# **1** Process Intensification for Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture

# 2 with Chemical Absorption: A critical review

### 3 Meihong Wang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Atuman S. Joel<sup>a</sup>, Colin Ramshaw<sup>a</sup>, Dag Eimer<sup>b</sup>, Nuhu M. Musa<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Process/Energy Systems Engineering Group, School of Engineering, University of Hull, HU6 7RX,
 UK

6 <sup>b</sup>Telemark Technological Research and Development Centre (Tel-Tek), Norway

7 \*Corresponding author. Tel: +44 01482 466688; Fax: +44 01482 466664; Email address: Meihong. Wang@hull.ac.uk

# 8 Abstract

The concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere is increasing rapidly. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions 9 may have an impact on global climate change. Effective CO<sub>2</sub> emission abatement 10 strategies such as carbon capture and storage (CCS) are required to combat this 11 trend. Compared with pre-combustion carbon capture and oxy-fuel carbon capture 12 approaches, post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture (PCC) using solvent process is one of the 13 most mature carbon capture technologies. There are two main barriers for the PCC 14 process using solvent to be commercially deployed: (a) high capital cost; (b) high 15 efficiency penalty due to solvent regeneration. Applying Process 16 thermal 17 intensification (PI) technology into PCC with solvent process has the potential to significantly reduce capital costs compared with conventional technology using 18 This paper intends to evaluate different PI technologies for their 19 packed columns. 20 suitability in PCC process. The study shows that rotating packed bed (RPB) absorber/stripper has attracted much interest due to its high mass transfer capability. 21 Currently experimental studies on CO<sub>2</sub> capture using RPB are based on standalone 22 absorber or stripper. Therefore a schematic process flow diagram of intensified PCC 23 process is proposed so as to motivate other researches for possible optimal design, 24 operation and control. To intensify heat transfer in reboiler, spinning disc technology 25 is recommended. To replace cross heat exchanger in conventional PCC (with 26 packed column) process, printed circuit heat exchanger will be preferred. Solvent 27 28 selection for conventional PCC process has been studied extensively. However, it 29 needs more studies for solvent selection in intensified PCC process. The authors also predicted research challenges in intensified PCC process and potential new 30 breakthrough from different aspects. 31

Keywords: Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture, Chemical Absorption, Rotating Packed
 Bed (RPB), Process Intensification (PI), Solvents, Intensified Heat Exchanger

# 34 **1.** Introduction

#### 35 1.1 CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions and Climate Change

Global energy demand is expected to continue to rise due to the increasing world population, in addition to economic development of nations such as Brazil, Russia India and China. Dependence on renewable energy alone such as solar, wind and tidal power to meet the projected demand is not feasible due to their intermittent nature. Therefore, fossil fuel remains the very attractive options in meeting future energy demands. But power generation using fossil fuel is estimated to be the largest source of worldwide carbon emissions [1].

Combustion of fossil fuels (e.g. petroleum, coal and natural gas) accounts for the 43 majority of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Globally most fossil-fuelled electricity production is from 44 coal (63%), followed by natural gas (29%) and oil (9%) [2]. For instance about 85.5% 45 of its coal (produced and imported) is used for electricity generation in the UK in 46 47 2011[3]. Albo et al. [4] stated that among the greenhouse gases, CO<sub>2</sub> contributes to more than 60% of global warming. Statistics from World Metrological Organisation 48 (WMO) showed that the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere reached 393.1 ppm in 49 2012. The WMO report also showed that the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere has 50 increased on average by 2 ppm per year for the past 10 years, this increased 51 atmospheric concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> affects the radiative balance of the Earth [5]. 52

#### 53 1.2 CCS Technologies

Intergovernmental panel on climate change (IPCC) [6] has set ambitious goal to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emission by 50% in 2050 as compared to the level of 1990 so as to overcome the foreseen environmental challenges. In order to achieve the required emission reductions in the most cost-effective manner, carbon capture and storage (CCS) will need to contribute around one-fifth of total reductions in emissions by 2050 [7].

60 CCS consists of three basic stages: (a) separation of CO<sub>2</sub>; (b) CO<sub>2</sub> transportation 61 and (c) CO<sub>2</sub> storage. There are three major approaches for CCS: post-combustion 62 capture, pre-combustion capture and oxy-fuel process [8].

#### 63 1.3 Different technical options in the context of PCC

PCC technologies are at various stages of development. Abanades et al. [9] reviewed the technological readiness level for different CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies in the context of PCC including chemical looping, calcium looping, PCC using solvent, PCC using adsorbent and PCC using membrane. The most matured process is PCC using solvent [9,10].

However, the PCC using solvent process has several drawbacks including: (1) low 69 CO<sub>2</sub> loading capacity; (2) high equipment corrosion rate; (3) amine degradation by 70  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$ , and  $O_2$  in the flue gases which induces a high solvent makeup rate; (4) 71 high energy consumption during solvent regeneration; (5) large equipment size [11-72 14] (in whole PCC process, absorber and stripper account for 55% and 17% of the 73 74 total capital cost respectively [15]). This process is introduced in more detail in Section 1.4. and Table 1 gives its performance indicators and status for chemical 75 absorption compared to adsorption and membrane technologies. 76

77

	Absorbent	Adsorbent	Membrane
Commercial usage in	High	Moderate	Low/Niche
chemical process			
industries			
Operational confidence	High	High, but complex	Low to moderate
Primary source of	Solvent	Solid sorbent	Compression on
energy penalty	Regeneration	Regeneration	feed and/or vacuum
	(thermal)	(thermal/vacuum)	on permeate
Regeneration energy	2.2 – 6	0.5 – 3.12	0.5 – 6
(MJ/kg-CO <sub>2</sub> )			
Efficiency penalty (%)	8.2 – 14	5.4 - 9.0	6.4 - 8.5
Development trends	New solvent,	New sorbent,	New membrane,
-	thermal integration	process configuration	process configuration

78 Table 1 Status of post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture development [15-23]

79

Chemically modified adsorbents have proved to be applicable for PCC process 80 because of large CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity, high adsorption and desorption rates, 81 high tolerance to moisture, and high selectivity towards CO<sub>2</sub> over other gases [24]. In 82 terms of regeneration energy, Zhao et al. [25] reported that solid sorbent does not 83 have any obvious advantage over the matured MEA process in terms of energy 84 consumption in the first design (i.e. two reactor used, one for adsorption and the 85 other for regeneration). But the novel (second) design (i.e. using three reactors, one 86 87 for adsorption, one for regeneration and another one for formation of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>1.5H<sub>2</sub>O), the regeneration energy can be reduced by utilizing the waste heat 88 from the process, however this design is difficult to control because the reactors 89 90 operate at different pressures. A lot of researchers have focused on new adsorbent 91 development, process optimization, and equipment innovation [26-50].

Abanades et al. [9] shows that membrane process for PCC is at almost the same 92 level of technological readiness as adsorption. Therefore, more study in this area is 93 needed in order to get detailed technical performance at large scale condition. Many 94 researchers have developed new membranes that offer better performance in term 95 of selectivity. The recent key projects developing membranes and modules for CO2 96 97 capture include Membrane Technology and Research (MTR) in the USA, NanoGLOWA in Germany, the carbon capture project (CCP) and the CO2CRC in 98 Australia [9]. Membranes process for PCC is beneficial because of relatively small 99 footprint, no phase change, simple mechanical system, steady-state operating 100 conditions (usually), easy scale-up and flexibility [51-53]. The major challenge for 101 membranes comes from the potential fouling of the membrane surfaces from 102 particulate matter, uncertainty about the performance and cost of large-scale efficient 103 vacuum pumps and compressors required for PCC, and the ability to integrate the 104 process into a power plant. Technological outlook of membrane system is reported in 105 Abanades et al. [9] and highlighted that for the technology to be competitive with 106 other PCC technology, the membrane needs to be of high CO<sub>2</sub> permeance (around 107 1000 gas permeance units (GPU)) to be economical. 108

The technological readiness (maturity) level of PCC based on chemical absorption and the first commercial deployment of PCC using solvents plant in 2014 motivate the authors to write this paper and highlight the process design option that will improve the chemical absorption PCC process using the RPB technology.

113 1.4 Current status of PCC using solvent and its commercial deployment

114



115

Figure 1 Simplified process flow diagram of chemical absorption process for post combustion carbon capture [54]

Figure 1 shows a simplified PCC process. Flue gas from  $CO_2$  sources such as power plant is contacted counter-currently with lean solvent solution in the absorber. Solvent chemically absorbs  $CO_2$  in the flue gas. This leaves a treated gas stream of lower  $CO_2$  content. The solvent solution (now rich solvent) is regenerated in the stripper.  $CO_2$  from the top of the stripper is compressed and transported while the lean (regenerated) solvent solution is returned to the absorber column passing through a cross exchanger to recover heat with rich solvent from the absorber.

Studies on PCC with chemical absorption process were reported mainly for fossil 125 fuel-fired power plants. Dugas [55] carried out pilot plant experimental studies of 126 PCC in the context of fossil fuel-fired power plants. Mangalapally et al. [56-59] 127 reported pilot plant studies of PCC for gas fired power plant. Lawal et al. [11,60-62], 128 129 Biliyok et al. [63], Kvamsdal et al. [54,64-66], MacDowell and Shah [67-69], MacDowell et al. [70], Lucquiaud et al. [71,72], Errey et al. [73], Agbonghae et al. 130 [14,74] carried out steady state and dynamic modelling of CO<sub>2</sub> absorption for PCC 131 using solvents for fossil-fuel fired power plants. Asendrych et al. [75], Sebastia-Saez 132 et al. [76], Raynal et al. [77], Raynal and Royon-Lebeaud [78] studied PCC for fossil 133 fuel fired power plant using CFD. 134

There is also good progress in commercial deployment of PCC using solvent technology. SaskPower's Boundary Dam Integrated Carbon Capture and Storage (ICCS) Demonstration Project (that comes online on 2<sup>nd</sup> October, 2014) captures over one million metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year, reflecting a 90% CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate for the 139 MWe coal-fired unit. This is the first commercial CCS plant in the world[79],

The demonstration plant of Southern Company's 25 MWe Plant Barry CCS project in
Alabama, USA using Mitsubishi Heavy Industries (MHI) technology has been
operational since Jun. 2011 and it reached full-scale capture of 500 tonnes a day in
September 2012 [80].

Petra Nova/NRG 240 MWe W.A. Parish project using the MHI technology is the largest commercial PCC using solvent project in the world. It is located in southwest of Houston, Texas, USA. It is installed on an existing coal-fired power plant and is expected to be operational in 2016 [81]. The plant is expected to capture 1.6 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> annually for use in enhanced production at mature oil fields in the Gulf Coast region [81]

However, there are two main barriers for the PCC using solvent process to be commercially deployed: (a) huge capital cost; (b) high thermal efficiency penalty due to solvent regeneration. Therefore it is necessary to improve the technologies that can reduce various costs in PCC.

154 1.5 Motivation for using PI in PCC with solvents process

It was reported that a 500 MWe supercritical coal fired power plant operating at 46% 155 efficiency (LHV basis) releases over 8,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> per day [82]. PCC using 156 solvents based on the conventional technology (i.e. using packed columns) requires 157 very large packed columns. Dynamic modelling and simulation study of a 500MWe 158 sub-critical coal-fired power plant by Lawal et al. [11] showed that two absorbers of 159 17m in packing height and 9m in diameter will be needed to separate CO<sub>2</sub> from the 160 flue gas. These huge packed columns translate into high capital costs. A significant 161 amount of steam from power plants has to be used for solvent regeneration. This 162 translates into high thermal efficiency penalty. It is reported that 3.2 to 4.5 MJ energy 163 is required to capture per kg of CO<sub>2</sub> using MEA solvent [11,54,68,83,84]. Technical 164 approaches such as heat integration, inter-cooling among others can reduce the 165 operating cost slightly. However, they limit the plant flexibility and will make operation 166 and control more difficult [54]. On the other hand, PI has potentials of significant 167 capital cost reduction [85-89], and also to improve process dynamics. 168

# 169 1.6 Introduction to PI and Evaluation of different PI technologies for PCC

According to Reay [86], process intensification (PI) can be defined as: "Any engineering development that leads to a substantially smaller, cleaner, safer and more energy efficient technology." There are general approaches to PI with the aim to improve process performance [86]: (a) Reducing equipment size using an intensified field (e.g. centrifugal, electrical, microwave); (b) Simplifying processes by

- integrating multiple process tasks in a single item of equipment; (c) Reducingequipment size by reducing its scale of structure.
- 177 PI technologies differ in functions and areas of application. Some will be very good at
- intensifying mass transfer, whilst others are good at intensifying heat transfer. Some
- typical PI studies are presented in Table 2 to evaluate the most preferred option for
- 180 CO<sub>2</sub> capture application.

