# 1 high INtensity Interval Training In pATiEnts with intermittent claudication

# 2 (INITIATE): a qualitative acceptability study

- 3 Short title: Acceptability of high-intensity interval training in intermittent claudication
- 4 Pymer, S<sup>1</sup>
- 5 Harwood,  $AE^{2,6}$
- 6 Ibeggazene, S<sup>1</sup>
- 7 McGregor,  $G^{2,3,4}$
- 8 Huang, C<sup>5</sup>
- 9 Nicholls, AR<sup>6</sup>
- 10 Ingle,  $L^6$
- 11 Long,  $J^1$
- 12 Rooms, M
- 13 Chetter, I.C<sup>1</sup>
- 14 Twiddy, M<sup>5</sup>
- 15 Institutions:
- 16 <sup>1</sup>Academic Vascular Surgical Unit, Hull York Medical School, Hull, UK.
- <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiac Rehabilitation, Centre for Exercise & Health, University Hospital,
   Coventry, UK
- <sup>3</sup>Warwick Clinical Trials Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry,
   UK
- 21 <sup>4</sup>Centre for Sport Exercise & Life Sciences, Coventry University, Coventry, UK
- <sup>5</sup>Institute of Clinical and Applied Health Research, Hull York Medical School, University of
- 23Hull, Hull, UK.
- <sup>6</sup>Department of Sport, Health & Exercise Science, University of Hull, Hull, UK.
- <sup>7</sup>Department of Cardiology, University Hospitals Coventry & Warwickshire NHS Trust,
   Coventry, UK
- <sup>8</sup>Division of Health Sciences, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry,
   UK

# Corresponding Author: Sean Pymer, Academic Vascular Surgical Unit, Hull York Medical School, Hull, UK. <u>S.Pymer@nhs.net</u>

- 31 Category: Original article
- 32
- 33 Declarations of interest: none'
- 34
- 35 Data availability statement: The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable
- 36 request to the corresponding author.
- 37
- 38

- 39 Introduction: A novel high-intensity interval training (HIIT) programme has demonstrated
  40 feasibility for patients with intermittent claudication (IC). The aim of this study was to
- 41 explore patient perspectives of the HIIT programme to inform refinement and future research.
- 42

43 **Methods:** All patients screened and eligible for the 'high intensity interval training in

44 patients with intermittent claudication (INITIATE)' study, were eligible to take part in a

- 45 semi-structured interview. A convenience subsample of patients was selected from three
- 46 distinct groups: 1) those who completed the HIIT programme, 2) those who prematurely
- discontinued the HIIT programme and 3) those who declined the HIIT programme.
  Interviews considered patients views of the programme and experiences of undertaking
- 48 Interviews considered patients views of the programme and experiences of undertaking
   49 and/or being invited to undertake it. Interviews were audio recorded, transcribed verbatim
- 50 and analysed via thematic analysis.
- 51

52 Results: Eleven out of 31 participants who completed the programme and twelve out of 38 53 decliners were interviewed. No participants who withdrew from the programme agreed to 54 interview. The three key themes were; personal reflections of the programme; programme 55 facilitators and barriers; and perceived benefits. Completers enjoyed taking part, reported 56 symptomatic improvement and would complete it again. Practical and psychological barriers

- 57 exist, such as transport and motivation. Changes to the programme were suggested.
- 58

Conclusion: Findings support the acceptability of this novel HIIT programme, which in
combination with the feasibility findings, suggest that a fully powered randomised controlled
trial, comparing HIIT to usual-care supervised exercise programmes is warranted.

- 62
- 63 Study registration: NCT0404231164
- 65 **Study funding:** This study is funded by the NIHR [Research for Patient Benefit programme
- 66 (PB-PG-0418-20014)]. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily
- 67 those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care

## 68 Introduction

Uptake and adherence to supervised exercise programmes (SEP), the first-line treatment for 69 intermittent claudication  $(IC)^{1,2}$ , is suboptimal<sup>3</sup>. Time is a key patient barrier<sup>4-6</sup>. This had led 70 71 us to develop an alternative, more time-efficient exercise programme, in the form of highintensity interval training (HIIT)<sup>7,8</sup>, which has completed the feasibility phase<sup>9</sup>. The Medical 72 Research Council guidance notes that intervention acceptability is a key element to be 73 considered within the feasibility phase<sup>10</sup>. Additionally, when complex intervention research 74 transitions from one phase to another, refinements may be required and involving 75 76 intervention users in this refinement process can improve the feasibility and acceptability of the future, refined intervention<sup>10</sup>. Qualitative research provides an opportunity to understand 77 patient acceptability of the intervention by exploring their experiences, whilst also giving 78 79 them the opportunity to inform potential refinements.

