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Abstract—The information about a neural activity is encoded in a neural response and usually the underlying stimulus that triggers the activity is unknown. This paper presents a numerical solution to reconstruct stimuli from Hodgkin-Huxley neural responses while retrieving the neural dynamics. The stimulus is reconstructed by first retrieving the maximal conductances of the ion channels and then solving the Hodgkin-Huxley equations for the stimulus. The results show that the reconstructed stimulus is a good approximation of the original stimulus, while the retrieved the neural dynamics, which represent the voltage-dependent changes in the ion channels, help to understand the changes in neural biochemistry. As high non-linearity of neural dynamics renders analytical inversion of a neuron an arduous task, a numerical approach provides a local solution to the problem of stimulus reconstruction and neural dynamics retrieval.

Index Terms—stimulus reconstruction, Hodgkin-Huxley neuron, neural response inverse, neural dynamics retrieval.

I. INTRODUCTION

The relationship between a neural response and its stimulus has been studied over the recent years to understand the encoding and decoding mechanisms adopted by neurons. Not much is known about how neurons specifically encode and decode information. It is thought that either the firing time or the rate of fire of a neuron carries specific neural response information [1–3]. A parallel line of research exists which aims to reconstruct the stimulus from a neural response. A stimulus represents a trigger for a neural activity which underlines any neural response. The ability to reconstruct a stimulus hence offers to retrieve stimulus parameters that can help extend our understanding of neuronal encoding/decoding.

Previous work on input reconstruction has been carried out across many fields like digital filters, neural networks, algorithms and complexity, and digital signal processing [4–13]. Similar approach can be considered for stimulus reconstruction however, due to the high non-linearity of neural dynamics, it is very difficult to obtain an analytic solution. Periodic signals, unlike aperiodic signals, can be recovered using conventional filters [4]. Artificial neural networks are used to treat the Hodgkin-Huxley (HH) neuron as a black box and reconstruct the stimulus by learning the dynamics [5]. Other implementations use a reverse filter that predicts the sensory input from neuronal activity and recursive algorithms to reconstruct stimulus from an ensemble of neurons [6–7]. The principles of a Time Encoding and Decoding Machines for signal recovery have been explored to reconstruct a neural stimulus whereas, a more direct approach to recover stimulus focuses to make the HH neuron Input-Output (IO) equivalent to an Integrate and Fire (IF) neuron [8–13]. These approaches establish a relationship between the neural response and the stimulus but are not designed to capture or retrieve the neural dynamics. In other words, they offer some starting point for stimulus reconstruction but it is quite a challenge to analytically invert a neuron. However, it is possible to reconstruct stimuli from a neural response using numerical approximations and small time-steps for integration.

This paper aims to reconstruct constant-current and periodic stimuli by a) extracting the maximal conductances from a trace of neural response and b) solving the neural equations for the stimulus. To reconstruct the stimulus, it is imperative that linearization is carried out. This paper demonstrates the above approach using a Hodgkin-Huxley (HH) neuron [14] and Euler integration. The results show that for a small time-step $\delta$, the accuracy of extracted maximal conductances is very high. Also, the reconstructed stimulus matches the original stimulus accurately. As reconstruction of the stimulus involves solving the neural equations, this approach can replicate the neural dynamics, the time-dependent changes in the voltage-gated ionic channels of $\text{Na}^+$, $\text{K}^+$ and Cl$. This technique, though computationally demanding, offers a local solution to the problem of inverting a neural response.