# 181 Table 2 Summary of Different PI Technologies

PI Equipment	Description	Mechanism for Intensification	Area of application	Suitability to CO <sub>2</sub>	Limitation
			at present	capture	
Static mixer	A static mixer or motionless mixer is a device inserted into a housing or pipeline with the objective of manipulating fluid streams. Different designs are available, typically consisting of plates or baffles positioned in precise angles in order to direct flow, increase turbulence and achieve mixing and reactions [90].	Static mixer functions to divide, recombine, accelerate / decelerate, spread, swirl or form layers of fluid streams as they pass through the mixer. mixture components are brought into intimate contact thereby enhancing reaction processes [90]	Mostly this equipment is used for liquid system. e.g. Waste water treatment process (Formose treatment) [91]	Because of its high mass transfer capability, this can be used for CO <sub>2</sub> capture by combining the flue gas stream and the solvent stream. At the exit, a flash drum can be used to separate the treated gas and the rich solvent.	The challenge would be the high volume of flue gas to be treated (For example, 500 MWe coal-fired power plant releases 8,000 tonnes/day of pure CO <sub>2</sub> ).
Spinning disc	Spinning disc reactor consists of a cylindrical housing with a rotating disc in the middle between the top and bottom plates of the cylinder, the rotating disc is connected to a motor [92-94]	Gas and liquid are fed together through the inlet in the centre of the top stator, close to the rotating axis. A liquid film is present on top of the rotor, and a gas-liquid bubbly dispersion is located between the rotor and the bottom stator. The combined film flow on the rotor and the dispersed flow in the remainder part of rotor-stator reactor lead to higher gas-liquid mass transfer rate [92].	It is used for gas- liquid and liquid- solid mass transfer process such as desorption of oxygen from oxygen saturated water, polymerization, crystallization [92- 97].	It has the potential for $CO_2$ absorption, either as an absorber because of its high mass transfer capability or as a stripper because of its high heat transfer ability. It can also be used as a reboiler.	It may result in additional unit such as flash drum to separate the rich solvent from treated gas stream since the flow is co- current.

Mop fan	Mop fan is a device that uses flexible fibre needle impeller instead of blade impeller within a centrifugal fan casing. The flexible fibre needle impeller is connected to a motor [98] Flexible fibre impeller Lean-solvent Dirty Air In Centrifugal fan casing with reflective internal surface Clean Air Out	Dirty air enters the mop through its centre contacting the solvent which is sprayed on the fibre needle impeller. The rotating mop leads to increase in interfacial area for capture.	Mop fan is used for removal of air-borne particulates [99]	The system uses a flexible fibre needle impeller (mop fan) device to increase the heat and mass transfer in the absorber for CO <sub>2</sub> capture [98-100].	Huge volume of flue gas to be treated from power plants means there needs to be many mop fans for $CO_2$ capture. It may also result in additional unit such as flash drum to separate the rich solvent from treated gas stream since the flow might be co-current.
Loop reactor	Advanced BUSS Loop <sup>®</sup> reactor is made up of a reaction vessel, a circulation pump, a heat exchanger with a high performance gas/liquid ejector to achieve high mass transfer rates [101].	A gas-liquid ejector consists of four main sections. Optional swirl device, nozzle that provides a high velocity jet of fluid to create suction of the gas in the gas suction chamber and entrain gas into the ejector. Liquid jet attaches itself to the mixing tube wall resulting in a rapid dissipation of kinetic energy. This creates an intensive mixing where the high turbulence produces a fine dispersion of bubbles [101].	Loop reactor is used for hydrogenation, phosgenation, alkylation, carbonylation, oxidation and other gas-liquid reactions [101,102].	Because of high mass transfer and heat transfer it may be applicable to CO <sub>2</sub> capture [101- 103].	Design modification will be required for it to be use for CO <sub>2</sub> capture also huge volume of flue gas that will be treated is another challenge
Microreactor	Schematic representation of a 2 <sup>nd</sup> generation microreactor based on a plate design for performing mixing, gaining volume (e.g. to increase residence time) and integrating heat exchange [104].	There are many designs for Microreactor, but the plate design offers an advantage of good mixing, longer residence time and better temperature control using cooling or heating plate [104]. Two fluid streams flow co-currently into the reactor where there are static mixers inside the reactor to enhance mixing before the final product comes out.	The technology is mostly applied to fine chemical and pharmaceutical industries for production specialized drugs and hazardous chemicals [104- 106].	Because of high mass transfer and heat transfer, and its ability to operate at controlled temperature, it has good potential for CO <sub>2</sub> capture [105,106].	The expected challenge of using this technology for carbon capture is the huge volume of flue gas to be treated.

Chamber's centrifugal absorber	This centrifugal absorber was introduced by Chambers and Wall in 1954. The lower plate is rotating while the upper plate is static. Mass transfer occurs in the intermesh of concentric rings as the lean solvent contact the flue gas. No packing was utilized [107].	The rotation of the lower plate makes the liquid coming into the chamber to splash as it is thrown out while the flue gas contact the liquid counter-currently leading to mass transfer in the concentric regions of the abzsorber [107].	It is used for CO <sub>2</sub> capture as reported by Chambers and Wall [107].	With more modifications in design (to incorporate packing in its bed so as to increase surface area of contact) and construction material (e.g. corrosion resistance materials), it can be used for CO <sub>2</sub> capture.	Maximum CO <sub>2</sub> recovery was reported to be 85%, this is below the standard for CO <sub>2</sub> capture above 90%.
Podlbieiniak's deodorizer	The contactor is basically a cylindrical rotor mounted on a shaft with contacting material [108].	Gas and liquid meet counter- currently with the help of contacting material as the contactor rotates [108].	Used for stripping out odour and flavour substances from triglyceride oil using steam [108].	Has the potential for CO <sub>2</sub> capture especially as stripper.	Design modification will be needed when using it for CO <sub>2</sub> capture.
Rotating Zigzag bed	The RZB is characterized by a rotor coaxially combining a rotating disc with a stationary disc [109-111].	In the interior of rotor, the gas flows along a zigzag path and the liquid experiences repeated dispersion and agglomeration. There are two types of gas-liquid contact. The first step is cross- current contact of two phases when the liquid is thrown by the rotational baffles. The second step is counter-current contact of two phases when the liquid falls down along the stationary baffles [109,110].	RZB can function without liquid distributors, eliminate one dynamic-seal, and easily accommodate and accomplish intermediate feeds in continuous distillation processes [109- 111].	It has the potential for CO <sub>2</sub> absorption because of its high mass transfer capability [109-111].	No known limitation to CO <sub>2</sub> capture.
Rotating Packed Bed	The HiGee machine was constructed using a doughnut-shaped rotor, which is mounted on	This technology takes advantage of centrifugal fields as stimulants	Many studies such as Jassim <i>et al.,</i>	RPB has the potential for CO <sub>2</sub>	No known limitation to CO <sub>2</sub> capture

a sh pacl	haft, and filled with high specific area cking [85]	for process intensification. Increasing the centrifugal acceleration improves the slip velocity, which in turn improves the flooding characteristics and interfacial shear stress, and consequently boosts the mass transfer coefficient [85].	[85], Joel <i>et al.</i> , [87,89], Cheng and Tan [112], Cheng et al., [113], Cheng and Tan [114] showed its application to CO <sub>2</sub> capture was successful.	capture [85,87- 89,112,114-118].	
--------------	--	---	---	-------------------------------------	--



183

184 Figure 2 Mass transfer capacity in various devices [80]

Out of all the PI technologies studied, RPB proves to be the most suitable for 185 intensified PCC process because of its high mass transfer performance. Figure 2 186 shows the study done by BRITEST which indicates that RPB technology gives the 187 188 best mass transfer capability compared to all other mass transfer technologies [119]. Ramshaw and Mallinson [120] reported enhancement in mass transfer when using 189 RPB. Zhang et al. [121] also reported that there is at least one order magnitude 190 191 improvement in liquid phase mass transfer when compared to conventional packed bed. 192

Figure 3 summarised why PI technology is necessary in capture of carbon dioxide, first because reaction between CO<sub>2</sub> and its absorbent is a fast reaction which means it is a micromixing controlled process. Secondly it falls in the category of processes that are mass transfer limited [85,119].



#### 206 1.7 Aim and Novelty of the Paper

PCC using packed column (i.e. conventional technology) in the context of power generation may result in increase of the electricity cost by more than 50%. This has led to the need to search for alternative technologies since heat integration, intercooling among others limit the operational flexibility and make control of the technology more difficult [54,63,64,66]. PI technology offers the benefit of significantly reducing the size of columns without compromising its production capacity [120].

This paper aims to evaluate current status of intensified PCC (based on chemical 214 absorption) process regarding experimental rigs, experimental studies, intensified 215 heat exchanger, solvent selection, modelling and simulation and to identify the 216 knowledge gap that exists in using PI for intensified PCC process with solvents. It 217 218 was found that all of the experimental rigs available in the world operate as standalone intensified absorber or standalone intensified stripper. Therefore no study 219 on the integrated intensified PCC process was presented in any open literature. New 220 process flow diagram (PFD) of the integrated intensified PCC process is proposed in 221 Section 7.2 of this paper. Preliminary technical and economic analysis for intensified 222 PCC process compared with conventional PCC process is presented in Section 7.7. 223 Other areas that needs research efforts on use of PI for PCC process are study of 224 Marangoni effect, systematic experimental studies, selection of suitable solvents, 225 226 dynamic modelling and simulation, CFD study for scale-up, optimization, technoeconomic analysis and life cycle analysis (LCA). 227

# 228 2. RPB Absorber: Current Status of Experimental Rigs and Experimental 229 studies

#### 230 2.1 RPB Absorber Experimental Rigs

Since Mallinson and Ramshaw [120] introduced RPB in late 1970s, interest has
 been continuously increasing in the use of RPB as an absorber for PCC.

#### 233 2.1.1. Newcastle University in the UK

The group at the School of Chemical Engineering and Advanced Materials, 234 Newcastle University carried out studies on CO<sub>2</sub> absorption and desorption in 235 aqueous monoethanolamine (MEA) solutions in RPB. CO2 was captured at two 236 solvents flow rates of 0.66 and 0.35 kg/s having different MEA concentrations of 30 237 wt%, 55 wt%, 75 wt% and 100 wt%. The flue gas is at a flow rate of 2.86 kmol/hr 238 [85]. The RPB rig has internal diameter of 0.156 m, outer diameter 0.398 m and axial 239 height of 0.025 m. Presently the RPB rig have been changed as reported by Lee et 240 al. [122], the new RPB rig has outer diameter of 1 m, internal diameter of 0.19 m and 241 axial depth of 0.05 m. Newcastle team is also looking at using different packing types 242 (Expamet and Knitmesh) in the RPB bed. Expamet in the inner section of the RPB 243

packing while Knitmesh at the outer section of the packing to reduce or avoid theproblem known as the end effect.

#### 246 2.1.2. BUCT in China

Counter-current flow RPB was reported by Yi et al. [123] using Benfield solution 247 (amine-promoted hot potassium carbonate solution). The experimental rig has the 248 following specifications: inner and outer radius of the packing 0.040 m and 0.100 m 249 respectively, and axial depth of 0.031 m. Zhang et al. [121] reported RPB absorber 250 using ionic liquid with the following rig specifications: inner diameter of 0.020 m, 251 outer diameter 0.060 m and axial packing depth of 0.020m. Luo et al. [124] proposed 252 correlation for gas-Liguid effective interfacial area in a RPB using NaOH solvent to 253 absorbed CO<sub>2</sub>. Luo et al. [124,125] reported the following rig specifications: inner 254 and outer radii of the rotor were 0.078 m and 0.153 m respectively, and the axial 255 height of the rotor was 0.050 m. The static casing had an inner radius of 0.248 m 256 and an axial height of 0.098 m. Zhang et al. [121] found that liquid side volumetric 257 mass transfer coefficient for RPB has been improved to around 3.9 X 10<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> 258 compared with 1.9 X10<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for the conventional packed column under the same 259 260 operating conditions.

# 261 2.1.3. Taiwan (National Tsing Hua University, Chang Gung University and Chung 262 Yuan University)

The group in National Tsing Hua University in Taiwan studied CO<sub>2</sub> capture using 263 counter-current flow arrangement. Yu et al. [126] studied CO<sub>2</sub> capture by 264 alkanolamine solutions containing diethylenetriamine (DETA) and piperazine (PZ) in 265 an RPB. Cheng and Tan [114] studied removal of CO<sub>2</sub> from indoor air by 266 alkanolamine in RPB, the experimental rig used is the same as for Tan and Chen 267 [127] and Yu et al. [126]. The diameter of the static casing of the RPB was 0.228 m, 268 the inner and outer diameters of the packing in the RPB were 0.076 and 0.16 m 269 respectively, and the height was 0.02 m. The total volume of the packing in the RPB 270 was of 0.0003114 m<sup>3</sup>. Stainless wire mesh with a specific surface area of 803 m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>3</sup> 271 and a void fraction of 0.96 was packed in the bed acting as packing. In all these 272 studies, there is significant improvement in overall mass transfer and height of 273 transfer unit (HTU) demonstrating the performance superiority of an RPB compared 274 to conventional packed bed. Overall mass transfer coefficient (Kga) and HTU 275 corresponding to the most appropriate operating conditions in RPB were found to be 276 higher than 5.8 s<sup>-1</sup> and lower than 1.0 cm [114]. However HTU is around 40 cm for 277 conventional PCC process. 278

The group in Chang Gung University in Taiwan studied RPB absorber using crossflow RPB for CO<sub>2</sub> capture which is believed to have relatively small gas flow resistance and unaffected by centrifugal force. Another benefit of cross-flow is higher gas velocity due to no critical gas velocity limitation. Lin *et al.* [128] and Lin and Chen [129] found that cross-flow RPB absorber is effective for CO<sub>2</sub> absorption process. In their study, the following rig specifications were used: inner radius of 0.024 m, an outer radius of 0.044 m, and an axial length of 0.12 m, specific surface area of  $855m^2/m^3$  and a voidage of 0.95.

