In-situ synchrotron X-ray radiography observation of primary Al$_2$Cu intermetallic growth on fragments of aluminium oxide film
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1. Introduction

Impurity particles, particularly oxide inclusions, existing in the melt of many metals and alloys, have long been considered as potential heterogeneous nucleation sites for the primary phases during solidification [1,2]. Furthermore, it has been suggested that enhancing the heterogeneous nucleation of primary phase on oxide particles by various means such as ultrasonic melt processing [3,4] and intensive melt shearing [5,6] during solidification can promote refinement of primary phase, leading to beneficial microstructure changes. Using dedicated solidification experiments, it has been demonstrated that aluminium and magnesium oxide particles are indeed potent heterogeneous nucleation substrates for Al and Mg grains, respectively [5–7]. In addition, aluminium oxide particles have been observed at or near the centre of primary Al$_3$Ti and Al$_3$Zr intermetallic particles in Al alloys [8–10]. Similarly, magnesium oxide particles have been observed at the centre of primary Al$_3$Mn$_5$ intermetallic particles in Mg alloys [8]. Although these results seem quite convincing, they were all obtained from microstructural analysis on samples after solidification and therefore represent indirect evidence. As a result, direct evidence to conclusively substantiate the nucleation of a primary phase on oxide particles during solidification has always been desirable, yet very challenging to obtain.

Using synchrotron X-ray radiography [11–16] during ultrasonic melt processing (USP) of Al alloys, we for the first time captured in situ and in real time the distinct primary Al$_2$Cu intermetallic growth on fragments of indigenous aluminium oxide film in a hypereutectic Al-35% Cu alloy with sufficient spatial and temporal resolution. The composition throughout the paper is in weight percentage unless otherwise stated.

2. Experimental setup and methods

The synchrotron experiments were carried out at the Pression Structure Imagerie par Contraste à Haute Énergie (PSICHÉ) beamline of Synchrotron SOLEIL, France [17]. A schematic of the experimental setup at the beamline is shown in Fig. 1. The hypereutectic Al-35% Cu alloy was first melted in a custom-made quartz crucible inside a two-zone furnace. The temperatures of top and bottom halves were set as 630 °C and 600 °C respectively. The temperature of 600 °C for the bottom half was chosen to ensure that the alloy...
was fully molten because the liquidus temperature of Al-35% Cu alloy is 554 °C. Furthermore, the temperature of 630 °C for the top half was chosen to establish a positive thermal gradient (≈1 K/mm) in the melt. This positive thermal gradient was needed to force the primary Al2Cu intermetallic to grow from bottom to top in order to facilitate the insertion of the sonotrode. The custom-made quartz crucible was a 10 mm inner diameter tube, flattened in the middle to a 300 μm thickness as shown in the inset of Fig. 1. After both halves of the furnace reached their target temperatures, they were held at these temperatures for ≈5 min to ensure the alloy was fully molten and also to allow sufficient aluminium oxide film growth on the melt surface. Following that, the solidification process was started by setting both the top and bottom furnace halves to a cooling rate of ≈2 K/min. The growth of primary Al2Cu intermetallic was recorded using a Vision Research Miro 310 M high speed camera attached to the X-ray imaging optics (5× magnification) at the beamline. The size of the field of view was 5120 × 2624 μm² and the nominal pixel resolution was 4 μm/pixel. When the primary Al2Cu intermetallic growth front appeared at the bottom of the imaging field of view, a niobium sonotrode (1 mm in diameter) driven by a Hielscher UP100H ultrasonic transducer was inserted into the melt from the top to apply USP, and hence to introduce oxide particles from the surface oxide film. The ultrasonic transducer power was 100 W with a frequency of 30 kHz and the USP duration was around 5 s. After the ultrasonic transducer was switched off and the sonotrode was lifted out of the melt, the subsequent solidification of primary Al2Cu intermetallic was recorded at 1 frame per second (fps). A detailed experimental procedure can be found elsewhere [13,18].