II. NEURONAL MODEL AND SYNAPSE

A. The neuron model

The computational model and stimulus for an HH neuron is replicated from [15]. The differential equations of the model are the result of non-linear interactions between the membrane voltage $V$ and the gating variables $m$, $h$ and $n$ for $\text{Na}^+$, $\text{K}^+$ and $\text{Cl}^–$.

$$C_\text{m} \frac{dV}{dt} = -g_{\text{Na}}m^3h(V - V_{\text{Na}}) - g_K n^4(V - V_K) - g_L(V - V_L) + I_i$$

(1)
\[ \frac{dm}{dt} = -(\alpha_m + \beta_m)m + \alpha_m \]  
\[ \frac{dh}{dt} = -(\alpha_h + \beta_h)h + \alpha_h \]  
\[ \frac{dn}{dt} = -(\alpha_n + \beta_n)n + \alpha_n \]  
\[ \alpha_m = 0.1(V + 40)/(1 - e^{-(V+40)/10}) \]  
\[ \alpha_h = 0.07e^{-(V+65)/20} \]  
\[ \alpha_n = 0.01(V + 55)/(1 - e^{-(V+55)/10}) \]  
\[ \beta_m = 4e^{-(V+65)/18} \]  
\[ \beta_h = 1/[1 + e^{-(V+35)/10}] \]  
\[ \beta_n = 0.125e^{-(V+65)/80} \]  

The variable \( V \) is the resting potential of the membrane and \( V_{Na}, V_K \) and \( V_L \) are the reversal potentials of the \( Na^+ \), \( K^+ \) channels and leakage. The values of the reversal potentials \( V_{Na} = 50mV, V_K = -77mV, V_L = -54.5mV \). The conductances for the ionic channels are \( g_{Na} = 120mS/cm^2 \), \( g_K = 36mS/cm^2 \) and \( g_L = 0.3mS/cm^2 \). The capacitance of the membrane is \( C = 1\mu F/cm^2 \).

B. The synaptic current

An input spike train given by [16] is considered to generate the pulse component of the external current.

\[ U_i(t) = V_{syn} \sum (\delta(t-t_j)) \]  
\[ I_f (t_{f+i}) = I_f (t_{f+i} + T) \]  
\[ I_f (t_{f+i}) = 0 \]  

\( T \) represents the ISI of the input spike train and can be varied to generate a different pulse current. The spike train is injected through a synapse to give the pulse current \( I_P \).

\[ I_P = g_{syn} \sum (\alpha(t-t_f)(V_o - V_{syn})) \]  

\( g_{syn}, V_{syn} \) are the conductance and reversal potential of the synapse. [32] define the \( \alpha - function \) as

\[ \alpha(t) = (t/\tau)e^{-t/\tau} \Theta(t) \]  

where, \( \tau \) is the time constant of the synapse and \( \Theta(t) \) is the Heaviside step function. \( V_o = 30mV, \tau_{syn} = 2ms \), \( g_{syn} = 0.5mS/cm^2 \) and \( V_{syn} = -50mV \).

C. The total external current

The total external current applied to the neuron is a combination of static and pulse component

\[ I_i = I_s + I_P + \epsilon \]  

where, \( I_s \) is the static and \( I_P \) is the pulse current, \( \epsilon \) is the random Gaussian noise with zero mean and standard deviation \( \sigma = 0.025 \).

On injection of a periodic or sinusoidal stimulus the steady state response of a neuron is no longer preserved [17-25].

The self-excited oscillations of the HH neuron [14] may become chaotic when a sinusoidal stimulus is applied with proper choices of magnitude and frequency [20-21, 25-26]. Physiological experiments on squid giant axons [18-19] and Onchidium neurons [22] have confirmed the occurrence of chaotic oscillations. It is understood that distinct sinusoidal stimuli induce different chaotic oscillations which result in dissimilar neural responses [27-29].

III. STIMULUS RECONSTRUCTION

Let \( V(t) \) be the neural response of the HH neuron to a synaptic stimulus \( I(t) \) and ionic conductances \( g_{Na}, g_K \) and \( g_L \). Assuming that \( I(t) \) is unknown and only the neural response and the reversal potentials are known, the aim is to reconstruct the stimulus \( I'(t) \) such that \( I(t) \) and \( I'(t) \) are identical. Therefore the target is to retrieve \( g_{Na}', g_K' \) and \( g_L' \) and get \( I'(t) \) without any information of \( I(t) \).

