## **British Journal of Dermatology**



# Ineffective consultations for acne: what is important to patients?

Journal:	British Journal of Dermatology
Manuscript ID	BJD-2015-2423.R2
Manuscript Type:	Letter to the Editor
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Cowdell, Fiona; University of Hull, Faculty of Health and Social Care Eady, E; Harrogate and District NHS Foundation Trust Layton, Alison; Harrogate and District NHS Foundation Trust Levell, Nick; Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Jones, Charlotte; Acne Priority Setting Partnership Lay Member Ridd, Matthew; University of Bristol, Centre for Academic Primary Care
Keywords:	medical consultations, acne, patient perspective, qualitative



This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Cowdell, F., Eady, E.A., Layton, A.M., Levell, N.J., Jones, C. and Ridd, M.J. (2016), Ineffective consultations for acne: what is important to patients?. Br J Dermatol, 175: 826–828, which has been published in final form at http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bjd.14689. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

BJD Acne PSP Ineffective Consultations 30.3.16

#### **RESEARCH LETTER - BJD**

#### **Authors:**

F. Cowdell<sup>1</sup>
E.A. Eady<sup>2</sup>
A.M. Layton<sup>2</sup>
N.J. Levell<sup>3</sup>
C. Jones<sup>4</sup>
M.J.Ridd<sup>5</sup>

## **Corresponding author:**

Dr Fiona Cowdell 204 Dearne Building, University of Hull, Hull, HU6 7RX, UK f.cowdell@hull.ac.uk 01482 463362

**Funding:** This work was supported by grants from the UK Dermatology Clinical Trials Network and the Society for Academic Primary Care. MR is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR Post Doctoral Fellowship, PDF-2014-07-013). The views expressed in this letter are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health.

**Conflicts of interest:** Anne Eady has undertaken consultancy work for Galderma and for GlaxoSmithKline and this year wrote an article for MIMS Dermatology for which she was paid a small sum.

**Acknowledgements:** Thanks to Jo Aspland and Professor Roger Watson for their help in preparing and reviewing this letter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Faculty of Health and Social Care, University of Hull, Hull, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Harrogate and District NHS Foundation Trust, Harrogate, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Acne Priority Setting Partnership Lay Member, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>School of Social & Community Medicine, University of Bristol, UK

BJD Acne PSP Ineffective Consultations 30.3.16

### **RESEARCH LETTER**

Ineffective consultations for acne: what is important to patients?

Dear Editor,

Acne is one of the top four skin conditions globally<sup>1</sup>. Skin conditions are one of the most frequent reasons for people seeking health care advice<sup>2</sup>, but there are no conclusive data on patterns of help-seeking behaviour in acne. International estimates suggest that 17-40% of people with acne consult either a General Practitioner (GP) or a dermatologist<sup>3,4</sup>.

The authors of this letter – as part of the Acne Priority Setting Partnership (PSP)<sup>5</sup> – constructed a survey, via SurveyMonkey<sup>™</sup>, to identify unanswered questions about acne treatment. The survey was distributed widely through public, professional, charitable and commercial organisations to people with acne, carers and professionals with an interest in the condition, with the intention of gaining a broad understanding of research priorities from several perspectives. The survey was open to anyone who chose to respond between 22<sup>nd</sup> May and 31<sup>st</sup> August 2013. A free text question regarding respondents' general acne experiences was embedded to gather qualitative data. Ethical approval was obtained from West of Scotland REC4 (reference number 13/WS/0015); NHS governance approval was obtained locally. Together, respondents identified a 'Top Ten' of research priorities for acne. One issue extensively represented in patient responses to the free text question statement "please write here anything else you'd like to tell us about your experience of acne treatments" was 'ineffective consultations' with both GPs and Dermatologists, which forms the focus of this letter.

We were interested in examining patients' perspectives on the factors that contribute to ineffective consultations. Although known to be a critical factor in treatment success, little is known about consultations in the context of acne care. Ineffective consultations can result in medication being used incorrectly, leading to poor clinical outcomes, increased health care costs, and unnecessary treatment<sup>6,7</sup>.

