- Effects of blood pressure lowering for the prevention of dementia: meta-analysis of 1
- 2 individual patient data from randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trials involving
- 3 28008 participants
- Ruth Peters PhD, 1,2,3,4 Ying Xu PhD, 1,2,3 Oisin Fitzgerald MStat, 1,2 Htein Linn Aung MPH, 2 4
- Nigel Beckett FRCP, ⁵ Christopher Bulpitt MD, ⁴ John Chalmers PhD, ^{2,3} Françoise Forette MD, ⁶ 5
- Jessica Gong MSc,^{2,3} Katie Harris PhD,^{2,3} Peter Humburg PhD,² Fiona E. Matthews PhD,⁷ Jan 6
- A. Staessen PhD, 8,9 Lutgarde Thijs PhD, 9 Christophe Tzourio MD, 10 Jane Warwick PhD, 11 7
- Mark Woodward PhD,^{2,3,4} Craig S Anderson PhD;^{2,3} for the Dementia rIsk REduCTion 8
- (DIRECT) collaboration 9
- ¹Neuroscience Research Australia, Barker Street, Randwick, Sydney, New South Wales, 10
- Australia 11
- ²University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales 12
- ³The George Institute for Global Health, Sydney, Australia 13
- ⁴Imperial College London, Exhibition Road, London, UK 14
- 15 ⁵Guys and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, Lambeth, London, UK
- ⁶International Longevity Centre, Paris, France 16
- ⁷University of Newcastle, Newcastle, UK 17
- ⁸Research Institute Alliance for the Promotion of Preventive Medicine, Mechelen, Belgium 18
- 19 ⁹Biomedical Sciences Group, Faculty of Medicine, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.
- ¹⁰ Univ. Bordeaux, Inserm, Bordeaux Population Health Research Center, U1219, CHU 20
- Bordeaux, F-33000 Bordeaux, France 21
- ¹¹Independent Scholar, UK 22
- 23 **Corresponding author:**
- R Peters, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Sydney, Australia. E: 24
- r.peters@neura.edu.au +61 416425952 25
- Institution where the work was performed: University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South 26
- 27 Wales, Sydney, Australia.

29

Keywords: hypertension, blood pressure, cognition, dementia, meta-analysis, clinical trials 28

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in European Heart Journal following peer review. The version of record, Ruth Peters, Ying Xu, Oisin Fitzgerald, Htein Linn Aung, Nigel Beckett, Christopher Bulpitt, John Chalmers, Francoise Forette, Jessica Gong, Katie Harris, Peter Humburg, Fiona E Matthews, Jan A Staessen, Lutgarde Thijs, Christophe Tzourio, Jane Warwick, Mark Woodward, Craig S Anderson, Dementia rlsk REduCTion (DIRECT) collaboration, Blood pressure lowering and prevention of dementia: an individual patient data meta-analysis, European Heart Journal, Volume 43, Issue 48, 21 December 2022, Pages 4980-4990, is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehac584.

Abstract

1

24

2 **Background:** Observational studies indicate U-shaped associations of blood pressure (BP) 3 and incident dementia in older age, but randomised controlled trials of BP lowering treatment show mixed results on this outcome in hypertensive patients. We undertook a pooled 4 individual participant data analysis of five seminal double-blind placebo-controlled 5 randomised trials to better define the effects of BP lowering treatment for the prevention of 6 7 dementia. 8 Methods: Multilevel logistic regression was used to evaluate the treatment effect on incident dementia. Effect modification was assessed for key population characteristics including age, 9 10 baseline systolic BP, sex, and presence of prior stroke. Mediation analysis was used to 11 quantify the contribution of trial medication and changes in systolic and diastolic BP on risk of dementia. 12 Results: The total sample included 28,008 individuals recruited from 20 countries. After a 13 median follow-up of 4.3 years, there were 861 cases of incident dementia. Multilevel logistic 14 regression reported an adjusted odds ratio 0.87 (95% confidence interval 0.75, 0.99) in favour 15 of antihypertensive treatment reducing risk of incident dementia with a mean BP lowering of 16 10/4mmHg. Further multinomial regression taking account of death as a competing risk found 17 18 similar results. There was no effect modification by age or sex. Mediation analysis confirmed the greater fall in BP in the actively treated group was associated with a greater reduction in 19 dementia risk. 20 21 **Discussion:** Using data from double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trials, we provide evidence in the first single-stage individual participant meta-analysis to support benefits of 22 antihypertensive treatment in late-mid and later life to lower the risk of dementia. Questions 23

remain as to the potential for additional BP lowering in those with already well-controlled

1	hypertension and of antihypertensive treatment commenced earlier in the life-course to reduce
2	the long-term risk of dementia.
3	Classification of evidence: Class I evidence in favour of antihypertensive treatment reducing
4	risk of incident dementia compared to placebo.
5	Funding: The individual trials were funded by multiple sources. No funding was received for
6	these analyses.
7	
8	Keywords: Randomised double-blind placebo controlled trials, blood pressure lowering,
9	hypertension, dementia
10	
11	
12	

