This is the accepted version of an article published in Nature 555, pp.197-203, at https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25778

1 The Genomic History of Southeastern Europe

2 Iain Mathieson (1), Songül Alpaslan Roodenberg (1), Cosimo Posth (2,3), Anna Szécsényi-3 Nagy (4), Nadin Rohland (1), Swapan Mallick (1,5), Iñigo Olalde (1), Nasreen Broomandkhoshbacht (1,5), Francesca Candilio (6), Olivia Cheronet (6,7), Daniel Fernandes 4 5 (6,8), Matthew Ferry (1,5), Beatriz Gamarra (6), Gloria González Fortes (9), Wolfgang Haak 6 (2,10), Eadaoin Harney (1,5), Eppie Jones (11,12), Denise Keating (6), Ben Krause-Kyora 7 (2), Isil Kucukkalipci (3), Megan Michel (1,5), Alissa Mittnik (2,3), Kathrin Nägele (2), 8 Mario Novak (6,13), Jonas Oppenheimer (1,5), Nick Patterson (14), Saskia Pfrengle (3), 9 Kendra Sirak (6,15), Kristin Stewardson (1,5), Stefania Vai (16), Stefan Alexandrov (17), Kurt W. Alt (18,19,20), Radian Andreescu (21), Dragana Antonović (22), Abigail Ash (6), 10 11 Nadezhda Atanassova (23), Krum Bacvarov (17), Mende Balázs Gusztáv (4), Hervé 12 Bocherens (24,25), Michael Bolus (26), Adina Boroneant (27), Yavor Boyadzhiev (17), Alicja Budnik (28), Josip Burmaz (29), Stefan Chohadzhiev (30), Nicholas J. Conard (31,25), 13 14 Richard Cottiaux (32), Maja Čuka (33), Christophe Cupillard (34,35), Dorothée G. Drucker 15 (25), Nedko Elenski (36), Michael Francken (37), Borislava Galabova (38), Georgi Ganetovski (39), Bernard Gély (40), Tamás Hajdu (41), Veneta Handzhviska (42), Katerina 16 17 Harvati (37,25), Thomas Higham (43), Stanislav Iliev (44), Ivor Janković (13,45), Ivor 18 Karavanić (46,45), Douglas J. Kennett (47), Darko Komšo (33), Alexandra Kozak (48), 19 Damian Labuda (49), Martina Lari (16), Catalin Lazar (50,51), Maleen Leppek (52), 20 Krassimir Leshtakov (42), Domenico Lo Vetro (53,54), Dženi Los (29), Ivaylo Lozanov (42), 21 Maria Malina (26), Fabio Martini (53,54), Kath McSweeney (55), Harald Meller (20), Marko 22 Mendušić (56), Pavel Mirea (57), Vvacheslav Moisevev (58), Vanva Petrova (42), T. Douglas 23 Price (59), Angela Simalcsik (60), Luca Sineo (61), Mario Šlaus (62), Vladimir Slavchev 24 (63), Petar Stanev (36), Andrej Starović (64), Tamás Szeniczey (41), Sahra Talamo (65), 25 Maria Teschler-Nicola (66,7), Corinne Thevenet (67), Ivan Valchev (42), Frédérique Valentin (68), Sergey Vasilyev (69), Fanica Veljanovska (70), Svetlana Venelinova (71), Elizaveta 26 27 Veselovskaya (69), Bence Viola (72,73), Cristian Virag (74), Joško Zaninović (75), Steve 28 Zäuner (76), Philipp W. Stockhammer (52,2), Giulio Catalano (61), Raiko Krauß (77), David 29 Caramelli (16), Gunita Zarina (78), Bisserka Gaydarska (79), Malcolm Lillie (80), Alexey G. 30 Nikitin (81), Inna Potekhina (48), Anastasia Papathanasiou (82), Dušan Borić (83), Clive 31 Bonsall (55), Johannes Krause (2,3), Ron Pinhasi* (6,7), David Reich* (1,14,5) 32 33 * These authors contributed equally to the manuscript 34 [†] Present address; Department of Genetics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of 35 Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA 19104. 36 Correspondence to I.M. (mathi@upenn.edu) or D.R. (reich@genetics.med.harvard.edu) or 37 R.P. (ron.pinhasi@ucd.ie) 38 39 (1) Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston 02115 MA USA (2) Department of Archaeogenetics, 40 Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History, 07745 Jena, Germany (3) Institute for Archaeological 41 42 Sciences, University of Tuebingen, Germany (4) Laboratory of Archaeogenetics, Institute of Archaeology, Research Centre for the Humanities, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1097 Budapest, Hungary (5) Howard 43 44 Hughes Medical Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston 02115 MA USA (6) Earth Institute and School of Archaeology, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Republic of Ireland (7) Department of Anthropology, 45 University of Vienna, Althanstrasse 14, 1090 Vienna, Austria (8) CIAS, Department of Life Sciences, University 46 of Coimbra, 3000-456 Coimbra, Portugal (9) Department of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, University of 47 48 Ferrara, Via L. Borsari 46. Ferrara 44100 Italy (10) Australian Centre for Ancient DNA, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Adelaide, SA-5005 Adelaide, Australia (11) Smurfit Institute of Genetics, Trinity 49 50 51 52 College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland (12) Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK (13) Institute for Anthropological Research, Ljudevita Gaja 32, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia (14) Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge MA (15) Department of Anthropology, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322, USA (16) Dipartimento di Biologia, Università di Firenze, 50122 Florence, Italy (17) 53 54 National Institute of Archaeology and Museum, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 2 Saborna Str., BG-1000 Sofia, Bulgaria (18) Danube Private University, A-3500 Krems, Austria (19) Department of Biomedical Engineering and