3. Results and discussion

An image sequence extracted from a radiography video recording the typical primary Al2Cu intermetallic growth on the fragments of oxide film is shown in Fig. 2. The corresponding video is supplied in the online version of this publication. We recommend viewing the video because the moving images clearly show the details described in the text. Radiography recording was started at the moment when the sonotrode was lifted out of the melt so that no forced convection is present. The first frame of the recording is defined as time t = 0 s. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the dark phase is the primary Al2Cu intermetallic and the grey matrix is the alloy melt. The light grey particles marked by numbers are fragments of aluminium oxide film. In total, 10 fragments of aluminium oxide film were successfully introduced to the melt by USP in this experiment and they remained rather stationary in the field of view which facilitated observation of their effect on intermetallic growth. The identification of those fragments as aluminium oxide film was based on their X-ray attenuation compared to that of the melt and the analysis is detailed in the Supplementary Appendix due to the page limit.

As solidification proceeded, slight growth of the primary Al2Cu intermetallic was noticed on the No. 1 and No. 2 fragments of oxide film at the bottom left corner in Fig. 2(b). Following that, distinct growth of the primary Al2Cu intermetallic on the fragments of oxide film (No. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9) was manifested in Fig. 2(c) and (d). An enlarged image of the intermetallic growth on the fragments of oxide film No. 5 and No. 6 is shown in Fig. 2(f) for better clarity. As the growth on these fragments of oxide film continued, the primary Al2Cu intermetallic particles finally impinged on each other as shown in Fig. 2(e), leaving no space for further growth. The average intermetallic length is measured

---

**Fig. 1.** A schematic of the experimental setup at the PSICHÉ beamline of SOLEIL.

**Fig. 2.** Images of the primary Al2Cu intermetallic growth on oxide particles from (a) to (e); The field of view is 5120 × 2624 μm² and images were recorded at 1 fps. The t = 0 s frame was set as the frame when the sonotrode was switched off and removed from the melt; (f) An enlarged image of intermetallic growth on oxide particles No. 5 and No. 6 in (d); (g) An enlarged image of intermetallic growth on oxide particle No. 7 in (d).
as $320 \pm 20 \, \mu m$. Note that the intermetallic growth on the fragments of oxide film is essentially in three dimensions as can be observed on oxide particle No. 7 as shown in Fig. 2(g). It is important to point out that a showering of equiaxed primary $\text{Al}_2\text{Cu}$ intermetallics followed by further equiaxed growth was observed at the top left corner of the field of view as highlighted by a dashed ellipse in Fig. 2(d), and (e). This is a result of prior fragmentation of growing $\text{Al}_2\text{Cu}$ intermetallic particles by ultrasonic processing and is discussed in detail elsewhere [18].

For comparison, the typical primary $\text{Al}_2\text{Cu}$ intermetallic growth without prior USP and without fragments of oxide film in the melt is illustrated in Fig. 3. Again, a corresponding Supplementary Video (Supplementary Video 2) is supplied in the online version. The frame before the appearance of primary $\text{Al}_2\text{Cu}$ intermetallic in the field of view was set as $t = 0 \, s$. As we can see, without the presence of oxide particles in the melt, the primary $\text{Al}_2\text{Cu}$ intermetallic grew in the elongated needle-shape, reaching more than $2624 \pm 5 \, \mu m$ in length.

A comparison between Figs. 2(e) and 3(f) shows significant refinement of primary $\text{Al}_2\text{Cu}$ intermetallic from more than $2600 \, \mu m$ in length to approximately $320 \, \mu m$. This substantiates that enhancing heterogeneous nucleation of primary phase on fragments of oxide film can promote microstructural refinement.

4. Conclusion

In summary, the growth of primary $\text{Al}_2\text{Cu}$ intermetallic on fragments of oxide film in a hypereutectic Al-35% Cu alloy was clearly observed in-situ and in real time. This direct observation provides conclusive evidence for the longstanding argument that oxide particles in a real metallic alloy melt can act as nucleation substrates for primary phases during solidification. In addition, the significant refinement of primary $\text{Al}_2\text{Cu}$ intermetallics observed with the presence of oxide particles as compared with those without oxide particles in the melt unambiguously confirms that enhanced heterogeneous nucleation of primary phases on oxide particles can promote structure refinement as has been presumed before based on indirect evidence [3–9].
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