Introduction

1

2 Observational studies have shown strong associations between elevated blood pressure (BP), particularly in mid-life (age 40-65 years), and increased risks of dementia and cognitive 3 4 decline that support plausible mechanisms of interaction between the cardiovascular tree and cerebral function.(1) However, this evidence is not universal and a recent comprehensive 5 6 meta-analysis of seven population-based cohorts involving 17,286 older adults (mean age 75 7 years) showed that the lowest risk of dementia occurred in those with a mean systolic BP of 185mmHg (95% confidence interval [CI] 161-230 mmHg) over a mean 8 years of follow-up, 8 and a U-shaped relationship between BP and dementia in the oldest old (age >80 years)(2) 9 10 echoing earlier work which has raised the prospect of a U shaped relationship in older ages. (1, 3-5) Concerns about blood pressure lowering to protect cognition remain and although 11 randomised controlled trials can overcome the issues of residual confounding and reverse 12 causality inherent to such observational analysis, they are in themselves challenging and have 13 produced mixed reports on the effects of BP lowering for the prevention of dementia.(6) 14 Clarity over the effects of BP lowering on the risk of dementia remains a high priority in 15 guiding public health strategies as well as clinical guidelines, where there may be a 16 17 requirement to tailor thresholds and intensity of BP lowering in older age. Only a handful of BP lowering trials have included a dementia endpoint, still fewer have been placebo-18 controlled and, because cardiovascular events occur earlier than incident dementia, most have 19 20 been stopped early upon achieving the estimated primary cardiovascular endpoint. The impact of blood pressure lowering on cardiovascular events meant that each one of these trials 21 changed cardiovascular guidelines in favour of treatment. Consequently, it is no longer ethical 22 to recruit to a trial comparing antihypertensive treatment to a placebo group who are receiving 23 no other blood pressure lowering treatment. This also means that although new placebo-24 controlled trial specifically designed for the prevention of dementia is desirable it will require 25

- a very large sample size of participants who are also able to have their risk of cardiovascular
- 2 disease managed within guidelines.(7) Numerous meta-analyses have sought to fill the void,
- 3 e.g. (8-22) but their conclusions are hampered by their inability to standardise analyses and
- 4 data handling and, in some cases by the combining of observational and clinical trial data.
- 5 The gold standard for providing precision in synthesising data from clinical trials is a single-
- 6 stage individual participant data meta-analysis where the data from sufficiently similar studies
- 7 are combined and analysed as a single dataset. Herein, we present the results of a single stage
- 8 individual participant data meta-analysis of the five double-blind placebo-controlled
- 9 randomised trials of BP lowering that collected dementia endpoints and were designed solely
- to compare a blood pressure lowering to a no treatment, placebo only arm and that remained
- double blind and placebo controlled throughout. This will allow us to better define causal
- inferences, and potential interactions and modifications of the effects of treatment on the
- prevention of dementia. Ethically these trials cannot be replicated, combining their data in a
- single database provides our strongest opportunity to establish the impact of blood pressure
- 15 lowering on incident dementia.

Methods

17 Trial data

- We carried out a single-stage individual participant data meta-analysis using data from a
- 19 consortium of double-blind placebo-controlled randomised multinational trials of BP
- 20 lowering with antihypertensives where incident dementia outcomes were assessed as part of
- 21 the trial. To minimise the potential for bias in the assessment of blood pressure or in the
- 22 collection of cognition and dementia data we selected only randomised double-blind placebo-
- 23 controlled trials (see supplementary information for further details), developed an a priori
- statistical analysis plan agreed by the individual trial teams and gained ethical approval from

- the University of New South Wales Human Research Ethics Advisory Panel–C HREAP 3208
- 2 prior to accessing the individual participant data from the trials. the consortium includes, the
- 3 Hypertension in the Very Elderly Trial (HYVET),(9, 23) SYSTolic Hypertension in EURope
- 4 Trial (SYST-EUR),(24, 25) Perindopril Protection Against Recurrent Stroke Study
- 5 (PROGRESS),(26, 27) Action in Diabetes and Vascular disease: preterAx and diamicroN-MR
- 6 Controlled Evaluation (ADVANCE),(28-30) and Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly
- 7 Program (SHEP)(31). All five trials were large (>2000 participants) and centrally co-
- 8 ordinated multi-site trials that randomised adult participants to receive double blind
- 9 antihypertensive treatment or matching placebos. All trials collected standardised blood
- pressure measures at baseline and regular intervals. Four of the trials had minimum age
- criteria for recruitment(23, 25, 29, 31), however, all recruited in late mid-life or later life
- populations. All five trials remained double blind and achieved a blood pressure difference
- between their randomised arms, three trials required elevated blood pressure at trial entry and
- had a goal blood pressure for treatment (23, 25, 31). See supplementary text for further details
- of the individual trials. All trials were designed to assess blood pressure and thus had carried
- out standardised assessments of resting sitting systolic and diastolic BP (in mmHg) at baseline
- and at approximately annual intervals from randomisation until the end of follow-up.
- 18 Each trial assessed participants prospectively for incident dementia in addition to collecting
- data on mortality and stroke. Trial data was obtained via direct communication with the trial
- lead investigators who are part of the study team with the exception of the SHEP trial where
- 21 data was obtained by application to the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Biologic
- 22 Specimen and Data Repository Information Coordinating Center (BioLINCC). Trials
- provided data on baseline characteristics of participants including height and weight for the
- 24 calculation of body mass index (BMI), history of previous stroke and type 2 diabetes mellitus,
- current smoking, and level of education (subsequently categorised as <8, 8-12, 13-20 and >20

- 1 years duration).. All trials except SHEP also undertook regular assessment of cognitive
- 2 function using the mini-mental state exam (MMSE) at 12- or 24-month intervals, post-
- 3 randomisation. As is usual for clinical trial analyses annual time epoch windows relative to
- 4 the date of randomisation were used to standardise annual follow-up visits where multiple
- 5 visits occurred within a time window, the date of the first was selected for inclusion in the
- 6 merged database. For those trials with an open-label follow-on phase (SYST-EUR,(32))
- 7 HYVET,(33) ADVANCE-ON(30)) only initial double-blind phase data were used.
- 8 Dementia diagnosis
- 9 All trials included diagnostic procedures for the clinical diagnosis of incident dementia using
- the Diagnostic Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) versions III-R(24, 31, 32) or
- 11 IV.(9, 26, 28) All trials excluded patients with pre-existing dementia or serious cognitive loss
- at baseline. All trials also used an expert adjudication committee to validate key reported
- endpoints that included dementia, stroke, and cause-specific mortality, blind to treatment
- allocation. Stroke and mortality endpoints were verified against regulatory documents (e.g.
- medical reports, death certificates). Because of the likely overlap in the underlying pathology
- of dementia, (1, 34) and as the trial populations lacked detailed imaging, all-cause dementia
- was taken as the primary outcome for these analyses.
- 18 *Cognitive decline*
- 19 Cognitive data were available for a cognitive screening tool, the MMSE. Three trials
- 20 (HYVET, PROGRESS, SYST-EUR) collected annual MMSE assessments and one trial
- 21 (ADVANCE) collected biannual MMSE assessments after baseline. The SHEP trial did not
- 22 collect the MMSE. The availably of sequential MMSE scores also allowed an additional
- 23 analysis of change in MMSE score over time. We further calculated a binary variable for
- 24 incident cognitive decline using an approach that is similar to the original approach taken by