80

The evidence base for HIIT in patients with IC is limited<sup>7</sup>, and although this intervention has 81 been considered in patients with coronary artery disease<sup>11</sup>, it is novel in the IC population, so 82 acceptability testing, and patient led refinement are important development steps. 83 Additionally, although this intervention is designed to be more time-efficient, it may mean 84 that other barriers become more pertinent and these need to be understood and addressed in 85 future iterations. Finally, other patient-level factors such as motivation or enjoyment<sup>3</sup> may 86 87 lead to disengagement with the intervention which could impact on adherence. Therefore, the aim of this qualitative study was to investigate patient perceptions, and therefore 88 acceptability, of our HIIT programme to inform intervention refinement and future research. 89 90 91 92

93 Methods

#### 94 Study design

- 95 This qualitative study, reported in accordance with the COREQ checklist (Appendix 1), was
- 96 embedded within the 'high INtensity Interval Training In pATiEnts with intermittent
- 97 claudication' (INITIATE) study<sup>9</sup>. This was a single-arm, proof-of-concept study, performed
- 98 at two UK NHS Trusts, recruiting patients with IC, referred to a usual-care SEP. The study
- 99 was registered prospectively on clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04042311) and all study procedures
- 100 were approved by a UK NHS Research Ethics Committee (reference: Bradford Leeds –
- 101 18/YH/0112). Full details of the patient identification and recruitment processes and the
- 102 inclusion / exclusion criteria for INITIATE are provided in the study protocol, as is a
- 103 description of the intervention<sup>12</sup>.
- 104 Briefly, the intervention was a six-week, supervised HIIT programme performed three times
- 105 per week, using a stationary cycle ergometer. Intensity was set using a baseline
- 106 cardiopulmonary exercise test.
- 107 In-depth, semi-structured interviews were conducted with a convenience subsample from108 three distinct groups:
- \_\_\_\_\_
- **Group one:** participants who successfully completed the HIIT programme.
- Group two: Those who agreed to participate in the HIIT programme but discontinued after
  ≥1 session.
- Group three: Participants who were eligible for recruitment to INITIATE but declined toparticipate.
- 114 Consent and Data collection
- 115 All patients approached for the INITIATE study were eligible for interview, and study
- 116 consenters were able to opt in or out of the interview whilst consenting to the study. Patients
- 117 were approached via mail with a follow-up telephone call. Patients who declined to take part

in the INITIATE study were asked if they would agree to be interviewed and interview-118 specific consent was obtained. Participants interviewed via telephone provided verbal 119 consent, which was audio recorded. 120 121 One-off, semi-structured interviews were undertaken. All interviews were informed by a topic guide, adapted from similar studies previously undertaken by the authors (SP and AEH; 122 Appendix 2). Interviews were flexible to allow exploration of participant responses. 123 124 Participants were informed that they did not have to answer questions that they felt unable to 125 and that all responses were confidential. All interviews were audio recorded, transcribed 126 verbatim and pseudonymised. Field notes were not made. All interviews were conducted by 127 the lead researcher (SP), a male post-graduate exercise physiologist, who had attended a National Centre for Social Research training course, and was supervised by MT, an 128 129 experienced qualitative researcher. A PhD student was present for five interviews; all other

interviews were conducted with only the researcher and participant present.

131

132 Interviews were conducted face-to-face in a private clinic room or via telephone to

accommodate COVID-19 restrictions. Telephone interviews result in a loss of visual cues but

there is no evidence that they produce lower quality data<sup>13</sup>, and this option allowed

135 participants to take part without attending the research site.

136

### **137 Outcomes of interest**

138 The outcomes of interest were related to patients views of the structure of the HIIT

139 programme and experiences of undertaking and/or being invited to undertake it.

140

## 141 Sample size and data analysis

A specific, pre-specified sample size was not set but using informational power as a guide<sup>14</sup>,
a target of 10 interviews per group was set as the minimum sample size given the focussed
topic.

145 NVivo (Lumivero, 2022, release 1.7.1) was used to manage the data and line by line coding was performed by the lead researcher (SP) using an inductive thematic analysis approach, 146 whereby concepts were identified from within the data<sup>15</sup>. This involved reading and re-147 reading the transcripts and creating initial codes for any statements that were related to the 148 149 research questions. Similar codes and patterns of responses were then merged together into 150 final codes which were grouped and placed into themes, using a coding matrix (Table 1). To ensure that the analysis was robust, the coding matrix and raw data were reviewed by the 151 supervisor (MT). The analysis was further refined through discussion of the initial and final 152 153 themes. Transcripts were not returned to participants for clarification prior to analysis nor 154 was feedback provided on the findings.