A. Extracting Maximal Conductances

Equations (1-3) show that the gating variables \( m, n \) and \( h \) only depend on the instantaneous voltage at time \( t \). The instantaneous voltage at time \( t \) is given by

\[ v(t) = v(0) + \frac{1}{C} \int_0^t \left[ I(t')dt' - g_{Na}'(m(t')h(t') - (v(t') - V_{Na}'))dt' - g_K'(n(t') - (v(t') - V_K'))dt' - g_L'((v(t') - V_L'))dt' \right] \]  

To retrieve the three ionic conductances, linear equations in three unknowns need to be solved. The formulation of the equations is proposed as an algorithm in [30]. Given a small voltage trace \( v(t) \), select three times \( t_i, i = 1, 2, 3 \). As the voltage trace \( v(t) \) is known over all time, \( v(t_i) \) is known for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \).

Let functions \( f_j(t), j = 1, 2, 3 \) be defined as

\[ f_1(t) = -\frac{1}{C} \int_0^t m^3(t')h(t') - (v(t') - V_{Na}'))dt' \]  
\[ f_2(t) = -\frac{1}{C} \int_0^t n^4(t') - (v(t') - V_K'))dt' \]  
\[ f_3(t) = -\frac{1}{C} \int_0^t (v(t') - V_L'))dt' \]  

and \( b(t) \) be defined as

\[ b(t) = v(t) - v(0) - \int_0^t I(t')dt' \]  

Hence,

\[ b(t) = g_{Na}'f_1(t) + g_K'f_2(t) + g_L'f_3(t) \]

If \( b(t) \) is known for all values of \( t \), for a voltage trace \( v(t) \) and external stimulus \( I(t) \), approximations to the gating variables, \( m, n \) and \( h \) are obtained by integrating the HH equations. If \( m', n' \) and \( h' \) are the gating-variables’ estimates and \( f_j'(t) \) is the resultant approximation of \( f_j(t) \), then the retrieving maximal conductances can be defined as a solution
to the linear system

\[
b(t_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} f'(t_i)x_j, \quad i = 1...N
\] (14)

This is an overdetermined system of linear equations in the form \(Ax = b\). An approximate solution can be obtained by using the full set of data generated during the integration of the HH equations and treating (14) as a linear least squares problem.

Hence, the best fit solution in the linear least squares sense is obtained by solving

\[
\min_x \left\| A^\epsilon x - b \right\|^2
\] (15)

If \( A^\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 3} \) is the matrix whose entries are \( a_{ij}^\epsilon = f'(t_i), i = 1...N \) and \( b \in \mathbb{R}^N \),

\[
\min_x \left\| A^\epsilon x - b \right\|^2
\] (16)

As the equations \(Ax = b\) are linear in \(x\), a solution is obtainable.

B. Reconstructing the stimulus

The approach defined above requires the knowledge of both the voltage \(v(t)\) and the external stimulus \(I(t)\), for all times \(t\). In principle, it is unrealistic to know the stimulus for all times \(t\) and in majority cases, the stimulus \(I(t)\) remains unknown. Therefore, retrieving the maximal conductances using the equations (11-16) is specific when all parameters are known.

However, it is possible to reconstruct the stimulus entirely without the knowledge of corresponding \(I(t)\) for a neural response \(V(t)\). As the type of the neuron and the reversal potential for \(Na^+, K^+\) and \(Cl^-\) is known, we propose that the neural stimulus can be reconstructed without the knowledge of the original stimulus \(I(t)\).

