Accepted manuscript of article:

©2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Gefapixant, a P2X3 receptor antagonist, for the treatment of chronic cough Jaclyn A. Smith, Ph.D.¹, Michael M. Kitt, M.D.², Alyn H. Morice, M.D.³, Surinder S. Birring, M.D.⁴, Lorcan P. McGarvey, M.D.⁵, Mandel R. Sher, M.D.⁶, Yu-Ping Li, Ph.D.⁷, Wen-Chi Wu, Ph.D.², Zhi Jin Xu, Ph.D.², David R. Muccino, M.D.², Anthony P. Ford, Ph.D.² on behalf of the Protocol 012 Investigators*

¹Division of Infection, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; ²Merck &

Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA; ³Hull York Medical School, Cottingham, UK; ⁴Centre for Human & Applied Physiological Sciences, School of Basic & Medical Biosciences, Faculty of Life Sciences & Medicine, *King's*

College London, UK; ⁵Centre for Experimental Medicine, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK; ⁶Center for

Cough, Largo, FL, USA; ⁷GetStat Solutions, LLC, Palo Alto, CA, USA *List of Protocol 012 Investigators are in the Supplement

Corresponding Author:

Prof Jaclyn Smith MB, ChB, FRCP, PhD University of Manchester, Division of Infection, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine 2nd Floor Education and Research Centre Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust Southmoor Road Wythenshawe, Manchester M23 9LT UK Tel: +44161 291 5863 Fax: +44 161 291 5730 e-mail: Jacky.Smith@manchester.ac.uk

For submission to *The Lancet*

Word count: 2997 (maximum 3500 [or 4500 for randomised controlled trials]) Abstract word count: 269 (maximum 300) References: 17 (maximum 30)

Abstract (269 words; 300 maximum)

Background: Gefapixant is a P2X3 receptor antagonist in development for treatment of refractory (RCC) and unexplained chronic cough (UCC).

Methods: We conducted a 12-week, Phase 2b, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in patients with RCC or UCC (>1 year) with no radiographic chest abnormality and \geq 40 mm on the Cough Severity Visual Analog Scale [VAS]. Patients were randomized to receive placebo or gefapixant (7.5 mg BID, 20 mg BID, or 50 mg BID). The primary efficacy endpoint was placebo-adjusted change from baseline in Awake Cough Frequency (coughs/hour) after 12 weeks of treatment. Adverse events (AE) were monitored throughout the study.

Findings: 253 patients were randomized to placebo (n=63), gefapixant 7.5 mg (n=64), 20 mg (n=63), or 50 mg (n=63). Mean (SD) age was 60 (10.0) years and 76% of patients were women.

At 12 weeks, Geometric Mean Awake Cough Frequency was $18\cdot2$, $14\cdot5$, $12\cdot0$, $11\cdot3$ coughs/hr with placebo and gefapixant $7\cdot5$ mg, 20 mg, and 50 mg, respectively; % change vs. placebo (95% CI) was -37% ($-53\cdot3$, $-14\cdot9$) with gefapixant 50 mg (p=0.003), $-22\cdot2\%$ ($-42,4\cdot3$) with 20 mg (p=0.093), and $-22\cdot0\%$ ($-41\cdot8,4\cdot6$) with $7\cdot5$ mg (p=0.097). Dysgeusia was the most common AE, occurring in 5%, 10%, 33%, and 48% of placebo and gefapixant $7\cdot5$ -mg, 20-mg, and 50-mg patients, respectively.

Interpretation: Targeting P2X3 with gefapixant at a dose of 50 mg BID significantly reduces cough frequency in patients with RCC and UCC after 12 weeks of treatment compared with placebo. Gefapixant was generally well tolerated with dysgeusia being the most frequent AE. **Funding:** This study was funded by Afferent Pharmaceuticals, which has been acquired by Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA.

Clinical Trials Registry: NCT02612610

Research in Context

Evidence before this study

Literature search: Pubmed search, Oct 2015 terms: P2X3, Chronic Cough

Chronic cough affects 4-10% of the general population, a proportion of whom have cough that does not resolve upon treatment of underlying conditions or for whom underlying conditions cannot be found. Hyper-excitability of neuronal pathways mediating cough may be a therapeutic target for patients with refractory or unexplained condition. A previous study of gefapixant, a P2X3 receptor antagonist at a supratherapeutic dose of 600 mg demonstrated significant reduction in cough frequency in patients with refractory chronic cough.

Added value of this study

We report the results from the largest trial to date in chronic cough subjects. This trial evaluated lower doses of gefapixant within a therapeutic dose range and over a longer (12 week)treatment period.

Implications of all the available evidence

These results confirm the therapeutic potential of targeting P2X3 receptors for clinically meaningful reduction of chronic cough. The evidence from this trial supports further development of gefapixant.

Future Research

Phase 3 studies evaluating gefapixant are ongoing and will confirm efficacy and tolerability of this novel mechanism.

Introduction

Epidemiological studies indicate chronic cough (i.e., cough lasting >8 weeks), affects 4-10% of

adults. Yet, currently, there are no effective licensed therapies for this problem.^{1,2} In neversmokers, individuals reporting chronic cough are likely to be older, female, have abdominal obesity, occupational exposure to dust/fumes, or diagnosed with conditions such as asthma,

gastroesophageal reflux disease, upper airway cough syndrome, and bronchiectasis.¹ Nonetheless, among patients diagnosed with these conditions, the vast majority did not complain of chronic coughing, suggesting a distinct pathophysiological process responsible for the symptom trait of chronic cough.

