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ABSTRACT: The stereospecific cross-coupling of secondary boronic esters with sp² electrophiles (Suzuki–Miyaura reaction) is a long-standing problem in synthesis, but progress has been achieved in specific cases using palladium catalysis. However, related couplings with tertiary boronic esters are not currently achievable. To address this general problem, we have focused on an alternative method exploiting the reactivity of a boronate complex formed between an aryl lithium and a boronic ester. We reasoned that subsequent addition of an oxidant or an electrophile would remove an electron from the aromatic ring or react in a Friedel–Crafts-type manner, respectively, generating a cationic species, which would trigger 1,2-migration of the boron substituent, creating the new C−C bond. Elimination (preceded by further oxidation in the former case) would result in rearomatization giving the coupled product stereospecifically. Initial work was examined with 2-furyllithium. Although the oxidants tested were unsuccessful, electrophiles, particularly NBS, enabled the coupling reaction to occur in good yield with a broad range of secondary and tertiary boronic esters, bearing different steric demands and functional groups (esters, azides, nitriles, alcohols, and ethers). The reaction also worked well with other electron-rich heteroaromatics and 6-membered ring aromatics provided they had donor groups in the meta position. Conditions were also found under which the B(pin)- moiety could be retained in the product, ortho to the boron substituent. This protocol, which created a new C(sp²)−C(sp³) and an adjacent C−B bond, was again applicable to a range of secondary and tertiary boronic esters. In all cases, the coupling reaction occurred with complete stereospecificity. Computational studies verified the competing processes involved and were in close agreement with the experimental observations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The cross-coupling of organoboron derivatives with C-sp³ electrophiles, the Suzuki–Miyaura reaction (Scheme 1), is one of the most broadly used reactions with applications that span materials, pharmaceuticals, and agrochemicals.¹² Its mild reaction conditions, functional group tolerance, broad scope, and scalability are features that have contributed to its extensive use in both academic and industrial settings.¹ There is currently a strong impetus to extend this reaction to sp³−sp² cross-coupling because it offers new strategic disconnections for the rapid assembly of chiral (3D) molecules.³⁴ However, such a coupling reaction has proved challenging.⁵,⁶ This is because the desirable features of the high configurational stability of the C−B bond that enables boronic esters to be isolated and purified also renders them relatively unreactive, and further activation is required in order to engage them in the key transmetalation step of the catalytic cycle.⁷ Furthermore, competing β-hydride elimination can also occur from the aliphatic organo-Pd(II) species, which can have an impact on both yield and stereoselectivity.

Despite these inherent difficulties, examples of Pd-catalyzed sp²−sp³ cross-coupling of chiral organoborons have been reported (Scheme 2).⁵,⁶,⁹−²⁰ Pioneering work by Crudden showed that benzylic pinacol boronic esters and dibenzylic neopentyl boronic esters could be coupled with high stereospecificity with retention of configuration with aryl io-
Scheme 2. Suzuki–Miyaura Stereospecific Cross-Coupling of Aliphatic Secondary Organoborons

Cruden
Stereoretive coupling of chiral benzylic and di-benzylic boronic esters

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{B(pip)} & + \text{Ar}_2^2 \rightarrow \text{Ar}_2^2 \\
\text{B(weo)} & + \text{Ar}_2^3 \rightarrow \text{Ar}_2^3 \\
\text{B(fio)} & + \text{Ar}_2^r \rightarrow \text{Ar}_2^r
\end{align*}
\]

Liao
Stereoretive coupling of chiral di-benzylic boronic esters
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\begin{align*}
\text{BF}_{n}\text{K} & + \text{Ar}_{n} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_{n} \\
\text{BF}_{n}\text{K} & + \text{Ar}_{n} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_{n}
\end{align*}
\]

Molander and Hall
Stereoretive coupling of chiral \( \beta \)-C=O trifluoroborates

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BF}_{n}\text{K} & + \text{Ar}_{n} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_{n} \\
\text{BF}_{n}\text{K} & + \text{Ar}_{n} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_{n}
\end{align*}
\]