55 56 Integrative Prehistory and Archaeological Science, CH-4123 Basel-Allschwil, Switzerland (20) State Office for Heritage Management and Archaeology Saxony-Anhalt and State Museum of Prehistory, D-06114 Halle, 57 Germany (21) Romanian National History Museum, Bucharest, Romania (22) Institute of Archaeology, Belgrade, 58 Serbia (23) Institute of Experimental Morphology, Pathology and Anthropology with Museum, Bulgarian 59 Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria (24) Department of Geosciences, Biogeology, Universität Tübingen, 60 Hölderlinstr. 12, 72074 Tübingen, Germany (25) Senckenberg Centre for Human Evolution and 61 Palaeoenvironment, University of Tuebingen, 72072 Tuebingen, Germany (26) Heidelberg Academy of Sciences and Humanities, Research Center "The Role of Culture in Early Expansions of Humans" at the University of 62 63 Tuebingen, Rümelinstraße 23, 72070 Tuebingen, Germany (27) 'Vasile Pârvan' Institute of Archaeology, 64 Romanian Academy (28) Human Biology Department, Cardinal Stefan Wyszyński University, Warsaw, Poland 65 (29) KADUCEJ d.o.o Papandopulova 27, 21000 Split, Croatia (30) St. Cyril and Methodius University, Veliko 66 Turnovo, Bulgaria (31) Department of Early Prehistory and Quaternary Ecology, University of Tuebingen, Schloss 67 Hohentübingen, 72070 Tuebingen, Germany (32) INRAP/UMR 8215 Trajectoires, 21 Alleé de l'Université, 92023 68 Nanterre, France (33) Archaeological Museum of Istria, Carrarina 3, 52100 Pula, Croatia (34) Service Régional de 69 l'Archéologie de Bourgogne-Franche-Comté, 7 rue Charles Nodier, 25043 Besançon Cedex, France (35) 70 Laboratoire Chronoenvironnement, UMR 6249 du CNRS, UFR des Sciences et Techniques, 16 route de Gray, 71 72 73 74 75 76 25030 Besançon Cedex, France (36) Regional Museum of History Veliko Tarnovo, Veliko Tarnovo, Bulgaria (37) Institute for Archaeological Sciences, Paleoanthropology, University of Tuebingen, Rümelinstraße 23, 72070 Tuebingen, Germany (38) Laboratory for human bio-archaeology, Bulgaria, 1202 Sofia, 42, George Washington str (39) Regional Museum of History, Vratsa, Bulgaria (40) DRAC Auvergne - Rhône Alpes, Ministère de la Culture, Le Grenier d'abondance 6, quai Saint Vincent 69283 LYON cedex 01 (41) Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Science, Institute of Biology, Department of Biological Anthropology, H-1117 Pázmány Péter sétány 77 1/c. Budapest, Hungary (42) Department of Archaeology, Sofia University St. Kliment Ohridski, Bulgaria (43) 78 79 Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit, Research Laboratory for Archaeology and the History of Art, University of Oxford, Dyson Perrins Building, South Parks Road, OX1 3OY Oxford, UK (44) Regional Museum of History, 80 Haskovo, Bulgaria (45) Department of Anthropology, University of Wyoming, 1000 E. University Avenue, 81 Laramie, WY 82071, USA (46) Department of Archaeology, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, 82 University of Zagreb, Ivana Lučića 3, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia (47) Department of Anthropology and Institutes for 83 Energy and the Environment, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802 (48) Department of 84 Bioarchaeology, Institute of Archaeology, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (49) CHU Sainte-Justine 85 Research Center, Pediatric Department, Université de Montréal, Montreal, PQ, Canada, H3T 1C5 (50) National 86 History Museum of Romania, Calea Victoriei, no. 12, 030026, Bucharest, Romania (51) University of Bucharest, 87 Mihail Kogalniceanu 36-46, 50107, Bucharest, Romania (52) Institute for Pre- and Protohistoric Archaeology and 88 the Archaeology of the Roman Provinces, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Schellingstr. 12, 80799 Munich, 89 Germany (53) Dipartimento SAGAS - Sezione di Archeologia e Antico Oriente, Università degli Studi di Firenze, 90 50122 Florence, Italy (54) Museo e Istituto fiorentino di Preistoria, 50122 Florence, Italy (55) School of History, 91 Classics and Archaeology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH8 9AG, United Kingdom (56) Conservation <u>92</u> Department in Šibenik, Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Croatia, Jurja Čulinovića 1, 22000 Šibenik, Croatia 93 (57) Teleorman County Museum, str. 1848, no. 1, 140033 Alexandria, Romania (58) Peter the Great Museum of 94 Anthropology and Ethnography (Kunstkamera) RAS, 199034 St. Petersburg, Russia (59) University of Wisconsin, 95 Madison WI, USA (60) Olga Necrasov Centre for Anthropological Research, Romanian Academy - Iasi Branch, 96 Theodor Codrescu St. 2, P.C. 700481, Iași, Romania (61) Dipartimento di Scienze e tecnologie biologiche, 97 chimiche e farmaceutiche, Lab. of Anthropology, Università degli studi di Palermo, Italy (62) Anthropological 98 Center, Croatian Academy of Sciences and Arts, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia (63) Regional Historical Museum Varna, <u>9</u>9 Maria Luiza Blvd. 41, BG-9000 Varna, Bulgaria (64) National Museum in Belgrade, 1a Republic sq., Belgrade, 100 Serbia (65) Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 04103 Leipzig, 101 Germany (66) Department of Anthropology, Natural History Museum Vienna, 1010 Vienna, Austria (67) 102 INRAP/UMR 8215 Trajectoires, 21 Allée de l'Université, 92023 Nanterre, France (68) CNRS/UMR 7041 ArScAn 103 MAE, 21 Allée de l'Université, 92023 Nanterre, France (69) Institute of Ethnology and Anthropology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Leninsky Pr., 32a, Moscow, 119991, Russia (70) Archaeological Museum of Macedonia, 104 105 Skopje (71) Regional museum of history, Shumen, Bulgaria (72) Department of Anthropology, University of 106 Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 2S2, Canada (73) Institute of Archaeology & Ethnography, Siberian Branch, 107 Russian Academy of Sciences, Lavrentiev Pr. 17, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia (74) Satu Mare County Museum 108 Archaeology Department, V. Lucaciu, nr.21, Satu Mare, Romania (75) Municipal Museum Drniš, Domovinskog 109 rata 54, 22320 Drniš, Croatia (76) anthropol - Anthropologieservice, Schadenweilerstraße 80, 72379 Hechingen, 110 Germany (77) Institute for Prehistory, Early History and Medieval Archaeology, University of Tuebingen, 111 Germany (78) Institute of Latvian History, University of Latvia, Kalpaka Bulvāris 4, Rīga 1050, Latvia (79) 112 Department of Archaeology, Durham University, UK (80) School of Environmental Sciences: Geography, 113 University of Hull, Hull HU6 7RX, UK (81) Department of Biology, Grand Valley State University, Allendale, 114 Michigan, USA (82) Ephorate of Paleoanthropology and Speleology, Athens, Greece (83) The Italian Academy for 115 Advanced Studies in America, Columbia University, 1161 Amsterdam Avenue, New York, NY 10027, USA.

116 Abstract

Farming was first introduced to southeastern Europe in the mid-7th millennium BCE – 117 118 brought by migrants from Anatolia who settled in the region before spreading 119 throughout Europe. To clarify the dynamics of the interaction between the first farmers 120 and indigenous hunter-gatherers where they first met, we analyze genome-wide ancient 121 DNA data from 223 individuals who lived in southeastern Europe and surrounding 122 regions between 12000 and 500 BCE. We document previously uncharacterized genetic 123 structure, showing a West-East cline of ancestry in hunter-gatherers, and show that 124 some Aegean farmers had ancestry from a different lineage than the northwestern Anatolian lineage that formed the overwhelming ancestry of other European farmers. 125 126 We show that the first farmers of northern and western Europe passed through 127 southeastern Europe with limited admixture with local hunter-gatherers, but that some 128 groups mixed extensively, with relatively sex-balanced admixture compared to the male-129 biased hunter-gatherer admixture that prevailed later in the North and West. 130 Southeastern Europe continued to be a nexus between East and West after farming 131 arrived, with intermittent genetic contact from the Steppe up to 2,000 years before the 132 migration that replaced much of northern Europe's population.

133

134 Introduction

135 The southeastern quadrant of Europe was the beachhead in the spread of agriculture from its source in the Fertile Crescent of southwestern Asia. After the first appearance of agriculture 136 in the mid-7th millennium BCE.^{1,2} farming spread westward via a Mediterranean and 137 138 northwestward via a Danubian route, and was established in both Iberia and Central Europe by 5600 BCE.^{3,4} Ancient DNA studies have shown that the spread of farming across Europe 139 was accompanied by a massive movement of people⁵⁻⁸ closely related to the farmers of 140 northwestern Anatolia⁹⁻¹¹ but nearly all the ancient DNA from Europe's first farmers is from 141 central and western Europe, with only three individuals reported from the southeast.⁹ In the 142 143 millennia following the establishment of agriculture in the Balkan Peninsula, a series of complex societies formed, culminating in sites such as the mid-5th millennium BCE necropolis 144 at Varna, which has some of the earliest evidence of extreme inequality in wealth, with one 145 individual (grave 43) from whom we extracted DNA buried with more gold than is known 146 from any earlier site. By the end of the 6th millennium BCE, agriculture had reached eastern 147 Europe, in the form of the Cucuteni-Trypillian complex in the area of present-day Moldova, 148 Romania and Ukraine, including "mega-sites" that housed hundreds, perhaps thousands, of 149 people.¹² After around 4000 BCE, these settlements were largely abandoned, and 150

archaeological evidence documents cultural contacts with peoples of the Eurasian steppe.¹³
However, the population movements that accompanied these events have been unknown due
to the lack of ancient DNA.

154

155 **Results**

156 We generated genome-wide data from 223 ancient humans (214 reported for the first time), 157 from the Balkan Peninsula, the Carpathian Basin, the North Pontic Steppe and neighboring regions, dated to 12,000-500 BCE (Figure 1A, Supplementary Information Table 1, 158 159 Supplementary Information Note 1). We extracted DNA from skeletal remains in dedicated 160 clean rooms, built DNA libraries and enriched for DNA fragments overlapping 1.24 million single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), then sequenced the product and restricted to 161 libraries with evidence of authentic ancient DNA.^{7,10,14} We filtered out individuals with fewer 162 than 15,000 SNPs covered by at least one sequence, that had unexpected ancestry for their 163 164 archaeological context and were not directly dated. We report, but do not analyze, nine 165 individuals that were first-degree relatives of others in the dataset, resulting in an analysis dataset of 214 individuals. We analyzed these data together with 274 previously reported 166 ancient individuals,^{9-11,15-27} 799 present-day individuals genotyped on the Illumina "Human 167 Origins" array,²³ and 300 high coverage genomes from the Simons Genome Diversity Project 168 (SGDP).²⁸ We used principal component analysis (PCA; Figure 1B, Extended Data Figure 1), 169 supervised and unsupervised ADMIXTURE (Figure 1D, Extended Data Figure 2),²⁹ D-170 statistics, qpAdm and qpGraph,³⁰ along with archaeological and chronological information to 171 172 cluster the individuals into populations and investigate the relationships among them. 173 174 We described the individuals in our dataset in terms of their genetic relatedness to a hypothesized set of ancestral populations, which we refer to as their genetic ancestry. It has 175 176 previously been shown that the great majority of European ancestry derives from three distinct sources.²³ First, there is "hunter-gatherer-related" ancestry that is more closely related 177 to Mesolithic hunter-gatherers from Europe than to any other population, and that can be 178 179 further subdivided into "Eastern" (EHG) and "Western" (WHG) hunter-gatherer-related

180 ancestry.⁷ Second, there is "NW Anatolian Neolithic-related" ancestry related to the

181 Neolithic farmers of northwest Anatolia and tightly linked to the appearance of agriculture.^{9,10}

- 182 The third source, "steppe-related" ancestry, appears in Western Europe during the Late
- 183 Neolithic to Bronze Age transition and is ultimately derived from a population related to
- 184 Yamnaya steppe pastoralists.^{7,15} Steppe-related ancestry itself can be modeled as a mixture of
- 185 EHG-related ancestry, and ancestry related to Upper Palaeolithic hunter-gatherers of the
- 186 Caucasus (CHG) and the first farmers of northern Iran.^{19,21,22}

187 Hunter-Gatherer substructure and transitions

- 188 Of the 214 new individuals we report, 114 from Paleolithic, Mesolithic and eastern European
- 189 Neolithic contexts have almost entirely hunter-gatherer-related ancestry (in eastern Europe,
- 190 unlike western Europe, "Neolithic" refers to the presence of pottery, ³¹⁻³³ not necessarily to
- 191 farming). These individuals form a cline from WHG to EHG that is correlated with geography
- 192 (Figure 1B), although it is neither geographically nor temporally uniform (Figure 2, Extended
- 193 Data Figure 3), and there is also substructure in phenotypically important variants
- 194 (Supplementary Information Note 2).
- 195

196 From present-day Ukraine, our study reports new genome-wide data from five Mesolithic
197 individuals from ~9500-6000 BCE, and 31 Neolithic individuals from ~6000-3500 BCE. On the

198 cline from WHG- to EHG-related ancestry, the Mesolithic individuals fall towards the East,

199 intermediate between EHG and Mesolithic hunter-gatherers from Sweden (Figure 1B).⁷ The

200 Neolithic population has a significant difference in ancestry compared to the Mesolithic

201 (Figures 1B, Figure 2), with a shift towards WHG shown by the statistic D(Mbuti, WHG,

202 Ukraine_Mesolithic, Ukraine_Neolithic); Z=8.9 (Supplementary Information Table 2).

203 Unexpectedly, one Neolithic individual from Dereivka (I3719), which we directly date to

204 4949-4799 BCE, has entirely NW Anatolian Neolithic-related ancestry.