Whilst some patients with chronic cough improve with treatment of these associated conditions, it is increasingly recognized that many do not; such patients are often classified as having refractory chronic cough (RCC). A minority of chronic cough patients have no evidence of any underlying condition and may be considered to have unexplained chronic cough (UCC). Cough Hypersensitivity Syndrome (CHS) has been described as a diagnosis that may be applicable to

RCC and UCC and has been hypothesized to be due to disordered sensory neural function.³ While treatment options remain limited, hyper-excitability of neuronal pathways mediating cough may be a therapeutic target and, indeed, there is some evidence that RCC patients may respond to therapies that modulate neuronal function (e.g. morphine, gabapentin, amitriptyline) and behavioural interventions.⁴⁻⁶

P2X3 receptors are ATP-gated ion channels found predominantly on peripheral sensory nerves and known to be expressed by fibres innervating the airways.⁷ A small proof-of-concept study in patients with RCC demonstrated that two weeks treatment with high-dose (i.e., 600 mg BID) gefapixant (MK-7264; previously known as AF-219), a P2X3 receptor antagonist, reduced objective cough frequency by an unprecedented 75% over placebo.⁸ Subsequent studies suggested that maximum efficacy was still retained at doses as low as 50 mg BID with an improved tolerability profile^{9,10} (manuscript in preparation). The aim of the current randomized controlled trial was to evaluate the effectiveness of three doses of gefapixant (7·5 mg, 20 mg, and 50 mg BID) compared with placebo after 12 weeks of treatment in reducing frequency of coughing during waking hours in RCC/UCC patients. Cough frequency over 24 hours and patient reports of the severity and impact of their chronic cough were also assessed.

Methods

Participants

Patients with RCC or UCC for \geq one year according to ACCP/BTS guidelines^{11,12} and with no significant abnormality contributing to cough on chest radiology within the last 5 years were enrolled. Women or men between 18- 80 years of age and with \geq 40 mm on the Cough Severity Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) at the Screening Visit were eligible.

Patients were excluded if they were current smokers, had only quit smoking within 6 months of the study, had an FEV1/FVC <60%, opioid use within 1 week of the study, or had either initiated treatment with an ACE inhibitor or had an upper or lower respiratory tract infection within 4 weeks of the study. A complete list of the inclusion and exclusion criteria is provided in the Supplement.

Study Conduct and Design

This 12-week, Phase 2b, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study (Sponsor Protocol 012; Clinical Trials Registry NCT02612610) was approved by Investigational Review Boards/ Ethics Review Committees and conducted in accordance with principles of Good Clinical Practice in 44 centres in the United Kingdom and the United States. Patients provided informed consent before enrolment.

Patients were screened during a 2-week period. On Day 0, patients underwent baseline assessments and received the first dose of study drug the next morning (Day 1), administered in the clinic. Subsequent Treatment Visits were scheduled for Days 28, 42, 56, 70, 84, and 85, and Follow-up Visits on Days 98 and 99.

Randomisation and masking

Patients were randomly assigned in a 1:1:1:1 ratio to gefapixant 7.5 mg BID, 20 mg BID, or 50 mg BID, or a matching placebo. The randomization was stratified by country and was performed via a centralized Interactive Voice or Web Response System (IVRS/IWRS). The randomization schedule was computer generated using a permuted block algorithm and randomly allocated subjects to randomization numbers. A 2-stage randomization was used. Subjects were first randomized to 1 of the 3 dose groups using a balanced 1:1:1 (Group1: MK-7264 7.5 mg or matched placebo; Group 2: MK-7264 20 mg or matched placebo; Group 3: MK-7264 50 mg or matched placebo) randomization. After being randomized to their dose group, subjects were randomized using an unbalanced 3:1 (MK-7264 to placebo) randomization. The randomization was stratified by country.

This study employed a double-masking design where subjects and all personnel involved with the conduct and the interpretation of the study, including the Investigators, investigational site personnel, contract research organization (CRO), home health nurses, readers at Vitalojak, and Sponsor staff, were blinded to the treatment codes. Randomisation data were kept strictly confidential, filed securely by an appropriate group at the Sponsor (Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA) or CRO, and accessible only to authorized persons until the time of unmasking. Unmasking was available 24 hours per day/7 days per week and was performed by IVRS/IWRS. Only in the case of an emergency, when knowledge of the investigational product was essential for the welfare of a subject, an Investigator may have unmasked a subject's treatment assignment.

Efficacy Evaluation

The primary efficacy endpoint was change from baseline in awake objective cough frequency after 12 weeks (Day 84) of treatment; objective cough frequency was captured with 24-hour sound recordings at Baseline and on Days 28, 56, 84, and 98 using an acoustic recording device (VitaloJAK, Vitalograph Ltd, Buckinghamshire UK). Change from baseline in 24-hour objective cough frequency at 4 weeks (day 28), 8 weeks (day 56), and 12 weeks (day 84), change from baseline in awake objective cough frequency after 4 weeks (Day 28), 8 weeks (day 56), and follow-up at 14 weeks (Day 98) were key secondary endpoints.

Patient-reported outcomes were evaluated including the key secondary endpoint of Cough Severity Visual Analogue Scale (VAS); severity was scored on a 100-mm VAS at Screening, Baseline, Days 28, 56, 84, 85, 98, and 99. Other secondary endpoints included Daily Cough Score (DCS) and Cough Severity Diary

where subjects provided scores from 0 [best] to 10 [worst] at Screening, Baseline, daily throughout the treatment period, and at Follow-Up. Subjects scored cough for DCS and scored 7 items with 3 subscales (cough frequency, intensity, and disruption) for CSD. Another secondary endpoint was Leicester Cough

Questionnaire (LCQ), a measure of health-related quality of life¹³ completed at Baseline, and Days 28, 56, and 85. Responder analyses on cough frequency reduction (i.e., \geq 70% Reduction, \geq 50% Reduction, and \geq 30% Reduction) were also done as secondary endpoints.

Safety Evaluation

Vital signs, laboratory assessments and adverse events (AEs) were checked at each visit. AEs were assessed for their seriousness and relationship to study medication. Urinalysis and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) were performed at Screening and all treatment visits. Paraesthesia/hypoaesthesia and dysgeusia were AEs of special interest and were queried further for frequency, severity, and duration using a structured taste questionnaire. An acceptability questionnaire administered at the end of treatment asked patients "How likely would you be to take this medication?" regarding the time frames of "twice daily", " ≥ 4 weeks", " ≥ 6 months", or " ≥ 1 year."

