

1 **Microbial host interactions and impaired wound healing in mice and humans: defining**
2 **a role for BD14 and NOD2**

3 Helen Williams¹, Laura Campbell¹, Rachel A. Crompton¹, Gurdeep Singh¹, Brian J.
4 McHugh², Donald D Davidson², Andrew J. McBain³, Sheena M. Cruickshank^{1*} and
5 Matthew J. Hardman.^{1,4*}

6
7 ¹ Division of Infection, Immunity & Respiratory Medicine, School of Biological Sciences,
8 Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, ²Medical Research Council Centre for
9 Inflammation Research at the University of Edinburgh, ³Division of Pharmacy and
10 Optometry, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The
11 University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PT, United Kingdom. ⁴Current address: School
12 of Life Sciences, University of Hull, Cottingham Road, Hull, HU6 7RX, United Kingdom.

13
14 * Authors contributed equally to the study

15 The work was performed in Manchester, United Kingdom

16
17 **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:** Professor Sheena M. Cruickshank, The University of
18 Manchester, AV Hill Building, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PT,
19 sheena.cruickshank@manchester.ac.uk, Phone +44 (0)161 275 1578

20
21 **SHORT TITLE: β -defensin 14 and cutaneous wound healing in mice and humans.**

22 **ABBREVIATIONS USED:** AMP, anti-microbial peptide; DFU, diabetic foot ulcer; DGGE,
23 density gradient gel electrophoresis; hBD, human β -defensin, mBD, murine β -defensin;
24 MDP, muramyl dipeptide; NOD2, nucleotide-binding oligomerisation domain-containing
25 protein 2; PRR, pattern recognition receptor; TLR, Toll-like receptor.

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 Chronic wounds cause significant patient morbidity and mortality. A key factor in their
3 etiology is microbial infection, yet skin host-microbiota interactions during wound repair
4 remain poorly understood. We investigated microbiome profiles of non-infected human
5 chronic wounds and showed that reduced diversity was associated with subsequent healing
6 outcome. Furthermore, poor clinical healing outcome was associated with increased local
7 expression of the pattern recognition receptor *NOD2*. To investigate *NOD2* function in the
8 context of cutaneous healing, we treated mice with the *NOD2* ligand muramyl dipeptide
9 (MDP) and analyzed wound repair parameters and expression of anti-microbial peptides.
10 MDP treatment of littermate controls significantly delayed wound repair associated with
11 reduced re-epithelialization, heightened inflammation and upregulation of murine β -
12 *Defensins* (*mBD*) 1, 3 and particularly 14. We postulated that although BD14 might impact
13 on local skin microbial communities it may further impact other healing parameters. Indeed,
14 exogenously administered mBD14 directly delayed mouse primary keratinocyte scratch
15 wound closure *in vitro*. To further explore the role of mBD14 in wound repair, we employed
16 *Defb14*^{-/-} mice, and showed they had a global delay in healing *in vivo*, associated with
17 alterations in wound microbiota. Taken together these studies suggest a key role for *NOD2*-
18 mediated regulation of local skin microbiota which in turn impacts on chronic wound
19 etiology.

20

21

22

23

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Chronic wounds, which include pressure sores, venous and diabetic foot ulcers (DFUs), are a
3 global problem leading to substantial morbidity and mortality (Gottrup, 2004). Following
4 injury, skin-resident microbiota and pathogenic species may colonise the wound and
5 proliferate (Eming et al., 2014). Hence understanding the role of bacteria, both pathogenic
6 and commensal, in the context of skin wounding is important yet comparatively little research
7 attention has focused on this area (Loesche et al., 2017, Misic et al., 2014).

8
9 Poor progression of chronic wounds is often associated with infection and the presence of
10 recalcitrant microbial biofilms comprising *Staphylococcus*, *Pseudomonas* and
11 *Corynebacterium* and a variety of other organisms (Attinger and Wolcott, 2012, James et al.,
12 2008, Mancl et al., 2013, Rhoads et al., 2012). The innate immune system detects infection
13 and injury via pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), such as the Nod-like receptors. PRRs
14 respond to highly conserved microbial structures- pathogen-associated molecular patterns
15 that can trigger inflammatory and defense responses such as keratinocyte-mediated
16 production of anti-microbial peptides (AMPs). AMPs provide rapid and efficient anti-
17 microbial activity against a wide range of pathogens (Dutta and Das, 2016, Harder et al.,
18 2013). The skin has many AMPs including Cathelicidins, β -defensins, S100A15, RNase-7
19 and Histones (Buchau et al., 2007, Dorschner et al., 2001, Gallo and Hooper, 2012,
20 Halverson et al., 2015, Simanski et al., 2010, Sorensen et al., 2006, Yang et al., 2017) and
21 induces members of the β -defensin family under conditions of inflammation, infection and
22 wound healing (Mangoni et al., 2016, Schneider et al., 2005) .

23
24 Several pivotal studies have provided insight into the host response during cutaneous wound
25 repair (Campbell et al., 2013, Grice et al., 2010) yet relatively little is known about the skin

1 microbiota and whether they have detrimental or beneficial impacts on repair. Here, we
2 demonstrate an association between the bacterial profile of non-infected human DFUs and
3 healing outcome, correlating with upregulated expression of the PRR *NOD2*. Using both
4 *NOD2* stimulated and *Defb14* null murine models we reveal new insights into the role of the
5 innate defense response in controlling the skin microbiota during wound repair.

6 7 **RESULTS**

8 **Human chronic wound microbiome is linked to healing outcome**

9 Patients were recruited with chronic non-infected DFUs (Grade A1/B1, no infection or
10 ischemia at the time of presentation). Total eubacterial diversity was profiled using 16S PCR-
11 Density Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (16S PCR-DGGE) on DFU punch biopsy tissue
12 collected at clinical presentation (week 0). Patients were then separated into two groups
13 according to their time to heal over a period of 12 weeks; DFU healed ≤ 7 weeks ($n = 10$)
14 versus non-healed ≥ 12 weeks ($n = 9$). Eubacterial DNA profiles (UPGMA dendrogram) at
15 presentation (week 0) showed clear segregation between wounds that would heal versus those
16 that would not (Figure 1a; wound closure at ≤ 7 weeks (green) versus ≥ 12 weeks (purple), $n =$
17 19). 16S rRNA Illumina high-throughput sequencing of a further set of DFU samples ($n =$
18 25) and non-metric multi-dimensional analysis (NMDS) showed no clear separation between
19 the microbial profiles of the healed compared to the non-healed wounds (Figure 1b);
20 however, non-healing wounds were associated with significantly reduced overall phylum
21 diversity (Figure 1c). Phylum level relative abundance was consistent between healed and
22 non-healed wounds (Fig 1d); however, interestingly genus level taxonomic classification of
23 the wound microbiome revealed a significantly altered microbial community in healed versus
24 non-healed wounds, including relative abundance variation within common skin-associated
25 taxa such as *Staphylococcus* (23% in healed wounds versus 19% in non-healing wounds),

1 *Anaerococcus* (3% in healed wounds versus 10% in non-healing wounds) and *Coprococcus*
2 (classified in other genera category, Figure 1e ($P < 0.05$)). The taxonomic information for all
3 mapped reads at the genus level can be found in the supplementary material (Table S2).
4 Finally, the overall presence of bacteria in wounds was assessed by direct Gram stain of DFU
5 biopsy tissue which revealed no significant difference in bacterial numbers between the
6 groups (Figure 1f-g). Collectively this data suggests that bacterial community diversity rather
7 than overall bacterial burden **correlates with** DFU healing outcome.