Suginohe
Stereoretive and invertive coupling of chiral benzylic \( \alpha \)-amino boronic esters

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BF}_{n}\text{K} & + \text{Ar}_{n} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_{n} \\
\text{BF}_{n}\text{K} & + \text{Ar}_{n} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_{n}
\end{align*}
\]

Biscoe
Stereoretive coupling of chiral trifluoroborates

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{BF}_{n}\text{K} & + \text{Ar}_{n} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_{n} \\
\text{BF}_{n}\text{K} & + \text{Ar}_{n} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_{n}
\end{align*}
\]

Liao found that the stereospecific coupling of dibenzylic organoborons could be rendered invertive using the potassium trifluoroborate salt in place of the neopentyl boron ester, which occurred with retention. Molander and Hall employed internal activating groups to promote the coupling of chiral potassium trifluoroborates with inversion of configuration. Suginohe developed a unique stereospecific cross-coupling of benzylic \( \alpha \)-amino boronic esters that depends on the addition of a Bronsted or a Lewis acid occurred with inversion or retention of configuration. More recently, Biscoe reported the first stereoretivev inertive coupling of unactivated secondary potassium trifluoroborates.

Single-electron processes have also been used for the construction of sp\(^2\)-sp\(^3\) bonds, and Molander successfully explored the application of dual photoredox and Ni\(^0\) catalysis for the coupling of secondary benzylic trifluoroborates and aryl bromides (Scheme 3). Despite the moderate enantiocselectivity achieved in the single example reported (52% yield, 75.25 e.r.), this exciting approach opens new avenues for exploration.

None of the transition-metal-based processes have been applied to tertiary boronic esters, and their application to secondary boronic esters are rather substrate-specific, limiting the applicability of the methodology. This is because the transition-metal-catalyzed processes are especially sensitive to steric effects. Indeed, the assembly of all-carbon quaternary stereogenic centers by Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling has not been reported.

We have recently developed an alternative nontransition-metal-mediated strategy for the stereospecific cross-coupling of secondary and tertiary boronic esters with complete retention of configuration (Scheme 4). Our protocol relies on the formation of a chiral boronate complex that upon addition of N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) undergoes a reaction cascade comprising \( \text{SnAr}, 1,2\)-metallate rearrangement, and elimination. This chemistry was inspired by reactions developed more than 40 years ago on simple and symmetrical boranes. In this paper we provide a full account of this work, describing its genesis, mechanistic and DFT studies, as well as illustrating the full scope of the coupling reaction.

2. DESIGN PLAN

At the outset, we reasoned that a possible way to achieve a transition-metal-free stereospecific cross-coupling of boronic esters would be to exploit the inherent nucleophilicity of arylboronate complex I (Scheme 5A, left). These species are very easy to prepare by the addition of aryllithiums (e.g., 2-lithiofuran) to boronic esters and are both chemically and configurationally stable. To trigger a stereospecific 1,2-metallate rearrangement, a positive charge needs to be generated at the aromatic B-bearing carbon. Inspired by the work of Suzuki, Negishi, and Levy on the coupling of symmetrical boranes, we reasoned that addition of a suitable electrophile would generate cation II by \( \text{SnAr} \). This was expected to trigger a 1,2-migration and following elimination would give \( \text{SnAr} \) coupled product III stereospecifically. However, while feasible, this approach was not without concern because our previous studies on the reactivity of related aryl boronates toward electrophilic reagents (e.g., NBS, I\(_2\), iminium ions, and Selectfluor) showed that they efficiently serve as chiral nucleophiles and undergo electrophilic substitution with inversion of stereosemistry (Scheme 5B). Therefore, we considered an alternative mode of activation. In particular, we envisaged that treatment of I with an appropriate oxidant would deliver the radical cation IV by SET oxidation (Scheme 5A, right). This was expected to trigger a 1,2-migration and following a second oxidation (from the radical intermediate V) and elimination would deliver arylated product III again with retention of stereochemistry.