- the trials themselves and similar to the approach used to define cognitive decline in the
- 2 Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial Memory and Cognition IN Decreased
- 3 Hypertension (SPRINT-MIND) although SPRINT Used a different screening tool.(35)
- 4 Specifically, we defined participants who had a fall in their MMSE score to ≤24 for at least
- 5 two consecutive annual (HYVET, PROGRESS, SYST-EUR) or biannual (ADVANCE) visits
- 6 after baseline as cognitive decline.
- 7 Statistical analysis
- 8 A single stage individual participant data pooling of all five trials was undertaken to produce
- 9 a single dataset, where the characteristics of the merged trial sample and individual trials were
- 10 first examined using descriptive statistics. Mean between-group differences in systolic and
- diastolic BP were calculated for each year of follow-up.
- 12 Dementia
- 13 The effect of BP lowering on incident dementia was examined in several ways. First,
- multilevel logistic regression with study as a random effect (to account of clustering within
- trials) was used to determine the effect of randomised treatment (active versus placebo
- medication), unadjusted and subsequently adjusted for age, sex, and prior stroke and then
- additionally for BMI, diabetes mellitus and education. Continuous covariates of BMI and age
- were modelled, with and without quadratic terms, but as this showed no substantive non-
- 19 linear effects, quadratic terms were not included in the final models. Multilevel logistic
- 20 regression was selected as the most conservative option for several reasons, date of dementia
- 21 diagnosis was not available for all data sets, furthermore time to event analysis in dementia
- has been criticised since dementia is insidious in its onset with in-depth diagnosis made only
- 23 after the clinical diagnostic assessment rather than on the occurrence of an event. This means
- 24 that the date of diagnosis can be dependent on the logistics of assessment, for example, when

- a specialist appointment can be arranged rather than on any change in cognition or function.
- 2 Furthermore, the use of multilevel regression allowed us to account for the impact of within
- 3 study similarities.
- 4 Further analysis used multilevel multinominal logistic regression (a generalised version of
- 5 logistic regression which allows for more than two unstructured outcomes) to account for the
- 6 competing risk of death: participants were classified as having experienced neither outcome
- 7 (death or dementia), death (where they had no diagnosis of dementia), or dementia (regardless
- 8 of subsequent death). Class of antihypertensive agent was not considered in analyses as recent
- 9 research has shown no heterogeneity of antihypertensive class on incident dementia.(11, 13)
- 10 Additional analyses using multi-level linear and logistic regression were similarly used to
- separately model the outcome of cognitive change between baseline and month 24 and binary
- 12 cognitive decline respectively.
- 13 Subgroup analyses and effect modification
- 14 To examine subgroups additional analyses were carried out by running the same analyses
- using for clinically relevant categorical variables for baseline age (<61, 61-70, 71-80, >80
- years), sex, prior stroke, and by tertiles and quintiles of baseline systolic BP.
- 17 Additional analysis also examined effect modification by participant age, sex, baseline
- systolic BP, prior stroke, or baseline MMSE. The main effect of treatment plus the three-way
- interaction between treatment, age, and baseline systolic BP, was plotted by baseline age and
- 20 systolic BP. Further. Given the potential attenuation of the association of systolic BP and
- 21 increasing age, variance inflation factors were checked prior to combining both in the same
- 22 model.
- To evaluate the impact of achieved BP, the relationship between achieved systolic and
- 24 diastolic BP at one year and incident dementia was explored graphically. Achieved BP at one

- 1 year was selected as representing a pragmatic stage in follow-up which maximised the
- 2 number of participants and maximum achieved BP separation between randomised
- 3 groups.(23, 25, 36) Mediation analysis was used to quantify the contribution of trial
- 4 medication and change in systolic and diastolic BP to incident dementia (Supplementary text
- 5 for details). As confounders were evenly balanced between randomised groups, these were
- 6 not included in these analyses.
- 7 All analyses were carried out according to the intention to treat principle, unless otherwise
- 8 specified, using R and SAS v9.4. For mediation analysis, the framework of Pearl(37) was
- 9 used with models estimated using generalised additive mixed model software in the R
- package mgcv.(38)
- 11 The study was approved by the University of New South Wales Human Research Ethics
- 12 Advisory Panel–C HREAP 3208

13 Results

- The total sample included 28,008 individuals (mean age 69.1 [SD 9.3] years; female 46.8%)
- from 20 countries with a median 4.3 (IQR 3.5-4.5) years of follow-up (Table 1). with baseline
- BP of 155.8 (SD21.5) mmHg systolic and 82.9 (SD10.7) mmHg diastolic. All trials showed a
- balance of baseline variables across their randomised (antihypertensive and placebo) groups
- that included age, sex, BMI, diabetes mellitus, previous stroke, and prior treatment with
- antihypertensive agents (Supplementary tables S1 and 2 show the main trial inclusion criteria
- and antihypertensive classes),
- 21 The mean differences in BP between the placebo and antihypertensive treatment groups at 12
- 22 months were 9.6 (SD20.3) mmHg systolic and 3.7 (SD10.4) mmHg diastolic (Figure 1). The
- equivalent values were 10.8 (SD21.1) and 5.2 (SD24.4), respectively, at two years. Overall,
- 24 there were 9,171 active and 8,744 placebo participants with at least two years of follow-up