8

9 **NOD2 is upregulated in human chronic wounds that fail to heal**

10 We next assessed whether PRR expression was altered as PRRs have been implicated in the
11 skin microbiome regulation (Campbell et al., 2013, Dasu et al., 2010, Lai et al., 2009, Lin et
12 al., 2012). Several TLRs trended towards increased expression in non-healing wounds
13 (Figure 2a-e) but only the intracellular PRR *NOD2* was significantly increased ($P < 0.05$,
14 Figure 2f). *NOD2* is implicated in barrier function, epithelial turnover and repair
15 (Cruikshank et al., 2008) therefore we investigated *NOD2* function in keratinocytes.
16 Keratinocyte scratch wound closure was significantly reduced following treatment with the
17 *NOD2* ligand, MDP ($P < 0.05$, Figure 2g-h). **Scratch closure was also inhibited by a range of**
18 **TLR ligands (Figure S1a); however, TLR2 ligands did not affect closure. The addition of**
19 **mitomycin C to inhibit proliferation (Figure 2h) showed no difference in migration between**
20 **MDP treatment and control, implicating *NOD2* signalling in the proliferative component of**
21 **scratch wound closure.** qPCR confirmed that MDP treatment significantly increased
22 keratinocyte mRNA expression of *NOD2* ($P < 0.05$, Figure 2i).

23

24 **Experimental stimulation of the *NOD2* pathway delays cutaneous wound healing**

1 We next investigated the impact of NOD2 activation using C57BL/6 mice subcutaneously
2 injected with MDP or vehicle control, prior to incisional wounding. MDP treatment
3 upregulated *Nod2* mRNA in the wound (Figure S1b) and showed a trend for upregulation of
4 the *Nod2* associated downstream signalling molecules *Rip2* but not *Tak1*, (Figure S1c-d).
5 MDP treatment significantly delayed wound closure (Figure 3a) demonstrated by increased
6 histological wound area ($P<0.001$, Figure 3b) and reduced re-epithelialization ($P<0.01$,
7 Figure 3c). MDP-treated wounds had increased local wound recruitment of both neutrophils
8 ($P<0.001$) and macrophages ($P<0.01$, Figure 3d-f) and we observed an extended keratinocyte
9 activation response (extension of keratin 6 staining from the wound edge compared to
10 control; $P<0.01$, Figure 3g-h). **In line with these results, Ki67 staining in MDP treated**
11 **wounds, demonstrated significantly increased wound edge proliferation in MDP-treated**
12 **wounds (Figure 3i-j).** Collectively, these results demonstrate that MDP-mediated activation
13 of NOD2 significantly delays repair.

14

15 **NOD2 stimulation induces an anti-microbial response in cutaneous wound healing**

16 NOD2 has a known role in gut and lung epithelial AMP production specifically defensins
17 (Rohrl et al., 2008, Tan et al., 2015). MDP treated wounds had significantly upregulated
18 levels of *mBD3* ($P<0.05$) and *mBD14* ($P<0.05$) mRNA compared to control wounds (Figure
19 4a). Similarly, *in vitro*, MDP stimulated NHEKs significantly induced *hBD1*, *hBD2* (the
20 human orthologue to *mBD3*) and particularly *hBD3* (the human orthologue to *mBD14*;
21 $P<0.05$, Figure 4b). We further explored the effect of *mBD14* on wound healing, focusing on
22 the keratinocyte response. We used a *mBD14* peptide (Reynolds et al., 2010), which we
23 confirmed as biologically active as it inhibited *P. aeruginosa* growth (Figure S2a) and
24 **scratch-wounded primary mouse keratinocyte monolayers were treated with 1, 10 or 25**
25 **$\mu\text{g/ml}$ of *mBD14* peptide.** Keratinocyte migration was significantly decreased in a dose-

1 dependent manner ($P < 0.01$, Figure 4c-d). Importantly, cell viability was unaffected by the
2 peptide as determined by examination of morphological features, suggesting that mBD14
3 directly influences epidermal migration. The sequence homology between mBD14 and hBD3
4 is approximately 69% (Hinrichsen et al., 2008, Rohrlet al., 2008), therefore we tested mBD14
5 peptide on human keratinocytes with similar results (Figure S2b). We also investigated the
6 impact of hBD3 on keratinocyte function using hBD3 transfected cells; however, we saw no
7 effect on keratinocyte scratch closure (Figure S2c).

8

9 ***β-defensin 14* null mice had delayed wound healing**

10 To further clarify the role of mBD14 we investigated excisional wound healing in mice that
11 lack BD14 (*Defb14*^{-/-}) and WT littermate controls. Histological analysis revealed delayed in
12 wound repair in *Defb14*^{-/-} mice (Figure 5a), with significantly increased wound area ($P < 0.01$,
13 Figure 5b) and delayed re-epithelialization ($P < 0.05$, Figure 5c) at 3 days post-wounding.
14 There was a significant reduction in neo-epidermal area although no difference in the
15 distance contribution of keratin 6 expressing cells ($P < 0.05$, Figure 5d-f). *Defb14*^{-/-} wounds
16 had an extended epidermal proliferative response compared to control, with increased
17 numbers of Ki67 expressing basal keratinocytes at the peri-wound edge ($P < 0.05$, Figure 5g-
18 h). Examination of the immune cells revealed no change in wound neutrophils (Figure 5i),
19 but significantly increased macrophages suggesting altered immune response dynamics
20 ($P < 0.01$; Figure 5j). *Defb14*^{-/-} wounds had increased wound granulation tissue iNOS⁺ cells
21 (associated with classically activated macrophages) at 3 days post-wounding ($P < 0.01$, Figure
22 5k), and a concomitant trend towards a decrease in Arg1⁺ cells (expressed by alternatively
23 activated macrophages) compared to controls (Figure 5l). Collectively, these data suggest an
24 altered epidermal response and a highly pro-inflammatory local wound environment in the
25 absence of *β-defensin 14*.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

***β-defensin 14* null mice have an altered wound bacterial profile**

Chronic wounds had altered communities of bacteria compared with wounds that healed well and we had shown that mBD14 peptide inhibited the growth of *P. aeruginosa* (Figure S2a) therefore, we assessed bacterial abundance in *Defb14*^{-/-} mice. Total eubacterial abundance was significantly increased in *Defb14*^{-/-} mice compared to controls as revealed by Gram-staining ($P<0.01$, Figure 6a-b) and 16S qPCR ($P<0.05$, Figure 6c). qPCR analysis of common skin bacterial species revealed increased levels of *P. aeruginosa* ($P<0.01$) as well as *P. acnes* ($P<0.05$, Figure 6d-g) implicating BD14 in a bacterial dysbiosis that is detrimental to healing.

