</u> <u>du</u> <u>Paleo-</u> <u>zoique</u> <u>armoricain</u>. Thèse, 471pp. Brest.
- and PARIS, F. 1973. Découverte du Dévonien supérieur dans la synclinorium du Ménez Belair (Massif Armoricain). Implications paléogeographiques. <u>Comptes Rendus Ac. Sc. 276</u>, 2129-32.
- GOUJET, D., LARDEUX, H., LEJAL-NICOL, A., LETHIERS, F., MORZADEC, F., PLUSQUELLEE, Y. and WEYANT, M. 1976. La Formation des Schistes de Porsguen (Dévonien supérieur de la rade de Brest, Massif Armoricain) Lithologie, flore, faune. <u>Ann. Soc. géol. Nord. XCVI</u>, 333-346, pls. XXXII-XXXV.
- BARROIS, C. 1882. Recherches sur les terrains anciens des Asturies et de la Galice. <u>Mem. Soc. géol. Nord. 2</u>.
- 1898. Les Goniatites du Ravin de Coularie (Haute-Garonne).
 <u>Ann. Soc. géol. Nord XXVII</u>, 260-4.
- 1899. Des relations de mers devoniennes de Bretagne avec celles des Ardennes. <u>Ann. Soc. géol. Nord</u> <u>XXVII</u>, 231-259.
- BARTZSCH, F. and WEYER, D. 1980. Neue Ostracoda aus der <u>Wock-</u> <u>lumeria</u> Stufe (Oberdevon) von Saalfeld im Thüringischen Schiefergebirge. <u>Abh. Ber. Naturkd. Vorgesch, 12</u>, 34-51.

- BEYRICH, E. 1837. Beiträge zur kentniss der Versteinerungen des Rheinischen Ubergangsgebirges. iv + 44pp. Berlin.
- 1859. Protokoll der Marz-Sitzung. Zeit. deutsch geol. Ges. 11, 139-40.
- BOGOSLOVSKIY, B.I., 1955. O semeystve Biloclymeniidae Fam. Nov. Doklady Akad. Nauk SSR, 104, 134-137.
- 1957. O novykh rodakh devonskikh ammonoidei. <u>Material k</u> "<u>Osnovam Paleontologii</u>". <u>1</u>, 45-8.
- 1960. Novye predstaviteli nekotorykh maloizvestnykh rodov devonskikh ammonoidei iz famenskikh otlozhenii Urala. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Zh</u>. no. 4, 69-73.
- 1962a. Order Clymeniida, pp.399-409, pl. XXX-XXXII, in Ruzhencev, V.E. (Ed,) <u>Osnovy Paleontologii 5, Molluski-Golovonogie 1, Nadotryad Ammonoidea</u>. 499pp., Moscow. (Translated as, <u>Fundamentals of Paleontology Vol. V, Mollusca-Cephalopoda</u> <u>1</u>, 887pp., Jerusalem 1974).
- 1962b. Redkiy tip skul'ptury u klimeniy. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Zh</u>. (1962), no. 1, 166-68. (Translated as, Rare types of sculptural ornament in clymenias, <u>in Int</u>. <u>Geol</u>. <u>Rev</u>. <u>5</u>, (1962) 1512-4.
- 1965. <u>Carinoclymenia</u>-novyy rod semeystva Rectoclymeniidae. <u>Pal. Zh</u>. (1965), no. 4, 88-91. (Translated as, <u>Carinoclymenia</u>, new genus of the family Rectoclymeniidae, <u>in Int. Geol. Rev</u>. <u>8</u> (1965), 991-4.
- 1969. Devonskiy ammonoidei, I Agoniatity. <u>Trud. Pal. Inst.</u> <u>124</u>, 1-341, pl. 1-29.
- 1971. Devonskie ammonoidei, II, Goniatity. <u>Trud</u>. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Inst</u>. <u>127</u>, 1-228, pl. 1-19.
- 1975. Novoye semeystvo klimeniy. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Zh</u>. (1975), no. 3 35-41. (Translated as, A new clymeniid family, <u>in Pal</u>. <u>J</u>. (1975), no. 3, 304-310).

.... 1976. Ranniy ontogenez i proiskhozhdyenie klimeniy. <u>Pal</u>. Zh. (1976), no. 2, 41-50. (Translated as, Early ontogeny and origin of clymenid ammonoids, <u>in Pal</u>. J. (1976), no. 2, 150-8.)
.... 1977. O semeystve Miroclymeniidae Schindewolf 1924. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Zh</u>. (1977), no. 4, 47-58, pl. 5. (Translated as, The family Miroclymeniidae Schindewolf, 1924, <u>in Pal</u>. J. (1977), no. 4, 435-44).

- BOGOSLOVSKIY, B.I. 1979a. <u>Cteroclymenia</u> Novyy rod semeystva Rectoclymeniidae. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Zh</u>. (1979), no. 1, 140-43. (Translated as, A new genus of the family Rectoclymeniidae, <u>in Pal</u>. <u>J</u>. (1979), no. 1, 131-4.)
- 1979b. Sistematika i filogeniya klimeniin. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Zh</u>. (1979), no. 2, 32-47. (Translated as Systematics and phylogeny of clymenid ammonoids, <u>in</u> <u>Pal</u>. <u>J</u>. (1979), no. 2, 154-67.)
- 1981. Devonskie Ammonoidei III. Klimenii (Podotryad Gonioclymeniina). <u>Trud. Pal. Inst. 191</u>, 1-122, pls. 1-16.
- and KUZINA, L.F. 1980. Posznedevonskie ammonoidei basseina reki Kozhim na Pripolyarnam Uralye. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Zh</u>. (1980), no. 2, 67-73, pl. IX.
- BOHM, R. 1935. <u>Études sur les faunes du Dévonien supérieur et</u> <u>du Carbonifère inférieur de la Montagne Noire</u>. Thèses Doct. ès-Sci. Montpellier. 203pp.
- BORN, A. 1912a. Die geologischen verhältnisse des Oberdevons im Aeketal (Oberharz). <u>N. Jb. Min. Geol. Paläont</u>. 34, 553-632, pls. 18-21.
- 1912b. Über eine Vergesellschaftung von Clymenien und Cheiloceren. Zeit. <u>deutsch geol</u>. <u>Ges. 64</u>, 537.
- BOUCKAERT, J., DREESEN, R. and DRIJKONINGEN, P. 1978. Recherches biostratigraphiques dans quelques coupes du Famennien de l' Avesnois. <u>Ann. Soc. géol. Belg. 100</u>, 115-123.
- MOURAVIEFF, A., STREEL, M., THOREZ, J., and ZIEGLER, W. 1972. The Frasnian-Famennian Boundary in Belgium. <u>Geol. et Pal. 6</u>, 87-92.
- STREEL, M. and THOREZ, J. 1968. Schéma biostratigraphique et coupes de reférence du Famennian belge. <u>Ann. Soc. géol</u>. <u>Belg. 91</u>, 317-336.
- 1970. Le Famennien et les couches de transition Devonien-Carbonifère dans la vallee de l'Ourthe (sud de Liège, synclinorium de Dinant). pp25-46, in <u>Colloques</u> ..., 1970 (Ed. Streel, M. and Wagner, R.H.)
- ZIEGLER, W. and THOREZ, J. 1965. Conodont stratigraphy in the Famennian Stage (Upper Devonian) in Belgium. <u>Mem. serv</u>. <u>géol. Belg. 5</u>, 1-40, pls. 1-5.
- BOURGUET, L. 1742. <u>Traité des pétrifactions</u>. <u>Avec figures</u>. 2pts. 60pls.
- BRANCO, W. 1880. Beiträge zur Entwicklungsgeschichte der fossilen Cephalopoden. Die Goniatiten, Clymenien, Nautiliden, Belemnitiden und Spiruliden. <u>Palaeontographica</u> <u>26</u>, 12-81, pls. III-XI.
- BRANSON, E.B. and MEHL, M.G. 1934. Conodonts from the Grassy Creek Shale of Missouri. <u>Univ. Miss. Stud.</u> 8, 171-259, pls. 13-21.
- BRINKMANN, R. 1948. Die Mitteldeutsche Schwelle. <u>Geol</u>. <u>Rundsch</u>. <u>36</u>, 56-66.
- BRÜGGE, N. 1973. Zur stratigraphischen Einstufung des Oberdevon-Profils "Alte Heerstrasse" bei Schleiz, Bezirk Gera. <u>Zeit</u>. <u>geol. Wiss</u>. Berlin <u>1</u>, 319-27, pls. 1,2.
- von BUCH, L. 1832. Über Goniatiten. <u>Phys</u>. <u>Abh. kgl</u>. <u>Akad</u>. <u>Wiss</u>. Berlin, 159-187, pls. I,II.
- (1838). Über Goniatiten und Clymenien in Schlesien. <u>Phys</u>. <u>Abh. kgl. Akad. Wiss</u>. Berlin, 149-169, pl. II.
- BUGGISCH, W. and CLAUSEN, C.-D. 1972. Conodonten- und Goniatiten-Faunen aus dem oberen Frasnium und unteren Famennium Marokkos (Tafilalt, Antiatlas). <u>N. Jb. Geol. Paläont. Abh. 141</u>, 137-67.
- BUTCHER, N.E. and HOUSE, M.R. 1961. Excavations in the Devonian and Carboniferous rocks near Chudleigh, South Devon. <u>Brit</u>. <u>Ass</u>. <u>programme</u> for 123rd Ann. Mtg. p. 40.
- CHANG, A.C. 1958. The discovery of <u>Clymenia</u> faunas from the Great Khinghan and their stratigraphical significance. <u>Sci</u>. <u>Rev.</u>, <u>New Ser</u>. 2, 320-22.
- 1960. New late Upper Devonian ammonite fauna of the Great Khinghan and its biological classification. <u>Acta Pal. Sin. 8</u> 180-192.
- CHERNYSHEV, F.N. 1887a. Fauna nizhnednogo devona zapadnogo sklona Urala. <u>Trud. Geol. Kom. 3</u> (1), ?1-84.
- 1887b. Fauna srednogo i verkhnego devona zapadnogo sklona Urala. <u>Trud. Geol. Kom. 3</u> (3), 1-208.
- CHLUPÁČ, I. 1966. Nové nálezy klimenii ve svrchnim devonu Moravského Krasu. <u>Véstn</u>. <u>ústrěd</u> <u>Úst</u>. <u>geol</u>. <u>41</u>, 93-8.