205

206 The pastoralist Bronze Age Yamnaya complex originated on the Eurasian steppe and is a 207 plausible source for the dispersal of steppe-related ancestry into central and western Europe around 2500 BCE.¹³ All previously reported Yamnava individuals were from Samara⁷ and 208 Kalmvkia¹⁵ in southwest Russia, and had entirely steppe-related ancestry. Here, we report 209 three Yamnaya individuals from further West – from Ukraine and Bulgaria – and show that 210 211 while they all have high levels of steppe-related ancestry, one from Ozera in Ukraine and one 212 from Bulgaria (11917 and Bul4, both dated to ~3000 BCE) have NW Anatolian Neolithic-213 related admixture, the first evidence of such ancestry in Yamnaya -associated individuals 214 (Figure 1B,D, Supplementary Data Table 2). Two Copper Age individuals (I4110 and I6561, 215 Ukraine Eneolithic) from Dereivka and Alexandria dated to ~3600-3400 BCE (and thus preceding the Yamnaya complex) also have mixtures of steppe- and NW Anatolian Neolithic-216 217 related ancestry (Figure 1D, Supplementary Data Table 2).

218

219 At Zvejnieki in Latvia (17 newly reported individuals, and additional data for 5 first reported

in Ref. 34) we observe a transition in hunter-gatherer-related ancestry that is the opposite of

that seen in Ukraine. We find (Supplementary Data Table 3) that Mesolithic and Early

222 Neolithic individuals (Latvia_HG) associated with the Kunda and Narva cultures have

ancestry intermediate between WHG (~70%) and EHG (~30%), consistent with previous

- 224 reports.³⁴⁻³⁶ We also detect a shift in ancestry between the Early Neolithic and individuals
- associated with the Middle Neolithic Comb Ware Complex (Latvia MN), who have more
- 226 EHG-related ancestry (we estimate 65% EHG, but two of four individuals appear almost
- 227 100% EHG in PCA). The most recent individual, associated with the Final Neolithic Corded
- 228 Ware Complex (I4629, Latvia LN), attests to another ancestry shift, clustering closely with
- 229 Yamnaya from Samara,⁷ Kalmykia¹⁵ and Ukraine (Figure 2).
- 230
- 231 We report new Upper Palaeolithic and Mesolithic data from southern and western Europe.¹⁷
- 232 Sicilian (I2158) and Croatian (I1875) individuals dating to ~12,000 and 6100 BCE cluster with
- 233 previously reported western hunter-gatherers (Figure 1B&D), including individuals from
- Loschbour²³ (Luxembourg, 6100 BCE), Bichon¹⁹ (Switzerland, 11,700 BCE), and Villabruna¹⁷
- 235 (Italy 12,000 BCE). These results demonstrate that WHG populations²³ were widely
- distributed from the Atlantic seaboard of Europe in the West, to Sicily in the South, to the
- 237 Balkan Peninsula in the Southeast, for at least six thousand years.
- 238

239 A particularly important hunter-gatherer population that we report is from the Iron Gates

- 240 region that straddles the border of present-day Romania and Serbia. This population
- 241 (Iron_Gates_HG) is represented in our study by 40 individuals from five sites. Modeling Iron
- 242 Gates hunter-gatherers as a mixture of WHG and EHG (Supplementary Table 3) shows that
- they are intermediate between WHG (~85%) and EHG (~15%). However, this *qpAdm* model
- does not fit well (p=0.0003, Supplementary table 3) and the Iron Gates hunter-gatherers carry
- 245 mitochondrial haplogroup K1 (7/40) as well as other subclades of haplogroups U (32/40) and
- 246 H (1/40). This contrasts with WHG, EHG and Scandinavian hunter-gatherers who almost all
- 247 carry haplogroups U5 or U2. One interpretation is that the Iron Gates hunter-gatherers have
- ancestry that is not present in either WHG or EHG. Possible scenarios include genetic contact
- 249 between the ancestors of the Iron Gates population and Anatolia, or that the Iron Gates
- 250 population is related to the source population from which the WHG split during a re-
- 251 expansion into Europe from the Southeast after the Last Glacial Maximum.^{17,37}
- 252
- A notable finding from the Iron Gates concerns the four individuals from the site of Lepenski
- Vir, two of whom (I4665 & I5405, 6200-5600 BCE), have entirely NW Anatolian Neolithic-
- 255 related ancestry. Strontium and Nitrogen isotope data³⁸ indicate that both these individuals
- were migrants from outside the Iron Gates, and ate a primarily terrestrial diet (Supplementary
- 257 Information section 1). A third individual (I4666, 6070 BCE) has a mixture of NW Anatolian
- 258 Neolithic-related and hunter-gatherer-related ancestry and ate a primarily aquatic diet, while a
- 259 fourth, probably earlier, individual (I5407) had entirely hunter-gatherer-related ancestry
- 260 (Figure 1D, Supplementary Information section 1). We also identify one individual from

- Padina (I5232), dated to 5950 BCE that had a mixture of NW Anatolian Neolithic-related and
 hunter-gatherer-related ancestry. These results demonstrate that the Iron Gates was a region of
 interaction between groups distinct in both ancestry and subsistence strategy.
- 264

265 **Population transformations in the first farmers**

266 Neolithic populations from present-day Bulgaria, Croatia, Macedonia, Serbia and Romania 267 cluster closely with the NW Anatolian Neolithic farmers (Figure 1), consistent with archaeological evidence.³⁹ Modeling Balkan Neolithic populations as a mixture of NW 268 269 Anatolian Neolithic and WHG, we estimate that 98% (95% confidence interval [CI]; 97-100%) of their ancestry is NW Anatolian Neolithic-related. A striking exception is evident in 270 271 8 out of 9 individuals from Malak Preslavets in present-day Bulgaria.⁴⁰ These individuals lived in the mid-6th millennium BCE and have significantly more hunter-gatherer-related 272 273 ancestry than other Balkan Neolithic populations (Figure 1B,D, Extended Data Figures 1-3, 274 Supplementary Tables 2-4); a model of 82% (CI: 77-86%) NW Anatolian Neolithic-related, 275 15% (CI: 12-17%) WHG-related, and 4% (CI: 0-9%) EHG-related ancestry is a fit to the data. 276 This hunter-gatherer-related ancestry with a ~4:1 WHG:EHG ratio plausibly represents a 277 contribution from local Balkan hunter-gatherers genetically similar to those of the Iron Gates. 278 Late Mesolithic hunter-gatherers in the Balkans were likely concentrated along the coast and major rivers such as the Danube,⁴¹ which directly connects the Iron Gates with Malak 279 280 Preslavets. Thus, early farmer groups with the most hunter-gatherer-related ancestry may 281 have been those that lived close to the highest densities of hunter-gatherers.