DISCUSSION

Human skin is colonized by a diverse array of bacteria and microbes that generally live in harmony with the host, yet overgrowth of commensal species or pathogen infection can negatively impact healing (Grice and Segre, 2012a, 2012b). While the precise relationship between the microbes and healing remains unclear, diabetic wounds are thought to be colonized by distinct microbiota compared to normally healing wounds including increased *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Grice et al., 2010, Hinojosa et al., 2016, Price et al., 2011). However, not all wounds fail to heal and it remains unclear whether an altered skin microbiota is associated with a predisposition to delayed healing. The data presented here suggest that in the absence of clinical infection, microbiome profiles (and associated host response) play an important role in determining subsequent healing outcome. Thus, bacteria present on our skin prior to injury could dictate how we heal.

1 In DFU patients, rather than the more "common" wound pathogens, we observed changes in
2 genera abundance such as *Corynebacterium*, *Enterococcaceae*, and *Helcococcus* associated
3 with non-healing. We assessed the DFU microbiome at time of clinical presentation before
4 the outcome of healing was known. Previous and complimentary longitudinal analysis of
5 DFU-associated bacteria have linked poor healing to a more stable microbiome, whereas
6 wounds that healed well had a more dynamic microbiome that transitioned between
7 community types (Loesche et al., 2017). Similarly, our findings implicate a less diverse
8 microbiome at the initiation of healing, which may in turn impact upon the subsequent
9 dynamics of the microbiome during healing. It remains unclear whether such observations
10 will be broadly applicable to other wound types such as venous leg ulcers, decubitus ulcers
11 and wounds that fail to heal by secondary intention. Studies do, however, suggest that neither
12 patient demographics nor wound type exert major influence on the bacterial composition of
13 the chronic wound microbiome (Wolcott et al., 2016).

14
15 Several previous studies have shown that TLRs are differentially regulated when comparing
16 acute wounds to chronic wounds, while a number of PRRs, such as TLR3, are important for
17 wound chronicity (Campbell et al., 2013, Dasu et al., 2010, Lai et al., 2009, Lin et al., 2012).
18 By contrast, our study tested PRR levels in longitudinally evaluated healing versus non-
19 healing chronic wounds. In this context, the only PRR to show statistically significant
20 alteration was NOD2. As the expression of NOD2 can be upregulated in response to bacterial
21 ligation, it is plausible that the observed differential NOD2 levels in non-healing wounds may
22 reflect a response to the differential bacterial composition of the wound environment.

23
24 We further investigated the effect of experimentally activating NOD2 in a murine model, via
25 the ligand muramyl dipeptide (MDP). Here MDP treatment led to a significant delay in

1 healing. Studies have linked *NOD2* dysregulation to an altered innate immune response,
2 susceptibility to inflammation and delayed healing in acute wounds from elderly subjects
3 (Hardman and Ashcroft, 2008, Lesage et al., 2002). *NOD2*, but not *TLR2*, has an essential
4 role during re-epithelialisation following murine cutaneous injury (Campbell et al., 2013),
5 and in the murine gut *NOD2* regulates epithelial turnover and immune cell recruitment
6 (Bowcutt et al., 2014, Cruickshank et al., 2008). In the clinical setting, mutations in *NOD2*
7 are linked to the rare inflammatory skin condition Blau syndrome and delayed wound healing
8 (Kurokawa et al., 2003). Functional studies, have shown that both loss-of-function and gain-
9 of-function mutations in *NOD2* are associated with chronic inflammation (Kobayashi et al.,
10 2005, Watanabe et al., 2004). This apparent dichotomy is thought to be because *NOD2* can
11 directly drive pro-inflammatory signals as well as inhibit other pathways such as the *TLR2*
12 mediated pathway of inflammation (Watanabe et al., 2004). Other research suggests that the
13 ability of *NOD2* to mediate a pro-inflammatory or anti-inflammatory effect is dependent
14 upon the nature of accessory factors present, such as cytokines or bacterial products (Feerick
15 and McKernan, 2017). In this context, both *NOD2* overexpression in human chronic wounds
16 and *Nod2* stimulation in murine wounds is associated with delayed wound closure.

17

18 *NOD2* has a well-characterized role in the recognition and clearance of intracellular bacteria
19 through activation of the pro-inflammatory pathway and other host defense pathways
20 including AMPs (Philpott et al., 2014). In addition to anti-microbial roles (Hinrichsen et al.,
21 2008), AMPs have been shown to modulate cytokine production (e.g. $IL-1\beta$, $IL-22$),
22 keratinocyte migration and proliferation, and angiogenesis (Harder et al., 2013, Ong et al.,
23 2002). MDP stimulation of *NOD2* led to a significant upregulation of *mBD3* and 14 (mouse
24 orthologue of human *hBD2* and 3) in keratinocytes *in vitro* and wounded skin *in vivo*.

1 Dysregulation of AMPs in the skin may be an important factor in the host susceptibility to
2 bacterial colonization and wound repair.

3
4 Specific loss of *Defb14* (mDB14) severely impaired multiple aspects of wound healing, with
5 reduced re-epithelization, increased inflammation and a higher bacterial burden including *P.*
6 *aeruginosa*, which we have previously shown to be detrimental to the healing response
7 (Williams et al., 2017). These findings support previous observations that AMPs have diverse
8 functions, including modulation of the innate immune system and altering TLR
9 responsiveness (Beaumont et al., 2014, McGlasson et al., 2017, Semple et al., 2015, Wang et
10 al., 2017). Some AMPs, such as cathelicidin, promote neutrophil recruitment and anti-
11 microbial-activity and indeed *Defb14*^{-/-} mouse wounds displayed limited neutrophil
12 recruitment, despite delayed healing and a higher bacterial burden (Beaumont et al., 2014,
13 Choi et al., 2012, Mookherjee and Hancock, 2007). The role of BD14 in keratinocytes is
14 particularly poorly understood. Here we showed that treatment of *in vitro* keratinocyte
15 scratch assays with mBD14 impaired scratch closure, although it remains unclear whether
16 this is a direct effect or the result of activating other keratinocyte pro-repair pathways, such as
17 local cytokine production (Wang et al., 2017).