3. SYNTHESIS OF BORONIC ESTERS

All of the required boronic esters were prepared using five general protocols as described in Scheme 6 and the Supporting Information: (1) Secondary and tertiary boronic esters Ia–k were prepared by lithiation–borylation methodology developed by us. (2) Benzyllic boronic II was prepared by enantioselective hydroboration as reported by Yun, whereas...
Carene-, pinene-, and cholesterol-based substrates (1m–n and 1o–p) were prepared by diastereoselective hydroboration as described by Renaud and in situ transesterification with pinacol. (3A) Menthyl and oxetane boronic ester 1q and 1r were obtained by Cu(I)-catalyzed borylation as reported by Ito and Marder. (3B) Nitrile 1s was synthesized according to Yun’s β-boration protocol. (4) 2-B(pin)-N-Boc-pyrrolidine 1t was prepared by asymmetric lithiation of N-Boc-pyrrolidine and quenching with i-PrOB(pin) as described by Whiting. (5) 1,2-Bis-boronic ester 1u was prepared by asymmetric Morken–Nishiyama diboration.

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 4.1. Oxidative Couplings

We began our studies with the addition of 2-lithiofuran to secondary boronic ester 1a and explored a variety of oxidants covering a wide range of electrochemical potential (Scheme 7A). Out of all the oxidants tested, DDQ (2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone) was found to be uniquely effective, resulting in complete reaction after just 5 min at −78 °C (entry 1). Furthermore, the process was completely stereospecific (100% es). At this point a range of enantioenriched secondary and tertiary boronic esters were tested under the optimized reaction conditions (Scheme 7B). In general, secondary dialkyl boronic esters 1a, 1c, and 1e reacted well, and corresponding products 2a, 2c, and 2e were obtained in high yields and complete stereospecificity. This initial screening showed that the process tolerated increased steric hindrance on the boronic ester and ester functional groups. The methodology was also applied to hindered cyclic terpene-derived boronic esters 1m–n giving desired products 2m–n in high yields and complete diastereospecificity. However, secondary benzylic, α-amino, and tertiary boronic esters 1l, 1t, and 1g failed. Furthermore, boronate complex 22 obtained by addition of p-MeO-phenyllithium to 1a also failed to deliver the desired product. This was particularly surprising...
Scheme 7. Reaction Optimization and Substrate Scope with DDQ

A) Oxidant screening

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Oxidant</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>time</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DDQ</td>
<td>−78</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DDQ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PhIO</td>
<td>−78−rt</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cu(OC)₂</td>
<td>−78−rt</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CAN</td>
<td>−78−rt</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>WCO</td>
<td>−78−rt</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(α-Br-Ph)N⁺⁺</td>
<td>−78−rt</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B) Scope and limitations of the process

- Successful couplings: secondary non-benzylic boronic esters
- Unsuccessful couplings: α-N, benzylic, tertiary boronic esters and other electron-rich aromatics

− Reaction conditions: furan (1.2 equiv), n-BuLi (1.2 equiv) in THF (0.3 M) at −78 °C → rt for 1 h, then 1a (1.0 equiv) in THF (0.3 M) at −78 °C, then oxidant (1.5 equiv) in THF (0.3 M).
- Determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

Because (i) boronic ester 1a efficiently underwent intramolecular arylation with 2-lithiofuran (2a) and (ii) the p-MeO-phenyl group can be readily oxidized by DDQ.

4.1.1. DFT Calculations and Proposed Mechanism of Oxidative Couplings. The surprising difference in behavior of these boronate complexes prompted us to try to understand the cause of the unsuccessful couplings (Scheme 8). Analysis of the different failed reactions revealed that 2-B(pin)-furan [or p-MeO-C₆H₄–B(pin)] was the major product, and using boronic ester 1g, we were able to isolate olefin 23 (as a mixture of regioisomers; Scheme 8A). The formation of these products suggested to us that preferential SET oxidation of the sp³-C–B bond over the aromatic unit was occurring which would generate the 2-B(pin)-furan, the radical anion of DDQ, and a bond over the aromatic unit was occurring which would give intermediate 25, which upon stereospecific 1,2-rearrangement and elimination would account for the successful formation of 2a with retention of stereochemistry. DFT studies showed that DDQ could indeed react via this pathway after initial formation of the encounter complex 26-DDQ. The low calculated barriers for this mechanism are consistent with the fact that reaction with DDQ is complete in minutes at −78 °C, and it is proposed that in the successful arylation cases this pathway is followed (see Supporting Information for more details).