#### 287 2.1.4. India

India Institute of Technology (IIT) Kanpur reported the use of RPB as absorber in 288 CO<sub>2</sub> absorption process using split packing. The two packing discs can rotate co-289 currently or counter-currently. Their work shows that counter-current rotation of the 290 packing disc gives better performance. The technology improves slip velocity to as 291 high as 30 m/s [130]. It was also reported in their study that this type of RPB shows 292 good performance in gas phase control processes by enhancing volumetric mass 293 transfer coefficient on the gas side to about 35 - 280 times compared to those of 294 packed columns, the liquid side volumetric mass transfer coefficient enhances in the 295 range of 25 – 250 times compared to the packed column [130]. Agarwal et al. [131] 296 stated that continuous single block packing causes a significant reduction only in the 297 liquid side mass-transfer resistance with little or no reduction in the gas side 298 resistance. Rajan et al. [130] studied RPB absorber in NaOH solution and found that 299 the split packing gives the highest volumetric liquid side mass transfer coefficient as 300 301 compared to conventional packed column.

#### 302 2.2 Experimental Studies on Intensified Absorber

Significant progress has been witnessed in the area of intensified absorber, from the 303 304 early work published by Chamber's Wall in early 1950's to what we have at present. Newcastle research team in the UK as reported by Jassim et al. [85] was able to 305 establish that RPB has the potential to dramatically reduce the size and cost of 306 carbon capture units for power plants. Lee et al. [122] reported that there are some 307 uncertainties which need to be tackled such as (a) Power consumption; (b) Pressure 308 drop; (c) Viscous liquid distribution in a RPB, this leads to the modification of the 309 experimental rig used by Jassim et al. [85] with the aim of addressing these 310 challenges. 311

Research Groups in China and Taiwan also made significant progress towards the commercialization of this technology. The early report of the technology based on counter-current flow has now turned to look at the other flow geometries such as cocurrent and cross-flow arrangement to take the advantage of lower gas phase pressure drop and eliminating the need for air blower.

The research Group In India studies split packing RPB which has been suggested to increase the gas-phase mass transfer coefficient as against continuous packing RPB which is said to have the same gas phase mass transfer coefficient as conventional packed column [132].

# 321 3 RPB stripper: Current status of experimental rigs and experimental 322 studies

# 323 3.1 RPB stripper experimental rigs

324 Study on intensified stripper experimental rig for intensified PCC process was 325 published by just two research groups: Newcastle University in the UK and National 326 Tsing Hua University in Taiwan. With both groups, reboiler is the same as that of 327 conventional packed column (i.e. big in size).

#### 328 3.1.1. Newcastle University, UK

Jassim et al. [85] reported RPB stripper for desorption runs for 30 wt%, 54 wt% and 329 60 wt% MEA solution having flow rate range from 0.2 kg/s to 0.6 kg/s. The rich MEA 330 solution is preheated to the temperature range between 57 °C and 70 °C and at 331 atmospheric pressure before being sent into the intensified stripper. The RPB 332 stripper has internal diameter of 0.156 m, outer diameter 0.398 m and axial height of 333 0.025 m. The packed bed material was stainless steel expamet with very high 334 specific surface area (2132 m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>3</sup>) and a moderate voidage (0.76). Comparison 335 between RPB stripper and conventional stripper operating at the same performance 336 was done by Jassim et al. [85] which shows that the intensified stripper height is 337 reduced by a factor of 8.4 and its diameter is reduced by a factor of 11.3. 338

339 **3.1.2**. Taiwan

With conventional packed columns, energy consumption in capturing  $CO_2$  from a conventional coal-fired power plant ranges from 3.24 to 4.2 GJ/tonne  $CO_2$  [20]. Kothandaraman *et al.* [133] noted that the majority (approximately 62%) of the energy consumed during the  $CO_2$  capture process was required for the regeneration of solvent. Moreover, the consumption of steam is the most important component of the operating costs of alkanolamine absorption as reported in Chapel and Mariz [134]; Tobiesen and Svendsen [135].

In order to regenerate CO<sub>2</sub>-rich solvent solution at a temperature higher than 100 °C 347 and a pressure higher than atmospheric pressure, Cheng et al. [88] introduced a 348 back pressure regulator, this is the major difference between Jassim et al. [85] setup 349 and Cheng et al. [88] setup. Because of the back pressure regulator, the 350 regeneration could be operated at various temperatures over a fixed pressure, and 351 vice versa. Cheng et al. [88] rig specifications are as following: the diameter of the 352 static casing of the RPB was 0.228 m, the inner and outer diameters of the packing 353 in the RPB were 0.076 and 0.16 m respectively, and the packing height in the RPB 354 was 0.02 m. The total volume of the packing in the RPB was 0.000311 m<sup>3</sup>. For the 355 RPB packing, a stainless wire mesh with a specific surface area of 803 m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>3</sup> and a 356 void fraction of 0.96 was packed into the bed. 357

The use of an RPB apparatus instead of a packed bed not only dramatically reduces the required stripper volume but also consumes less regeneration energy [88].

360

#### 361 3.2 Experimental studies on Intensified Regenerator

Intensified regenerator for CO<sub>2</sub> capture was reported by Jassim *et al.* [85] and Cheng *et al.* [88]. In both studies, they found that RPB regenerator can significantly reduce the size of column as compared to conventional stripper, but the reboiler size is still as big as before since it has not been intensified. Cheng *et al.* [88] improved from Jassim *et al.* [85] by introducing a back pressure controller, this will help in operating the regenerator at higher pressure and temperature.

#### 368 4 Intensified Heat Exchanger

In conventional PCC process, there is a cross heat exchanger. This is huge in volume. In addition to this, the piping surrounding the cross heat exchanger has high footprint. Therefore, the cross heat exchanger has to be intensified.

#### 372 4.1 Technologies available to choose

#### 373 *4.1.1 Printed Circuit Heat Exchanger (PCHE)*

The PCHE was invented in 1980 in Australia and applied to refrigerators in 1985 by Heatric (UK) [136]. The PCHE is a high-integrity plate type compact heat exchanger in which fluid flow channels are produced by chemical etching on flat metal plates. Etched plates are stacked to produce single block by diffusion bonding [136-139].

378 Because of the compactness provided by PCHE design, the volume of PCHEs are typically 4-6 times smaller and lighter than conventional shell-and-tube heat 379 exchangers designed for the same thermal duty and pressure drop as shown in 380 Figure 4 [140,141]. Low pressure drop in PCHE can be found based on design. Kim 381 et al. [138] compared air-foil fin PCHE and zigzag channel PCHE which have the 382 same heat transfer performance but the pressure drop of airfoil fin PCHE is one-383 twentieth of zigzag PCHE. PCHE effectiveness was reported to be more than 98% 384 and can operate at maximum allowable pressure of 600 bar and more than 800 °C 385 maximum operating temperature (limited by material of construction). PCHE has 386 multi-fluid capability (intensification achieved by reducing the number of exchanger 387 units) [141]. 388



389

Figure 4 Printed Circuit Heat Exchanger (Courtesy of Heatric Ltd): the big one at the back is shell-and-tube heat exchanger; while the small one in front is PCHE.

392 4.1.2 Formed Plate Heat Exchanger (FPHE)

The FPHE uses the same concept as various fin plate heat exchangers, but adds the advantage of replacing the brazing process with the diffusion-bonding process. This is shown in Figure 5. Heatric Ltd reported that FPHE has bigger channels size (about 3mm x 3mm) than the PCHE, this leads to lower pressure drop than PCHE [141]. FPHE has effectiveness of more than 98%. Maximum allowable pressure for FPHE is 200 bar and can operate at higher temperature of up to 800 °C, it has multi-fluid capability (Intensification by reducing number exchanger units)[141].

400 4.1.3 Hybrid Heat Exchanger (H<sup>2</sup>X)

H<sup>2</sup>X technology developed by Heatric Ltd combines the etched plates and the formed fins to form what is known as Hybrid Heat Exchanger [141]. The heat exchanger takes some of the advantages offered by PCHE and FPHE. H<sup>2</sup>X has bigger hydraulic diameter than PCHE because of the presence of FPHE and can also withstand higher pressure than FPHE because of the advantage offered by the presence of PCHE. Typical hydraulic diameter of PCHE is in the range of 0.1 mm to 3 mm while that of FPHE is in the range of 1.2 mm to 3.3 mm [141].



408

Figure 5 Summary of Technology of PCHE, H2X, and FPHE (Courtesy of Heatric)

410 4.1.4 The Marbond heat exchanger

The manufacturing procedures of Marbond heat exchanger are similar to those of 411 the PCHE. It is formed of slotted flat plates which have been chemically etched 412 through. The plate pack is then diffusion-bonded together [142,143]. In contrast 413 414 with the PCHE, several thinner, slotted plates are typically stacked to form a single sub-stream, thus giving the potential for very low hydraulic diameters [142]. In some 415 applications, it has a substantially higher area density than the PCHE. For example, 416 a doubling of porosity, other factors being equal, results in a halving of the volume 417 for a given surface area [144]. 418

419 4.1.5 Spiral heat exchanger (SHE)

SHE refers to a helical tube configuration. The term refers to a circular heat exchanger with two long metal strips of plate rolled together to form a pair of concentric spiral channels of rectangular cross-section, one for each fluid. The passages can be either smooth or corrugated, in some cases studs are welded onto one side of each strip to fix the spacing between the plates, to provide mechanical strength and to induce turbulence that increases heat transfer [145].

The internal void volume is lower (less than 60%) than in a shell-and-tube heat 426 exchanger [145], and this yields a compact and space-saving construction that can 427 428 be readily integrated in any plant and reduces installation costs. The heat transfer surface ranges from 0.05 m<sup>2</sup> for refrigeration applications up to about 500 m<sup>2</sup> with a 429 maximum shell diameter of 1.8 m and the sheet metal thickness range is 1.8-4 mm 430 for industrial processes [146]. The surface area requirement is about 20% lower than 431 that for a shell-and-tube unit for the same heat duty [145]. SHEs are often used in 432 the heating of high viscosity and dirty fluids. It exhibits lower tendency to fouling 433

434 [147]. When a SHE requires cleaning, all heat transfer surfaces are readily435 accessible by simply removing the heads.

### 436 4.2 Recommendation for intensified Heat Exchanger for PCC application

437 To make a decision on best intensified heat exchanger to be used for PCC application, many factors need to be considered. Some of them are listed as follows 438 [140]: (a) Operating Pressure limits; (b) Thermal performance (also known as the 439 effectiveness of the heat exchanger); (c) Expected working temperature range; (d) 440 Product mix to be used in the exchanger (liquid-to-liquid or gas-to-gas); (e) Pressure 441 drop desired across the expected heat exchanger; (f) The expected fluid flow 442 443 capacities over both sides of the heat exchanger; (g) Method of cleaning employed, maintenance and repair issues associated with heat exchanger; (h) Materials 444 required for construction; (i) Ease of expansion of exchanger when it becomes 445 446 necessary; (j) The cost of the heat exchanger.

447 Compromise would therefore have to be made in most cases when selecting a heat 448 exchanger. For instance, cost of the exchanger is a paramount factor, but it should 449 not be the determining factor. If just for a cheaper heat exchanger, certain desired 450 performance demands of the heat exchanger would have to be forfeited.

The authors believe that the PCHE and the Marbond heat exchanger look promising for use in intensified PCC process because of its many benefits such as high efficiency (>98%), Compactness to improve safety and economics, weight saving, low pressure drop, high temperature and retrofit options [141-143]. PCHE has been reported to have additional advantage of being multi-fluid, meaning it can be used for preheating of rich-MEA stream and also as a condenser for  $CO_2$  – stream.

# 457 **5.** Solvents used for intensified PCC process

There are extensive studies in solvent selection from both academia and industry trying to identify alternative solvents for conventional PCC process. There are very few studies on PI using different solvents [85,87,89,121,123-126,128,129,148-150].

#### 461 5.1 Factors to consider

Factors to consider when conducting solvent screening for conventional PCC 462 process and intensified PCC process is similar to some extent but the major 463 difference comes from residence time of solvent in different technologies. In 464 intensified PCC process, the residence time is relatively short (less than 10% of the 465 466 conventional PCC process). Therefore the factors to consider are: (1) CO<sub>2</sub> absorption reaction kinetics, (2)  $CO_2$  absorption capacity, (3) heat of absorption, (4) 467 solvent toxicity, (5) solvent volatility, (6) solvent corrosivity, (7) solvent degradation, 468 (8) solvent foaming, (9) solvent viscosity, (10) solvent surface tension and (11) cost. 469

470 (1) CO<sub>2</sub> reaction kinetics: This determines the rate at which CO<sub>2</sub> will be captured.
471 Fast reaction kinetics is essential for intensified PCC process since the residence
472 time is very short.

473 (2) CO<sub>2</sub> absorption capacity: This is related to the solvent flow rate required and
474 the sensible heat requirement. Higher CO<sub>2</sub> absorption capacity would require lower
475 solvent flow rate and subsequent less regeneration energy demand.

476 (3) Heat of absorption: This would be an important factor affecting reboiler heat
477 duty. Lower heat of absorption will require less regeneration energy input to reverse
478 the chemical reaction and release absorbed CO<sub>2</sub>.