155

#### 156 Results

Quantitative data regarding screening, eligibility, recruitment, completion, adherence and 157 measures of walking distance and quality of life are available elsewhere<sup>9</sup>. All 31 participants 158 159 that completed the exercise programme opted into taking part in an interview during the 160 consent process. Eleven were selected for interview, four from one site and seven from the 161 other. Thirteen patients who declined the HIIT programme were interviewed. The first 12 decliners contacted from site one agreed to be interviewed. All 26 decliners from site two 162 were contacted for interview; one consented. Four participants commenced the intervention 163 164 and chose to withdraw but none agreed to an interview. In total, 73 patients were approached for interview, 44 agreed and 24 were selected (11 completers and 13 decliners). 165

166	Of the 24 interviewees, the mean age was $71 \pm 8$ years, ranging from 59 to 89 years and 68%
167	were male. For completers, the mean age was $72 \pm 4$ years and 82% were male. For decliners,
168	the mean age was $70 \pm 9$ years and 54% were male. The age range of those completing the
169	intervention and participating in an interview was slightly narrower than the overall cohort of
170	participants completing the intervention (66 to 81 years compared to 51 to 88 years).
171	Eight interviews were conducted face-to-face and 16 over the telephone. Interviews lasted
172	between six and 33 minutes.
173	
174	Three major themes were identified with several sub-themes (Table 1). These themes are
175	explored below, and quotes are provided with key participant characteristics to aid
176	interpretation.
177	
178	Personal Reflections of the programme
179	Gruelling but beneficial
179 180	<i>Gruelling but beneficial</i> Generally, the programme was well received, with the majority of those who participated in
180	Generally, the programme was well received, with the majority of those who participated in
180 181	Generally, the programme was well received, with the majority of those who participated in the exercise intervention providing positive feedback such as " <i>I thought it was really good</i> "
180 181 182	Generally, the programme was well received, with the majority of those who participated in the exercise intervention providing positive feedback such as " <i>I thought it was really good</i> " <i>(Completer, female, 66)</i> and " <i>I thoroughly enjoyed it</i> " <i>(Completer, male, 72)</i> . Despite this
180 181 182 183	Generally, the programme was well received, with the majority of those who participated in the exercise intervention providing positive feedback such as " <i>I thought it was really good</i> " <i>(Completer, female, 66)</i> and " <i>I thoroughly enjoyed it</i> " <i>(Completer, male, 72)</i> . Despite this positive feedback, some found the programme very hard, "quite hard really, yes" (Completer,
180 181 182 183 184	Generally, the programme was well received, with the majority of those who participated in the exercise intervention providing positive feedback such as " <i>I thought it was really good</i> " <i>(Completer, female, 66)</i> and " <i>I thoroughly enjoyed it</i> " <i>(Completer, male, 72)</i> . Despite this positive feedback, some found the programme very hard, "quite hard really, yes" (Completer, male, 81), but this was not sufficient to prompt them to drop out. Even when they described
180 181 182 183 184 185	Generally, the programme was well received, with the majority of those who participated in the exercise intervention providing positive feedback such as " <i>I thought it was really good</i> " <i>(Completer, female, 66)</i> and " <i>I thoroughly enjoyed it</i> " <i>(Completer, male, 72)</i> . Despite this positive feedback, some found the programme very hard, "quite hard really, yes" (Completer, male, 81), but this was not sufficient to prompt them to drop out. Even when they described the programme as good this did not mean participants necessarily enjoyed it, with most
180 181 182 183 184 185 186	Generally, the programme was well received, with the majority of those who participated in the exercise intervention providing positive feedback such as " <i>I thought it was really good</i> " ( <i>Completer, female, 66</i> ) and " <i>I thoroughly enjoyed it</i> " ( <i>Completer, male, 72</i> ). Despite this positive feedback, some found the programme very hard, " <i>quite hard really, yes</i> " (Completer, male, 81), but this was not sufficient to prompt them to drop out. Even when they described the programme as good this did not mean participants necessarily enjoyed it, with most finding it hard work, and one person describing it as " <i>gruelling</i> " ( <i>Completer, male, 69</i> ). A
180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187	Generally, the programme was well received, with the majority of those who participated in the exercise intervention providing positive feedback such as " <i>I thought it was really good</i> " <i>(Completer, female, 66)</i> and " <i>I thoroughly enjoyed it</i> " <i>(Completer, male, 72)</i> . Despite this positive feedback, some found the programme very hard, "quite hard really, yes" (Completer, male, 81), but this was not sufficient to prompt them to drop out. Even when they described the programme as good this did not mean participants necessarily enjoyed it, with most finding it hard work, and one person describing it as "gruelling" (Completer, male, 69). A minority even described it as painful " <i>it was extremely painful</i> " <i>(Completer, male, 67)</i> .
180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188	Generally, the programme was well received, with the majority of those who participated in the exercise intervention providing positive feedback such as " <i>I thought it was really good</i> " <i>(Completer, female, 66)</i> and " <i>I thoroughly enjoyed it</i> " <i>(Completer, male, 72)</i> . Despite this positive feedback, some found the programme very hard, "quite hard really, yes" (Completer, male, 81), but this was not sufficient to prompt them to drop out. Even when they described the programme as good this did not mean participants necessarily enjoyed it, with most finding it hard work, and one person describing it as "gruelling" (Completer, male, 69). A minority even described it as painful " <i>it was extremely painful</i> " <i>(Completer, male, 67)</i> . However, completers talked about how that the programme got easier over time. In addition,