While this mechanism would fully explain the successful couplings reported in Scheme 8B, a unified mechanistic picture would still imply a remarkable ability of DDQ to serve as an electrophile or a one-electron oxidant depending on the furyl-boronic substitution pattern: secondary nonbenzylic substrates would undergo S₅Ar (path C), whereas secondary benzylic and tertiary would undergo sp³-C–B bond SET oxidation (path D). We believe that in the latter cases path D operates owing to the greater ease of removal of an electron from the benzylic sp³-C–B bond leading to a more stable benzylic or tertiary radical, and we performed further calculations using model isopropyl and benzylic boronate complexes 24 and 22a. We estimated the free energy of activation ΔG* for one-electron oxidation of the boronate in encounter complex 26-DDQ with Marcus theory, using DFT (B3LYP) calculations to obtain the parameters needed (see the Supporting Information for details of this Marcus theory modeling and other computations). This yielded a ΔG* of 4.7 kcal mol⁻¹ for R = Me and 2.5 kcal mol⁻¹ for R = Ph (Scheme 8E). Also, regular B3LYP-D2 calculations were used to predict free energies of activation ΔG* for S₅Ar starting from 26-DDQ, which were 3.7 kcal mol⁻¹ in both cases. Hence, as shown in Scheme 8D, reaction through path C in encounter complex 26-DDQ with Marcus theory, using DFT (B3LYP) calculations to obtain the parameters needed (see the Supporting Information for details of this Marcus theory modeling and other computations). This yielded a ΔG* of 4.7 kcal mol⁻¹ for R = Me and 2.5 kcal mol⁻¹ for R = Ph (Scheme 8E). Also, regular B3LYP-D2 calculations were used to predict free energies of activation ΔG* for S₅Ar starting from 26-DDQ, which were 3.7 kcal mol⁻¹ in both cases. Hence, as shown in Scheme 8D, reaction through path C in encounter complex 26-DDQ with Marcus theory, using DFT (B3LYP) calculations to obtain the parameters needed (see the Supporting Information for details of this Marcus theory modeling and other computations). This yielded a ΔG* of 4.7 kcal mol⁻¹ for R = Me and 2.5 kcal mol⁻¹ for R = Ph (Scheme 8E). Also, regular B3LYP-D2 calculations were used to predict free energies of activation ΔG* for S₅Ar starting from 26-DDQ, which were 3.7 kcal mol⁻¹ in both cases. Hence, as shown in Scheme 8D, reaction through path C in encounter complex 26-DDQ with Marcus theory, using DFT (B3LYP) calculations to obtain the parameters needed (see the Supporting Information for details of this Marcus theory modeling and other computations).
conditions, product 2a was obtained in 92% yield and complete stereospecificity with retention of configuration.