531

- CHLUPÁČ, I. and ZIKMUNDOVÁ, J. 1976. The Devonian and Lower Carboniferous in the Nepasice bore in East Bohemia. <u>Véstn</u>. <u>ústrěd Úst. geol. 51</u>, 269-78.
- CLARKE, J.M. 1892. The discovery of <u>Clymenia</u> in the fauna of the Intumescens Zone (Naples Beds) of Western New York and its geological significance. <u>Am</u>. J. <u>Sci</u>. <u>43</u>, 57-63.
- and HUGHES, T.M. 1890. The Life and Letters of the Reverend Adam Sedgwick, <u>1</u>, XIII + 534pp. CUP. Cambridge.
- CLAUSEN, C.-D. 1968. Das Nehden im der Büdesheimer Teilmulde (Prümer Mulde/Eifel). Fortschr. Geol. Rheinland u. Westf. 16, 205-232.
- 1969. Oberdevonische cephalopoden aus dem Rheinischen Schiefergebirge. II Gephuroceratidae, Beloceratidae. <u>Palaeonto-graphica</u> <u>132</u>, 95-178, pls. 22-26.
- 1971. Geschichte, Umfang und Evolution der Gephuroceratidae (Ceph. Oberdevon) in heutiger Sicht. <u>N. Jb. Geol. Pal. Abh.</u> <u>137</u>, 175-208.
- ..., KORN, D. and UFFENORDE, H. 1979. Das Devon/Karbon-Profil am alten Schiessstand bei der Bilstein-Höhle (Blatt 4515 Hirschberg, Warsteiner Sattel, Rheinisches Schiefergebirge). <u>Aufschluss</u> - Sonderband <u>29</u> (Warstein), 47-68. 4 Abb., 1 Taf.
- and LEUTERITZ, K. 1979. Lohnende Exkursionsziele im Warsteiner Raum. <u>Aufschluss</u> - Sonderband <u>29</u> (Warstein), 253-266.
- COLLINSON, C., SCOTT, A.J. and THOMPSON, T.L. 1971. Conodont Zonation of the North American Mississippian. <u>Mem. Geol. Soc.</u> <u>Amer. 127</u>, 353-394.
- CRICK, G.C. 1898. On the muscular attachment of the animal to its shell in some fossil cephalopods (Ammonoidea). <u>Trans</u>. <u>Lin</u>. <u>Soc</u>. <u>London</u> (<u>Zool</u>.) <u>7</u>, 71-113, pls. 17-20.
- CZARNOCKI, J. 1909. <u>Paleontologiczny rys ił ołupków górnodewon-</u> <u>skich okolic kielc.Dla siebie i dla szkoł</u>y. Warsaw.
- 1928. Przeglad stratygrafii famenu i karbonu dolnego w zachodniej u srodkowej czesci Gór Świętokrzyskikh. <u>Post</u>. <u>naut</u>. <u>Pol. Inst</u>. <u>geol</u>. <u>21</u>, 55-61.
- 1938. Przeglad stratygrafii i paleogeografii de w onu dolnego Gór Świętokrzyskikh. <u>Spraw</u>. <u>Państw</u> <u>Inst</u>. <u>Geol</u>. <u>8</u>.

- DEHÉE, R. 1929. Déscription de la faune d'Étroeungt, faune de passage du Dévonien au Carbonifère. <u>Mem. Soc. géol. France 5</u>, II, 1-64.
- DELÉPINE, G. 1929. Sur la presence de <u>Cymaclymenia</u> <u>camerata</u> Schindewolf dans la zone d'Étroeungt a Sémeries (Nord de la France). <u>Ann. Soc. géol. Nord 54</u>, 99-103.
- 1935. Upper Devonian Goniatites from Mount Pierre, Kimberley District, Western Australia. <u>Q. J. Geol. Soc. Lond. 91</u>, 208-15.
- 1952. Ordre Clymeniida, 556-8 in Pivetau, J. <u>Traité</u> <u>de</u> <u>Paléontologie</u>, Paris.
- DEMANET, F. 1958. Contribution a l'étude du Dinantien de la Belgique. <u>Inst. roy. Sci. Nat. 141</u>.
- DENCKMANN, A. 1901a. Ueber das Oberdevon auf Blatt Balve (Sauerland). <u>Jb</u>. <u>kgl</u>. <u>preuss.</u> <u>geol</u>. <u>L</u>. -<u>A</u> <u>f</u>. (1900), <u>21</u>, i-ixx.
- 1901b. Der geologische Bau des Kellerwaldes Kurze Erlauterungen zur Geologischen übersichtskarte des Kellerwaldes
 - 1:10 000. Abh. kgl. preuss. geol. Landesanstalt N. F. 34, 1-88.
- and LOTZ, H. 1901. Ueber einige Fortschritte in der Stratigraphic des Sauerlandes. Zeit. <u>deutsch geol</u>. <u>Ges</u>. (1900), 564-7.
- DONOVAN, D.T. 1964. Cephalopod phylogeny and classification. Biol. Rev. 39, 259-87.
- DREESEN, R. 1977. Interspecific morphological relations within the "quadrantinodosa-Stock" (Branson and Mehl 1934) (<u>marginifera</u>-Zone Upper Devonian). <u>Ann. Soc. géol. Belg. 99</u>, 511-29.
- 1982a (<u>recte</u> Dreesen and Thorez, J.) Upper Devonian Sediments in the Ardenno-Rhenish area: sedimentology and geochemistry. <u>Publ. Nat. Gen. Limburg 32</u>, 8-15.
- ... 1982b. Storm generated oolitic ironstones of the Famennian (Fa 1b - Fa 2a) in the Vesdre and Dinant synclinoria (Upper Devonian, Belgium). <u>Ann. Soc. géol. Nord. 105</u>, 105-29.
- and DUSAR, M. 1974. Refinement of conodont-biozonation in the Famenne Type Area. <u>Int. Sym. Belg. mic. lim. Emsian-Visean</u> Namur. Publ. <u>13</u>, 1-36, pls. 1-7.
- DREVERMANN, F. 1901. Die Fauna der oberdevonischen Tuffbreccie von Langenaubach bei Haiger. <u>Jb. preuss. geol. Land</u>. (1900) <u>21</u>, 99-207.

- DRUSHCHITS, V.V., BOGOSLOVSKAYA, M.F. and DOGUZHAEVA, L.A. 1976. Evolutsiya septalnikh trubok y ammonoidei. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Zh</u>. (1976), no. 1, 41-56.
- DYBCZYNSKI, T. 1913. Amonity górnego Dewanu Kielc Wiadomosć tymczasowa (Les ammonites du Devonien supérieur de Kielce de Pologne. Note preliminaire). <u>Kosmos</u> <u>38</u>, 510-25.
- EASTMAN-ZITTEL, 1900. Cephalopoda (Ammonoidea), pp.536-91, in <u>Textbook of palaeontology</u>, 1.
- EDWARDS, F.H. 1849. A monograph of the Eocene Mollusca. Pt. 1 Cephalopoda. <u>Trans</u>. <u>Palaeontogr</u>. <u>Soc</u>. <u>2</u>, 1-56.
- EICKHOFF, G. 1973. Das hohe Oberdevon und tiefe Unterkarbon im Bahneinschnitt Oberrödinghausen bei Menden (Rheinisches Schiefergebirge). <u>Comptes rendu 7 Congr. int. stratigr. géol. Carbonifère</u> <u>Krefeld 1971, 2</u>, 417-40.
- ERBEN, H.K. and ZAGORA, K. 1968. Devonian of Germany, pp.53-68, <u>in</u> Oswald, D.H. 1968.
- FLOWER, R.H. 1961. Major divisions of the Cephalopoda. J. Pal. <u>26</u>, 519-28.
- FOORD, A.H. 1890. Description of fossils from the Kimberley District. <u>West. Austr. Geol. Mag. 7</u>, 98-106.
- and CRICK, G.C. 1897. <u>Catalogue of the Fossil Cephalopoda</u> <u>in the British Museum (Natural History</u>), Part III, xxxiii + 303pp., London.
- FRANKE, W., ENGEL, W., EDER, W, and LANGENSTRASSEN, F. 1978. Main aspects of geosynclinal sedimentation in the Rhenohercynian Zone. <u>Zeit</u>. <u>deutsch</u> <u>geol</u>. <u>Ges</u>. <u>129</u>, 201-16.
- FRECH, F. 1886. Über die Alterstellung des Grazer Devons. <u>Mitt</u>. <u>naturwiss</u>. <u>Ver. Steirmark</u>. 1-20.
- 1887a. Die Paläozoischen Bildungen von Cabrières (Languedoc). Zeit. <u>deutsch geol. Ges. 39</u>, 360-487.
- 1887b. Ueber das Oberdevon der Ostalpen. Zeit. <u>deutsch geol</u>. <u>Ges. 39</u>, 659-738.
- 1897. Lethaea geognostica Th. 1 Lethaea palaeozoica, 2, xxiv + 788pp., Stuttgart.
- 1902. Über Devonische Ammoneen. <u>Beitr</u>. <u>Paläont</u>. <u>Geol</u>. <u>Ost</u> <u>Ung</u> 14, 27-112, pls. 2-5.