282

283 In the Balkans, Copper Age populations (Balkans Chalcolithic) harbor significantly more 284 hunter-gatherer-related ancestry than Neolithic populations as shown, for example, by the 285 statistic D(Mbuti, WHG, Balkans Neolithic, Balkans Chalcolithic); Z=4.3 (Supplementary Data Table 2). This is roughly contemporary with the "resurgence" of hunter-gatherer 286 ancestry previously reported in central Europe and Iberia^{7,10,42} and is consistent with changes 287 288 in funeral rites, specifically the reappearance around 4500 BCE of the Mesolithic tradition of extended supine burial – in contrast to the Early Neolithic tradition of flexed burial.⁴³ Four 289 290 individuals associated with the Copper Age Trypillian population have ~80% NW Anatolian-291 related ancestry (Supplementary Table 3), confirming that the ancestry of the first farmers of 292 present-day Ukraine was largely derived from the same source as the farmers of Anatolia and 293 western Europe. Their ~20% hunter-gatherer ancestry is intermediate between WHG and 294 EHG, consistent with deriving from the Neolithic hunter-gatherers of the region. 295

We also report the first genetic data associated with the Late Neolithic Globular AmphoraComplex. Individuals from two Globular Amphora sites in Poland and Ukraine form a tight

- 298 cluster, showing high similarity over a large distance (Figure 1B,D). Both Globular Amphora
- 299 Complex groups of samples had more hunter-gatherer-related ancestry than Middle Neolithic
- 300 groups from Central Europe⁷ (we estimate 25% [CI: 22-27%] WHG ancestry, similar to
- 301 Chalcolithic Iberia, Supplementary Data Table 3). In east-central Europe, the Globular
- 302 Amphora Complex preceded or abutted the Corded Ware Complex that marks the appearance
- 303 of steppe-related ancestry,^{7,15} while in southeastern Europe, the Globular Amphora Complex
- 304 bordered populations with steppe-influenced material cultures for hundreds of years⁴⁴ and yet
- 305 the individuals in our study have no evidence of steppe-related ancestry, providing support for
- 306 the hypothesis that this material cultural frontier was also a barrier to gene flow.
- 307

The movements from the Pontic-Caspian steppe of individuals similar to those associated with the Yamnaya Cultural Complex in the 3rd millennium BCE contributed about 75% of the

ancestry of individuals associated with the Corded Ware Complex and about 50% of the

311 ancestry of succeeding material cultures such as the Bell Beaker Complex in central

- Europe.^{7,15} In two directly dated individuals from southeastern Europe, one (ANI163) from
- 313 the Varna I cemetery dated to 4711-4550 BCE and one (I2181) from nearby Smyadovo dated
- to 4550-4450 BCE, we find far earlier evidence of steppe-related ancestry (Figure 1B,D).
- 315 These findings push back the first evidence of steppe-related ancestry this far West in Europe
- 316 by almost 2,000 years, but it was sporadic as other Copper Age (~5000-4000 BCE) individuals
- from the Balkans have no evidence of it. Bronze Age (~3400-1100 BCE) individuals do have
- 318 steppe-related ancestry (we estimate 30%; CI: 26-35%), with the highest proportions in the
- four latest Balkan Bronze Age individuals in our data (later than ~1700 BCE) and the least in
- 320 earlier Bronze Age individuals (3400-2500 BCE; Figure 1D).
- 321

322 A novel source of ancestry in Neolithic Europe

An important question about the initial spread of farming into Europe is whether the first
 farmers that brought agriculture to northern Europe and to southern Europe were derived from

- 325 a single population or instead represent distinct migrations. We confirm that Mediterranean
- 326 populations, represented in our study by individuals associated with the Epicardial Early
- 327 Neolithic from Iberia⁷, are closely related to Danubian populations represented by the
- 328 *Linearbandkeramik* (LBK) from central Europe^{7,45} and that both are closely related to the
- 329 Balkan Neolithic population. These three populations form a clade with the NW Anatolian
- 330 Neolithic individuals as an outgroup, consistent with a single migration into the Balkan
- 331 peninsula, which then split into two (Supplementary Information Note 3).
- 332
- In contrast, five southern Greek Neolithic individuals (Peloponnese_Neolithic) three (plus
 one previously published²⁶) from Diros Cave and one from Franchthi Cave are not

- 335 consistent with descending from the same source population as other European farmers. D-
- 336 statistics (Supplementary Information Table 2) show that in fact, these "Peloponnese
- 337 Neolithic" individuals dated to ~4000 BCE are shifted away from WHG and towards CHG,
- relative to Anatolian and Balkan Neolithic individuals. We see the same pattern in a single
- 339 Neolithic individual from Krepost in present-day Bulgaria (I0679 d, 5718-5626 BCE). An
- 340 even more dramatic shift towards CHG has been observed in individuals associated with the
- 341 Bronze Age Minoan and Mycenaean cultures,²⁶ and thus there was gene flow into the region
- 342 from populations with CHG-rich ancestry throughout the Neolithic, Chalcolithic and Bronze
- 343 Age. Possible sources are related to the Neolithic population from the central Anatolian site of
- 344 Tepecik Ciftlik,²¹ or the Aegean site of Kumtepe,¹¹ who are also shifted towards CHG relative
- to NW Anatolian Neolithic samples, as are later Copper and Bronze Age Anatolians.^{10,26}
- 346

347 Sex-biased admixture between hunter-gatherers and farmers

We provide the first evidence for sex-biased admixture between hunter-gatherers and farmers 348 349 in Europe, showing that the Middle Neolithic "resurgence" of hunter-gatherer-related ancestry^{7,42} in central Europe and Iberia was driven more by males than by females (Figure 350 3B&C, Supplementary Data Table 5, Extended Data Figure 4). To document this we used 351 352 *qpAdm* to compute ancestry proportions on the autosomes and the X chromosome; since 353 males always inherit their X chromosome from their mothers, differences imply sex-biased 354 mixture. In the Balkan Neolithic there is no evidence of sex bias (Z=0.27 where a positive Z-355 score implies male hunter-gatherer bias), nor in the LBK and Iberian Early Neolithic (Z=-356 0.22 and 0.74). In the Copper Age there is clear bias: weak in the Balkans (Z=1.66), but stronger in Iberia (Z=3.08) and Central Europe (Z=2.74). Consistent with this, hunter-gatherer 357 mitochondrial haplogroups (haplogroup U)⁴⁶ are rare and within the intervals of genome-wide 358 ancestry proportions, but hunter-gatherer-associated Y chromosomes (haplogroups I, R1 and 359 $(C1)^{17}$ are more common: 7/9 in the Iberian Neolithic/Copper Age and 9/10 in Middle-Late 360 Neolithic Central Europe (Central MN and Globular Amphora) (Figure 3C). 361

362

363 No evidence that steppe-related ancestry moved through southeast Europe into Anatolia
 364 One version of the Steppe Hypothesis of Indo-European language origins suggests that Proto-

365 Indo-European languages developed north of the Black and Caspian seas, and that the earliest

- 366 known diverging branch Anatolian was spread into Asia Minor by movements of steppe
- 367 peoples through the Balkan peninsula during the Copper Age around 4000 BCE.⁴⁷ If this were
- 368 correct, then one way to detect evidence of it would be the appearance of large amounts of
- 369 steppe-related ancestry first in the Balkan Peninsula, and then in Anatolia. However, our data
- 370 show no evidence for this scenario. While we find sporadic examples of steppe-related
- ancestry in Balkan Copper and Bronze Age individuals, this ancestry is rare until the late

- 372 Bronze Age. Moreover, while Bronze Age Anatolian individuals have CHG-related
- ancestry,²⁶ they have neither the EHG-related ancestry characteristic of all steppe populations
- 374 sampled to date,¹⁹ nor the WHG-related ancestry that is ubiquitous in Neolithic southeastern
- 375 Europe (Extended Data Figure 2, Supplementary Data Table 2). An alternative hypothesis is
- that the ultimate homeland of Proto-Indo-European languages was in the Caucasus or in Iran.
- 377 In this scenario, westward movement contributed to the dispersal of Anatolian languages, and
- 378 northward movement and mixture with EHG was responsible for the formation of a "Late
- 379 Proto-Indo European"-speaking population associated with the Yamnaya Complex.¹³ While
- 380 this scenario gains plausibility from our results, it remains possible that Indo-European
- 381 languages were spread through southeastern Europe into Anatolia without large-scale
- 382 population movement or admixture.

383 Discussion

- 384 Our study shows that southeastern Europe consistently served as a genetic contact zone.
- 385 Before the arrival of farming, the region saw interaction between diverged groups of hunter-
- 386 gatherers, and this interaction continued after farming arrived. While this study has clarified
- 387 the genomic history of southeastern Europe from the Mesolithic to the Bronze Age, the
- 388 processes that connected these populations to the ones living today remain largely unknown.
- 389 An important direction for future research will be to sample populations from the Bronze
- 390 Age, Iron Age, Roman, and Medieval periods and to compare them to present-day
- 391 populations to understand how these transitions occurred.

392 M	et	hod	S
-------	----	-----	---

394 Ancient DNA Analysis

395 We extracted DNA and prepared next-generation sequencing libraries in four different

dedicated ancient DNA laboratories (Adelaide, Boston, Budapest, and Tuebingen). We also

397 prepared samples for extraction in a fifth laboratory (Dublin), from whence it was sent to

398 Boston for DNA extraction and library preparation (Supplementary Table 1).

399

400 Two samples were processed at the Australian Centre for Ancient DNA, Adelaide, Australia,
401 according to previously published methods⁷ and sent to Boston for subsequent screening,
402 1240k capture and sequencing.

403

404 Seven samples were processed²⁷ at the Institute of Archaeology RCH HAS, Budapest,
405 Hungary, and amplified libraries were sent to Boston for screening, 1240k capture and

406

sequencing.