4.2.1. Couplings between Chiral Secondary Boronic Esters with 5-Membered Ring Heterocycles. We then decided to evaluate the scope of this transition-metal-free cross-coupling strategy. As described in Scheme 10, a broad range of boronic esters was evaluated with electron-rich 5-membered ring aryllithiums. In general, secondary dialkyl substituted boronic esters reacted very well with 2-furyllithium, giving the desired products in high yields and complete ee. Pleasingly, secondary benzylic and pyrrolidinyl boronic esters 1l and 1t also reacted well and afforded the desired products in high yields and with complete retention of stereochemistry (2l−2t). Furthermore, we found that the stereospecific coupling was compatible with the following functional groups: ester 2e, azide 2f, nitrile 2s, trisubstituted double bond 2d, and unprotected hydroxyl group 2o. Oxetanyl organoboronic ester 1r also coupled effectively under our standard conditions, providing the first example of an oxetanyl organoboron being engaged in a C−C coupling reaction. This moiety is gaining in popularity as it is emerging as a privileged pharmacophore in medicinal chemistry. In particular, Carreira has shown that 3,3-disubstituted oxetanes are effective polar replacements for carbonyl and gem-dimethyl groups in drug-like molecules.
Finally, we evaluated the use of other electron-rich heteroaromatics as coupling partners and found that the reaction scope was quite broad. Thus, the coupling reaction was applicable to the following lithiated heterocycles: C-3 furan, C-2 benzofuran, C-2 N-methyl pyrrole, C-2 N-methylindole, C-2 thiophene, and C-2 benzothiophene. In some cases, N-iodosuccinimide (NIS) was found to be superior to NBS because the latter caused the bromination of the electron-rich aromatic ring of the reaction product. However, attempts to carry out the coupling with indoles bearing other groups on nitrogen failed, as did coupling of C-3 N-methylindole. Moreover, reaction with 2-lithio-5-methylfuran resulted in low yield. The power of this approach for the simple modification of natural products was showcased by the successful coupling of cholesterol-B(pin) with 2-lithiofuran and 2-lithio-N-methyl-indole. Furthermore, by using 1,2-bis-boronic ester, a stereospecific diarylation process was developed according in 58% yield and complete ee.

4.2.2. Couplings between Chiral Secondary Boronic Esters with 6-Membered Ring Aromatics. Having evaluated the ability of chiral secondary boronic esters to undergo the stereospecific NBS-coupling with electron-rich heteroaromatics, we moved on to the more challenging 6-membered ring electron-rich aromatics. Because their corresponding boronate complexes are competent sp³-nucleophiles (SE₂inv, see Scheme 5B), the reactions conditions had to be modified to promote reaction on the aromatic ring (Scheme 11A).

We started our investigation using 3,5-(MeO)₂-C₆H₄⁻Li, taking advantage of the synergistic effect of all three donor groups of the boronate complex promoting bromination on the aromatic ring at the para position. This would be followed by stereospecific 1,2-metallate rearrangement and elimination. As shown in Scheme 11A, when the reaction was performed using the standard conditions a mixture of the desired product 9a, the B(pin)-incorporated product 9aa (see section 4.3), and the alkyl bromide 21 was obtained in a 81:6:13 ratio as determined by crude GC analysis (entry 1). Compound 9aa arises from an incomplete nucleophilic elimination step, so we repeated the reaction adding NBS in MeOH, in order to promote the elimination pathway (MeOH could act as the nucleophile). Pleasingly, this simple modification of the reaction conditions completely suppressed the formation of both 9aa and 21 (entry 2). SEAr can be modulated by the reaction media; thus, we now believe the increased polarity of the mixed solvent system to be the reason for the improved selectivity. Under these reaction conditions, 9a was isolated in 83% yield. When the reaction was tested with a single methoxy group in the aromatic unit (using 3-MeO-C₆H₄⁻Li), product 11a was not observed under standard reaction conditions (entry 3), but following addition of NBS in MeOH, a mixture of 11a, 11aa, and 21 in 69:29:3 ratio was obtained (entry 4). We therefore reasoned that in order to maximize the beneficial effect of MeOH a complete solvent exchange was required. Thus, after formation of the boronate complex in THF at -78 °C, the solvent was removed under high vacuum at 0 °C. MeOH was then added, and the mixture was cooled to -78 °C prior to NBS addition. Under
these conditions, desired product 11a was obtained with complete selectivity and isolated in 72% yield (entry 5).