- FRECH, F. 1904. Uber die explosive Entwicklung der Oberdevonischen Ammoneen. Zeit. deutsch geol. Ges. 56 164-6.
- (Ed.) 1913. <u>Fossilium Catalogus 1</u>: <u>Animalia Pars 1</u> <u>Ammoneae</u> <u>Devonicae (Clymeniidae, Aphyllitidae, Gephyroceratidae, Cheiloceratidae</u>). 42pp. Berlin.
- FREYER, G. 1957. Neue Untersuchungen im Oberdevon des Vogtlandes auf Grund des Fossilinhaltes der Kalke im Bereich der vogtländischen Mulde. <u>Freib</u>. Forsch <u>C27</u>, 1-100, pls. 1-12.

FREYER, G. 1959 (recte Pfeiffer, H. 1959). See Pfeiffer, H. 1959.

FUHRMANN, A. 1954. Petrographie, Fauna und stratigraphische

Stellung einiger Aufschlüsse im Oberharzer Oberdevon, Blatt Zellerfeld und Riefensbeck. <u>Geol</u>. <u>Jb</u>. <u>69</u>, 629-52.

- GALLWITZ, H. 1938. Archoceraten aus dem unteren Oberdevon Deutschlands. Zent. Min. Geol. Paläont. Abt. B 10, 376-83.
- GEINITZ, H.B. 1853. <u>Die Versteinerungen der Grauwackenformation</u> <u>in Sachsen und den angenzenden Länder-Abteilungen 2, 95pp.</u> Leipzig.
- GLENISTER, B.F. 1956. Upper Devonian Ammonoids from the <u>Manti-</u> <u>coceras</u> Zone, Fitzroy Basin, Western Australia. J. <u>Pal. 32</u>, 58-96.
- and KLAPPER, G. 1966. Upper Devonian conodonts from the Canning Basin, Western Australia. J. Pal. 40, 777-841, pls. 85-96.
- GORTANI, M. 1907. Contribuzioni allo studio del Paleozoico carnico. III La fauna a Climenie del Monte Primosio. <u>Mem</u>. <u>R</u>. <u>Acc. Sci. Bologna 6</u>, 201-45.
- GOSSELET, J. 1860. <u>Mémoires sur les terrains primaires de la</u> <u>Belgique, de l'arrondisement d'Avesnes et du Boulonnais</u>. 164pp. Paris.
- 1873. Esquisse géol. du dépt. du Nord et des contrées voisines. I. Lille. (fide Kayser 1873).
- 1877. Quelques documents pour l'étude des Schistes de Famenne. <u>Ann. Soc. géol. Nord 4</u>, 303-20.
- 1878. Déscription Geologique du Canton de Maubeuge. <u>Ann</u>. <u>Soc</u>. <u>géol</u>. <u>Nord</u> <u>6</u>, 129-211.

GOSSELET, J. 1897. Excursion géologique à Coulsore, Sars-Poterie, Couvin, Rocroi. <u>Ann. Soc. géol. Nord 26</u>, 147.

GRUNEBERG, H. 1925. Beiträge zur Kenntnis des Oberdevons der Herzkamper Mulde. Jber. naturwiss. Ver. Elberfeld 15, 48-96.

- GROTH, J. 1914. Les schistes à goniatites de Guadalmez. <u>C. R.</u> <u>Acad. Sc. Paris 158</u>, 525-6.
- GÜMBEL, C.-W. 1862. Revision der Goniatiten des Fichtelgebirges: <u>N. Jb. Min. Geogn. Geol</u>. 284-325, pl. 5.
- 1863. Ueber Clymenien in den Uebergangsgebilden des Fichtelbirges. <u>Palaeontographica</u> <u>II</u>, 85-165, pls. 15-21.
- GÜRICH, G. 1896. Das Palaeozoicum im Polnischen Mittelgebirge. <u>Verh. Kais. Min. Ges. 32</u>, 1-539.
- 1909. <u>Leitfossilien</u> <u>des Devons</u>, pp.99-127, pls.37-41, <u>in</u> <u>Leitfossilien</u>, Berlin.
- HAAS, W.H. 1947. Conodont zones in Upper Devonian and Lower Mississippian formations of Ohio. <u>J. Pal. 21</u>, 134-41.
- HAUG, E. 1903. Sur deux horizons à cephalopodes du Devonien supérieur dans le Sahara oranais. <u>Comptes rendus Acad. Sci.</u> <u>137</u>, 83-5.
- HEBERT, E. 1855. Quelques renseignements noveaux sur la constitution géologique de l'Ardenne française. <u>Bull. Soc. géol</u>. <u>France 12</u>, 1165-86.
- HELMS, J. 1959. Conodonten aus dem Saalfelder Oberdevon (Thüringen). <u>Geologie</u> 8, 634-77.
- 1961. Die "<u>nodocostata</u> Gruppe" der <u>Polygnathus</u> (Oberdevonische Conodonten). <u>Geologie</u> <u>12</u>, 674-711.
- HOLZAPFEL, E. 1910. Die Geologie des Nord abfalles der Eifel mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Gegend von Aachen. <u>Abh. kql</u>, <u>preuss. geol. Landesanstalt</u> 66, 218pp.
- HOUSE, M.R. 1956. Devonian Goniatites from North Cornwall. Geol. Mag. <u>93</u>, 257-62.
- 1960. Upper Devonian ammonoids from north-west Dartmoor, Devonshire. <u>Proc. Geol. Ass. 70</u>, 315-21.
- 1961. <u>Acanthoclymenia</u>, the supposed earliest Devonian clymenid is a <u>Manticoceras</u>. <u>Palaeontology</u> <u>3</u>, 472-6, pl. 75.

- HOUSE, M.R. 1962. Observations on the ammonoid succession of the North American Devonian. J. Pal. 36, 247-284, pls. 43-8.
- 1963. Devonian ammonoid successions and facies in Devon and Cornwall. Q. J. <u>Geol. Soc. Lond. 119</u>, 1-27, pls. 1-4.
- 1964. Devonian northern hemisphere ammonoid distribution and marine links, pp.262-69, 299-301, <u>in Nairn, A.E.M. (Ed.)</u> <u>Problems of Palaeoclimatology</u>, London.
- ... 1968. Devonian ammonoid zonation and correlation between North America and Europe, pp.1061-68, <u>in</u> Oswald 1968.
- 1970. On the origin of the clymenid ammonoids. <u>Palaeontology</u> <u>13</u>, 664-76, pls. 125-6.
- 1971a. The Goniatite Wrinkle-layer. <u>Smithson</u>. <u>misc</u>. <u>Coll</u>. <u>Contr</u>. <u>Paleobiol</u>. <u>3</u>, 23-32.
- 1971b. Devonian faunal distributions, pp.79-94, <u>in</u> Middlemiss, F.A., Rawson, P.F. and Newall, G. (Eds.) <u>Faunal Provinces</u> <u>in Space and Time, Geol. J. Spec. Iss</u>. Liverpool.
- 1973a. Delimitation of the Frasnian Acta geol. pol. 23, 1-14.
- 1973b. An analysis of Devonian goniatite distributions. <u>Spec. Pap. Pal. 12</u>, 305-17.
- 1973c. Devonian goniatites, pp.97-104, <u>in</u> Hallam, A. (Ed.) <u>Atlas of Palaeobiogeography</u>, Amsterdam.
- 1975a. Facies and time in Devonian tropical areas. <u>Proc</u>. <u>Yorks. Geol. Soc. 40</u>, 233-88, pl. 19.
- 1975b. Faunas and time in the Marine Devonian. Proc. Yorks. <u>Geol. Soc. 40</u>, 459-90, pls. 30,31.
- 1978. Devonian ammonoids from the Appalachians and their bearing on international zonation and correlation. <u>Spec. Pap.</u> <u>Pal. 21</u>, i-v, 1-70, pls. 1-10.
- 1979. Early ammonoid biostratigraphy, 263-80, in House
 et al. 1979.
- 1981a. On the origin, classification and evolution of the early Ammonoidea, pp3-36, <u>in</u> House and Senior 1981.
- 1981b. Early Ammonoids in Space and Time, pp.359-67, <u>in</u> House and Senior 1981.