(4) Solvent stability, operational issues and environmental impact: These are the 479 other factors to be evaluated when selecting solvents. Solvent degradation (which 480 may be controlled by having high stability against oxygen and thermal stress) and 481 corrosion will cause an increase in operation and maintenance (O&M) costs by 482 making up solvent and reducing the lifetime of the equipment. Higher solvent 483 viscosity would increase the pump work in circulating the solvent between the 484 absorber and regenerator. Cost and availability of potential solvents in commercial 485 scale could contribute to limitations of the process feasibility. Environmental impacts 486 such as solvent toxicity and volatility deserve serious attention when judging the 487 potential of a solvent since causing secondary pollution while capturing CO<sub>2</sub> is not a 488 scenario the public would be willing to take. 489

Other solvent characteristics such as surface tension and foaming tendency are also
important factors to consider when judging a solvent's potential. Table 3 gives
evaluation of solvent properties based on relative importance on a scale of 0 to 10,
with 10 being the most important property and 0 the least important property [151].
These may provide insights for solvent selection in intensified PCC process.

Property	Importance	Potential	Evaluation Methods
	-	Show-stopper	
			Literature,
Reaction Kinetics	10	Yes	wetted-wall column(WWC)
Absorption Capacity	10	Maybe	Calculation from VLE
Heat of absorption	10	Voc	Literature, Calorimetry
	10	100	Measurement, Calculation from
			VLE
Toxicity	6	Yes	Literature/material safety data
			sheet(MSDS)
Volatility	4	Maybe	Literature
Corrosivity	6	Maybe	Literature,
Contosivity			Laboratory Test
Degradation	6	Vas	Literature,
Degradation	0	163	Laboratory Test
Foaming	4	Maybe	Literature
Viscosity	1	Ves	Literature,
viscosity	4	165	Pilot Test
Surface tension	4	Maybe	Literature
Cost	2	Maybe	Vendor

Table 3 Evaluation of Solvent Properties [151]

#### 496 5.2 Solvents Used

497 Different solvents were used for intensified PCC process by different research
498 groups. Some researchers use one solvent while others mix solvents so as to benefit
499 from properties each solvent offers.

#### 500 5.2.1. Alkanolamine

501 The use of MEA for CO<sub>2</sub> capture in RPB was reported in Jassim et al. [85]. MEA has high reactivity but is rapidly replaced by more efficient solvents because of its 502 corrosive nature, toxicity and high heat of reaction with CO<sub>2</sub>. Diethanolamine (DEA) 503 is much slower to react with CO<sub>2</sub>. It is not good for intensified PCC process itself. 504 Methyldiethanolamine (MDEA) has become an important alkanolamine because of 505 its low energy requirement, high capacity and high stability but has the disadvantage 506 of low rate of reaction with CO2. Lin et al., [128] presents study on the evaluation of 507 various alkanolamine solutions for CO<sub>2</sub> removal in cross-flow RPB. The reaction rate 508 509 of these solvents with CO<sub>2</sub> followed the order of Piperazine (PZ) > MEA > 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (AMP). 510

Yu et al. [126] reported study on CO<sub>2</sub> capture by alkanolamine solutions containing 511 diethylenetriamine (DETA) and PZ in RPB. They found that the CO<sub>2</sub> capture 512 efficiency of DETA in terms of overall mass transfer coefficient  $K_c a$  and HTU was 513 superior to that of MEA in RPB. This is because DETA possesses higher CO2 514 515 absorption capacity and reaction rate with CO<sub>2</sub> than MEA. Higher boiling point and lower vapour pressure of DETA will lead to lower energy requirement and less loss 516 of solvent in stripper compared with MEA, suggesting DETA as a promising solvent 517 to substitute MEA for CO<sub>2</sub> capture. The mixed solution DETA + PZ exhibited higher 518 519 CO<sub>2</sub> capture efficiency than DETA indicating PZ was a great promoter for capturing CO<sub>2</sub>. This was because the promoter PZ possesses higher reaction rate with CO<sub>2</sub> 520 than DETA [126]. 521

522 5.2.2 NaOH

Munjal et al. [152] reported the use of NaOH for absorption of CO<sub>2</sub>. Their study 523 shows that the gas-liquid mass transfer could be improved. Lin et al. [153] compared 524 525 the overall volumetric mass-transfer coefficient ( $K_G a$ ) of RPB for different solvents (i.e. NaOH, MEA and AMP) and found that  $K_{G}a$  values for the CO<sub>2</sub>-MEA system 526 were approximately 2-5 times higher than those for the CO<sub>2</sub>-AMP system also  $K_{ca}$ 527 values for MEA were at least 20% higher than those for NaOH at the same operating 528 conditions. Therefore rate of reaction for CO<sub>2</sub> capture in RPB follows the order MEA 529 530 > NaOH > AMP [154]. But AMP has higher absorption capacity than MEA. Lin and Chen [81], Luo et al. [125] studied chemisorption's of CO2 using NaOH in RPB. They 531 found that NaOH has the potential for use as solvent in RPB, but one of the major 532 challenges is the formation of stable salt which make solvent regeneration difficult. 533

#### 534 5.2.3 Ionic liquid (1-n-butyl-3-methyllimidazolium hexafluorophosphate)

The use of ionic liquids for CO<sub>2</sub> capture is gaining interest due to their unique 535 characteristics (i.e., wide liquid ranges, thermal stabilities, negligible vapour 536 pressures up to their thermal decomposition points, tunable physicochemical 537 characters, and high CO<sub>2</sub> solubility) [121,155]. However, ionic liquids are commonly 538 high or superhigh viscosity liquids with poor fluidities. A significant limitation for large-539 540 scale application of a continuous CO<sub>2</sub> capture process for conventional packed columns by ionic liquid is the great resistance of mass transfer and low gas - liquid 541 mass transfer rate due to the high viscosity. As reported in Chen et al. [118], the 542 dependence of  $k_L a$  on liquid viscosity in RPB is less than that of packed column. 543

#### 544 5.2.4 Potassium Carbonate (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>)

The use of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is receiving great attention because of its high CO<sub>2</sub> absorption 545 capacity. Firstly, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is a more efficient solvent for CO<sub>2</sub> than either MEA or DEA 546 [156]. This means that for a given amount of solvent, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> can absorb more than 547 the other two solvents. In addition, the cost of this solvent is lower because less is 548 needed and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is cheaper than other traditional solvents [156]. Secondly, this 549 eliminates the need for cross heat exchanger because the stripper runs at lower 550 temperature than the absorber [156]. Thirdly, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> increases the safety in CO<sub>2</sub> 551 removal by not only absorbing CO<sub>2</sub> but also small amounts of hydrogen. Hydrogen 552 possesses a safety threat. Flashing off hydrogen can cause fires or explosions if 553 proper precautions are not taken. Lastly K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is not volatile, which means minimal 554 losses of the solvent with the exit gas occur. Since K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is not prone to the 555 degradation reactions associated with MEA, there is no loss of solvent associated 556 with degradation [133]. However one of the major drawbacks of using K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in RPB 557 is its low rate of reaction. This necessitated the need for promoter so as to increase 558 its rate of reaction. Kothandaraman et al. [133] reported regeneration energy (without 559 560 energy recuperation) of 3.2 MJ/kg for K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> when treating flue gas (12 vol% CO<sub>2</sub>).

#### 561 5.2.5 Benfield solution (Amine-promoted hot K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution)

Amine-promoted hot K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution, which is called Benfield solution, is used in 562 Benfield process [157]. The amine promoter could significantly enhance the reaction 563 rate while the carbonate-bicarbonate buffer offers advantages of large capacity for 564 CO<sub>2</sub> capture and ease of regeneration [123,157]. Pilot plant studies by Field et al. 565 [158] shows that hot-carbonate system is particularly effective for removing CO<sub>2</sub>, 566 especially when present at high partial pressure. Steam consumption is one-third to 567 one-haft that of ethanolamine. Therefore, Benfield Process is known as an economic 568 and efficient way of removing large quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> from flue gases and can be 569 effectively used in RPB [123]. 570

571 5.2.6 Piperazine (PZ)

572 PZ is a diamine solvent whereby one amine group is involved in a fast reaction with 573 CO<sub>2</sub> to form the carbamate while the other amine absorbs the released proton [159]. 574 PZ reacts rapidly with CO<sub>2</sub> and thus has attracted interest for usage in CO<sub>2</sub> capture, 575 particularly as a reaction rate promoter for CO<sub>2</sub> absorption in carbonate and tertiary 576 amine solutions [148,159-162]. Chemical reactions which describe the absorption of 577 CO<sub>2</sub> in PZ solutions are more complex than MEA [159,160].

578 Reaction rate between  $CO_2$  and aqueous PZ solution is high [148,160-162]. 579 However, the absorption has to take place at high temperatures because its solubility 580 in water is limited [161]. Freeman *et al.* [160] suggested the use of concentrated PZ 581 solution for  $CO_2$  capture because of its effective resistant to oxygen degradation and 582 thermal degradation. Despite its high reactivity with  $CO_2$ , it has some challenges 583 such as limited solubility in water and more volatile than MEA.

#### 584 5.3 Proprietary Commercial Solvents

To avoid high thermal efficiency penalty due to high regeneration energy, new 585 solvents were developed and commercialised. Econamine FG+ is MEA-based 586 solvent with proprietary inhibitors [163,164]. Sander and Mariz [163] reported 587 resultant solution circulation factor (m<sup>3</sup> solvent per m<sup>3</sup> Econamine FG+ solvent) for 588 Econamine FG+ solvent as 1 while 18wt% MEA solvent has 1.7. The Kansai 589 Electric power Co. and Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd. have developed new 590 aqueous solutions of sterically-hindered amines designated as KS-1, KS-2 and KS-3 591 592 [165-167]. The world's largest commercial PCC plant (Petra Nova/NRG 240 MWe W.A. Parish project) planned to use KS-1 solvent when commissioning in 2016 [81]. 593 The first commercial CCS plant (SaskPower's Boundary Dam 139 MWe project) 594 uses Consolv solvent [79], the solvent is based on tertiary amines, and probably 595 includes a promoter to yield sufficient absorption rates for low pressure flue gas 596 streams. H3 solvent is Hitachi's proprietary solvent formulation which has much 597 lower regeneration energy compared with MEA [168]. Regeneration energy and 598 thermal efficiency penalty for different proprietary commercial solvents were 599 compared in Table 4. 600

Solvent	Regeneration Energy (GJ/t-CO <sub>2</sub> )	Efficiency penalty (%)	References
Econmaine FG+	3.12	9.2	IEAGHG [169]
KS-1	3.08	8.4	IEAGHG [169]
KS-2	3.0	9.3	Gibbins and Crane [170]
CANSOLV	2.33	8.2	Just [171], Shaw [172]
H3	2.8	7.8	Wu <i>et al</i> . [168], Stover <i>et al</i> . [173]

#### Table 4 Performance of proprietary commercial solvents [159]

#### 5.4 Recommendations on solvent selection for PCC process

603 Selection of solvents for CO<sub>2</sub> capture process is a very important design decision for 604 both conventional and intensified PCC processes. Firstly, the residence time in the 605 intensified PCC process is less than 10% of the conventional PCC process.

Therefore solvent for intensified PCC process should have fast kinetics to capture 606 CO<sub>2</sub>. That is why most studies on RPB absorber uses primary or secondary 607 alkanolamines due to their fast kinetics. Concentration of the solvent in RPB is 608 usually high in order to have high reaction rate. High concentration solvent generally 609 has high viscosity, which prevents its use in conventional PCC process. However, 610 this is not a problem in RPB case. Secondly to achieve high CO<sub>2</sub> absorption 611 capacity and reaction kinetics fast, mixing solvents such as amine-promoted K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> 612 will play a significant role. Thirdly the regeneration energy of solvents should be low 613 in addition to fast kinetics and high absorption capacity. Oexmann et al. [174] 614 reported mixing MDEA and PZ gives lower regeneration energy of 2.52 GJ/t-CO<sub>2</sub>. 615 Lastly when an intensified PCC plant is to be built in non-compliant area, volatility of 616 the solvent will have a big impact on whether or not the project will be permitted. 617

# 618 6. Modelling/Simulation for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Process using RPB

619 Modelling and simulation can be used to circumvent the difficulties with the 620 experimental approach, and complement the experimental studies.

#### 621 6.1 Correlations for Mass/Heat Transfer

Significant progress has been noticed in the development of correlations for 622 mass/heat transfer in RPB. Tung and Mah [132] developed correlations for liquid 623 phase mass transfer coefficient based on the penetration theory. In developing Tung 624 and Mah [132] correlation, the effect coriolis acceleration and packing material 625 geometry were neglected. Chen et al. [175] developed correlation that takes into 626 consideration of those terms that were neglected by Tung and Mah [132]. Chen [176] 627 reported mass transfer correlation for gas-phase mass transfer coefficients which 628 has predicted experimental data reasonably well. The challenge of predicting 629 accurately the interfacial area in RPB is another issue of concern. Onda et al. [177] 630 correlation for gas-liquid interfacial area for conventional packed column was 631 modified by replacing the gravity term with centrifugal gravity term, but this does not 632 take into account different types of packing factors such as wire diameter and the 633 wire mesh opening. Luo et al. [124] developed gas-liquid interfacial area correlation 634 for wire mesh packing which takes into account the effect of wire diameter and wire 635 mesh opening. Burns et al. [178] correlation predicts the liquid hold-up for a high 636 voidage structured packing in an RPB. 637

#### 638 6.2 *Modelling/Simulation of Intensified Absorber*

Few open literature discussed modelling and simulation of intensified absorber. The group in Taiwan modelled the RPB as a series of continues stirred tank with contactors. Cheng and Tan [114] reported that five CSTRs with a contactor can achieve the set target for a given case through simulation study. The research group at University of Hull, UK reported modelling and simulation of RPB absorber using Aspen Plus<sup>®</sup> and visual FORTRAN [87,89]. Their key findings include: (a) the packing volume can be reduced 52 times and the absorber size can be reduced 12
 times; (b) there is no temperature bulge observed so far inside the packing [87,89].