191 more to get a result" (Completer, female, 66) and some reported enjoying the challenge of it. 192 It is also likely that the difficulty/challenge of the programme contributed to the sense of achievement reported upon completion of each session "oh yeah, from start to finish there is 193 194 a sense of achievement" (Completer, male, 69). Finally, most completers stated that they 195 would be willing to complete the programme again and would also encourage others. 196 197 198 199 *Exercise programme components* 200 Most participants, including completers and decliners, were happy with the structure of the 201 programme. The HIIT intervention involved 20-minute sessions, plus a warm-up and cool-202 down. Most participants were happy with the length of each session, although, three 203 participants suggested reducing the warm-up and cool-down to five minutes each, which 204 would reduce the session length to 30 minutes. Additionally, the frequency of three times per 205 week was too burdensome for some, "I think three times a week would be too much" 206 (Decliner, male. 78). For others, the challenging time commitment was not 207 insurmountable... "It was it was tricky at the beginning to start managing it, but it was OK – 208 I could do it, yes" (Completer, male. 67). In contrast, everyone thought the programme 209 duration of six weeks was acceptable and the minimum programme length thought to be worthwhile. For some, as expected, six weeks was more attractive than the 12-week SEP. 210 211 Cycling was the exercise modality used. Some found the saddle uncomfortable, and one 212 213 interviewee declined the programme as they had been advised not to cycle following 214 orthopaedic surgery. For the majority, cycling was acceptable and several completers stated 215 that they preferred using the bike over the treadmill, although others felt that being offered a

216	variety of exercise formats may have improved the programme "perhaps you could do a
217	mixture, one session on the bike and one session on the treadmill" (Completer, male. 68).

218

219 Some sessions were delivered one-to-one, whilst others were delivered in a group-based 220 setting. A large proportion of participants were willing to engage in a group-based programme, and felt that it would add a social or competitive element, which could 221 222 encourage people to continue... "I think that would be good because you could communicate 223 and say, well how are you getting on?" (Completer, male. 68). There was some suggestion 224 that a group-based programme may put some people off participating due to the potential for 225 embarrassment or an adverse response to competition, but overall, a group-based programme 226 was viewed as acceptable going forwards.

227

228 **Programme facilitators and barriers** 

#### 229 *Recognising the benefits*

230 Study accepters took part because they recognised the potential benefits of exercise, either for

themselves, or for future patients "*If it gives you some guidance... to the programme that you* 

are doing... I was pleased to take part for that reason" (Completer, male. 75) and "I thought

well I will go if it does somebody else any good..." (Completer, male. 81).

234

235 Most participants perceived there to be a personal benefit to their symptoms, health or both.

236 "I wanted to improve my walking actually... and perhaps improve my health as well"

237 (Completer, male. 68). The benefits of exercising with little perceived risk was also important

238 *"It is not hurting you in any way is it and I mean exercise, even if it didn't make your legs* 

any better, it's got to be good for other parts of your body..." (Completer, female. 66). This

240 was echoed by others who stated that participation was a 'no lose' situation.

241

## 242 Practical barriers to taking part

Three key physical barriers were identified, namely: time, location and transport difficulties 243 244 and cost. These impacted some participants more than others and worked in isolation or in 245 combination. As mentioned above, the time commitment of the programme was still perceived as a barrier for some, despite a reduction in the programme length from 12 to six 246 247 weeks. Additionally, the programme ran during working hours, and so did not always fit in with participants daily lives... "it's just with me working, that's the problem" (Decliner, 248 249 female. 62). For retirees, the absence of work was given as a reason why they could attend the programme "not really, no, because, I am retired now, so don't have to take time off 250 251 work" (Completer, male. 68), highlighting time, and time of day, as a key challenge for the 252 intervention. 253 At one site, exercise sessions were held at a hospital and the distance people had to travel 254

combined with poor transport links were barriers to participation "*well it were too far really, to come*" (*Decliner, male. 77*). For those relying on public transport, attending could mean
taking multiple buses, adding time and increasing costs. Only one participant mentioned cost
as a personal barrier, though others alluded to it, noting the importance of things like free
parking or bus travel, often available to those of pension age. This suggests that like time,
cost may be a more influential barrier for those of working age.