- HOUSE, M.R. and BUTCHER, N.E. 1975. Excavations in the Upper Devonian and Carboniferous rocks near Chudleigh, South Devon. <u>Trans. R. Geol. Soc. Cornwall</u> 20, 119-20.
- and KIRCHGASSER, W.T. 1981. Upper Devonian goniatite biostratigraphy, pp.39-55, <u>in</u> Oliver, W.A. and Klapper, G. (Eds.) <u>Devonian Biostratigraphy of New York</u>, Part 1 Text IUGS Subcommission on Devonian Stratigraphy. Washington.
- and PEDDER, A.E.H. 1963. Devonian goniatites and stratigraphical correlations in western Canada. <u>Palaeontology 6</u>, 491-539.
- and PRICE, J.D. 1980. Devonian Ammonoid faunas of the Carnic Alps (A review), pp.14-15, in Schönlaub H.-P. (Ed.) <u>Second European Conodont Symposium (ECOS II) Abh. Geol. B.-A. 35</u>.
- ..., RICHARDSON, J.B., CHALONER, W.G., ALLEN, J.R.L., HOLLAND, C.H. and WESTOLL, T.S. 1977. A correlation of the Devonian rocks in the British Isles. <u>Geol. Soc. Spec. Rep. 8</u>, 110pp.
- ..., SCRUTTON, C.T. and BASSETT, M.G. 1979. The Devonian System. Spec. Pap. Palaeont. 23, 1-353.
- and SELWOOD, E.B. 1957. Discovery of ammonoids of the Upper Devonian <u>Wocklumeria</u> zone in north Cornwall. <u>Nature 179</u>, 832.
- 1966. Palaeozoic Palaeontology in Devon and Cornwall, pp.45-86, pls. 1-4, <u>in</u> Hosking, K.F. and Shrimpton, G. J. (Eds.) <u>Present Views on Some Aspects of the Geology of Cornwall</u> and <u>Devon</u>. Penzance.
- and SENIOR, J. (Eds.) 1981. <u>The Ammonoidea</u>. <u>The evolution</u>, <u>classification</u>, <u>mode of life and geological usefulness of a</u> <u>major fossil group</u>. <u>Syst. Ass. Spec</u>. Vol. 18, 593pp. London.
- and ZIEGLER, W. 1977. The Goniatite and Conodont sequences in the early Upper Devonian at Adorf, Germany. <u>Geol</u>. <u>et Pal</u>. 11, 69-94, pls. 1-6.
- HYATT, A. 1883-4. Genera of Fossil Cephalopods. <u>Proc. Boston</u> <u>Soc. Nat. Hist. 22</u> (for 1882-3), 241-272 (1883), 273-353 (1884).
- 1900. Cephalopoda, in Eastman-Zittel 1900.
- JENKINS, T.B.H. 1966. The Upper Devonian index ammonoid <u>Cheilo</u>ceras from New South Wales. <u>Palaeontology</u> 9, 458-63, pl. 72.
- 1968. Famennian ammonoids from New South Wales. <u>Palaeonto-</u> <u>logy 11</u>, 535-48.

- JONGMANS, W.J. (Ed.) 1937. <u>Deuxième congrès pour l'avancement</u> <u>des études de stratigraphie carbonifère Heerlen 1935</u>. Compte Rendu <u>1</u>, pp.1-522, <u>2</u>, pp.523-1161. Maestricht.
- and GOTHAN, W. 1937. Schlussbetrachtungen, pp.4-40, <u>in</u> Jongmans 1937.
- JUX, U. and KRATH, J. 1974. Die Fauna aus dem mittleren Oberdevon (Nehden Stufe) des sudwestlichen Bergischen Landes (Rheinisches Schiefergebirge). <u>Palaeontographica</u> <u>147A</u>, 115-68.
- KALIŚ, J. 1969. Wstępna stratijgrafia serii górnodewonskiej z niektórych wierceń zachodniej części niecki lubelskiej. <u>Acta</u> <u>Geol. Pol. XIX</u>, 805-21, pls. I-IV.
- KARPINSKIY, A.P. 1884. O nakhozhdeniy ostatkov klimenii na Urale. <u>Izv. Geol. kom</u>. <u>3</u>, 157-9.
- 1885. Geologicheskie issledovaniya, proizvedennye v Yuzhnom Uralye letom 1884g. <u>Izv. Geol. kom. 4</u>, 1-323.
- KASIG, W., DREESEN, R. and BOUCKAERT, J. 1979. Zur stratigraphie des Famenniums sudlich von Aachen (Deutschland). <u>Geol. et Pal</u>. <u>13</u>, 165-72.
- KAYSER, E. 1870. Studien aus dem Gebiet des Rheinischen Devon.
 I. Das Devon der Gegend von Aachen. <u>Zeit. deutsch geol. Ges.</u>
 <u>22</u>, 841-52.
- 1871. Studien aus dem Gebiet des Rheinischen Devon. II. Die devonischen Bildungen der Eifel. Zeit. deutsch geol. Ges. 23, 289-376.
- 1873. Studien aus dem Gebiete des Rheinischen Devon. IV. Uber die Fauna des Nierenkalks vom Enkeberg und der Schiefer von Nehden bei Brilon, und über die Gliederung des Oberdevon im Rheinischen Schiefergebirge. <u>Zeit</u>. <u>deutsch geol</u>. <u>Ges. 25</u>, 602-74.
- 1878. Die Fauna der ältesten Devon Ablagerungen des Harzes. <u>Abh. geol. Spez. karte preuss. Thür. St. 2</u>, 1-295.
- KEYSERLING, A.G. 1844. Beschreibung einiger Goniatiten aus dem Domanik Schiefer. <u>Verhandl. russ. kayserl. min. Ges. St.</u> <u>Petersburg.</u> 218-255.
- KIND, N.V. 1944. Goniatity i klimenii zapadnogo sklona Mugodzharskikh gor. <u>Uchen zap. Leningr. Un-ta, Ser. geol.-poch.</u> <u>Nauk. Biul 11</u>, 671-86.

- KLAPPER, G., SANDBERG, C., COLLINSON, C., HUDDLE, J. ORR, R., RICKARD, L., SCHUMACHER, D., SEDDON, G. and UYENO, T. 1971. North American Devonian conodont biostratigraphy. <u>Geol. Soc</u>. Amer. <u>Mem.</u> <u>1</u>27, 285-316.
- and ZIEGLER, W. 1979. Devonian Conodont biostratigraphy, pp.199-224, <u>in</u> House <u>et</u> <u>al</u>. 1979.
- KOCH, M., LEUTERITZ, K. and ZIEGLER, W. 1970. Alter, Facies und Paläogeographie der Oberdevon/Unterkarbon-Schichtenfolge an der Seiler bei Iserlohn. Ergebnisse von Schurfarbeiten -<u>Fortschr. Geol. Rheinld. u. Westf.</u> 17, 679-732.
- KOLIHA, J. 1929. Svrchni devon v pohoři ještědském. <u>Věst</u>. <u>Stát</u>. <u>geol</u>. <u>Úst</u>. <u>5</u>, 286-292.
- KOLOTUKHINA, S.E. 1938. K voprosu o klimenivikh faciyaikh nedevona centralnogo Kazakhstana. <u>Izv</u>. <u>Akad</u>. <u>Nauk.SSSR</u> <u>5</u>, 671-86.
- KORN, D. 1979. Mediandornen bei <u>Kosmoclymenia</u> Schindewolf (Ammonoidea, Cephalopoda). <u>N. Jb. Geol. Paläont. Mh. 7</u>, 399-405.
- 1981a. <u>Cymaclymenia</u> eine besonders langlebige Clymenien -Gattung (Ammonoidea, Cephalopoda). <u>N. Jb. Geol. Paläont. Abh.</u> <u>161</u>, 172-208.
- 1981b. Ein neues, Ammonoideen führendes Profil an der Devon-Carbon-Grenze im Sauerland (Rhein. Schiefergebirge). <u>N. Jb. Geol. Paläont. Mh. 9</u>, 513-26.
- KOSSMAT, F. 1928. Gliederung des variszischen Gebirgsbaues. <u>Abh. sächs geol. Landesamt</u> <u>1</u>, 1-39.
- KREBS, W. 1971. Devonian Reef limestones in the Eastern Rhenish Schiefergebirge, pp.45-81, <u>in</u> Müller 1971.
- 1974. Devonian Carbonate Complexes in Central Europe, pp.155-208, in Laporte, L.F. (Ed.), <u>Reefs in time and space</u> -<u>selected examples from the recent and ancient. Soc. Econ. Pal.</u> <u>Min. Spec. Publ. 188</u>.
- 1979. Devonian Basinal Facies, pp.125-39, <u>in</u> House <u>et</u> <u>a1</u>. 1979.
- KROTOV, P.I. 1888. Geologicheskie issledovaniya na zapadnom sklone Solikamskogo i cherdynskogo Urala. <u>Trud. Geol. kom. 6</u>, 1-563.

- KULLMANN, J. 1960. Die Ammonoidea des Devon im kantabrischen Gebirge (Nord_spanien). <u>Abh. Akad. Wiss. Lit. Mainz, math-</u> <u>naturwiss. K1</u>. (1960), no. 7, 105pp., pls.1-9.
- and WIEDMANN, J. 1970. Significance of sutures in phylogeny of Ammonoidea. <u>Pal. Cont. Univ. Kansas</u>, Paper <u>47</u>, 32pp.
- KUTSCHER, F. and SCHMIDT, H. 1958. Lexique Stratigraphique International 1 Europe, Fasc. 5b, Allemagne, Dévonien. 386pp. CNRS Paris.
- LAMARCK, J.-B. 1801, <u>Système des animaux sans vertèbres</u> 432pp. Paris.
- LANGE, W. 1929. Zur kenntnis des Oberdevons am Enkeberge und bei Balve (Sauerland). <u>Abh. preuss. geol. Landesanst. N. F.</u> <u>119</u>, 1-132, pls.1-3.
- LECOMPTE, M. 1956. Famenne/Famennien, pp.169-81, <u>in</u> <u>Lexique</u> <u>Stratigraphique International</u>. <u>1</u>, <u>Europe</u>, <u>Fasc</u>. <u>4a</u> <u>France</u>, <u>Belgique</u>, <u>Pays-Bas</u>, <u>Luxembourg</u>, <u>Fasc</u>. <u>4aI</u>, <u>Antécambrien</u> <u>Paléozoique inférieur</u>. 432pp. CNRS Paris.
- LEWOWICKI, S. 1959. Fauna wapieni klimeniowych z Dzikowca kłodzkiego. <u>Biul</u>. <u>Inst</u>. <u>Geol</u>. <u>146</u>, 72-112, pls.1-2.
- LIBROVICH, L.S. 1947. Goniatitovye fauny karbona SSR i ikh znachenie diya stratigrafiya etikh otlozhenii. <u>Byll</u>. <u>Mosk</u>. <u>Obsch. Ispy</u>. <u>Pr</u>. (<u>otdel geol</u>.) <u>22</u>, 51-68.
- 1957. O Nekotrykh novykh gruppakh goniatitov iz kammenongol'nykh otlozhenii SSSR. <u>Ezh. Vses</u>. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Obsh.</u> <u>16</u>, 246-72.
- LOEWINSON-LESSING, F. 1892. Les ammonées de 1a zone à <u>Sporado-</u> <u>ceras Münsteri</u> dans les Monts Gouberlinskya. <u>Bull. Soc. géol.</u> <u>Belge. 1</u>, 15-25.
- LOVISATO, D. 1894. Il Devoniano nel Gerrei (Sardegna). <u>Atti. R.</u> <u>Acc. Lincei Cl. sci. fis. mat. nat. 3</u>, 131-5.