407

408 Seventeen samples were processed at the Institute for Archaeological Sciences of the

409 University of Tuebingen and at the Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History in

410 Jena, Germany. Extraction⁴⁸ and library preparation^{49,50} followed established protocols. We

411 performed in-solution capture as described below ("1240k capture") and sequenced on an

412 Illumina HiSeq 4000 or NextSeq 500 for 76bp using either single- or paired-end sequencing.

413

414 The remaining 197 samples were processed at Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA. From about 75mg of sample powder from each sample (extracted in Boston or University College 415 Dublin, Dublin, Ireland), we extracted DNA following established methods⁴⁸ replacing the 416 column assembly with the column extenders from a Roche kit.⁵¹ We prepared double 417 418 barcoded libraries with truncated adapters from between one ninth and one third of the DNA 419 extract. Most libraries included in the nuclear genome analysis (90%) were subjected to 420 partial ("half") Uracil-DNA-glycosylase (UDG) treatment before blunt end repair. This 421 treatment reduces by an order of magnitude the characteristic cytosine-to-thymine errors of ancient DNA data⁵², but works inefficiently at the 5' ends,⁵⁰ thereby leaving a signal of 422 423 characteristic damage at the terminal ends of ancient sequences. Some libraries were not 424 UDG-treated ("minus"). For some samples we increased coverage by preparing additional 425 libraries from the existing DNA extract using the partial UDG library preparation, but replacing the MinElute column cleanups in between enzymatic reactions with magnetic bead 426 cleanups, and the final PCR cleanup with SPRI bead cleanup.^{53,54} 427

- 428 We screened all libraries from Adelaide, Boston and Budapest by enriching for the
- 429 mitochondrial genome plus about 3,000 (50 in an earlier, unpublished, version) nuclear SNPs
- 430 using a bead-capture⁵⁵ but with the probes replaced by amplified oligonucleotides synthesized
- 431 by CustomArray Inc. After the capture, we completed the adapter sites using PCR, attaching
- 432 dual index combinations⁵⁶ to each enriched library. We sequenced the products of between
- 433 100 and 200 libraries together with the non-enriched libraries (shotgun) on an Illumina
- 434 NextSeq500 using v2 150 cycle kits for 2x76 cycles and 2x7 cycles.
- 435

436 In Boston, we performed two rounds of in-solution enrichment ("1240k capture") for a targeted set of 1.237.207 SNPs using previously reported protocols.^{7,14,23} For a total of 34 437 individuals, we increased coverage by building one to eight additional libraries for the same 438 439 sample. When we built multiple libraries from the same extract, we often pooled them in 440 equimolar ratios before the capture. We performed all sequencing on an Illumina NextSeq500 441 using v2 150 cycle kits for 2x76 cycles and 2x7 cycles. We attempted to sequence each 442 enriched library up to the point where we estimated that it was economically inefficient to 443 sequence further. Specifically, we iteratively sequenced more and more from each individual 444 and only stopped when we estimated that the expected increase in the number of targeted 445 SNPs hit at least once would be less than about one for every 100 new read pairs generated. 446 After sequencing, we trimmed two bases from the end of each read and aligned to the human genome (b37/hg19) using *bwa*.⁵⁷ We then removed individuals with evidence of 447 contamination based on mitochondrial DNA polymorphism⁵⁸ or difference in PCA space 448 between damaged and undamaged reads⁵⁹, a high rate of heterozygosity on chromosome X 449 despite being male^{59,60}, or an atypical ratio of X-to-Y sequences. We also removed individuals 450 that had low coverage (fewer than 15,000 SNPs hit on the autosomes). We report, but do not 451 452 analyze, data from nine individuals that were first-degree relatives of others in the dataset (determined by comparing rates of allele sharing between pairs of individuals). 453

454

455 After removing a small number of sites that failed to capture, we were left with a total of 1,233,013 sites of which 32,670 were on chromosome X and 49,704 were on chromosome Y, 456 457 with a median coverage at targeted SNPs on the 214 newly reported individuals of 0.90 458 (range 0.007-9.2; Supplementary Table 1). We generated "pseudo-haploid" calls by selecting 459 a single read randomly for each individual at each SNP. Thus, there is only a single allele from each individual at each site, but adjacent alleles might come from either of the two 460 461 haplotypes of the individual. We merged the newly reported data with previously reported data from 274 other ancient individuals^{9-11,15-27}, making pseudo-haploid calls in the same way 462

- at the 1240k sites for individuals that were shotgun sequenced rather than captured.
- 464

465	Using t	he captured mitochondrial sequence from the screening process, we called				
466	mitochondrial haplotypes. Using the captured SNPs on the Y chromosome, we called Y					
467	chromosome haplogroups for males by restricting to sequences with mapping quality ≥ 30 and					
468	bases with base quality \geq 30. We determined the most derived mutation for each individual,					
469	using th	ne nomenclature of the International Society of Genetic Genealogy				
470	(http://www.isogg.org) version 11.110 (21 April 2016).					
471						
472	Popula	tion genetic analysis				
473	To anal	yze these ancient individuals in the context of present day genetic diversity, we				
474 475	merged them with the following two datasets:					
476	1.	300 high coverage genomes from a diverse worldwide set of 142 populations				
477		sequenced as part of the Simons Genome Diversity Project ²⁸ (SGDP merge).				
478 479	2.	799 West Eurasian individuals genotyped on the Human Origins array ²³ , with				
480	2.	597,573 sites in the merged dataset (HO merge).				
481		57,575 sites in the merged dataset (110 merge).				
482	We con	nputed principal components of the present-day individuals in the HO merge and				
483		ed the ancient individuals onto the first two components using the " <i>lsqproject: YES</i> "				
484	option in <i>smartpca</i> (v15100) ⁶¹ (https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/alkes-price/software/).					
485	-1					
486	We ran	ADMIXTURE (v1.3.0) in both supervised and unsupervised mode. In supervised mode				
487	we used only the ancient individuals, on the full set of SNPs, and the following population					
488	labels f	ixed:				
489		Anatolia_Neolithic				
490		• WHG				
491 492		 EHG Yamnaya 				
493	F					
494		upervised mode we used the HO merge, including 799 present-day individuals. We				
495	flagged individuals that were genetic outliers based on PCA and ADMIXTURE, relative to					
496	other in	dividuals from the same time period and archaeological culture.				
497	Wasser	enuted D statistics using an Detect (1710). D statistics of the form D(A D X X) test the				
498	We computed <i>D</i> -statistics using $qpDstat$ (v710). <i>D</i> -statistics of the form D(A,B,X,Y) test the null humathesis of the unrested tree tonelosy ((A, P) (X, Y)). A positive value indicates that					
499 500	null hypothesis of the unrooted tree topology $((A,B),(X,Y))$. A positive value indicates that					
	either A and X, or B and Y, share more drift than expected under the null hypothesis. We					
501	quote L	0-statistics as the Z-score computed using default block jackknife parameters.				
502						

503 We fitted admixture proportions with qpAdm (v610) using the SGDP merge. Given a set of 504 outgroup ("right") populations, *qpAdm* models one of a set of source ("left") populations (the 505 "test" population) as a mixture of the other sources by fitting admixture proportions to match 506 the observed matrix of f_4 -statistics as closely as possible. We report a p-value for the null 507 hypothesis that the test population does not have ancestry from another source that is 508 differentially related to the right populations. We computed standard errors for the mixture 509 proportions using a block jackknife. Importantly, *qpAdm* does not require that the source 510 populations are actually the admixing populations, only that they are a clade with the correct 511 admixing populations, relative to the other sources. Infeasible coefficient estimates (i.e. 512 outside [0,1]) are usually a sign of poor model fit, but in the case where the source with a 513 negative coefficient is itself admixed, could be interpreted as implying that the true source is a 514 population with different admixture proportions. We used the following set of seven 515 populations as outgroups or "right populations": 516 Mbuti.DG Ust Ishim HG published.DG 517 518 Mota.SG • MA1 HG.SG 519 Villabruna 520 521 • Papuan.DG Onge.DG 522 523 Han.DG • 524 525 For some analyses where we required extra resolution (Extended Data Table 4) we used an extended set of 14 right (outgroup) populations, including additional Upper Paleolithic 526 European individuals¹⁷: 527 528 ElMiron • Mota.SG 529 • 530 Mbuti.DG • 531 Ust Ishim HG published.DG • 532 MA1 HG.SG AfontovaGora3 533 • 534 GoyetQ116-1 published • 535 Villabruna • 536 Kostenki14 • 537 Vestonice16 Karitiana.DG 538 •

- 538 Karitiana.DG 539 • Papuan.DG
- 540 Onge.DG
 - Han.DG

- 543 We also fitted admixture graphs with *qpGraph* (v6021)³⁰ (https://github.com/DReichLab/
- AdmixTools, Supplementary Information, section 3). Like *qpAdm*, *qpGraph* also tries to
- 545 match a matrix of *f*-statistics, but rather than fitting one population as a mixture of other,

- 546 specified, populations, it fits the relationship between all tested populations simultaneously,
- 547 potentially incorporating multiple admixture events. However, *qpGraph* requires the graph
- relating populations to be specified in advance. We tested goodness-of-fit by computing the
- 549 expected *D*-statistics under the fitted model, finding the largest *D*-statistic outlier between the
- 550 fitted and observed model, and computing a *Z*-score using a block jackknife.
- 551
- 552 For 116 individuals with hunter-gatherer-related ancestry we estimated an effective migration
- surface using the software *EEMS* (https://github.com/dipetkov/eems)⁶². We computed
- pairwise differences between individuals using the *bed2diffs2* program provided with *EEMS*.
- 555 We set the number of demes to 400 and defined the outer boundary of the region by the
- 556 polygon (in latitude-longitude co-ordinates) [(66,60), (60,10), (45,-15), (35,-10), (35,60)]. We
- ran the MCMC ten times with different random seeds, each time with one million burn-in and
- 558 four million regular iterations, thinned to one in ten thousand.
- 559

560 To analyze potential sex bias in admixture, we used *qpAdm* to estimate admixture proportions

- on the autosomes (default option) and on the X chromosome (option "chrom: 23"). We
- 562 computed Z-scores for the difference between the autosomes and the X chromosome as Z =
- 563 $\frac{p_A p_X}{\sqrt{\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_X^2}}$ where p_A and p_X are the hunter-gatherer admixture proportions on the autosomes and

the X chromosome, and σ_A and σ_X are the corresponding jackknife standard deviations. Thus, a positive Z-score means that there is more hunter-gatherer admixture on the autosomes than on the X chromosome, indicating that the hunter-gatherer admixture was male-biased.