18
19 Collectively our work suggests that a greater knowledge of host microbial interactions is
20 essential to understand wound healing progression. Bacterial ligands and anti-microbial
21 factors are almost invariably multifactorial in function, conveying both beneficial and
22 detrimental impacts on healing. Specifically, understanding the dynamics of host-microbial
23 interactions will be key for better managing the treatment of patients with chronic wounds. In
24 the future simple diagnostic tests to rapidly stratify healing potential based on wound

1 bacterial composition will likely be coupled with bacteria-selective treatments and/or
2 selective manipulation of the microbiome to promote healing.

3

4 **MATERIALS & METHODS**

5 **Human chronic wounds**

6 Local ethical committee approval was obtained for all human studies, with informed consent
7 obtained in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. 25 wound biopsy patient samples
8 (mixed sex, aged ≥ 40 years) with chronic DFUs (defined as distal to the medial and lateral
9 malleoli, with a known duration ≥ 4 weeks, grade A1/B1, University of Texas ulcer
10 classification, no infection or ischaemia) were obtained at the time of presentation (week 0).

11 All patients received standard-of-care treatment, including regular debridement, non-
12 antimicrobial dressing, and offloading. No local anaesthetic was used at any time during
13 treatment. At week 0 wound biopsy samples were collected from the margin of DFUs prior to
14 debridement using aseptic technique. Photographs of patient's wounds were taken weekly
15 over 12 weeks to determine longitudinal healing outcome. DFUs were then separated into
16 two groups, those who healed (full wound closure at ≤ 7 weeks; 10 patients) and those who
17 failed to heal (wound not closed at 12 weeks; 9 patients) following current best practice
18 treatment.

19

20 **Generation of hBD3 expressing HaCaT cell line**

21 A human Beta Defensin 3 stably over-expressing HaCaT cell line was constructed by
22 transfecting cells with a plasmid containing hBD3 cloned into pcDNA3.1 (kind gift of Julia
23 Dorin, University of Edinburgh). Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) was used for
24 transfection as per manufacturer's guidelines. Stably transfected cells were selected for by
25 addition of 500 μ g/ml G418 (Life Technologies). Overexpression of hBD3 in the stable cell

1 line compared to control vector transfected line was confirmed by Real Time PCR, using
2 TaqMan primer probe to the coding region of hBD3 (Applied Biosystems, assay
3 ID Hs04194486_g1).

4

5 **Cell culture and scratch migration assay**

6 HaCaT cells (established human keratinocyte cell line) were cultured in DMEM plus 5%
7 FBS. Normal human epidermal keratinocytes (NHEK) (PromoCell, Heidelberg, UK,) were
8 cultured in Keratinocyte Growth Medium 2 (PromoCell, C-20011) plus supplements
9 (PromoCell). Primary murine keratinocytes were isolated and cultured (Hager et al., 1999),
10 with collagen IV-coated plates and CnT-PR medium (CELLnTEC, Bern, Switzerland).
11 Confluent keratinocyte sheets seeded in 24-well plates were 'scratch wounded' and treated
12 with 1 µg/ml MDP (Bachem, St Helens, UK) with or without 20 µg/µl mitomycin C (Sigma-
13 Aldrich, Dorset, UK); 0-25 µg/ml mBD14; 1µg/ml Lipopolysaccharide (LPS); 1µg/ml
14 Pam3-Cys; 10⁷ CFU *Staphylococcus aureus* (SA); or 1µg/ml Peptidoglycan (PGN), for 24, 48
15 or 96 hours. Images were captured on a Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope (Nikon, Surrey, UK)
16 and a SPOT insight camera (Image solutions Inc, Lancashire, UK). Scratch closure was
17 quantified using Image Pro Plus software (Media Cybernetics, Cambridge, UK) averaged
18 from fifteen measurements per sample. Calculations for percent closure were based on
19 epithelial scratch width after specified duration (D), in relation to width at time zero (T0)
20 using the equation $((T0-D)/T0)100$.

21

22 **RNA isolation and reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)**

23 Total host RNA was isolated using the Purelink RNA kit (Invitrogen™ by Life Technologies
24 Ltd, Paisley, UK). cDNA was transcribed from 1 µg of RNA (Promega RT Kit, Hampshire,
25 UK and AMVreverse transcriptase, Roche, West Sussex, UK) and qPCR performed using the

1 SYBR® Green 1 Kit (Eurogentec, Hampshire, UK) and an iCycler iQ quantitative PCR
2 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Hertfordshire, UK). The primer sequences for real-time qPCR are
3 listed in Table S1.

4

5 **DNA extraction from tissue samples and manipulation**

6 All tissue samples were incubated in enzymatic lysis buffer (20 mM Tris at pH 8.0, 0.2 mM
7 EDTA, 1.2% triton X-100) and lysozyme (20 mg/ml) for 30 min at 37°C. DNA was extracted
8 using a Qiagen DNeasy™ blood and tissue kit (Qiagen, West Sussex, UK).

9

10 **PCR amplification, purification and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE)**

11 The V3 variable region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified from purified DNA by PCR
12 using GC-rich eubacterium-specific primers P3_GC-341F and 518R (see Table S1) (Walter
13 et al., 2000) using a PTC-100 DNA Engine thermal cycler (Bio-Rad). Samples were purified
14 using a Qiagen MinElute® purification kit (Qiagen). Polyacrylamide electrophoresis was
15 performed using the D-CODE Universal Mutation Detection System (Bio-Rad). Denaturing
16 gradient gels of 10% (wt/vol) acrylamide-bisacrylamide (37:1:5) were made as described
17 previously (Walter et al., 2000). DGGE gel images were aligned and analyzed with
18 BioNumerics software version 4.6 (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium) and
19 profiles used to produce an Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean
20 (UPGMA) dendrogram.

21

22 **16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis**

23 16S amplicon sequencing targeting the V3 and V4 variable region of the 16S rRNA gene
24 (Table S1) was performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform. The raw amplicon data was
25 processed using quantitative insights into microbial ecology (QIIME) version 1.9.0 (Caporaso

1 et al., 2010), and R version 3.3.1 (Team, 2016). The NMDS plot was created using the
2 isoMDS function in the ‘MASS’ package (Venables and Ripley, 2002) in R and statistical
3 analysis performed using the ‘adonis’ function in the ‘vegan’ package in R. The Shannon
4 Wiener Diversity Index was also calculated in R, using the ‘diversity’ function in the ‘vegan’
5 package (Okansen et al., 2016).

6

7 **Hucker-Twort Gram Stain**

8 The Hucker-Twort Gram stain was used to distinguish Gram-positive and Gram-negative
9 bacteria in formalin-fixed tissue. Slides were imaged using a 3D-Histech Pannoramic-250
10 Flash Slide Scanner (3D Histech, Budapest, Hungary), using a 20x/0.25 Plan Apochromat
11 objective (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). All tissue was blinded before analysis. The sum of
12 scores for relative amounts of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria in the wound bed
13 tissue was quantified based on CMPT (Clinical Microbiology Proficiency-Testing) guidelines
14 (score 0 to 4+), zero (score 0), rare or scant (score 1+), few (score 2+), moderate (score 3+)
15 and many, numerous or heavy (score 4+) with regard to the numbers of organisms present per
16 oil immersion field (x100).