MAKHIMSON, G.M. 1911, Materialy k izcheniyu devonskikh otlozhenii v Mugodzharskikh gorakh. <u>Izv. Tomsk. Tekh. Inst. 21</u>, 1-34.

- MANSUY, H. 1912. Étude geologique du Yun-nan orientale. II-e Partie. <u>Mem. Serv. Geol. Indochine 1</u>, 146pp., 25pls.
- MAKOWSI, H. 1962. Problem of sexual dimorphism in ammonites. <u>Palaeont. Pol. 12</u>, 1-92.

MATERN, H. 1931. Das Oberdevon der Dill-Mulde. <u>Abh. preuss</u>. <u>geol. Landesanstalt N.F. 134,</u> 1-139, pls.1-4.

kinderhook Ammonoids. Proc. Iowa Acad. Sci. 80, 15-24.

- MATTHEWS, S.C. 1973. Notes on open nomenclature and synonymy lists. <u>Palaeontology</u> 16, 713-19.
- 1977. The Variscan foldbelt in southwest England. <u>N. Jb</u>. <u>Geol. Paläont. Abh. 154</u>, 94-127.
- M'COY, F. 1851. On some new Devonian Fossils. <u>Ann</u>. <u>Mag. Nat</u>. <u>Hist.</u> 8, 481-9.
- 1852. in Sedgwick, A. and M'Coy, <u>British</u> <u>Palaeozoic</u> <u>rocks</u> <u>and fossils</u>. Cambridge.
- MEISCHNER, D. 1971. Clastic sedimentation in the Variscan Geosyncline East of the River Rhine, pp.9-43, <u>in</u> Müller 1971.
 MENCHIKOFF, N. 1933. Sur le Dévonien à Cephalopodes de l'Oued Saoura et des Chaînes d'Ougarta (Sahara oranais). <u>Comptes rendu</u> <u>hebd Sceance Acad. Sci. 194</u>, 1966-8.
 - MILLER, A.K. 1938. Devonian ammonoids of America. <u>Spec. Pap.</u> <u>Geol. Soc. Amer. 14</u>, i-xiii, 1-202, pls. 1-39.
 - and COLLINSON, C. 1951. A Clymenoid Ammonoid from New Mexico. <u>Am. J. Sci. 25</u>, 454-87.
- and FLOWER, R.H. 1936. A <u>Sporadoceras</u> from America. <u>J</u>. <u>Geol. 44</u>, 751-7.
 - and FURNISH, W.M. 1954. The Classification of the Paleozoic Ammonoids. <u>J. Pal. 28</u>, 658-92,
- MILLER, H. and ZWIERZ, J. 1970. Katálog kopalnej fauny paleozoicnej Polski w zbiorach Muzeum Instytutu Geologicznego n Warszawie. <u>Arch. Inst. Geol. Warszawa</u> (<u>fide</u> Pajchlowa 1972)
 - MOORE, R.C. 1957. <u>Treatise on Invertebrate Palaeontology</u>. Part L, Mollusca 4, Cephalopoda. 490pp. Kansas.
 - MOURLON, M. 1875. Monographie du Famennien. <u>Bull. Acad. roy.</u> <u>Belge. 39</u>, 602-59.
 - MÜLLER, G. (Ed.) 1971. <u>Sedimentology of parts of Central Europe</u> <u>Guidebook - VIII International Sedimentological Congress, 1971</u>. Heidelberg.

MÜLLER, K.J. 1956. Cephalopodenfauna und Stratigraphie des Oberdevons von Schleiz und Zeulenroda in Thüringen. <u>Beih. Geol</u>. <u>Jb. 20</u>, 1-93, pls.1,2.

533

- and MÜLLER, E.M. 1957. Early Upper Devonian (Independence)
 conodonts from Iowa, Part 1. J. Pal. 31, 1069-1108, pls.135-42.
 MÜNSTER, G Graf zu. 1831-45. See Chapter 8.
- NALIVKINA, A.K. 1936a. Overkhnedevonskikh goniatitakh Novoi Zemli. <u>Trud. Arkt. Inst. 28</u>, 91-108.
- 1936b. Verkhnedevonskikh goniatitovye sloi reki Ai (Yuzhnyi Ural). <u>Trud. Cent. Nauk-Issled. Geol. Roz.</u> 79, 1-24, pls.1,2.
- 1947. Otryad ammonoidei, pp.159-80, <u>in Atlas</u> rukovodyashchikh form isokopaemykh faun SSSR. <u>3 Devonskaya</u> <u>sistema</u>.
- 1953. Verkhnedevonskie goniatityi klimenii Mugodzhar. <u>Trud. Vses. Neft. Nauk.-Issled. Geol. Raz. Inst. 72</u>, 60-125, pls.1-5.
- NEAVE, S.A. 1939. Nomenclator Zoologicus 1, 957pp. London.

OKEN, 1807. Goettingen gelehrte Anz, 1168 (fide Neave 1939).

- d'ORBIGNY, A.D. 1839. <u>fide</u> d'Orbigny 1850, p.58. Untraced reference to <u>Bellerophon</u> <u>dubia</u> d'Orb 1847, d'Orbigny 1839, pl.7, figs. 10,11["].
- 1849. Prodrome de Paléontologie stratigraphique, des animaux mollusques et rayonnes. <u>1</u>, 1-394. Paris 1850-52.
- OSWALD, D.H. (Ed.) 1968. <u>International Symposium on the Devonian</u> <u>System, Calgary, 1967.</u> 2, v + 1367pp. Calgary.

OPINION 32, 1936. See Schindewolf et al. 1936.

OPINION 183, 1944. Opinions and Declarations rendered by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature <u>3</u>, pp.13-24, London.

PAUL, H. 1939. Die Étroeungt-Schichten des Bergischen Land. Jb. preuss. geol. Landesanstalt 59 (1938) 674-726.

PAECKELMANN, W. 1913. Das Oberdevon des Bergischen Landes. Abh. Kon. preuss. geol. Landesanstalt N.F. 70, 1-365.

... 1921. Oberdevon und Untercarbon der Gegund von Barmen. <u>Jb. preuss. geol. Landesanstalt 41</u>, (1920) 52-147.

- PAECKELMANN, W. 1922. Über das Oberdevon und Untercarbon des Südflügels der Herzkamper Mulde auf Blatt Elberfeld. <u>Jb</u>.
 - preuss. geo1. Landesanstalt 42 (1922), 257-306.
- 1924. Das Devon und Carbon der Umbgebung von Balve im Westfalen. Jb. preuss. geol. Landesanstalt <u>44</u> (1923), 51-97.
- 1938. Voroberkarbon im nördlichen Teil des linksrheinischen Schiefergebirges. Zeit. <u>deutsch geol</u>. <u>Ges</u>. <u>90</u>, 2-15.
- and SCHINDEWOLF, O.H. 1937. Die Devon-Karbon Grenze, pp.703-14, <u>in</u> Jongmans, W. (Ed.) 1937.
- PAJCHLOWA, M. 1972. Fauna of the Devonian <u>in</u> Rühle, W. (Ed.) <u>Geology of Poland, Catalogue of Fossils, Part 1, Palaeozoic</u>. Warsaw.
- PAPROTH, E. and STREEL, M. 1970. Corrélations biostratigraphiques près de la limite Dévonien/Carbonifère entre les faciès littoraux ardennais et les faciès bathyaux rhénans. <u>Congrès et</u> <u>Colloques Univ. Liège</u>. Colloque sur la stratigraphie du carbonifère <u>55</u>, 365-98.
- PATTEISKY, K. 1929. Die Geologie und Fossilfuhrung der mährischschlesischen Dachschiefer-Grauwackenformation. <u>Verl. Nat. Ver.</u> <u>Troppau Opava</u>. 1-354.
- PARKINSON, I. 1822. An introduction to the study of fossil remains, pp, 346. London.
- PÉNEAU, J. 1928. Études sur le Dévonien de la Basse-Loire. I les faciès schisteux du Devonien moyen aux environs de Chalonnes. <u>Bull. Soc. Sci. nat. Ouest Fr. VII</u> (1927) 94-112, pl.3.
- 1929. Études stratigraphiques et paléontologiques dans le SE du massif Armoricain (Synclinal de Saint-Julien-de-Vouvantes). <u>Bull. Soc. Sci. nat. Ouest Fr. VIII</u> (1928), 1-300, pls. 1-24.
- PERNA, E. Ya. 1914. Ammonei verkhnego neodevona vostochnogo sklona Urala. <u>Trud. Geol. kom. N.S. 99</u>, 1-114.
- PETERSEN, M.S. 1975. Upper Devonian (Famennian) ammonoids from the Canning Basin, Western Australia. <u>J. Pal. 49, mem. 8</u>, 1-55, pls.1-7.
- PETTER, G. 1959. Goniatites Dévoniennes du Sahara. <u>Publ</u>. <u>Serv</u>. <u>Carte Géol</u>. <u>Algérie</u> (<u>Nou</u>v. <u>Serie</u>) <u>Paléontologi</u>e <u>Mem</u>. <u>2</u>, 1-313, pls. 1-26.