Statistical Analyses

The primary hypothesis was that \geq one dose regimen of gefapixant was superior to placebo for the mean change from baseline in awake cough frequency (on the natural-log scale) at 12 weeks. Assuming a dropout rate of 13%, approximately 200 patients were to be randomized (\geq 43 evaluable patients in each treatment group), providing 85% power to detect a difference of \geq 25 coughs/hour for gefapixant vs. placebo for the primary endpoint. This assumed a standard deviation for the change from baseline of 38 using a t-test (two-sided, significance level of 0.05). The sample size estimates were based on a previous study of gefapixant.^{9,10}

The primary efficacy endpoint was analyzed using a mixed model repeated measures analysis and the baseline value (on the natural log scale) was included as a covariate. The treatment group means relative to placebo were compared at Day 84. Type I error rate for the primary efficacy testing was controlled by sequential comparisons of gefapixant vs. placebo from 50 mg to 20 mg and finally to 7.5 mg.

The Full Analysis Set (FAS; all randomized patients who have taken ≥ 1 dose of study medication and provided ≥ 1 baseline and ≥ 1 post baseline primary endpoint observation) was used to evaluate efficacy. The Per Protocol Set (PP; a subset of the FAS set who sufficiently complied with the protocol) was used to confirm efficacy parameters. The Safety Set (all randomized patients who have received ≥ 1 dose of study drug) was used to evaluate safety and tolerability.

Role of the funding source

Merck Sharp & Dohme, a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., sponsored this study. Academic advisors and representatives of the sponsor participated in designing the study. Data collected by the investigators and their site personnel were analysed and interpreted by senior academic authors and representatives of the sponsor. All authors had full access to the data used to prepare this manuscript. The corresponding author wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors

participated in critical review and editing, approved the submitted draft, vouch for the accuracy and completeness of the data reported, and attest that the study was conducted in accordance with the protocol.

Results

Patients

Of patients who were randomized, 76% were female, 92% white, and mean age was 60 years (Table 1). The mean duration of cough was 14.5 years. FEV1/FVC was normal (>80%) and the majority of patients had never smoked (70%). At baseline, the geometric mean (median) number of coughs/hour whilst awake was 27.6 (31.7), 27.4 (27.5), 24.1 (28.2), and 28.8 (28.0) for the placebo, 7.5-mg, 20-mg, and 50-mg groups, respectively. Mean cough severity VAS was 57.4, 56.7, 58.3, and 57.9 mm for the placebo, 7.5-mg, 20-mg, and 50-mg groups, respectively.

Of 367 screened patients, 253 were randomized; 236 were included in the FAS population, and 252 patients were included in the Safety Set population. Out of 253 randomized patients, 222 (88%) completed the study, with AEs reported as the most common reason for discontinuation (Figure 1).

Efficacy

Gefapixant 50 mg demonstrated a significant reduction in in awake cough frequency after 12 weeks over placebo (*primary endpoint*); *th*e percentage reduction over placebo was 37% (95%CI 15 to 53%) (p=0.003), a reduction from baseline of 58% (95%CI 47 to 66%). The percentage reductions from baseline for the 7.5-mg and 20-mg doses [47% (95%CI 35 to 57%) and 48% (95%CI 35 to 58%) respectively] were greater than placebo [33% (95%CI 17 to 45%)], but the differences did not reach statistical significance (Table 2; Figure 2; Figure 3). At Week 14 (i.e., 2 weeks after last dosing), the median change from Week 12 in awake cough frequency (c/hr) was 3.0 (gefapixant 50 mg), 0.5 (gefapixant 20 mg), 1.4 (gefapixant 7.5 mg), and -1.0 (placebo) (Figure 3). Patients treated with gefapixant remained below baseline and thus did not exhibit evidence of a rebound effect.

A prespecified responder analysis evaluating levels of reduction in Awake Cough Frequency at Week 12 showed a numerically greater percentage of gefapixant 50-mg patients who experienced a \geq 30% reduction vs. placebo [80% vs. 44%, p<0.001, a \geq 50% reduction (51% vs. 25%, p=0.003) and a \geq 70% reduction (31% vs. 16%, p=0.043)] (Supplemental Figure 1).

Secondary endpoint results supported the efficacy of gefapixant 50 mg and superiority over placebo; p-values for secondary efficacy variables are nominal (i.e., no adjustment for multiplicity). At 8 weeks, awake cough frequency and 24-hour cough frequency both improved over placebo with the 7.5-mg dose (p<0.05 and p<0.001) and awake cough frequency alone improved with the 20-mg dose (p<0.05) (Table 2). All doses of gefapixant demonstrated improvement in Cough VAS although the 50-mg dose demonstrated the most improvement

versus placebo at each time point (Table 2; Figure 3). Daily measures of cough with DCS also demonstrated an improvement with gefapixant vs. placebo (Table 2). At Week 12, the mean reduction of gefapixant over placebo regarding mean daily cough severity diary (CSD) total score were 0.4, 0.6, and 0.7 for gefapixant 7.5 mg, 20 mg, and 50 mg, respectively (p=0.02 for 50 mg vs. placebo). Weekly improvements in CSD and DCS are illustrated in Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure 2. By Week 12, all doses of gefapixant demonstrated improvement in LCQ over placebo with the 50-mg dose demonstrating the most improvement over placebo (Table 2; Figure 3).

Safety

The frequency of AEs, discontinuations due to AEs, and AEs determined to be related to treatment all increased in a dose-dependent manner (Table 3). Only one patient had a serious AE (frostbite); this occurred whilst taking gefapixant. Discontinuations were more frequent at the 50-mg dose due to taste-related AEs such as ageusia (n=4), hypogeusia (n=2), and dysgeusia (n=2), as well as oral hypoaesthesia (n=2).