As shown in Scheme 11B, a broad range of electron-rich benzene derivatives was evaluated. The following lithiated benzene derivatives coupled successfully with both secondary dialkyl as well as benzylic boronic esters furnishing products in high yield and complete stereospecificity: 3,5-dimethoxy (9a, 9q, and 9l), 3,N,N-dimethylamino (10a and 10q), and 3-methoxy (11a and 11l). Disubstituted electron-rich aromatics (e.g., 2,3- or 3,4-dimethoxy (12b and 13b)) aryllithiums also worked, but lower yields were observed in these cases as a result of competing ipso-substitution (giving the aryl bromide and starting boronic ester) and competing reaction at the sp³ carbon (giving bromide 21). Pleasingly, Br substitution (e.g., at C-5) was tolerated giving 14b in good yield and complete es. The coupling was successful with the weakly nucleophilic naphthyl (both at C-1 and C-2) and the phenanthryl moiety giving products 15a, 16b, and 17b. Furthermore, the coupling was also successful with weakly donating aromatics (e.g., 3,5-
the success of this example with the increased steric congestion electron-rich aromatic, 3-methyl-phenyllithium, which gave remarkably example is the successful coupling with the weakly lithio-pyridine. Other electron-rich aromatics such as 3-methoxy-phenyllithium gave a high yield and complete es. The coupling was successful with their nonbenzylic counterparts. This competing undesired reaction could account for the lower yields of the desired arylation obtained in the benzylic versus the nonbenzylic substrates (e.g., compare 9a/9l, 11a/11l, and 18b/18l). Cholesterol B(pin) reacted well with the electron-rich aryllithiums, giving products 9o and 10p in high yield and complete diastereospecificity.

4.2.3. Couplings of Chiral Tertiary Boronic Esters. Having evaluated the use of secondary boronic esters in the NBS-mediated stereospecific cross-couplings methodology, we moved on to the more challenging tertiary boronic esters, a class of substrates for which transition-metal-based methodologies are currently not available. Our approach goes through a distinct mechanistic pathway, so we were keen to explore if our methodology had the potential to solve this unmet synthetic challenge. As shown in Scheme 12, a range of tertiary alkyl and benzylic boronate complexes were found to work well. Trialkyl, benzylic, and dibenzylic boronic esters 1g–k were coupled with 2-furyllithium in high yields and complete es, furnishing products 2g–k. The chemistry was also extended to 2-lithiobenzofuran giving 4g and 4h. Electron-rich 6-membered aryllithiums were evaluated next. In the case of 3,5-dimethoxyphenyllithium, both alkyl and benzylic substrates were successful, and desired products 9g and 9h were obtained in high yield and complete es. The coupling was successful with other electron-rich aromatics such as 3-methoxyphenyllithium (11h), 1- and 2-naphthyllithium (15g and 16g), 6-methoxy 2-lithio-pyridine (20g), and 3,5-dimethylphenyllithium (18g). A remarkable example is the successful coupling with the weakly electron-rich aromatic, 3-methyl-phenyllithium, which gave product 19g in 46% yield and complete es. We rationalized the success of this example with the increased steric congestion offered by the three alkyl groups that slows down the rate of sp3 halogenation, enabling reaction at the aromatic ring to compete more favorably.

4.3. Stereospecific Arylation-B(pin) Incorporations. During the optimization of the NBS-mediated coupling of secondary boronic esters and 6-membered ring aryllithiums, we observed the unexpected formation of products where the B(pin) group was incorporated into the aromatic ring (Scheme 11A, see entries 1 and 4). This observation set the stage for the development of a unique ortho-borylation-coupling reaction. Mechanistically, we believe this product arises from an incomplete nucleophilic B(pin)-elimination reaction from intermediate 27 (Scheme 13A). This would lead to a nucleophilic 1,2-Wagner–Meerwein shift of the B(pin) moiety forming the more stable carbocation, 28. Final deprotonation would furnish B(pin)-incorporated product 18aa. On the basis of this mechanism, we speculated that while a polar solvent (e.g., MeOH) was required to facilitate the SAr we needed to reduce its ability to serve as a nucleophile in order to favor the 1,2-Wagner–Meerwein shift.71 We therefore tested sterically hindered alcohol i-ProH in place of MeOH and the ratio of 18a/18aa/21 was improved to 5:88:7 as determined by GC-MS. Furthermore, by using a 1:1 i-ProOH–CH3CN solvent mixture, we were able to selectively obtain product 18aa and isolate it in 90% yield. With the optimized reaction conditions, we evaluated the scope of this novel coupling reaction. As described in Scheme 13B, a variety of aryllithiums reacted well providing the desired products in good yields and complete es (9ba, 11ba, 15ba, and 18aa). We were pleased to see that tertiary groups were compatible as well and delivered remarkably high yields furnishing very sterically congested quaternary scaffolds (9ga and 18ga). It was also possible to perform this reaction on heterocyclic substrate such as benzoephene (8ba). We believe that this particular result is a very attractive tool for introducing neighboring...
functionalities in a single transformation. Moreover, the B(pin)
moiety serves as a useful handle for further functionalization
either by transition metal catalysis or the protocol described
herein.