- 1 (equivalent to 65.4% and 62.7% of active (antihypertensive) and placebo groups, respectively,
- at baseline). Incident dementia occurred in 403 (2.9%) and 458 (3.3%) of those in active and
- 3 placebo groups, respectively.
- 4 The trial designs were similar and there were no issues in combining the data for an IPD
- 5 analysis.
- 6 Effect of antihypertensive treatment on incident dementia
- 7 Multilevel logistic regression showed an unadjusted odds ratio (OR) of 0.868 (95%CI 0.756,
- 8 0.996) in favour of BP lowering treatment lowering the risk of incident dementia. After
- 9 adjustment for age, sex and history of stroke, the OR was 0.865 (95%CI 0.752, 0.994) (Table
- 2, Figure 2, n=27999), and 0.860 (95%CI 0.748, 0.989, n=27768) with additional adjustment
- for BMI and diabetes mellitus. Further adjustment for educational level resulted in an
- OR0.857 (0.743, 0.988). The results were similar with multilevel multinomial regression in a
- model adjusted for age and sex where, compared to placebo, active treatment reduced risks of
- combined dementia (OR 0.853, 95%CI 0.742, 0.980) and death (OR 0.876, 95%CI 0.805,
- 15 0.954) compared to achieving neither outcome.
- 16 Subgroups and effect modification (figures 1, 2, table 2)
- 17 There was no effect modification for treatment by baseline systolic BP as a continuous
- variable (p=0.18 estimate 0.006, standard error [SE] 0.004). Further examination of dementia
- outcomes by tertiles or quintiles of baseline systolic BP similarly showed no clear pattern
- 20 (Table 2, Figure 2). Results are presented for tertiles as these were the most similar to
- 21 traditional clinically relevant treatment thresholds at <142 (OR0.79 (0.57, 1.08), 142-165
- 22 (OR0.86 (0.68, 1.08) and >165mmHg (OR0.90 (0.73, 1.11). A similar pattern was observed
- 23 for quintiles.

- 1 There was also no effect modification by participant age (p=0.80 estimate -0.002 SE0.009),.
- 2 by sex (p=0.72 estimate -0.060 SE0.163) or prior stroke (p=0.22 estimate -0.219 SE0.180).
- 3 Additional analyses in those without prior stroke showed this group to be older, with higher
- 4 baseline BP (153.5 (SD23.0)/83.9 (SD11.2) mmHg), compared to (147.3 (SD20.6)/81.4
- 5 (SD10.9) mmHg) and more likely to be female compared to those with a history of stroke.
- 6 Finally, there was also no effect modification by baseline MMSE score (p=0.18 estimate -
- 7 0.025 SE0.019) in combined data using only HYVET, PROGRESS, ADVANCE, and SYST-
- 8 EUR trial data. Figure 3 shows the effect of treatment plus treatment*age*systolic BP
- 9 interaction to provide a continuous graphical representation by age and systolic blood
- 10 pressure.
- 11 Effect of antihypertensive treatment on incident cognitive decline
- Mean MMSE scores at baseline were similar in the active and placebo groups: 27.9 (SD 2.7)
- and 27.9 (SD 2.8) in the active and placebo groups. There were 17,581 participants with both
- baseline and two-year MMSE scores, the mean change in the active group was a rise of 0.006
- of an MMSE point with a standard deviation of 2.18 and a median change of 0; in the placebo
- group the mean change was a decline of 0.05 of an MMSE point (SD2.18) and a median
- 17 change of 0. Multi-level linear regression accounting for study and adjusting for age and sex
- found no evidence of a difference between the two groups (p=0.15). For overall cognitive
- decline, defined categorically using a sustained fall in MMSE, there was similarly no
- respective effect of treatment (OR 0.905, 95%CI 0.695, 1.179) compared to placebo.
- 22 *Mediation analysis*

- 23 Mediation analysis confirmed a reduction in the risk of dementia by treatment was
- 24 attributable to fall in BP. The controlled direct effect, a measure of any BP independent

- 1 effects of the treatment on dementia risk, was a risk difference of -0.178% (95% CI -0.056%,
- 2 -0.214%). Conversely, the controlled indirect effect, a measure of the mediating effect of
- lower BP in the treatment arm, showed a risk difference of -0.218% (95% CI -0.311%, -
- 4 0.109%). This is equivalent to attributing 53% (CI 27%, 76%) of the difference in dementia
- seen between the treatment and control groups to the effect of on systolic BP rather than any
- 6 other aspects of trial participation or pleotropic antihypertensive drug effects.
- 7 Plotting achieved BP at one year for both active and placebo groups showed a linear
- 8 relationship between lower risk of dementia and lower BP down to at least 100mmHg systolic
- 9 and 70 diastolic (Figure 4).
- 10 Classification of evidence: These analyses provide Class I evidence in favour of
- antihypertensive treatment in late-mid and later life reducing risk of incident dementia
- compared to placebo.(39)

Discussion

13

- In this pooled analysis of individual participant data from clinical trials of different BP
- lowering agents, there was a significant effect of treatment in lowering the odds of dementia
- 17 (adjusted OR 0.87, 95%CI 0.75, 0.99) associated with a sustained reduction in BP (mean
- difference, ~10/4mmHg) in an older population (mean age 69.1 year) with a history of
- 19 hypertension. In particular, we found no evidence of a U-shaped relation of the effect at any
- age, nor an increase in risk of dementia with treatment in the oldest age. The results were
- 21 consistent across analyses that accounted for the competing risk of mortality, and there were
- 22 no interactions by age, baseline BP, or history of stroke.
- Our findings support a benefit of BP lowering treatment for the prevention of dementia and
- extend prior meta-analyses (8-22) by standardising analytical approaches across trials and in

