

Suppl. Table 2: Logistic regression analyses to compare the prevalence of high resilience between study samples. Dependent variable: 1=high resilience; 0=low resilience.

<i>Dependent variable:</i>					
High resilience[#] in the face of:	Contrast between studies		OR	Wald (df)	p-value
More severe dementia	<i>Overall difference</i>		n.a.	11.35 (3)	0.010
	FamCare	vs REMCARE	0.30	11.34 (1)	0.001*
	COMPAS	vs REMCARE	0.74	2.04 (1)	0.153
	MCSP studies	vs REMCARE	1.33	0.74 (1)	0.390
	FamCare	vs MCSP studies	0.41	4.66 (1)	0.031
	FamCare	vs COMPAS	0.41	7.40 (1)	0.007*
	COMPAS	vs MCSP studies	0.99	0.002 (1)	0.967
Basic self-care limitations	<i>Overall difference</i>		n.a.	29.52 (3)	<0.001
	FamCare	vs REMCARE	0.21	29.06 (1)	<0.001*
	COMPAS	vs REMCARE	0.63	4.79 (1)	0.029
	MCSP studies	vs REMCARE	0.65	2.63 (1)	0.105
	FamCare	vs MCSP studies	0.33	10.52 (1)	0.001*
	FamCare	vs COMPAS	0.34	12.77 (1)	<0.001*
	COMPAS	vs MCSP studies	0.97	0.01 (1)	0.911
Behavioural/ mood problems	<i>Overall difference</i>		n.a.	20.52 (3)	<0.001
	FamCare	vs REMCARE	0.25	17.10 (1)	<0.001*
	COMPAS	vs REMCARE	0.53	8.33 (1)	0.004*
	MCSP studies	vs REMCARE	0.69	1.48 (1)	0.223
	FamCare	vs MCSP studies	0.36	6.11 (1)	0.013
	FamCare	vs COMPAS	0.47	4.78 (1)	0.029
	COMPAS	vs MCSP studies	0.78	0.63 (1)	0.429

Substantial time spend caring	Overall difference		n.a.	23.58 (3)	<0.001
	FamCare	vs REMCARE	0.40	11.44 (1)	0.001*
	COMPAS	vs REMCARE	0.35	13.33 (1)	<0.001*
	MCSP studies	vs REMCARE	0.39	10.58 (1)	0.001*
	FamCare	vs MCSP studies	1.02	0.003 (1)	0.960
	FamCare	vs COMPAS	1.15	0.16 (1)	0.691
	COMPAS	vs MCSP studies	0.89	0.11 (1)	0.738
More than one high care demand	Overall difference		n.a.	30.66 (3)	<0.001
	FamCare	vs REMCARE	0.24	25.99 (1)	<0.001*
	COMPAS	vs REMCARE	0.50	12.88 (1)	<0.001*
	MCSP studies	vs REMCARE	0.56	4.61 (1)	0.032
	FamCare	vs MCSP studies	0.42	6.33 (1)	0.012
	FamCare	vs COMPAS	0.48	6.62 (1)	0.010
	COMPAS	vs MCSP studies	0.89	0.19 (1)	0.662

Abbreviations: OR; Odds Ratio

* Statistically significant ($p < 0.0083$) with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (0.05/6)

Resilience was measured with five definitions defined as good or poor psychological well-being in the face of high caregiving demands.