- 567 Because X chromosome standard errors are high and *qpAdm* results can be sensitive to which 568 population is first in the list of outgroup populations, we checked that the patterns we observe
- 569 were robust to cyclic permutation of the outgroups. To compare frequencies of hunter-
- 570 gatherer uniparental markers, we counted the individuals with mitochondrial haplogroup U
- and Y chromosome haplogroups C2, I2 and R1, which are all common in Mesolithic hunter-
- 572 gatherers but rare or absent in Anatolian Neolithic individuals. The Iron Gates hunter-
- 573 gatherers also carry H and K1 mitochondrial haplogroups so the proportion of haplogroup U
- 574 represents the minimum maternal hunter-gatherer contribution. We computed binomial
- 575 confidence intervals for the proportion of haplogroups associated with each ancestry type
- 576 using the Agresti-Coull method^{63,64} implemented in the *binom* package in *R*.
- 577
- 578 Given autosomal and X chromosome admixture proportions, we estimated the proportion of
- 579 male and female hunter-gatherer ancestors by assuming a single-pulse model of admixture. If
- 580 the proportions of male and female ancestors that are hunter-gatherer-related are given by m
- and *f*, respectively, then the proportions of hunter-gatherer-related ancestry on the autosomes

- and the X chromosome are given by $\frac{m+f}{2}$ and $\frac{m+2f}{3}$. We approximated the sampling error in the observed admixture proportions by the estimated jackknife error and computed the likelihood surface for (m, f) over a grid ranging from (0,0) to (1,1).
- 585

586 Direct AMS ¹⁴C Bone Dates

We report 113 new direct AMS ¹⁴C bone dates for 112 individuals from multiple AMS 587 588 radiocarbon laboratories. In general, bone samples were manually cleaned and demineralized 589 in weak HCl and, in most cases (PSU, UCIAMS, OxA), soaked in an alkali bath (NaOH) at 590 room temperature to remove contaminating soil humates. Samples were then rinsed to neutrality in Nanopure H₂O and gelatinized in HCL.⁶⁵ The resulting gelatin was lyophilized 591 and weighed to determine percent yield as a measure of collagen preservation (% crude 592 gelatin vield). Collagen was then directly AMS ¹⁴C dated (Beta, AA) or further purified using 593 ultrafiltration (PSU, UCIAMS, OxA, Poz. MAMS).⁶⁶ It is standard in some laboratories 594 (PSU/UCIAMS, OxA) to use stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes as an additional quality 595 control measure. For these samples, the %C, %N and C:N ratios were evaluated before AMS 596

- ¹⁴C dating.⁶⁷ C:N ratios for well-preserved samples fall between 2.9 and 3.6, indicating good
- 598 collagen preservation.⁶⁸ For 94 new samples, we also report δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values
- 599 (Supplementary Table 6).
- 600

All ¹⁴C ages were δ^{13} C-corrected for mass dependent fractionation with measured ¹³C/¹²C values⁶⁹ and calibrated with OxCal version 4.2.3⁷⁰ using the IntCal13 northern hemisphere calibration curve.⁷⁰ For hunter-gatherers from the Iron Gates, the direct ¹⁴C dates tend to be overestimates because of the freshwater reservoir effect (FRE), which arises because of a diet including fish that consumed ancient carbon, and for these individuals we performed a correction (Supplementary Information Note 1),⁷¹ assuming that 100% FRE = 545±70 yr, and δ^{15} N values of 8.3% and 17.0% for 100% terrestrial and aquatic diets, respectively.

608

609 Data Availability

610 The aligned sequences are available through the European Nucleotide Archive under

- 611 accession number PRJEB22652. Pseudo-haploid genotype datasets used in analysis and
- 612 consensus mitochondrial genomes are available at https://reich.hms.harvard.edu/datasets.
- 613

614 Acknowledgments

615 We thank David Anthony, Iosif Lazaridis, and Mark Lipson for comments on the manuscript,

616 Bastien Llamas and Alan Cooper for contributions to laboratory work, Richard Evershed for

- 617 contributing ¹⁴C dates and Friederike Novotny for assistance with samples. Support for this
- 618 project was provided by the Human Frontier Science Program fellowship LT001095/2014-L
- to I.M.; by DFG grant AL 287 / 14-1 to K.W.A.; by Irish Research Council grant
- 620 GOIPG/2013/36 to D.F.; by the NSF Archaeometry program BCS-1460369 to DJK (for AMS
- 621 ¹⁴C work at Penn State); by MEN-UEFISCDI grant, Partnerships in Priority Areas Program –
- 622 PN II (PN-II-PT-PCCA-2013-4-2302) to C.L.; by Croatian Science Foundation grant IP-
- 623 2016-06-1450 to M.N.; by European Research Council grant ERC StG 283503 and Deutsche
- 624 Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG FOR2237 to K.H.; by ERC starting grant ADNABIOARC
- 625 (263441) to R.P.; and by US National Science Foundation HOMINID grant BCS-1032255,
- 626 US National Institutes of Health grant GM100233, and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute
- 627 to D.R.
- 628

629 Author Contributions

- 630 SAR, AS-N, SVai, SA, KWA, RA, DA, AA, NA, KB, MBG, HB, MB, ABo, YB, ABu, JB,
- 631 SC, NC, RC, MC, CC, DD, NE, MFr, BGal, GG, BGe, THa, VH, KH, THi, SI, IJ, IKa, DKa,
- 632 AK, DLa, MLa, CL, MLe, KL, DLV, DLo, IL, MMa, FM, KM, HM, MMe, PM, VM, VP,
- TDP, ASi, LS, MŠ, VS, PS, ASt, TS, MT-N, CT, IV, FVa, SVas, FVe, SV, EV, BV, CV, JZ,
- 634 SZ, PWS, GC, RK, DC, GZ, BGay, MLi, AGN, IP, AP, DB, CB, JK, RP & DR assembled
- and interpreted archaeological material. CP, AS-N, NR, NB, FC, OC, DF, MFe, BGam, GGF,
- 636 WH, EH, EJ, DKe, BK-K, IKu, MMi, AM, KN, MN, JO, SP, KSi, KSt & SVai performed
- 637 laboratory work. IM, CP, AS-N, SM, IO, NP & DR analyzed data. DJK, ST, DB, CB
- 638 interpreted ¹⁴C dates. JK, RP & DR supervised analysis or laboratory work. IM & DR wrote
- 639 the paper, with input from all co-authors.



Figure 1: Geographic locations and genetic structure of newly reported individuals. A: 642 Location and groupings of newly reported individuals. **B**: Individuals projected onto axes 643 644 defined by the principal components of 799 present-day West Eurasians (not shown in this 645 plot for clarity, but shown in Extended Data Figure 1). Projected points include selected published individuals (faded colored circles, labeled) and newly reported individuals (other 646 647 symbols; outliers shown by additional black circles). Colored polygons indicate the 648 individuals that had cluster memberships fixed at 100% for the supervised admixture analysis 649 in D. C: Estimated age (direct or contextual) for each sample. Approximate chronology used 650 in southeastern Europe shown to the right **D**: Supervised ADMIXTURE plot, modeling each 651 ancient individual (one per row), as a mixture of populations represented by clusters containing Anatolian Neolithic (grey), Yamnaya from Samara (yellow), EHG (pink) and 652 653 WHG (green). Dates indicate approximate range of individuals in each population. Map data 654 in A from the *R* package *mapdata*.

640 **Figures**



- 656 Figure 2: Structure and population change in European populations with hunter-gatherer-
- related ancestry. This figure shows inferred ancestry proportions for populations modeled as a
- mixture of WHG, EHG and CHG (Supplementary Table S3.1.3). Dashed lines show
- populations from the same geographic region. Standard errors range from 1.5-8.3%
- 660 (Supplementary Table S3.1.3).
- 661





663 Figure 3: Structure and population change in European populations with NW Anatolian 664 Neolithic-related ancestry. A: each population is modeled as a mixture of NW Anatolia Neolithic, WHG, and EHG. Dashed lines show temporal relationships between populations 665 from the same geographic areas with similar ancestries. Standard errors range from 0.7-6.0% 666 (Supplementary Table S3.2.2). B: Z-scores for the difference in hunter-gatherer-related 667 668 ancestry on the autosomes compared to the X chromosome when populations are modeled as a mixture of NW Anatolia Neolithic and WHG. A positive score indicates that there is more 669 hunter-gatherer-related ancestry on the autosomes and therefore the hunter-gatherer-related 670 ancestry is male-biased. C: Hunter-gatherer-related ancestry proportions on the autosomes, X 671 672 chromosome, mitochondrial DNA (i.e. mt haplogroup U), and the Y chromosome (i.e. Y chromosome haplogroups I2, R1 and C2). Bars show approximate 95% confidence intervals. 673 674 "Combined" populations merge all individuals from different times from a geographic area. 675

676 Extended Data Figures



679 Extended Data Figure 1: PCA of all ancient individuals, projected onto principal

- 680 components defined by 799 present-day West Eurasian individuals. (This differs from Figure
- 1B in that the plot is not cropped and the present-day individuals are shown.)