17

18 **Animals and wounding**

19 Following local ethics committee approval, all animal studies were conducted in accordance
20 with UK Home Office regulations. Mice were housed in isolator cages with *ad libitum* food
21 and water. Wild-type (WT) (C57BL/6J) mice were bred from WTxWT matings and *Defb14*
22 null mice (C57BL/6J background) were bred from heterozygous matings and have been
23 described previously (Navid et al., 2012). Eight week-old female WT mice were
24 anaesthetized and injected subcutaneously with 10 µg MDP (MurNAc-L-Ala-D-isoGin)
25 (Bachem, UK, G-1055) or vehicle (PBS), 24 hours and repeated 2 hours prior to wounding (*n*

1 = 10 mice/group). Mice were anaesthetized and wounded following our established protocol
2 (Ansell et al., 2014). Briefly, two equidistant 1 cm full-thickness incisional or 6 mm
3 excisional wounds were made through both skin and panniculus carnosus muscle at the
4 injection site and left to heal by secondary intention.

5

6 **Histology and immunohistochemistry (IHC)**

7 Histological sections were prepared from tissue fixed in 10% buffered formalin saline and
8 embedded in paraffin. 5 μ M sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin or subjected
9 to IHC analysis using keratin 6, keratin 14 (Covance, Maidenhead, UK, PRB-169P and PRB-
10 155P); anti-Ki67 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK, ab16667); anti-neutrophil (Thermo Scientific,
11 Runcorn, UK, MA1-40038); anti-Mac-3 (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK, 553322); NOS2
12 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg, Germany, SC-651); and arginase-I (Santa Cruz
13 Biotechnology, SC-18354). Primary antibodies were detected using the appropriate
14 biotinylated secondary antibody followed by ABC-peroxidase reagent (Vector Laboratories,
15 Peterborough, UK, PK-6104 or PK-6101) with NovaRed substrate (Vector Laboratories, SK-
16 4800) and counterstained with haematoxylin. Images were captured using a Nikon Eclipse
17 E600 microscope (Nikon) and a SPOT insight camera (Image solutions Inc). Total **immune**
18 **cell numbers (quantification is illustrated in figure S3)**, granulation tissue wound area and
19 percentage re-epithelialization were quantified using Image Pro Plus software (Media
20 Cybernetics).

21

22 **Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MIC)**

23 MICs were determined using the microdilution method (Moore et al., 2008). Briefly, an
24 overnight culture of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (NCTC 10781) was diluted in sterile Mueller-

1 Hinton broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5. The biologically active form of
2 the mBD14 peptide (Reynolds et al., 2010),
3 FLPKTLRKFFCRIRGGRCVAVLNCLGKEEQIGRCSNSGRKCCRKKK (oxidized cysteines
4 to form 3 disulfides) (Cambridge Peptides, Cambridge, UK), was serially diluted in
5 inoculated media and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours with agitation. Growth was measured as
6 light absorbance (495 nm) relative to un-inoculated and detected using a microtiter plate
7 reader (Powerwave XS, Bio Tek Instruments, Potton, UK).

8

9 **Statistical analysis**

10 Normal distribution and statistical comparisons between groups were determined using
11 Shapiro-Wilk test, Student's *t*-test (two tailed), one or two-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc
12 test where appropriate using GraphPad Prism 7 Version 7.01 (GraphPad Software, Inc. La
13 Jolla, CA) with the exception of the analysis for 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis. For all
14 statistical tests, the variance between each group was determined and probability values of
15 less than $P < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

16

17 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

18 The authors state no conflict of interest.

19

20 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

21 The authors would like to thank Professor Andrew Boulton for providing chronic wound
22 tissue, Professor Werner Muller for generous provision of *Defb14* null mice, and Dr
23 Catherine Walker and Dr Sarah Forbes for valuable technical assistance with design of BD14
24 peptide. The Histology Facility equipment that was used in this study was purchased by the
25 University of Manchester Strategic fund. Special thanks go to Peter Walker for his help with

1 the histology. The Bioimaging Facility microscopes used in this study were purchased with
2 grants from BBSRC, Wellcome and the University of Manchester Strategic Fund. Special
3 thanks go to Roger Meadows for help with microscopy and image analysis. This research was
4 supported by an AgeUK Senior Fellowship (M.J.H), MRC Centenary award (M.J.H and
5 A.J.M) and the Medical Research Council (MRC) project grant G1000449 (M.J.H and S.C.).
6 DD was funded by an MRC senior non-clinical fellowship (G1002046).

7

8 **REFERENCES**

- 9 Ansell D. M., Campbell L., Thomason H. A., Brass A., Hardman M. J. A statistical analysis
10 of murine incisional and excisional acute wound models. *Wound Repair Regen*
11 2014;22(2):281-7.
- 12 Attinger C., Wolcott R. Clinically Addressing Biofilm in Chronic Wounds. *Adv Wound Care*
13 2012;1(3):127-32.
- 14 Beaumont P. E., McHugh B., Gwyer Findlay E., Mackellar A., Mackenzie K. J., Gallo R. L.,
15 et al. Cathelicidin host defence peptide augments clearance of pulmonary *Pseudomonas*
16 *aeruginosa* infection by its influence on neutrophil function in vivo. *PLoS One*
17 2014;9(6):e99029.
- 18 Bowcutt R., Bramhall M., Logunova L., Wilson J., Booth C., Carding S. R., et al. A role for
19 the pattern recognition receptor Nod2 in promoting recruitment of CD103+ dendritic cells to
20 the colon in response to *Trichuris muris* infection. *Mucosal Immunol* 2014;7(5):1094-105.
- 21 Buchau A. S., Hassan M., Kukova G., Lewerenz V., Kellermann S., Wurthner J. U., et al.
22 S100A15, an antimicrobial protein of the skin: regulation by *E. coli* through Toll-like
23 receptor 4. *J Invest Dermatol* 2007;127(11):2596-604.