1. Record any neural response \(V(t)\) whose stimulus, say \(I(t)\), requires to be reconstructed
2. Inject a supra-threshold stimulus, \(I_S(t_x)\) for a small time duration \(t_x\)
3. Record the corresponding voltage trace generated, \(v_x(t_x)\)
4. Retrieve the maximal conductances using equations (11-16) and \(I_x(t_x)\) as the external stimulus
5. Using the approximated maximal conductances, \(g'_{Na}, g'_{K}\) and \(g'_{L}\), solve the HH equations using the recorded neural response \(V(t)\) and the stimulus as the only unknown to get the reconstructed stimulus \(I'(t)\)

The HH equations can be re-written as

\[
I'(t) = g'_{Na} m'(t) h'(t)(v(t) - V_{Na}) + g'_{K} n'(t)(v(t) - V_K) + g'_{L} (v(t) - V_L) + \frac{dv}{dt}
\] (17)

where, \(g'_{Na}, g'_{K}\) and \(g'_{L}\) are the approximated maximal conductances calculated from \(v_x(t_x)\) and \(m', n'\) and \(h'\) are the estimates of the gating variables \(m, n\) and \(h\) respectively.

As \(V(t)\) is known for all times \(t\), the rate of change of voltage \(\frac{dv}{dt}\) can be numerically approximated.

\[
\frac{dm'}{dt} = -(\alpha_m + \beta_m) m' + \alpha_m
\]

\[
\frac{dh'}{dt} = -(\alpha_h + \beta_h) h' + \alpha_h
\]

\[
\frac{dn'}{dt} = -(\alpha_n + \beta_n) n' + \alpha_n
\]

This approach provides a local solution to reconstructing the neural stimulus of a HH neuron and also approximates the gating variables. In addition to the retrieval of stimulus parameters, it also estimates the neural dynamics which are important represent the open-close mechanism of ionic gates.

IV. COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

A. Generating a Voltage Trace

Let \(I_x\) be a small supra-threshold step current that evokes an action potential. The resultant voltage trace \(v_x\) is sufficient to retrieve the maximal conductance values.

![Fig.1: The voltage trace \(v_x\) generated by a small step-current \(I_x\). This small trace of neural voltage is sufficient to retrieve the maximal conductances.](image)

B. Retrieving Maximal Conductances

Given the voltage trace \(v_x\) and the corresponding external stimulus \(I_x\), near approximation of the maximal conductance values can be obtained using equations (11-16). Let \(\delta\) be the time-step of the Euler integration. It is observed that the accuracy of the approximated conductances is dependent on \(\delta\). Accuracy increases if \(\delta\) chosen is close to 0. These approximated conductances are consistent with the observations of [30]. As (15) is an overdetermined system of linear equations, an exact solution cannot be obtained for all values of \(\delta\).
Table 1: Retrieved maximal conductance values for various values of $\delta$. The conductances are highly accurate as $\delta$ becomes close to 0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original/Retrieved $\rightarrow$</th>
<th>$\delta = 0.01$</th>
<th>$\delta = 0.001$</th>
<th>$\delta = 0.0001$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$g_{Na}$ = 120</td>
<td>$g'_{Na}$ = 120.49</td>
<td>$g'_{Na}$ = 120.05</td>
<td>$g'_{Na}$ = 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{K}$ = 36</td>
<td>$g'_{K}$ = 36</td>
<td>$g'_{K}$ = 36</td>
<td>$g'_{K}$ = 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{L}$ = 0.30</td>
<td>$g'_{L}$ = 0.33</td>
<td>$g'_{L}$ = 0.30</td>
<td>$g'_{L}$ = 0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The relative error of the approximations decreases as $\delta$ becomes close to 0.

Table 2: The relative error $\varepsilon$ decreases as $\delta$ becomes close to 0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>Relative error ($\varepsilon$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.00038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The voltage traces reconstructed from the approximated conductances are shown in fig. 2. The estimated maximal conductance values produce a good fit to the original trace $v_s$.

C. Stimulus Reconstruction

The retrieval of maximal conductance values such that a good fit of the original voltage trace is produced indicates that the approximations are nearly accurate. Using equations (17-19), a linearised reconstruction of a stimulus can be obtained.