K4 CV=0.398	K5 CV=0.398	K6 CV=0.397	K7 CV=0.395	K8 CV=0.394	K9 CV=0.394	K10 CV=0.394	K11 CV=0.394	K12 CV=0.39	
									WHG (12300–5300 BCE) EHG (6300–5600 BCE) Ukraine_Mesolithic
	1								(8700-6200 BCE)
<u>. </u>	Ą			<i>.</i>					Ukraine_Neolithic (6200–4600 BCE)
									Ukraine_Eneolithic (4200-3500 BCE)
			1				·		Iron_Gates_HG
									(9500-5500 BCE)
				, 					Romania_HG (6000 BCE)
				н ————————————————————————————————————	Ţ	<u> </u>			Latvia_HG (7300-4800 BCE)
									Latvia_MN (4200-4000 BCE) Latvia_LN (2900 BCE) Yamnaya_Ukraine (~3000 BCE) Yamnaya_Bulgaria (3000 BCE)
	-			- -					Balkans_Neolithic (6000-4500 BCE)
									Lepenski_Vir (7800–5700 BCE) Malak_Preslavets
									(6000–5700 BCE) Peloponnese_Neolithic (4600–3800 BCE) N. Greece_Neolithic
		<u>, 22.</u>	4 4				<u>بن</u>		N_Greece_Neolithic (6400-4100 BCE) Minoan (2000-1900 BCE)
							# #		Krepost_Neolithic (5700 BCE) Balkans_Chalcolithic (4700-3500 BCE)
									Varna (4600–4500 BCE) Trypilla (3800–3700 BCE)
				<u> </u>	· . 3		<u> </u>		Vucedol (2800–2700 BCE) Balkans_BronzeAge (3200–800 BCE)
				r T					Balkans_IronAge (400 BCE) Anatolia_BronzeAge (2700-2400 BCE)
				i i					LBK_EN (5200-5000 BCE)
	<u>''</u>								(5100–5000 BCE) Central_MN (3800–3200 BCE) Globular_Amphora
	,			<u>.</u>					(3200-2700 BCE) Iberia_EN (5300-5200 BCE) Iberia_MN (~3800 BCE)
									Iberia_Chalcolithic (2700-2500 BCE)
							5-		Levant_N (8100-6800 BCE)
									Iran_N (8100-7800 BCE) CHG (11300-7800 BCE)
	/			-	and the second se				Anatolia_Neolithic (6400-5900 BCE)
									Yamnaya_Samara (3100-2800 BCE)
							i s f		Corded_Ware_Germany (2500-2300 BCE)

683 **Extended Data Figure 2:** Unsupervised ADMIXTURE plot from k=4 to 12, on a dataset

684 consisting of 1099 present-day individuals and 476 ancient individuals. We show newly

685 reported ancient individuals and some previously published individuals for comparison.





Extended Data Figure 3: Spatial structure in hunter-gatherers. Estimated effective migration 688 surface (EEMS).⁶² This fits a model of genetic relatedness where individuals move (in a 689 random direction) from generation to generation on an underlying grid so that genetic 690 691 relatedness is determined by distance. The migration parameter *m* defines the local rate of 692 migration, varies on the grid and is inferred. This plot shows log_{10} m, scaled relative to the average migration rate (which is arbitrary). Thus log10(m)=2, for example, implies that the 693 694 rate of migration at this point on the grid is 100 times higher than average. To restrict as much 695 as possible to hunter-gatherer structure, the migration surface is inferred using data from 116 696 individuals from populations that date earlier than ~5000 BCE and have no NW Anatolian-697 related ancestry. Though the migration surface is sensitive to sampling, and fine-scale 698 features may not be interpretable, the migration "barrier" (region of low migration) running 699 north-south and separating populations with primarily WHG from primarily EHG ancestry 700 seems to be robust, and consistent with inferred admixture proportions. This analysis suggests 701 that Mesolithic hunter-gatherer population structure was clustered and not smoothly clinal, in 702 the sense that genetic differentiation did not vary consistently with distance. Superimposed 703 on this background, pies show the WHG, EHG and CHG ancestry proportions inferred for 704 populations used to construct the migration surface (another way of visualizing the data in 705 show in Figure 2, Supplementary Table 3.1.3 – we use two population models if they fit with 706 p>0.1, and three population models otherwise). Pies with only a single color have been fixed 707 to be the source populations.



Figure 4: log-likelihood surfaces for the proportion of female (x-axis) and male (y-axis) ancestors that are hunter-gatherer-related for the combined populations analyzed in Figure 3C, and the two populations with the strongest evidence for sex-bias. Loglikelihood scale ranges from 0 to -10, where 0 is the feasible point with the highest likelihood.

708

715 Supplementary Tables

- 716 **Supplementary Table 1**: Details of ancient individuals analyzed in this study.
- 717 **Supplementary Table 2**: Key *D*-statistics to support statements about population history.
- 718 **Supplementary Table 3**: *qpAdm* models with 7-population outgroup set.
- 719 **Supplementary Table 4**: *qpAdm* models with extended 14-population outgroup set.
- 720 **Supplementary Table 5**: *qpAdm* models for Neolithic populations for chromosome X.
- 721 **Supplementary Table 6**: Additional ¹⁴C dating information.

722 **References**

- Tringham, R. E. in *The Transition to Agriculture in Prehistoric Europe* (ed D. Price)
 19-56 (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
 Bellwood, P. *First Farmers: The Origins of Agricultural Societies*. 2nd edn, (Wiley-
- Bellwood, P. First Farmers: The Origins of Agricultural Societies. 2nd edn, (Wiley Blackwell, 2004).
- Golitko, M. in Ancient Europe, 8000 B.C. to A.D. 1000: An Encyclopedia of the
 Barbarian World (eds P. Bogucki & P.J. Crabtree) 259-266 (Charles Scribners &
 Sons, 2003).
- Vander Linden, M. in *Investigating Archaeological Cultures: Material Culture, Variability, and Transmission* (eds B.W. Roberts & M. Vander Linden) 289-319
 (Springer, 2012).
- Bramanti, B. *et al.* Genetic discontinuity between local hunter-gatherers and central
 Europe's first farmers. *Science* 326, 137-140 (2009).
- Skoglund, P. *et al.* Origins and genetic legacy of Neolithic farmers and huntergatherers in Europe. *Science* 336, 466-469 (2012).
- 737 7 Haak, W. *et al.* Massive migration from the steppe was a source for Indo-European languages in Europe. *Nature* 522, 207-211 (2015).
- Cassidy, L. M. *et al.* Neolithic and Bronze Age migration to Ireland and
 establishment of the insular Atlantic genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113,
- 740
 establishment of the insular Atlantic genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. O. S. A.* **113**,

 741
 368-373 (2016).
- 7429Hofmanova, Z. et al. Early farmers from across Europe directly descended from743Neolithic Aegeans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113, 6886-6891 (2016).
- Mathieson, I. *et al.* Genome-wide patterns of selection in 230 ancient Eurasians.
 Nature 528, 499-503 (2015).
- 74611Omrak, A. *et al.* Genomic Evidence Establishes Anatolia as the Source of the747European Neolithic Gene Pool. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 270-275 (2016).
- Müller, J., Rassmann, K. & Videiko, M. *Trypillia Mega-Sites and European Prehistory: 4100–3400 BCE.*, (Routledge, 2016).
- Anthony, D. W. *The horse the wheel and language*. (Princeton University Press, 2007).
- Fu, Q. *et al.* An early modern human from Romania with a recent Neanderthal ancestor. *Nature* (2015).
- Allentoft, M. E. *et al.* Population genomics of Bronze Age Eurasia. *Nature* 522, 167172 (2015).
- 75616Fu, Q. *et al.* Genome sequence of a 45,000-year-old modern human from western757Siberia. Nature 514, 445-449 (2014).
- 758 17 Fu, Q. *et al.* The genetic history of Ice Age Europe. *Nature* **534**, 200-205 (2016).
- Gallego Llorente, M. *et al.* Ancient Ethiopian genome reveals extensive Eurasian
 admixture in Eastern Africa. *Science* 350, 820-822 (2015).
- Jones, E. R. *et al.* Upper Palaeolithic genomes reveal deep roots of modern Eurasians.
 Nature communications 6, 8912 (2015).