Renal and urologic AEs were infrequent and not associated with study treatment. Taste-related AEs and oral paraesthesia/hypoaesthesia increased in frequency in a dose-dependent manner. Dysgeusia and hypogeusia, were the most common AEs in the study. Dysgeusia was reported in 5%, 10%, 33%, and 48% of patients on placebo and gefapixant 7.5 mg, 20 mg, and 50 mg, respectively.

Benefit attributable to gefapixant was not limited to participants experiencing taste AEs; in a post-hoc analysis comparing patients who reported or did not report a taste-related AE, those not reporting taste-related AEs demonstrated improvements from pre-treatment baseline (with 95% CIs excluding 0), and qualitatively similar to improvements observed amongst participants who did experience taste AEs (Supplemental Figure 3). Of note, although 12% of patients receiving the 50-mg dose felt that their taste effects were extremely bothersome (0% in other dose groups), 84% responding to the Acceptability Questionnaire stated that they were likely or extremely likely to take gefapixant for at least 1 year (Supplementary Table 1).

Discussion

This study is the first to demonstrate that P2X3 antagonism, or indeed any pharmacological intervention, has anti-tussive efficacy sustained over a 12-week period, evidenced by significant improvements in not only objective cough frequency but also patient reported outcomes with gefapixant 50 mg compared with placebo. In this study, which included RCC and UCC patients with characteristics typical for a chronic cough population, efficacy was apparent as early as 4 weeks after initiation of treatment. At lower doses of gefapixant (20 mg and 7.5 mg), treatment effects were evident at earlier time points but did not reach statistical significance at 12 weeks

compared with placebo. Gefapixant was generally well tolerated, dysgeusia being the most frequently reported adverse event.

The treatment of patients with RCC and UCC is a significant unmet clinical need as approved therapies are lacking. Consequently, patients suffer for many years from this condition with significant impacts on their quality of life;¹⁴⁻¹⁶ the typical duration of coughing in this study was 15 years. Single studies have provided some evidence that low dose morphine sulphate and gabapentin may improve cough specific quality of life over shorter time periods, however both therapies are associated with significant side effects and neither study evaluated treatment effects objectively using 24-hour cough frequency.^{4,5} Nonetheless, the improvements in patient-

reported outcomes with gefapixant in this study compare very favourably with those previously reported for these unapproved therapies.

In this study, gefapixant was generally well tolerated, with only one serious adverse event, deemed unrelated to the study drug. Taste disturbances with gefapixant were commonly reported and exhibited a clear dose relationship. Gefapixant is a first-in-class, non-competitive inhibitor of the P2X3 ion channel, with some selectivity for this homomeric channel over heteromeric P2X2/3 channels. In addition to evidence that P2X3 receptors are expressed by airway sensory nerves activating cough, both P2X3 and P2X2/3 receptors have been described on fibres innervating taste buds.¹⁷ In rodents, heteromeric P2X2/3 channels are thought to play a central role in mediating taste, which could explain the adverse taste events associated with this therapy. However, the role of P2X3 versus P2X2/3 receptors in mediating taste in humans is not well understood, and it is feasible that in humans, taste alterations associated with gefapixant are largely mediated by inhibition at P2X3 receptors. Of note, in the current study few patients discontinued therapy as a consequence of taste adverse experiences, and most patients stated that they would continue treatment for at least a year.

This large-scale multi-centre cough monitoring study both corroborates and extends previous data supporting the efficacy of gefapixant in RCC and UCC, including an initial proof-of-concept study for the anti-tussive effects of P2X3 antagonism⁸ and investigation of the balance between efficacy and tolerability at lower doses.^{9,10} In previous studies, improvements in cough frequency and patient reported outcomes were demonstrated for much shorter treatment periods of 4 days to 14 days, and for doses as low as 15 mg BID. The reductions in cough frequency observed in this study for the 20-mg and 7.5-mg doses of gefapixant did not reach statistical significance as the magnitude of the placebo effect was larger than that previously observed.

It is interesting that in most previous studies testing anti-tussive agents in RCC and UCC, placebo effects were not reported, whereas in this study we observed a significant placebo effect influencing all the endpoints evaluated, including objective cough frequency. Direct comparisons with previous trials are difficult as large-scale multi-centre parallel group studies have rarely been undertaken and none have used 24-hour objective cough monitoring as an endpoint. Smaller proof-of-concept studies in chronic cough generally employed crossover designs and

have reported little change in patients treated with placebo. Knowledge of the these successful gefapixant studies, and the greater likelihood (75%) of assignment to a gefapixant group, may have substantially changed expectations for patients participating in this particular trial, contributing to the placebo effect observed.

In conclusion, targeting P2X3 channels with gefapixant at a dose of 50 mg BID was generally well tolerated and significantly reduced the frequency of cough in patients with RCC and UCC after 12 weeks compared with placebo. Gefapixant therefore shows promise as a novel therapy for chronic cough and further studies examining longer-term anti-tussive benefit are warranted.

Contributors

JS and LPM contributed to the conception, design, or planning of the study; acquisition of the data; interpretation of the results; drafting of the manuscript; and critically reviewing or revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. MMK contributed to the conception, design, or planning of the study; acquisition and analysis of the data; interpretation of the results; and critically reviewing or revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. AHM contributed to acquisition of the data; interpretation of the results; and critically reviewing or revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. SSB contributed to the conception, design, or planning of the study; acquisition of the data; interpretation of the results; and critically reviewing or revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. MRS contributed to the acquisition of the data; and critically reviewing or revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. L-PL contributed to the conception, design, or planning of the study; analysis of the data; interpretation of the results; and critically reviewing or revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. W-CW contributed to analysis of the data; interpretation of the results; and critically reviewing or revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. ZJX contributed to analysis of the data; interpretation of the results; and drafting of the manuscript. DRM contributed to interpretation of the results; and critically reviewing or revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. APF contributed to the conception, design, or planning of the study; interpretation of the results; and critically reviewing or revising the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Declaration of interests