- PETTER, G. 1960. Clymènies du Sahara. <u>Publ. Serv. Carte géol</u>. <u>Algérie (Nouv. Serie) Paléontologie, Mem. 6</u>, 1-58, pls. I-VIII.
- PFEIFFER, H. 1954. Der Bohlen bei Saalfeld. <u>Geologie</u> 3, 1-105, pls. 1-9.
- 1959. Neue Beobachtungen und Funde aus dem Saalfelder Oberdevon. <u>Geologie</u> 8, 262-79.
- PHILLIPS, J. 1841. Figures and descriptions of the Palaeozoic Fossils of Cornwall, Devon and West Somerset, 231pp. London.
- PRUVOST, P. 1912. Sur la présence des fossiles d'âge dévonien supérieur dans les schistes à néréites de San Domingo (Portugal). <u>Ann. Soc. géol. Nord 41</u>, 122-32.
- PUSCH, G.G. 1837. <u>Paläontologie</u> <u>Polens</u>. xiii + 218pp. Stuttgart. (<u>fide</u> Bogoslovskiy 1981)
- RAYMOND, P.E. 1907. On the occurrence, in the Rocky Mountains, of an Upper Devonian Fauna, with <u>Clymenia</u>. <u>Amer</u>. J. <u>Sci</u>. <u>23</u>, 116-122.
- 1909. The Fauna of the Upper Devonian in Montana, Part 1. The Fossils of the Red Shales. <u>Ann. Carnegie Mus. 5</u> (1908-9), 141-58, pls.3-8. (<u>fide Miller 1938</u>).
- 1911. The <u>Clymenia</u> fauna in the American Upper Devonian. Proc. seventh int. zool. Cong. Boston, pp.741-44. (<u>fide</u> House 1962).
- RABIEN, A. 1954. Zur Taxionomie und Chronologie der oberdevonischen Ostracoden. <u>Abh. hess. Landesamt</u> <u>Bodenforsch. 9</u>, 1-268.
- RENZ, C. 1913a. Neue Fossilfunde aus dem Ebersdorfer Devonkalk. Jber. Schles. Ges. vat. Kult. Abt. C 91, 1-21.
- 1913b. Neue Arten aus dem Clymenienkalk von Ebersdorf in Schlesien. Jb. preuss. geol. Landesanstalt 34, 99-129.
- 1925. Nachträge zur Clymenienkalkfauna von Ebersdorf in Schlesien. <u>Verh. naturforsch. Ges. Basel</u> <u>36</u>, 24-7.
- RICHTER, R. 1848. <u>Beitrag zur Paläontologie des Thüringer</u> <u>Waldes. Die Grauwacke des Bohlens u. des Pfaffenberges bei</u> <u>Saalfeld.</u> 1 Fauna. VIII + 48pp. Leipzig.
- and UNGER, F. 1856. Beiträge zur Paläontologie des Thüringer Waldes. 1. <u>Denk. K. Akad. Wiss. Wien, 11, math-nat. K1</u>.

- RICHTER, R. 1948. <u>Einführung in die Zoologische Nomenklatur</u> <u>durch Erläuterung der Internationalen Regeln</u>, 252pp. Frankfurt am Main.
- ROEMER, F. 1876. Lethaea geognostica, 1 Theil, Lethaea palaeozoica Atlas, 62pls. Stuttgart.
- ROTH, W. 1968. <u>Geologie von N W-Chios</u> (Agäis). Dissertation Philipps-Univ. Marburg.
- RUAN Yi-ping 1978. IV The zonation of Devonian ammonoids in China, pp.1-5 in Papers for the International Symposium on the Devonian System, 1978. Nanking Inst. Geol. Pal. Acad. Sin.
- 1981. Devonian and earliest Carboniferous ammonoids from Guangxi and Guizhou. <u>Mem. Nanj. Inst. Geol. Pal. Acad. Sin. 15</u>, 1-152, pls.1-33.
- RUHLE, W. (Ed.) 1972. <u>Geology of Poland, Catalogue of Fossils</u>, <u>Part 1 Palaeozoic</u>. Warsaw.
- RUZHENCEV. V.E. 1957. Filogeneticheskaya sistema paleozoiskikh ammonoidei. <u>Byul. Mosk. Ob. Isp. Prir</u>. (otdel geol.) <u>32</u> (2), 49-64.
- 1960. Printsipy, sistematiki, sistema i filogeniya paleozoiskikh ammonoide. <u>Trud. Pal. Inst.</u> 83, 1-331.
- RZEHAK, R. 1881. Oberdevonische Fossilien in der Umgebung von Brünn. <u>Verh. kon. kais. geol. Reichanstalt Wien</u>, 314-15.

RZEHAK, A. Der Brünner Clymenienkalk. Zeit. mahr. 10, 149-216.

- SANDBERG, C.A. 1965. Nomenclature and correlation of lithologie sub-divisions of the Jefferson and Three Forks Formation of southern Montana and northern Wyoming. <u>US. Geol. Surv. Bull</u>. 1194-N, 18pp.
- 1977. Conodont biofacies of late Devonian <u>Polygnathus</u> <u>styriacus</u> Zone in western United States. <u>Spec. Pap. geol. Ass</u>. <u>Can. 15</u>, 171-186.
- and POOLE, F.G. 1977. Conodont biostratigraphy and depositional complexes of Upper Devonian cratonic-platform and continental-shelf rocks in the western United States, <u>in</u> Murphy, M.A., Berry, W.B.N. and Sandberg, C.A. (Eds.) Western North America: Devonian. <u>Univ. Calif. Riverside Campus Mus. Contr.</u> <u>4</u>, 144-82.

- SANDBERG, C.A., STREEL, M. and SCOTT, R.A. 1972. Comparison between conodont zonation and spore assemblages at the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary in the Western and Central United States and in Europe. C.R. 7^e Congr. Internat. strat. Geol. Carbonif. Krefeld 1971, 179-220.
- and ZIEGLER, W. 1973. Refinement of Standard Upper Devonian Conodont zonation, based on sections in Nevada and West Germany. <u>Geol. et Pal. 7</u>, 97-122.
- 1979. Taxonomy and biofacies of important conodonts of late-Devonian <u>styriacus</u>-Zone, United States and Germany. <u>Geol</u>. <u>et Pal</u>. 13, 173-212.
-, LEUTERITZ, K. and BRILL, S.M. 1978. Phylogeny, speciation and zonation of <u>Siphonodella</u> (Conodont, Upper Devonian and Lower Carboniferous). <u>Newsl. Strat.</u> 7, 102-120.
- SANDBERGER, G. and SANDBERGER, H. 1850-56. <u>Die Versteinerungen</u> <u>des Rheinischen Schichtensystems in Nassau.</u> 564pp. 413 pls. Wiesbaden. Published in parts (<u>fide</u> Kutscher, F. 1957. Hundertjähriges Bestehen des Standardwerkes der Gerbrüder SANDBERGER über nassauische Versteinerungen. <u>Notiz. hess. Landesamt Bodenforsch.</u> 85, 19-23). Lief. 1. pp.1-40, pls.1-5, 1849; Lief. 2, pp.41-72, pls.6-8,11,12, 1850; Lief. 3, pp.73-104, pls.9,10, 13, 1851; etc.
- SANDBERGER, G. 1853a. Einige Beobachtungen über Clymenien; mit besondere Rucksicht auf die westfälischen Arten. <u>Verh. naturhist</u>. <u>Ver. preuss. Rheinlande westf.</u> 10, 171-216, pls.VI-VIII.
- 1853b. Uber Clymenien. <u>N</u>. <u>Jb</u>. <u>Min</u>. <u>Geol</u>. <u>Paläont.</u> (1853), 513-23, pl.VIIA.
- 1855. Clymenia subnautilina (nova species), die erste und bis jetzt einzige Art aus Nassau. <u>Jb</u>. <u>nassau</u>. <u>Ver</u>. <u>Naturk</u>. <u>10</u>, 127-36, pl.1.
- 1857. Paläontologische kleinigkeiten aus den Rheinlanden. <u>Verh. naturhist. Ver. preuss. Rheinlande westf. 14</u>, 140-2.
- SANNEMANN, D. 1955. Beitrag zur Untergliederung des Oberdevons nach Conodonten. <u>N. Jb. Geol. Pal. Abh. 100</u>, 324-31.
- SARTENAER, P. 1957a. La découverte, en Belgique, de la zone à <u>Cheiloceras</u>. <u>Ann. Soc. géol. Belge</u> <u>80</u>, 463-70.

547

- SARTENAER, P. 1957b. Esquisse d'une division stratigraphique nouvelle des dépots du Famennian Inférieur du Bassin de Dinant. <u>Bull. Soc. Belge géol. paléont et hydrol. 65</u>, (1956), 421-46.
- 1960. Visage 1960 de la "tranchée de Senzeilles" (partie famennienne). <u>Bull. Soc. Belge géol. paléont. et hydrol. 68</u>, (1959), 430-42.
- 1970. Le contact frasnien-famennien dans la région de Houyet-Han-sur-Lesse. <u>Ann. Soc. géol. Belge</u> 92, 345-57.
- SCHAFER, W. 1975. Eine oberdevonisch-unterkarbonische Schichtlücke im Bereich des Balver Riffgebietes (Rheinisches Schiefergebirge). <u>N. Jb. Geol</u>. <u>Paläont</u>. M <u>4</u>, 224-41.
- 1978. <u>Stratigraphie</u>, Fazies und Paläogeographie in Oberdevon und Unterkarbon im Bereich des Balver Riffgebietes (Rheinisches Schiefergebirge). Dissertation - Philipps-Univ. Marburg. 122pp.
- SCHINDEWOLF, O.H. 1916. Über das Oberdevon von Gattendorf bei Hof a. d. Saale. Zeit. <u>deutsch. geol. Ges. 68</u>, 30-39.
- 1920. Neue Beiträge zur Kenntnis des Stratigraphie des Stratigraphie und Paläontologie des deutschen Oberdevons. <u>Senckenbergiana</u> 2, 114-9.
- 1921. Versuch einer Palaeogeographie des europäischen Oberdevonmeeres. Zeit. deutsch geol. Ges. 73, 137-223.
- 1922. Einige Randbemerkungen zu E. Pernas Abhandlung "Die Ammoneen des oberen Neodevon vom Osthabang". <u>Senckenbergiana</u>

4, 185-96.