- 1 Campbell L., Williams H., Crompton R. A., Cruickshank S. M., Hardman M. J. Nod2
2 deficiency impairs inflammatory and epithelial aspects of the cutaneous wound-healing
3 response. *J Pathol* 2013;229(1):121-31.
- 4 Caporaso J. G., Kuczynski J., Stombaugh J., Bittinger K., Bushman F. D., Costello E. K., et
5 al. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat Methods*
6 2010;7(5):335-6.
- 7 Choi K. Y., Chow L. N., Mookherjee N. Cationic host defence peptides: multifaceted role in
8 immune modulation and inflammation. *J Innate Immun* 2012;4(4):361-70.
- 9 Cruickshank S. M., Wakenshaw L., Cardone J., Howdle P. D., Murray P. J., Carding S. R.
10 Evidence for the involvement of NOD2 in regulating colonic epithelial cell growth and
11 survival. *World J Gastroenterol* 2008;14(38):5834-41.
- 12 Dasu M. R., Devaraj S., Park S., Jialal I. Increased toll-like receptor (TLR) activation and
13 TLR ligands in recently diagnosed type 2 diabetic subjects. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33(4):861-8.
- 14 Dorschner R. A., Pestonjamasp V. K., Tamakuwala S., Ohtake T., Rudisill J., Nizet V., et al.
15 Cutaneous injury induces the release of cathelicidin anti-microbial peptides active against
16 group A *Streptococcus*. *J Invest Dermatol* 2001;117(1):91-7.
- 17 Dutta P., Das S. Mammalian Antimicrobial Peptides: Promising Therapeutic Targets Against
18 Infection and Chronic Inflammation. *Curr Top Med Chem* 2016;16(1):99-129.
- 19 Eming S. A., Martin P., Tomic-Canic M. Wound repair and regeneration: mechanisms,
20 signaling, and translation. *Sci Transl Med* 2014;6(265):265sr6.
- 21 Feerick C. L., McKernan D. P. Understanding the regulation of pattern recognition receptors
22 in inflammatory diseases - a 'Nod' in the right direction. *Immunology* 2017;150(3):237-47.
- 23 Gallo R. L., Hooper L. V. Epithelial antimicrobial defence of the skin and intestine. *Nat Rev*
24 *Immunol* 2012;12(7):503-16.

- 1 Gambichler T., Skrygan M., Appelhans C., Tomi N. S., Reinacher-Schick A., Altmeyer P., et
2 al. Expression of human beta-defensins in patients with mycosis fungoides. Arch Dermatol
3 Res 2007;299(4):221-4.
- 4 Glaser R., Harder J., Lange H., Bartels J., Christophers E., Schroder J. M. Antimicrobial
5 psoriasin (S100A7) protects human skin from *Escherichia coli* infection. Nat Immunol
6 2005;6(1):57-64.
- 7 Gottrup F. A specialized wound-healing center concept: importance of a multidisciplinary
8 department structure and surgical treatment facilities in the treatment of chronic wounds. Am
9 J Surg 2004;187(5A):38S-43S.
- 10 Grice E. A., Segre J. A. The human microbiome: our second genome. Annu Rev Genomics
11 Hum Genet 2012a;13:151-70.
- 12 Grice E. A., Segre J. A. Interaction of the microbiome with the innate immune response in
13 chronic wounds. Adv Exp Med Biol 2012b;946:55-68.
- 14 Grice E. A., Snitkin E. S., Yockey L. J., Bermudez D. M., Program N. C. S., Liechty K. W.,
15 et al. Longitudinal shift in diabetic wound microbiota correlates with prolonged skin defense
16 response. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010;107(33):14799-804.
- 17 Hager B., Bickenbach J. R., Fleckman P. Long-term culture of murine epidermal
18 keratinocytes. J Invest Dermatol 1999;112(6):971-6.
- 19 Halverson T. W., Wilton M., Poon K. K., Petri B., Lewenza S. DNA is an antimicrobial
20 component of neutrophil extracellular traps. PLoS Pathog 2015;11(1):e1004593.
- 21 Harder J., Schroder J. M., Glaser R. The skin surface as antimicrobial barrier: present
22 concepts and future outlooks. Exp Dermatol 2013;22(1):1-5.
- 23 Hardman M. J., Ashcroft G. S. Estrogen, not intrinsic aging, is the major regulator of delayed
24 human wound healing in the elderly. Genome Biol 2008;9(5):R80.

- 1 Hinojosa C. A., Boyer-Duck E., Anaya-Ayala J. E., Nunez-Salgado A., Laparra-Escareno H.,
2 Torres-Machorro A., et al. Impact of the bacteriology of diabetic foot ulcers in limb loss.
3 *Wound Repair Regen* 2016;24(5):923-7.
- 4 Hinrichsen K., Podschun R., Schubert S., Schroder J. M., Harder J., Proksch E. Mouse beta-
5 defensin-14, an antimicrobial ortholog of human beta-defensin-3. *Antimicrob Agents*
6 *Chemother* 2008;52(5):1876-9.
- 7 James G. A., Swogger E., Wolcott R., Pulcini E., Secor P., Sestrich J., et al. Biofilms in
8 chronic wounds. *Wound Repair Regen* 2008;16(1):37-44.
- 9 Kobayashi K. S., Chamaillard M., Ogura Y., Henegariu O., Inohara N., Nunez G., et al.
10 Nod2-dependent regulation of innate and adaptive immunity in the intestinal tract. *Science*
11 2005;307(5710):731-4.
- 12 Kurokawa T., Kikuchi T., Ohta K., Imai H., Yoshimura N. Ocular manifestations in Blau
13 syndrome associated with a CARD15/Nod2 mutation. *Ophthalmology* 2003;110(10):2040-4.
- 14 Lai Y., Di Nardo A., Nakatsuji T., Leichtle A., Yang Y., Cogen A. L., et al. Commensal
15 bacteria regulate Toll-like receptor 3-dependent inflammation after skin injury. *Nat Med*
16 2009;15(12):1377-82.
- 17 Lesage S., Zouali H., Cezard J. P., Colombel J. F., Belaiche J., Almer S., et al.
18 CARD15/NOD2 mutational analysis and genotype-phenotype correlation in 612 patients with
19 inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Hum Genet* 2002;70(4):845-57.
- 20 Lin Q., Wang L., Lin Y., Liu X., Ren X., Wen S., et al. Toll-like receptor 3 ligand
21 polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid promotes wound healing in human and murine skin. *J Invest*
22 *Dermatol* 2012;132(8):2085-92.
- 23 Lipsky B. A., Peters E. J., Berendt A. R., Senneville E., Bakker K., Embil J. M., et al.
24 Specific guidelines for the treatment of diabetic foot infections 2011. *Diabetes Metab Res*
25 *Rev* 2012;28 Suppl 1:234-5.