JAS has received grants and personal fees from Afferent Pharmaceuticals/Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ (related to submitted work) as well as grants and personal fees from Ario Pharma, GlaxoSmithKline, NeRRe Pharmaceuticals, Menlo, and Bayer; personal fees from Boehringer Ingleheim, Genentech, and Neomed; nonfinancial support from Vitalograph; and personal fees from Cheisi. Additionally, JAS is a named inventor on a patent describing detection of cough from sound recordings. The patent is owned by University Hospital of South Manchester and licensed to Vitalograph Ltd. MMK was an employee of Afferent Pharmaceuticals/Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ. AHM has received grants and personal fees from Afferent Pharmaceuticals/Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ. SSB has received scientific advisory board/consultancy fees from Merck, Bayer, Patara, and Menlo; speaker fees from Roche; and conference travel from Boehringer Ingelheim. LPM has received grants and personal fees from Afferent Pharmaceuticals/Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, personal fees from Applied Clinical Intelligence, grants from Asthma UK, NI Chest Heart & Stroke, NC3Rs, British Heart Foundation and Chiesi, travel and subsistence for attendance at scientific meetings from Boehringer Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline and Chiesi, and advisory board/consultancy fees from Almirall, NAPP, GlaxoSmithKline, and Boehringer Ingelheim. MRS has received grants and personal fees from Afferent Pharmaceuticals/Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ. YPL received personal fees from Afferent Pharmaceuticals/Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ. WCW is an employee of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ. ZJX is an employee of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ. DRM is an employee of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ. APF was the founder of Afferent Pharmaceuticals and a former employee of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by Afferent Pharmaceuticals, which has been acquired by Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA. This study was supported by NICRN and NIHR CRFs and CRN funded staff. JAS is funded by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre, a Wellcome Investigator Award and is an NIHR Senior Investigator. Medical writing support was provided by Anish Mehta (Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA). The authors also thank Michele McColgan (Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA) for additional editorial and administrative support. This assistance was funded by Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA.

Data Sharing

Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA's data sharing policy, including restrictions, is available at http://engagezone.msd.com/ ds_documentation.php. Requests for access to the clinical study data can be submitted through the EngageZone site or via email to dataaccess@merck.com.

References

1. Colak Y, Nordestgaard BG, Laursen LC, Afzal S, Lange P, Dahl M. Risk Factors for Chronic Cough Among 14,669 Individuals From the General Population. *Chest* 2017; **152**(3): 563-73.

2. Song WJ, Chang YS, Faruqi S, et al. The global epidemiology of chronic cough in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2015; **45**(5): 1479-81.

3. Morice AH, Millqvist E, Belvisi MG, et al. Expert opinion on the cough hypersensitivity syndrome in respiratory medicine. *The European respiratory journal* 2014; **44**(5): 1132-48.

4. Morice AH, Menon MS, Mulrennan SA, et al. Opiate therapy in chronic cough. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007; **175**(4): 312-5.

5. Ryan NM, Birring SS, Gibson PG. Gabapentin for refractory chronic cough: a

randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2012; 380(9853): 1583-9.

6. Jeyakumar A, Brickman TM, Haben M. Effectiveness of amitriptyline versus cough suppressants in the treatment of chronic cough resulting from postviral vagal neuropathy. *Laryngoscope* 2006; **116**(12): 2108-12.

7. Hermes SM, Andresen MC, Aicher SA. Localization of TRPV1 and P2X3 in unmyelinated and myelinated vagal afferents in the rat. *J Chem Neuroanat* 2016; **72**: 1-7.

8. Abdulqawi R, Dockry R, Holt K, et al. P2X3 receptor antagonist (AF-219) in refractory chronic cough: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2 study. *Lancet* 2015; **385**(9974): 1198-205.

9. Smith JAK, M.M.; Sher, M.; Butera, P.; Ford ,A.P. A Phase 2 Dose-Escalation Study With Af-219, A P2X3 Antagonist For The Treatment Of Chronic Cough. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2016; **193**: A6524.

10. Smith JAK, M.M.; Sher, M.; Butera, P.; Ford, A.P. Tackling the burden of chronic cough: A dose escalation study of AF-219. *Eur Respir J* 2016; (48): OA1976.

11. Irwin RS, Baumann MH, Bolser DC, et al. Diagnosis and management of cough executive summary: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2006; **129**(1 Suppl): 1S-23S.

12. Morice AH, McGarvey L, Pavord I, British Thoracic Society Cough Guideline G. Recommendations for the management of cough in adults. *Thorax* 2006; **61 Suppl 1**: i1-24.

13. Birring SS, Prudon B, Carr AJ, Singh SJ, Morgan MD, Pavord ID. Development of a symptom specific health status measure for patients with chronic cough: Leicester Cough Questionnaire (LCQ). *Thorax* 2003; **58**(4): 339-43.

14. French CL, Irwin RS, Curley FJ, Krikorian CJ. Impact of chronic cough on quality of life. *Arch Intern Med* 1998; **158**(15): 1657-61.

15. Chamberlain SA, Garrod R, Douiri A, et al. The impact of chronic cough: a cross-sectional European survey. *Lung* 2015; **193**(3): 401-8.

16. Kuzniar TJ, Morgenthaler TI, Afessa B, Lim KG. Chronic cough from the patient's perspective. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2007; **82**(1): 56-60.

17. Bo X, Alavi A, Xiang Z, Oglesby I, Ford A, Burnstock G. Localization of ATP-gated P2X2 and P2X3 receptor immunoreactive nerves in rat taste buds. *Neuroreport* 1999; **10**(5): 1107-11.