- 1923a. Beitrage zur Kenntnis des Paläozoicums in Oberfranken, Ostthuringen und dem Sächsischen Vogtlande; 1, Stratigraphie und Ammoneenfauna des Oberdevons von Hof a.s. <u>N. Jb. Min. Geol</u>. <u>Paläont</u>. <u>49</u>, 250-357, 393-509, pls.14-18.
- 1923b. Entwurf einer natürlichen Systematik der Clymenoidea. <u>Cent. Min. Geol. Paläont</u>. (1923), 23-50, 59-64.
- 1924. Bemerkungen zur Stratigraphie und Ammoneenfauna des Saalfelder Oberdevons. <u>Senckbergiana</u> 6, 95-113.
- 1926. Zur Kenntnis der Devon-Karbon Grenze in Deutschland. Zeit. <u>deutsch. geol. Ges. 78</u>, 88-133, pl.3.

SCHINDEWOLF, O.H. 1928. Prinzipienfragen der biologischen Systematik. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Zeit</u>. <u>9</u>, 122-169.

- 1929. Vergleichende Studien zur Phylogenie, Morphogenie und Terminologie der Ammonitenlobenlinie. <u>Abh. preuss. geol</u>. <u>Landesanstalt NF 115</u>, 1-102.
- 1932. Zur Stammesgeschichte der Ammoneen. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Zeit</u>. <u>14</u>, 164-81.
- 1933. Vergleichende Morphologie und Phylogenie der Anfangskammern tetrabranchiater Cephalopoden. <u>Abh. preuss. geol</u>. <u>Landesanstalt NF 148</u>, 1-122.
- 1934. Über eine oberdevonische Ammoneen-Fauna aus den Rocky Mountains. <u>N. Jb. Min. Geol. Paläont. 72</u>, 331-350.
- 1934. Über Epöken auf Cephalopoden Gehäusen. Pal. Zeit.
 <u>16</u>, 15-31, pl.2.
- 1937a. Zur stratigraphie und Paläontologie der Wocklumer Schichten (Oberdevon). <u>Abh. preuss. geol. Landesanstalt, NF</u> <u>178</u>, 1-132, pl.1-4.
- 1937b. Zwei neue bemerkenswerte Goniatiten-Gattungen des rheinischen Oberdevons. Jb. preuss. geol. Landesanstalt, 58, 242-256, pl.1.
- 1939. α) Paläozoische Ammonoidea. <u>Fortschr</u>. <u>Pal</u>. <u>2</u>, 192-203.
 1949a. Zur Nomenklatur der Clymenien (Cephalop,, Ammon.).
 <u>N. Jb. Min. Geol. Pal. Mh</u>. (1949) <u>Abt. <u>B</u> 7, 64-76.
 </u>
- 1949b. Zur Phylogenie der Clymenien (Cephalop., Ammon.).
 <u>N. Jb. Min. Geol. Pal. Mh. (1949) Abt. B</u> 7, 197-209.
- 1952. Uber das Oberdevon und Unterkarbon von Saalfeld in Ostthuringen. Eine Nachlese zur Stratigraphie und Ammoneen Fauna. <u>Senckenbergiana</u> 32, 281-306, pls.1-3.
- 1954. Über die Lobenlinie der Ammonoidea. <u>N. Jb. Geol</u>. <u>Paläont</u>. <u>Mh</u>. (1954), 123-40.
- 1955. Zur Taxionomie und Nomenklatur der Clymenien. Ein Epilog. <u>N. Jb. Geol. Paläont. Mh</u>. (1955), 417-429.
- 1957. Suborder Clymeniina, pp.L37-47, <u>in</u> Moore, R.C. 1957.
 1972. Über Clymenien und andere Cephalopoden. <u>Abh. Akad.</u> <u>Wiss. Lit. Mainz, math-natwiss. Kl</u>. (1971) no. 3, 55-141.

ី ដ ។ ១

- SCHINDEWOLF, O.H. and KULLMAN, J. 1959. Cephalopoden fuhrendes Devon und Karbon im Kantabrischen Gebirge (Nordspanien). <u>N</u>. <u>Jb</u>. <u>Geol</u>. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Mh</u>. (1959), 12-20.
- <u>et al</u>. 1936. Status of the Gattungsbezeichnungen of Sobolev 1914 (= ICZN Opinion 32). <u>Smiths</u>. <u>Misc</u>. <u>Collns</u>. <u>73</u>(8), 39,40.
- SCHLOSSER, P. 1927. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Ammoneenfauna der vogtländischen oberdevonischen Kalke (Oberdevon Stufen I-VI). <u>Mitt. vogtl. Ges. Naturforsch.</u> 4, 1-9.
- SCHMIDT, H. 1921a. Das Oberdevon-Culm-Gebiet von Warstein i. W. und Belecke. Jb. preuss. geol. Landesanstalt 41, 254-339, pls.6,7.
- 1921b. Über Goniatiten eine Revision ihrer Systematik mit Beifügung neuer Beobachtungen. <u>Cent. für Min. Geol. Pal</u>. (1921), 538-44.
- 1923. Neubestimmung der Gonioclymenien von Saalfeld. <u>Senckenbergiana</u> 5, 56-9.
- 1924. Zwei Cephalopodenfaunen an der Devon-Carbongrenze im Sauerland. Jb. preuss. geol. Landesanstalt (1923) 44, 98-171, pls.6-8.
- 1925. Schwellen- und Beckenfazies im ostrheinischen Paläozoikum. Zeit. deutsch. geol. <u>Ges.</u> 77, 226-234.
- 1925. Die Carbonischen Goniatiten Deutschlands. Jb. preuss. geol. Landesanstalt (1924) 45, 489-609, pls.19-26.
- 1927. Die <u>Wocklumeria</u>-Zone bei Warstein und Belecke. <u>Ber</u>. <u>Versamm1</u>. <u>Niederrhein geo1</u>. <u>Ver</u>. <u>Bonn</u> (1926), 9-11.
- 1935. Die bionomische Einteilung der fossilen Meeresböden. Fortschr. <u>Geol</u>. <u>Palaeont</u>. <u>12</u>, 1-154.
- 1952. <u>Prolobites</u> und die Lobentwicklung bei goniatiten. <u>Pal. Zeit. 26</u>, 205-217.
- SCHMIDT, W. 1956. Neue Ergebnisse der Revisionskartierung des Hohen Venns. <u>Beih</u>. <u>Geo1</u>. <u>Jb</u>. <u>21</u>, 146pp.
- SCUDDER, S.H. 1882. Nomenclator Zoologicus. <u>U.S. Nat. Mus. Bull</u>. <u>19</u>, xix + 340pp. 1882-84.
- SEDGWICK, A. and MURCHISON, R.I. 1840. On the physical structure of Devonshire, and on the subdivisions and geological relations of its older stratified deposits. <u>Trans. Geol. Soc. Lond.</u> Ser. 2 <u>5</u>, 633-704.

- SEDGWICK, A. and M'COY, F. 1851-5. <u>A synopsis of the classification of the British Palaeozoic Rocks, by Adam Sedgwick, with a systematic description of the British Palaeozoic Fossils in the Geological Museum of the University of Cambridge by Frederick <u>M'Coy FGS</u>. (1851-55) xcviii + 644pp. Cambridge.</u>
- SELWOOD, E.B. 1960. Ammonoids and trilobites from the Upper Devonian and Lower Carboniferous of the Launceston area. <u>Palaeontology</u> 3, 153-185.
- SOBOLEV, D. 1912. O verkhnem neodevonye okrestnostiy kyelc. Izv. War. Pol. Ins. Warsaw.
- 1914a. <u>Nabroski po filogenii goniatitov</u>. 191pp. Warsaw.
- 1914b. Über Clymenien und Goniatiten. <u>Pal</u>. <u>Zeit</u>. <u>1</u>, 348-378, pls.8,9.
- 1925. Konspekt fauny i stratigrafii verkhnego neodevona kelecko-Sandomirskogo kryazha. <u>Vses</u>. <u>Min</u>. <u>Obsh. Khar'kov</u> 35-59.
- SOWERBY, J. de C. 1816. <u>The Mineral Conchology of Great Britain</u>. 8 vols. London.
- 1840. Explanation of the Plates and woodcuts. Plates LII to LVII. (Plates illustrating Sedgwick and Murchison 1840). <u>Trans. Geol. Soc. Lond.</u> Ser. 2 <u>V</u>.
- STEININGER, J. 1834. Translation <u>in Mem. Soc. Geol. France 1</u>, 331-71, of <u>Bemerkungen</u> <u>über die Versteinerungen</u>, <u>welche in</u> <u>dem Ubergangs-Kalk der Eifelgefunden werden</u>. 44pp. Trier 1831.
- STREEL, M. 1968. Associations de spores des stratotypes du Famennien, du Strunien et du Tournaisien dans les Bassins ardenno-rhénans. <u>Rev. Paléobot. Palyn 5</u>, 1-4.
- and WAGNER, R.H. (Eds.) 1970. Colloque sur la stratigraphie du Carbonifère. <u>Congrès et colloques de la Université de Liège</u> <u>55</u>.
- TALENT, J.A. and MAWSON, R. 1979. Palaeozoic Mesozoic Biostratigraphy of Pakistan, pp.81-102, in <u>Geodynamics of Pakistan</u>, Abdul Farah and De Jong K.A. (Eds.).
- TEICHERT, C. 1941. Upper Devonian goniatite succession of Western Australia. <u>Amer. J. Sci. 239</u>, 148-53.
- 1943. The Devonian of Western Australia. A preliminary review. <u>Amer</u>. <u>J. Sci</u>. <u>241</u>, 69-94, 167-184.