- 1 Loesche M., Gardner S. E., Kalan L., Horwinski J., Zheng Q., Hodkinson B. P., et al.
2 Temporal Stability in Chronic Wound Microbiota Is Associated With Poor Healing. *J Invest*
3 *Dermatol* 2017;137(1):237-44.
- 4 Mancl K. A., Kirsner R. S., Ajdic D. Wound biofilms: lessons learned from oral biofilms.
5 *Wound Repair Regen* 2013;21(3):352-62.
- 6 Mangoni M. L., McDermott A. M., Zasloff M. Antimicrobial peptides and wound healing:
7 biological and therapeutic considerations. *Exp Dermatol* 2016;25(3):167-73.
- 8 McGlasson S. L., Semple F., MacPherson H., Gray M., Davidson D. J., Dorin J. R. Human
9 beta-defensin 3 increases the TLR9-dependent response to bacterial DNA. *Eur J Immunol*
10 2017;47(4):658-64.
- 11 Misisic A. M., Gardner S. E., Grice E. A. The Wound Microbiome: Modern Approaches to
12 Examining the Role of Microorganisms in Impaired Chronic Wound Healing. *Adv Wound*
13 *Care (New Rochelle)* 2014;3(7):502-10.
- 14 Mookherjee N., Hancock R. E. Cationic host defence peptides: innate immune regulatory
15 peptides as a novel approach for treating infections. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2007;64(7-8):922-33.
- 16 Moore L. E., Ledder R. G., Gilbert P., McBain A. J. In vitro study of the effect of cationic
17 biocides on bacterial population dynamics and susceptibility. *Appl Environ Microbiol*
18 2008;74(15):4825-34.
- 19 Navid F., Boniotto M., Walker C., Ahrens K., Proksch E., Sparwasser T., et al. Induction of
20 regulatory T cells by a murine beta-defensin. *J Immunol* 2012;188(2):735-43.
- 21 Okansen J. F., Blanchet G., Friendly M., Kindt R., Legendre P., McGlinn D., et al. vegan:
22 Community Ecology Package, <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>; 2016 [Accessed
23 January 17 2017].

- 1 Ong P. Y., Ohtake T., Brandt C., Strickland I., Boguniewicz M., Ganz T., et al. Endogenous
2 antimicrobial peptides and skin infections in atopic dermatitis. *N Engl J Med*
3 2002;347(15):1151-60.
- 4 Papanas N., Mani R. Advances in infections and wound healing for the diabetic foot: the die
5 is cast. *Int J Low Extrem Wounds* 2013;12(2):83-6.
- 6 Philpott D. J., Sorbara M. T., Robertson S. J., Croitoru K., Girardin S. E. NOD proteins:
7 regulators of inflammation in health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2014;14(1):9-23.
- 8 Price L. B., Liu C. M., Frankel Y. M., Melendez J. H., Aziz M., Buchhagen J., et al.
9 Macroscale spatial variation in chronic wound microbiota: a cross-sectional study. *Wound*
10 *Repair Regen* 2011;19(1):80-8.
- 11 Reynolds N. L., De Cecco M., Taylor K., Stanton C., Kilanowski F., Kalapothakis J., et al.
12 Peptide fragments of a beta-defensin derivative with potent bactericidal activity. *Antimicrob*
13 *Agents Chemother* 2010;54(5):1922-9.
- 14 Rhoads D. D., Cox S. B., Rees E. J., Sun Y., Wolcott R. D. Clinical identification of bacteria
15 in human chronic wound infections: culturing vs. 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing. *BMC*
16 *Infect Dis* 2012;12:321.
- 17 Rohrl J., Yang D., Oppenheim J. J., Hehlhans T. Identification and Biological
18 Characterization of Mouse beta-defensin 14, the orthologue of human beta-defensin 3. *J Biol*
19 *Chem* 2008;283(9):5414-9.
- 20 Schneider J. J., Unholzer A., Schaller M., Schafer-Korting M., Korting H. C. Human
21 defensins. *J Mol Med (Berl)* 2005;83(8):587-95.
- 22 Semple F., MacPherson H., Webb S., Kilanowski F., Lettice L., McGlasson S. L., et al.
23 Human beta-Defensin 3 Exacerbates MDA5 but Suppresses TLR3 Responses to the Viral
24 Molecular Pattern Mimic Polyinosinic:Polycytidylic Acid. *PLoS Genet*
25 2015;11(12):e1005673.

- 1 Simanski M., Dressel S., Glaser R., Harder J. RNase 7 protects healthy skin from
2 *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization. *J Invest Dermatol* 2010;130(12):2836-8.
- 3 Sorensen O. E., Thapa D. R., Roupe K. M., Valore E. V., Sjobring U., Roberts A. A., et al.
4 Injury-induced innate immune response in human skin mediated by transactivation of the
5 epidermal growth factor receptor. *J Clin Invest* 2006;116(7):1878-85.
- 6 Tan G., Zeng B., Zhi F. C. Regulation of human enteric alpha-defensins by NOD2 in the
7 Paneth cell lineage. *Eur J Cell Biol* 2015;94(1):60-6.
- 8 Team R. C. 2016, <https://www.R-project.org>; 2016 [accessed 3rd January 2017].
- 9 Venables W. N., Ripley B. D. *Modern Applied Statistics with S*. 4th ed. New York: Springer,
10 2002.
- 11 Walter J., Tannock G. W., Tilsala-Timisjarvi A., Rodtong S., Loach D. M., Munro K., et al.
12 Detection and identification of gastrointestinal *Lactobacillus* species by using denaturing
13 gradient gel electrophoresis and species-specific PCR primers. *Appl Environ Microbiol*
14 2000;66(1):297-303.
- 15 Wang B., McHugh B. J., Qureshi A., Campopiano D. J., Clarke D. J., Fitzgerald J. R., et al.
16 IL-1beta-Induced Protection of Keratinocytes against *Staphylococcus aureus*-Secreted
17 Proteases Is Mediated by Human beta-Defensin 2. *J Invest Dermatol* 2017;137(1):95-105.
- 18 Watanabe T., Kitani A., Murray P. J., Strober W. NOD2 is a negative regulator of Toll-like
19 receptor 2-mediated T helper type 1 responses. *Nat Immunol* 2004;5(8):800-8.
- 20 Williams H., Crompton R. A., Thomason H. A., Campbell L., Singh G., McBain A. J., et al.
21 Cutaneous Nod2 Expression Regulates the Skin Microbiome and Wound Healing in a Murine
22 Model. *J Invest Dermatol* 2017;137(11):2427-36.
- 23 Wolcott R. D., Hanson J. D., Rees E. J., Koenig L. D., Phillips C. D., Wolcott R. A., et al.
24 Analysis of the chronic wound microbiota of 2,963 patients by 16S rDNA pyrosequencing.
25 *Wound Repair Regen* 2016;24(1):163-74.

- 1 Yang B., Suwanpradid J., Sanchez-Lagunes R., Choi H. W., Hoang P., Wang D., et al. IL-27
2 Facilitates Skin Wound Healing through Induction of Epidermal Proliferation and Host
3 Defense. *J Invest Dermatol* 2017;137(5):1166-75.
4 Zanger P. *Staphylococcus aureus* positive skin infections and international travel. *Wien Klin*
5 *Wochenschr* 2010;122 Suppl 1:31-3.