647 6.3 Modelling/Simulation of Intensified Stripper

Experimental studies of intensified regenerator were only reported in Jassim *et al.*[85] and Cheng *et al.* [88]. No modelling and simulation of intensified stripper was
reported in open literature.

#### 651 6.4 Modelling and Simulation of the whole plant

652 Open literature on modelling and simulation of whole intensified PCC process was 653 not available as at the time of this review.

#### **7. Prospective of applying PI Technology into PCC using solvents**

With the high potential to reduce capital and operating costs for carbon capture, the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) recently awarded a consortium project worth £1.27 million to the universities of Hull, Newcastle, Sheffield and Imperial College London to apply PI technology into PCC with solvents process.

#### 660 7.1 Fundamental study regarding Marangoni effect and enhanced mass transfer

Interfacial turbulence (i.e. Marangoni effect) which is caused by surface tension 661 gradient have been analysed by Semkov and Kolev [179], Kolev and Semkov [180], 662 Sternling and Scriven [181] and Buzek et al. [182]. The main reason for the 663 phenomenon of instability can be the local disturbances of temperature and /or 664 concentration near the interface [182]. Marangoni effect can significantly enhance 665 mass transfer rate by a factor of two or more, but it can easily be damped by a 666 surface active agent. Therefore, amine solutions used for absorption should not be 667 contaminated by even traces of surfactants [182]. A future task is to use simple 668 experiments to observe whether interfacial turbulence exists in RPB absorber. 669

#### 7.2 Proposed schematic PFD for whole intensified PCC process

671 Since there is no pilot plant of whole intensified PCC process in the world, we 672 propose a simplified whole intensified PCC process. Figure 6 describes potential 673 technologies (RPB, Spinning disc and PCHE) proposed for the intensified PCC.



674

Figure 6 Simplified PFD of intensified chemical absorption process for PCC

676 Comparing Figure 6 (intensified PCC using solvent) with Figure 1 (conventional PCC using solvents), there are three main differences: (1) Rich solvent is regenerated in 677 the stripper which use spinning disc reboiler incorporated outside RPB packing in 678 679 order to use the same motor for rotation; (2) the cross heat exchanger in the conventional PCC technology is replaced with the intensified heat exchanger based 680 on PCHE. The intensified heat exchanger is multi-fluid which can be used as 681 condenser for the CO<sub>2</sub> stream and also pre-heating rich solvent. Therefore this 682 integration can reduces or eliminate condenser cooling cost; (3) Intensified absorber 683 and stripper are driven by motors. 684

685 Checked against the definition of PI in Section 1.6, the proposed PFD in Figure 6 686 achieves PI in the following ways: (a) the size of the intensified absorber and stripper 687 is reduced significantly due to the centrifugal field; (b) the reboiler and the stripper 688 are merged together into a single item of equipment. The condenser is also merged 689 into the multi-fluid intensified heat exchanger.

#### 690 7.3 Experimental rigs and Experimental studies

For intensified absorber or stripper, conscious judgement on the best flow arrangement (i.e. counter-current, co-current or cross-flow) is necessary in RPB design of experimental rig so as to decide which flow geometry will have better RPB performance and at minimum energy penalty (due to vapour phase pressure drop).

695 Currently, there are only standalone intensified absorber or stripper worldwide. The 696 experimental rig for the whole intensified PCC process is necessary to build so as to 697 understand the dynamic behaviour of the whole capture process and to provide698 foundation for studies in optimal design, operation and control.

Mass transfer performance of the intensified absorber has been fully determined by experimental studies and modelling & simulation. More studies are needed to understand the actual surface area in the RPB which over the years not been fully determined. Dynamic flow behaviour in RPB is another aspect that needs to be studied.

#### 704 7.4 Selection of Solvents

Studies in conventional packed column showed that solvent with high viscosity 705 affects its performance. Zhang et al. [121] reported that the impact of high viscosity 706 on CO<sub>2</sub> capture process is not so severe for intensified CO<sub>2</sub> capture process. 707 Therefore study on ionic liquid solvent which has high absorption capacity and 708 selectivity can be a good driver in improving the performance of intensified PCC 709 710 process. Again studies on mixed solvents in intensified PCC process should be emphasized in order to bring in balance during absorption and desorption so as to 711 have high capture performance in the absorber and less amount of regeneration 712 energy to be consumed in the stripper. 713

#### 714 7.5 Modelling and simulation of intensified CO<sub>2</sub> capture process

Thermodynamic and transport property data is central to any modelling and simulation of intensified CO<sub>2</sub> capture process and these properties were readily available for up to 30 wt% aqueous MEA solution. However higher MEA concentration is required for RPB absorber or stripper due to low residence time. There is very limited data for CO<sub>2</sub> with high concentration MEA in literature.

Solubility (i.e. VLE) data for MEA concentration up to 60 wt% and for temperature range of 40 to 120 °C were reported in Aronu *et al.* [183]. Mason and Dodge [184] reported solubility for MEA concentration up to 75 wt%. More solubility data at 80wt% or even 100 wt% MEA concentrations is needed for intensified PCC process. Therefore there is a need for more solubility studies for higher solvent concentrations. This can be done by experiment or using molecular simulation software to interpolate or extrapolate.

727 Other areas that require experimental or molecular simulation study are reaction 728 equilibrium constants and kinetic parameters determination for higher solvent 729 concentration with CO<sub>2</sub>.

Most studies through modelling and simulation focused on intensified absorber with few researches on intensified stripper for PCC. Studies on steady state simulation of intensified absorber were reported in Joel *et al.* [87,89] with main aim on process analysis for design and operation. However, these studies could not check the dynamic behaviour of the absorber or the whole intensified PCC process. Therefore future study on dynamic modelling is necessary in order to meet such challenges. It is believed that if a dynamic model is developed and validated, sensitivity analysiswill be done for optimal design, operation and control.

#### 738 7.6 Scale-up

Commercial-scale capture on operating power plants has yet to be undertaken, 739 leading to uncertainty regarding scale-up and integration of existing technologies 740 [185]. Harzog [186] reported that the challenge for CCS commercial deployment is 741 to integrate and scale up these components (absorber, heat exchanger and the 742 regenerator). Shi et al. [187] and Yang et al. [188] use computational fluid dynamic 743 (CFD) to study fluid flow in RPB. But more studies are required for scale-up of RPB 744 columns. To be able to carry out the scale-up study of an intensified PCC process, it 745 is recommended to couple process modelling software with CFD software so as to 746 accurately predict the hydraulic behaviour and the mass transfer behaviour of the 747 748 RPB.

# 749 7.7 Evaluation of Technical, Economical and Environmental Performance

So far, no detailed and systematic studies were reported on the technical,
 economical and environmental impact on the use of intensified PCC process
 compared with conventional PCC process.

- There are some operational benefits when using RPB in intensified PCC process. The first is its ability to be operated at higher gas and/or liquid flow rates owing to the low tendency of flooding compared to the conventional packed bed [128]. The second benefit of using RPB is its better self-cleaning, avoidance of plugging in the system, and being unaffected by a moderate disturbance in its orientation [189].
- 758 Joel et al. [87] carried out comparative study between conventional absorber and intensified absorber, and found the size reduction factor of about 12 times. Jassim et 759 al. [85] reported stripper height reduction factor of 8.4 and stripper diameter 760 reduction factor of 11.3 as compared to conventional packed column. Cheng et al. 761 [88] reported a reduction factor for RPB stripper of at least 10 times as compared to 762 conventional stripper. Li et al. [190] reported that for PCHE performing the same duty 763 as shell-and-Tube heat exchanger has size reduction factor of 4-6 times. From the 764 analysis above, the size of main components in intensified PCC process can be 765 significantly reduced. 766
- Because of much less gas-liquid contact time that occurs in RPB absorber than in a 767 conventional packed bed columns, the selection of solvent with a fast reaction rate 768 with CO<sub>2</sub> is crucial [85,87,89,112,113,120,127,191]. This necessitates the use of 769 higher concentration solvent (such as 55 wt% or 75 wt% MEA reported in 770 [85,87,89]), but this comes with another challenge of corrosion as reported by 771 772 Barham, et al. [192]. This corrosion problem can be managed by the use of (a) more expensive construction material such as stainless steel rather than the commonly 773 used carbon steel; (b) coating with high performance polymer on the surface of 774 stainless steel. 775

In addition to steam consumption for solvent regeneration, electricity will be 776 consumed to drive the intensified absorber and stripper in the intensified PCC 777 process. This means parasitic energy consumption added to carbon capture in 778 intensified PCC process. Cheng et al. [88] reported that regeneration energy of RPB 779 stripper is smaller than that of conventional packed column (excluding the energy for 780 781 rotating the RPB stripper). This is caused by decrease in the amount of vapour lean MEA required from reboiler to RPB due to improved heat transfer zone inside RPB 782 thereby decreasing its reboiler duty. Only Agarwal et al. [131] studied electricity 783 consumption to drive the motors in RPB absorber using DEA solvent for carbon 784 capture. Generally the higher the rotating speed, the higher the electricity 785 consumption by the motor. The study in Agarwal et al. [131] indicates that electricity 786 consumption is guite low at 900 rpm while the number increased significantly at 1500 787 rpm. More experimental studies are required to quantify the contribution of electricity 788 789 used by motors to overall energy consumption in intensified PCC process.

790 The authors have performed preliminary technical and economic analysis for intensified PCC process compared with conventional PCC process. The initial 791 prediction is that the capital cost of the whole intensified PCC process can reduce to 792 1/6 (i.e. 16.7%) compared with the same capacity conventional PCC process. The 793 794 reasons behind this prediction are: (a) the average size reduction is around 12 times; 795 (b) Due to corrosion, stainless steel has to be used instead of carbon steel. Unit material price will roughly double. The initial prediction on energy consumption in 796 capturing unit mass of CO<sub>2</sub> will be similar between the two processes. The reasons 797 behind this prediction are: (a) the steam consumption (or the regeneration energy) in 798 intensified PCC process will be lower due to higher concentration of solvent used; (b) 799 electricity consumed to drive the intensified absorber and stripper in the intensified 800 801 PCC process is highly related to rotating speed. The second item can only be determined by specific design conditions. 802

In summary, it is necessary to quantify overall costs (capital & operating costs) used for capturing unit mass of CO<sub>2</sub> in intensified and conventional PCC processes based on detailed and accurate process models. Detailed life cycle analysis (LCA) for intensified PCC process should be performed in order to compare with conventional PCC process.

#### 808 8. Conclusions

The paper presents a critical evaluation of current research status in intensified PCC regarding experimental rigs (including intensified absorber and stripper, intensified heat exchanger), experimental studies worldwide, solvent selection, modelling and simulation. It was found: (a) there is no experimental rig for whole intensified PCC process apart from standalone intensified absorber or stripper. There have been no efforts to intensify the reboiler and the cross heat exchanger so far. (b) There is no systematic study on solvent selection for intensified PCC process. (c) There are

some papers on steady state modelling and simulation of intensified absorber. No 816 modelling and simulation of intensified stripper was reported in open literature. 817 Future research efforts and potential breakthrough on different aspects of intensified 818 PCC process have been discussed. These include: (a) A schematic PFD for 819 820 intensified PCC process has been proposed. (b) It is important to use simple experiments to observe whether interfacial turbulence (i.e. Marangoni effect) exists 821 in RPB absorber. (c) It is vital to develop dynamic models for the whole intensified 822 PCC process for future work in process control. (d) It is necessary to combine CFD 823 study and process modelling for scale-up study. (e) A preliminary technical and 824 economic analysis for the intensified PCC process has been carried out in 825 comparison with conventional PCC process. More detailed and systematic technical, 826 economic and environmental performance analysis should be performed. 827

# 828 Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge financial support from EPSRC Research Challenges in Carbon Capture for CCS (Ref: EP/M001458), UK Research Councils' Energy Programme (Ref: NE/H013865/2) and EU FP7 (Ref: PIRSES-GA-2013-612230).

# 833 **References**

[1] Freund P. Making deep reductions in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from coal-fired power plant
using capture and storage of CO<sub>2</sub>. Proc Inst Mech Eng A: J Power Energy
2003;217:1-7.

[2] Taylor Peter, Lavagne d'orTigue Olivier, Trudeau N, Francoeur M. Energy
 efficiency indicators for public electricity production from fossil fuels. 2008.

[3] Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC). Solid Fuels and Derived

Gases Statistics: Data Sources and Methodologies. 2012;Available

- at: <u>http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20121217150421/http://decc.gov.uk/en/</u>
- 842 <u>content/cms/statistics/energy\_stats/source/electricity/electricity.aspx;</u> (accessed
   843 May,2013).
- [4] Albo J, Luis P, Irabien A. Carbon dioxide capture from flue gases using a cross-
- flow membrane contactor and the ionic liquid 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium
- ethylsulfate. Ind Eng Chem Res 2010;49:11045-51.
- [5] World Meteorological Organization (WMO). Press Release No. 991<br/>br />. 26
  May 2014;<u>http://www.wmo.int/pages/mediacentre/press\_releases/pr\_991\_en.html</u>
  (accessed June, 2014).
- [6] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Contribution of Working
- 851 Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
- 852 Climate Change. Cambridge, United Kingdom/New York, United States: Cambridge
- 853 University Press, 2007.