261 Other physical barriers included severe co-morbidities that were worse than IC and precluded262 participation.

263

264 **Barriers** 

## 265 *Psychological barriers to taking part*

266 Motivation to exercise acted both as an important barrier, but also facilitator to participation. Some participants acknowledged their own lack of motivation to exercise. For these 267 participants, the structured, centre-based, supervised nature of the intervention was the reason 268 269 they enrolled on the programme, as these participants were aware that they would not pro-270 actively exercise at home, due to their lack of motivation "because it is as I told you before, 271 exercise is not something that is at the top of my list, never has been" (completer, female, 66). 272 However, a lack of motivation was also put forward as a reason why 'other people' may not 273 take part... "people have to put the effort in".

274 Another mental barrier was a lack of awareness. It was identified that some patients may lack 275 awareness of their condition, the treatments including exercise, and the benefits of it. One participant described how the symptoms of IC can be misinterpreted, resulting in a delay in 276 277 diagnosis "about 12 or 18 months before I tore my Achilles... and that took about 10 months 278 to recover and it was not long after that this started and I did not know whether it was associated" (Completer, male. 72). Even with a diagnosis, some participants were unaware 279 280 of the benefits of exercise as a treatment for IC, and did not understand the need to induce 281 pain to improve symptoms via the growth of collateral circulation "I am just trying to think, how could exercises do anything to your artery, if it is furred up, how does exercise clear it?" 282 (Decliner, male. 78). Finally, study decliners in particular found it difficult to distinguish 283 284 between structured exercise (i.e., SEP) and everyday physical activity. This meant the 285 benefits of SEP were not understood and so they rejected the programme as they believed that the general physical activity they did at home was enough "I am moving about on it, I 286 just don't think there's gonna be any more benefits from what I'm actually doing" (Decliner, 287 288 *female*. 64).

290 It was common for patients to be anxious about taking part and a fear of the unknown, a fear

of failure and a belief that they would not be able to complete the programme put some off

even attempting it... "because I think it did like scare me off a little bit thinking it would be a

bit too much and I wouldn't be able to do it" (Decliner, female. 69).

- 294
- 295 *Reducing barriers or encouraging engagement*

Participants identified strategies that could encourage participation. Offering reimbursement
to those unable to access free transport and parking "but if it was covered for them, they *would be naff not to take it up*" (Completer, male. 69), reducing the frequency of exercise
sessions to twice per week "I think if I had to go somewhere it is too much... I could do twice
[a week]" (Decliner, female, 59) and having multiple exercise locations were viewed as key
to addressing the practical barriers faced by patients.

302

Education to improve understanding was viewed as useful, especially to reduce anxiety related to a fear of the unknown and failure. Some patients who completed the programme highlighted that their apprehension to exercise had been reduced and they had the confidence to continue exercising... "*I almost had a bit of a phobia about going in the gym. I think that has gone now*" (*Completer, female. 66*). Patients also mentioned an increased understanding of their condition, exercise and its benefits in terms of symptoms and the development of collateral circulation.

310

Information and education materials about HIIT could be written by patients that have participated in the programme, with quotes to show how they benefitted, as this is more likely to resonate with the reader... *"I think perhaps you could give examples... of other people who have done the course and how it has improved them, could maybe give them examples...* 

- *improvements that could happen*" (Completer, male, 68). However, it was acknowledged that
  each person has their own learning style and a range of formats should be available, with
  leaflets, videos and taster sessions, all suggested.
- 318

#### **319** Perceived benefits of HIIT

Most patients that completed the programme reporting an improvement in their symptoms in 320 321 terms of their walking ability "oh yes yeah, before I used to have regular stops to where I was 322 going, but now I can walk further and when I do have to stop, I don't have to stop for as long 323 to recuperate" (Completer, male, 68). Some patients also reported improvements in other 324 aspects of their health... "I lost a bit of weight which is always good" (Completer, male. 72). 325 Others reported a lack of improvement... "not a lot, no, not a lot...[of improvement], I would 326 say about the same" (Completer, female, 76), or felt that they had not improved, but an 327 improvement had been noted by family members "my wife said I did walk a bit better, yes" (Completer, male, 81). One patient who did not report an improvement in their symptoms, 328 329 was able to realise the benefit of exercise for their general health... "I knew it was doing me good, that's the main thing" (Completer, male, 81), which may have contributed to them 330 331 continuing the programme.

332

#### 333 Discussion

The aim of this study was to gain an understanding of patient perceptions and therefore acceptability of a novel HIIT programme for patients with IC. Most participants (including decliners) were positive about the programme and its structure, with some minor changes, whilst completers reported symptomatic benefits and would complete it again. Overall, this supports its acceptability.

Three key changes to the programme were suggested. First, some felt that the frequency could be reduced to once or twice per week. Although current evidence suggests that the optimal SEP frequency for improving walking distance is three times per week, RCT evidence is lacking<sup>16-18</sup>. In addition, NICE guidance recommends two hours (i.e. two sessions) per week and existing SEPs in the UK are predominantly delivered over 1-2 sessions per week<sup>2,5</sup>. Therefore, reducing HIIT frequency to twice per week appears reasonable and may further improve acceptability.