	Placebo N=63	Gefapixant 7·5 mg N=64	Gefapixant 20 mg N=63	Gefapixant 50 mg N=63	Total N=253
Gender					
Female	47 (75%)	48 (75%)	48 (76%)	50 (79%)	193 (76%)
Age					
Mean (SD)	60.0 (10.90)	59.9 (10.46)	61.8 (9.13)	59.3 (9.19)	60.2 (9.94)
Min, Max	23,76	22,78	40,79	36,77	22,79
Race					

Table 1: Baseline Patient Characteristics

White	59 (94%)	60 (94%)	60 (95%)	55 (87%)	234 (92%)
Other*	4 (6%)	4 (6%)	3 (5%)	8 (13%)	19 (8%)
Mean (SD) BMI (kg/m ²)	27.6 (4.84)	27.9 (4.45)	28.0 (4.64)	27.2 (4.99)	27.7 (4.72)
Mean (SD) FEV1/ FVC (%)	83.5 (12.41)	82.2 (13.27)	80.2 (11.06)	80.9 (11.82)	81.7 (12.17)
Mean (SD) Duration of Cough (years)	17.1 (13.32)	13.5 (10.00)	14.9 (13.94)	12.3 (8.19)	14.5 (11.67)
Country					
USA	42 (67%)	41 (64%)	41 (65%)	41 (65%)	165 (65%)
UK	21 (33%)	23 (36%)	22 (35%)	22 (35%)	88 (35%)
Smoking Status					
Never	45 (71%)	49 (77%)	35 (56%)	48 (76%)	177 (70%)
Former Smoker	18 (29%)	15 (23%)	28 (44%)	15 (24%)	76 (30%)
Baseline Cough Measures					
Geometric Mean (95%CI) Baseline Awake Cough Frequency (c/hr)	27.6	27.4	24.1	28.8	26.9
Mean (SD) Baseline Cough Severity (VAS) (mm)	57.4 (23.1)	56·7 (20·7)	58.3 (25.1)	57.9 (19.7)	57.6 (22.2)

* Includes Black/African American, American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, or Multiple.

 Table 2: Summary of Efficacy Measurements

	Placebo N=61	Gefapixant 7·5 mg N=59	Gefapixant 20 mg N=59	Gefapixant 50 mg N=57
Cough Frequency				
Geometric Mean Awake Cough Frequency (c/h)				
Baseline	27.6	27.4	24.1	28.8
Week 4	17.7	14.2	14.4	11.8 [‡]
Week 8	19.5	12·9 [†]	12·5 [†]	10.7
Week 12 (Primary Endpoint)	18-2	14.5	12.0	11.3 [†]
Week 12 Estimated % Change relative to Placebo (95% CI)		-22·0% (-41·8%, 4·6%)	-22·2% (-42·0%, 4·3%)	-37·0% (-53·3%, -14·9%)
Geometric Mean 24- hr Cough Frequency (c/h)				
Baseline	20.5	20.0	17.6	21.9
Week 4	13.1	10.5	10.8	8.7
Week 8	14.5	$9\cdot 2^{\dagger}$	9.5	7·9 [‡]
Week 12	13.7	10.8	8.8	8·5 [†]
Week 12 Estimated % Change relative to Placebo (95% CI)		-21·0% (-40·3%, 4·6%)	-22·1% (-41·1%, 3·2%)	-37·6% (-53·1%, -16·9%)
Patient-Reported Outcomes				
Cough Severity VAS (mm)				
Baseline Mean (SD)	57.4 (23.13)	56.7 (20.65)	58.3 (25.12)	57.9 (19.72)
Week 12 LS Mean (95% CI) Change from Baseline	-16.7 (-22.7, -10.7)	-21.1 (-27.2, -15.1)	-23·1 (-29·1, -17·0)	-27.9 (-34.1, -21.6)
CSD Total Score				
Baseline Mean (SD)	4.1 (1.82)	4.1 (1.65)	4.2 (2.10)	4.3 (1.80)
Week 12 LS Mean (95% CI)) Change from Baseline	-1.2 (-1.6, -0.7)	-1·5 (-2·0, -1·1)	-1·7 (-2·2, -1·3)	-1·9 (-2·4, -1·4) †

DCS				
Baseline Mean (SD)	5.4 (1.81)	5.2 (1.74)	5.5 (1.94)	5.3 (1.72)
Week 12 Mean (SD) Change from Baseline	-1.5 (1.83)	-1.9 (2.00)	-2·3 (2·32)	-2.1 (1.86)
Total LCQ Score				
Baseline Mean (SD)	12.2 (2.81)	12.1 (2.66)	12.0 (3.30)	11.4 (2.82)
Week 12 LS Mean (95% CI) Change from Baseline	2.1 (1.3, 3.0)	3.3 (2.4, 4.2)	3.2 (2.3, 4.0)	4·0 (3·1, 4·9) [†]

FAS Population.

†p<0.05; ‡p<0.001.

*Mixed model repeated measures analysis (change from baseline used as the dependent variable, and includes the treatment group, visit, country, the interaction between treatment and visit as fixed factors, and baseline as a covariate).

	Placebo N=63	Gefapixant 7·5 mg N=63	Gefapixant 20 mg N=63	Gefapixant 50 mg N=63	Gefapixant Combined N=189
Any AE	39 (61.9%)	44 (69.8%)	54 (85.7%)	58 (92.1%)	156 (82.5%)
<i>Discontinued</i> <i>due to AE</i>	2 (3.2%)	2 (3·2%)	3 (4.8%)	10 (15.9%)	15 (7.9%)
Serious AE	0	0	0	1 (1.6%)	1 (0.5%)
AEs Related to Treatment*	22 (34.9%)	19 (30·2%)	43 (68.3%)	55 (87.3%)	117 (61.9%)
AEs of Special Interest					
Renal/ Urologic AEs	3 (4.8%)	1 (1.6%)	2 (3·2%)	1 (1.6%)	4 (2·1%)
Taste- related AEs**	4 (6.3%)	6 (9.5%)	31 (49·2%)	51 (81.0%)	88 (46.6%)