- showing consistency of the effect across late-life and older age. Moreover, our results imply a
- broadly linear relation of BP reduction and lower risk of dementia, although the overall effect
- 3 was apparent with a mean BP fall of 9.6/3.7mmHg at 12 months, indicating the size of the
- 4 benefits expected at population and individual levels, respectively, on the incidence of
- 5 dementia.(40) Overall, in agreement with the recent guideline recommended targets, we found
- 6 greater benefits from larger reductions in BP but no evidence of increased risks or harms from
- 7 alterations in cerebral perfusion in older people.
- 8 In comparison to the SPRINT-MIND trial, (35) we found no effect of treatment on cognitive
- 9 decline. We acknowledge the insensitivity of the MMSE in detecting mild cognitive
- impairment, but also note there was no difference in overall neuropsychological scores
- between randomised groups in SPRINT-MIND,(41) furthermore, intermittent cognitive
- testing is heavily influenced by participant health or attention, and more sensitive measures
- are required to detect subtle changes.(42)
- 14 Combining double-blind placebo-controlled trials with blinded adjudication of dementia
- endpoints provides the highest grade of evidence for antihypertensive use to reduce dementia
- risk. Importantly, our results show a decrease, and certainly no increase, in risk of dementia
- with BP lowering. The U-shaped patterns and reduced risk at higher BP in population studies
- may reflect a complex interplay of survival, co-morbidities, and BP change with ageing.
- 19 Furthermore, our findings are not in opposition, but bring data on treatment impact to
- 20 complement cohort studies which report on longer term relationships between BP and
- 21 cognition.
- There are inevitable limitations to our results. Examining outcomes by subgroup is predicated
- on balanced randomisation, however whilst only HYVET and PROGRESS explicitly
- stratified randomisation by age and sex, and SYST-EUR by sex, all trials showed balanced

randomisation at baseline. Furthermore, despite balanced randomisation, it remains possible 1 2 that differential attrition, and mortality or stroke rates in the different arms of the trials 3 combined with early stopping due to cardiovascular benefits, may have reduced the potential to identify incident dementia cases and to follow participants for the longer duration 4 recommended for the accrual of incident dementia. (43) Nevertheless, this is likely to have 5 driven an under- rather than an over-estimate, of benefit with higher cardiovascular event 6 rates in the placebo arms.(44) The risk of reverse causality also needs to be considered given 7 the median follow-up of 4.3 years and evidence showing declines in BP are common in the 8 several years prior to the diagnosis of dementia. Whilst it is possible that participants entering 9 10 the trials may have already been experiencing the effects of their forthcoming dementia 11 diagnosis, it may also be that dementia was diagnosed at an earlier stage than would usually be the case, given the regular trial visits, contact with healthcare professionals, and regular 12 cognitive testing. Furthermore, these results are in the context of double-blind placebo-13 controlled trials, which makes it hard to see how reverse causality could have influenced the 14 treatment group effect. Further issues to consider are the lack of data on dementia subtype and 15 a lack of clear dates associated with dementia diagnosis. Whilst some of the trials sought to 16 allocate dementia types to their incident dementia cases, these were not routinely confirmed 17 18 by pathology or imaging, and given that vascular risk was required to enter each trial, it is highly likely that some element of vascular pathology was present in the majority of cases. 19 This is also likely to be the most common scenario in clinical practice which further supports 20 21 the use of an all-cause dementia approach. Date of event is also contentious with regard to a disorder like dementia with an insidious onset, and whilst dates would have allowed us to 22 carry out survival and further competing endpoint analyses, they were not available for all 23 trials and were allocated differently in the different datasets. Furthermore, we were limited in 24 the availability of rigorous and repeated cognitive assessment since the MMSE is designed 25

only to be a screening too and additionally was not available for all trials. Consequently, we 1 2 selected the most conservative option of using logistic regression for analysis and taking 3 study into account. Finally, whilst combining existing data also has limitations, including insufficient power to fully evaluate the impact of population characteristics on treatment 4 effect for an outcome with incidence rates as low as dementia, using raw data from double-5 6 blind placebo-controlled trials in this area provides a unique robust and high-quality dataset to 7 examine our research question. Looking ahead there may be the potential to expand our understanding of the relationships between blood pressure, antihypertensive treatment and 8 9 dementia with the addition of individual participant data from non-blinded trials and those 10 that did not use a placebo control group alongside complementary work on observational 11 dataset using causal inference and mendelian randomisation.(45-47) At present we detail the highest grade of available evidence to show that antihypertensive treatment over several years 12 reduces the risk of dementia. Given our ageing population and the substantial cost of 13 dementia, currently estimated as costing around \$20,000 to \$40,000 USD/per person with 14 dementia per year(48, 49), even a small reduction would have considerable global impact. 15 Our work provides a further reason, beyond cardiovascular risk reduction, for controlling high 16

BP in those at risk.

17

18

19

1 Acknowledgements

- 2 We acknowledge all participants, investigators, trials teams and funding bodies for the 5
- 3 trials. For full details please see(9, 23-31)

4 Role of the funding source

- 5 The funding bodies that provided funding for the constituent clinical trials were not involved
- 6 in the conception, analysis, or delivery of this research.

7 Conflict of interest

- 8 The authors report no targeted funding
- 9 RP is funded by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Centre Australian
- 10 Dementia Centre for Research Collaboration, and Neuroscience Research Australia; YX is
- 11 funded by NHMRC Project Grant (APP1160373); MW and CSA are supported by
- 12 Investigator Grants (APP1174120 and GNT1175861 respectively) from the National Health
- and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia, and together with JC receive funding
- 14 from an NHMRC Program Grant (APP1149987); MW is a consultant to Amgen, Kyowa
- Kirin, and Freeline; JC has received research grants from Servier, and from the NHMRC for
- both PROGRESS and ADVANCE, and honoraria from Servier for speaking about them at
- 17 Scientific meetings; CSA has received research grants from Penumbra, Takeda, Credit, and
- 18 Genesis paid to his institution.