763 764	20	Keller, A. <i>et al.</i> New insights into the Tyrolean Iceman's origin and phenotype as inferred by whole-genome sequencing. <i>Nature communications</i> 3 , 698 (2012).
765 766	21	Kilinc, G. M. <i>et al.</i> The Demographic Development of the First Farmers in Anatolia. <i>Curr. Biol.</i> (2016).
767	22	Lazaridis, I. <i>et al.</i> Genomic insights into the origin of farming in the ancient Near
768		East. Nature 536, 419-424 (2016).
769	23	Lazaridis, I. et al. Ancient human genomes suggest three ancestral populations for
770		present-day Europeans. Nature 513, 409-413 (2014).
771	24	Olalde, I. et al. Derived immune and ancestral pigmentation alleles in a 7,000-year-
772		old Mesolithic European. Nature 507, 225-228 (2014).
773	25	Raghavan, M. et al. Upper Palaeolithic Siberian genome reveals dual ancestry of
774	•	Native Americans. <i>Nature</i> 505 , 87-91 (2014).
775	26	Lazaridis, I. <i>et al.</i> Genetic origins of the Minoans and Mycenaeans. <i>Nature</i> 548 , 214-
776	27	218 (2017).
777	27	Lipson, M. <i>et al.</i> Parallel ancient genomic transects reveal complex population
778	20	history of early European farmers. <i>bioRxiv</i> , https://doi.org/10.1101/114488 (2017).
779 780	28	Mallick, S. <i>et al.</i> The Simons Genome Diversity Project: 300 genomes from 142
780 781	29	diverse populations. <i>Nature</i> 538 , 201-206 (2016). Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J. & Lange, K. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry
782	29	in unrelated individuals. <i>Genome Res.</i> 19 , 1655-1664 (2009).
782	30	Patterson, N. <i>et al.</i> Ancient admixture in human history. <i>Genetics</i> 192 , 1065-1093
784	50	(2012).
785	31	Gronenborn, D. & Dolukhanov, P. in <i>The Oxford Handbook of Neolithic Europe</i>
786	01	(eds C. Fowler, J. Harding, & D. Hofmann) 195-214 (Oxford University Press,
787		2015).
788	32	Telegin, D. Ya. Neolithic cultures of Ukraine and their chronology. Journal of World
789		Prehistory I, 307-331 (1987).
790	33	Telegin, D. Ya. & Potekhina, I. D. Neolithic cemeteries and populations in the
791		Dnieper Basin. (British Archaeological Reports, 1987).
792	34	Jones, E. R. et al. The Neolithic Transition in the Baltic Was Not Driven by
793		Admixture with Early European Farmers. Curr. Biol., 2185-2193 (2017).
794	35	Mittnik, A. et al. The Genetic History of Northern Europe. bioRxiv,
795	•	https://doi.org/10.1101/113241 (2017).
796	36	Saag, L. <i>et al.</i> Extensive farming in Estonia started through a sex-biased migration
797 700	27	from the Steppe. <i>Curr. Biol.</i> 27 , 2185-2193 (2017).
798 799	37	Maier, A. The Central European Magdalenian: Regional Diversity and Internal
800	38	<i>Variability.</i> , (Springer, 2015). Borić, D. & Price, T. D. Strontium isotopes document greater human mobility at the
800	58	start of the Balkan Neolithic. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 110 , 3298-3303 (2013).
802	39	Krauß, R., Marinova, E., De Brue, H. & Weninger, B. The rapid spread of early
803	57	farming from the Aegean into the Balkans via the Sub-Mediterranean-Aegean
804		Vegetation Zone. <i>Quaternary International</i> XXX , 1-18 (2017).
805	40	Bacvarov, K. in Moments in time: Papers Presented to Pál Raczky on His 60th
806		Birthday (eds A. Anders & G Kulcsár) 29-34 (L'Harmattan, 2013).
807	41	Gurova, M. & Bonsall, C. 'Pre-Neolithic' in Southeast Europe: a Bulgarian
808		perspective. Documenta Praehistorica XLI, 95-109 (2014).
809	42	Brandt, G. et al. Ancient DNA reveals key stages in the formation of central
810		European mitochondrial genetic diversity. Science 342, 257-261 (2013).
811	43	Borić, D. in The Oxford Handbook of Neolithic Europe (eds C. Fowler, J. Harding,
812		& D. Hofmann) 927–957 (Oxford University Press, 2015).
813	44	Szmyt, M. in <i>Transition to the Bronze Age (Archaeolingua 30)</i> (eds V. Heyd, G.
814	4.5	Kulcsár, & V. Szeverényi) 93-111 (Archaeolingua, 2013).
815	45	Olalde, I. <i>et al.</i> A Common Genetic Origin for Early Farmers from Mediterranean
816		Cardial and Central European LBK Cultures. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32, 3132-3142 (2015).

817 818 810	46	Posth, C. <i>et al.</i> Pleistocene Mitochondrial Genomes Suggest a Single Major Dispersal of Non-Africans and a Late Glacial Population Turnover in Europe. <i>Curr. Biol.</i> 26 , 827–823 (2016)
819 820	47	827-833 (2016). Anthony, D. W. & Ringe, D. The Indo-European Homeland from Linguistic and
821		Archaeological Perspectives. Annual Review of Linguistics 1, 199-219 (2015).
822	48	Dabney, J. et al. Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of a Middle Pleistocene
823		cave bear reconstructed from ultrashort DNA fragments. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.
824		<i>A</i> . 110 , 15758-15763 (2013).
825	49	Meyer, M. & Kircher, M. Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly
826		multiplexed target capture and sequencing. Cold Spring Harb Protoc 2010, pdb
827		prot5448 (2010).
828	50	Rohland, N., Harney, E., Mallick, S., Nordenfelt, S. & Reich, D. Partial uracil-DNA-
829		glycosylase treatment for screening of ancient DNA. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B
830		<i>Biol. Sci.</i> 370 , 20130624 (2015).
831	51	Korlevic, P. et al. Reducing microbial and human contamination in DNA extractions
832		from ancient bones and teeth. BioTechniques 59, 87-93 (2015).
833	52	Briggs, A. W. et al. Removal of deaminated cytosines and detection of in vivo
834		methylation in ancient DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 38, e87 (2010).
835	53	DeAngelis, M. M., Wang, D. G. & Hawkins, T. L. Solid-phase reversible
836		immobilization for the isolation of PCR products. Nucleic Acids Res. 23, 4742-4743
837		(1995).
838	54	Rohland, N. & Reich, D. Cost-effective, high-throughput DNA sequencing libraries
839		for multiplexed target capture. Genome Res. 22, 939-946 (2012).
840	55	Maricic, T., Whitten, M. & Pääbo, S. Multiplexed DNA sequence capture of
841		mitochondrial genomes using PCR products. PLoS One 5, e14004 (2010).
842	56	Kircher, M., Sawyer, S. & Meyer, M. Double indexing overcomes inaccuracies in
843		multiplex sequencing on the Illumina platform. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, e3 (2012).
844	57	Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
845		transform. Bioinformatics 26, 589-595 (2010).
846	58	Fu, Q. et al. A revised timescale for human evolution based on ancient mitochondrial
847		genomes. Curr. Biol. 23, 553-559 (2013).
848	59	Skoglund, P. et al. Separating endogenous ancient DNA from modern day
849		contamination in a Siberian Neandertal. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111, 2229-
850	60	2234 (2014).
851	60	Korneliussen, T. S., Albrechtsen, A. & Nielsen, R. ANGSD: Analysis of Next
852	(1	Generation Sequencing Data. BMC Bioinformatics 15, 356 (2014).
853	61	Price, A. L. et al. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-
854	()	wide association studies. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 38 , 904-909 (2006).
855	62	Petkova, D., Novembre, J. & Stephens, M. Visualizing spatial population structure
856	(\mathbf{a})	with estimated effective migration surfaces. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 48 , 94-100 (2016).
857	63	Brown, L., Cai, T. & DasGupta, A. Interval Estimation for a Binomial Proportion.
858	64	Statistical Science 16, 101-133 (2001).
859	64	Agresti, A. & Coull, B. Approximate is better than "exact" for interval estimation of
860	(5	binomial proportions. <i>The American Statistician</i> 52 , 119-126 (1998).
861	65	Longin, R. New method of collagen extraction for radiocarbon analysis. <i>Nature</i> 230 , 241, 242 (1071)
862	((241-242 (1971).
863 864	66	Brown, T. A., Nelson, D. E., Vogel, J. S. & Southon, J. R. Improved collagen
864 865	67	extraction by modified longin method. <i>Radiocarbon</i> 30 , 171-177 (1988).
865 866	67	Kennett, D. J. <i>et al.</i> Archaeogenomic evidence reveals prehistoric matrilineal dynasty.
866 867	69	Nature communications 8, 14115 (2017).
867 868	68	van Klinken, G. J. Bone collagen quality indicators for paleodietary and radiocarbon
868 869	69	measurements. JAS 26, 687-695 (1999). Stuiver, M. & Polach, H. A. Discussion: Reporting of ¹⁴ C data. Radiocarbon 19, 355-
869 870	09	363 (1977).
070		<i>505 (1711)</i> .

- Bronk Ramsey, C. *OxCal 4.23 Online Manual*, <https://c14.arch.ox.ac.uk/oxcalhelp/hlp_contents.html> (2013). Cook, G. T. *et al.* A freshwater diet-derived ¹⁴C reservoir effect at the Stone Age sites in the Iron Gates gorge. *Radiocarbon* **43**, 453-460 (2001).