347

348 Next, some participants felt that offering a variety of exercises would aid acceptability.
349 However, this would involve a circuit-based approach, which would come at the detriment of
350 intensity (due to the need for changing equipment) and time-efficiency. Therefore, keeping a
351 cycle-based approach appears most appropriate, as this was largely acceptable to patients and
352 will allow them to reach the required intensity<sup>19</sup>. The final suggested change was to reduce
353 the length of the warm-up and cool-down to five minutes each, which is supported by
354 international guidelines<sup>20</sup> and further reduces the time barrier.

355

Several barriers were identified, most of which have been noted previously<sup>21</sup>. A reliance on 356 357 public transport, and the associated prohibitive factors in terms of time and money, has been demonstrated previously<sup>21,22</sup>. This may be due to the relationship between low socioeconomic 358 status and PAD<sup>23</sup> and can increase health inequalities amongst patients. It is therefore 359 important to address these barriers. In the short-term, as more research will be required prior 360 to implementation of this HIIT programme, it is important that all patients are reimbursed for 361 any expenses that are incurred, this will also help to ensure that the sample is representative 362 and the intervention is acceptable and appropriate for the target population. 363

365 In the longer term, to aid engagement in SEPs, including HIIT, one possible solution would be to make more exercise centres available so that patients could choose to attend the one 366 closest to them. However, this may not be possible given the current funding, staffing and 367 facility constraints that preclude widespread SEP implementation<sup>24</sup>, though HIIT may reduce 368 these barriers, potentially increasing provision opportunities. An alternative solution would 369 be to allow patients with IC to be referred into established cardiac rehabilitation (CR) 370 programmes, which are more readily available nationwide<sup>25</sup>. The same HIIT programme has 371 recently been considered and recommended as an adjunct in UK CR services<sup>11</sup>, suggesting 372 373 that in future, HIIT could also be provided to patients with IC in this setting. However, uptake rates for CR programmes, despite their wider availability, are also poor at 50%, so 374 addressing other barriers would be required<sup>25</sup>, though by combing SEP with CR, this service 375 376 could become more cost-effective.

377

A lack of motivation to exercise is a key barrier for patients, but our data suggest that for 378 379 some patients at least, a recognition of this, may also act as a facilitator, especially if they have access to a structured SEP, as noted previously in previous studies<sup>26,27</sup>. Importantly, our 380 study demonstrated a clear lack of awareness or understanding about IC. This has been 381 highlighted previously<sup>28</sup>, and may be due to the poor health literacy reported by the majority 382 of patients with IC<sup>29</sup>. There is a need to improve patient education and a group-based 383 education programme has been piloted with promising results<sup>30</sup>. However, it is important that 384 education is individually tailored and other methods developed with patients such as patient 385 feedback in invitation materials, YouTube videos and taster sessions could be used<sup>28</sup>. The 386 ability of such methods to improve recruitment and retention into SEP/HIIT programmes 387 could be tested via studies embedded within trials<sup>31,32</sup>, and if found to be beneficial to 388 recruitment, these could be embedded into routine practice. 389

390

391 Finally, participants found HIIT sessions difficult, though this led to a feeling of satisfaction upon completion. This notion that HIIT is considered less enjoyable during exercise, but 392 393 more enjoyable after exercise has been demonstrated previously via the quantitative measures of the feeling scale and the physical activity enjoyment scale<sup>33</sup>. It is postulated that this is due 394 to a continuous rebound effect that is felt during recovery intervals<sup>34-36</sup>, which amalgamate in 395 conjunction with a final rebound effect upon completion of the session, to create this feeling 396 397 of post-exercise enjoyment. However, there is limited data to support this, as enjoyment is usually measured during HIIT intervals rather than recovery intervals. In addition, affect has 398 not been considered in patients with IC. Therefore, future work should consider measuring 399 400 affect over the course of the programme, both during work and recovery intervals.

401

### 402 Strengths and limitations

The relatively large sample included in this qualitative analysis is a key strength. No 403 withdrawers agreed to be interviewed, meaning that this group is not represented, but we did 404 405 gain a rich understanding about the reasons for declining participation, which helps us 406 understand the barriers faced. Next, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, several interviews had to be performed over the telephone, which may have impacted upon the data collected<sup>13,37</sup>. 407 408 There is only limited evidence to suggest that telephone interviews produce lower-quality data than face-to-face interviews, but some of our interviews were very short, and the use of 409 410 telephone interviews may have played a role in that as the population were older adults. Finally, the transcripts were not shared with participants prior to analysis for clarification nor 411 412 was feedback provided on the findings, so we do not know if our interpretations resonate with patients. 413