19 Data availability

- 20 Data sharing is available on request to the individual trial teams (ADVANCE, HYVET,
- 21 PROGRESS, SYST-EUR) and on application to the Biolinne data repository (SHEP).

22 Legend for graphical abstract

Action in Diabetes and Vascular disease: preterAx and diamicroN-MR Controlled Evaluation (ADVANCE) Hypertension in the Very Elderly Trial (HYVET) Perindopril Protection Against Recurrent Stroke Study (PROGRESS) Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program (SHEP) SYSTolic Hypertension in EURope Trial (SYST-EUR)

References

- 2 1. Iadecola C, Yaffe K, Biller J, Bratzke LC, Faraci FM, Gorelick PB, et al. Impact of Hypertension
- 3 on Cognitive Function: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Hypertension
- 4 (Dallas, Tex: 1979). 2016;68(6):e67-e94.
- 5 2. van Dalen JW, Brayne C, Crane PK, Fratiglioni L, Larson EB, Lobo A, et al. Association of
- 6 Systolic Blood Pressure With Dementia Risk and the Role of Age, U-Shaped Associations, and
- 7 Mortality. JAMA Intern Med. 2021.
- 8 3. Heijer Td, Skoog I, Oudkerk M, de Leeuw F-E, de Groot JC, Hofman A, et al. Association
- 9 between blood pressure levels over time and brain atrophy in the elderly. Neurobiology of aging.
- 10 2003;24(2):307-13.
- 11 4. Ruitenberg A, Skoog I, Ott A, Aevarsson O, Witteman JCM, Lernfelt B, et al. Blood Pressure
- 12 and Risk of Dementia: Results from the Rotterdam Study and the Gothenburg H-70 Study. Dementia
- and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders. 2001;12(1):33-9.
- 14 5. Lee CJ, Lee JY, Han K, Kim DH, Cho H, Kim KJ, et al. Blood Pressure Levels and Risks of
- Dementia: a Nationwide Study of 4.5 Million People. Hypertension (Dallas, Tex : 1979).
- 16 2022;79(1):218-29.
- 17 6. Peters R, Breitner J, James S, Jicha GA, Meyer PF, Richards M, et al. Dementia risk reduction:
- 18 why haven't the pharmacological risk reduction trials worked? An in-depth exploration of seven
- established risk factors. Alzheimers Dement (N Y). 2021;7(1):e12202.
- 20 7. Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, Agabiti Rosei E, Azizi M, Burnier M, et al. 2018 ESC/ESH
- 21 Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: The Task Force for the management of
- arterial hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Society of
- 23 Hypertension (ESH). European Heart Journal. 2018;39(33):3021-104.
- 24 8. Hughes D, Judge C, Murphy R, Loughlin E, Costello M, Whiteley W, et al. Association of Blood
- 25 Pressure Lowering With Incident Dementia or Cognitive Impairment: A Systematic Review and Meta-
- 26 analysis. JAMA. 2020;323(19):1934-44.
- 9. Peters R, Beckett N, Forette F, Tuomilehto J, Clarke R, Ritchie C, et al. Incident dementia and
- 28 blood pressure lowering in the Hypertension in the Very Elderly Trial cognitive function assessment
- 29 (HYVET-COG): a double-blind, placebo controlled trial. The Lancet Neurology. 2008;7(8):683-9.
- 30 10. den Brok M, van Dalen JW, Abdulrahman H, Larson EB, van Middelaar T, van Gool WA, et al.
- 31 Antihypertensive Medication Classes and the Risk of Dementia: A Systematic Review and Network
- 32 Meta-Analysis. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2021;22(7):1386-95.e15.
- 33 11. Ding J, Davis-Plourde KL, Sedaghat S, Tully PJ, Wang W, Phillips C, et al. Antihypertensive
- 34 medications and risk for incident dementia and Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis of individual
- 35 participant data from prospective cohort studies. The Lancet Neurology. 2020;19(1):61-70.
- 36 12. Ou YN, Tan CC, Shen XN, Xu W, Hou XH, Dong Q, et al. Blood Pressure and Risks of Cognitive
- 37 Impairment and Dementia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of 209 Prospective Studies.
- 38 Hypertension (Dallas, Tex: 1979). 2020;76(1):217-25.
- 39 13. Peters R, Yasar S, Anderson CS, Andrews S, Antikainen R, Arima H, et al. Investigation of
- antihypertensive class, dementia, and cognitive decline. Neurology. 2020;94(3):e267.
- 41 14. Xu G, Bai F, Lin X, Wang Q, Wu Q, Sun S, et al. Association between Antihypertensive Drug
- 42 Use and the Incidence of Cognitive Decline and Dementia: A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort
- 43 Studies. Biomed Res Int. 2017;2017:4368474.
- 44 15. Yu J-T, Xu W, Tan C-C, Andrieu S, Suckling J, Evangelou E, et al. Evidence-based prevention of
- 45 Alzheimer's disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of 243 observational prospective
- 46 studies and 153 randomised controlled trials. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Samp; amp;
- 47 Psychiatry. 2020;91(11):1201.
- 48 16. Zonneveld TP, Richard E, Vergouwen MD, Nederkoorn PJ, de Haan R, Roos YB, et al. Blood
- 49 pressure-lowering treatment for preventing recurrent stroke, major vascular events, and dementia in
- 50 patients with a history of stroke or transient ischaemic attack. The Cochrane database of systematic
- 51 reviews. 2018;7(7):Cd007858.