4.4. Trends in Reactivity. The successful outcome of the
coupling reaction is dependent on a number of factors, but the
extent of competing reactions at the sp³ carbon versus those at
the aromatic ring appears to dominate in many cases.
Considering these two factors only, trends in reactivity can
be readily understood (Scheme 14). For tertiary and secondary
nonbenzylic boronates, as the aromatic becomes less electron-
rich, the yield for the coupled product declines because reaction
of NBS at the less electron-rich aromatic becomes increasingly
less favored. Comparing benzylic secondary (2l, 9l, 11l, and
18l) and tertiary (2h, 9h, 11h, and 18h) products, the same trend is
observed. In addition, the increased resistance to reactions at
the sp³ carbon of the tertiary alkyl substrates leads to increased
yields of coupled products compared to the corresponding
secondary substrates (cf. 19g and 19b).

4.5. DFT and React-IR Studies. Additional DFT
calculations were performed for the electrophilic reactions
with NBS. Using the same model isopropyl boronate anionic
complex, 24, two mechanisms were considered: One involves
electrophilic addition at the aromatic ring (path A, S₂Ar)
whereas the other involves electrophilic attack at the sp²-C−B
bond (path B, S₂2inv) (Scheme 15A). In both cases, transition
states were located (Scheme 15B) involving near-linear N−Br−
C arrangements corresponding to nucleophilic substitution at
bromine. For path B, the transition state (TS) leads directly to
succinimide anion and the bromoalkyl species with release of
boronate ester. For path A, electrophilic attack of the bromine
triggered migration of the alkyl group in the same reaction step,

\[ \text{Scheme 13. Method for Retaining the Boronic Ester in the Coupling Reaction} \]

\[ \text{Scheme 14. Main Competing Processes Which Determine the Outcome of the Coupling Reaction Illustrate Trends in Reactivity} \]
without further barrier. The migration is already well under way at the TS. The discrete cationic intermediate, II (Scheme 5A), is never actually formed but is nevertheless a useful species to consider when formulating the overall process. This TS is significantly more stable one with the furyl model boronate complex, consistent with the observed high yields for alkylated furan. Additional calculations were performed using 3,5-dimethylphenyl and phenyl substrates. The TS for path B has almost the same free energy in all three cases, but the barrier height for path A increases sharply for these less nucleophilic aryl groups (Scheme 15C). In the case of phenyl, the barrier is now much higher than for oxidation of the C−B bond, consistent with experiment. For 3,5-dimethylphenyl, S_{6}2inv (path B) is predicted to be strongly favored in THF as observed. However, in MeOH the two barriers are almost the same, although experimentally path A is favored. The TS for path A is much more polar than the reactants, so the solvation free energy for the TS is large compared to that for reactants. This helps to explain why more polar solvents favor path A over path B. Also, considering the uncertainties in continuum models of solvation free energy, one must bear in mind that the calculated free energy for this TS is likely somewhat inaccurate. In previous work,72 we have shown based on calibration with very accurate coupled cluster calculations that B3LYP with dispersion corrections as used here yields reasonably accurate results for similar boronate chemistry. However, it should also be noted that conformational complexity, treatment of the lithium counterion, and modeling of solvation introduces further sources of error.