415	Concl	lusion
410	Cont	usion

416	The aim of this study was to consider the acceptability of a novel HIIT programme for
417	patients with IC, designed to maximise patient benefit and reduce the time commitment.
418	Overall, most patients enjoyed the programme and despite finding it difficult would complete
419	it again. In addition, some changes were suggested for the programme structure that will be
420	incorporated in its future development. These findings support the acceptability of this novel
421	HIIT programme, as well as strengthening the need for a fully powered RCT, with embedded
422	recruitment SWATs.
423	
424	Author contributions
425	Concept and design: SP, AEH, SI, GM, CH, MT, ARN, LI, JL, MR, ICC,
426	Acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation: SP, MT
427	Drafting or critically revising the article: SP, AEH, SI, GM, CH, MT, ARN, LI, JL, MR, ICC.
428	Final approval: SP, AEH, SI, GM, CH, MT, ARN, LI, JL, MR, ICC.

429 Accountability: SP, AEH, SI, GM, CH, MT, ARN, LI, JL, MR, ICC.

# 431 References

432 Aboyans V, Ricco J-B, Bartelink M-LE, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines on the Diagnosis and 1. 433 Treatment of Peripheral Arterial Diseases, in collaboration with the European Society for 434 Vascular Surgery (ESVS) Document covering atherosclerotic disease of extracranial carotid 435 and vertebral, mesenteric, renal, upper and lower extremity arteries Endorsed by: the 436 European Stroke Organization (ESO) The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of 437 Peripheral Arterial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the 438 European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). European heart journal. 2017;39(9):763-816. 439 2. NICE. Peripheral arterial disease: diagnosis and management. Clinical guidance 147. 440 2012. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG147 441 Harwood A-E, Smith GE, Cayton T, Broadbent E, Chetter IC. A systematic review of 3. 442 the uptake and adherence rates to supervised exercise programs in patients with 443 intermittent claudication. Annals of vascular surgery. 2016;34:280-289. 444 Harwood AE, Hitchman LH, Ingle L, Doherty P, Chetter IC. Preferred exercise 4. 445 modalities in patients with intermittent claudication. Journal of Vascular Nursing. 2018; 446 Harwood AE, Pymer S, Ibeggazene S, Ingle L, Caldow E, Birkett ST. Provision of 5. 447 exercise services in patients with peripheral artery disease in the United Kingdom. Vascular. 448 Aug 4 2021:17085381211035259. doi:10.1177/17085381211035259 449 6. Cetlin MD, Polonsky T, Ho K, et al. Barriers to participation in supervised exercise 450 therapy reported by people with peripheral artery disease. Journal of Vascular Surgery. 451 2023/02/01/ 2023;77(2):506-514. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2022.09.014 452 Pymer S, Palmer J, Harwood AE, Ingle L, Smith GE, Chetter IC. A systematic review of 7. 453 high-intensity interval training as an exercise intervention for intermittent claudication. 454 Journal of Vascular Surgery. 2019/06/27/ 455 2019;doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2019.03.050 456 Pymer S, Ibeggazene S, Palmer J, et al. Considering the Feasibility, Tolerability, and 8. 457 Safety of High-Intensity Interval Training as a Novel Treatment for Patients With 458 Intermittent Claudication. Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation and Prevention. 459 2020; Publish Ahead of Print 460 9. Pymer S, Harwood AE, Rhavindhran B, et al. High-intensity interval training in 461 patients with intermittent claudication. Journal of Vascular Surgery. 2023; 462 10. Skivington K, Matthews L, Simpson SA, et al. A new framework for developing and 463 evaluating complex interventions: update of Medical Research Council guidance. bmj. 464 2021;374 465 11. McGregor G, Powell R, Begg B, et al. High-intensity interval training in cardiac rehabilitation: a multi-centre randomized controlled trial. European Journal of Preventive 466 467 Cardiology. 2023:zwad039. 12. 468 Pymer S, Harwood A, Ibeggazene S, et al. High INtensity Interval Training In pATiEnts 469 with intermittent claudication (INITIATE): protocol for a multicentre, proof-of-concept, 470 prospective interventional study. BMJ Open. 2020;10(7):e038825. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-471 2020-038825 472 13. Novick G. Is there a bias against telephone interviews in qualitative research? 473 *Research in nursing & health.* 2008;31(4):391-398. 474 14. Malterud K, Siersma VD, Guassora AD. Sample size in qualitative interview studies: 475 guided by information power. Qualitative health research. 2016;26(13):1753-1760.