- 1 17. Hughes D, Judge C, Murphy R, Loughlin E, Costello M, Whiteley W, et al. Association of Blood
- 2 Pressure Lowering With Incident Dementia or Cognitive Impairment: A Systematic Review and Meta-
- 3 analysis. JAMA. 2020;323(19):1934-44.
- 4 18. Larsson SC, Markus HS. Does Treating Vascular Risk Factors Prevent Dementia and
- 5 Alzheimer's Disease? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Alzheimers Dis. 2018;64(2):657-68.
- 6 19. Levi Marpillat N, Macquin-Mavier I, Tropeano AI, Bachoud-Levi AC, Maison P.
- 7 Antihypertensive classes, cognitive decline and incidence of dementia: a network meta-analysis.
- 8 Journal of hypertension. 2013;31(6):1073-82.
- 9 20. Parsons C, Murad MH, Andersen S, Mookadam F, Labonte H. The effect of antihypertensive
- 10 treatment on the incidence of stroke and cognitive decline in the elderly: a meta-analysis. Future
- 11 Cardiol. 2016;12(2):237-48.
- 12 21. Rouch L, Cestac P, Hanon O, Cool C, Helmer C, Bouhanick B, et al. Antihypertensive drugs,
- 13 prevention of cognitive decline and dementia: a systematic review of observational studies,
- randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses, with discussion of potential mechanisms. CNS drugs.
- 15 2015;29(2):113-30
- 16 22. van Middelaar T, van Vught LA, van Gool WA, Simons EMF, van den Born BH, Moll van
- 17 Charante EP, et al. Blood pressure-lowering interventions to prevent dementia: a systematic review
- and meta-analysis. Journal of hypertension. 2018;36(9):1780-7.
- 19 23. Beckett NS, Peters R, Fletcher AE, Staessen JA, Liu L, Dumitrascu D, et al. Treatment of
- 20 Hypertension in Patients 80 Years of Age or Older. New England Journal of Medicine.
- 21 2008;358(18):1887-98.
- 22 24. Forette F, Seux ML, Staessen JA, Thijs L, Birkenhäger WH, Babarskiene MR, et al. Prevention
- 23 of dementia in randomised double-blind placebo-controlled Systolic Hypertension in Europe (Syst-
- 24 Eur) trial. Lancet. 1998;352(9137):1347-51.
- 25 25. Staessen JA, Fagard R, Thijs L, Celis H, Arabidze GG, Birkenhäger WH, et al. Randomised
- double-blind comparison of placebo and active treatment for older patients with isolated systolic
- 27 hypertension. The Systolic Hypertension in Europe (Syst-Eur) Trial Investigators. Lancet.
- 28 1997;350(9080):757-64.
- 29 26. Tzourio C, Anderson C, Chapman N, Woodward M, Neal B, MacMahon S, et al. Effects of
- 30 blood pressure lowering with perindopril and indapamide therapy on dementia and cognitive decline
- 31 in patients with cerebrovascular disease. Archives of internal medicine. 2003;163(9):1069-75.
- 32 27. Randomised trial of a perindopril-based blood-pressure-lowering regimen among 6105
- individuals with previous stroke or transient ischaemic attack. The Lancet. 2001;358(9287):1033-41.
- 34 28. Patel A, MacMahon S, Chalmers J, Neal B, Woodward M, Billot L, et al. Effects of a fixed
- 35 combination of perindopril and indapamide on macrovascular and microvascular outcomes in
- patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (the ADVANCE trial): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet.
- 37 2007;370(9590):829-40.
- 38 29. Ninomiya T, Zoungas S, Neal B, Woodward M, Patel A, Perkovic V, et al. Efficacy and safety of
- 39 routine blood pressure lowering in older patients with diabetes: results from the ADVANCE trial.
- 40 Journal of hypertension. 2010;28(6):1141-9.
- 41 30. Zoungas S, Chalmers J, Neal B, Billot L, Li Q, Hirakawa Y, et al. Follow-up of blood-pressure
- 42 lowering and glucose control in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(15):1392-406.
- 43 31. Prevention of stroke by antihypertensive drug treatment in older persons with isolated
- 44 systolic hypertension. Final results of the Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program (SHEP). SHEP
- 45 Cooperative Research Group. JAMA. 1991;265(24):3255-64.
- 46 32. Forette F, Seux ML, Staessen JA, Thijs L, Babarskiene MR, Babeanu S, et al. The prevention of
- 47 dementia with antihypertensive treatment: new evidence from the Systolic Hypertension in Europe
- 48 (Syst-Eur) study. Archives of internal medicine. 2002;162(18):2046-52.
- 49 33. Beckett N, Peters R, Tuomilehto J, Swift C, Sever P, Potter J, et al. Immediate and late
- 50 benefits of treating very elderly people with hypertension: results from active treatment extension to
- 51 Hypertension in the Very Elderly randomised controlled trial. Bmj. 2011;344:d7541.

- 1 34. Attems J, Jellinger KA. The overlap between vascular disease and Alzheimer's disease--
- 2 lessons from pathology. BMC medicine. 2014;12:206.
- 3 35. Williamson JD, Pajewski NM, Auchus AP, Bryan RN, Chelune G, Cheung AK, et al. Effect of
- 4 Intensive vs Standard Blood Pressure Control on Probable Dementia: A Randomized Clinical Trial.
- 5 JAMA. 2019;321(6):553-61.
- 6 36. Bulpitt CJ, Beckett NS, Peters R, Leonetti G, Gergova V, Fagard R, et al. Blood pressure control
- 7 in the Hypertension in the Very Elderly Trial (HYVET). Journal of Human Hypertension.
- 8 2012;26(3):157-63.
- 9 37. Pearl J. Interpretation and identification of causal mediation. . Psychological methods,.
- 10 2014;19(4):459-81.
- 11 38. Wood S, & Wood, M. S. Package 'mgcv'. R package version, 1, 29. 2015.
- 12 39. Guyatt GH, Sackett DL, Sinclair JC, Hayward R, Cook DJ, Cook RJ, et al. Users' Guides to the
- 13 Medical Literature: IX. A Method for Grading Health Care Recommendations. JAMA.
- 14 1995;274(22):1800-4.
- 15 40. Peters R, Warwick J, Anstey KJ, Anderson CS. Blood pressure and dementia: What the
- 16 SPRINT-MIND trial adds and what we still need to know. Neurology. 2019;92(21):1017-8.
- 17 41. Rapp SR, Gaussoin SA, Sachs BC, Chelune G, Supiano MA, Lerner AJ, et al. Effects of intensive
- 18 versus standard blood pressure control on domain-specific cognitive function: a substudy of the
- 19 SPRINT randomised controlled trial. The Lancet Neurology. 2020;19(11):899-907.
- 20 42. Cullen B, O'Neill B, Evans JJ, Coen RF, Lawlor BA. A review of screening tests for cognitive
- impairment. Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry. 2007;78(8):790-9.
- 22 43. Skoog I. Antihypertensive treatment and dementia prevention. The Lancet Neurology.
- 23 2008;7(8):664-5.
- 24 44. Di Bari M, Pahor M, Franse LV, Shorr RI, Wan JY, Ferrucci L, et al. Dementia and Disability
- 25 Outcomes in Large Hypertension Trials: Lessons Learned from the Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly
- 26 Program (SHEP) Trial. American Journal of Epidemiology. 2001;153(1):72-8.
- 27 45. Malik R, Georgakis MK, Neitzel J, Rannikmäe K, Ewers M, Seshadri S, et al. Midlife vascular
- 28 risk factors and risk of incident dementia: Longitudinal cohort and Mendelian randomization analyses
- in the UK Biobank. Alzheimer's & dementia: the journal of the Alzheimer's Association.
- 30 2021;17(9):1422-31.