- [7] International Energy Agency. Carbon Capture and Storage Model Regulatory
- 855 Framework. IEA 2010; Available
- at: <u>http://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/model\_framework.pdf</u>,
- 857 (accessed May 2013).

[8] Metz B, Davidson O, De Coninck H, Loos M, Meyer L. IPCC, 2005: IPCC special

- report on carbon dioxide capture and storage. Prepared by Working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New
- 861 York, NY, USA, 442 pp 2005.
- [9] Abanades JC, Arias B, Lyngfelt A, Mattisson T, Wiley DE, Li H et al. Emerging
- 863 CO<sub>2</sub> capture systems. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas
- 864 Control <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.04.018</u> 2015:1750-5836.
- [10] Folger P. Carbon capture: a technology assessment.
- 2013. <u>http://oai.dtic.mil/oai/oai?verb=getRecord&metadataPrefix=html&identifier=AD</u>
- 867 <u>A590346</u> (accessed January, 2015).
- [11] Lawal A, Wang M, Stephenson P, Obi O. Demonstrating full-scale post-
- combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture for coal-fired power plants through dynamic modelling and
   simulation. Fuel 2012;101:115-28.
- [12] Resnik KP, Yeh JT, Pennline HW. Aqua ammonia process for simultaneous
   removal of CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>. Int J Environ Technol Manage 2004;4:89-104.
- [13] Haszeldine RS. Carbon capture and storage: how green can black be? Science2009;325:1647-52.
- [14] Agbonghae EO, Hughes KJ, Ingham DB, Ma L, Pourkashanian M. Optimal
- 876 Process Design of Commercial-Scale Amine-Based CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Plants. Ind Eng
- 877 Chem Res 2014;53:14815-29.
- [15] Abu-Zahra MRM, Niederer JPM, Feron PHM, Versteeg GF. CO<sub>2</sub> capture from
  power plants: Part II. A parametric study of the economical performance based on
  mono-ethanolamine. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 2007;1:13542.
- [16] GLOBAL CCS INSTITUTE. CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Technologies: Post Combustion
   Capture (PCC). January, 2012 Available at: <u>http://hub</u> globalccsinstitute
   com/sites/default/files/publications/29721/co2-capture-technologies-pcc
   pdf;(accessed June, 2015).
- [17] Bounaceur R, Lape N, Roizard D, Vallieres C, Favre E. Membrane processes
  for post-combustion carbon dioxide capture: a parametric study. Energy
  2006;31:2556-70.

889	[18] Favre E. Carbon dioxide recovery from post-combustion processes: Can gas
890	permeation membranes compete with absorption? J Membr Sci 2007;294:50-9.

- [19] Goto K, Yogo K, Higashii T. A review of efficiency penalty in a coal-fired power
   plant with post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Appl Energy 2013;111:710-20.
- [20] International Energy Agency (IEA). Prospects for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Storage.
- 894 OECD/IEA, Paris, France. 2004;Available at: <u>http://ccs-</u>
- 895 <u>info.org/onewebmedia/iea\_oecd\_ccs\_prospects.pdf</u> (accessed June, 2014).
- [21] Zhao L, Menzer R, Riensche E, Blum L, Stolten D. Concepts and investment
  cost analyses of multi-stage membrane systems used in post-combustion processes.
  Energy Procedia 2009;1:269-78.
- [22] Zhao L, Riensche E, Blum L, Stolten D. Multi-stage gas separation membrane
   processes used in post-combustion capture: Energetic and economic analyses. J
   Membr Sci 2010;359:160-72.
- [23] Zhao M, Minett AI, Harris AT. A review of techno-economic models for the
  retrofitting of conventional pulverised-coal power plants for post-combustion capture
  (PCC) of CO 2. Energy & Environmental Science 2013;6:25-40.
- [24] Yu C, Huang C, Tan C. A review of CO<sub>2</sub> capture by absorption and adsorption.
   Aerosol and Air Quality Research 2012;12:745-69.
- [25] Zhao W, Sprachmann G, Li Z, Cai N, Zhang X. Effect of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O on the
   regeneration energy consumption of potassium-based sorbents for CO<sub>2</sub> capture.
   Appl Energy 2013;112:381-7.
- [26] Clausse M, Merel J, Meunier F. Numerical parametric study on CO<sub>2</sub> capture by
   indirect thermal swing adsorption. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control
   2011;5:1206-13.
- [27] Kapica-Kozar J, Kusiak-Nejman E, Wanag A, Kowalczyk Ł, Wrobel RJ, Mozia S
  et al. Alkali-treated titanium dioxide as adsorbent for CO<sub>2</sub> capture from air.
  Microporous and Mesoporous Materials 2015;202:241-9.
- [28] Li K, Jiang J, Yan F, Tian S, Chen X. The influence of polyethyleneimine type
  and molecular weight on the CO<sub>2</sub> capture performance of PEI-nano silica
  adsorbents. Appl Energy 2014;136:750-5.
- [29] Maring BJ, Webley PA. A new simplified pressure/vacuum swing adsorption
   model for rapid adsorbent screening for CO<sub>2</sub> capture applications. International
   Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 2013;15:16-31.
- [30] Parshetti GK, Chowdhury S, Balasubramanian R. Biomass derived low-cost
   microporous adsorbents for efficient CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Fuel 2015;148:246-54.
- [31] Plaza MG, Pevida C, Arias B, Fermoso J, Rubiera F, Pis JJ. A comparison of
  two methods for producing CO<sub>2</sub> capture adsorbents. Energy Procedia 2009;1:110713.

- [32] Wei L, Jing Y, Gao Z, Wang Y. Development of a pentaethylenehexamine-
- modified solid support adsorbent for CO<sub>2</sub> capture from model flue gas. Chin J Chem
   Eng 2015;23:366-71.
- [33] Xu C, Hedin N. Microporous adsorbents for CO<sub>2</sub> capture a case for
   microporous polymers? Materials Today 2014;17:397-403.
- [34] Zhang W, Liu H, Sun C, Drage TC, Snape CE. Capturing CO<sub>2</sub> from ambient air
  using a polyethyleneimine–silica adsorbent in fluidized beds. Chemical Engineering
  Science 2014;116:306-16.
- [35] Zhang W, Liu H, Sun C, Drage TC, Snape CE. Performance of
- polyethyleneimine–silica adsorbent for post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture in a bubbling
   fluidized bed. Chem Eng J 2014;251:293-303.
- [36] Dasgupta S, Nanoti A, Gupta P, Jena D, Goswami AN, Garg MO. Carbon DiOxide Removal with Mesoporous Adsorbents in a Single Column Pressure Swing
  Adsorber. Sep Sci Technol 2009;44:3973-83.
- [37] Dasgupta S, Biswas N, Gode NG, Divekar S, Nanoti A, Goswami AN. CO2
- recovery from mixtures with nitrogen in a vacuum swing adsorber using metal
- organic framework adsorbent: A comparative study. International Journal ofGreenhouse Gas Control 2012;7:225-9.
- [38] Krishna R, van Baten JM. A comparison of the CO<sub>2</sub> capture characteristics of
  zeolites and metal–organic frameworks. Separation and Purification Technology
  2012;87:120-6.
- [39] Gil MV, Martínez M, García S, Rubiera F, Pis JJ, Pevida C. Response surface
  methodology as an efficient tool for optimizing carbon adsorbents for CO<sub>2</sub> capture.
  Fuel Process Technol 2013;106:55-61.
- [40] González AS, Plaza MG, Pis JJ, Rubiera F, Pevida C. Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub>
   capture adsorbents from spent coffee grounds. Energy Procedia 2013;37:134-41.
- [41] González AS, Plaza MG, Rubiera F, Pevida C. Sustainable biomass-based
   carbon adsorbents for post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Chem Eng J 2013;230:456-65.
- [42] Han SJ, Bang Y, Lee H, Lee K, Song IK, Seo JG. Synthesis of a dual-templated
  MgO–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> adsorbent using block copolymer and ionic liquid for CO<sub>2</sub> capture.
  Chem Eng J 2015;270:411-7.
- [43] Hlaing NN, Sreekantan S, Othman R, Hinode H, Kurniawan W, Thant AA et al. A
   novel (Zr–Ce) incorporated Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> nanostructure as a durable adsorbent for CO<sub>2</sub>
   capture. Mater Lett 2014;133:204-7.
- [44] Huang Y, Chiueh P, Shih C, Lo S, Sun L, Zhong Y et al. Microwave pyrolysis of
  rice straw to produce biochar as an adsorbent for CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Energy 2015;84:7582.

[45] Samanta A, Zhao A, Shimizu GK, Sarkar P, Gupta R. Post-combustion CO2
 capture using solid sorbents: a review. Ind Eng Chem Res 2011;51:1438-63.

[46] Drage TC, Snape CE, Stevens LA, Wood J, Wang J, Cooper AI et al. Materials
challenges for the development of solid sorbents for post-combustion carbon
capture. Journal of Materials Chemistry 2012;22:2815-23.

[47] Durán-Guevara MB, Ortiz-Landeros J, Pfeiffer H, Espitia-Cabrera MI, Contreras García ME. Potassium-based sorbents using mesostructured γ-alumina supports for
 low temperature CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Ceram Int 2015;41:3036-44.

[48] Cheung O, Bacsik Z, Liu Q, Mace A, Hedin N. Adsorption kinetics for CO2 on
highly selective zeolites NaKA and nano-NaKA. Appl Energy 2013;112:1326-36.

[49] Hedin N, Andersson L, Bergström L, Yan J. Adsorbents for the post-combustion
capture of CO2 using rapid temperature swing or vacuum swing adsorption. Appl
Energy 2013;104:418-33.

[50] Shakerian F, Kim K, Szulejko JE, Park J. A comparative review between amines
and ammonia as sorptive media for post-combustion CO2 capture. Appl Energy
2015;148:10-22.

[51] Bernardo P, Drioli E, Golemme G. Membrane gas separation: a review/state ofthe art. Ind Eng Chem Res 2009;48:4638-63.

[52] Brunetti A, Scura F, Barbieri G, Drioli E. Membrane technologies for CO<sub>2</sub>
 separation. J Membr Sci 2010;359:115-25.

[53] Car A, Stropnik C, Yave W, Peinemann K. PEG modified poly (amide-b-ethylene
 oxide) membranes for CO<sub>2</sub> separation. J Membr Sci 2008;307:88-95.

- [54] Kvamsdal H, Jakobsen J, Hoff K. Dynamic modeling and simulation of a CO<sub>2</sub>
   absorber column for post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture. Chemical Engineering and
   Processing: Process Intensification 2009;48:135-44.
- [55] Dugas RE. Pilot plant study of carbon dioxide capture by aqueousmonoethanolamine. MSE Thesis, University of Texas at Austin 2006.
- [56] Mangalapally HP, Hasse H. Pilot plant study of two new solvents for post
   combustion carbon dioxide capture by reactive absorption and comparison to
   monoethanolamine. Chemical Engineering Science 2011;66:5512-22.
- [57] Mangalapally HP, Hasse H. Pilot plant experiments for post combustion carbon
  dioxide capture by reactive absorption with novel solvents. Energy Procedia
  2011;4:1-8.

[58] Mangalapally HP, Notz R, Hoch S, Asprion N, Sieder G, Garcia H et al. Pilot
 plant experimental studies of post combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture by reactive absorption
 with MEA and new solvents. Energy Procedia 2009;1:963-70.

- [59] Mangalapally HP, Notz R, Asprion N, Sieder G, Garcia H, Hasse H. Pilot plant
   study of four new solvents for post combustion carbon dioxide capture by reactive
   absorption and comparison to MEA. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas
   Control 2012;8:205-16.
- [60] Lawal A, Wang M, Stephenson P, Yeung H. Dynamic Modeling and Simulation
   of CO<sub>2</sub> Chemical Absorption Process for Coal-Fired Power Plants. Computer Aided
   Chemical Engineering 2009;27:1725-30.
- 1007 [61] Lawal A, Wang M, Stephenson P, Yeung H. Dynamic modelling of CO<sub>2</sub>
  1008 absorption for post combustion capture in coal-fired power plants. Fuel
  1009 2009;88:2455-62.
- [62] Lawal A, Wang M, Stephenson P, Koumpouras G, Yeung H. Dynamic modelling
  and analysis of post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> chemical absorption process for coal-fired
  power plants. Fuel 2010;89:2791-801.
- [63] Biliyok C, Lawal A, Wang M, Seibert F. Dynamic modelling, validation and
   analysis of post-combustion chemical absorption CO<sub>2</sub> capture plant. International
   Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 2012;9:428-45.
- 1016 [64] Kvamsdal HM, Rochelle GT. Effects of the temperature bulge in CO<sub>2</sub> absorption 1017 from flue gas by aqueous monoethanolamine. Ind Eng Chem Res 2008;47:867-75.
- 1018 [65] Kvamsdal HM, Hetland J, Haugen G, Svendsen HF, Major F, Kårstad V et al. 1019 Maintaining a neutral water balance in a 450MWe NGCC-CCS power system with 1020 post-combustion carbon dioxide capture aimed at offshore operation. International 1021 Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 2010;4:613-22.
- 1022 [66] Kvamsdal HM, Haugen G, Svendsen HF. Flue-gas cooling in post-combustion 1023 capture plants. Chem Eng Res Design 2011;89:1544-52.
- [67] MacDowell N, Shah N. Optimisation of Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture for
   Flexible Operation. Energy Procedia 2014;63:1525-35.
- [68] Mac Dowell N, Shah N. Dynamic modelling and analysis of a coal-fired power
   plant integrated with a novel split-flow configuration post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture
   process. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 2014;27:103-19.
- [69] Mac Dowell N, Shah N. The multi-period operation of an amine-based CO<sub>2</sub>
   capture process integrated with a supercritical coal-fired power station. Computers
   and Chemical Engineering 2014.
- [70] Mac Dowell N, Samsatli N, Shah N. Dynamic modelling and analysis of an
   amine-based post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture absorption column. International Journal
   of Greenhouse Gas Control 2013;12:247-58.
- [71] Lucquiaud M, Gibbins J. On the integration of CO<sub>2</sub> capture with coal-fired power
   plants: A methodology to assess and optimise solvent-based post-combustion
   capture systems. Chem Eng Res Design 2011;89:1553-71.