1456 people with acne responded to the PSP Survey of whom 178 submitted free text responses regarding their experiences. Age ranged from under 15 to 64 years; of these 9% were male, 16.3% were non-white, and 20.8% lived outside the UK. This is the first study to survey a broad range of people with acne, carers and practitioners to identify the 'Top Ten' acne research questions. It engaged young people who, although the group most likely to suffer with acne, are underrepresented in the literature. The data collection method using only free text narrative inevitably produced only thin data which lacks the richness and rigour that would typically be expected in a qualitative study The data collection method necessarily produced data less rich than would be expected in qualitative studies; nevertheless the experiences provide a valuable guide for professionals providing acne care. Thematic analysis using the six-stage Braun and Clarke technique generated the core category 'ineffective consultations' with two themes: i) 'not taking care of the emotional side'; and ii) 'hopelessness at getting a treatment that works'. The core category, themes and sub-themes are illustrated in Figure 1.

Theme 1, 'not taking care of the emotional side' of acne was further divided into two subthemes: 'ignoring the psychological and emotional impact of acne' and 'insensitive interactions'. Participants thought that their psychological and emotional well-being should be considered as part of any consultation. However, many reported that these issues were never explored. Most implied that the doctor should either take responsibility for this or should offer referral to a specialist counsellor or therapist. Some thought that it was the patients' responsibility to discuss emotional concerns but

BJD Acne PSP Ineffective Consultations 30.3.16

personal experience demonstrated limited success and it was common for doctors to be insensitive to these concerns.

Theme 2, 'hopelessness at getting a treatment that works', either from the NHS or other providers, was divided into the sub-themes: 'lack of information on using treatments effectively', 'absence of clear care pathways' and 'ignoring diet, laser and alternative treatments'. Prescriptions were often issued with little evidence of shared decision making and patients frequently did not know what they could expect from a particular treatment, how long it may take to be effective or potential side effects. Many participants were concerned about the seemingly 'random' approach to acne care in which there appeared to be no rationale for the treatments prescribed. The uncertainty and lack of a clear treatment plan appeared to add to participants disease related burden.

Overall, participants expressed a heartfelt dissatisfaction with acne management approaches taken by health professionals with acne consultations with healthcare professionals. In particular, GPs were perceived as lacking essential knowledge, and consultant dermatologists showed insufficient concern for the emotional impact of acne. Given the estimates of acne prevalence and help seeking behaviours, it may be assumed that those seeking medical advice are troubled by their condition, but many are receiving sub-optimal care. We acknowledge that those choosing to comment on consultations in this survey are more likely to report negative evaluations.

This study indicates the need for further qualitative investigation to examine the experience of acne consultations, both positive and negative, in more depth. Comparisons of patient experiences in appointments with GPs, dermatologists and other specialists would enhance understanding of patient need and current skills and educational needs of practitioners. Equally, there is a need to develop a clear, systematic guideline for acne treatment that is understood by both people with acne and practitioners, as are already in use with other conditions, such as asthma. Simple, time-and cost-neutral changes in practice could have a significant impact on patients' experiences of consultations, making them more equal partners in informed decision making and thus positively influence treatment outcomes.

- 1. Vos T, Flaxman A.D, Naghavi M et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990-2010: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2010. *Lancet;* **380**:2163-2196.
- 2. Schofield J, Grindlay D, Williams H. Skin Conditions in the UK: a Health Care Needs
  Assessment. Centre of Evidence Based Dermatology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham
- 3. Corey K. C, Cheng C. E, Irwin B. et al. Self-reported help-seeking behaviors and treatment choices of adolescents regarding acne. *Pediatr Dermatol* 2013; **30**:36-4
- 4. Suh D. H, Shin J. W, Min S.U. et al. Treatment-seeking behaviors and related epidemiological features in korean acne patients. *J Korean Med Sci.* 2008;23: 969-974.
- 5. Layton A.M, Eady E.A, Peat M. et al. Identifying acne treatment uncertainties via a James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership *BMJ Open* 2015;**5**:e008085 doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008085
- 6. Martin D.J, Garske J.P, Davis M.K. Relation of the therapeutic alliance with outcome and other variables: a meta-analytic review. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 2000;**68**:438-50.
- 7. Fuertes J. N, Mislowack A, Bennett J. et al. The physician–patient working alliance. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2007;**66**:29-36.
- 8. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol. 2006;3:77-101.