476 15. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative research in 477 psychology. 2006;3(2):77-101. 478 Gardner AW, Poehlman ET. Exercise rehabilitation programs for the treatment of 16. 479 claudication pain: a meta-analysis. Jama. 1995;274(12):975-980. 480 17. Bulmer AC, Coombes JS. Optimising exercise training in peripheral arterial disease. 481 Sports Medicine. 2004;34(14):983-1003. 482 Treat-Jacobson D, McDermott MM, Bronas UG, et al. Optimal exercise programs for 18. 483 patients with peripheral artery disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart 484 Association. Circulation. 2019;139(4):e10-e33. 485 19. Tuner SL, Easton C, Wilson J, et al. Cardiopulmonary responses to treadmill and cycle 486 ergometry exercise in patients with peripheral vascular disease. J Vasc Surg. Jan 487 2008;47(1):123-30. doi:10.1016/j.jvs.2007.09.001 488 ACSM. ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription. 10th ed. ed. 20. 489 Lippincott, Williams, & Wilkins; 2018. 490 21. Harwood A-E, Broadbent E, Totty JP, Smith GE, Chetter IC. "Intermittent claudication 491 a real pain in the calf"—Patient experience of diagnosis and treatment with a supervised 492 exercise program. Journal of Vascular Nursing. 2017;35(3):131-135. 493 Barbosa JP, Farah BQ, Chehuen M, et al. Barriers to physical activity in patients with 22. 494 intermittent claudication. International journal of behavioral medicine. 2015;22(1):70-76. 495 23. Pande RL, Creager MA. Socioeconomic inequality and peripheral artery disease 496 prevalence in US adults. Circulation Cardiovascular quality and outcomes. 2014;7(4):532-497 539. doi:10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.113.000618 498 Harwood A, Smith G, Broadbent E, Cayton T, Carradice D, Chetter I. Access to 24. 499 supervised exercise services for peripheral vascular disease patients. The Bulletin of the 500 Royal College of Surgeons of England. 2017;99(6):207-211. 501 25. Doherty P, Harrison A, Petre C, et al. The National Audit of Cardiac 502 Rehabilitation Quality and Outcomes Report 2019. 2019. 503 26. Galea MN, Bray SR, Ginis KAM. Barriers and facilitators for walking in individuals with 504 intermittent claudication. Journal of aging and physical activity. 2008;16(1):69-84. 505 Abaraogu U, Ezenwankwo E, Dall P, et al. Barriers and enablers to walking in 27. 506 individuals with intermittent claudication: A systematic review to conceptualize a relevant 507 and patient-centered program. PloS one. 2018;13(7) 508 28. Bridgwood BM, Nickinson ATO, Houghton JSM, Pepper CJ, Sayers RD. Knowledge of 509 peripheral artery disease: What do the public, healthcare practitioners, and trainees know? 510 Vascular Medicine. 2020/06/01 2020;25(3):263-273. doi:10.1177/1358863X19893003 511 29. Striberger R, Axelsson M, Kumlien C, Zarrouk M. Health literacy in patients with 512 intermittent claudication in relation to clinical characteristics, demographics, self-efficacy 513 and quality of life – A cross-sectional study. Journal of Vascular Nursing. 2022/09/01/ 514 2022;40(3):121-127. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvn.2022.09.001 515 Gorely T, Crank H, Humphreys L, Nawaz S, Tew GA. "Standing still in the street": 30. 516 experiences, knowledge and beliefs of patients with intermittent claudication—a qualitative 517 study. Journal of Vascular Nursing. 2015;33(1):4-9. 518 31. Madurasinghe VW, Bower P, Eldridge S, et al. Can we achieve better recruitment by 519 providing better information? Meta-analysis of 'studies within a trial' (SWATs) of optimised 520 participant information sheets. BMC Medicine. 2021/09/23 2021;19(1):218. 521 doi:10.1186/s12916-021-02086-2

- 522 32. Knapp P, Mandall N, Hulse W, et al. Evaluating the use of multimedia information
  523 when recruiting adolescents to orthodontics research: a randomised controlled trial. *Journal*524 of Orthodontics. 2021;48(4):343-351.
- 525 33. Niven A, Laird Y, Saunders DH, Phillips SM. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 526 affective responses to acute high intensity interval exercise compared with continuous
- 527 moderate- and high-Intensity exercise. *Health Psychology Review*. 2020:1-34.
- 528 doi:10.1080/17437199.2020.1728564
- 529 34. Thum JS, Parsons G, Whittle T, Astorino TA. High-intensity interval training elicits 530 higher enjoyment than moderate intensity continuous exercise. *PloS one*.
- 531 2017;12(1):e0166299.
- 532 35. Jung ME, Bourne JE, Little JP. Where does HIT fit? An examination of the affective
- response to high-intensity intervals in comparison to continuous moderate-and continuous
   vigorous-intensity exercise in the exercise intensity-affect continuum. *PloS one*.
- 535 2014;9(12):e114541.
- 536 36. Malik AA, Williams CA, Weston KL, Barker AR. Perceptual and cardiorespiratory
- responses to high-intensity interval exercise in adolescents: Does work intensity matter? *Journal of sports science & medicine*. 2019;18(1):1.
- 539 37. Irvine A. Duration, dominance and depth in telephone and face-to-face interviews: A
- 540 comparative exploration. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. 2011;10(3):202-220.
- 541