- 1038 [72] Lucquiaud M, Fernandez ES, Chalmers H, Dowell NM, Gibbins J. Enhanced 1039 Operating Flexibility and Optimised Off-design Operation of Coal Plants with Post-
- 1040 combustion Capture. Energy Procedia 2014;63:7494-507.
- [73] Errey O, Chalmers H, Lucquiaud M, Gibbins J. Valuing Responsive Operation of
   Post-combustion CCS Power Plants in Low Carbon Electricity Markets. Energy
   Procedia 2014;63:7471-84.
- [74] Agbonghae EO, Hughes KJ, Ingham DB, Ma L, Pourkashanian M. A SemiEmpirical Model for Estimating the Heat Capacity of Aqueous Solutions of
  Alkanolamines for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture. Ind Eng Chem Res 2014.
- 1047 [75] Asendrych D, Niegodajew P, Drobniak S. CFD modelling of CO<sub>2</sub> capture in a
  1048 packed bed by chemical absorption. Chemical and Process Engineering
  1049 2013;34:269-82.
- [76] Sebastia-Saez D, Gu S, Ranganathan P, Papadikis K. 3D modeling of
  hydrodynamics and physical mass transfer characteristics of liquid film flows in
  structured packing elements. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control
  2013;19:492-502.
- 1054 [77] Raynal L, Ben Rayana F, Royon-Lebeaud A. Use of CFD for CO<sub>2</sub> absorbers
  1055 optimum design : from local scale to large industrial scale. Energy Procedia
  1056 2009;1:917-24.
- [78] Raynal L, Royon-Lebeaud A. A multi-scale approach for CFD calculations of
   gas–liquid flow within large size column equipped with structured packing. Chemical
   Engineering Science 2007;62:7196-204.
- 1060 [79] Stéphenne K. Start-up of world's first commercial post-combustion coal fired ccs 1061 project: Contribution of shell cansolv to saskpower boundary dam iccs project.
- 1062 Energy Procedia 2014;63:6106-10.
- 1063 [80] ZEROCO2.NO. Plant Barry CCS demo. ;Available
- 1064 at: <u>http://www.zeroco2.no/projects/southern-company-ccs-demonstration</u> (accessed
   1065 November, 2014).
- 1066 [81] NRG Energy. WA Parish CO<sub>2</sub> capture project. 2014;Available
- 1067 at: https://www.nrg.com/documents/business/pla-2014-petranova-waparish-
- 1068 <u>factsheet.pdf</u> (accessed December, 2014).
- 1069 [82] BERR. Advanced power plant using high efficiency boiler/turbine. Report
- BPB010. BERR, Department for Business Enterprise and Regulatory Reform.
   2006;Available at: www.berr.gov.uk/files/file30703.pdf (accessed April 2012).
- 1072 [83] Agbonghae EO, Best T, Finney KN, Palma CF, Hughes KJ, Pourkashanian M.
- 1073 Experimental and Process Modelling Study of Integration of a Micro-turbine with an 1074 Amine Plant. Energy Procedia 2014;63:1064-73.
  - 36

- 1075 [84] Henderson C. Towards zero emission coal-fired power plant. IEA Clean Coal
- 1076 Centre Reports 2005, <u>http://www.iea-coal.org.uk/documents/81379/5947/Towards-</u>
- 1077 <u>zero-emission-coal-fired-power-plant%C2%A0</u> (accessed February, 2015).
- [85] Jassim MS, Rochelle G, Eimer D, Ramshaw C. Carbon dioxide absorption and
  desorption in aqueous monoethanolamine solutions in a rotating packed bed. Ind
  Eng Chem Res 2007;46:2823-33.
- [86] Reay D. The role of process intensification in cutting greenhouse gas emissions.Appl Therm Eng 2008;28:2011-9.
- [87] Joel AS, Wang M, Ramshaw C, Oko E. Process analysis of intensified absorber
   for post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture through modelling and simulation. International
   Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 2014;21:91-100.
- [88] Cheng H, Lai C, Tan C. Thermal regeneration of alkanolamine solutions in a
  rotating packed bed. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 2013;16:20616.
- [89] Joel AS, Wang M, Ramshaw C. Modelling and simulation of intensified absorber
   for post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture using different mass transfer correlations. Appl
   Therm Eng 2015;74:47-53.
- 1092 [90] Charles Ross and Son Company. Charles Ross and Son Company. ;Available 1093 at: <u>http://www.staticmixers.com/staticmixer\_designs.pdf</u> (accessed June, 2014).
- 1094 [91] Johns GA. Toxicity reduction for industrial effluent containing formaldehyde.1095 MSc Thesis Cranfield University, UK 2006.
- 1096 [92] Meeuwse M, Van Der Schaaf J, Schouten JC. Mass Transfer in a rotor-stator
  1097 spinning disk reactor with cofeeding of gas and liquid. Industrial and Engineering
  1098 Chemistry Research 2010;49:1605-10.
- 1099 [93] Meeuwse M, Van der Schaaf J, Kuster B, Schouten J. Gas–liquid mass transfer
  1100 in a rotor–stator spinning disc reactor. Chemical Engineering Science 2010;65:4661101 71.
- [94] Meeuwse M, Lempers S, van der Schaaf J, Schouten JC. Liquid- Solid Mass
  Transfer and Reaction in a Rotor- Stator Spinning Disc Reactor. Ind Eng Chem Res
  2010;49:10751-7.
- [95] Dobie CG, MarijaVicevic, Boodhoo KVK. An evaluation of the effectiveness of
   continuous thin film processing in a spinning disc reactor for bulk free-radical photo copolymerisation. Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification
   2013;71:97-106.
- 1109 [96] Boodhoo KVK, Jachuck RJ. Process intensification: Spinning disk reactor for 1110 styrene polymerization. Appl Therm Eng 2000;20:1127-46.

- 1111 [97] Brechtelsbauer C, Lewis N, Oxley P, Ricard F, Ramshaw C. Evaluation of a
- spinning disc reactor for continuous processing. Organic Process Research and
   Development 2001;5:65-8.
- 1114 [98] Riffat S, Zhao X. Preliminary study of the performance and operating
- 1115 characteristics of a mop-fan air cleaning system for buildings. Build Environ 1116 2007;42:3241-52.
- [99] Shehata H, Riffat S, Shao L. Mop fan for removal of air-borne pollutants.1118 1995;2:45-59.
- 1119 [100] Riffat S, Shehata H, Srivastava N. The desiccant air-conditioning system. Int J 1120 Ambient Energy 2004;25:163-8.
- 1121 [101] BUSS ChemTech AG. Advanced BUSS Loop® Reactor Technology.
- 1122 2009;Available at: <u>http://www.buss-</u>

1123 <u>ct.com/up/files/PDFs\_RT/Brochure\_RT\_Advanced\_Loop\_Reactor\_operating\_principl</u>

- 1124 <u>e.pdf</u> (accessed December, 2014).
- 1125 [102] Machado RM, Heier KR, Broekhuis RR. Developments in hydrogenation
- technology for fine-chemical and pharmaceutical applications. Current Opinion in
- 1127 Drug Discovery and Development 2001;4:745-55.
- [103] Cramers PHMR, Beenackers AACM. Influence of the ejector configuration,
- scale and the gas density on the mass transfer characteristics of gas-liquid ejectors.Chem Eng J 2001;82:131-41.
- 1131 [104] Roberge DM, Gottsponer M, Eyholzer M, Kockmann N. Industrial design,
- scale-up, and use of microreactors. Chimica Oggi 2009;27:8-11.
- 1133 [105] Roberge DM, Zimmermann B, Rainone F, Gottsponer M, Eyholzer M,
- 1134 Kockmann N. Microreactor technology and continuous processes in the fine
- chemical and pharmaceutical industry: Is the revolution underway? Organic ProcessResearch and Development 2008;12:905-10.
- 1137 [106] Ehrfeld Mikrotechnik BTS. High Performance reactors for pharmaceutical and
- 1138 fine chemical production. 2011;Available at: <u>http://www.pharma-</u>
- 1139 <u>food.de/media/file/5474</u> (accessed December, 2014).
- 1140 [107] Chambers H, Wall M. Some factors affecting the design of centrifugal gas 1141 absorbers. Trans.Inst.Chem.Eng 1954;32:S96-S107.
- [108] Podbielniak WJ. Continuous Centrifugal Vapor-Liquid Contactor. 1966;USPatent 3,233,880.
- 1144 [109] Wang G, Xu Z, Yu Y, Ji J. Performance of a rotating zigzag bed—A new
- 1145 HIGEE. Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification 2008;47:2131-9.

- 1147 [110] Wang G, Xu Z, Ji J. Progress on Higee distillation—Introduction to a new 1148 device and its industrial applications. Chem Eng Res Design 2011;89:1434-42.
- [111] Wang GQ, Xu OG, Xu ZC, Ji JB. New HIGEE-rotating zigzag bed and its mass
  transfer performance. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research 2008;47:88406.
- [112] Cheng H, Tan C. Reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in a zinc/air battery by
   absorption in a rotating packed bed. J Power Sources 2006;162:1431-6.
- [113] Cheng H, Shen J, Tan C. CO<sub>2</sub> capture from hot stove gas in steel making
   process. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 2010;4:525-31.
- [114] Cheng H, Tan C. Removal of CO<sub>2</sub> from indoor air by alkanolamine in a rotating
   packed bed. Separation and Purification Technology 2011;82:156-66.
- 1158 [115] Cheng H, Tan C. Carbon dioxide capture by blended alkanolamines in rotating 1159 packed bed. Energy Procedia 2009;1:925-32.
- 1160 [116] Chen Y, Hsu Y, Lin C, Tai CY, Liu H. Volatile organic compounds absorption in 1161 a cross-flow rotating packed bed. Environ Sci Technol 2008;42:2631-6.
- 1162 [117] Chen Y, Lin F, Lin C, Tai CY, Liu H. Packing characteristics for mass transfer 1163 in a rotating packed bed. Ind Eng Chem Res 2006;45:6846-53.
- 1164 [118] Chen Y, Lin C, Liu H. Mass transfer in a rotating packed bed with viscous 1165 Newtonian and non-Newtonian fluids. Ind Eng Chem Res 2005;44:1043-51.

1166 [119] Chen JF. The recent developments in the HiGee technology. Presentation at 1167 GPE-EPIC,14-17June 2009. 2009;Available at <u>http://inpact.inp-toulouse.fr/GPE-</u>

- 1168 <u>EPIC2009/images/presentation\_chen.pdf</u> (accessed February, 2014).
- [120] Mallinson RH, Ramshaw C. Mass transfer process. 1981;US Patent 4,283,255.
- [121] Zhang L, Wang J, Xiang Y, Zeng X, Chen J. Absorption of carbon dioxide with
  ionic liquid in a rotating packed bed contactor: Mass transfer study. Ind Eng Chem
  Res 2011;50:6957-64.
- [122] Lee, J., Reay, D., Ramshaw C., Post-combustion carbon capture research at
  Newcastle University, Presentation to PIN, 2<sup>nd</sup> May, 2012. 2012;Available
  at: www.pinetwork.org (accessed June 2013).
- [123] Yi F, Zou H, Chu G, Shao L, Chen J. Modeling and experimental studies on
  absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> by Benfield solution in rotating packed bed. Chem Eng J
  2009;145:377-84.
- 1179 [124] Luo Y, Chu G, Zou H, Zhao Z, Dudukovic MP, Chen J. Gas–liquid effective 1180 interfacial area in a rotating packed bed. Ind Eng Chem Res 2012;51:16320-5.

[125] Luo Y, Chu G, Zou H, Xiang Y, Shao L, Chen J. Characteristics of a two-stage
 counter-current rotating packed bed for continuous distillation. Chemical Engineering
 and Processing: Process Intensification 2012;52:55-62.

[126] Yu C, Cheng H, Tan C. CO<sub>2</sub> capture by alkanolamine solutions containing
diethylenetriamine and piperazine in a rotating packed bed. International Journal of
Greenhouse Gas Control 2012;9:136-47.

- [127] Tan C-, Chen J-. Absorption of carbon dioxide with piperazine and its mixtures
   in a rotating packed bed. Separation and Purification Technology 2006;49:174-80.
- 1189 [128] Lin C, Lin Y, Tan C. Evaluation of alkanolamine solutions for carbon dioxide 1190 removal in cross-flow rotating packed beds. J Hazard Mater 2010;175:344-51.