41

- 31 46. Sproviero W, Winchester L, Newby D, Fernandes M, Shi L, Goodday SM, et al. High Blood
- 32 Pressure and Risk of Dementia: A Two-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study in the UK Biobank.
- 33 Biol Psychiatry. 2021;89(8):817-24.
- 34 47. Walker VM, Zheng J, Gaunt TR, Smith GD. Phenotypic Causal Inference Using Genome-Wide
- 35 Association Study Data: Mendelian Randomization and Beyond. Annu Rev Biomed Data Sci. 2022.
- 36 48. Cantarero-Prieto D, Leon PL, Blazquez-Fernandez C, Juan PS, Cobo CS. The economic cost of
- dementia: A systematic review. Dementia. 2020;19(8):2637-57.
- 38 49. Mattap SM, Mohan D, McGrattan AM, Allotey P, Stephan BCM, Reidpath DD, et al. The
- 39 economic burden of dementia in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs): a systematic review.
- 40 BMJ Global Health. 2022;7(4):e007409.

- Figure 1. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure over follow-up per treatment group
- Figure 2. Forest plot showing odds ratios for dementia, antihypertensive intervention versus placebo, by subgroup.
- Figure 3. Relative log odds ratios showing how the effect of antihypertensive treatment on risk of dementia changes with baseline systolic blood pressure^b and age^a.
- Figure 4. Risk of dementia by achieved blood pressure at one year

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the trial populations

	HYVET	SYST-EUR	PROGRESS	ADVANCE	SHEP	Combined group
Total number	3337	2822	6105	11008	4736	28008
Placebo group number	49.6% (1655)	49·3% (1391)	50.0% (3054)	49.9% (5497)	50·1% (2371)	49.9% (13968)
Age	83.5 (3.1)	69.4 (6.2)	63.9 (9.6)	65.8 (6.4)	73·3 (6·9)	69·1 (9·3)
Female	60.4% (2,016)	66.2% (1,869)	30·3% (1,852)	42.4% (4670)	56.8% (2,689)	46.8% (13096)
Education level						
<8 years	29·2% (969)	2.0% (55)	0.2% (10)	2.4% (260)	9.7 (460)	6.3% (1754)
8-12 years	11.7% (388)	9.6% (270)	8.8% (517)	5.4% (592)	59.5 (2810)	16.5% (4577)
13-20 years	45.6% (1516)	71.5% (2006)	72·3% (4259)	66.3% (7293)	30.4 (1434)	59.5% (16508)
>20 years	13.6% (451)	16.9% (475)	18.7% (1104)	25.9% (2853)	0.4 (18)	17.7% (4901)
History of stroke	6.5% (216)	1.3% (36)	32.7% (1999)	9.1% (1002)	1.4% (66)	11.9% (3319)
BMI	24.7 (3.6)	27.0 (4.0)	25.7 (3.8)	28·3 (5·0)	27.5 (4.9)	27.0 (4.7)
Current smoker	6.1% (204)	6.8% (191)	20.0% (1,220)	14.0% (1538)	12.7% (602)	13.4% (3755)
MMSE	26 (23-28)	29 [27-30]	29 [27-30]	29 [28-30]		29 [27-30]
	25.3 (3.8)	28.2 (1.9)	28.0 (2.9)	28.5 (1.8)		27.9 (2.7)
Diabetes mellitus	9.9% (331)	9.0% (253)	12.5% (761)	100% (11008)	10.3% (478)	46.0% (12,831)
Systolic BP, mmHg	173.0 (8.5)	173·1 (9·8)	147.0 (19.0)	145.0 (21.5)	169.8 (11.7)	155.8 (21.5)
Diastolic BP, mmHg	90.8 (8.5)	86.0 (5.7)	85.7 (10.8)	80.7 (10.9)	77.3 (8.7)	82.9 (10.7)
Systolic/diastolic BP difference	12.0 (16.8)/	10.1 (14.5)/	9.4 (19.0)/	6.7 (20.1)/	13.8 (17.4)/	9.5 (19.6)/
between randomised groups at 1 year, mmHg	4.7 (10.0)	4.1 (7.4)	4.2 (10.8)	2.9 (10.6)	3.9 (9.7)	3.7 (10.3)
Case of incident dementia	7.9% (263)	1.1% (32)	6.7% (410)	0.6% (71)	1.8% (85)	3.1% (861)

Data are mean (SD) or % (n), unless otherwise specified.

BMI body mass index, BP blood pressure, MMSE Mini-Mental State Examination

Table 2 Relationships between antihypertensive use and dementia