1) Constant-Current Stimulus

Let the HH neuron be stimulated by an unknown step-current $I_{step}$ such that it evokes a series of action potentials $V_{step}$. The maximal conductances are approximated in Table 1. The reconstructed stimulus is shown in fig. 3.

Fig.3: The reconstructed stimulus is good fit to the original stimulus. The original stimulus is very well approximated if chosen $\delta$ is close to 0.

Fig.2: The reconstructed voltage trace using the approximated maximal conductance values for different time-steps $\delta$. As $\delta$ becomes close to $0$, the approximations approach the actual conductance values. For $\delta = 0.0001$, the approximated conductance values are equal to the original values. Hence the trace generated by $\delta = 0.0001$ overlaps with the original trace $v_s$.

2) Periodic Stimulus

If the HH neuron is stimulated by an unknown periodic stimulus $I_{periodic}$, the resultant neural response is $V_{periodic}$. Generating a trace voltage to retrieve the maximal conductance values, the unknown stimulus can be reconstructed using (17-19).

Fig.5: The reconstructed periodic stimulus for $\delta$ close to 0. For $\delta = 0.0001$, the reconstructed stimulus is a near-fit of the original stimulus.

Results show that if the time-step of Euler integration is sufficiently small i.e. $\delta \sim 0.0001$, the maximal conductances can be accurately retrieved. The stimulus reconstructed using these maximal conductance values, is a near approximation of the original unknown stimulus.
The neural dynamics of the HH neuron have been the subject of research for many years now. The dynamics put forth by Hodgkin and Huxley have been well studied and replicated by many researchers. In much the same way, inverting the HH neural equations has attracted interest in recent years. The equations of the HH neuron are highly non-linear due to the incorporation of probability of the gating variables $m$, $n$ and $h$ which regulate the open-close mechanism of ionic channels.

Previous research has addressed the problem of inverting this non-linear neuron by using digital filters, neural networks, algorithms and complexity, and digital signal processing. Other approaches point to the use of reconstruction algorithms, time encoding/decoding machines or an IF neuron. These approaches establish a relationship between the neural response and the stimulus but they are not designed to capture or retrieve the neural dynamics.

The approach described in this paper provides a numerical solution to reconstruct an unknown neural stimulus. An unknown stimulus can be numerically reconstructed by:

1. Recording any neural response $V(t)$ whose stimulus, say $I(t)$, requires to be reconstructed.
2. Injecting a supra-threshold stimulus, $I_s(t_v)$ for a small time duration $t_v$.
3. Recording the corresponding voltage trace generated, $V_s(t_v)$.
4. Retrieving the maximal conductances using equations (11-16) and $I_s(t_v)$ as the external stimulus.
5. Using the approximated maximal conductances, $g'_{Na}$, $g'_{K}$ and $g'_{L}$, solve the HH equations using the recorded neural response $V(t)$ and the stimulus as the only unknown to get the reconstructed stimulus $I'(t)$.

It is observed that the accuracy of maximal conductances retrieved by solving an overdetermined system of linear equations depends on the time-step ($\delta$) of Euler integration. A small value of $\delta \sim 0.0001$ can reproduce almost exact maximal conductances. Accurate maximal conductance values help reconstruct a near-fit approximation of the original stimulus. Due to the nature of numerical approximation and the inherent non-linearity in the neural dynamics, the reconstructed stimulus shows some jitters. Also, it is noticed that if the original stimulus carries any noise, an exact match of the stimulus cannot be reconstructed. However, the reconstructed stimulus still matches the original stimulus to a high degree of accuracy. The choice of $\delta$ is very important and there is a trade-off between computational time and accuracy. The accuracy increases with a decrease in $\delta$.

The approach described in this paper can reconstruct very good approximations of the original stimuli. The results show that the unknown periodic and constant current stimuli are well approximated by this reconstruction method. It is also worth mentioning that although establishing an IO relationship can provide some information of the stimulus parameters, the current approach can accurately reconstruct the neural dynamics in addition to an unknown stimulus.
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