[129] Lin C-, Chen Y-. Performance of a cross-flow rotating packed bed in removing
carbon dioxide from gaseous streams by chemical absorption. International Journal
of Greenhouse Gas Control 2011;5:668-75.

[130] Rajan S, Kumar M, Ansari MJ, Rao D, Kaistha N. Limiting gas liquid flows and
mass transfer in a novel rotating packed bed (HiGee). Ind Eng Chem Res
2010;50:986-97.

- [131] Agarwal L, Pavani V, Rao D, Kaistha N. Process intensification in HiGee
  absorption and distillation: design procedure and applications. Ind Eng Chem Res
  2010;49:10046-58.
- [132] Tung H, Mah RS. Modeling liquid mass transfer in higee separation process.Chem Eng Commun 1985;39:147-53.

[133] Kothandaraman A, Nord L, Bolland O, Herzog HJ, McRae GJ. Comparison of
solvents for post-combustion capture of CO<sub>2</sub> by chemical absorption. Energy
Procedia 2009;1:1373-80.

- [134] Chapel DG, Mariz CL, Ernest J. Recovery of CO<sub>2</sub> from flue gases: commercialtrends. 1999:4-6.
- 1207 [135] Tobiesen FA, Svendsen HF. Study of a modified amine-based regeneration 1208 unit. Ind Eng Chem Res 2006;45:2489-96.
- [136] Nikitin K, Kato Y, Ngo L. Printed circuit heat exchanger thermal–hydraulic
   performance in supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> experimental loop. Int J Refrig 2006;29:807-14.
- 1211 [137] Tsuzuki N, Kato Y, Ishiduka T. High performance printed circuit heat 1212 exchanger. Appl Therm Eng 2007;27:1702-7.
- 1213 [138] Kim DE, Kim MH, Cha JE, Kim SO. Numerical investigation on thermal-
- hydraulic performance of new printed circuit heat exchanger model. Nucl Eng Des 2008;238:3269-76.

- 1216 [139] Li X, Kininmont D, Le Pierres R, Dewson SJ. Alloy 617 for the high temperature 1217 diffusion-bonded compact heat exchangers. Proceedings of ICAPP08, Anaheim, CA,
- 1218 Paper 2008.

[140] Li Q, Flamant G, Yuan X, Neveu P, Luo L. Compact heat exchangers: A review
and future applications for a new generation of high temperature solar receivers.
Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 2011;15:4855-75.

- 1222 [141] Pierres R. L., Diffusion Bonded Compact Heat Exchangers Compact
- Reactors Presentation to PIN, 23<sup>rd</sup> May, 2013. 2013;Available at: <u>www.pinetwork.org</u> (accessed November, 2013).
- [142] Hesselgreaves JE. Compact heat exchangers: selection, design and operation.: Gulf Professional Publishing, 2001.
- 1227 [143] Phillips, C. H., Symonds, K. T. Development of a novel integrated chemical
- 1228 reactor-heat exchanger. 2007;Available
- 1229 at: <u>http://lorien.ncl.ac.uk/ming/pdfs/bhrpaper1.pdf</u> (accessed August, 2013).
- 1230 [144] Reay D. Learning from experiences with compact heat exchangers. : CADDET
- 1231 Series 25, Centre for the Analysis and Dissemination of Demonstrated Energy
- 1232 Technologies. Sittard, The Netherlands, 1999.
- [145] Shah RK, Sekulic DP. Fundamentals of heat exchanger design. : John Wiley &Sons, 2003.
- [146] Thonon B, Breuil E. Compact heat exchanger technologies for the HTRsrecuperator application. 2001;Available
- 1237 at: <u>http://www.iaea.org/inis/collection/NCLCollectionStore/\_Public/32/047/32047838.</u>
- 1238 <u>pdf</u> (accessed on May, 2014).
- 1239 [147] Picon-Nunez M, Canizalez-Davalos L, Martinez-Rodriguez G, Polley G.
- Shortcut design approach for spiral heat exchangers. Food Bioprod Process2007;85:322-7.
- [148] Yu C-, Wu T-, Tan C-. CO<sub>2</sub> capture by piperazine mixed with non-aqueous
  solvent diethylene glycol in a rotating packed bed. International Journal of
  Greenhouse Gas Control 2013;19:503-9.
- [149] Luo X, Hartono A, Hussain S, Svendsen FH. Mass transfer and kinetics of
  carbon dioxide absorption into loaded aqueous monoethanolamine solutions.
  Chemical Engineering Science 2015;123:57-69.
- [150] Lin C, Chen B. Carbon dioxide absorption into NaOH solution in a cross-flow
  rotating packed bed. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry-Seoul2007;13:1083.
- [151] Ji, L., Bonnin-Nartker, P., Klidas, M. G., Zang, R. Solvent Selection for
   Commercial Deployment of B&W PGG's RSAT <sup>TM</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> Scrubbing Process. The 35th

- International Technical Conference on Coal Utilization & Fuel Systems, US A, June6-10 2010.
- 1255 [152] Munjal S, Duduković MP, Ramachandran P. Mass-transfer in rotating packed
- beds—II. Experimental results and comparison with theory and gravity flow.
- 1257 Chemical Engineering Science 1989;44:2257-68.
- 1258 [153] Lin C-, Liu W-, Tan C-. Removal of carbon dioxide by absorption in a rotating 1259 packed bed. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research 2003;42:2381-6.
- [154] Lin C, Chen B. Carbon dioxide absorption in a cross-flow rotating packed bed.Chem Eng Res Design 2011;89:1722-9.
- [155] Wappel D, Gronald G, Kalb R, Draxler J. Ionic liquids for post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub>
   absorption. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 2010;4:486-94.
- 1264 [156] Undewood, J., Dawson, G., Barney, C.,. Design of a CO<sub>2</sub> absorption system in 1265 an Ammonia plant. 1997;Available
- 1266 at: http://www.owlnet.rice.edu/~ceng403/co2abs.html (accessed April, 2014).
- [157] Benson H, Field J, Jimeson R. CO<sub>2</sub> absorption: employing hot potassium
   carbonate solutions. Chem.Eng.Prog.;(United States) 1954;50.
- [158] Field JH, Benson H, Johnson G, Tosh J, Forney A. Pilot-plant studies of the
  hot-carbonate process for removing carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide.[Removal
  of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S from gas mixtures necessary in synthesizing liquid fuels from coal].
  US Bur.Mines, Bull. 1962;597.
- [159] Bishnoi S, Rochelle GT. Absorption of carbon dioxide into aqueous piperazine:
  reaction kinetics, mass transfer and solubility. Chemical Engineering Science
  2000;55:5531-43.
- [160] Freeman SA, Dugas R, Van Wagener DH, Nguyen T, Rochelle GT. Carbon
  dioxide capture with concentrated, aqueous piperazine. International Journal of
  Greenhouse Gas Control 2010;4:119-24.
- [161] Yu C-, Tan C-. Mixed alkanolamines with low regeneration energy for CO<sub>2</sub>
   capture in a rotating packed bed. Energy Procedia 2013;37:455-60.
- 1281 [162] Dugas R, Rochelle G. Absorption and desorption rates of carbon dioxide with 1282 monoethanolamine and piperazine. Energy Procedia 2009;1:1163-9.
- [163] Sander MT, Mariz CL. The Fluor Daniel® econamine FG process: Past
   experience and present day focus. Energy Conversion and Management
- 1285 1992;33:341-8.
  - [164] Reddy S. Econamine FG Plus SM Technology for Post-Combustion CO<sub>2</sub>
     Capture. 11 th Meeting of the International Post-Combustion CO2 Capture Network
  - 1288 2008.

- [165] Mimura T, Shimojo S, Suda T, Iijima M, Mitsuoka S. Research and
  development on energy saving technology for flue gas carbon dioxide recovery and
  steam system in power plant. Energy Conversion and Management 1995;36:397400.
- [166] Mimura T, Simayoshi H, Suda T, Iijima M, Mituoka S. Development of energy
  saving technology for flue gas carbon dioxide recovery in power plant by chemical
  absorption method and steam system. Energy Conversion and Management
  1997;38:S57-62.
- [167] Kishimoto S, Hirata T, Iijima M, Ohishi T, Higaki K, Mitchell R. Current status of
   MHI's CO<sub>2</sub> recovery technology and optimization of CO<sub>2</sub> recovery plant with a PC
   fired power plant. Energy Procedia 2009;1:1091-8.
- [168] Wu S, Bergins C, Kikkawa H, Kobayashi H, Kawasaki T. Technology options
   for clean coal power generation with CO<sub>2</sub> capture. 2010.
- [169] IEA-GHG. Improvements in power generation with post-combustion capture of
   CO<sub>2</sub>. IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme, Cheltenham, UK 2004.
- [170] Gibbins J, Crane R. Scope for reductions in the cost of CO<sub>2</sub> capture using flue
  gas scrubbing with amine solvents. Proc Inst Mech Eng A: J Power Energy
  2004;218:231-9.
- [171] Just P, Mirfendereski Y, Geuzebroek F. Cansolv technologies: the value of
  integration. IEAGHG (International Energy Agency Greenhouse Gas) R&D Program
  (Eds), International Network for CO2 Capture: Report on 12th Workshop, Report
  2009.
- [172] Shaw D. Cansolv CO<sub>2</sub> capture: The value of integration. Energy Procedia2009;1:237-46.
- 1313 [173] Stöver B, Bergins C, Klebes J. Optimized post combustion carbon capturing on 1314 coal fired power plants. Energy Procedia 2011;4:1637-43.
- 1315 [174] Oexmann J, Hasenbein C, Kather A. Semi-empirical model for the direct
- simulation of power plant with integrated post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture processes bywet chemical absorption. Energy Procedia 2011;4:1276-85.
- 1318 [175] Chen Y, Lin C, Liu H. Mass transfer in a rotating packed bed with various radii 1319 of the bed. Ind Eng Chem Res 2005;44:7868-75.
- [176] Chen Y. Correlations of mass transfer coefficients in a rotating packed bed. IndEng Chem Res 2011;50:1778-85.
- [177] Onda K, Sada E, Takeuchi H. Gas absorption with chemical reaction in packedcolumns. J Chem Eng Japan 1968;1:62-6.

- 1324 [178] Burns J, Jamil J, Ramshaw C. Process intensification: operating characteristics
- of rotating packed beds—determination of liquid hold-up for a high-voidage
- 1326 structured packing. Chemical Engineering Science 2000;55:2401-15.
- [179] Semkov K, Kolev N. On the evaluation of the interfacial turbulence (the
  Marangoni effect) in gas (vapour)—liquid mass transfer: Part I. A method for
  estimating the interfacial turbulence effect. Chemical Engineering and Processing:
  Process Intensification 1991;29:77-82.
- [180] Kolev N, Semkov K. On the evaluation of the interfacial turbulence (the
   Marangoni Effect) in gas (vapour)—liquid mass transfer: Part II. Modelling of packed
   columns accounting for axial mixing and Marangoni effects. Chemical Engineering
   and Processing: Process Intensification 1991;29:83-91.
- 1335 [181] Sternling C, Scriven L. Interfacial turbulence: hydrodynamic instability and the 1336 Marangoni effect. AIChE J 1959;5:514-23.
- [182] Buzek J, Podkański J, Warmuziński K. The enhancement of the rate of
  absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> in amine solutions due to the Marangoni effect. Energy conversion
  and management 1997;38:S69-74.
- [183] Aronu UE, Gondal S, Hessen ET, Haug-Warberg T, Hartono A, Hoff KA et al.
  Solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in 15, 30, 45 and 60 mass% MEA from 40 to 120 C and model
  representation using the extended UNIQUAC framework. Chemical Engineering
  Science 2011;66:6393-406.
- 1344 [184] Mason JW, Dodge BF. Equilibrium absorption of carbon dioxide by solutions of 1345 the ethanolamines. Trans.Am.Inst.Chem.Eng 1936;32:27-48.
- [185] Herzog H, Eide J. Rethinking CCS–Moving Forward in Times of Uncertainty.Mining Report 2013;149:318-23.
- 1348 [186] Herzog HJ. Scaling up carbon dioxide capture and storage: From megatons to 1349 gigatons. Energy Econ 2011;33:597-604.
- [187] Shi X, Xiang Y, Wen L, Chen J. CFD analysis of liquid phase flow in a rotatingpacked bed reactor. Chem Eng J 2013;228:1040-9.
- [188] Yang W, Wang Y, Chen J, Fei W. Computational fluid dynamic simulation offluid flow in a rotating packed bed. Chem Eng J 2010;156:582-7.
- [189] Wang GQ, Xu ZC, Yu YL, Ji JB. Performance of a rotating zigzag bed-A new
  HIGEE. Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification
  2008;47:2131-9.
- [190] Xiuqing L, Le Pierres R, Dewson SJ. Heat exchangers for the next generation
   of nuclear reactors. Proceedings of the 2006 international congress on advances in
   nuclear power plants-ICAPP'06 2006.

1360 [191] Chen Y, Liu H, Lin C, Liu W. Micromixing in a rotating packed bed. J Chem 1361 Eng Japan 2004;37:1122-8.

1362 [192] Barham H, Brahim S, Rozita Y, Mohamed K. Carbon steel corrosion behaviour

- in aqueous carbonated solution of MEA/bmim DCA. International Journal of
- 1364 Electrochemical Science 2011;6:181-98.

1365