6 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

7 **Figure 1. The microbiome profile of human DFUs is an indicator of healing outcome.**

8 DFU samples were collected at baseline and their wound microbial communities sequenced
9 by 16S PCR-DGGE or 16S RNA Illumina high-throughput sequencing. Longitudinal healing
10 was measured over the subsequent 12 weeks to define healing outcome. (a) UPGMA
11 dendrogram of DFU DGGE fingerprints for healed (green) and non-healed (purple) wound
12 tissue revealed clustering based on time to heal, $\geq 60\%$ intrapersonal variation versus $\leq 30\%$
13 interpersonal variation. (b) NMDS plot showing differences in clustering of microbial
14 communities from 16S RNA Illumina high-throughput sequencing and (c) diversity which
15 was calculated using Shannon Weiner. (d-e) Taxonomic classification of the skin
16 microbiome, showing proportion of bacteria, in each treatment group, at the phylum level and
17 genus level. Individual taxa with abundances too low to visualise clearly and unassigned
18 reads are grouped into the 'other' category, comprised of 12 additional phyla plus unassigned
19 reads at the phylum level, and 225 additional genera plus unassigned reads at the genus level.
20 (f) Representative Gram stained histological sections and (g) quantification of numbers of
21 bacteria per field of view. All data are representative of two independent experiments, with n
22 = 19 patients for (a) and $n = 25$ for (b-g). * $P < 0.05$. P values were determined by one-way
23 ANOVA (b-c); two-way ANOVA (d-e) with Tukey post hoc test or by a paired, two-tailed
24 Student's t -test (g). Mean + s.e.m. Scale bar = 20 μm (f).

25

1 **Figure 2. Altered PRR expression in non-healing human DFUs.** DFU samples were
2 collected at baseline, with longitudinal healing measured over the subsequent 12 weeks. RT-
3 qPCR profiling of (a-e) *TLR* members and (f) *NOD2* in patient wound samples collected at
4 first visit with the patients subsequently categorized into either the healed wound group or the
5 non-healed wound group. (g) Representative crystal-violet stained human keratinocyte
6 scratch wounds stimulated with 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ MDP or control for 24 hours (**dashed white line**
7 **indicates initial scratch width**; green line illustrates epidermal sheet edge measured) and (h)
8 quantification of NHEK scratch closure in the presence or absence of mitomycin C. (i)
9 *NOD2* mRNA analyzed by qPCR. All data are representative of two-three independent
10 experiments, with $n = 19$ patients in total (a-f), and $n = 7-8$ per treatment (g-i). * $P < 0.05$. P
11 values were determined by a non-parametric permutational multivariate analysis of variance
12 in a, or paired, two-tailed Student's t -test (b-i). Mean + s.e.m. Scale bar = 300 μm (g).

13
14 **Figure 3. Stimulation of the *Nod2* pathway significantly delays murine cutaneous wound**
15 **healing.** (a) Representative IHC (keratin 14) of control or MDP injected incisional wounds
16 (day 3), arrows denote wound margins. (b) Analysis of histological wound area and (c) re-
17 epithelialization. (d) Representative IHC of neutrophil and macrophages in control or MDP
18 injected wounds at 3 days post-wounding, and quantification of (e) neutrophils and (f)
19 macrophages (illustrated method figure S3). (g) Analysis of **the distance contribution from**
20 **the wound edge of** keratin 6 expressing epidermal keratinocytes at 3 days post-wounding and
21 (h) representative, keratin 6-stained images of control and MDP injected wounds at 3 days
22 post-wounding; arrows indicate the cessation of keratin 6 expression. **(i) Quantification of the**
23 **percent of basal keratinocytes expressing proliferation marker Ki67. Wound edge = 0-500 μm**
24 **from the wound and peri-wound edge = 500-1000 μm from the wound. (j) Representative Ki67**
25 **staining, denoting location of wound and peri-wound edge.** All data are representative of two-

1 three independent experiments with $n = 6$ mice/group. *** $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$. P
2 values were determined by paired, two-tailed Student's t -test. Mean + s.e.m. Scale bar = 200
3 μm (a, d), 50 μm (h,j).

4
5 **Figure 4. *Nod2* stimulation alters defensin profile.** qPCR analysis of cutaneous mBD1, 3
6 and 14 or hBD1, 2 and 3 in control versus 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ MDP treated (a) wounds or (b) NHEKs. (c)
7 Primary mouse keratinocyte monolayers were scratched and treated with 1, 10 or 25 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ of
8 mBD14 peptide and their closure assessed after 96 hours (dashed white line indicates initial
9 scratch width; green line illustrates epidermal sheet edge measured) (d). All data are
10 representative of two-three independent experiments with $n = 6$ mice/group (a), and $n = 7-8$
11 wells/dose (b-d). *** $P < 0.001$, * $P < 0.05$. P values were determined by paired, two-tailed
12 Student's t -test or one-way ANOVA for more than 2 groups. Mean + s.e.m..

13
14 **Figure 5. Delayed healing in *Defb14*-deficient mice.** *Defb14*^{-/-} mice and littermate controls
15 were excisionally wounded and analyzed three days post-wounding. (a) Representative
16 hematoxylin and eosin stained sections of *Defb14*^{-/-} excisional wounds (day 3), arrows
17 indicate wound margins. Analysis of histological wound area (b), and re-epithelialization (c)
18 at day 3 post-wounding. Analysis of the distance contribution from the wound edge (d) and
19 neo-epidermal area (e) of keratin 6 expressing epidermal keratinocytes, illustrated in
20 representative images of WT and *Defb14*^{-/-} wounds at 3 days post-wounding (f); dashed
21 outline indicates neo-epidermal area. (g) Quantification of the percent of basal keratinocytes
22 expressing proliferation marker Ki67. Wound edge = 0-500 μm from the wound and peri-
23 wound edge = 500-1000 μm from the wound. (j) Representative Ki67 staining, denoting
24 location of wound and peri-wound edge. IHC quantification of (i) neutrophils and (j)
25 macrophages. Further characterisation of macrophage polarisation looked at the proportion of

1 (k) iNOS⁺ or (l) Arg1⁺ macrophages (illustrated method figure S3). All data are
2 representative of two independent experiments with $n = 5-6$ mice/group. ** $P < 0.01$, *
3 $P < 0.05$. P values were determined by paired, two-tailed Student's t -test. Mean + s.e.m. Scale
4 bar = 200 μm (a); 100 μm (f); 50 μm (h, i-l).

5

6 **Figure 6. Bacterial dysbiosis in *Defb14*-deficient mice.** (a) Gram-stain of representative
7 histological sections and (b) quantification reveals altered bacterial burden in *Defb14*^{-/-} day 3
8 wounds compared to control. (c) This is confirmed through RT-qPCR (eubacterial 16S) of
9 total bacterial abundance which demonstrates a significant increase compared to WT
10 littermate controls. These differences are associated with a significant increase of (d) *P.*
11 *aeruginosa*, and (e) *P. acnes* as revealed by RT species-specific qPCR. All data are
12 representative of two independent experiments with $n = 5-6$ mice/group. ** $P < 0.01$, *
13 $P < 0.05$. P values were determined by paired, two-tailed Student's t -test. Mean + s.e.m. Scale
14 bar = 20 μm (a).

15

16