The boronate reaction with NBS could be followed by React-IR.73 The boronate complex was prepared and cooled to −78 °C and the mixture monitored by React-IR.74 Addition of NBS in MeOH over 60 s resulted in rapid consumption of ate complex ate-9a, essentially instantaneously, indicating that the reaction was extremely rapid (Scheme 16). The low free energy barrier calculated for the reaction with the furan boronate complex suggests that reaction with NBS should be very rapid at −78 °C, and indeed, reaction was observed to occur in less than a minute for the dimethoxyphenyl boronate, which should have a similar reactivity.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We set out to explore two different pathways by which boronate complexes derived from an aliphatic secondary or tertiary boronic ester and 2-furyllithium could react with either an oxidant or an electrophile to give a coupled product stereospecifically. Of the oxidants tested, DDQ was uniquely effective, but it only worked with a limited range of secondary boronic esters: Benzylic and tertiary boronic esters were ineffective. From analysis of the products obtained from the unsuccessful reactions and from DFT studies, we concluded that in those cases DDQ oxidized the aliphatic C−B bond leading to a relatively stable (benzylic or tertiary) radical. In the cases of nonbenzylic aliphatic secondary boronic esters which did react successfully, DDQ acted as an electrophile and not as an oxidant, triggering 1,2-migration and subsequent elimination. NBS was a better electrophile than DDQ because it was less prone to oxidize the aliphatic C−B bond. This reagent was applicable to a broad range of secondary and tertiary boronic esters with very different steric demands and tolerated a range of functional groups (esters, azides, nitriles, alcohols, and ethers). It was also applicable to a range of electron-rich heteroaromatics including pyrrole, indole, thiophene, benzofo-
an, and benzothiophene. It was important that the NBS-mediated bromination occurred at the ortho or para position of the aromatic ring in relation to the boronate; if the electronics favored reaction at the ipso position, then competing ipso bromination occurred returning the boronic ester (e.g., 2-lithioindole was successful but the 3-lithioindole failed) (Scheme 17).

Scheme 17. Reactions with 5-Membered Ring Aromatics

The coupling reaction was also applicable to 6-membered ring aromatics provided they had donor groups in the meta position (Scheme 18). The meta donor group together with the (electron-rich) boronate worked synergistically, promoting bromination on the aromatic ring. If the donor group was para to the boronate, then it promoted bromination at the sp^3 carbon instead and no coupled product was obtained. This competing reaction was increasingly observed when weaker meta donors on the 6-membered ring were employed (e.g., using 3-methylaryl lithium). The coupling reactions with 6-membered ring aromatics required different conditions; it was found that solvent exchange from THF to MeOH led to improved yields of the desired coupling product. Using these conditions, a range of 6-membered ring aromatics, including 1- and 2-naphthalenes, were successfully coupled to secondary and tertiary boronic esters. Solvent choice played a major role in the outcome of the coupling reaction. Using MeCN-iPrOH, we found that the B(pin)-moiety was retained in the product, ortho to the boron substituent. We believe this arises from a 1,2-Wagner–Meerwein shift of the B(pin) group, which relieves steric hindrance, followed by loss of a proton. Again this protocol, which created a new C(sp^3)−C(sp^3) and an adjacent C−B bond, was applicable to a range of secondary and tertiary boronic esters. All of the coupling reactions occurred with complete stereospecificity.

DFT calculations supported the mechanistic scenario put forward, and the very low barriers calculated for addition of NBS to electron-rich aromatics were commensurate with the almost instantaneous reaction observed by React-IR at ~78 °C. Interestingly, no cationic intermediates are involved during the process; the 1,2-migration occurs as the NBS reacts with the aromatic ring.

The methodology described provides a new way of coupling secondary and tertiary boronic esters with electron-rich aromatics. There are a growing number of practical methods for making aliphatic secondary and tertiary boronic esters with very high enantioselectivity, so this new stereospecific method should find wide application. Even in the cases where racemic or achiral substrates are used, the absence of costly or difficult-to-remove transition metals, which are often avoided at late stage processes of drug manufacture, are further attractive features of the methodology.
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