Table 3: Summary of Safety and Tolerability

Oral Paraesthesia / Hypoaesthe sia	8 (12.7%)	6 (9.5%)	7 (11.1%)	13 (20.6%)	26 (13.8%)
Most Common AEs					
Dysgeusia	3 (4.8%)	6 (9.5%)	21 (33.3%)	30 (47.6%)	57 (30.2%)
Hypogeusia	1 (1.6%)	0	11 (17.5%)	15 (23.8%)	26 (13.8%)
Headache	3 (4.8%)	4 (6.3%)	12 (19.0%)	4 (6.3%)	20 (10.6%)
Upper Respiratory Tract Infection	2 (3·2%)	5 (7.9%)	9 (14·3%)	6 (9.5%)	20 (10.6%)
Ageusia	1 (1.6%)	0	3 (4.8%)	13 (20.6%)	16 (8.5%)
Paraesthesia Oral	5 (7.9%)	4 (6.3%)	5 (7.9%)	4 (6.3%)	13 (6.9%)
Cough	2 (3.2%)	2 (3.2%)	5 (7.9%)	5 (7.9%)	12 (6.3%)
Hypoaesthe sia Oral	3 (4.8%)	2 (3·2%)	4 (6.3%)	5 (7.9%)	11 (5.8%)
Nausea	0	0	4 (6.3%)	6 (9.5%)	10 (5.3%)
Urinary Tract Infection	2 (3·2%)	3 (4.8%)	5 (7.9%)	2 (3·2%)	10 (5·3%)

* AEs determined by the investigator to be possible, probably, or definitely related to study treatment.

** Taste-related AEs include dysgeusia, hypogeusia, and ageusia.

Figure 1: CONSORT Diagram showing patient disposition throughout the study. *FAS Population is the Full Analysis Set, which included all randomized patients who had taken at least 1 dose of study medication and provided at least 1 baseline and at least 1 post baseline primary endpoint observation during the treatment period. †Safety Set is defined as all patients who were randomized and received any amount of study treatment.

Figure 2: % Difference (95% CI) from Placebo for Awake Cough Frequency. Evaluated using a mixed effect repeated measures (MMRM) model that includes fixed effects for treatment group, visit, country, the treatment-by-visit interaction, and the baseline value as a covariate. The analysis of Awake Cough Frequency and 24-hour Cough Frequency was based on log-transformed data. FAS Population.

Figure 3: Efficacy Measurements over Time

Supplementary Appendix

Table of Contents

List of investigators: Page 2 Full Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: Page 3 Figure S1: Page 5 Figure S2: Page 6 Figure S3: Page 7 Table S1: Page 8

List of investigators

*Jaclyn Smith; *Lorcan McGarvey; *Surinder Birring; James Hull; Warner W Carr; Alan B. Goldsobel; Gary N. Gross; John R. Holcomb; Iftikhar Hussain; *Mandel Sher; Selwyn Spangenthal; William Storms; *Alyn Morice; David Elkayam; Gary C. Steven; James Krainson; Faisal Alfonso Fakih; Jonathan Matz; Gregory Daniel Brooks; Thomas Casale; Gary D. Berman; John J Condemi; Leon S. Greos; Shaila U. Gogate; Ellen R. Sher; Jason H. Friesen; Eric J. Schenkel; David Isaac Bernstein; Jonathan Corren; Krishna Sundar; Mark H. Gotfried; Anthony Montanaro; William R. Lumry; Niran J. Amar; Michael S. Kaplan; Bruce M. Prenner; Thomas R. Murphy; James S. Good; Sean Parker; Tim Harrison; Ian Pavord; Christopher Brightling; Ratko Djukanovic; Douglas McQuaid; Michael Denenberg; Neil A. Ettinger; Vivek Iyer (*listed as authors)

Full Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Patients who meet all of the following criteria were included in the study:

1. Women and men between 18 and 80 years of age inclusive

2. Chest radiograph or CT thorax within the last 5 years not demonstrating any abnormality considered to be significantly contributing to the chronic cough in the opinion of the PI and Sponsor medical monitor 3. Had a diagnosis of refractory chronic cough or unexplained cough for at least 1 year (see American College of

Chest Physicians/British Thoracic Society (ACCP/BTS) guidelines)

4. Had a score of \geq 40 mm on the Cough Severity VAS at Screening

5. Women of child-bearing potential must have used 2 forms of acceptable birth control method from Screening through the Follow-Up Visit. Acceptable birth control methods included: established use of oral, injected, or implanted hormonal methods of contraception; intrauterine device (IUD) or intrauterine system (IUS); tubal ligation; or male sterilization. Double-barrier method (diaphragm for female patient and condom for male partner with spermicidal) satisfied the requirement for 2 forms of acceptable birth control. When in line with the preferred life style of the patient, true and complete abstinence (not periodic abstinence) was acceptable

6. Male patients and their partners of child-bearing potential must have used 2 methods of acceptable birth control, 1 of which must have been a barrier method, and have agreed to make no donation of sperm from Screening until 3 months after the last dose of study treatment

7. Provided written informed consent

8. Were willing and able to comply with all aspects of the protocol.

Patients were NOT eligible for this study if they met any of the following criteria:

1. Current (at the time of study entry) smoker

2. Individuals who had given up smoking within the past 6 months prior to study entry

3. Initiation of treatment with an angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE)-inhibitor within 4 weeks prior to the Baseline Visit (Day 0) or during the study

4. Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second (FEV1)/Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) < 60%

5. History of upper or lower respiratory tract infection or recent significant change in pulmonary status within 4 weeks of the Baseline Visit (Day 0)

6. History of cystic fibrosis or bronchiectasis

7. History of opioid use within 1 week of the Baseline Visit (Day 0)

8. Requiring concomitant therapy with prohibited medications (see Section 6.6 of the protocol [16.1.1.4])

9. Body mass index (BMI) $\leq 18 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ or } \geq 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$

10. History or symptoms of renal disease or renal obstructive disease

11. History of triple phosphate kidney/bladder stones (nephro-/uro-lithiasis)

12. History of conditions or disorders that predisposed to nephrolithiasis such as inflammatory bowel disease (i.e., Crohn's disease and active ulcerative colitis), or short bowel syndrome

13. Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) $\leq 60 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$ (using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD EPI) formula [http://mdrd.com/]) at Screening