-551 TERMIER, H. and TERMIER, G. 1948. Remarques sur 1'évolution parallele chez les Clymenies. <u>C.R. Acad. Sci. 226</u>, 1029-30.

- 1950. <u>Paléontologie Marocaine</u>. <u>II Invèrtèbres de l'Ere</u> <u>Primaire Mollusques</u>. 246pp. pls.128-183. Paris.
- TIETZE, O. 1871. Uber die devonischen Schichten von Ebersdorf unweit Neurode in der Grafschaft Glatz. <u>Palaeontographica</u> <u>19</u> 103-158.
- TOKARENKO, L. 1903. Fauna verkhnedevonskikh izvestnyakov okrestnostei goroda Verkhneural'ska Orenburgskoi gubernii. <u>Trud</u>. <u>Obsh. Est. Kaz. Univ. 37</u>, 1-40.
- TUCKER, M.E. 1973a. Sedimentology and diagenesis of Devonian pelagic limestones (Cephalopodenkalk) and associated sediments of the Rhenohercynian Geosyncline, West Germany. <u>N. Jb. Geol</u>. <u>Paläont</u>. <u>Abh. 142</u>, 320-350.
- 1973b. Ferromanganese nodules from the Devonian of the Montagne Noire (S. France) and West Germany. <u>Geol. Rundsch. 62</u>, 138-153.
- 1974. Sedimentology of Palaeozoic pelagic limestones: the Devonian Griotte (Southern France) and Cephalopodenkalk (Germany) pp.71-92, in Hsü K.J. and Jenkyns, H.C. (Eds.) <u>Pelagic sediments</u> on land and under the sea. Spec. Publ. Int. Ass. Sed. 1.
- TURNER, J.S. 1962. The Type-Species of <u>Aganides</u>, <u>Clymenia</u> and <u>Cyrthoceratites</u>. <u>Geol. Mag. 2</u>, 183-184.
- VAI, G.B. 1973. Stratigrafia e paleogeografia Ercinica delle Alpi. <u>Mem. Soc. Geol. Ital. 13</u> Suppl. 1, 7-37.
- VOHRINGER, E. 1960. Die Goniatiten der unterkarbonischen <u>Gatten-</u> <u>dorfia-Stufe im Hönnetal (Sauerland). Fortschr. Geol. Rhein-</u> <u>land. Westf. 3</u>, 107-196, pls.1-7.
- WALLISER, O.H. 1966. Devonian and Carboniferous goniatites in Iran. I. Preliminary notes on Devonian, Lower and Upper Carboniferous goniatites in Iran. <u>Rep. Geol. Surv. Iran 6</u>, 7-24, pls.1-3.
- 1970. Über die Runzelschicht bei Ammonoidea. <u>Gött</u>. <u>Arb</u>. <u>Geol. Paläont</u>. <u>5</u>, 115-26.
- WATERLOT, G. (Ed.) 1957. Lexique Stratigraphique International Europe Fasc. 4a, France, Belgique, Pays Bas, Luxembourg.

Fasc. <u>4al</u>. <u>Antécambrien</u>, <u>Paléozoique Inférieur</u>. 432pp. Paris. WEDEKIND, R. 1908. Die Cephalopodenfauna des höheren Oberdevon am Enkeberg. <u>N. Jb. Min. Geol. Paläont. 26</u>, 565, pls.39-45.

- 1910. <u>Posttornoceras balvei</u> n. gen. et n. sp., ein neuer Fall von convergenz bei Goniatiten. <u>Cent</u>. <u>Min</u>. <u>Geol</u>. <u>Paläont</u>. (1910), 768-71.
- 1913a. Beiträge zur Kenntnis des Oberdevon am Nordrande des Rheinischen Gebirges. 2 Zur Kenntnis der Prolobiten. <u>N. Jb</u>. <u>Min. Geol. Paläont. 31</u>, 78-95.
- 1913b. Die Goniatitenkalk des Unteren Oberdevon von Martenberg bei Adorf. <u>Ges. Nat. Freunde Berlin, Sitz</u>. (1913) <u>1</u>, 23-77, pls.4-7.
- 1914. Monographie der Clymenien des Rheinischen Gebirges. <u>Abh. kön. Ges. Wiss. Gött. math-phys. kl. N.F. 10</u>, 1-73, pls. 1-7.
- 1917. Die Genera der Palaeoammonoidea (Goniatiten) (Mit Auschluss der Mimoceratidae, Glyphioceratidae und Prolecanitidae) <u>Palaeontographica</u> 62, 85-184, pls.14-22.
- 1926. <u>Grundzüge der Geologie</u>. <u>Band II Erdgeschichte Teil 1</u>. Stuttgart.
- WEISS, G.W. 1937. <u>Bayreuth als Stätte alter erdgeschichtlicher</u> <u>Entdeckungen</u>. Bayreuth.
- WEYER, D. 1965. Zur Ammonoideen-Fauna der <u>Gattendorfia</u>-Stufe von Dzikowiec (Ebersdorf) in Dolny Śląsk (Niederschlesien), Polen. <u>Ber. geol. Ges. DDR</u> 10, 443-464, pls. 6-8.
- 1976. Ein neues Ammonoidea-Genus aus dem Untertournai des Thüringischen Schiefergebirges. Zeit. geol. <u>Wiss</u>., <u>Berlin</u> 4, 837-57.
- 1977. Ammonoideen aus dem Untertournai von Schleiz (Ostthüringisches Schiefegebirge). <u>Zeit. Geol. Wiss. Berlin 5</u>, 167-85.
- 1981. <u>Glatziella</u> Renz 1914 (Ammonoidea Clymeniida) im Oberdevon von Thüringen. <u>Hall. Jb. f. Geowiss. 6</u>, 1-12
- WIEDMANN, J. and KULLMANN, J. 1981. Ammonoid sutures in ontogeny and phylogeny, pp.215-255, <u>in</u> House and Senior 1981.

553

- WULFF, R. 1923. Das Famennien der Aachener Gegend. <u>Jb. preuss</u>. <u>geol</u>. <u>Landesanstalt</u> (1923) <u>43</u>, 1-70.
- ZIEGLER, P.A. 1981. Evolution of sedimentary basins in North-West Europe, pp.3-42, in Illing, L.I. and Hobson, G.P. (Eds.) <u>Petroleum Geology of the Continental Shelf of North-West Europe</u> 521pp. Heyden.
- ZIEGLER, W. 1962. Taxionomie und Phylogenie Oberdevonischer Conodonten und ihre stratigraphische Bedeutung. <u>Abb. hess.</u> <u>L-Amt. Bodenforsch 38</u>, 1-166, pls.1-14.
- 1969. Eine neue Conodontenfauna aus dem höchsten Oberdevon. Fortschr. <u>Geol. Rheinld. u. Westf.</u> 17, 343-360.
- 1971a. <u>Symposium on conodont taxonomy Marburg/Lahn September</u> <u>4 to 18 1971. Field Trip Guidebook. Post-Symposium Excursion,</u> <u>September 15 to 18 1971 to Rhenish Slate Mountains and Harz</u> <u>Mountains</u>. 47pp. Marburg.
- 1971b. Conodont stratigraphy of the European Devonian, pp.227-84, in Sweet, W.C. and Bergström, S.M. (Eds.) <u>Symposium</u> on conodont biostratigraphy. <u>Mem. Geol. Soc. Ann. 127</u>.
- 1979. Historical subdivisions of the Devonian, pp.23-47, <u>in House et al</u>. 1979.
- ZITTEL, K.A. 1885. <u>Handbuch der Palaeontologie</u>, <u>Abt</u>. <u>1</u> <u>Palaeo-</u> <u>zoologie</u>, <u>Bd.</u> <u>2</u> <u>Mollusca und Arthropoda</u>, 893pp. München and Leipzig. 1884-5.
- 1895. Grundzüge der Paläontologie (Paläozoologie). München.

Supplementary References

- CODE 1961. <u>International Code of Zoological Nomenclature</u>. International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature. 176pp. London.
- PAPROTH, E. and STREEL, M. (Eds.) 1982. Guidebook. <u>Devonian</u>-<u>Carboniferous transitional beds of the northern</u> "<u>Rheinisches</u> <u>Schiefergebirge</u>". IUGS Working Group on the Devonian/Carboniferous boundary. 63pp. Liège.
- PICKETT, J.W. 1960. A clymeniid from the <u>Wocklumeria</u> Zone of New South Wales. <u>Palaeontology</u> 3, 237-241, pl.41.
- RUAN, Y. and HE, G. 1974. Devonian ammonoids, pp.238-9, in Nanking Inst. Geol. Pal. (Ed.) <u>A Handbook of Stratigraphy</u> and <u>Palaeontology in South West China</u>. Nanking.
- ZIMMERMANN, E. 1893. Briefliche Mittheilungen. Zeit. deutsch geol. <u>Ges. 45</u>, 321.



1 mary