14. History of concurrent malignancy or recurrence of malignancy within 2 years prior to Screening (not including patients with <3 excised basal cell carcinomas)

15. History of a diagnosis of drug or alcohol dependency or abuse within approximately the last 3 years prior to study entry

16. Any condition possibly affecting drug absorption (e.g., gastrectomy, gastroplasty, any type of bariatric surgery, or vagotomy)

17. Screening systolic blood pressure (SBP) >160 mm Hg or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) >90 mm Hg;

18. Clinically significant abnormal electrocardiogram (ECG) at Screening, including any of the following:

- Corrected QT interval (QTc) interval >450 milliseconds in males and >470 milliseconds in females,

- Atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter

- Heart rate <40 beats per minute (BPM) or >110 bpm

19. Personal or family history of congenital long QT syndrome or family history of sudden death

20. Significantly abnormal laboratory tests at Screening, including:

- alkaline phosphatase (AP), alanine aminotransferase (ALT, serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase [SGPT]), aspartate aminotransferase (AST, serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase [SGOT]), or bilirubin >150% of the upper limit of normal (ULN)

- haemoglobin < 10 gm/dL, white blood cell (WBC) count <2500 mm³, neutrophil count <1500 mm³, platelet count

 $<100 \times 103/\text{mm}^3$

- positive tests for drugs of abuse

- Positive tests at Screening for viral hepatitis defined by positive immunoglobulin M(IgM) anti-hepatitis A virus (HAV), hepatitis B virus (HepB) surface antigen, or antihepatitis C virus (HCV), human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)

21. History of cutaneous adverse drug reaction to sulphonamides or signs and symptoms suggestive of anaphylaxis to sulphonamides

22. Pregnant or breastfeeding at the time of Screening

23. Treatment with an investigational drug (except gefapixant) or investigational biologic within 60 days preceding

the first dose of study treatment or plans to take another investigational drug or biologic within 30 days of study completion

24. Blood donation within 56 days or plasma donation within 7 days prior to dosing

25. Other severe, acute, or chronic medical or psychiatric condition or laboratory abnormality that may increase the risk associated with study participation or investigational product administration or may interfere with the interpretation of study results and, in the judgment of the Investigator or Sponsor, would make the patient inappropriate for entry into this study.

Figure S1: Prespecified Responder Analysis of Awake Cough Frequency at Week 12

Figure S2: Daily Cough Assessments

Mean total DCS over 12 Weeks

Figure S3: Model-Estimated Mean Change from Baseline in Awake Cough Frequency and 95% Confidence Interval in Gefapixant Treatment Groups - Full Analysis Set. **The Mixed*

Model Repeated Measures analysis used log transformed Awake Cough Frequency as the response variable and included treatment, visit, country, and treatment-by-visit interaction as well as log-transformed baseline ACF as covariate. It should be noted that the "Yes" group for gefapixant 7.5 mg were small and results should be viewed with caution (i.e., n=4 at Day 28; n=0 at Day 56; n=2 at Day 84).

	Placebo N=61	Gefapixant 7·5 mg N=59	Gefapixant 20 mg N=59	Gefapixant 50 mg N=57
How likely would you be to take this Medication?				
For at least one year				
N	60	58	58	57
Extremely unlikely	2 (3.3%)	4 (6.9%)	3 (5.2%)	1 (1.8%)
Unlikely	2 (3.3%)	1 (1.7%)	2 (3.4%)	7 (12.3%)
Neither likely or unlikely	3 (5.0%)	3 (5.2%)	7 (12.1%)	1 (1.8%)
Likely	18 (30.0%)	9 (15.5%)	8 (13.8%)	17 (29.8%)
Extremely likely	35 (58.3%)	41 (70.7%)	38 (65.5%)	31 (54.4%)
p-value (AF-219 vs Placebo)		0.8464	0.7687	0.4364
For at least 6 months				
N	60	57	58	57
Extremely unlikely	2 (3.3%)	3 (5.3%)	3 (5.2%)	1 (1.8%)
Unlikely	1 (1.7%)	2 (3.5%)	2 (3.4%)	6 (10.5%)
Neither likely or unlikely	4 (6.7%)	2 (3.5%)	4 (6.9%)	2 (3.5%)
Likely	13 (21.7%)	8 (14.0%)	10 (17.2%)	17 (29.8%)

Table S1: Summary of Acceptability Questionnaire at End of Treatment

Extremely likely	40 (66.7%)	42 (73.7%)	39 (67.2%)	31 (54.4%)
p-value (AF-219 vs Placebo)		0.9966	0.6372	0.2155
For at least 4 weeks				
N	60	58	58	57
Extremely unlikely	2 (3.3%)	3 (5.2%)	3 (5.2%)	0
Unlikely	1 (1.7%)	1 (1.7%)	1 (1.7%)	3 (5.3%)
Neither likely or unlikely	2 (3.3%)	1 (1.7%)	4 (6.9%)	5 (8.8%)
Likely	11 (18.3%)	11 (19.0%)	9 (15.5%)	15 (26.3%)
Extremely likely	44 (73.3%)	42 (72.4%)	41 (70.7%)	34 (59.6%)
p-value (AF-219 vs Placebo)		0.7559	0.5091	0.2790
Twice daily				
N	60	57	57	56
Extremely unlikely	2 (3.3%)	4 (7.0%)	3 (5.3%)	1 (1.8%)
Unlikely	0	0	2 (3.5%)	3 (5.4%)
Neither likely or unlikely	2 (3.3%)	3 (5.3%)	2 (3.5%)	5 (8.9%)
Likely	12 (20.0%)	9 (15.8%)	13 (22.8%)	17 (30.4%)
Extremely likely	44 (73.3%)	41 (71.9%)	37 (64.9%)	30 (53.6%)
p-value (AF-219 vs Placebo)		0.3887	0.2333	0.0534

p-value using stratified Cochran Mantel Haenszel (CMH) Test (stratified by country).